RESEARCH ARTICLE

Fatigue in Multiple Sclerosis: Assessing Pontine Involvement Using Proton MR Spectroscopic Imaging

Wan Hazlin Zaini¹, Fabrizio Giuliani², Christian Beaulieu¹, Sanjay Kalra², Christopher Hanstock¹*

1 Department of Biomedical Engineering, University of Alberta, Edmonton, Alberta, Canada, 2 Department of Medicine, Division of Neurology, University of Alberta, Edmonton, Alberta, Canada

* Chris.hanstock@ualberta.ca

Abstract

Background/Objective

The underlying mechanism of fatigue in multiple sclerosis (MS) remains poorly understood. Our study investigates the involvement of the ascending reticular activating system (ARAS), originating in the pontine brainstem, in MS patients with symptoms of fatigue.

Methods

Female relapsing-remitting MS patients (n = 17) and controls (n = 15) underwent a magnetic resonance spectroscopic imaging protocol at 1.5T. Fatigue was assessed in every subject using the Fatigue Severity Scale (FSS). Using an FSS cut-off of 36, patients were categorized into a low (n = 9, 22 ± 10) or high (n = 10, 52 ± 6) fatigue group. The brain metabolites N-acetylaspartate (NAA) and total creatine (tCr) were measured from sixteen 5x5x10 mm³ spectroscopic imaging voxels in the rostral pons.

Results

MS patients with high fatigue had lower NAA/tCr concentration in the tegmental pons compared to control subjects. By using NAA and Cr values in the cerebellum for comparison, these NAA/tCr changes in the pons were driven by higher tCr concentration, and that these changes were focused in the WM regions.

Discussion/Conclusion

Since there were no changes in NAA concentration, the increase in tCr may be suggestive of gliosis, or an imbalanced equilibrium of the creatine and phosphocreatine ratio in the pons of relapsing-remitting MS patients with fatigue.



OPEN ACCESS

Citation: Zaini WH, Giuliani F, Beaulieu C, Kalra S, Hanstock C (2016) Fatigue in Multiple Sclerosis: Assessing Pontine Involvement Using Proton MR Spectroscopic Imaging. PLoS ONE 11(2): e0149622. doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0149622

Editor: Joseph Najbauer, University of Pécs Medical School, HUNGARY

Received: September 4, 2015

Accepted: February 3, 2016

Published: February 19, 2016

Copyright: © 2016 Zaini et al. This is an open access article distributed under the terms of the <u>Creative Commons Attribution License</u>, which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original author and source are credited.

Data Availability Statement: All relevant data are within the paper.

Funding: This work was supported by the University of Alberta Hospital Foundation, <u>http://www. universityhospitalfoundation.ab.ca</u>, and Multiple Sclerosis Society of Canada - EndMS Program, <u>https://beta.mssociety.ca</u>.

Competing Interests: The authors have declared that no competing interests exist.

Introduction

Multiple sclerosis (MS) is an inflammatory disease of the central nervous system, which results in focal areas of demyelination. It is known to affect the white matter (WM) with axonal injury or loss as a common pathological feature occurring in the brain of MS patients [1]. This injury and resulting dysfunction has been observed to affect both normal appearing white matter (NAWM) and normal appearing grey matter (NAGM) even during the early course of the disease [2].

Fatigue is the most common and disabling symptom, experienced by 50 to 80% of MS patients [3]. Considering the significant impact of fatigue in MS patients, and despite the extensive research done to further our understanding of MS, the underlying pathophysiology of this symptom remains unknown [4].

In efforts to understand fatigue in MS, specific brain structures have been investigated using conventional magnetic resonance imaging (MRI), diffusion tensor imaging (DTI), and positron emission tomography (PET) to determine whether they are associated with this symptom [4,5]. One structure suggested to be involved with fatigue in MS is the pontine brainstem [6]. Originating within the pons is a complex network composed of several groups of projecting neurons, which makes up the ascending reticular activating system (ARAS). These groups of neurons diffusely project to many brain structures, including the neocortex, hippocampus, thalamus, hypothalamus and cerebellum [7]. The ARAS is responsible for arousal and sleepwake cycle [8] and plays an important role in responding to stress [9], attention and behaviour [8]. These functions are often impaired in MS patients. It is thought that damage to structures of the ARAS in MS patients might impair its function in response to arousal and stimuli, thereby causing fatigue [6,10].

Magnetic resonance spectroscopy (MRS) serves as a complementary tool to MRI, and can be used to evaluate the neuronal integrity of the brain by examining the metabolite N-acetylaspartate (NAA). Such observations may infer the presence of disease in advance of anatomic changes seen when using conventional MR imaging methods. The signal from NAA is readily observed in proton MR spectra of the brain, and is found primarily in neurons. A decrease in the concentration of NAA in the pons, for MS patients with attention dysfunction, has been interpreted as resulting from neuronal damage, loss or dysfunction [11]. NAA signal measures have often been normalized to that from the total creatine signal (tCr-the sum of signals from creatine and phosphocreatine) to yield an NAA/tCr ratio. The concentration of tCr in MS brain tissue (excluding lesions) has been reported to be unaffected by the disease process when compared to controls [2]. However, the measurement of tCr is highly dependent on the WM: GM content being sampled due to a significant concentration gradient between these two tissue regions, due to the different neuron to glial density, where glial cells have a higher tCr concentration. It is also dependent on the experimental timing used for data acquisition. This latter dependence results from the fact that the tCr signal is derived from 2 metabolites which have different transverse relaxation times (T_2 for Cr ~ 309 ms; and for PCr ~ 117 ms [12]). Therefore, as the TE for MR spectral acquisition increases, the measured t-Cr peak is more heavily weighted by the Cr signal, so any fluctuations in the Cr:PCr equilibrium may be observed as a change in the measured tCr peak relative to the other peaks. Such a fluctuation may be the result of a lower baseline level of PCr, or from an increase in activity in the brain region reducing PCr to maintain ATP levels. Clearly, these are important considerations when using the tCr signal as a reference metabolite when presenting MRS data as a ratio, for example NAA/ tCr, even though this remains a common measure in the MRS literature.

