



# The Dynamic Transition of Persistence toward the Viable but Nonculturable State during Stationary Phase Is Driven by Protein Aggregation

Liselot Dewachter,<sup>a,b</sup> Celien Bollen,<sup>a,b</sup> Dorien Wilmaerts,<sup>a,b</sup> Elen Louwagie,<sup>a,b</sup> Pauline Herpels,<sup>a,b</sup> Paul Matthay,<sup>a,b</sup> Ladan Khodaparast,<sup>c,d</sup> Laleh Khodaparast,<sup>c,d</sup> Frederic Rousseau,<sup>c,d</sup> Joost Schymkowitz,<sup>c,d</sup>  Jan Michiels<sup>a,b</sup>

<sup>a</sup>Centre of Microbial and Plant Genetics, KU Leuven, Leuven, Belgium

<sup>b</sup>Center for Microbiology, VIB-KU Leuven, Leuven, Belgium

<sup>c</sup>Switch Laboratory, Center for Brain and Disease Research, VIB-KU Leuven, Leuven, Belgium

<sup>d</sup>Switch Laboratory, Department of Cellular and Molecular Medicine, KU Leuven, Leuven, Belgium

Liselot Dewachter and Celien Bollen contributed equally. Liselot Dewachter initiated the project and is therefore listed first.

**ABSTRACT** Decades of research into bacterial persistence has been unable to fully characterize this antibiotic-tolerant phenotype, thereby hampering the development of therapies effective against chronic infections. Although some active persister mechanisms have been identified, the prevailing view is that cells become persistent because they enter a dormant state. We therefore characterized starvation-induced dormancy in *Escherichia coli*. Our findings indicate that dormancy develops gradually; persistence strongly increases during stationary phase and decreases again as persisters enter the viable but nonculturable (VBNC) state. Importantly, we show that dormancy development is tightly associated with progressive protein aggregation, which occurs concomitantly with ATP depletion during starvation. Persisters contain protein aggregates in an early developmental stage, while VBNC cells carry more mature aggregates. Finally, we show that at least one persister protein, ObgE, works by triggering aggregation, even at endogenous levels, and thereby changing the dynamics of persistence and dormancy development. These findings provide evidence for a genetically controlled, gradual development of persisters and VBNC cells through protein aggregation.

**IMPORTANCE** While persistence and the viable but nonculturable (VBNC) state are currently investigated in isolation, our results strongly indicate that these phenotypes represent different stages of the same dormancy program and that they should therefore be studied within the same conceptual framework. Moreover, we show here for the first time that the dynamics of protein aggregation perfectly match the onset and further development of bacterial dormancy and that different dormant phenotypes are linked to different stages of protein aggregation. Our results thereby strongly hint at a causal relationship between both. Because many conditions known to trigger persistence are also known to influence aggregation, it is tempting to speculate that a variety of different persister pathways converge at the level of protein aggregation. If so, aggregation could emerge as a general principle that underlies the development of persistence which could be exploited for the design of antipersister therapies.

**KEYWORDS** protein aggregation, persistence, antibiotic tolerance, VBNC, dormancy, ObgE, antibiotic resistance, stationary phase, chronic infection

**B**acteria can overcome antibiotic insults in different ways. Besides the well-known resistance phenomenon, bacteria can also survive antibiotic treatment by entering a transient antibiotic-tolerant state, called persistence. Persister cells are defined as

**Citation** Dewachter L, Bollen C, Wilmaerts D, Louwagie E, Herpels P, Matthay P, Khodaparast L, Khodaparast L, Rousseau F, Schymkowitz J, Michiels J. 2021. The dynamic transition of persistence toward the viable but nonculturable state during stationary phase is driven by protein aggregation. *mBio* 12: e00703-21. <https://doi.org/10.1128/mBio.00703-21>.

**Editor** Vanessa Sperandio, University of Texas Southwestern Medical Center Dallas

**Copyright** © 2021 Dewachter et al. This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the [Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International license](https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/).

Address correspondence to Jan Michiels, [jan.michiels@kuleuven.be](mailto:jan.michiels@kuleuven.be).

**Received** 10 March 2021

**Accepted** 7 July 2021

**Published** 3 August 2021

rare phenotypic variants that survive antibiotic treatment, although they cannot grow in the presence of the antibiotic (1). However, since they can resume growth when the antibiotic pressure drops, persisters are assumed to contribute to treatment failure and the chronic nature of many bacterial infections (2, 3).


Although some active persister mechanisms have been identified (4–6), the prevailing view is that cells become persistent because they enter a dormant state (2, 3, 7). Indeed, many reports have shown that persisters are highly enriched in fractions of the population that are nongrowing or slowly growing (8–10), have low ATP concentrations (11, 12), and/or have low levels of protein expression (13). Recently, it was suggested that this dormant persister state is caused by the aggregation and concomitant inactivation of many important cellular proteins (14). Previous findings indeed suggest that persistence and protein aggregation are linked. Persister cells more often contain protein aggregates, and artificially inducing or preventing protein aggregation affects persistence accordingly (14–16).

Besides persistence, other states of bacterial dormancy have been described. Among these is the viable but nonculturable (VBNC) state, a phenotype in which cells have lost the ability to grow on conventional media that otherwise support their proliferation, even though they remain viable. Therefore, these VBNC cells cannot readily resume growth when provided with fresh nutrients but instead require specific conditions to resuscitate (17–19). Important similarities between persisters and VBNC cells exist; both are dormant bacterial phenotypes and both are tolerant to high antibiotic concentrations (1, 17, 18, 20). Because of these similarities, the hypothesis that persisters and VBNC cells are conceptually similar is gaining momentum (14, 17, 18, 21). According to this hypothesis, persisters and VBNC cells represent different developmental stages of the same dormancy program, where VBNC cells have obtained a deeper dormancy. However, detailed experimental evidence of the dynamic nature of persister formation and VBNC transition as well as genetic and molecular mechanisms to support this hypothesis are currently lacking.

We confirm here that a tight link between protein aggregation and persistence exists. Moreover, by examining the dynamics of protein aggregation in relation to the development of both persistence and the VBNC state, we provide compelling support for the hypothesis that persister cells can obtain a deeper dormancy and transition into the VBNC state. Our findings indicate that, during starvation, this transition is most likely driven by progressive protein aggregation which occurs concomitantly with ATP depletion. Persisters contain protein aggregates in an early developmental stage, while VBNC cells carry more mature aggregates. Additionally, we show that persistence is a highly dynamic phenomenon and that persister levels vary strongly throughout stationary phase. Our data therefore indicate that the single-time-point investigation of persistence that is current standard practice is insufficient to fully capture this dynamic phenotype. Finally, we demonstrate that at least one known persister protein works by accelerating protein aggregation and thereby changing the dynamics of persister development.

## RESULTS

**Cells enter the viable but nonculturable state in late stationary phase.** To gain more insight into bacterial dormancy, we studied the behavior of *E. coli* in stationary phase, the growth phase where dormancy sets in (22). We incubated bacterial cultures for a period of 72 h and monitored the number of CFU as well as the number of viable cells in the population (Fig. 1A). Viable cells were quantified after staining with the membrane-impermeable dye SYTOX green, which only stains dead cells. Using flow cytometry, the number of bacteria that were not stained by SYTOX green, and therefore were considered viable, was determined (representative flow cytometry graphs shown in Fig. S1A) (17, 19, 20). Viability at the last time point was also confirmed using RedoxSensor green (RSG), an indicator for metabolic activity (Fig. S1B) (8, 23). As expected, CFU start to decrease in long-term stationary phase. However, the decrease in the number of viable cells is much smaller, indicating that cells adopt the viable but



**FIG 1** The VBNC state, persistence, and protein aggregation are natural phenomena that occur in stationary phase. (A) At different time points, the number of CFU/ml of an *E. coli* culture was measured, as well as the number of viable cells, by counting SYTOX green-negative cells with flow cytometry. The difference between the two curves is the number of VBNC cells present in the culture. (B) The absolute number of persister cells after treatment with ofloxacin was followed over time (error bars are too small to be visible). (C) Microscopy images (phase contrast and GFP channels) of *E. coli* *lbpA-msfGFP* at different time points show the development of protein aggregates over time. Arrowheads point to aggregates that are visible in the phase contrast channel. Scale bar, 2  $\mu$ m. (D) Quantitative analysis of microscopy images was performed to determine the percentage of cells that carry protein aggregates. Aggregation was evaluated by the presence of fluorescent *lbpA-msfGFP* foci and phase-bright structures. For every repeat and every time point, at least 50 cells were analyzed. Data are represented as averages  $\pm$  SEM;  $n \geq 3$ . For statistical analysis of the difference between the *lbpA-msfGFP* and Ph curves, individual time points were compared using paired *t* tests with the Holm-Sidak method for multiple comparisons; \*,  $P < 0.05$ ; \*\*\*,  $P < 0.001$ . Ph, phase bright.

nonculturable (VBNC) state. Indeed, several bacterial species, including *E. coli*, are known to transition into the VBNC state upon nutrient starvation (17, 19, 24).

