



Since January 2020 Elsevier has created a COVID-19 resource centre with free information in English and Mandarin on the novel coronavirus COVID-19. The COVID-19 resource centre is hosted on Elsevier Connect, the company's public news and information website.

Elsevier hereby grants permission to make all its COVID-19-related research that is available on the COVID-19 resource centre - including this research content - immediately available in PubMed Central and other publicly funded repositories, such as the WHO COVID database with rights for unrestricted research re-use and analyses in any form or by any means with acknowledgement of the original source. These permissions are granted for free by Elsevier for as long as the COVID-19 resource centre remains active.

A protein subunit vaccine booster following two doses of inactivated SARS-CoV-2 vaccine provides high neutralisation of SARS-CoV-2 and its variants in mice

SARS-CoV-2 vaccination provides good protection against severe illness and death from COVID-19; however, the waning of immunity against SARS-CoV-2 in fully vaccinated individuals has been reported mostly 6 months after the last vaccine dose.¹⁻³ A booster vaccination (third dose of vaccine) has been proposed and initiated in many countries; and a prime-boost sequential immunisation approach is thought to be beneficial.⁴

We designed a prime-boost experiment of the inactivated vaccine BBIBP-CorV (Sinopharm) and receptor-binding domain (RBD)-based tandem-repeat dimeric protein subunit vaccine ZF2001 (Zhifei Longcom) in mice (appendix p 6).

First, we assessed five groups: BBIBP-CorV-ZF2001 (one dose BBIBP-CorV followed by one dose ZF2001), ZF2001-BBIBP-CorV group (one dose ZF2001 followed by one dose BBIBP-CorV), ZF2001-ZF2001 (one dose ZF2001 followed by second dose ZF2001), BBIBP-CorV-BBIBP-CorV (one dose BBIBP-CorV followed by second dose BBIBP-CorV), and a placebo group. The highest geometric mean titre (GMT) of neutralising antibodies was 14 469 in the BBIBP-CorV-ZF2001 group, which was 14.5 times that of the GMT of neutralising antibodies in the ZF2001-BBIBP-CorV group, and more than four times that of the GMT of neutralising antibodies in the ZF2001-ZF2001 and BBIBP-CorV-BBIBP-CorV groups. Moreover, the BBIBP-CorV-ZF2001 group elicited

the highest GMT of neutralising antibodies against all SARS-CoV-2 variants in this study (appendix pp 4-9).

At the time of writing, more than half of the Chinese population have received two doses of inactivated SARS-CoV-2 vaccine.

Second, we investigated the booster efficacy of ZF2001, assessing four groups: BBIBP-BBIBP-ZF2001 group (two doses of BBIBP-CorV, followed by one dose [booster] ZF2001), BBIBP-BBIBP-BBIBP group (two doses of BBIBP-CorV, followed by third dose [booster] BBIBP-CorV), BBIBP-BBIBP group (two doses of BBIBP-CorV and no booster), and a placebo group. The BBIBP-BBIBP-ZF2001 group (appendix pp 6 and 10) showed the highest level of RBD-specific IgG, spike protein-specific IgG, and neutralising antibodies; the GMT of neutralising antibodies was 21 375, which was 3.4 times more than in the BBIBP-BBIBP-BBIBP group. The BBIBP-BBIBP-ZF2001 group also kept a consistent neutralisation against the SARS-CoV-2 variants, including kappa (B.1.617.1) and lambda (C.37), and the GMT of neutralising antibodies were higher than in any other groups (appendix p 6; -1.2 to 1.2 fold change compared with prototype, $p > 0.05$). In addition, using ZF2001 as a booster in the BBIBP-BBIBP-ZF2001 group provided a high level of GMT of neutralising antibodies (18 667) against SARS-CoV-2 delta variant (B.1.617.2); four times (4633) that of the GMTs in the BBIBP-BBIBP-BBIBP group and 14.6 times (1279) that of the GMTs in the BBIBP-BBIBP group. The BBIBP-BBIBP-ZF2001 group still had a GMT of neutralising antibodies of 18 635 against the most immune-escape variant of concern, beta (B.1.351), which is 8.6 times that of the BBIBP-BBIBP-BBIBP group (2157). Using ZF2001 as a booster vaccine elicits higher antibody response against SARS-CoV-2 wild type and SARS-CoV-2 variants in this study (appendix pp 4-10).