While previous studies have used MRS to investigate changes in cerebral GM and WM [13], here we used multi-voxel proton MRS (chemical shift imaging–CSI⁴) to measure metabolite

concentration changes for NAA and tCr in the pontine brainstem, which contains the ARAS nuclei. By carefully accounting for the differences in the tissue composition sampled (segmentation of each CSI voxel into GM:WM), and the partial volume dilution effects of the CSF on each of the measured MR spectra, we present a refined approach, which allows for estimation of intra-voxel as well as the standard inter-voxel metabolite concentration ratios. This was contrasted with the standard MRI determination of lesion load correlated with the clinical measure of Fatigue Severity Score (FSS), in order to add further evidence as to whether lesion load is a relevant factor in fatigue.

Methods

Participants

Nineteen women with relapsing remitting multiple sclerosis (RRMS) and low disability (ages 40 ± 7 years, range 27–56 years) and 18 healthy women (ages 40 ± 7 years, range 26–50 years) underwent fatigue screening and brain MRI/S (<u>Table 1</u>). Screening of the healthy controls ensured that none had suffered neurological or psychiatric conditions. This research study protocol was approved by the University of Alberta Health Research Ethics Board. Written informed consent was obtained from all participants.

MS patients were selected to have low-disability as measured by the clinical expanded disability status scale (EDSS) scores (median: 1.5, range: 0 to 2.5). Fatigue was assessed using the fatigue severity scale (FSS)[14]. The FSS is a self-administered test with nine statements that rates the severity of fatigue symptoms in the past week from a patient's perspective; a value of 1 indicates strong disagreement and 7 indicates strong agreement with each statement. MS Patients were split into low (n = 9, FSS = 22 ± 10 , range 11-34) or high (n = 10, FSS = 52 ± 6 , range 42-59) fatigue (Table 1), where FSS scores more than 36 suggest that the patient is suffering from fatigue [14]. Fatigue and depression are highly associated in MS patients [4], but none of the patients included in this study had depression as evaluated using the Beck Depression Inventory (BDI I-II). Clinical assessments were done immediately prior to the MR scan and patient with clinical involvement of the cerebellum were excluded.

Magnetic resonance image acquisition

MRI was acquired on a 1.5T Siemens Sonata scanner. Three sets of MR images were used to guide the CSI volume placement (Fig 1). First, a T₁-weighted sagittal image was obtained to identify the brainstem, using the following parameters (slice thickness = 5 mm, TR = 199 ms, TE = 4.6 ms, flip angle = 90°, scan time = 0:50 min). Then an axial Fluid Attenuated Inversion Recovery (FLAIR) was placed perpendicular to the sagittal image and brainstem, to identify the fourth ventricle in the pons (slice thickness = 5 mm, TR = 9000 ms, TE = 106 ms, T1 = 2400 ms, scan time = 3:02 min). Finally, a coronal T₂ image was obtained by placing it perpendicular

| Table 1. | Characteristics of controls, low fatigue and high fatigue RRMS groups, and P-values from Mann-Whitney test between both patient |
|----------|---|
| groups. | |

| Characteristics | Controls (n = 15) | Low Fatigue (n = 7) | High Fatigue (n = 10) | P-value |
|--------------------------------------|-------------------|---------------------|-----------------------|----------|
| Mean Age (years) | 38 ± 7 (26–49) | 38 ± 5 (29–43) | 42 ± 8 (29–56) | > 0.4 |
| Median EDSS | - | 1.5 (1.0–1.5) | 1.8 (1.0–2.5) | > 0.2 |
| Range Lesion Load (cm ³) | - | 0.15–16.25 | 0.44–37.17 | > 0.8 |
| Fatigue Severity Scale | 18 ± 4 (13–26) | 22 ± 9 (11–34) | 52 ± 6 (42–59) | < 0.0001 |

doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0149622.t001



Fig 1. Field of view of the CSI volume (160x160x10 mm³) placement in one subject in sagittal (a), axial (b), and coronal (c) view. Zoomed in axial view of the pons (d) in one subject, sixteen CSI target voxels in the right (R1–R6) and left (L1–L6) and 4 reference voxels in the cerebellum (C1–C4). Each voxel size is 5x5x10 mm³.

PLOS ONE

to the previously described sagittal and axial images (slice thickness = 5 mm, TR = 7510, TE = 113 ms, scan time = 1:17 min).

Chemical shift imaging

The ¹H-MRS CSI data were acquired from a PRESS [15,16] localized region as a 16x16 matrix (zero-filled to 32x32). The water signal-suppressed CSI parameters were: slab thickness = 1 cm, FOV = $16 \times 16 \text{ cm}^2$, acquired volume = $8 \times 8 \text{ cm}^2$, CSI voxel dimension = $0.5 \times 0.5 \times 1 \text{ cm}^3$, TR = 1750 ms, TE = 135 ms, 2 averages, flip angle = 90°, scan time = 15 min 10 sec.

Structural images

A whole brain 3D T₁-weighted MPRAGE was acquired for brain segmentation, with parameters as follows: TR = 1890 ms, TE = 4.89 ms, TI = 1100 ms, slice thickness = 1 mm, flip angle = 15°, FOV = 256x256 mm², voxel dimension = 1x1x1 mm³, number of slices = 144, scan time = 4 min 38 sec. For segmentation analysis, the high-resolution T1-weighted image was aligned to the CSI volume through systematic visual inspection in MATLAB 7.8.0 (The Math-Works, Inc., Natick, MA). To quantify the composition of brain tissue in an individual CSI voxel, the T1-weighted image was segmented into gray matter (GM), white matter (WM) and cerebrospinal fluid (CSF) using SPM8 (Wellcome Department of Cognitive Neurology, London, UK). The percent GM in the brain tissue sampled, and the percent CSF in each CSI voxel, were calculated using the following eqs (<u>1</u>) and (<u>2</u>):

$$\% GM = (100 \times GM)/(GM + WM) \tag{1}$$

$$\% CSF = (100 \times CSF)/(GM + WM + CSF)$$
(2)

Determination of Lesion Load. Lesions were identified on the T2-weighted and wholebrain axial FLAIR images using an in-house semi-automated threshold intensity (MATLAB) to yield a total lesion volume per MS patient.

CSI data analysis. The CSI data from the 32 subjects were analyzed using LCModel [17]. Sixteen voxels from the CSI matrix were selected for final analyses; six voxels were located in the right pons, six in the left pons and four in the cerebellum (Fig 1d). An example of a set of spectra from the sixteen voxels is illustrated in Fig 2.