**Persistence is a highly dynamic phenomenon that is induced in stationary phase.** To investigate the dynamics of persister development, we monitored the absolute number of persister cells over time. Cultures were treated with ofloxacin at several different time points before and during stationary phase, and the number of surviving persister cells was determined by counting the CFU (Fig. 1B). As expected, the number of persister cells increases drastically upon entry into stationary phase. However, persistence is also highly dynamic during stationary phase, reaching a maximum after 32 h of incubation. At this time point, approximately 25% of all cells have become persistent. This percentage is much higher than what is usually recorded (typically between 0.001% and 1% of the population [2]) and demonstrates that persister fractions can be strongly elevated when the persistence-inducing trigger, in this case nutrient starvation, is applied longer. Interestingly, this high number of persister cells is not maintained but decreases again at later time points. We observed the same trend when cultures were treated with the aminoglycoside amikacin (Fig. S1C).

**Protein aggregation is a physiological change that occurs during stationary phase.** Because of its previously reported connection to persistence, we assessed the dynamics of protein aggregation using quantitative microscopy (Fig. 1C and D). At several time points, we determined the percentage of cells in the population that carry at least one protein aggregate. Protein aggregates were detected in two different ways, as phase-bright intracellular structures (here referred to as Ph aggregates) or as fluorescent *lbpA-msfGFP* foci (*lbpA* aggregates) (25). The chaperone *lbpA* is expressed upon protein aggregation and localizes to aggregates. Fluorescent fusions of *lbpA* can thus be used as a biosensor for protein aggregation, provided they do not trigger

aggregation themselves (25, 26). Previous investigation of a wide array of different lbpA fusions showed that lbpA-msfGFP performed the best and can reliably identify protein aggregates without triggering aggregation (25). Indeed, we confirmed that this *lbpA-msfGFP* fusion does not influence aggregation dynamics (Fig. S1D). We therefore selected this fusion as a biosensor for protein aggregation.

From Fig. 1D it is clear that the number of cells with protein aggregates strongly increases during stationary phase and that Ph aggregates appear later than lbpA aggregates. Moreover, Ph aggregates are always associated with lbpA-msfGFP fluorescence, while the reverse is not necessarily true (Fig. 1C). These data suggest that protein aggregates develop gradually. Indeed, time-lapse microscopy of single aggregates revealed that these structures are first recognized by lbpA-msfGFP and then gradually develop into dense phase-bright foci (Movie S1 and Fig. S1E). By evaluating protein aggregation using lbpA-msfGFP and phase-contrast microscopy, we can thus distinguish aggregates at an early stage of development (marked by lbpA-msfGFP) and a late stage of development (also visible in phase-contrast microscopy).


**The VBNC state and persistence are correlated with protein aggregation at the single-cell level.** We next investigated whether there is a correlation between protein aggregation and bacterial dormancy, manifested as persistence and the VBNC state. To assess the level of aggregation in persisters, we first killed all antibiotic-sensitive cells in the population by treatment with ofloxacin. Cells were then spotted on agarose pads of fresh growth medium. Using time-lapse microscopy, we monitored for each cell whether or not it was able to resume growth by visually checking if it could successfully divide to form a microcolony. This approach enabled us to identify persisters since only persister cells should be able to resume growth when provided with fresh medium after antibiotic treatment. Moreover, for each cell, we also recorded its maximal fluorescence and phase contrast intensity at the start of the experiment. These values can be used to evaluate the level of lbpA and Ph aggregation, respectively (Fig. S1E to G). This analysis showed that persisters have a much higher lbpA-msfGFP intensity, while Ph intensity increases only marginally (Fig. 2A and B and Movie S2). Persisters therefore appear to be marked by early-stage protein aggregates.

To assess the correlation between aggregation and the VBNC state, a similar strategy was followed, but stationary-phase cells were directly seeded onto agarose pads without antibiotic treatment. Results indicate that cells that are unable to resume growth when provided with fresh medium, i.e., VBNC cells, have a significantly higher Ph intensity (Fig. 2C and D and Movie S3). Late-stage Ph aggregates are therefore associated with the VBNC state.

In line with previous findings (14), our time-lapse data show that protein aggregates are degraded while cell growth is resumed and are removed before cell division occurs (Movies S2 and S3). VBNC cells that are unable to resume growth also fail to remove the phase-bright aggregates that characterize this phenotype. Taken together, these results suggest that disaggregation is a prerequisite for growth resumption.

**The persister protein ObgE induces aggregation.** After establishing that persistence is associated with protein aggregation, we asked whether any of the proteins known to induce persistence do so by influencing aggregation. We therefore investigated if the GTPase ObgE alters aggregation when overexpressed. ObgE is a small GTPase that is essential for bacterial viability and plays a role in many important cellular processes, such as ribosome assembly, DNA replication, and chromosome segregation (see the second and third pages of the table of contents in reference 27). Additionally, we have previously shown that overexpression of *obgE* also induces persistence (28). We now demonstrate that *obgE* overexpression strongly accelerates protein aggregation as well (Fig. 3A to C). Moreover, the aggregates that are formed are much larger and more intense (Fig. 3A and Fig. S2A to D). In contrast, overexpression of *mCherry* from the same plasmid and promoter did not affect aggregation (Fig. S2E and F), indicating that protein abundance *per se* is insufficient to trigger aggregation.

To determine how these altered aggregation dynamics relate to the onset of persistence and entry into the VBNC state, we monitored the number of CFU, viable cells,




**FIG 2** Persistence is correlated with early-stage IbpA-msfGFP aggregates, while the deeply dormant VBNC state is correlated with late-stage Ph aggregates at the single-cell level. (A and B) *E. coli* *ibpA-msfGFP* was grown for 24 h and treated with ofloxacin to kill all antibiotic-sensitive cells. Regrowth of persisters on fresh medium was followed by time-lapse microscopy. Cells were classified into two groups, persister or non-persister, based on whether or not they were able to resume cell division within 16 h. Violin plots of the maximal IbpA-msfGFP fluorescence intensity (A) and maximal intensity in the phase contrast channel (B) are shown. (C and D) To sample cells at different stages of dormancy, *E. coli* *ibpA-msfGFP* was grown for 24, 40, or 72 h, and regrowth on fresh medium was monitored by time-lapse microscopy. Cells were classified into two groups, culturable or VBNC, based on whether or not they were able to resume cell division within 16 h. Violin plots of the maximal IbpA-msfGFP fluorescence intensity (C) and maximal intensity in the phase contrast channel (D) are shown. Black lines in the violin plots indicate median values. *n* is the number of cells measured. \*\*\*,  $P < 0.001$ ; \*\*\*\*,  $P < 0.0001$ .

and persisters upon *obgE* overexpression. Because the number of viable cells did not vary much (Fig. S2G and H), the decrease in CFU seen in Fig. 3D is almost exclusively caused by cells that switch to the VBNC state. Compared to the vector control, cells enter the VBNC state much earlier when *obgE* is overexpressed, which corresponds well to the early onset of aggregation under these conditions. Intriguingly, in *ObgE*-induced samples, culturability is restored again at late time points. This switch back to the culturable state is accompanied by the appearance of cells that lack protein aggregates (Fig. S2I).

Additionally, persister development is also strongly accelerated by *obgE* overexpression (Fig. 3E), which again correlates well with the early onset of aggregation caused by *ObgE*. Surprisingly, however, the maximal persister level obtained is the same regardless of whether or not *obgE* is overexpressed. It is simply reached sooner in the presence of excess *ObgE*. At the time points at which we usually assess persistence (16 to 24 h), the persister level of the *ObgE* sample is higher, which explains why we previously reported that *obgE* expression increases persistence (28). However, based on the dynamic persister measurements performed here, we conclude that *ObgE* accelerates persister development rather than increasing the maximal persister level.


Importantly, also for the vector control and the *ObgE* sample, we could demonstrate that persisters show increased IbpA-msfGFP fluorescence, while VBNC cells have a higher Ph intensity (Fig. S3).

