#### Check for updates

#### OPEN ACCESS

EDITED BY Lawrence Fung, Stanford University, United States

REVIEWED BY Jinghong Liang, Sun Yat-sen University, China Bradley Ferguson, University of Missouri, United States

\*CORRESPONDENCE Ou Chen chenou@sdu.edu.cn

SPECIALTY SECTION This article was submitted to Autism, a section of the journal Frontiers in Psychiatry

RECEIVED 07 June 2022 ACCEPTED 04 August 2022 PUBLISHED 23 August 2022

#### CITATION

Wang J, Ma B, Wang J, Zhang Z and Chen O (2022) Global prevalence of autism spectrum disorder and its gastrointestinal symptoms: A systematic review and meta-analysis. *Front. Psychiatry* 13:963102. doi: 10.3389/fpsyt.2022.963102

#### COPYRIGHT

© 2022 Wang, Ma, Wang, Zhang and Chen. This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (CC BY). The use, distribution or

reproduction in other forums is permitted, provided the original author(s) and the copyright owner(s) are credited and that the original publication in this journal is cited, in accordance with accepted academic practice. No use, distribution or reproduction is permitted which does not comply with these terms.

# Global prevalence of autism spectrum disorder and its gastrointestinal symptoms: A systematic review and meta-analysis

# Jingyi Wang, Bin Ma, Jingjing Wang, Zeyi Zhang and Ou Chen\*

School of Nursing and Rehabilitation, Cheeloo College of Medicine, Shandong University, Jinan, China

**Background:** Autism spectrum disorder (ASD) is a severe public health concern, and Gastrointestinal (GI) symptoms are becoming more common among co-morbidities. The evidence has to be updated depending on differences in different parts of the world. This systematic review and meta-analysis aimed to better understand the existing epidemiological condition and help make health-related decisions.

**Methods:** Searches in PubMed, Web of Science, Embase databases are limited to 14 March 2022. We reviewed the global prevalence of ASD and the prevalence of GI in people with ASD. Data were extracted by two independent researchers. Literature quality assessment using the National Institutes of Health Study Quality Assessment Tool.

**Results:** We discovered that the global pooled prevalence of ASD was 98/10,000 (95% confidence interval, 95%CI: 81/10,000–118/10,000,  $l^2 = 99.99\%$ , p < 0.001), with 48.67% (95%CI: 43.50–53.86,  $l^2 = 99.51\%$ ) of individuals with ASD reporting GI symptoms. Based on the subgroup analyses, we found a higher prevalence of ASD in males (90/10,000, 95%CI: 71/10,000–112/10,000,  $l^2 = 99.99\%$ ) than females (21/10,000, 95%CI: 15/10,000–27/10,000,  $l^2 = 99.99\%$ ). Prevalence of pooling is higher in developing countries (155/10,000, 95% CI: 111/10,000–204/10,000,  $l^2 = 99.99\%$ ) than in developed countries (85/10,000, 95%CI: 67/10,000–105/10,000,  $l^2 = 99.99\%$ ).

**Conclusion:** The global prevalence of ASD and the prevalence of GI symptoms in ASD are both significant. The prevalence of ASD is much higher in men than in women. Further attention to ASD and its related comorbidities will be required in the future to inform coping strategy adaptation.

#### KEYWORDS

global, autism spectrum disorder, gastrointestinal symptoms, prevalence, meta-analysis

# Introduction

Autism spectrum disorder (ASD) is a neurodevelopmental disorder characterized by social communication difficulties and restricted repetitive behaviors that can continue in daily life beginning in early childhood (1). Given the 2-10 fold greater risk of mortality than in the general population (2) and the surge in incidence of the condition (3), ASD is a major problem in the world today. The prevalence of ASD has increased 20-30 fold in the last 40 years worldwide (4). According to research, the prevalence of ASD has risen rapidly worldwide to 1 in 132 (5). Moreover, there is a degree of diversity in the prevalence of ASD among parts of the world. The global prevalence of ASD ranges from 1.4 per 10,000 children in the Arabian Peninsula to 185 per 10,000 children in Asia. In Europe, Sweden has the highest prevalence (115/10,000), while Croatia has the lowest rate (2-3/10,000) (6).