Compared with the BBIBP-CorV (inactivated vaccine), ZF2001 elicits a more focused antibody production by using SARS-CoV-2 spike RBD dimer as the antigen. Using two doses of BBIBP-CorV vaccine to prime and ZF2001 as a booster vaccine, the B cells producing RBD-specific antibodies—which takes up most of the neutralising antibodies—will be further activated.⁵ Based on evidence that ZF2001 as a booster vaccine following two inactivated vaccines could increase the neutralising antibody titre, especially for variants including delta, a heterologous booster vaccine using ZF2001 could be considered for the future immunisation programme.

We would like to thank Beijing Institute of Biological Products and Anhui Zhifei Longcom Biopharmaceutical for providing vaccines for the animal experiment. This work was supported by the National Key R&D Program of China (2020YFA0907102); the intramural special grant for SARS-CoV-2 research from the Chinese Academy of Sciences; the Strategic Priority Research Program of the Chinese Academy of Sciences (XDB29010202) and a grant from the Bill & Melinda Gates Foundation (INV-006377) to GFG. XZ is supported by Beijing Nova program of Science and Technology (Z191100001119030), and Youth Innovation Promotion Association of the CAS (20200920). GFG conceived the project. XZ, KX, and TL designed, and coordinated the experiments. RZ, DL, and CY performed the experiments. GFG, RZ, and XZ analysed the data. GFG and XZ drafted and revised the correspondence. GFG and KX are listed in the patent as the inventors of the RBD-dimer as a betacoronavirus vaccine. The patent has been licensed to Anhui Zhifei Longcom for protein subunit COVID-19 vaccine development. All other authors declare no competing interests.

Copyright © 2021 The Author(s). Published by Elsevier Ltd. This is an Open Access article under the CC BY-NC-ND 4.0 license.

Rong Zhang, Dedong Li, Kun Xu, Chenxi Yang, Tingrong Luo, *Xin Zhao, George F Gao
zhaoxin@im.ac.cn

Laboratory of Animal Infectious Diseases, College of Animal Sciences and Veterinary Medicine, Guangxi University, Nanning, China (RZ, TL); CAS Key Laboratory of Pathogen Microbiology and Immunology, Institute of Microbiology, Chinese Academy of Sciences, Beijing 100101, China (DL, KX, CY, XZ, GFG)

- 1 Xu K, Dai L, Gao GF. Humoral and cellular immunity and the safety of COVID-19 vaccines: a summary of data published by 21 May 2021. *Int Immunol* 2021; **33**: 529-40.
- 2 Gao GF. Science-based COVID-19 vaccine development. *Natl Sci Rev* 2021; **8**: nwab193.



Published Online
December 22, 2021
[https://doi.org/10.1016/S2666-5247\(21\)00331-1](https://doi.org/10.1016/S2666-5247(21)00331-1)

This online publication has been corrected. The corrected version first appeared at [thelancet.com/microbe](https://www.thelancet.com/microbe) on January 7, 2022

See Online for appendix

- 3 Levin EG, Lustig Y, Cohen C, et al. Waning immune humoral response to BNT162b2 Covid-19 vaccine over 6 Months. *N Engl J Med* 2021; published online Oct 6. <https://doi.org/10.1056/nejmoa2114583>.
- 4 Pozzetto B, Legros V, Djebali S, et al. Immunogenicity and efficacy of heterologous ChAdOx1-BNT162b2 vaccination. *Nature* 2021; published online Oct 21. <https://doi.org/10.1038/s41586-021-04120-y>.
- 5 McCallum M, De Marco A, Lempp FA, et al. N-terminal domain antigenic mapping reveals a site of vulnerability for SARS-CoV-2. *Cell* 2021; **184**: 2332–47.e16.