Following LCModel analysis, spectral peaks with a Cramér-Rao lower bound (CRLB) of > 20% were excluded from further analyses [17]. Due to excessive patient motion 5 entire datasets were rejected (3 controls and 2 patients, leading to the final cohort of 17 MS patients and 15 controls). The tCr signal from a small number of voxels for the remaining 32 subjects failed to meet the CRLB limit and were rejected. The percentage of voxels, in which tCr data were rejected, amounted to 2.1% for controls, 4.5% for low fatigue (LF), and 4.4% for high fatigue (HF). Data included in the final analysis had similar mean CRLB for NAA in the pons {Control, LF, HF} = {7.4\%, 7.8\%, 8.0\%} and cerebellum {Control, LF, HF} = {7.5\%, 7.5\%, 7.8\%} for all three groups. Relative to NAA, the CRLB values were higher for tCr in the pons {Control, LF, HF} = {13.2\%, 14.0\%, 13.5\%} and cerebellum {Control, LF, HF} = {9.0\%, 9.5\%, 9.8\%}.

To correct for partial volume effect, we corrected each metabolite (NAA and tCr) concentration for CSF content in all 16 CSI voxels according to Eq (3) [18] below.

$$S = (100 \times S_0) / (1 - (\% CSF / 100))$$
(3)

where S_0 is the uncorrected metabolite concentration in one voxel, CSF is the percentage of CSF content measured using Eq.(2), and S is the corrected metabolite concentration in that voxel.

In addition to the NAA/tCr ratio calculated for the 16 voxels selected from the pons and cerebellum, the NAA and tCr values from voxels L1–L6, and R1–R6 were normalized to the average NAA or tCr that was measured for the 4 voxels in the cerebellum (Cb) (C1–C4). This yielded NAA/NAA_{Cb} or tCr/tCr_{Cb} ratios, which allowed us to examine whether changes in pons NAA or tCr were driving changes observed in the NAA/tCr ratio.



Fig 2. Spectra from 16 voxels in a 29 year old high fatigue patient. The red lines show the LCModel fit for each spectrum and the black lines are the raw spectral line.

PLOS ONE

Statistical analysis

Analysis of variance (ANOVA) with %GM as covariate was used to compare NAA/tCr ratio in the pons between all groups [19]. Only comparisons that reached statistical significance at p<0.05 after FDR correction are reported. NAA/tCr ratio comparisons that reached statistical significance underwent a subsequent group analysis of NAA/NAA_{Cb} and tCr/tCr_{Cb}.

The Mann-Whitney test was used to compare lesion load, EDSS and age between high fatigue and low fatigue groups. Pearson's correlation analysis was used to correlate MRS data with age, and fatigue scores (FSS) with disability measures (EDSS) and white matter lesion load (LL) in both patient groups.

Results

There was no significant difference between MS patients in low and high fatigue groups with respect to age and clinical EDSS (<u>Table 1</u>). Fatigue score was significantly different between MS patients in low and high fatigue groups (p < 0.0001) (<u>Table 1</u>); additionally fatigue score was



Fig 3. Plot of Fatigue Severity Score (FSS) versus Lesion Load in the right panel for MS subjects either with Low Fatigue (blue squares), or High Fatigue (red triangles). In the left panel the FSS for control subjects (black circles), is shown for comparison.

PLOS ONE

not different between the low fatigue group and controls $(22 \pm 10 \text{ and } 18 \pm 5, \text{ respectively})$. Lesion load was highly variable between patients and was not significantly different between the low and high fatigue groups (Fig 3, Table 1). Correlation analysis over both RRMS groups combined did not reveal any significant association between EDSS, FSS and LL.

Data for the NAA/tCr ratio and percent GM for all 16 voxels in each of the subject groups are shown in <u>Table 2</u>. In the pons, NAA/tCr was significantly smaller in L4, R5 and R6 in high fatigue when compared to controls and in L6 which was significantly smaller in low fatigue patients compared to controls. Further analysis of these data, presented in Fig 4, shows that when the NAA/t-Cr ratio is plotted against the %WM and projected to a composition of either 100% WM or GM, there is a significant difference in the NAA/t-Cr at 100% WM between the controls and HF group (Fig 4a, p < 0.02). The NAA/t-Cr curves for controls, LF and HF groups appear to converge as the %GM increases, illustrated in Fig 4b.

The participants included in the study were only included if they had no cerebellar involvement. This was reflected in the NAA / tCr measures from the cerebellum which showed no significant differences between the study groups. However, the voxels located in the pons which showed a statistically significant difference in the NAA/tCr ratio, <u>Fig 5a</u>, were subsequently examined for individual metabolite changes relative to the cerebellum. This approach was used to provide an alternate reference source that was in the same CSI field, and yielded NAA/ NAA_{Cb} and tCr/tCr_{Cb} ratios, shown in Fig 5b and 5c. The tCr/tCr_{Cb} ratio increased by 25% and 26% in L4 (0.75 \pm 0.19, 0.60 \pm 0.13, p = 0.039), and R6 (0.67 \pm 0.20, 0.53 \pm 0.10, p = 0.031), respectively, in HF compared to controls, but was not significantly different in R5 (0.64 \pm 0.21, 0.53 \pm 0.10, p = 0.11). Additionally, a 26% increase in tCr/tCr_{Cb} ratio was observed in L6 in LF compared to controls (0.63 \pm 0.16, 0.50 \pm 0.09, p = 0.042). There were no significant differences in the NAA/NAA_{Cb} ratio in any voxel when comparing subject groups. The analyses for tCr/tCr_{Cb} ratio were repeated by including subjects' age as an additional covariate and yielded similar levels of significance.

Discussion

Our CSI observations of lower NAA/tCr levels, which appears to be focused in the WM, illustrated in Fig 4, suggests an involvement of the tegmental pons in RRMS patients with higher levels of fatigue. Our further investigation using the NAA and tCr metabolites as references, indicated that the reductions in the NAA/tCr ratio were driven by increases in tCr, rather than reductions in NAA. The rationale for using the cerebellar NAA_{Cb} and tCr_{Cb} peaks as a reference is a means to establish which metabolite in the pontine NAA/tCr ratio was causing the observed decreases. While we screened the MS patients to ensure there was no cerebellar involvement, this does not directly imply that there were metabolic deficits in the volumes in the cerebellum we examined (C1:C4). However, the clear connection between the NAA/tCr ratio and the amount of WM (Fig 4), and that the cerebellar regions selected were composed predominantly of GM (~90%), we determined that the NAA_{Cb} and tCr_{Cb} peaks would indeed be appropriate as references, and allow us to calculate reliable NAA/NAA_{Cb} and tCr/tCr_{Cb} ratios for the pontine voxels. We acknowledge that caution is necessary, particularly for studies of MS brain, and that the percent of WM has to be considered for intra-voxel comparisons such as described here.

