

Since January 2020 Elsevier has created a COVID-19 resource centre with free information in English and Mandarin on the novel coronavirus COVID-19. The COVID-19 resource centre is hosted on Elsevier Connect, the company's public news and information website.

Elsevier hereby grants permission to make all its COVID-19-related research that is available on the COVID-19 resource centre - including this research content - immediately available in PubMed Central and other publicly funded repositories, such as the WHO COVID database with rights for unrestricted research re-use and analyses in any form or by any means with acknowledgement of the original source. These permissions are granted for free by Elsevier for as long as the COVID-19 resource centre remains active. Contents lists available at ScienceDirect



Intelligent Systems with Applications



journal homepage: www.journals.elsevier.com/intelligent-systems-with-applications

# Weather Conditions and COVID-19 Cases: Insights from the GCC Countries



# Dana I. Abu-Abdoun<sup>a,\*</sup>, Sameh Al-Shihabi<sup>a,b</sup>

<sup>a</sup> Industrial Engineering and Engineering Management Department, University of Sharjah, PO Box Sharjah, 27272, United Arab Emirates <sup>b</sup> Industrial Engineering Department, School of Engineering, The University of Jordan, Amman 11942, Jordan

# ARTICLE INFO

Keywords: COVID-19 LSTM network Forecasting GCC country Weather conditions

# ABSTRACT

The prediction of new COVID-19 cases is crucial for decision makers in many countries. Researchers are continually proposing new models to forecast the future tendencies of this pandemic, among which long shortterm memory (LSTM) artificial neural networks have exhibited relative superiority compared to other forecasting techniques. Moreover, the correlation between the spread of COVID-19 and exogenous factors, specifically weather features, has been explored to improve forecasting models. However, contradictory results have been reported regarding the incorporation of weather features into COVID-19 forecasting models. Therefore, this study compares uni-variate with bi- and multi-variate LSTM forecasting models for predicting COVID-19 cases, among which the latter models consider weather features. LSTM models were used to forecast COVID-19 cases in the six Gulf Cooperation Council countries. The root mean square error (RMSE) and coefficient of determination  $(R^2)$  were employed to measure the accuracy of the LSTM forecasting models. Despite similar weather conditions, the weather features that exhibited the strongest correlation with COVID-19 cases differed among the six countries. Moreover, according to the statistical comparisons that were conducted, the improvements gained by including weather features were insignificant in terms of the RMSE values and marginally significant in terms of the  $R^2$  values. Consequently, it is concluded that the uni-variate LSTM models were as good as the best bi- and multi-variate LSTM models; therefore, weather features need not be included. Furthermore, we could not identify a single weather feature that can consistently improve the forecasting accuracy.

# 1. Introduction

The World Health Organization (WHO) declared the coronavirus disease (COVID-19) a pandemic on 11 March, 2020 (WHO, 2020). The severe acute respiratory syndrome coronavirus 2 (SARS-CoV-2), which is responsible for COVID-19, first appeared in Wuhan, Hubei Province, China, in December 2019 (Bodapati et al., 2020). Since then, COVID-19 has resulted in a significant public health crisis (Wang et al., 2022). As of February 2022, the number of confirmed COVID-19 cases had reached 422 million (Organization et al., 2022).

As with many other respiratory viruses, SARS-CoV-2 spreads via respiratory droplets, human-to-human contact, and aerosol transmission (Yin et al., 2022). According to the Centers for Disease Control and Prevention, physical contact, such as the touching of surfaces that carry viral particles, is another virus-spreading mechanism (Al-Qaness et al., 2020). Several studies have demonstrated that weather features can affect the spread and stability of respiratory infections (Choi et al., 2021; Liu et al., 2020). For example, ambient humidity, is a weather feature that can change the lifetime and size of respiratory droplets. It may

reduce the size of droplets to such an extent that they fall to the ground, or maintain them in the air such that they are absorbed into the respiratory tracts of vulnerable individuals (El Hassan et al., 2022; Pica and Bouvier, 2012).

Furthermore, temperature was found to be a significant factor affecting the COVID-19 outbreak in Wuhan, China (Chen et al., 2020). Liu et al. (2020) confirmed this finding by demonstrating that COVID-19 cases were linked to temperature in 17 cities in China. Liu et al. (2020) also found that a 1 °C increase in the ambient temperature led to a decline in the daily reported COVID-19 cases. The effect of temperature on the spread of COVID-19 cases was also reported in India (Sharma et al., 2020) and Indonesia (Tosepu et al., 2020). In addition to temperature, researchers have demonstrated that weather features, such as humidity can affect the spread of COVID-19 (Bhimala et al., 2020; Chen et al., 2020; Gupta et al., 2020; Méndez-Arriaga, 2020; Oliveiros et al., 2020; Wang et al., 2020). This association between weather conditions and the spread of COVID-19 is expected to improve COVID-19 forecasting models. However, other scholars have denied such an association (Briz-Redón and Serrano-Aroca, 2020; Igbal et al., 2020; Jahangiri

https://doi.org/10.1016/j.iswa.2022.200093

Received 2 February 2022; Received in revised form 11 June 2022; Accepted 15 June 2022

Available online 18 June 2022

<sup>\*</sup> Corresponding author.

<sup>2667-3053/© 2022</sup> The Author(s). Published by Elsevier Ltd. This is an open access article under the CC BY license (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/).

et al., 2020); consequently, it has been stated that weather conditions must be completely ignored in the development of COVID-19 forecasting models.

The forecasting of COVID-19 cases is important for decision makers who must implement appropriate precautionary measures, such as lockdowns and distance learning, to stop the spread of the virus, while maintaining regular economic activities. Several researchers have studied variants of artificial neural networks (ANNs) for forecasting COVID-19 cases, one of which is the long short-term memory (LSTM) network, which is a special type of ANN network that can deal with time series. Uni-variate LSTM networks (Chatterjee et al., 2020; Direkoglu and Sah, 2020; Elsheikh et al., 2021; Hartono, 2020; Vadyala et al., 2020) have been used to forecast COVID-19 cases and deaths based on previously published COVID-19 data. Certain researchers included meteorological conditions along with COVID-19 data in LSTM forecasting models by using multi-variate LSTM networks to forecast COVID-19 cases (Batool and Tian, 2021; Khennou and Akhloufi, 2021).

As stated previously, conflicting opinions exist regarding the role of weather conditions in the prediction of new COVID-19 cases. Therefore, in this study, we investigate these contradictions by comparing the accuracy of LSTM forecasting models that consider weather conditions with those that ignore weather conditions. We analyze the Gulf Cooperation Council (GCC) countries, which include the United Arab Emirates (UAE), Kingdom of Saudi Arabia (KSA), Bahrain, Kuwait, Qatar, and Oman. The GCC were selected owing to their similarity in terms of weather conditions, high COVID-19 testing rates, and preventive policies that have been adopted to curb the spread of the virus. Moreover, their high testing rates compared to the number of cases make the GCC countries reliable study objects (Al-Shihabi and Abu-Abdoun, 2021).

This study seeks to answer the following research questions (RQs):

- 1. (**RQ1**): Should the effects of weather on the spread of COVID-19 be the same for countries with similar weather conditions?
- (RQ2): Will the accuracy of LSTM forecasting models that only consider COVID-19 cases be improved by including relevant weather conditions in bi- or multi-variate LSTM forecasting models?

To answer **RQ1**, we (i) studied the correlation between weather conditions and COVID-19 cases and (ii) compared several bi- and multivariate LSTM models in terms of their accuracy in predicting the number of future COVID-19 cases. Surprisingly, the six countries provided different answers regarding the weather conditions to be included along with the COVID-19 cases for developing the most accurate LSTM forecasting model. To answer **RQ2**, after identifying the best bi- or multivariate LSTM model for each GCC country, we compared these models with uni-variate LSTM models that consider only COVID-19 cases. Using two accuracy measures, we found that the forecasting accuracy was only improved for three countries, and these improvements were not statistically significant. Therefore, weather features need not be considered as inputs into LSTM models that are used to forecast COVID-19 cases.