A study showed that over 90% of children with ASD have at least one co-occurring medical condition (7), including gastrointestinal disorders (up to 70%), movement disorders (79%), sleep problems (50-80%) and intellectual disability (45%) (8). In ASD patients, gastrointestinal (GI) problems are common nonneurological symptoms (9), and they have been linked to more severe abnormalities in key ASD areas, such as cognition and behavior (10-13). The prevalence of GI in ASD can range from 9 to 91% due to differences in sample characteristics and survey methods (14). The mechanisms underlying the prevalence of GI symptoms in patients with ASD are inconclusive, but a study has shown that the causes of GI symptoms in patients with ASD may be linked to the gut-brain axis, genetic factors, gut microbiota, and immune responses (15). Children with ASD were two to four times more likely to have functional GI symptoms, the most prevalent of which were constipation, diarrhea, and stomach discomfort, according to a meta-analysis (16).

Given the forementioned, it is crucial to research ASD and GI prevalence. Nonetheless, there are significant differences in previous studies investigating the prevalence of ASD with GI disorders. For example, in Asia, different countries reported contrasting results. In 2017-2018, a study in Riyadh (17) reported that the prevalence of ASD was 2.51%, and a report from Bangladesh (18) stated that the prevalence of ASD was 0.08% in 2016. Similarly, the prevalence of GI with ASD showed disparity. The prevalence of GI in ASD patients reported in the same country during the same publication year varied enormously. For instance, in two studies conducted in the United States in 2021, one found a 34.60% (19) prevalence of GI in ASD, and the other found a 93.18% (20) prevalence. A meta-analysis (16) comparing children with ASD to typically developing children discovered differences in GI symptoms between children with ASD and those without ASD, but the prevalence of GI symptoms was not further investigated, and

data on other specific GI symptoms were insufficient. In addition, this review only mentioned the specific situation in the United States and five European countries and did not capture evidence for other geographical areas. The situation regarding mental illness is likely to grow even more grave as a result of the current coronavirus disease 2019 (COVID-19) epidemic. The link between COVID-19 and ASD is currently unknown, although there is evidence that ASD may be a risk factor for heavy COVID-19 (21); thus, more research into the prevalence of ASD is needed. We now need to update the prevalence data for GI in ASD and ASD to provide some references for the health field. The primary objective of this study is to provide an overall estimate of the global prevalence of ASD and the prevalence of GI in ASD patients using the most recent and comprehensive data available to increase public awareness and understanding of ASD and its GI symptoms, and to provide health professionals with references to develop relevant prevention strategies.

## **Methods**

All analyses were based on previously published studies, so there was no need for ethics approval or patient permission. Our systematic reviews were performed in compliance with the Preferred Reporting Items for Systematic Reviews and Meta-Analyses (PRISMA) (22) and the Cochrane Collaboration Handbook recommendations (23).

### Search strategy

The eligible studies were identified through searches of online databases, including PubMed, Web of Science, and Embase. The literature search was performed up to 14 March 2022. Medical subject headings and keywords were used and combined with Boolean operators: "Autism Spectrum Disorder", "Autism", "Prevalence", and "Gastrointestinal Tract". Supplementary Table 1 describes the retrieval process in detail.

# Inclusion and exclusion criteria and study selection

Published in peer-reviewed, English-language journals, original studies were considered for inclusion. Our studies incorporate any type of observational study, including cross-sectional studies, cohort studies and case-control studies.

All the eligible studies in this meta-analysis were subjected to the following inclusion criteria: (1) ASD was diagnosed using clinical diagnostic criteria [such as the Diagnostic and Statistical Manual of Mental Disorders [DSM] (24) or International Classification of Disease [ICD] (25) systems, etc.], other validated scales [such as Autism Diagnostic Observation

Schedule-Second Edition [ADOS-2] (26), Autism Diagnostic Interview-Revised [ADI-R] (27), Autism Spectrum Screening Questionnaire [ASSQ] (28), Modified Checklist for Autism in Toddlers [M-CHAT] (29)], or a combination of clinical diagnostic criteria and screening tools on ASD, also including parent-reported and specialist diagnosis. GI symptoms were diagnosed by parental questionnaires, ICD diagnosis codes, parent reports, case notes and other means of identifying symptoms. (2) The article contains the total sample size as well as the number of cases, allowing for prevalence estimates. Age, region, and sample size were not restricted. Furthermore, there was no obligatory sample size criterion for selecting papers for the meta-analysis to enlarge the pooled sample. (3) Cross-sectional studies, cohort studies, and case-control studies. The following publications were excluded from this metaanalysis: (1) published case studies, reviews, commentaries, meta-analyses and meeting abstracts; (2) full text was not available; and (3) there were no data available or incorrect. In the event of ambiguity, any differences at each stage were resolved by consensus and the involvement of another experienced expert.