These observations may be caused by one of the following, either an increase in the glia:neuron cell ratio, or a disruption to the PCr:Cr equilibrium. The former, gliosis, occurs in MS lesions and would cause the tCr rich astrocytes and oligodendrocytes to occupy increased volume relative to the neurons in cerebral NAWM [19–24]. In tandem, the neuronal content would decrease, and should be accompanied by a decrease in the MRS marker NAA. Our observation that the NAA in the pons remained unchanged when referenced to cerebellar NAA possibly puts this hypothesis in doubt. However, glial proliferation in cerebral NAWM has been observed ex-vivo [20] which is in agreement with observations from histological studies [21, 25–26]. Absolute quantification of Cr and PCr using concurrent ¹H and ³¹P spectroscopy in NAWM at the level of centrum semiovale (including parts of the corpus callosum) showed elevated tCr in MS patients possibly related to glial proliferation [27]. This study suggested an unchanged PCr:Cr ratio and unchanged NAA concentration in non-lesion voxels in patients compared to controls [27].

On the other hand, a disruption to the PCr:Cr equilibrium is consistent with recent studies on energy metabolism in MS. In the MR spectrum the PCr and Cr signals co-resonate at ~3.05ppm, and it has been shown that they can be estimated by using the difference in their transverse (T_2) relaxation properties, where creatine's T_2 is significantly longer [12]. In the context of our observations, where our CSI spectral data are T_2 -weighted, shifting the equilibrium towards Cr would yield an increase in the apparent tCr signal. Such a disruption is supported by a ³¹P spectroscopy study, where increased PCr in MS patients was suggested to represent metabolic dysfunction in the NAWM [22,23]. An alternate metabolite, myo-inositol (mI), would be useful to resolve whether the changes in tCr result from gliosis or from a disruption in the PCr:Cr equilibrium. The utility arises from the knowledge that mI is found predominantly in glial cells. However, due to the CSI timing conditions used in our study, the mI

| om the CSI | . No significant | |
|--------------------|---------------------------|--------------------|
| els selected f | xels (p < 0.05 | |
| (C1 -C4) vox | high fatigue vc | |
| nd cerebellum | tigue or ' ★ ' for | |
| L6; R1 –R6) aı | th '☆' for low fa | è. |
| ght pons (L1 – | and marked wi | ellum (light gra |
| a for the left/rig | tween controls | or in the cereb |
| atter (GM) data | AA/tCr ratio be | ie pons (white) |
| and % Gray M | rlined for the N. | l, in voxels in th |
| tion NAA/tCr | inges are unde | Cr, or for %GN |
| tandard devia | Significant cha | erved for NAA/t |
| 2. Mean and s | for analysis. | ices were obs€ |
| Table 2 | matrix | differer |