The main contributions of this study are as follows: (i) Uni-variate LSTM models for forecasting COVID-19 cases in the GCC countries are investigated. (ii) The correlations between COVID-19 cases and weather conditions in the GCC countries are examined. (iii) Several bi- and multi-variate LSTM models are developed to forecast COVID-19 cases in the GCC countries, which incorporate the most relevant weather features. (iv) The uni-variate LSTM models are compared with the best bi- and multi-variate LSTM models to answer **RQ1** and **RQ2**. Furthermore, the optimization of the configurations of the LSTM models using the Keras tuning algorithm can be considered as a minor contribution of this study. A limitation of this study is that it only considers LSTM forecasting models for the GCC countries.

The remainder of this paper is organized as follows. Section 2 presents the literature review. The dataset sources are outlined in Section 3, and Section 4 describes the experiments that were conducted. Finally, the conclusions and future work are summarized in Section 5.

# 2. Literature review

Techniques for predicting the spread of COVID-19 can be divided into three major categories: machine-learning models, statistical models, and mathematical models that use compartmental mathematics (Mohamadou et al., 2020). Among the compartmental mathematical models, the susceptible-exposed-infected-removed (SEIR) and susceptible-infected-recovered models are the most popular for forecasting the spread of COVID-19 (Pandey et al., 2020; Ranjan, 2020; RSY, 2020). Examples of statistical techniques for forecasting the spread of COVID-19 include simple techniques such as the moving average, weighted moving average, and single exponential smoothing methods (Elmousalami and Hassanien, 2020) and advanced techniques such as the auto-regressive integrated moving average (ARIMA) method (Roy et al., 2021; Talkhi et al., 2021).

Machine-learning forecasting techniques are more accurate than statistical models, and the superiority of LSTM models has been proven (Assaf et al., 2020). Consequently, in the following subsections, we review the related machine-learning techniques that have been employed to forecast the spread of COVID-19. This review is limited to methods that are relevant to this study; therefore, we focus on LSTM forecasting models and the effects of weather features on improving the forecasting accuracy.

# 2.1. Machine learning-related forecasting techniques

Machine-learning algorithms have been applied to forecast and model the spread of COVID-19. Malki et al. (2021) used a hybrid prediction model of decision trees and linear regression to forecast the spread of COVID-19 in 12 countries during the first week of September 2021. Ribeiro et al. (2020) evaluated the efficiency of the random forest and support vector regression models in forecasting the cumulative COVID-19 cases in Brazil. Sultana et al. (2022) employed linear regression, a multi-layer perceptron (MLP), and vector auto regression to predict various COVID-19 outbreaks in India. The random forest, support vector machine (SVM), and other machine-learning algorithms were used in a study by Alali et al. (2022) for predicting the confirmed and recovered COVID-19 cases in India and Brazil. Finally, in a recent study by Dairi et al. (2021a), an unsupervised detector that integrated a variational autoencoder for feature extraction with an SVM algorithm was proposed to detect COVID-19 cases using routine blood tests.

Among the machine-learning techniques, ANN-based methods have exhibited superiority over other methods in forecasting COVID-19 cases (Shetty and Pai, 2021). ANNs were developed based on the mechanisms of biological nerve systems (Maind et al., 2014). Several ANN variants have been proposed for predicting COVID-19 behavior in different regions. Tamang et al. (2020) used an ANN to construct a forecasting model for confirmed and fatal COVID-19 cases in India, the USA, the UK, and France, whereas Lounis et al. (2021) developed an inverse ANN model to estimate COVID-19 cases, deaths, and recoveries in Algeria.

Feed-forward neural networks and the MLP are forms of ANNs that have been used to forecast the spread rate of COVID-19 in India (Chakraborty et al., 2020; Shetty and Pai, 2021) and across the continental USA (Mollalo et al., 2020). Rizk-Allah and Hassanien (2020) presented a hybrid forecasting model that combines a multi-layer feed-forward neural network with an interior search algorithm to forecast the spread of COVID-19 in the USA, Italy, and Spain. Another ANN variant is the convolutional neural network (CNN), which has been used to forecast the number of confirmed COVID-19 cases in China (Huang et al., 2020). Similarly, Mohimont et al. (2021) developed multiple CNN models to forecast cumulative COVID-19 cases, daily cases, deaths, and recoveries in France. Researchers have also employed self-organizing map (SOM) networks, which are a form of ANNs that are considered to be ideal for data clustering (Ghaseminezhad and Karami, 2011). For example, several researchers (Hartono, 2020; Melin and Castillo, 2021) applied SOM networks to cluster countries in terms of COVID-19

Table 1	(continued)

Reference	Study area	Machine- learning model	Weather features	Findings of weather impact
Abdulkareem et al. (2021)	Korea	CNN, Decision tree, BayesNet	Temperature, humidity, wind speed, precipitation	Temperature, humidity, wind, and precipitation influence predicting COVID-19 cases.
Da Silva et al. (2020)			Temperature and precipitation	Temperature and precipitation increased the accuracy of COVID-19 prediction models.
Karimuzzaman et al. (2020)	9 countries	machine ARIMA, MLP, extreme learning machine, generalized linear count	Temperature, wind speed, pressure, humidity, precipitation	Temperature and humidity impact COVID-19 cases in all studied countries except Italy and Sri Lanka.
Malki et al. (2020b)	France, UK	Random forest	Temperature, humidity, sunny hours, wind speed	Weather variables influenced the prediction models compared to the other variables.
Ronald Doni et al. (2021)	India	Concurrent ANN, recurrent ANN, bi- directional ANN	Temperature, dew, humidity	Weather features enhanced the prediction of the model.
Pramanik et al. (2020)	Russia	Random forest	Temperature, humidity, wind speed, sunshine	Random forest model provided accurate predictions with weather features.
Khennou and Akhloufi (2021)	Canada	LSTM model	Temperature, humidity	Temperature and humidity increased the accuracy of COVID-19 forecasting model.
Aragão et al. (2022)	Brazil	LSTM model	Temperature, humidity	LSTM model's accuracy increased with the inclusion of weather conditions data.
Batool and Tian (2021)	Pakistan	LSTM model	Temperature, humidity	LSTM model with weather data achieved highest accuracy.
Bhimala et al. (2020)	India	LSTM model	Temperature, humidity	*Specific humidity influences the spread of COVID-19 in west and

Reference	Study area	Machine- learning model	Weather features	Findings of weather impact
				northwest regions in India. *Temperature impact COVID-19 spread in high humid regions in India.
Rashed and Hirata (2021)	Japan	LSTM model	Temperature, humidity	Merging temperature, humidity data in the LSTM model reported accurate predictions.
Pal et al. (2020)	USA	Shallow LSTM model	UV, temperature, perception, ozone, dew, and humidity	The prediction accuracy of the model was not affected by weather conditions data.
Iloanusi and Ross (2021)	20 countries	LSTM, random forest,	Temperature, rainfall, windspeed, irradiation, humidity	Temperature improved the accuracy of the forecasting models for most of the studied countries.
Gupta et al. (2021)	-	Convolutional neural network	Temperature, wind speed, sunlight, humidity	Only temperature, wind speed, and sunlight enhanced the performance of the CNN model.

transmission and to predict COVID-19 cases.