After establishing that overexpression of *obgE* strongly accelerates the development of protein aggregates, we wondered whether this GTPase also affects aggregation at wild-type levels without overexpression. To test this, we used IbpA-msfGFP




**FIG 3** The persister protein ObgE accelerates protein aggregation, the development of persistence, and entry into the VBNC state. *E. coli* *ibpA-msfGFP* with pBAD33Gm (vector) or pBAD33Gm-*obgE* (ObgE) was grown for 72 h in the presence of the inducer arabinose. (A) At different time points, microscopy pictures were taken. Scale bar, 2  $\mu$ m. (B and C) Quantitative analysis of microscopy images was performed to determine the percentage of cells that carry protein aggregates. Aggregation was evaluated by the presence of fluorescent *IbpA-msfGFP* foci (B) and phase-bright structures (C). For every repeat and every time point, at least 50 cells were analyzed. (D) At different time points, the number of CFU/ml was determined. (E) The absolute number of persister cells after treatment with ofloxacin was followed over time. Data are represented as averages  $\pm$  SEM;  $n \geq 3$ . Ph, phase bright.

fluorescence as a proxy for protein aggregation and simultaneously measured the cellular concentration of ObgE by creating a genomic fusion with mCherry and measuring red fluorescence. Representative flow cytometry plots and corresponding Pearson’s R values show that there is a clear correlation between protein aggregation (*IbpA-msfGFP*) and ObgE levels (*ObgE-mCherry*), while no or only a weak correlation between red and green fluorescence could be detected in strains that carried only one fluorescent reporter (Fig. 4A). Moreover, this difference in the strength of correlation was found to be reproducible (Fig. 4B) and statistically significant in all repeats ( $P < 0.0001$ ). Since cells that contain more ObgE show an increase in protein aggregation, we conclude that also at wild-type levels, ObgE plays a role in triggering protein aggregation.



**FIG 4** Wild-type levels of ObgE correlate with the amount of protein aggregation. The fluorescence of *E. coli* cells containing the genomic markers *ibpA-msfGFP*, *obgE-mCherry*, or both was measured by flow cytometry after 24 h of growth. Four different biological repeats were performed. (A) Representative flow cytometry plots and corresponding Pearson’s correlation coefficients are shown. (B) The correlation coefficients for all four repeats are plotted. Statistical analysis indicates that the Pearson’s R values obtained for the sample that contains both *ibpA-msfGFP* and *obgE-mCherry* are significantly higher in all repeats ( $P < 0.0001$ ).



**FIG 5** ATP depletion associated with entry into stationary phase is required for ObgE to induce protein aggregation. (A) *E. coli* overexpressing *obgE* was grown normally (nonbalanced growth, NBG) or maintained in balanced growth (BG). At different time points, the percentage of cells that carry *lbpA* aggregates was determined. (B and C) The percentage of cells of a wild-type and  $\Delta relA \Delta spoT$  culture that carry protein aggregates upon *obgE* overexpression was determined by quantitative analysis of microscopy images. Aggregation was evaluated by the presence of fluorescent *lbpA*-msfGFP foci (B) and phase-bright structures (C). (D and E) There is a correlation between cellular ATP levels and the tendency to develop either *lbpA* (D) or Ph (E) protein aggregates. Both correlations are highly significant ( $P < 0.0001$ ). (F) *E. coli lbpA-msfGFP* with pBAD33Gm (vector) or pBAD33Gm-*obgE* (ObgE) was maintained in balanced growth (BG) with 0.5 mM arsenate (*ars*) to lower ATP levels. At different time points, the percentage of cells that carry *lbpA* aggregates was determined. The ObgE NBG curve from panel A is also shown to allow easy comparison.

**ObgE-induced aggregation requires entry into stationary phase.** The most straightforward way in which an excess of ObgE could induce aggregation is by acting as a nucleation factor that initiates and drives aggregation through improper or incomplete folding (29). However, it is unlikely that ObgE acts as a nucleator. First, ObgE is not highly prone to aggregation since it is not commonly found in protein aggregates (14, 30, 31) and is highly soluble in cell-free translation systems (32, 33). Indeed, the aggregation propensity of ObgE, as measured by the Tango algorithm (34), is much lower than that of the known aggregation-prone protein MetA (35) (925.4 for ObgE versus 2,641.8 for MetA). Second, *obgE* overexpression in itself is insufficient to trigger aggregation. Whereas MetA triggers aggregation already in exponential phase and also during balanced growth (where the transition into stationary phase is prevented by repeated dilution of a growing exponential-phase culture), ObgE is incapable of doing so (Fig. 5A and Fig. S4). ObgE thus requires a stationary-phase signal to trigger aggregation. This dependency of ObgE-induced aggregation on specific growth conditions combined with the fact that ObgE is not highly prone to aggregation, leads us to conclude that ObgE does not act as a nucleation factor but triggers aggregation by a different mechanism that is activated in stationary phase.

**(p)ppGpp is not necessary for ObgE-induced protein aggregation.** In search of the stationary-phase signal that is required by ObgE to trigger aggregation, we turned to the alarmone (p)ppGpp that is produced upon nutrient starvation (36). We previously showed that ObgE requires (p)ppGpp to induce persistence (28), making this molecule an interesting candidate. To our surprise, however, the amount of aggregation upon *obgE* overexpression is unaffected in a  $\Delta relA \Delta spoT$  strain that is unable to produce (p)ppGpp (Fig. 5B and C). (p)ppGpp is thus not the stationary-phase signal needed by ObgE to trigger aggregation.

The facts that *obgE* overexpression no longer induces persistence in the absence of (p)ppGpp (28) and that ObgE-induced protein aggregation proceeds normally in a  $\Delta relA \Delta spoT$  strain seems to contradict the correlation between aggregation and

persistence we report here. We therefore investigated persistence and dormancy upon *obgE* overexpression in the  $\Delta relA \Delta spoT$  strain in more detail. Persister measurements indeed show that fewer cells are persistent in the absence of (p)ppGpp (Fig. S5A), thereby confirming our previously published results (28). On the other hand, VBNC cells are present from very early on and are much more abundant in the  $\Delta relA \Delta spoT$  strain (Fig. S5B). These results suggest that the transition into the VBNC state occurs sooner and more frequently in this mutant. In line with this finding, we see that, in the absence of *obgE* overexpression, aggregation is strongly accelerated in the  $\Delta relA \Delta spoT$  mutant (Fig. S5C and D), and aggregates are much larger and more intense (Fig. S5E to I). These data indicate that protein aggregation occurs more extensively in the absence of (p)ppGpp. We therefore hypothesize that the accelerated and more extreme protein aggregation in the  $\Delta relA \Delta spoT$  strain pushes cells from the persister state into the deeply dormant VBNC state more quickly, thereby explaining both the increased number of VBNC cells and the absence of an increased persister level.


**ATP depletion is required for ObgE-induced protein aggregation.** Another hallmark of entry into stationary phase is a decrease in cellular energy levels. Moreover, ATP is known to act as a hydrotrope that can prevent aggregation and increase protein solubility (37, 38). By modulating ATP levels through the addition of arsenate, we show that there is indeed a highly significant correlation ( $P < 0.0001$ ) between cellular ATP levels and the development of both IbpA and Ph aggregates at the population level (Fig. 5D and E), as was also reported previously (14). However, the  $R^2$  value reported for this correlation is rather low (around 0.45), indicating that protein aggregation is also influenced by factors other than ATP concentration.

Because of the connection between ATP concentration and aggregation, we assessed whether decreased ATP levels are required for ObgE-induced aggregation. To this end, we added low arsenate levels to cultures maintained in balanced growth. This could partially restore ObgE's ability to induce aggregation (Fig. 5F), while having no effect on a control culture, hinting at an ObgE-specific and ATP-dependent aggregation mechanism. It therefore appears as though a lowered ATP concentration is the stationary-phase signal needed by ObgE to induce aggregation.

**Protein aggregates are enriched in proteins involved in translation.** Finally, we studied the composition of starvation- and ObgE-induced protein aggregates isolated at several different time points. First, using quantitative interpretation of Coomassie-stained protein gels, we established that the amount of aggregated protein increases through time (Fig. 6A and B), again highlighting the progressive nature of protein aggregation. Moreover, as expected based on their size, ObgE-induced aggregates have a higher total protein content. Second, we identified the aggregated proteins by mass spectrometry (MS) and detected around 500 to 600 proteins in each sample (Table S1A). To gain a more high-level view of the types of proteins that aggregate, we performed enrichment analyses based on Clusters of Orthologous Group (COG) functional categories (Fig. 6C and Fig. S6A and B) (39). Strikingly, we found that four COG functional categories were significantly enriched in all time points for both the control and ObgE samples (Fig. 6C and Fig. S6). These categories are C (energy production and conversion), F (nucleotide transport and metabolism), J (translation, ribosomal structure, and biogenesis) and O (posttranslational modification, protein turnover, and chaperones), with category J being the most highly enriched. Proteins involved in these functions are thus more likely to aggregate than expected based on random chance. Interestingly, the level of enrichment between the control and ObgE samples is almost identical (Fig. 6C and Fig. S6A and B), indicating that the same types of proteins aggregate, regardless of whether aggregation is allowed to proceed naturally upon starvation or whether it is accelerated by *obgE* overexpression.