| * GIM $* GIM$ $* G$ | | Con | trols | | | Low Fa | atigue | | | High F | atigue | |
|---|-----------------|-----------------|-------------------|-----------------|-----------------|-----------------|-----------------|-----------------|-----------------|-----------------|-------------|-------------|
| (6) (7) (1) <th></th> <th>%</th> <th>GM</th> <th></th> <th></th> <th>) %</th> <th>MQ</th> <th></th> <th></th> <th>%</th> <th>GM</th> <th></th> | | % | GM | | |) % | MQ | | | % | GM | |
| 12.3.15.7 14.3.16.6 131.1.6.8 12.4.4.9 11.2.10.4 16.2.10.7 16.7.10.3 16.0.13.0 11.0.15.4 11.3.4.4.1 118.4.4.6 R4 R3 L3 L4 R4 R3 L3 L4 R3 L3 L4 R4 R3 L3 L4 R4 R3 L3 L3 L4 L3 L3 <thl3< th=""> L3<th>R6</th><th>R5</th><th>L5</th><th>L6</th><th>R6</th><th>R5</th><th>L5</th><th>LG</th><th>R6</th><th>R5</th><th>L5</th><th>L6</th></thl3<> | R6 | R5 | L5 | L6 | R6 | R5 | L5 | LG | R6 | R5 | L5 | L6 |
| 44 83 13 14 84 83 14 84 13 14 14 14 13 <td>12.3 ± 5.7</td> <td>14.3 ± 6.6</td> <td>13.1 ± 6.8</td> <td>12.4 ± 4.9</td> <td>11.2 ± 10.4</td> <td>16.2 ± 10.7</td> <td>16.7 ± 10.3</td> <td>16.0 ± 13.0</td> <td>11.0 ± 5.4</td> <td>11.3 ± 4.1</td> <td>11.8 ± 4.6</td> <td>12.3±5.1</td> | 12.3 ± 5.7 | 14.3 ± 6.6 | 13.1 ± 6.8 | 12.4 ± 4.9 | 11.2 ± 10.4 | 16.2 ± 10.7 | 16.7 ± 10.3 | 16.0 ± 13.0 | 11.0 ± 5.4 | 11.3 ± 4.1 | 11.8 ± 4.6 | 12.3±5.1 |
| 31.3 ± 7.6 34.3 ± 7.0 34.3 ± 9.1 36.5 ± 10.3 35.2 ± 9.4 34.8 ± 13.3 31.7 ± 12.0 39.5 ± 12.2 29.3 ± 8.4 32.5 ± 6.3 30.9 ± 9.7 R2R1L1L2R2R1L1L2R2R1L1L2 62.6 ± 8.9 63.6 ± 9.1 60.3 ± 8.7 67.2 ± 11.2 62.5 ± 11.8 60.0 ± 10.1 63.5 ± 11.3 59.9 ± 8.1 60.1 ± 6.2 57.3 ± 8.8 61.6 ± 10.2 63.3 ± 4.0 89.9 ± 5.2 90.7 ± 1.8 60.2 ± 1.6 < | R4 | R3 | L3 | L4 | R4 | R3 | L3 | L4 | R4 | R3 | L3 | L4 |
| 220 11 12 22 12 12 12 12 12 11 11 12 11 11 11 12 11 < | 31.3 ± 7.8 | 34.9 ± 7.0 | 34.3 ± 9.1 | 36.5±10.3 | 35.2 ± 9.4 | 34.8 ± 13.3 | 31.7 ± 12.0 | 39.5 ± 12.2 | 29.3 ± 8.4 | 32.5 ± 6.3 | 30.9 ± 9.7 | 28.8±6.0 |
| 2.6 ± 8.9 63.5 ± 9.1 60.3 ± 8.7 67.2 ± 11.2 $67.2 \pm 8.8 \pm 7.7$ $69.2 \pm 8.8 \pm 7.2$ <t< td=""><td>R2</td><td>R1</td><td>Ц</td><td>L2</td><td>R2</td><td>R1</td><td>с1</td><td>L2</td><td>R2</td><td>R1</td><td>L1</td><td>ป</td></t<> | R2 | R1 | Ц | L2 | R2 | R1 | с1 | L2 | R2 | R1 | L1 | ป |
| (1) (2) (3) (4) (1) (2) (3) <td>62.6±8.9</td> <td>63.6 ± 9.1</td> <td>60.3 ± 8.7</td> <td>67.2±11.2</td> <td>62.3 ± 14.5</td> <td>62.6 ± 11.8</td> <td>60.0 ± 10.1</td> <td>63.5 ± 11.3</td> <td>59.9 ± 8.1</td> <td>60.1 ± 6.2</td> <td>57.3 ± 8.8</td> <td>65.8±6.9</td> | 62.6±8.9 | 63.6 ± 9.1 | 60.3 ± 8.7 | 67.2±11.2 | 62.3 ± 14.5 | 62.6 ± 11.8 | 60.0 ± 10.1 | 63.5 ± 11.3 | 59.9 ± 8.1 | 60.1 ± 6.2 | 57.3 ± 8.8 | 65.8±6.9 |
| 0.0 ± 8.3 9.3 ± 4.0 9.3 ± 5.2 9.07 ± 7.8 9.2 ± 4.4 9.17 ± 4.6 $9.1.1 \pm 4.6$ $8.9.6 \pm 7.4$ $8.9.8 \pm 7.7$ 8.7 ± 8.8 NA/ICr | G | C2 C2 | S | C4 | C1 | C2 | C3 | C4 | C1 | C2 | C3 | 64 0 |
| NAVIC NAVIC NAVIC NAVIC R5 \bigstar L5 L6 \updownarrow R6 \bigstar R5 \bigstar L6 \circlearrowright R5 \bigstar L5 L6 \circlearrowright R5 \bigstar L6 \circlearrowright R5 \circlearrowright L6 \circlearrowright <thl6 <math="">\circlearrowright L6 \circlearrowright <thl6 <math="">\circlearrowright</thl6></thl6> | 90.0 ± 8.3 | 94.3 ± 4.0 | 93.9 ± 5.2 | 90.7 ± 7.8 | 92.2 ± 4.4 | 94.7 ± 4.6 | 94.1 ± 4.6 | 89.6 ± 7.4 | 81.9 ± 14.5 | 89.8 ± 7.7 | 87.9 ± 8.8 | 83.3 ± 11.9 |
| H6★ H5★ L5 L6 ★ H6 ★ H5 ★ L6 ★ H6 ★ H6 ★ H6 ★ H6 ★ H6 ★ H6 ★ H5 ★ L5 2.55±0.50 2.84±0.56 2.69±0.53 2.52±0.54 2.53±0.51 2.71±0.32 2.50±0.55 1.91±0.34 2.12±0.29 2.32±0.49 2.36±0.50 R4 R3 L3 L4★ R4 R3 2.12±0.29 2.32±0.49 2.36±0.50 R4 R3 L3 L4★ R4 R3 2.12±0.29 2.35±0.39 2.36±0.50 R4 R3 L4★ R4 R3 L4 R3 L3 2.14±0.50 2.36±0.30 L9±0.20 2.38±0.45 2.11±0.47 2.01±0.26 2.22±0.32 2.07±0.46 1.72±0.44 1.82±0.31 2.14±0.50 2.36±0.30 R2 R1 L1 L2 R2 R1 L4 L4 L8 L4 L4 R3 1.72±0.33 1.43±0.23 1.40±0.09 1.66±0.23 1.37±0.20 1.74±0 | | NAA | /tCr | | | NAA | /tCr | | | NAA | / tCr | |
| 2.55±0.50 2.84±0.56 2.69±0.53 2.53±0.54 2.53±0.51 2.71±0.32 2.50±0.55 1.91±0.34 2.12±0.29 2.32±0.49 2.36±0.50 2.36±0.50 R4 R3 L3 L4★ R4 R3 L3 L4 L3 L3 L4 L3 L3 L4 L3 L3 L4 L3 L4 L3 L4 L3 L3 L4 L3 L3 L4 L3 L3 L4 L3 L3 <t< th=""><th>R6 ★</th><th>R5★</th><th>L5</th><th>L6 ☆</th><th>R6</th><th>R5</th><th>L5</th><th>L6 ☆</th><th>R6 ★</th><th>R5 ★</th><th>L5</th><th>L6</th></t<> | R6 ★ | R5★ | L5 | L6 ☆ | R6 | R5 | L5 | L6 ☆ | R6 ★ | R5 ★ | L5 | L6 |
| R4 R3 L3 L4★ R4 R3 L3 L4 R3 L3 L4 R4 R3 L3 L3 2.09±0.40 2.39±0.51 2.38±0.45 2.11±0.47 2.01±0.26 2.22±0.32 2.07±0.46 1.72±0.44 1.82±0.31 2.14±0.50 2.35±0.38 R2 R1 L1 L2 R2 R1 L1 L2 R2 R1 L1 L2 R3±0.26 1.66±0.23 1.37±0.20 1.44±0.20 1.80±0.26 <td>2.55 ± 0.50</td> <td>2.84 ± 0.56</td> <td>2.69 ± 0.53</td> <td>2.52 ± 0.54</td> <td>2.53 ± 0.51</td> <td>2.71 ± 0.32</td> <td>2.50 ± 0.55</td> <td>1.91 ± 0.34</td> <td>2.12 ± 0.29</td> <td>2.32 ± 0.49</td> <td>2.36 ± 0.50</td> <td>2.32 ± 0.47</td> | 2.55 ± 0.50 | 2.84 ± 0.56 | 2.69 ± 0.53 | 2.52 ± 0.54 | 2.53 ± 0.51 | 2.71 ± 0.32 | 2.50 ± 0.55 | 1.91 ± 0.34 | 2.12 ± 0.29 | 2.32 ± 0.49 | 2.36 ± 0.50 | 2.32 ± 0.47 |
| 2:09±0.40 2:39±0.51 2:38±0.45 2:11±0.47 2:01±0.26 2:22±0.32 2:07±0.46 1.72±0.44 1.82±0.31 2:14±0.50 2:35±0.38 R2 R1 L1 L2 R2 R1 L1 L2 R2 R1 L1 L1 L1 L1 L2 R2 R1 L1 L2 R2 R1 L1 L1 L1 L2 R2 R1 L1 L1 <td>R4</td> <td>R3</td> <td>L3</td> <td>L4 🖈</td> <td>R4</td> <td>R3</td> <td>L3</td> <td>L4</td> <td>R4</td> <td>R3</td> <td>L3</td> <td>L4 ★</td> | R4 | R3 | L3 | L4 🖈 | R4 | R3 | L3 | L4 | R4 | R3 | L3 | L4 ★ |
| R2 R1 L1 L2 R2 R1 L1 L2 R2 R1 L1 L2 R2 R1 L1 1.48±0.24 1.72±0.33 1.72±0.33 1.43±0.23 1.40±0.09 1.63±0.26 1.66±0.23 1.37±0.20 1.44±0.22 1.74±0.26 1.80±0.26 C1 C2 C3 C4 C1 C2 C3 C4 C1 C2 C3 1.20±0.17 1.23±0.17 1.20±0.17 1.12±0.16 1.29±0.23 1.32±0.26 1.17±0.19 1.19±0.20 1.24±0.27 1.24±0.20 | 2.09 ± 0.40 | 2.39 ± 0.51 | 2.38 ± 0.45 | 2.11 ± 0.47 | 2.01 ± 0.26 | 2.22 ± 0.32 | 2.07 ± 0.46 | 1.72 ± 0.44 | 1.82 ± 0.31 | 2.14 ± 0.50 | 2.35 ± 0.38 | 1.91 ± 0.29 |
| 1.48±0.24 1.72±0.33 1.72±0.33 1.43±0.23 1.40±0.09 1.63±0.26 1.66±0.23 1.37±0.20 1.74±0.26 1.80±0.26 1.80±0.26 C1 C2 C3 C4 C1 C2 C3 C4 C1 C2 C3 1.20±0.17 1.23±0.17 1.20±0.17 1.12±0.16 1.29±0.23 1.32±0.26 1.17±0.19 1.19±0.20 1.24±0.27 1.24±0.20 | R2 | R1 | L | L2 | R2 | R1 | Ц | L2 | R2 | R1 | L1 | 2 |
| C1 C2 C3 C4 C1 C2 C3 C3 1.20±0.17 1.23±0.17 1.22±0.16 1.29±0.23 1.32±0.26 1.29±0.22 1.17±0.19 1.19±0.20 1.28±0.27 1.24±0.20 | 1.48 ± 0.24 | 1.72 ± 0.33 | 1.72 ± 0.33 | 1.43±0.23 | 1.40 ± 0.09 | 1.63 ± 0.26 | 1.66 ± 0.23 | 1.37 ± 0.20 | 1.44 ± 0.22 | 1.74 ± 0.26 | 1.80 ± 0.26 | 1.54 ± 0.19 |
| 1.20±0.17 1.23±0.17 1.20±0.17 1.12±0.16 1.29±0.23 1.32±0.26 1.29±0.22 1.17±0.19 1.19±0.20 1.28±0.27 1.24±0.20 | G | C2 C2 | C3 | C4 | c1 | C2 | C3 | C4 | C1 | C2 | C3 | C4 |
| | 1.20 ± 0.17 | 1.23 ± 0.17 | 1.20 ± 0.17 | 1.12 ± 0.16 | 1.29 ± 0.23 | 1.32 ± 0.26 | 1.29 ± 0.22 | 1.17 ± 0.19 | 1.19 ± 0.20 | 1.28 ± 0.27 | 1.24 ± 0.20 | 1.12 ± 0.17 |