# 2.2. LSTM forecasting models

LSTM is an improved version of the recurrent neural network (RNN) that is used to solve the scaling issue in RNNs (Sundermeyer et al., 2012). LSTM networks can easily handle time series because they have a high capacity to learn dependencies and analyze large amounts of data over a long period (Marzouk et al., 2021). Therefore, various LSTM network-based forecasting models have been applied since the beginning of the COVID-19 pandemic. LSTM forecasting models have been used to forecast COVID-19 cases, deaths, and recoveries (Bodapati et al., 2020; Direkoglu and Sah, 2020; Elsheikh et al., 2021; Yudistira, 2020). Ghany et al. (2021) established two uni-variate LSTM models to forecast COVID-19 cases and deaths in the GCC countries.

The bi-directional and encoder-decoder LSTM are improved versions of LSTM. The former enables information to flow from the backward and forward layers (Zeroual et al., 2020), whereas the latter is a popular sequence-to-sequence network that provides a simple and automated approach for sequential data modeling (Du et al., 2020). Chandra et al. (2021) used an encoder-decoder and bi-directional LSTM network for short-term COVID-19 infection forecasting in India. Furthermore, a bi-directional LSTM model was proposed by Aldhyani and Alkahtani (2021) to forecast COVID-19 cases and deaths in the GCC countries based on previous trends in COVID-19 in the Gulf region. Pustokhin et al. (2020) proposed a novel residual network based on the bi-directional LSTM network for COVID-19 detection. The residual

Demographic and meteorological information about the GCC countries.

Country	Population	Area	rea Temperature		rea Temperature Humidity			Wind speed		Dew Point	
	(million)	( <i>km</i> <sup>2</sup> )	Max.	Min	Max.	Min	Max.	Min	Max.	Min	
UAE	9.7	83,600	47	13	100	0	32	0	88	0	
KSA	34.77	2,150,000	46	17	94	3	32	0	84	9	
Kuwait	4.207	17,818	52	3	100	0	46	0	86	0	
Oman	4.975	309,501	47	11	100	0	58	0	97	0	
Bahrain	1.64	780	45	13	94	7	33	0	90	25	
Qatar	2.64	11,521	48	13	100	0	30	0	90	36	

bi-directional LSTM network provides more efficient training and validation, with a short path during training, compared to an ordinary LSTM network (Malki et al., 2020a).

Convolutional LSTM (CNN-LSTM) is another extension of the standard LSTM network that can interpret 2D spatio-temporal data (Shastri et al., 2020). A CNN-LSTM network-based forecasting model was proposed by Ketu and Mishra (2021) to forecast the total number of COVID-19 cases across 29 states in India. Zain and Alturki (2021) demonstrated that the CNN-LSTM forecasting model for global COVID-19 patients outperformed other forecasting models such as CNN and LSTM network-based models as well as statistical models such as ARIMA. Similarly, the CNN-LSTM proposed by Dairi et al. (2021b) exhibited improved performance in forecasting COVID-19 cases compared to the SVM, gated RNNs, CNN, and restricted Boltzmann machine. However, Arora et al. (2020) developed a bi-directional LSTM forecasting model that was superior to CNN-LSTM models in terms of accuracy.

Moreover, hybrid techniques that combine LSTM models with other machine-learning techniques have been developed. A hybrid forecasting model consisting of K-means-LSTM was proposed by Vadyala et al. (2020) to forecast COVID-19 cases in Louisiana, USA, which was more accurate than the SEIR model. Ayoobi et al. (2021) proposed a bi-directional CNN-LSTM forecasting model to predict COVID-19 cases and deaths in Australia and Iran. Furthermore, the hybrid forecasting model developed by Zheng et al. (2020) embedded LSTM into an improved susceptible-infected epidemiological model to predict the cumulative number of COVID-19 cases in China.

# 2.3. COVID-19 forecasting and weather conditions

Table 1 summarizes the COVID-19 forecasting models that include weather features. Column 2 indicates the study area, and column 3 displays the machine-learning algorithm used for forecasting. Column 4 lists the tested weather features, and column 5 summarizes the findings of the study.

Abdulkareem et al. (2021) used principal component analysis for selecting relevant weather features to improve the prediction accuracy of three machine-learning algorithms that were used to predict COVID-19 cases. This improvement was also demonstrated by Da Silva et al. (2020), who included temperature and precipitation data in their machine-learning forecasting algorithms. Karimuzzaman et al. (2020) showed that temperature and humidity had a significant influence on the spread of COVID-19 in several countries, with the exception of Italy and Sri Lanka. Similar to the work of Karimuzzaman et al. (2020), Malki et al. (2020b) confirmed the role of temperature and humidity in forecasting COVID-19 mortality rates in France and the UK. Furthermore, Ronald Doni et al. (2021) claimed that the inclusion of temperature and humidity improved the accuracy of their ANN-based forecasting models. A study by Pramanik et al. (2020) revealed the significant effect of weather variables, such as temperature, humidity, sunshine, and wind speed, on COVID-19 cases and mortality in Russia.

The cited studies used different machine-learning and statistical techniques that did not include LSTM models. The LSTM models that have been used to predict COVID-19-related problems are either bi- or

multi-variate, and use weather features as inputs. For example, Khennou and Akhloufi (2021) considered daily temperature, humidity, and precipitation data to forecast the progression of COVID-19 in Canada, whereas Aragão et al. (2022) used temperature, humidity, and air quality index data to forecast COVID-19 deaths in Brazil. Aragão et al. (2022) demonstrated that the inclusion of weather data in the multi-variate LSTM model resulted in higher prediction accuracy compared to that of the uni-variate LSTM model with only COVID-19 deaths as a single input. Batool and Tian (2021) and Bhimala et al. (2020) stated that temperature and humidity were significant parameters in forecasting COVID-19 cases in Pakistan and India, respectively. Rashed and Hirata (2021) merged the maximum temperature, average humidity, and mobility data in an LSTM model developed to forecast COVID-19 cases in Japan. Rashed and Hirata (2021) reported that the predicted COVID-19 cases were consistent with the actual reported COVID-19 cases, and a slight increase in the prediction accuracy was achieved when using meteorological data.

Despite the consensus among the abovementioned researchers regarding the importance of including weather data in forecasting models, several researchers have offered contradicting opinions in this regard. For example, Pal et al. (2020) demonstrated that the prediction accuracy of their LSTM model in forecasting COVID-19 cases, deaths, and recoveries in the USA did not improve when including weather data. Several weather parameters were included as inputs in the multi-variate LSTM model proposed by Iloanusi and Ross (2021) for predicting the COVID-19 cases-to-mortality ratio in 36 countries. According to their study, only temperature was related to an increase in the prediction accuracy, and this increase was only detected in hot countries. The findings of Iloanusi and Ross (2021) do not align with those of previous studies, which indicated that temperature and humidity must be considered together. Moreover, temperature has been shown to improve the forecasting accuracy in cold countries, such as Canada (Khennou and Akhloufi, 2021) and Russia (Pramanik et al., 2020), and these results were rejected by Iloanusi and Ross (2021). Furthermore, Gupta et al. (2021) demonstrated that humidity does not improve the forecasting accuracy, which contradicts the claims of Karimuzzaman et al. (2020), Malki et al. (2020b), and Ronald Doni et al. (2021). Consequently, further research is required to understand the effects of weather on COVID-19 forecasting models.

## 3. Study context

This section provides important information regarding the countries that were studied, particularly in terms of their weather conditions. Furthermore, the data used in this study are described in detail.

## 3.1. Study area

This study included data from the GCC countries. These countries have similar environmental and weather conditions, such as limited rainfall and long summers with high temperatures. Furthermore, the GCC countries have adopted similar strategies to restrict the spread of the COVID-19 outbreak (Alandijany et al., 2020). Table 2 lists the demographic information and meteorological variables of the GCC



Fig. 1. COVID-19 cases in the GCC countries from April 2020 to September 2021.



Fig. 2. Average temperature in the GCC countries from April 2020 to September 2021.