Despite the highly similar functional composition of starvation- and ObgE-induced protein aggregates, there are differences when looking at the individual protein level (Table S1B). A total of 131 proteins are detected in significantly higher amounts when *obgE* is overexpressed. A COG enrichment analysis of these 131 proteins reveals that only category J (translation, ribosomal structure, and biogenesis) is significantly enriched






**FIG 6** Biochemical analysis of protein aggregates reveals their time-dependent development and nonrandom composition. (A and B) After isolation of protein aggregates from *E. coli* carrying an empty expression vector (A) or overexpressing *obgE* (B) at several different time points, Coomassie staining on protein gels was performed. Quantitative interpretation of these Coomassie-stained gels is shown as “protein mass.” Data are represented as averages  $\pm$  SEM;  $n=5$ . (C) COG functional categories were assigned to all aggregated proteins identified by MS, and an enrichment analysis was performed. The amount of enrichment of all identified categories at the time point where Ph aggregation reaches its plateau is shown (vector=48 h, ObgE=24 h). Significantly enriched COG categories (C, F, J, O;  $P < 0.05$ ) are highlighted by including the description of the category inside the figure. All descriptions: A, RNA processing and modification; C, energy production and conversion; D, cell cycle control, cell division, and chromosome partitioning; E, Amino acid transport and metabolism; F, nucleotide transport and metabolism; G, carbohydrate transport and metabolism; H, coenzyme transport and metabolism; I, lipid transport and metabolism; J, translation, ribosomal structure, and biogenesis; K, transcription; L, replication, recombination, and repair; M, cell wall/membrane/envelope biogenesis; N, cell motility; O, posttranslational modification, protein turnover, and chaperones; P, inorganic ion transport and metabolism; q, secondary metabolites biosynthesis, transport, and catabolism; S, function unknown; T, signal transduction mechanisms; U, intracellular trafficking, secretion, and vesicular transport; V, defense mechanisms. (D) The amount of GyrA detected in the soluble protein fraction by Western blotting with anti-GyrA antibodies is shown. The detected signals were normalized to the first time point of the vector control (8 h).

( $P = 7.59 \times 10^{-6}$ , fold change=3.24). This means that, in comparison to starvation-induced aggregation, ObgE causes mainly proteins involved in translation to aggregate more frequently. Since ObgE is known to interact with the 50S ribosomal subunit (40), we hypothesize that this interaction is responsible for the observed increase in the aggregation of translation-related proteins. Indeed, many 50S ribosomal proteins are more abundantly present in ObgE-induced aggregates (Table S1B). If true, the widely conserved interaction of ObgE with the ribosome could be important for the regulation of bacterial dormancy and survival of stressful conditions such as antibiotic insults.

Finally, we asked if and how aggregate composition could explain persister development. The antibiotic-tolerant persister state is classically attributed to the lack of



**FIG 7** Model for the development of bacterial dormancy through protein aggregation. Upon nutrient starvation in stationary phase, cellular ATP concentrations decrease. As a consequence, proteostasis cannot be maintained, and protein aggregates develop. Protein aggregation occurs progressively. In an early stage of development, protein aggregates are marked by the small chaperone IbpA. Later on, they develop further into phase-bright structures. These different stages of protein aggregation are tightly coupled to different stages of bacterial dormancy. Persister cells are characterized by early-stage IbpA aggregates, while deeply dormant VBNC cells contain more developed aggregates that can be seen in phase contrast microscopy.

activity of the antibiotic target (7), although this is not universally true (6). Since GyrA, the main target of ofloxacin in *E. coli* (41), is detected in aggregates (Table S1A), we wondered whether sequestration and subsequent inactivation of cytoplasmic GyrA in protein aggregates is responsible for persister development. We therefore measured the remaining amount of soluble GyrA and established that it remains more or less constant through time (Fig. 6D), even though the persister level changes. Persistence is therefore not obtained through sequestration of GyrA in protein aggregates, which is in accordance with the finding that persisters do experience DNA damage upon ofloxacin treatment and that the antibiotic target is thus not completely inactivated (6). Rather, we believe that aggregation leads to persister development through the aggregation of a wide variety of important and essential proteins resulting in cellular dormancy.

## DISCUSSION

Based on our results, we propose the following model to explain the development of both persistence and the VBNC state upon starvation in stationary phase (Fig. 7). When nutrients become scarce, ATP levels decrease and proteostasis cannot be maintained. As a result, protein aggregates start to develop. Aggregation occurs progressively, and different stages of protein aggregation are linked to different dormancy depths. On average, persisters are cells that contain aggregates in an early stage of development, while VBNC cells carry more developed aggregates. These findings lead us to hypothesize that early-stage aggregates render bacteria sufficiently dormant to survive antibiotic treatment, yet active enough to resume growth when provided with fresh nutrients. Upon growth resumption, disaggregation occurs. Late-stage protein aggregates, on the other hand, induce a deeper state of dormancy, i.e., the VBNC state, from which cells cannot readily wake up even under favorable conditions that normally would support growth. Whether or not the mature aggregates associated with the VBNC state are disaggregated when VBNC cells are provided with the proper resuscitation signal is currently unknown and will be further investigated.

However, it is important to note that not all cells that carry early-stage aggregates are persisters and not all cells with late-stage aggregates are in the VBNC state. We therefore hypothesize that it is not the mere presence of these aggregates that results in the associated dormancy phenotype. Rather, we suspect that the level of dormancy is determined by the specific aggregate composition. Since proteins involved in translation, ribosomal structure and biogenesis are most highly enriched in aggregates, we

suspect that these processes play a pivotal role in protein aggregation and subsequent dormancy development. Given the central role of translation, not only in growth but in cellular activity in general, it is possible that the massive aggregation of proteins involved in translation shuts down cellular activity in general and thereby drives dormancy development. Supporting this hypothesis is the finding that directly inhibiting protein expression increases bacterial persistence dramatically (42, 43). However, further experiments are necessary to establish the causality between aggregation of translation-related proteins and dormancy development. Moreover, we find that overexpression of *obgE* strongly accelerates the development of protein aggregates, persistence, and the transition into the VBNC state and simultaneously increases the amount of aggregated translation-related proteins. Since ObgE is known to interact with the ribosome (40), it is possible that ObgE modulates the aggregation of translation-related proteins and thereby regulates dormancy development through this ribosomal interaction. Importantly, ObgE's effect on protein aggregation is not a mere artifact of protein overexpression since we demonstrate here that, also at wild-type levels, the amount of ObgE is correlated with the level of protein aggregation.

When studying the composition of starvation-induced aggregates, it is clear that proteins are not randomly incorporated. Instead, proteins involved in certain cellular functions are much more likely to aggregate. The most highly enriched COG functional category is J (translation, ribosomal structure, and biogenesis). Additionally, given the central role of translation, not only in growth, but also in cellular activity in general, it is possible that the massive aggregation of proteins involved in translation shuts down cellular activity and drives dormancy development. Supporting this hypothesis is the finding that directly inhibiting protein expression increases bacterial persistence dramatically (42, 43). However, further experiments are necessary to establish the causality between aggregation of proteins involved in translation and dormancy development.

Since we show that different stages of protein aggregation are associated with different dormancy depths, i.e., persistence and the VBNC state, and that protein aggregation occurs progressively, our findings provide very strong experimental support for the hypothesis that persisters and VBNC cells represent different stages of the same developmental dormancy program that is driven by—or at least associated with—protein aggregation. Additionally, it is important to note that a cell is considered to be a persister only when it can resume growth after antibiotic treatment (1). Changed persister levels should therefore be interpreted with care. A decrease in persistence can be due to fewer cells surviving antibiotic treatment, fewer cells being able to resume growth (i.e., more VBNC cells), or a combination of both. Because persisters can develop into VBNC cells, the time at which persistence is assessed will strongly influence the outcome. Indeed, whereas it is well known that persister fractions rise upon entry into stationary phase (22), we show here that persister levels are also highly dynamic throughout stationary phase. These findings confirm the results of a previous study that likewise reported that the detected persister fraction depends on the age of the inoculum used (44). Moreover, we show that the timing of persister development can be influenced, for example, by the known persister protein ObgE.

Taken together, our data indicate that, contrary to current practice, the dormant phenotypes persistence and the VBNC state should be studied within the same conceptual framework, at least when induced by starvation. Especially considering that some VBNC cells can resume growth *in vivo* (45–48), there is no solid rationale to limit research on antibiotic tolerance to persistence and exclude VBNC cells that represent the next stage in dormancy development. We therefore argue that, to fully understand the influence of specific conditions on bacterial dormancy, persister levels should be followed through time and the number of VBNC cells should be determined simultaneously.