PLOS ONE | DOI:10.1371/journal.pone.0149622 February 19, 2016



Fig 4. (a) Bar plots for control (black circle), low fatigue (blue square), and high fatigue (red triangle) subjects versus the NAA/tCr ratio, calculated for 100% gray matter (GM) and 100% white matter (WM). A statistically significant difference (p < 0.02) was found between the control and high fatigue WM data. (b) Plot of the mean and standard deviation values for %WM versus NAA/tCr for the 16 selected voxels in the CSI matrix located in the left/right pons (L1 –L6; R1 –R6) and cerebellum (C1 –C4), for control, low fatigue and high fatigue subjects.

PLOS ONE

signal was too low to allow quantification because of the J-coupling evolution effects on signal yield. This would be an important amendment to a future study protocol.

Many theories and hypotheses have been formulated to understand the underlying pathophysiology of fatigue. Damage to the ascending reticular activating system (ARAS) has been proposed to play a role in fatigue [10,24]. The ARAS originates in the brainstem and is connected to the neocortex (projecting frontally), thalamus and hypothalamus [28]. It was suggested that dysfunction from within the originating region of the ARAS, in particular the tegmentum of the upper pons and midbrain, could influence functions of the down stream sites [6]. In our study, tCr increase was observed in the upper tegmental pons in high fatigue MS patients. A previous spectroscopy study suggested axonal damage in the right rostral dorsal pons in MS patients with selective attention deficit and low disability [29]; fatigue and attention is also known to be highly associated in MS patients [4], but attention was not measured in our



Fig 5. Mean plots showing the (a) NAA/tCr, (b) tCr/tCr_{Cb} and (c) NAA/NAA_{Cb} in voxels with significant difference group comparisons i.e. R6, R5, L4 and L6. Significant comparisons are marked with an asterisk (*).

PLOS ONE

cohort. In addition, our study shows for the first time an involvement of the tegmental pons in area ventral and lateral to the location of the locus coeruleus. This area contains the pedunculopontine nucleus. This nucleus has been shown to be associated with significant arousal mechanisms. Hypercativation of the cells within this nucleus can cause insomnia [30]. On the other hand, neurons from this nucleus seem to be be the site of action for a drug used to treat narcolepsy, modafinil [30]. Modafinil is also used to treat fatigue in MS patients [31] and it has been recently shown to be effective in alleviating post-stroke fatigue [32].