#### countries.

## 3.2. Dataset description

The daily reported cases of COVID-19 in the GCC countries were obtained from the WHO coronavirus dashboard<sup>1</sup> and compared with the local dataset that was provided by the health ministries in the GCC countries. Fig. 1 depicts the timeline of the COVID-19 cases in the GCC countries that were included in our study (April 2020 to September 2021). The highest numbers of COVID-19 cases detected in the UAE, KSA, Kuwait, Bahrain, Qatar, and Oman were 4471, 4919, 1993, 3273, 2355, and 3910, respectively. We ended the study by September 2021 as vaccination campaigns started in October 2021. Vaccination is expected to decrease COVID-19 transmission by reducing symptomatic and asymptomatic infections, and hindering the person-to-person spread of the virus (Eyre et al., 2022). Moreover, as argued by Iloanusi and Ross (2021), more than one season is required to study the effects of weather on the spread of COVID-19.

Data related to weather conditions for the GCC countries were

extracted from the Weather Underground website, in which weather conditions were collected from more than 29,000 weather stations globally<sup>2</sup>. The weather features that were included in this study were the average temperature (°C), average humidity (%), average dew point (°F), and average wind speed (mph). Figs. 2 to 5 present the observed weather conditions in the GCC countries during the study period.

# 4. Experiment

In this section, we first describe the internal structure and components of the LSTM network. Subsequently, we explain the selection of the weather features that were used to construct the bi- and multivariate LSTM models. Thereafter, we demonstrate the configuration of the LSTM forecasting models. Finally, we present our experimental results and compare them with those of the LSTM forecasting models.

Python, which is a high-level general-purpose programming language, was used to develop all LSTM forecasting models. We used several deep-learning packages, such as NumPy, Pandas, TensorFlow, Keras, Matplotlib, Seaborn, and scikit-learn, to construct our forecasting

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> WHO COVID-19 Dashboard (https://covid19.who.int/).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> Weather Underground (wunderground.com)







Fig. 4. Average dew point in the GCC countries from April 2020 to September 2021.



Fig. 5. Average wind speed in the GCC countries from April 2020 to September 2021.

models.

# 4.1. LSTM ANNs

In a typical RNN model, the gradient vanishes during backpropagation, which prevents the neural networks from learning longterm temporal correlations (Hochreiter and Schmidhuber, 1997). Consequently, in 1997, LSTMs were proposed to overcome the limitations of RNNs. LSTMs are considered to be among the most feasible forecasting tools for prediction tasks (Arora et al., 2020).

Fig. 6 depicts an LSTM network, whereas Fig. 7 shows the internal structure of an LSTM cell. An LSTM unit consists of three inputs: the





previous cell state  $c_{t-1}$ , previous hidden state  $h_{t-1}$ , and current input vector  $x_t$ . A tanh function is generally used as the nonlinear activation function  $\sigma$  before all gates, as illustrated in Fig. 7. An LSTM cell consists of three gates: input, forget, and output. This arrangement inhibits the memory cells from retaining information across several time steps. The states of the gates are calculated using Eqs. (1)–(3).

x(t)

$$i_t = \sigma(w_i x_t + u_i h_{t-1} + b_i) \tag{1}$$

$$f_t = \sigma(w_f x_t + u_f h_{t-1} + b_f) \tag{2}$$

$$o_t = \sigma(w_o x_t + u_o h_{t-1} + b_o) \tag{3}$$

Based on  $h_{t-1}$  and  $x_t$ , an intermediate state  $\widetilde{C}_t$  is generated, as indicated in Eq. (4). Subsequently, the memory cell and hidden state of the LSTM are updated, as shown in Eqs. (5) and (6), respectively. Here,  $\odot$  denotes the point-wise multiplication operation for the two vectors. In all of the above equations, the set of weights  $\{w_i, w_f, w_o, w_t, w_{ch}, u_i, u_f, u_o, b_i, b_f, b_o, b_c\}$  is determined by optimizing the LSTM forecasting model.

$$C_t = \tanh(x_t w_t + w_{ch} h_{t-1} + b_c) \tag{4}$$

$$C_{(t)} = \sigma(f_t \odot C_{t-1} + i_t \odot C_t)$$
(5)

$$h_{(t)} = o_t \odot tanh(C_t) \tag{6}$$

The uni-variate forecasting model considers only one data stream. In contrast, the bi- and multi-variate LSTM forecasting networks consider two or more inputs. In this study, COVID-19 cases were used as inputs in all the models. One or more weather features were also included as inputs in the bi- and multi-variate LSTM forecasting models.

# 4.2. Feature selection

Feature selection refers to selecting the most relevant features for accomplishing the classification task with the smallest error (Pai and Ilango, 2020). We chose the Pearson correlation coefficient  $\rho$  as a metric for selecting the appropriate input features. Figs. 8–13 present heat maps that reflect the  $\rho$  values between the four selected weather features, namely the temperature (°C), humidity (%), dew point (°F), and wind speed (mph), with the COVID-19 cases in each GCC country. A strong positive correlation of  $\rho = 1$  is indicated by dark red, whereas a strong negative correlation  $\rho = -1$  is indicated by dark blue in the heat

D.I. Abu-Abdoun and S. Al-Shihabi



Fig. 8. UAE heat map correlation.



Fig. 9. KSA heat map correlation.

map. Table 3 lists the weather features for for  $\rho \ge 0.2$ . We used these features to construct the multi-variate LSTM models.

# 4.3. LSTM models

We developed the following seven LSTM forecasting models for each GCC country to predict COVID-19 cases:

- 1. A uni-variate LSTM model that takes COVID-19 cases as input.
- 2. Four bi-variate LSTM forecasting models. Each bi-variate LSTM model takes one weather feature (i.e., temperature, humidity, dew point, and wind speed) as an input along with the COVID-19 cases.

Intelligent Systems with Applications 15 (2022) 200093



Fig. 10. Bahrain heat map correlation.



Fig. 11. Qatar heat map correlation.

3. Two multi-variate LSTM forecasting models that use the weather features listed in Table 3 as the input with the COVID-19 cases.

As data scaling improves the performance of forecasting models, the min-max scalar algorithm from the scikit-learn library was used to scale the weather features and COVID-19 case data to values between 0 and 1. Moreover, the datasets of COVID-19 and the weather conditions in each forecasting model were divided into 80% for training and 20% for testing. During the training of the LSTM models, the *Adam* optimizer was used to optimize the mean squared error (MSE) loss. The neural networks of the LSTM forecasting models were trained for 40 epochs, with a batch size of 1. All LSTM models were configured using the Keras tuning



Fig. 12. Kuwait heat map correlation.



Fig. 13. Oman heat map correlation.

Weather factors selected for multi-variate LSTM models.

Country	High correlated weather factors			
UAE	Temperature, Dew point, Wind speed			
KSA	Temperature, Wind speed			
Bahrain	Humidity			
Kuwait	Temperature, Dew point, Wind speed, Humidity			
Qatar	Dew point, Humidity			
Oman	Temperature			

Intelligent Systems with Applications 1	15	(2022)	200093
---	----	--------	--------

# Table 4

Keras-tuning results on models configurations.