## MATERIALS AND METHODS

**Bacterial strains and growth conditions.** Experiments were performed with *E. coli* BW25113 (49). In all experiments where aggregation was quantified, *E. coli* BW25113 *ibpA-msfGFP* was used. The *ibpA*-

*msfGFP* allele was introduced using P1 phage transduction starting from donor strain *E. coli* MG1655 *lbpA-msfGFP* (25). A genomic *obgE-mCherry* fusion was created by inserting *mCherry-FRT-Km<sup>R</sup>-FRT* into the genome downstream of *obgE* by homologous recombination, thereby eliminating the *obgE* stop codon. The DNA fragment needed for homologous recombination was obtained through gene splicing by overlap extension (SOEing) PCR using primers targeting homologous genomic regions (SPI13305/SPI13306 and SPI13309/SPI13310) and primers that amplify *obgE-mCherry-FRT-Km<sup>R</sup>-FRT* present in pBAD33-*obgE-mCherry-FRT-Km<sup>R</sup>-FRT* (lab collection) (SPI13307 and SPI13308). After incorporation, the FRT-flanked kanamycin resistance cassette was removed from the genome (49). *E. coli* BW25113  $\Delta$ *relA*  $\Delta$ *spoT* (50) was used to interrogate the effect of (p)ppGpp on aggregation. The primer sequences used were SPI12788, CACCGAGCTCCACCAGGAGGAATTAACCATGATGGTGGAGCAAGGGCGAGGAG; SPI12789, ACTGAAGCTTTACTGTACAGCTCGTC; SPI13305, TTCAGATCTTTATCACTGGCCTGG; SPI13306, CAAACTCATTTTATTCTCCGTAATCATTCGCCTG; SPI13307, GGAGAATAAAATGAAGTTTGTGATGAAGCATCGATT; SPI13308, TCATCAGTGAGCTTCATATGAATATCCTCCTTAGTTC; SPI13309, CATATGAAGCTCACTGATGATAAACGCCAAATC; and SPI13310, GGGCTTCAGATTATTGACAAAAGTGCG.

Where applicable, *obgE* was overexpressed from plasmid pBAD33Gm-*obgE* (51). The corresponding *E. coli* strain carrying the empty pBAD33Gm vector (51) was used as a control. pBAD33Gm-*mCherry* was constructed by amplification of *mCherry* from pBAD/Myc- His A-*mCherry* (28) with primers SPI12788 and SPI12789. The *mCherry* amplicon was inserted into pBAD33Gm by digestion with *SacI* and *HindIII* and subsequent ligation. In all cases, expression from pBAD33Gm plasmids was induced by adding 0.2% wt/vol arabinose. MetA was overexpressed from pCA24N (52) by the addition of 100  $\mu$ M IPTG.

For all tests, overnight cultures were diluted 100 times in lysogeny broth (LB) containing the appropriate antibiotics (chloramphenicol, 35  $\mu$ g/ml; gentamicin, 25  $\mu$ g/ml; kanamycin, 40  $\mu$ g/ml; spectinomycin, 50  $\mu$ g/ml) and incubated at 37°C with continuous shaking at 200 rpm. At the indicated time points after dilution, assays were performed.

**Determining the amount of culturable and viable but nonculturable cells.** The number of culturable cells was determined by measuring the number of CFU per ml. Serial dilutions were prepared in 10 mM MgSO<sub>4</sub> and plated on LB medium containing 1.5% agar. After overnight incubation at 37°C, colonies were counted, and the number of CFU per ml was calculated.

To determine the number of VBNC cells, the number of CFU per ml was compared to the number of viable cells per ml. The latter was determined in the following way. First, the total number of cells (alive or dead) per ml was determined using Thermo Fisher's bacteria counting kit for flow cytometry. Briefly, approximately 10<sup>6</sup> bacteria were stained with SYTO BC following the manufacturer's instructions. A known amount of fluorescent microsphere beads was added to the stained cell preparation. These microspheres can easily be distinguished from bacteria and were used as a standard to accurately determine the total number of cells in the original culture. Analyses were performed using a BD Influx cell sorter equipped with 488-nm and 561-nm lasers and standard filter sets. Second, the percentage of viable cells in the population was determined by staining cells with SYTOX green, a green fluorescent dye that can only enter cells that have lost membrane integrity and are therefore considered dead. Cultures were diluted 100 times in phosphate-buffered saline (PBS) containing 0.5  $\mu$ M SYTOX green. Samples were incubated for 15 min in the dark at room temperature and analyzed using a BD Influx cell sorter. To calculate the concentration of viable cells in the population, the total number of cells per ml determined in the first step was multiplied by the percentage of nonfluorescent—and therefore viable—cells in the population.

Additionally, viability of cultures after 72 h of incubation was confirmed by staining with the redox-sensitive dye RedoxSensor green according to manufacturer's instructions. As a negative control, *E. coli* was treated with 10 mM sodium azide as recommended by the manufacturer. Cell vitality was assessed by flow cytometry using a BD Influx cell sorter.

**Persister assays.** To determine the number of persister cells, an aliquot of the culture was taken at appropriate time points and treated with 5  $\mu$ g/ml ofloxacin for 5 h. Afterward, cells were washed twice with 10 mM MgSO<sub>4</sub>. Serial dilutions were made in 10 mM MgSO<sub>4</sub> and plated on nonselective LB medium containing 1.5% agar. After 48 h of incubation at 37°C, CFU were determined.

**Microscopy analyses.** All microscopy analyses were performed using a Nikon Ti-E inverted microscope equipped with a Qi2 CMOS camera and temperature-controlled cage incubator. For snapshot analyses performed at discrete time points, cells were spotted onto pads of 10 mM MgSO<sub>4</sub> with 2% wt/vol agarose. For time-lapse analyses, agarose pads of LB medium were used, and cells were grown at 37°C. To assess the correlation between protein aggregation and the VBNC state (Fig. 2A and B), stationary-phase cultures were spotted onto agarose pads of fresh LB medium after 24, 40, or 72 h of incubation, and growth was followed for 16 h. To assess the correlation between aggregation and persistence (Fig. 2C and D), stationary-phase cultures were treated with 5  $\mu$ g/ml ofloxacin for 5 h after 24 h of incubation. Cells were washed twice in 10 mM MgSO<sub>4</sub> and spotted onto agarose pads of fresh LB medium. Growth was followed for 16 h. To follow the development of protein aggregates (results presented in Fig. S1E), exponential-phase cells overexpressing *obgE* were spotted on agarose pads of spent LB medium, thereby limiting the number of cell divisions before aggregation occurs. Spent LB medium was generated by growing *E. coli* cells for 24 h, centrifuging at 4,000 rpm for 20 min, and filtering the supernatant using a 0.22- $\mu$ m pore. This experiment was performed with *obgE* overexpression because we were unable to track full aggregate development in a wild-type strain. Using the wild type, the detected lbpA-msfGFP foci never developed into late-stage Ph aggregates on solid medium.

For all experiments, image analysis was performed using MicrobeJ (53). This ImageJ plug-in was used to determine the presence, intensity, and size of lbpA-msfGFP fluorescent foci and phase-bright structures. For time-lapse analyses correlating protein aggregation to bacterial dormancy, lbpA-msfGFP

and Ph intensities were normalized to the background in order to allow comparison of intensities across different experiments with slightly different light settings. Because corrected Ph intensities often have negative values (cells are darker than the background), which may be confusing for the interpretation of results, all corrected Ph intensities were transformed (+ 2,000 arbitrary units) to obtain positive values.

**Determining the correlation between wild-type *ObgE* levels and protein aggregation.** Flow cytometry experiments were performed on a CytoFLEX S instrument (Beckman Coulter Life Sciences) equipped with 405-nm, 488-nm, and 561-nm lasers. Cells were grown for 24 h and diluted 1,000× in PBS before measurement. Bacterial cells were gated based on forward scatter (FSC) and side scatter (SSC) values, and for each sample 10,000 cells were collected. Bleed-through of fluorophores in other channels was corrected by applying a compensation matrix to the measured fluorescence values following manufacturer's instructions. Pearson's R values were calculated for log-transformed and compensated fluorescence values.

**Aggregation predictions using Tango.** The Tango algorithm (34) was applied using the following settings: Nterm N, Cterm N, pH 7.5, temperature 310.15, ionic strength 0.1, sequence 1. For *ObgE* and *MetA*, respectively, the amino acid sequences with GenBank identifiers [VWQ04101.1](#) and [SYX52533.1](#) were used.

**Balanced growth.** To maintain balanced growth, cultures were diluted 3- or 4-fold every time the optical density (OD) at 595 nm reached values between 0.25 and 0.3. Dilutions were made in prewarmed LB medium with the appropriate antibiotics and additives (0.2% wt/vol arabinose, 100 μM IPTG, and/or 0.5 mM arsenate).

**ATP measurements.** ATP measurements were performed using the BacTiter-Glo microbial cell viability assay according to the manufacturer's instructions. Briefly, cells were washed to remove any potential ATP contamination present in the growth medium. Equal volumes of the cell suspension and the BacTiter-Glo reagent were mixed and incubated for 5 min before measuring luminescence using a Synergy Mx monochromator-based multimode microplate reader (BioTek). Signal intensities were corrected for cell numbers by dividing the luminescence signal by the OD at 595 nm of the cell suspension. ATP levels were modulated by adding different concentrations of arsenate (0.1, 1, and 10 mM) to bacterial cultures and measuring at different time points, and hence in different growth phases of the culture.