Although there have been some preliminary reports about the correlation of fatigue with gray matter atrophy and lesion load on MRI [33], it is still not clear whether the location of the lesions or the regional atrophy play a role. It has been shown that MS fatigue is associated with the diffuse disruption of pathways within the parietal and frontal lobes [34]. The same pathways could be disrupted by injury affecting brainstem nuclei with diffuse projections to the cortex and gray matter nuclei as the one we describe in the current study. It has also been suggested that the underlying cause of fatigue is the functional and structural disconnection between the frontal cortex and the deep gray structures including basal ganglia and thalamus [35]. An MRS study attributed dysfunction of the basal ganglia, specifically of the lentiform nucleus, to the development of fatigue in MS patients [36]. A significant correlation was found between altered white matter integrity, as assessed by diffusion imaging, of the frontal cortex with its projections to the occipital, striatal, frontal and limbic network with fatigue perception in their MS patients [35]. A functional MRI study observed increased activations in the frontal lobe, thalamus and caudate [37]. Together, all these observations suggest that the underlying mechanism of fatigue in MS is not focal, but rather involves many diffuse inter-connected brain regions. The lack of significant correlation between fatigue and lesion load adds further evidence to diffuse involvement, however, lesion location remains important. For example, injury to nuclei which project diffusely would likely give rise to a diffuse effect albeit from a focal lesion.

Conclusion

In conclusion, proton MRS was used to study changes in the relative concentrations of metabolites in the upper pontine brainstem in relapsing-remitting MS patients with low disability that experience a range of levels of fatigue. A lower NAA/tCr ratio was measured in several regions of the pons, and the change in this ratio was driven by elevation of the tCr levels in high fatigue patients compared to controls. Moreover, this tCr change was focussed in the WM regions in particular in an area of the pontine tegmentum containing the pedunculopontine nucleus. Increased tCr levels possibly reflect increased glial cell numbers in those NAWM regions or may suggest an energy metabolic dysfunction in patients with fatigue. The study also points to two methodological issues, which should be considered when performing MR spectroscopy. First, it adds to the increasing evidence that caution is warranted in the use of tCr as an internal concentration reference, and this is particularly relevant in the study of MS. Second, that consideration of the proportion of white matter and gray matter in the selected voxels has a profound effect on the metabolite measurements, and when comparing a single metabolite from different voxel locations the CSF contribution needs to be accounted for. Finally, the estimation of lesion load using MRI, and its lack of correlation with fatigue, adds further evidence to MS being the result of a diffuse rather than focal effect.

Acknowledgments

We acknowledge the financial support of the University of Alberta Hospital Foundation, and the MS Society of Canada–EndMS Program. We would also like to thank Dr Gregg Blevins for assistance with patient recruitment.

Author Contributions

Conceived and designed the experiments: WHZ FG CB SK CH. Performed the experiments: WHZ CH. Analyzed the data: WHZ CH. Contributed reagents/materials/analysis tools: CH. Wrote the paper: WHZ CH.

References

- Filippi M, Agosta F. Imaging biomarkers in multiple sclerosis. J Magn Reson Imaging 2010; 31:770–88. doi: 10.1002/jmri.22102 PMID: 20373420
- Narayana PA. Magnetic Resonance Spectroscopy in the Monitoring of Multiple Sclerosis. J Neuroimaging 2005; 15:46S–57S. PMID: <u>16385018</u>
- Beck C a, Metz LM, Svenson LW, Patten SB. Regional variation of multiple sclerosis prevalence in Canada. Mult Scler 2005; 11:516–9. PMID: <u>16193887</u>
- 4. Induruwa I, Constantinescu CS, Gran B. Fatigue in multiple sclerosis—A brief review. J Neurol Sci 2012; 323:9–15. doi: <u>10.1016/j.jns.2012.08.007</u> PMID: <u>22935407</u>
- Roelcke U, Kappos L, Lechner-Scott J, Brunnschweiler H, Huber S, Ammann W, et al. Reduced glucose metabolism in the frontal cortex and basal ganglia of multiple sclerosis patients with fatigue: A 18 F-fluorodeoxyglucose positron emission tomography study. Neurology 1997; 48:1566–71. PMID: <u>9191767</u>
- Loder C, Allawi J, Horrobin DF. Treatment of multiple sclerosis with lofepramine, L -phenylalanine and vitamin B 12: mechanism of action and clinical importance: roles of the locus coeruleus and central noradrenergic systems. Med Hypotheses 2002; 59:594–602. PMID: <u>12376086</u>
- Chaudhuri A, Behan PO. Fatigue in neurological disorders. Lancet 2004; 363:978–88. PMID: <u>15043967</u>
- Aston-jones G, Rajkowski J, Cohen J. Role of Locus Coeruleus in Attention and Behavioral Flexibility. Biol Psychiatry 1999; 3223:1309–20.
- Korf J, Aghajanian GK, Roth RH. Increased turnover of norepnephrine in the rat cerebral cortex during stress: Role of the locus coeruleus. Neuropharmacology 1973; 12:933–8. PMID: <u>4750561</u>
- Blomstrand E, Perrett D, Parry-Billings M, Newsholme EA. Effect of sustained exercise on plasma amino acid concentrations and on 5-hydroxytryptamine metabolism in six different brain regions in the rat. Acta Physiol Scand 1989; 136:473–81. PMID: 2473602
- 11. Sajja BR, Wolinsky JS, Narayana PA. Proton Magnetic Resonance Spectroscopy in Multiple Sclerosis. Neuroimaging Clin N Am 2009; 19:45–58. doi: <u>10.1016/j.nic.2008.08.002</u> PMID: <u>19064199</u>
- Ke Y, Cohen BM, Lowen S, Hirashima F, Nassar L, Renshaw PF. Biexponential Transverse Relaxation (T2) of the Proton MRS Creatine Resonance in Human Brain. Magn. Reson. Med. 2002; 47:232–238. PMID: <u>11810665</u>
- Kirov II, Tal A, Babb JS, Herbert J, Gonen O. Serial proton MR spectroscopy of gray and white matter in relapsing-remitting MS. Neurology 2013; 80:39–46. doi: <u>10.1212/WNL.0b013e31827b1a8c</u> PMID: 23175732
- Krupp LB, LaRocca NG, Muir-Nash J, Steinberg AD. The fatigue severity scale: Application to patients with multiple sclerosis and systemic lupus erythematosus. Archives of Neurology 1989; 46:1121–3. PMID: <u>2803071</u>
- 15. Ordidge R, Gordon R. Methods and apparatus of obtaining NMR spectra. 4531094, 1983.
- 16. Bottomley P. Selective volume method for performing localized NMR spectroscopy. 4480228, 1984.
- Provencher SW. Automatic quantitation of localized in vivo 1H spectra with LCModel. NMR Biomed 2001; 14:260–4. PMID: <u>11410943</u>
- Weber-Fahr W, Ende G, Braus DF, Bachert P, Soher BJ, Henn FA, et al. A Fully Automated Method for Tissue Segmentation and CSF-Correction of Proton MRSI Metabolites Corroborates Abnormal Hippocampal NAA in Schizophrenia. Neuroimage 2002; 16:49–60. PMID: <u>11969317</u>
- Van Au Duong M, Audoin B, Le Fur Y, Confort-Gouny S, Malikova I, Soulier E, et al. Relationships between gray matter metabolic abnormalities and white matter inflammation in patients at the very early stage of MS: a MRSI study. J Neurol 2007; 254:914–23. PMID: <u>17446993</u>
- Moll NM, Rietsch AM, Thomas S, Ransohoff AJ, Lee J- C, Fox R, et al. Multiple Sclerosis Normal-Appearing White Matter: Pathology-Imaging Correlations. Ann Neurol 2011; 70:764–73. doi: <u>10.1002/</u> <u>ana.22521</u> PMID: <u>22162059</u>
- Winterfeld M, Debuch H. The glycerophospholipid content of the white matter of normal and MS brains. J Neurol 1977; 215:261–72. PMID: <u>70518</u>

