## CORRIGENDUM



In the article [1], there is an error in Table 5 on page 939 which also affected a sentence on page 941 under section 3 Results, second sentence in sixth paragraph.

The OR value 6.74 for BSA<sup>1</sup> was incorrect in Table 5. The correct value should have been 6.7. The correct data is shown below:

TABLE 5 Logistic regression, dependent variable of healed or not, and independent variables as listed

Variable	В	р	OR	95% CI
$BSA^1$	1.91	0.00015	6.7	2.52-18.02
Ethnicity <sup>2</sup>	0.007	0.92	1.08	0.28-4.14
African American Other <sup>a</sup>	1.72	0.045	5.57	1.04-29.83
Area at randomization (cm <sup>2</sup> )	-0.13	0.014	0.88	0.79-0.97
BMI	-0.071	0.094	0.93	0.86-1.01
Constant	3.54	0.017	34.33	

Note: Reference groups: <sup>1</sup>SOC; <sup>2</sup>Caucasian. Bold values are statistically significant (P < .05).

Abbreviations: CI, confidence interval; OR, odds ratio.

<sup>a</sup>Includes Hispanic, Asian, Middle-Eastern, and Native Hawaiian races/ethnicities.

Correspondingly, the following sentence on page 941,

The treatment (BSA) dominates the other variables and increases the odds of healing by 6.74 (P = .00015).

Should have read:

The treatment (BSA) dominates the other variables and increases the odds of healing by 6.7 (P = .00015).

## REFERENCE

 Armstrong DG, Galiano RD, Orgill DP, et al. Multi-centre prospective randomised controlled clinical trial to evaluate a bioactive split thickness skin allograft vs standard of care in the treatment of diabetic foot ulcers. Int Wound J. 2022;19(4):932-944. doi:10.1111/iwj. 13759

This is an open access article under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License, which permits use, distribution and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

© 2022 The Authors. International Wound Journal published by Medicalhelplines.com Inc (3M) and John Wiley & Sons Ltd.