**Isolation of protein aggregates and soluble protein fractions.** Protein aggregates were isolated at several different time points as described previously (30). The time points chosen reflect different developmental stages of protein aggregates and cellular dormancy; aggregates were isolated when the plateau in aggregation (as detected by *lbpA*-msfGFP or Ph) was reached, when the maximal persister level was obtained, and when the highest amount of VBNC cells were detected. For the vector control, these correspond to 16, 32, 48, and 72 h of incubation. For *obgE* overexpression, cultures were incubated for 8, 16, 24, 40, or 72 h. At the corresponding time points, 25 ml of bacterial cultures was harvested by centrifugation at 4,000 × *g* for 30 min. For every condition and every time point, 5 repeats were performed. Cells were washed with 10 ml buffer A (50 mM HEPES, pH 7.5, 300 mM NaCl, 5 mM β-mercaptoethanol, 1.0 mM EDTA) and centrifuged at 4,000 × *g* for 30 min at 4°C. Pellets were dissolved in 20 ml buffer B (buffer A plus 1 μg/ml leupeptin, 0.1 mg/ml AEBSF [4-(2-aminoethyl)benzenesulfonyl fluoride hydrochloride]). Cells were lysed with a Glen Creston cell homogenizer with a pressure of 20,000 to 25,000 lb/in<sup>2</sup> and by sonication with a Branson digital sonifier (50/60 HZ) on ice for 2 min (cycles consist of 15-s pulses at 50% power with 30-s pauses on ice). The lysed cell suspension was centrifuged at 11,000 × *g* for 30 min at 4°C, and the precipitated fraction was resuspended in 10 ml buffer D (buffer A with 0.8% [vol/vol] Triton X-100 and 0.1% sodium deoxycholate). This step was repeated three times. Finally, aggregates were solubilized in 1 ml buffer F (50 mM HEPES, pH 7.5, 8.0 M urea).

Soluble protein fractions were separated by collecting 100 ml of bacterial cultures at the appropriate time points by centrifugation at 4,500 × *g* for 5 min at 4°C. The pellet was stored overnight at -20°C. Pellets were dissolved in PBS containing 1× EDTA-free protease inhibitor and benzonase, and cells were lysed by sonication for 3 min (cycles consisted of 30-s pulses at 35% amplitude with 40-s pauses on ice). Cell debris was removed by centrifugation at 4,500 × *g* for 5 min. Soluble and insoluble fractions were separated by high-speed centrifugation at 250,000 × *g* for 20 min at 4°C.

**Coomassie staining.** Isolated aggregates were loaded on SDS gels (4 to 15% Mini-PROTEAN TGX precast protein gels, 10 wells, 50-μl well volume) and stained with Coomassie blue (R250). Band quantification of the proteins on SDS gel was performed using Image Lab Software 6.1.

**Western blot analysis.** At the indicated time points, soluble protein fractions were obtained as described above. NuPAGE LDS sample buffer (Invitrogen) and NuPAGE sample reducing agent (Invitrogen) were added, and samples were loaded on Novex 8% Tris-glycine gels (Invitrogen) in a Novex Bolt mini gel tank with MOPS (morpholinepropanesulfonic acid) buffer. Proteins were transferred onto a polyvinylidene fluoride (PVDF) membrane using preassembled Trans-Blot Turbo transfer packs and a Trans-Blot Turbo transfer system (Bio-Rad). The voltage was set to 15 V, and samples were run for 7 min. The membrane was washed with Tris-buffered saline (TBS) supplemented with 0.1% Tween20 and blocked by incubation in blocking buffer (10% skimmed milk powder in TBS-Tween 20) for 2 h. Afterward, the membrane was washed with TBS-Tween 20, and GyrA was detected with polyclonal anti-GyrA (1/200). After overnight incubation with the primary antibody, membranes were washed with TBS-Tween 20. The secondary antibody, anti-rabbit IgG fused to horseradish peroxidase (1/50,000), was added, and the membrane was incubated for 55 min. After washing with TBS-Tween 20, detection was done by adding Clarity Western ECL substrate (Bio-Rad) and visualization with the Fusion FX imaging system (Vilber Lourmat). Pictures were taken, and band intensities were quantified using ImageJ.

**MS analysis.** Mass spectrometry (MS) analysis was performed according to a previously published protocol (30). Dithiothreitol (DTT) was added to purified aggregates to a total concentration of 0.02 M.

Samples were incubated for 15 min at room temperature before iodoacetamide was added at a concentration of 0.05 M. Samples were incubated for 30 min protected from light. ABC was added at a final concentration of 0.11 M, and 0.2 µg trypsin per 20 µg protein was added as well. Trypsin digestion was allowed to proceed for at least 16 h at 37°C. Peptides were then cleaned using C<sub>18</sub> spin columns (Thermo Fisher Scientific) collected with elution buffer. The total peptides were then diluted in 5% acetonitrile (ACN) + 0.1% formic acid (FA) (10×) for injection in the Q Exactive Orbitrap mass spectrometer (Thermo Fisher Scientific). Then 5 µl of each sample was loaded for ultraperformance liquid chromatography (UPLC) separation into an Ultimate 3000 UPLC system (Dionex, Thermo Fisher Scientific) using an Acclaim PepMap100 precolumn (C<sub>18</sub>, 3 µm to 100 Å) and a PepMap RSLC (C<sub>18</sub>, 2 µm, 50 µm to 15 cm), both from Thermo Fisher Scientific. A linear gradient (300 µl/min) of buffer B (80% ACN, 0.08% FA) was applied as follows: 0 to 4% for 3 min, 4 to 10% for 12 min, 10 to 35% for 20 min, 35 to 65% for 5 min, 65 to 95% for 1 min, 95% for 10 min, 95 to 5% for 1 min, and 5% for 10 min. The Q Exactive Orbitrap mass spectrometer (Thermo Fisher Scientific) was used for MS analysis. It was operated in positive ion mode, and a nano spray voltage of 1.5 kV was applied with a source temperature of 250°C. For external calibration, Proteo Mass LTQ/FT-Hybrid ESI Pos Mode Cal Mix (MS CAL5-1EASUPELCO; Sigma-Aldrich) was used. Internal calibration was performed with the lock mass 445.12003. The instrument was operated in data-dependent acquisition mode with a survey MS scan at a resolution of 70,000 (full width half maximum at *m/z* 200) for the mass range of *m/z* 400 to 1,600 for precursor ions, followed by MS/MS scans of the top 10 most intense peaks with +2, +3, +4, and +5 charged ions above a threshold ion count of 16,000 at 17,500 resolution using normalized collision energy of 25 eV with an isolation window of *m/z* 3.0 and dynamic exclusion of 10 sec. The Xcalibur 3.0.63 (Thermo Fisher Scientific) software was used for data acquisition. For protein identification, all raw data were converted using Proteome Discover 1.4 (Thermo Fisher Scientific) into mgf files and matched against the UniProt *E. coli* database using MASCOT 2.2.06 (Matrix Science). The parameters used were parent tolerance of 10 ppm, fragment tolerance of 0.02 Da, variable modification oxidation of M, fixed modification with carbamidomethyl C, and up to one missed trypsin cleavage site. Results were imported into Scaffold 3.6.3. Proteins identified with 99% confidence that contain at least two identified peptides with a confidence level of over 95% were retained.

**Statistical analysis.** Statistical analyses, except those presented in Fig. 4, Fig. 6, Fig. S6, and Table S1B, were performed using GraphPad Prism 8. Where applicable, two samples were compared using an unpaired *t* test. The statistics that are displayed in each figure, together with the number of repeats performed, are indicated in the figure legends. In general, data usually represent the mean ± the standard error of the mean (SEM) of at least 3 repeats. Various levels of significance were defined; \*, *P* < 0.05; \*\*, *P* < 0.01; \*\*\*, *P* < 0.001, \*\*\*\*, *P* < 0.00001.

Pearson's correlation coefficients were compared by first performing Fisher R-to-z transformations and subsequently evaluating the significance level of the obtained z values. For every repeat, separately, R coefficients of samples with only one fluorescent marker were compared to the R coefficient of the sample that contained both *ibpA-msfGFP* and *obgE-mCherry*. This generated four different z and *P* values for every comparison (one for every biological repeat). All of the comparisons revealed highly significant differences (*P* < 0.0001).

To identify aggregated proteins that are present in significantly different amounts in control and ObgE samples (Table S1B), Welch's *t* tests were performed, and the obtained *P* values were subjected to a false-discovery rate (FDR) correction. The cutoff value for significance was set at *P* < 0.05.

COG functional categories were assigned to proteins identified inside aggregates using the eggNOG tool (54, 55). Enriched functional categories were identified by performing one-sided Fisher's exact tests and applying a Bonferroni correction to the obtained *P* values. The cutoff value for significance was set at *P* < 0.05.

## SUPPLEMENTAL MATERIAL

Supplemental material is available online only.

**MOVIE S1**, AVI file, 2.5 MB.

**MOVIE S2**, AVI file, 3 MB.

**MOVIE S3**, AVI file, 0.3 MB.

**FIG S1**, TIF file, 0.7 MB.

**FIG S2**, TIF file, 2.1 MB.

**FIG S3**, TIF file, 2 MB.

**FIG S4**, TIF file, 0.7 MB.

**FIG S5**, TIF file, 2.7 MB.

**FIG S6**, TIF file, 0.7 MB.

**TABLE S1**, XLSX file, 0.2 MB.

## ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

We thank Abram Aertsen (KU Leuven) for the *E. coli* MG1655 *ibpA-msfGFP* strain. We are also thankful to SyBioMa, the Proteomics Core of the Biomedical Sciences Group of KU Leuven, for their help and support in the analysis of aggregate composition.