Country	Model type	H	Iyperparamete	rs with keras tunir	ıg
		Input	Hidden	Hidden	MSE
		nodes	layers	nodes	
UAE	Multi-variate	160	2	300,10	0.002
UIL	High correlated	280	1	0	0.002
	0	280	1	0	0.002
	factors				
	Uni-variate	20	2	220,220	0.002
	Bi-variate	300	2	280,10	0.002
	Windspeed				
	Bi-variate	30	2	80,10	0.002
	Dewpoint				
	Bi-variate	140	2	20,60	0.002
	Humidity			-	
	Bi-variate	270	2	20,10	0.002
	Temperature	2/0	-	20,10	0.002
KSA	*	30	1	40.10	0.001
NЗА	Multi-variate			40,10	0.001
	High correlated	210	3	90,10,10	0.001
	factors		_		
	Uni-variate	100	3	170,10,10	0.001
	Bi-variate	50	3	220,10,10	0.001
	Windspeed				
	Bi-variate	20	2	80,10	0.001
	Dewpoint				
	Bi-variate	210	2	60,190	0.001
	Humidity				
	Bi-variate	290	2	30,300	0.001
	Temperature	290	2	30,300	0.001
Kuwait	*	70	0	070.00	0.004
Kuwait	Multi-variate	70	2	270,80	0.004
	High correlated	70	2	270,80	0.004
	factors				
	Uni-variate	200	3	190,290,240	0.004
	Bi-variate	50	2	280,210	0.004
	Windspeed				
	Bi-variate	70	3	260,50,160	0.004
	Dewpoint				
	Bi-variate	280	1	170	0.004
	Humidity	200	1	170	0.00
		00	2	00.200	0.004
	Bi-variate	90	2	90,200	0.004
	Temperature				
Bahrain	Multi-variate	160	3	60,10,10	0.001
	High correlated	120	2	210,50	0.001
	factors				
	Uni-variate	90	2	20,10	0.001
	Bi-variate	130	2	60,20	0.001
	Windspeed				
	Bi-variate	70	3	240,10,10	0.001
	Dewpoint				
	Bi-variate	20	2	60,240	0.001
	Humidity	20	2	00,210	0.001
		150	3	10 80 1 20	0.001
	Bi-variate	120	э	10,80,130	0.001
0-4	Temperature	010		100	0.00
Qatar	Multi-variate	210	1	180	0.001
	High correlated	210	2	50,120	0.001
	factors				
	Uni-variate	160	2	170,160	0.001
	Bi-variate	30	1	70	0.001
	Windspeed				
	Bi-variate	270	2	260,40	0.002
	Dewpoint	_/ •	-	,	
	Bi-variate	220	2	270,230	0.001
		220	2	270,230	0.001
	Humidity	20	0	70.070	0.001
	Bi-variate	20	2	70,270	0.001
_	Temperature				
Oman	Multi-variate	170	1	20	0.006
	High correlated	200	2	110,190	0.007
	factors				
	Uni-variate	220	2	40,10	0.007
	Bi-variate	160	1	70	0.007
	Windspeed		-		5.507
	Bi-variate	210	2	170 70	0.007
		210	4	170,70	0.007
	Dewpoint	0.00	1	200	0.000
	Bi-variate	260	1	280	0.008
	Humidity				
	Bi-variate	110	1	220	0.007
	Temperature				

## LSTM models accuracy evaluation.

Country	LSTM model	Evaluation metrics		Country	LSTM model	Evaluation metrics	
		RMSE	$R^2$			RMSE	$R^2$
7*UAE	Multi-variate	94.7	0.95	Bahrain	Multi-variate	184.76	0.85
	High correlated factors	86.28	0.97		High correlated factors	128.81	0.93
	Uni-variate	148.1	0.98		Uni-variate	110.87	0.94
	Bi-variate Wind speed	137.79	0.96		Bi-variate Wind speed	114.56	0.94
	Bi-variate Dew point	117.34	0.97		Bi-variate Dew point	182.43	0.85
	Bi-variate Humidity	111.89	0.97		Bi-variate Humidity	128.81	0.93
	Bi-variate Temperature	131.02	0.96		Bi-variate Temperature	134.33	0.92
KSA	Multi-variate	178.5	0.97	Qatar	Multi-variate	87.46	0.93
	High correlated factors	186.38	0.97		High correlated factors	77.46	0.95
	Uni-variate	173.73	0.97		Uni-variate	68.23	0.95
	Bi-variate Wind speed	184.05	0.97		Bi-variate Wind speed	80.48	0.94
	Bi-variate Dew point	197.07	0.97		Bi-variate Dew point	75.04	0.95
	Bi-variate Humidity	176.47	0.97		Bi-variate Humidity	74.54	0.95
	Bi-variate Temperature	165.13	0.98		Bi-variate Temperature	93	0.92
Kuwait	Multi-variate	129.43	0.90	Oman	Multi-variate	268.7	0.79
	High correlated factors	129.43	0.90		High correlated factors	273.97	0.78
	Uni-variate	122.05	0.79		Uni-variate	275.41	0.70
	Bi-variate Wind speed	141.94	0.87		Bi-variate Wind speed	280.26	0.77
	Bi-variate Dew point	133.57	0.86		Bi-variate Dew point	271.73	0.78
	Bi-variate Humidity	150.91	0.85		Bi-variate Humidity	255.24	0.80
	Bi-variate Temperature	137.17	0.85		Bi-variate Temperature	273.97	0.78



Fig. 14.  $R^2$  box-plot for the GCC countries' models.

algorithm to ensure that the highest possible prediction accuracy was achieved by all forecasting models.

The LSTM model architecture is composed of three layers: an input layer, a hidden layer, and an output layer. The Keras tuning algorithm selects the hyperparameters of the layers, namely the number of hidden layers, number of nodes in each layer, and activation function in each layer.

A range for each hyperparameter was selected in the Keras tuning algorithm, and the best parameter values were selected by optimizing the accuracy measure. The objective function of the Keras tuning algorithm was set to the minimum MSE. The configurations of the LSTM models for each GCC country, as determined by the Keras tuning algorithm, are presented in Table 4. Column 1 of Table 4 indicates the country name, column 2 lists the model type, and columns 3 and 4 present the numbers of input and hidden layers, respectively, for each LSTM model. Furthermore, the number of hidden nodes is indicated in column 5. It should be noted that for all models, one output unit was selected to show the expected number of COVID-19 cases. Column 6 lists the MSE values that were obtained for each LSTM model after being optimized by the Keras tuning algorithm. Either sigmoid or tanh activation function was selected for the gates in all models.

# Boxplot of RMSE values



Fig. 15. RMSE box-plot for the GCC countries' models.

#### Table 6

 $\mathbb{R}^2$  comparison between uni-variate LSTM model and bi-, multi-variate LSTM model.

Country	$R^2$ values		RMSE values		
	Uni- variate	Best bi- or multi- variate	Uni- variate	Best bi- or multi- variate	
UAE	0.98	0.97	148.1	86.28	
KSA	0.97	0.98	173.73	165.13	
Bahrain	0.94	0.94	110.87	128.81	
Qatar	0.95	0.95	68.23	74.54	
Kuwait	0.80	0.90	122.05	129.43	
Oman	0.70	0.79	275.41	255.24	

#### 4.4. Model evaluation

A comparison between the actual and predicted values of the COVID-19 cases was performed to evaluate the prediction accuracy of the proposed LSTM forecasting models, as presented in Table 5. We calculated the root mean square error (RMSE) and coefficient of determination ( $R^2$ ) using Eqs. (7) and (8), respectively. Consequently, we graphically summarized the results in Table 5 using the boxplots depicted in Figs. 14 and 15 for the  $R^2$  and RMSE, respectively. The boxplots present several descriptive measures of the distribution of the  $R^2$  and RMSE values for each country.

$$RMSE = \sqrt{\left(\frac{1}{n}\right)\sum_{i=1}^{n} (\hat{y_i} - y_i)^2}$$
(7)

$$R^{2} = 1 - \frac{\sum_{i=1}^{n} (y_{i} - \hat{y_{i}})^{2}}{\sum_{i=1}^{n} (y_{i} - \overline{y})^{2}}$$
(8)

# 4.5. Main results

The results reported in Table 5 are summarized in Table 6, which compares the results of the best bi- or multi-variate model with those of

the uni-variate model, considering both the RMSE and  $R^2$  values as performance measures. Column 1 of Table 6 indicates the country name, whereas columns 2 and 3, and 4 and 5, present comparisons of the best results that were obtained for the  $R^2$  and RMSE, respectively. We used a paired t-test to determine whether a significant difference existed between the uni-variate and best bi- or multi-variate models. The *P*-values for the one-sided test were 0.09 and 0.22 for the  $R^2$  and RMSE, respectively.