### Data extraction and quality assessment

Using a standardized form, the following data were gathered for all included studies: author, year of publication, country, type of study, sample size, number of cases, percentage of males, age, diagnostic method, and presence of subtype symptoms. Data were extracted independently by two researchers; disputes were resolved through consensus or debate with the third author serving as an arbitrator. If vital information was missing from the original research, it was retrieved by contacting the corresponding authors of potential studies. To assess the quality of each included study, two researchers independently used the 14-item rating scale National Institutes of Health Study Quality Assessment Tool for Observational Cohort and Cross-Sectional Studies and the 12-item rating scale National Institutes of Health Study Quality Assessment Tool for Case-Control Studies (30). The raters' quality ratings were compared, and disputes were addressed through consensus or debate with the third author acting as arbitrator.

#### Statistical analysis

For the meta-analyses, we considered papers that used probability sampling methodologies and established disease classifications. In this study, we included the prevalence from the most recently published study if more than one published study reported the prevalence from the same dataset. However, we included data from older research if they provided more details on prevalence statistics than data from newly published studies. Alternatively, if the literature has data from multiple separate studies, we split the data for export (e.g., multiple separate years, data from different cohorts). We used the Stata "metaprop" command to estimate the pooled prevalence (31). The pooled prevalence of estimates was based on the randomeffects model (32), which gave an overall estimate across studies weighted by sample size, with the assumption of statistical heterogeneity among studies. To assess statistical heterogeneity,  $I^2$  statistics were utilized, with estimated values of 25, 50, and 75% indicating mild, moderate, and high heterogeneity, respectively (33). A comparison-adjusted funnel plot, which serves as a straightforward visual instrument for detecting the presence of any dominant types of potential bias, such as publication bias, selective reporting, or other biases, was also used to assess potential bias. To determine whether the Pvalues were <0.05, a quantitative Egger's test was used (34). To examine the epidemiology under different subgroup variables according to the variables of interest in this paper, a series of subgroup analyses were conducted. For the total ASD rate, we made the following subgroups: diagnostic method (DSM, ICD, parent-reported and others), regions measured by degree of development (developed countries and developing countries), regions by geography (America, Asia, Europe, Oceania, Africa), year of publication (2001-2010, 2011-2020, 2021-2022), sample size  $(<10^3, 10^3 - 10^4, 10^4 - 10^5, 10^5 - 10^6, 10^6 - 10^7, 10^7 - 10^8)$ , sex (male and female), and study type (cohort study and crosssectional study). For the ASD-GI symptoms rate, we have done the year of publication (2001-2010, 2011-2020, 2021-2022). All the above sequences of analyses were performed in Stata version 15.0.

## Results

## Study selection

We obtained 7,842 studies from the database (41 of which were added manually), of which 2,980 studies were excluded due to duplication and 4,582 articles were removed due to the titles and abstracts, followed by the full-text screening of 280 articles. One hundred fifty-four studies were excluded for various reasons: 17 were not available in full, 116 were nonobservational or original studies (reviews, commentaries, systematic reviews, conference abstracts, case reports), 20 had no available data, and 1 had incorrect data. Finally, we included 126 studies, 51 of which mentioned the prevalence of ASD and 75 of which included the prevalence of GI symptoms in patients with ASD. The details of the research process are shown in Figure 1.

### Quality of the included studies

We assessed the quality of the literature and found that for the 108 cohort and cross-sectional studies, only four studies



scored below 8 due to a lack of accurate sample size or impact estimates and failure to account for confounding variables. Of the 34 case–control studies, 8 scored below 6 because the study population was not clearly defined or the control group was not recruited from the same or similar population that caused the cases. Further details of the literature quality assessment can be found in Supplementary Table 2.

# Prevalence of ASD in individuals

Of the 51 studies included, there were 548,413,748 individuals and 1,206,482 people with ASD from all age ranges. The basic information on the prevalence of ASD in all the included studies is described in Supplementary Table 3. The overall pooled prevalence of ASD was 98/10,000 (95% confidence interval, 95% CI: 81/10,000–118/10,000,  $I^2 = 99.99\%$ , p < 0.001), showing significant heterogeneity

among studies. The funnel plot was relatively symmetrical, suggesting no significant publication bias ( $P_{\text{Egger'stest}} = 0.061$ ) (Supplementary Figure 1).