This research was funded by the KU Leuven Research Council (C16/17/006), FWO (G047112N; G0B2515N; G055517N), the Francqui Research Foundation, and the Flemish Institute for Biotechnology (VIB). The Switch Laboratory was supported by grants from VIB, the University of Leuven (“Industrieel Onderzoeksfonds”), the Funds for Scientific Research Flanders (FWO), and the Flemish Agency for Work and Innovation (VLAIO). L.D., C.B., and E.L. received a fellowship from the FWO. D.W. received a fellowship from KU Leuven. P.H. received a fellowship from IWT.

We declare no competing interests.

Conceptualization: L.D., J.M.; methodology: L.D., J.M.; investigation: L.D., C.B., D.W., E.L., P.H., P.M., L.K., L.K.; writing-original draft: L.D.; writing-review and editing: L.D., C.B., D.W., L.K., L.K., F.R., J.S., J.M.; visualization: L.D.; supervision: J.M.

## REFERENCES

- Balaban NQ, Helaine S, Lewis K, Ackermann M, Aldridge B, Andersson DI, Brynildsen MP, Bumann D, Camilli A, Collins JJ, Dehio C, Fortune S, Ghigo JM, Hardt WD, Harms A, Heinemann M, Hung DT, Jenal U, Levin BR, Michiels J, Storz G, Tan MW, Tenson T, Van Melderen L, Zinkernagel A. 2019. Definitions and guidelines for research on antibiotic persistence. *Nat Rev Microbiol* 17:441–448. <https://doi.org/10.1038/s41579-019-0196-3>.
- Van den Bergh B, Fauvart M, Michiels J. 2017. Formation, physiology, ecology, evolution and clinical importance of bacterial persisters. *FEMS Microbiol Rev* 41:219–251. <https://doi.org/10.1093/femsre/fux001>.
- Wilmaerts D, Windels EM, Verstraeten N, Michiels J. 2019. General mechanisms leading to persister formation and awakening. *Trends Genet* 35:401–411. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.tig.2019.03.007>.
- Pu Y, Zhao Z, Li Y, Zou J, Ma Q, Zhao Y, Ke Y, Zhu Y, Chen H, Baker MAB, Ge H, Sun Y, Xie XS, Bai F. 2016. Enhanced efflux activity facilitates drug tolerance in dormant bacterial cells. *Mol Cell* 62:284–294. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.molcel.2016.03.035>.
- Wu Y, Vulic M, Keren I, Lewis K. 2012. Role of oxidative stress in persister tolerance. *Antimicrob Agents Chemother* 56:4922–4926. <https://doi.org/10.1128/AAC.00921-12>.
- Völzing KG, Brynildsen MP. 2015. Stationary-phase persisters to ofloxacin sustain DNA damage and require repair systems only during recovery. *mBio* 6:e00731-15. <https://doi.org/10.1128/mBio.00731-15>.
- Keren I, Shah D, Spoering A, Kaldalu N, Lewis K. 2004. Specialized persister cells and the mechanism of multidrug tolerance in *Escherichia coli*. *J Bacteriol* 186:8172–8180. <https://doi.org/10.1128/JB.186.24.8172-8180.2004>.
- Orman MA, Brynildsen MP. 2013. Dormancy is not necessary or sufficient for bacterial persistence. *Antimicrob Agents Chemother* 57:3230–3239. <https://doi.org/10.1128/AAC.00243-13>.
- Balaban NQ, Merrin J, Chait R, Kowalik L, Leibler S. 2004. Bacterial persistence as a phenotypic switch. *Science* 305:1622–1625. <https://doi.org/10.1126/science.1099390>.
- Helaine S, Cheverton AM, Watson KG, Faure LM, Matthews SA, Holden DW. 2014. Internalization of *Salmonella* by macrophages induces formation of nonreplicating persisters. *Science* 343:204–208. <https://doi.org/10.1126/science.1244705>.
- Conlon BP, Rowe SE, Gandt AB, Nuxoll AS, Donegan NP, Zalis EA, Clair G, Adkins JN, Cheung AL, Lewis K. 2016. Persister formation in *Staphylococcus aureus* is associated with ATP depletion. *Nat Microbiol* 1:16051. <https://doi.org/10.1038/nmicrobiol.2016.51>.
- Shan Y, Brown Gandt A, Rowe SE, Deisinger JP, Conlon BP, Lewis K. 2017. ATP-dependent persister formation in *Escherichia coli*. *mBio* 8:e02267-16. <https://doi.org/10.1128/mBio.02267-16>.
- Henry TC, Brynildsen MP. 2016. Development of Persister-FACSeq: a method to massively parallelize quantification of persister physiology and its heterogeneity. *Sci Rep* 6:25100. <https://doi.org/10.1038/srep25100>.
- Pu Y, Li Y, Jin X, Tian T, Ma Q, Zhao Z, Lin SY, Chen Z, Li B, Yao G, Leake MC, Lo CJ, Bai F. 2019. ATP-dependent dynamic protein aggregation regulates bacterial dormancy depth critical for antibiotic tolerance. *Mol Cell* 73:143–156. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.molcel.2018.10.022>.
- Leszczynska D, Matuszewska E, Kuczynska-Wisnik D, Furmanek-Blaszak B, Laskowska E. 2013. The formation of persister cells in stationary-phase cultures of *Escherichia coli* is associated with the aggregation of endogenous proteins. *PLoS One* 8:e54737. <https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0054737>.
- Mordukhova EA, Pan JG. 2014. Stabilization of homoserine-O-succinyltransferase (MetA) decreases the frequency of persisters in *Escherichia coli* under stressful conditions. *PLoS One* 9:e110504. <https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0110504>.
- Li L, Mendis N, Triguí H, Oliver JD, Faucher SP. 2014. The importance of the viable but non-culturable state in human bacterial pathogens. *Front Microbiol* 5:258. <https://doi.org/10.3389/fmicb.2014.00258>.
- Ayrapetyan M, Williams T, Oliver JD. 2018. Relationship between the viable but nonculturable state and antibiotic persister cells. *J Bacteriol* 200:e00249-18. <https://doi.org/10.1128/JB.00249-18>.
- Oliver JD. 2010. Recent findings on the viable but nonculturable state in pathogenic bacteria. *FEMS Microbiol Rev* 34:415–425. <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1574-6976.2009.00200.x>.
- Bamford RA, Smith A, Metz J, Glover G, Titball RW, Pagliara S. 2017. Investigating the physiology of viable but non-culturable bacteria by microfluidics and time-lapse microscopy. *BMC Biol* 15:121. <https://doi.org/10.1186/s12915-017-0465-4>.
- Kim JS, Chowdhury N, Yamasaki R, Wood TK. 2018. Viable but non-culturable and persistence describe the same bacterial stress state. *Environ Microbiol* 20:2038–2048. <https://doi.org/10.1111/1462-2920.14075>.
- Keren I, Kaldalu N, Spoering A, Wang Y, Lewis K. 2004. Persister cells and tolerance to antimicrobials. *FEMS Microbiol Lett* 230:13–18. [https://doi.org/10.1016/S0378-1097\(03\)00856-5](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0378-1097(03)00856-5).
- Wilmaerts D, Dewachter L, De Loose PJ, Bollen C, Verstraeten N, Michiels J. 2019. HokB monomerization and membrane repolarization control persister awakening. *Mol Cell* 75:1031–1042.e4. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.molcel.2019.06.015>.
- Wagley S, Morcrette H, Kovacs-Simon A, Yang ZR, Power A, Tennant RK, Love J, Murray N, Titball RW, Butler CS. 2021. Bacterial dormancy: a subpopulation of viable but non-culturable cells demonstrates better fitness for revival. *PLoS Pathog* 17:e1009194. <https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.ppat.1009194>.
- Govers SK, Mortier J, Adam A, Aertsen A. 2018. Protein aggregates encode epigenetic memory of stressful encounters in individual *Escherichia coli* cells. *PLoS Biol* 16:e2003853. <https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pbio.2003853>.
- Lesley SA, Graziano J, Cho CY, Knuth MW, Klock HE. 2002. Gene expression response to misfolded protein as a screen for soluble recombinant protein. *Protein Eng* 15:153–160. <https://doi.org/10.1093/protein/15.2.153>.
- Verstraeten N, Fauvart M, Versees W, Michiels J. 2011. The universally conserved prokaryotic GTPases. *Microbiol Mol Biol Rev* 75:507–542. <https://doi.org/10.1128/MMBR.00009-11>.
- Verstraeten N, Knapen WJ, Kint CI, Liebens V, Van den Bergh B, Dewachter L, Michiels JE, Fu Q, David CC, Fierro AC, Marchal K, Beirlant J, Versees W, Hofkens J, Jansen M, Fauvart M, Michiels J. 2015. Obg and membrane depolarization are part of a microbial bet-hedging strategy that leads to antibiotic tolerance. *Mol Cell* 59:9–21. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.molcel.2015.05.011>.
- Schramm FD, Schroeder K, Jonas K. 2020. Protein aggregation in bacteria. *FEMS Microbiol Rev* 44:54–72. <https://doi.org/10.1093/femsre/fuz026>.
- Khodaparast L, Khodaparast L, Gallardo R, Louros NN, Michiels E, Ramakrishnan R, Ramakers M, Claes F, Young L, Shahrooei M, Wilkinson H, Desager M, Mengistu Tadese W, Nilsson KPR, Hammarstrom P, Aertsen A, Carpentier S, Van Eldere J, Rousseau F, Schymkowitz J. 2018. Aggregating sequences that occur in many proteins constitute weak spots of bacterial proteostasis. *Nat Commun* 9:866. <https://doi.org/10.1038/s41467-018-03131-0>.