As we compared countries that have similar weather conditions, we expected to provide a single answer to **RQ1**. However, we were incorrect in our expectations, as the significant weather features that could affect COVID-19 cases differed for the different countries. This could be observed by considering the following:

- 1. The correlation coefficients between the COVID-19 cases and weather features: The correlation coefficient between the temperature and COVID-19 cases was high in KSA, Kuwait, Oman, and UAE, but not in Bahrain and Qatar. Moreover, humidity was significantly correlated with COVID-19 cases in Bahrain, Qatar, and Kuwait, but not in the other GCC countries.
- 2. The best bi- and multi-variate LSTM forecasting models: The best bivariate model for KSA was the model that considers the temperature as a second input to the model. However, the worst-performing bivariate model for Qatar also considers temperature as an input.

**RQ2** asks whether the accuracy improvement of LSTM models that consider weather conditions is significant. As indicated in Table 6, according to the  $R^2$  values, the inclusion of weather conditions in the biand multi-variate LSTM forecasting models resulted in a higher prediction accuracy for KSA, Kuwait, and Oman. However, the uni-variate LSTM, which ignores weather features, was superior to the bi- and multi-variate models for Bahrain and Qatar.

To answer **RQ2** quantitatively, we tested the null hypothesis that there is no difference in accuracy between the uni-variate and bi-variate LSTM forecasting models using the results summarized in Table 6, which shows two accuracy measures. For  $R^2$ , we rejected this null hypothesis for a level of significance  $\alpha$  equivalent to 0.1. However, we did not reject the hypothesis for RMSE. These contradictory results can be attributed to the relatively large difference between the  $R^2$  values for Kuwait and Oman, as indicated in the final two rows of Table 6.

### 5. Conclusions and future work

The objective of this study was to determine whether the inclusion of weather data to predict COVID-19 cases using an LSTM forecasting model would improve the forecasting accuracy of the model. This study was conducted on COVID-19 cases in the GCC countries for over one year. To achieve the objective, we compared a uni-variate LSTM model that considered only COVID-19 cases with other bi- and multi-variate models that considered COVID-19 cases and weather features. We evaluated the performance of the LSTM forecasting models using two performance measures, namely the  $R^2$  and RMSE, after optimizing the LSTM configuration using the Keras tuning algorithm.

The experiments that were conducted demonstrated that the inclusion of weather features did not significantly improve the precision of the LSTM models. This conclusion was based on statistical tests in which the uni-variate model was compared with the best bi- and multi-variate LSTM models. Moreover, we expected that the best bi-variate LSTM models would use the same weather features because the GCC countries experienced similar weather conditions; however, this was an incorrect supposition. The best bi-variate LSTM models included different features for different countries.

This study was limited to the GCC countries and the period between April 2020 and September 2021. Furthermore, we could not extend our conclusions to other forecasting models, such as auto-regressive moving average exogenous and other ANN-based models. Finally, we only considered two performance measures, namely the  $R^2$  and RMSE, in our comparisons, and we obtained differences in the accuracy improvement and statistical testing results. Consequently, these observations cannot be extended to other performance metrics.

This study can be extended in two directions. First, the experiment that uses LSTM to forecast COVID-19 cases can be repeated using other time series and machine-learning forecasting algorithms. Second, the experiment can be extended to include countries that experience cold weather conditions. The merits of this research are not limited to COVID-19, but are also relevant to the spread of other viruses, such as influenza.

# CRediT authorship contribution statement

**Dana I. Abu-Abdoun:** Data curation, Formal analysis, Writing – original draft. **Sameh Al-Shihabi:** Data curation, Formal analysis, Writing – original draft.

# **Declaration of Competing Interest**

The authors declare that they have no known competing financial interests or personal relationships that could have appeared to influence the work reported in this paper.

#### References

- Abdulkareem, A. B., Sani, N. S., Sahran, S., Alyessari, Z. A. A., Adam, A., Abd Rahman, A. H., & Abdulkarem, A. B. (2021). Predicting covid-19 based on environmental factors with machine learning. *Intelligent Automation and Soft Computing*, 305–320.
- Al-Qaness, M. A., Ewees, A. A., Fan, H., & Abd El Aziz, M. (2020). Optimization method for forecasting confirmed cases of covid-19 in china. *Journal of Clinical Medicine*, 9 (3), 674.
- Al-Shihabi, S., & Abu-Abdoun, D. I. (2021). What to forecast when forecasting new covid-19 cases? jordan and the united arab emirates as case studies. *International conference* on modelling, computation and optimization in information systems and management sciences (pp. 361–372). Springer.
- Alali, Y., Harrou, F., & Sun, Y. (2022). A proficient approach to forecast covid-19 spread via optimized dynamic machine learning models. *Scientific Reports*, 12(1), 1–20.