- 22. Husted CA, Goodin DS, Hugg JW, Maudsley AA, Tsuruda JS, De Bie SH, et al. Biochemical alterations in multiple sclerosis lesions and normal-appearing white matter detected by in vivo 31P and 1H spectroscopic imaging. Ann Neurol 1994; 36:157–65. PMID: <u>8053651</u>
- Steen C, Wilczak N, Hoogduin JM, Koch M, De Keyser J. Reduced creatine kinase B activity in multiple sclerosis normal appearing white matter. PLoS One 2010; 5:e10811. doi: <u>10.1371/journal.pone.</u> 0010811 PMID: 20520825
- Staub F, Bogousslavsky J. Fatigue after stroke: A major but neglected issue. Cerebrovasc Dis 2001; 12:75–81. PMID: <u>11490100</u>
- Newcombe J, Cuzner ML, Röyttä M, Frey H. White matter proteins in multiple sclerosis. J Neurochem 1980; 34:700–8. PMID: <u>7354343</u>
- Newcombe J, Woodroofe M, Cuzner M. Distribution of glial fibrillary acidic protein in gliosed human white matter. J Neurochem 1986; 47:1713–9. PMID: <u>3772373</u>
- Hattingen E, Magerkurth JJ, Pilatus U, Hübers A, Wahl M, Ziemann U, et al. Combined 1 H and 31 P spectroscopy provides new insights into the pathobiochemistry of brain damage in multiple sclerosis. NMR Biomed 2011; 24:536–46. doi: <u>10.1002/nbm.1621</u> PMID: <u>21674655</u>
- Posner MI, Petersen SE. The attention system of the human brain. Annu Rev Neurosci 1990; 13:25– 42. PMID: 2183676
- Gadea M, Martínez-Bisbal MC, Marti-Bonmatí L, Espert R, Casanova B, Coret F, et al. Spectroscopic axonal damage of the right locus coeruleus relates to selective attention impairment in early stage relapsing-remitting multiple sclerosis. Brain 2004; 127:89–98. PMID: <u>14506072</u>
- Garcia-Rill E, D'Onofrio S, Mahaffey S, Bisagno V, Urbano FJ. Pedunculopontine arousal system physiology–Implications for schizophrenia. Sleep Science 2015; 8:82–91. doi: <u>10.1016/j.slsci.2015.04.003</u> PMID: <u>26483949</u>
- **31.** Iduruwa I, Constantinescu CS, Gran B. Fatigue in multiple sclerosis–A brief review. J. Neurolog. Sci. 2012; 323:9–15.
- Poulsen MB, Damgaard B, Zerahn B, Overgaard K, Rasmussen RS. Modafinil may alleviate poststroke fatigue: A randomized, placebo-controlled, double-blinded trial. Stroke 2015; 46:3470–3477. doi: 10.1161/STROKEAHA.115.010860 PMID: 26534969
- Tedeschi G, Dinacci D, Lavorgna L, Prinster A, Savettieri G, Quattrone A, Livrea P, Messina C, Reggio A, Servillo G, Bresciamorra V, Orefice G, Paciello M, Brunetti A, Paolillo A, Coniglio G, Bonavita S, Di Costanzo A, Bellacosa A, Valentino P, Quarantelli M, Patti F, Salemi G, Cammarata E, Simone I, Salvatore M, Bonavita V, Alfano B. Correlation between fatigue and brain atrophy and lesion load in multiple sclerosis patients independent of disability. Journal of the Neurological Sciences 2007; 263:15–19. PMID: <u>17673234</u>
- Sepulcre J, Masdeu JC, Goñi J, Arrondo G, Vélez de Mendizábal N, Bejarano B, Villoslada P. Fatigue in multiple sclerosis is associated with the disruption of frontal and parietal pathways. Multiple Sclerosis 2009; 15(3):337–44. doi: <u>10.1177/1352458508098373</u> PMID: <u>18987107</u>
- Pardini M, Bonzano L, Mancardi GL, Roccatagliata L. Frontal networks play a role in fatigue perception in multiple sclerosis. Behav Neurosci 2010; 124:329–36. doi: <u>10.1037/a0019585</u> PMID: <u>20528076</u>
- Téllez N, Alonso J, Río J, Tintoré M, Nos C, Montalban X, et al. The basal ganglia: a substrate for fatigue in multiple sclerosis. Neuroradiology 2008; 50:17–23. PMID: <u>17955232</u>
- Rocca MA, Agosta F, Colombo B, Mezzapesa DM, Falini A, Comi G, et al. fMRI Changes in Relapsing-Remitting Multiple Sclerosis Patients Complaining of Fatigue after IFN Beta -1A Injection. Hum Brain Mapp 2007; 382:373–82.