31. Calloni G, Chen T, Schermann SM, Chang HC, Genevaux P, Agostini F, Tartaglia GG, Hayer-Hartl M, Hartl FU. 2012. DnaK functions as a central hub in the *E. coli* chaperone network. *Cell Rep* 1:251–264. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.celrep.2011.12.007>.
32. Niwa T, Kanamori T, Ueda T, Taguchi H. 2012. Global analysis of chaperone effects using a reconstituted cell-free translation system. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A* 109:8937–8942. <https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.1201380109>.
33. Niwa T, Ying BW, Saito K, Jin W, Takada S, Ueda T, Taguchi H. 2009. Bimodal protein solubility distribution revealed by an aggregation analysis of the entire ensemble of *Escherichia coli* proteins. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A* 106:4201–4206. <https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.0811922106>.
34. Fernandez-Escamilla AM, Rousseau F, Schymkowitz J, Serrano L. 2004. Prediction of sequence-dependent and mutational effects on the aggregation of peptides and proteins. *Nat Biotechnol* 22:1302–1306. <https://doi.org/10.1038/nbt1012>.
35. Gur E, Biran D, Gazit E, Ron EZ. 2002. *In vivo* aggregation of a single enzyme limits growth of *Escherichia coli* at elevated temperatures. *Mol Microbiol* 46:1391–1397. <https://doi.org/10.1046/j.1365-2958.2002.03257.x>.
36. Hauryluk V, Atkinson GC, Murakami KS, Tenson T, Gerdes K. 2015. Recent functional insights into the role of (p)ppGpp in bacterial physiology. *Nat Rev Microbiol* 13:298–309. <https://doi.org/10.1038/nrmicro3448>.
37. Patel A, Malinowska L, Saha S, Wang J, Alberti S, Krishnan Y, Hyman AA. 2017. ATP as a biological hydrotrope. *Science* 356:753–756. <https://doi.org/10.1126/science.aaf6846>.
38. Sridharan S, Kurzawa N, Werner T, Gunthner I, Helm D, Huber W, Bantscheff M, Savitski MM. 2019. Proteome-wide solubility and thermal stability profiling reveals distinct regulatory roles for ATP. *Nat Commun* 10:1155. <https://doi.org/10.1038/s41467-019-09107-y>.
39. Tatusov RL, Galperin MY, Natale DA, Koonin EV. 2000. The COG database: a tool for genome-scale analysis of protein functions and evolution. *Nucleic Acids Res* 28:33–36. <https://doi.org/10.1093/nar/28.1.33>.
40. Feng B, Mandava CS, Guo Q, Wang J, Cao W, Li N, Zhang Y, Zhang Y, Wang Z, Wu J, Sanyal S, Lei J, Gao N. 2014. Structural and functional insights into the mode of action of a universally conserved Obg GTPase. *PLoS Biol* 12:e1001866. <https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pbio.1001866>.
41. Ruiz J. 2003. Mechanisms of resistance to quinolones: target alterations, decreased accumulation and DNA gyrase protection. *J Antimicrob Chemother* 51:1109–1117. <https://doi.org/10.1093/jac/dkg222>.
42. Kwan BW, Valenta JA, Benedik MJ, Wood TK. 2013. Arrested protein synthesis increases persister-like cell formation. *Antimicrob Agents Chemother* 57:1468–1473. <https://doi.org/10.1128/AAC.02135-12>.
43. Cheverton AM, Gollan B, Przydacz M, Wong CT, Mylona A, Hare SA, Helaine S. 2016. A *Salmonella* toxin promotes persister formation through acetylation of tRNA. *Mol Cell* 63:86–96. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.molcel.2016.05.002>.
44. Luidalepp H, Joers A, Kaldalu N, Tenson T. 2011. Age of inoculum strongly influences persister frequency and can mask effects of mutations implicated in altered persistence. *J Bacteriol* 193:3598–3605. <https://doi.org/10.1128/JB.00085-11>.
45. Baffone W, Casaroli A, Citterio B, Pierfelici L, Campana R, Vittoria E, Guaglianone E, Donelli G. 2006. *Campylobacter jejuni* loss of culturability in aqueous microcosms and ability to resuscitate in a mouse model. *Int J Food Microbiol* 107:83–91. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijfoodmicro.2005.08.015>.
46. Oliver JD, Bockian R. 1995. *In vivo* resuscitation, and virulence towards mice, of viable but nonculturable cells of *Vibrio vulnificus*. *Appl Environ Microbiol* 61:2620–2623. <https://doi.org/10.1128/aem.61.7.2620-2623.1995>.
47. Highmore CJ, Warner JC, Rothwell SD, Wilks SA, Keevil CW. 2018. Viable-but-nonculturable *Listeria monocytogenes* and *Salmonella enterica* serovar Thompson induced by chlorine stress remain infectious. *mBio* 9:e00540-18. <https://doi.org/10.1128/mBio.00540-18>.
48. Asakura H, Ishiwa A, Arakawa E, Makino S, Okada Y, Yamamoto S, Igimi S. 2007. Gene expression profile of *Vibrio cholerae* in the cold stress-induced viable but non-culturable state. *Environ Microbiol* 9:869–879. <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1462-2920.2006.01206.x>.
49. Baba T, Ara T, Hasegawa M, Takai Y, Okumura Y, Baba M, Datsenko KA, Tomita M, Wanner BL, Mori H. 2006. Construction of *Escherichia coli* K-12 in-frame, single-gene knockout mutants: the Keio collection. *Mol Syst Biol* 2:2006.0008. <https://doi.org/10.1038/msb4100050>.
50. Montero M, Rahimpour M, Viale AM, Almagro G, Eydallin G, Sevilla A, Canovas M, Bernal C, Lozano AB, Munoz FJ, Baroja-Fernandez E, Bahaji A, Mori H, Codoner FM, Pozueta-Romero J. 2014. Systematic production of inactivating and non-inactivating suppressor mutations at the *relA* locus that compensate the detrimental effects of complete spot loss and affect glycogen content in *Escherichia coli*. *PLoS One* 9:e106938. <https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0106938>.
51. Dewachter L, Verstraeten N, Jennes M, Verbeelen T, Biboy J, Monteyne D, Perez-Morga D, Verstrepen KJ, Vollmer W, Fauvar M, Michiels J. 2017. A mutant isoform of ObgE causes cell death by interfering with cell division. *Front Microbiol* 8:1193. <https://doi.org/10.3389/fmicb.2017.01193>.
52. Kitagawa M, Ara T, Arifuzzaman M, Ioka-Nakamichi T, Inamoto E, Toyonaga H, Mori H. 2005. Complete set of ORF clones of *Escherichia coli* ASKA library (a complete set of *E. coli* K-12 ORF archive): unique resources for biological research. *DNA Res* 12:291–299. <https://doi.org/10.1093/dnares/dsi012>.
53. Ducret A, Quardokus EM, Brun YV. 2016. MicrobeJ, a tool for high throughput bacterial cell detection and quantitative analysis. *Nat Microbiol* 1:16077. <https://doi.org/10.1038/nmicrobiol.2016.77>.
54. Huerta-Cepas J, Forslund K, Coelho LP, Szklarczyk D, Jensen LJ, von Mering C, Bork P. 2017. Fast genome-wide functional annotation through orthology assignment by eggNOG-Mapper. *Mol Biol Evol* 34:2115–2122. <https://doi.org/10.1093/molbev/msx148>.
55. Huerta-Cepas J, Szklarczyk D, Heller D, Hernandez-Plaza A, Forslund SK, Cook H, Mende DR, Letunic J, Rattei T, Jensen LJ, von Mering C, Bork P. 2019. eggNOG 5.0: a hierarchical, functionally and phylogenetically annotated orthology resource based on 5090 organisms and 2502 viruses. *Nucleic Acids Res* 47:D309–D314. <https://doi.org/10.1093/nar/gky1085>.