- Alandijany, T. A., Faizo, A. A., & Azhar, E. I. (2020). Coronavirus disease of 2019 (covid-19) in the gulf cooperation council (gcc) countries: Current status and management practices. *Journal of infection and public health*, 13(6), 839–842.
- Aldhyani, T. H., & Alkahtani, H. (2021). A bidirectional long short-term memory model algorithm for predicting covid-19 in gulf countries. *Life*, 11(11), 1118.
- Aragão, D. P., Oliveira, E. V., Bezerra, A. A., Dos Santos, D. H., Da Silva Junior, A. G., Pereira, I. G., Piscitelli, P., Miani, A., Distante, C., Cuno, J. S., et al. (2022). Multivariate data driven prediction of covid-19 dynamics: Towards new results with temperature, humidity and air quality data. *Environmental Research*, 204, 112348.
- Arora, P., Kumar, H., & Panigrahi, B. K. (2020). Prediction and analysis of covid-19 positive cases using deep learning models: A descriptive case study of india. *Chaos, Solitons & Fractals, 139*, 110017.
- Assaf, D., Gutman, Y., Neuman, Y., Segal, G., Amit, S., Gefen-Halevi, S., Shilo, N., Epstein, A., Mor-Cohen, R., Biber, A., et al. (2020). Utilization of machine-learning models to accurately predict the risk for critical covid-19. *Internal and emergency medicine*, 15(8), 1435–1443.
- Ayoobi, N., Sharifrazi, D., Alizadehsani, R., Shoeibi, A., Gorriz, J. M., Moosaei, H., Khosravi, A., Nahavandi, S., Chofreh, A. G., Goni, F. A., et al. (2021). Time series forecasting of new cases and new deaths rate for covid-19 using deep learning methods. arXiv preprint arXiv:2104.15007.
- Batool, H., & Tian, L. (2021). Correlation determination between covid-19 and weather parameters using time series forecasting: A case study in pakistan. *Mathematical Problems in Engineering*, 2021.
- Bhimala, K. R., Patra, G. K., Mopuri, R., & Mutheneni, S. R. (2020). Prediction of covid-19 cases using the weather integrated deep learning approach for india. *Transboundary and Emerging Diseases.*
- Bodapati, S., Bandarupally, H., & Trupthi, M. (2020). Covid-19 time series forecasting of daily cases, deaths caused and recovered cases using long short term memory networks. 2020 ieee 5th international conference on computing communication and automation (iccca) (pp. 525–530). IEEE.
- Briz-Redón, Á., & Serrano-Aroca, Á. (2020). A spatio-temporal analysis for exploring the effect of temperature on covid-19 early evolution in spain. *Science of the total* environment, 728, 138811.
- Chakraborty, S., Choudhary, A. K., Sarma, M., & Hazarika, M. K. (2020). Reaction order and neural network approaches for the simulation of covid-19 spreading kinetic in india. *Infectious Disease Modelling*, 5, 737–747.
- Chandra, R., Jain, A., & Chauhan, D. S. (2021). Deep learning via lstm models for covid-19 infection forecasting in india. arXiv preprint arXiv:2101.11881.
- Chatterjee, A., Gerdes, M. W., & Martinez, S. G. (2020). Statistical explorations and univariate timeseries analysis on covid-19 datasets to understand the trend of disease spreading and death. *Sensors*, 20(11), 3089.
- Chen, B., Liang, H., Yuan, X., Hu, Y., Xu, M., Zhao, Y., Zhang, B., Tian, F., & Zhu, X. (2020). Predicting the local covid-19 outbreak around the world with meteorological conditions: a model-based qualitative study. *BMJ open*, 10(11), e041397.
- Choi, Y.-W., Tuel, A., & Eltahir, E. A. (2021). On the environmental determinants of covid-19 seasonality. *Geohealth, e2021GH000413*, 5(6).
- Da Silva, R. G., Ribeiro, M. H. D. M., Mariani, V. C., & dos Santos Coelho, L. (2020). Forecasting brazilian and american covid-19 cases based on artificial intelligence coupled with climatic exogenous variables. *Chaos, Solitons & Fractals, 139*, 110027.
- Dairi, A., Harrou, F., & Sun, Y. (2021a). Deep generative learning-based 1-svm detectors for unsupervised covid-19 infection detection using blood tests. *IEEE Transactions on Instrumentation and Measurement*.
- Dairi, A., Harrou, F., Zeroual, A., Hittawe, M. M., & Sun, Y. (2021b). Comparative study of machine learning methods for covid-19 transmission forecasting. *Journal of Biomedical Informatics*, 118, 103791.
- Direkoglu, C., & Sah, M. (2020). Worldwide and regional forecasting of coronavirus (covid-19) spread using a deep learning model. *medRxiv*.
- Du, S., Li, T., Yang, Y., & Horng, S.-J. (2020). Multivariate time series forecasting via attention-based encoder-decoder framework. *Neurocomputing*, 388, 269–279.
- El Hassan, M., Assoum, H., Bukharin, N., Al Otaibi, H., Mofijur, M., & Sakout, A. (2022). A review on the transmission of covid-19 based on cough/sneeze/breath flows. *The European Physical Journal Plus*, *137*(1), 1.
- Elmousalami, H. H., & Hassanien, A. E. (2020). Day level forecasting for coronavirus disease (covid-19) spread: analysis, modeling and recommendations. arXiv preprint arXiv:2003.07778.
- Elsheikh, A. H., Saba, A. I., Abd Elaziz, M., Lu, S., Shanmugan, S., Muthuramalingam, T., Kumar, R., Mosleh, A. O., Essa, F., & Shehabeldeen, T. A. (2021). Deep learningbased forecasting model for covid-19 outbreak in saudi arabia. *Process Safety and Environmental Protection*, 149, 223–233.
- Eyre, D. W., Taylor, D., Purver, M., Chapman, D., Fowler, T., Pouwels, K. B., Walker, A. S., & Peto, T. E. (2022). Effect of covid-19 vaccination on transmission of alpha and delta variants. *New England Journal of Medicine*.
- Ghany, K. K. A., Zawbaa, H. M., & Sabri, H. M. (2021). Covid-19 prediction using lstm algorithm: Gcc case study. *Informatics in Medicine Unlocked*, 23, 100566.
- Ghaseminezhad, M., & Karami, A. (2011). A novel self-organizing map (som) neural network for discrete groups of data clustering. *Applied Soft Computing*, 11(4), 3771–3778.
- Gupta, A., Pradhan, B., & Maulud, K. N. A. (2020). Estimating the impact of daily weather on the temporal pattern of covid-19 outbreak in india. *Earth Systems and Environment*, 4(3), 523–534.
- Gupta, Y., Raghuwanshi, G., Ahmadini, A. A. H., Sharma, U., Mishra, A. K., Mashwani, W. K., Goktas, P., Alshqaq, S. S., & Samson Balogun, O. (2021). Impact of weather predictions on covid-19 infection rate by using deep learning models. *Complexity*, 2021.
- Hartono, P. (2020). Similarity maps and pairwise predictions for transmission dynamics of covid-19 with neural networks. *Informatics in medicine unlocked*, 20, 100386.

#### D.I. Abu-Abdoun and S. Al-Shihabi

Hochreiter, S., & Schmidhuber, J. (1997). Long short-term memory. *Neural computation*, 9(8), 1735–1780.

Huang, C.-J., Chen, Y.-H., Ma, Y., & Kuo, P.-H. (2020). Multiple-input deep convolutional neural network model for covid-19 forecasting in china. *MedRxiv*.

- Iloanusi, O., & Ross, A. (2021). Leveraging weather data for forecasting cases-tomortality rates due to covid-19. Chaos, Solitons & Fractals, 152, 111340.
- Iqbal, N., Fareed, Z., Shahzad, F., He, X., Shahzad, U., & Lina, M. (2020). The nexus between covid-19, temperature and exchange rate in wuhan city: new findings from partial and multiple wavelet coherence. *Science of The Total Environment, 729*, 138916.
- Jahangiri, M., Jahangiri, M., & Najafgholipour, M. (2020). The sensitivity and specificity analyses of ambient temperature and population size on the transmission rate of the novel coronavirus (covid-19) in different provinces of iran. *Science of the Total Environment*, 728, 138872.

Karimuzzaman, M., Afroz, S., Hossain, M. M., & Rahman, A. (2020). Forecasting the covid-19 pandemic with climate variables for top five burdening and three south asian countries. *Medrxiv*.

Ketu, S., & Mishra, P. K. (2021). India perspective: Cnn-lstm hybrid deep learning modelbased covid-19 prediction and current status of medical resource availability. *Soft Computing*, 1–20.

Khennou, F., & Akhloufi, M. A. (2021). Forecasting covid-19 spreading in canada using deep learning. *medRxiv*.

- Liu, J., Zhou, J., Yao, J., Zhang, X., Li, L., Xu, X., He, X., Wang, B., Fu, S., Niu, T., et al. (2020). Impact of meteorological factors on the covid-19 transmission: A multi-city study in china. *Science of the total environment*, 726, 138513.
- Lounis, M., Torrealba-Rodriguez, O., & Conde-Gutiérrez, R. (2021). Predictive models for covid-19 cases, deaths and recoveries in algeria. *Results in Physics*, 30, 104845.
- Maind, S. B., Wankar, P., et al. (2014). Research paper on basic of artificial neural network. International Journal on Recent and Innovation Trends in Computing and Communication, 2(1), 96–100.
- Malki, Z., Atlam, E., Dagnew, G., Alzighaibi, A. R., Ghada, E., Gad, I., et al. (2020a). Bidirectional residual lstm-based human activity recognition. *Computer and Information Science*, 13(3), 40.
- Malki, Z., Atlam, E.-S., Ewis, A., Dagnew, G., Ghoneim, O. A., Mohamed, A. A., Abdel-Daim, M. M., & Gad, I. (2021). The covid-19 pandemic: prediction study based on machine learning models. *Environmental science and pollution research*, 28(30), 40496–40506.
- Malki, Z., Atlam, E.-S., Hassanien, A. E., Dagnew, G., Elhosseini, M. A., & Gad, I. (2020b). Association between weather data and covid-19 pandemic predicting mortality rate: Machine learning approaches. *Chaos, Solitons & Fractals, 138*, 110137.
- Marzouk, M., Elshaboury, N., Abdel-Latif, A., & Azab, S. (2021). Deep learning model for forecasting covid-19 outbreak in egypt. Process Safety and Environmental Protection, 153, 363–375.
- Melin, P., & Castillo, O. (2021). Spatial and temporal spread of the covid-19 pandemic using self organizing neural networks and a fuzzy fractal approach. Sustainability, 13 (15), 8295.
- Méndez-Arriaga, F. (2020). The temperature and regional climate effects on
- communitarian covid-19 contagion in mexico throughout phase 1. Science of the Total Environment, 735, 139560.
- Mohamadou, Y., Halidou, A., & Kapen, P. T. (2020). A review of mathematical modeling, artificial intelligence and datasets used in the study, prediction and management of covid-19. *Applied Intelligence*, 50(11), 3913–3925.
- Mohimont, L., Chemchem, A., Alin, F., Krajecki, M., & Steffenel, L. A. (2021).

Convolutional neural networks and temporal cnns for covid-19 forecasting in france. *Applied Intelligence*, *51*(12), 8784–8809.

- Mollalo, A., Rivera, K. M., & Vahedi, B. (2020). Artificial neural network modeling of novel coronavirus (covid-19) incidence rates across the continental united states. *International journal of environmental research and public health*, 17(12), 4204.
- Oliveiros, B., Caramelo, L., Ferreira, N. C., & Caramelo, F. (2020). Role of temperature and humidity in the modulation of the doubling time of covid-19 cases. *MedRxiv*. Organization, W. H., et al. (2022). *Covid-19 weekly epidemiological update, edition 80, 22*
- *february* 2022. Pai, N., & Ilango, V. (2020). Lstm neural network model with feature selection for

financial time series prediction. 2020 fourth international conference on i-smac (iot in social, mobile, analytics and cloud)(i-smac) (pp. 672–677). IEEE.

Pal, R., Sekh, A. A., Kar, S., & Prasad, D. K. (2020). Neural network based country wise risk prediction of covid-19. *Applied Sciences*, 10(18), 6448.

Pandey, G., Chaudhary, P., Gupta, R., & Pal, S. (2020). Seir and regression model based covid-19 outbreak predictions in india. arXiv preprint arXiv:2004.00958.

Pica, N., & Bouvier, N. M. (2012). Environmental factors affecting the transmission of respiratory viruses. *Current opinion in virology*, 2(1), 90–95.

Pramanik, M., Udmale, P., Bisht, P., Chowdhury, K., Szabo, S., & Pal, I. (2020). Climatic factors influence the spread of covid-19 in russia. *International journal of environmental health research*, 1–15.

- Pustokhin, D. A., Pustokhina, I. V., Dinh, P. N., Phan, S. V., Nguyen, G. N., & Joshi, G. P. (2020). An effective deep residual network based class attention layer with bidirectional lstm for diagnosis and classification of covid-19. *Journal of Applied Statistics*, 1–18.
- Ranjan, R. (2020). Predictions for covid-19 outbreak in india using epidemiological models. *MedRxiv*.
- Rashed, E. A., & Hirata, A. (2021). One-year lesson: Machine learning prediction of covid-19 positive cases with meteorological data and mobility estimate in japan. *International Journal of Environmental Research and Public Health*, 18(11), 5736.
- Ribeiro, M. H. D. M., Da Silva, R. G., Mariani, V. C., & dos Santos Coelho, L. (2020). Short-term forecasting covid-19 cumulative confirmed cases: Perspectives for brazil. *Chaos, Solitons & Fractals, 135*, 109853.
- Rizk-Allah, R. M., & Hassanien, A. E. (2020). Covid-19 forecasting based on an improved interior search algorithm and multi-layer feed forward neural network. arXiv preprint arXiv:2004.05960.
- Ronald Doni, A., Sasi Praba, T., & Murugan, S. (2021). Weather and population based forecasting of novel covid-19 using deep learning approaches. *International Journal of System Assurance Engineering and Management*, 1–11.
- Roy, S., Bhunia, G. S., & Shit, P. K. (2021). Spatial prediction of covid-19 epidemic using arima techniques in india. *Modeling earth systems and environment*, 7(2), 1385–1391.
- RSY, R. S. Y. (2020). Mathematical modeling and simulation of sir model for covid-2019 epidemic outbreak: A case study of india. *INFOCOMP Journal of Computer Science*, 19 (2), 01–09.
- Sharma, P., Singh, A. K., Agrawal, B., & Sharma, A. (2020). Correlation between weather and covid-19 pandemic in india: An empirical investigation. *Journal of Public Affairs*, 20(4), e2222.
- Shastri, S., Singh, K., Kumar, S., Kour, P., & Mansotra, V. (2020). Time series forecasting of covid-19 using deep learning models: India-usa comparative case study. *Chaos, Solitons & Fractals*, 140, 110227.
- Shetty, R. P., & Pai, P. S. (2021). Forecasting of covid 19 cases in karnataka state using artificial neural network (ann). *Journal of The Institution of Engineers (India): Series B*, 1–11.
- Sultana, J., Singha, A. K., Siddiqui, S. T., Nagalaxmi, G., Sriram, A. K., & Pathak, N. (2022). Covid-19 pandemic prediction and forecasting using machine learning classifiers. *Intelligent Automation and Soft Computing*, 1007–1024.
- Sundermeyer, M., Schlüter, R., & Ney, H. (2012). Lstm neural networks for language modeling. Thirteenth annual conference of the international speech communication association.
- Talkhi, N., Fatemi, N. A., Ataei, Z., & Nooghabi, M. J. (2021). Modeling and forecasting number of confirmed and death caused covid-19 in iran: A comparison of time series forecasting methods. *Biomedical Signal Processing and Control, 66*, 102494.

Tamang, S., Singh, P., & Datta, B. (2020). Forecasting of covid-19 cases based on prediction using artificial neural network curve fitting technique. *Global Journal of Environmental Science and Management*, 6(Special Issue (Covid-19)), 53–64.

- Tosepu, R., Gunawan, J., Effendy, D. S., Lestari, H., Bahar, H., Asfian, P., et al. (2020). Correlation between weather and covid-19 pandemic in jakarta, indonesia. *Science of the total environment*, 725, 138436.
- Vadyala, S. R., Betgeri, S. N., Sherer, E. A., & Amritphale, A. (2020). Prediction of the number of covid-19 confirmed cases based on k-means-lstm. arXiv preprint arXiv: 2006.14752.
- Wang, D., Wu, X., Li, C., Han, J., & Yin, J. (2022). The impact of geo-environmental factors on global covid-19 transmission: A review of evidence and methodology. *Science of The Total Environment*, 154182.
- Wang, M., Jiang, A., Gong, L., Luo, L., Guo, W., Li, C., Zheng, J., Li, C., Yang, B., Zeng, J., et al. (2020). Temperature significant change covid-19 transmission in 429 cities. *medrxiv.*
- WHO (2020). Listings of whos response to covid-19. https://www.who.int/news/item/ 29-06-2020-covidtimeline. Accessed: 2020-11-15.
- Yin, J., Norvihoho, L. K., Zhou, Z.-F., Chen, B., & Wu, W.-T. (2022). Investigation on the evaporation and dispersion of human respiratory droplets with covid-19 virus. *International Journal of Multiphase Flow*, 147, 103904.
- Yudistira, N. (2020). Covid-19 growth prediction using multivariate long short term memory. arXiv preprint arXiv:2005.04809.
- Zain, Z. M., & Alturki, N. M. (2021). Covid-19 pandemic forecasting using cnn-lstm: a hybrid approach. Journal of Control Science and Engineering, 2021.
- Zeroual, A., Harrou, F., Dairi, A., & Sun, Y. (2020). Deep learning methods for forecasting covid-19 time-series data: A comparative study. *Chaos, Solitons & Fractals, 140*, 110121.
- Zheng, N., Du, S., Wang, J., Zhang, H., Cui, W., Kang, Z., Yang, T., Lou, B., Chi, Y., Long, H., et al. (2020). Predicting covid-19 in china using hybrid ai model. *IEEE* transactions on cybernetics, 50(7), 2891–2904.