In the subgroup analyses of sex, we found that the pooled prevalence was higher in males (90/10,000, 95%CI: 71/10,000- $112/10,000, I^2 = 99.99\%$ ) than in females (21/10,000, 95%CI:  $15/10,000-27/10,000, I^2 = 99.99\%$ ). Depending on the level of development of the countries in which the studies are located, we found differences between developed and developing countries. The prevalence of pooling is higher in developing (155/10,000, 95%CI: 111/10,000-204/10,000, countries  $I^2 = 99.87\%$ ) than in developed countries (85/10,000, 95%CI:  $67/10,000-105/10,000, I^2 = 99.99\%$ ). In addition, there are 5 geographical regions depending on where the countries are located. Africa (2963/10,000, 95%CI: 2522/10,000-3434/10,000) had the highest total prevalence, followed by Oceania (258/10,000, 95%CI: 156/10,000-384/10,000,  $I^2 = 95.20\%)$ and the Americas (129/10,000, 95%CI: 106/10,000-155/10,000,

 $I^2 = 99.99\%$ ), while Asia (34/10,000, 95%CI: 28/10,000– 40/10,000,  $I^2 = 99.99\%$ ) and Europe (69/10,000, 95%CI: 58/10,000-82/10,000,  $I^2 = 99.62\%$ ) had a lower overall prevalence. The pooled prevalence from 2001 to 2010 was 55/10,000(95%CI: 46/10,000-66/10,000,  $I^2 = 99.29\%$ ), the pooled prevalence from 2011 to 2020 was 85/10,000(95%CI: 77/10,000-94/10,000,  $I^2 = 99.99\%$ ), and between 2021 and 2022, the pooled prevalence was 118/10,000(95%CI: 92/10,000– 147/10,000,  $I^2 = 99.98\%$ ). Details of subgroups analyses were all presented in Table 1.

# Prevalence of GI symptoms in individuals with ASD

In total, the 63 studies included 131,416 participants with ASD, of which 57,711 had GI symptoms. Information on specific study characteristics is summarized in Supplementary Table 3. We found that the pooled prevalence of GI symptoms in patients with ASD was 48.67% (95%CI: 43.50–53.86,  $I^2 = 99.51\%$ ). The funnel plot was relatively symmetrical, suggesting no significant publication bias ( $P_{\text{Egger'stest}} = 0.738$ ) (Supplementary Figure 2).

We observed the occurrence of many specific GI symptoms described in studies. In most of the studies, the following main specific symptoms were listed: constipation (26.17%, 95%CI: 22.10–30.45,  $I^2 = 97.22\%$ ), diarrhea (19.92%, 95%CI: 15.97–24.18,  $I^2 = 97.47\%$ ), abdominal pain (21.38%, 95%CI: 16.07–27.20,  $I^2 = 97.87\%$ ), bloating (22.52%, 95%CI: 15.87–29.93,  $I^2 = 97.44\%$ ), nausea (25.06%, 95%CI: 10.72–42.85,  $I^2 = 98.36\%$ ), vomiting (5.99%, 95%CI: 3.65–8.82,  $I^2 = 93.39\%$ ), gastrointestinal reflux (8.58%, 95%CI: 5.51–12.20,  $I^2 = 93.04\%$ ), and food selectivity (59.14%, 95%CI: 44.77–72.76,  $I^2 = 95.58\%$ ). For details please refer to Table 1.

When further conducting the subgroup analysis, we identified an upwards trend in GI rates in ASD in both developed and developing nations. For instance, among developing countries, the GI rate was 40.22% (95% CI: 28.46–52.55) from 2011 to 2020 and 62.31% (95% CI: 27.40–91.32) from 2021 to 2022. In contrast, the prevalence of ASD exhibited an increasing tendency in developed countries and a decreasing trend in developing countries. This is provided in Table 2.

# Discussion

The current meta-analysis, based on 126 studies, examined the prevalence of ASD and GI in ASD. We discovered that the global pooled prevalence of ASD was 98 per 10,000, with 48.67% of patients with ASD experiencing at least one GI symptom. ASD is more common in males than females. Both ASD and GI rates increase with time. The overall prevalence of ASD is lower in developed regions than in developing regions. We found a pooled prevalence of 98/10,000 for ASD, and a recent systematic review (35) reported a median prevalence of 100/10,000 for ASD, which was generally consistent with our results. However, their data are from PubMed only, and the literature did not include a quantitative pooled analysis. In our research, we expanded the search to include additional sources of evidence and performed a quantitative analysis. Furthermore, we made more additions, such as the level of regional development, diagnostic methods, year, and type of study. We discovered some significant differences in total ASD prevalence in subgroup studies.

For both sexes, the prevalence was much higher in men than in women. This was consistent with recent studies (36, 37). This shows a significant male bias in ASD prevalence (38). The reasons for sex disparities in ASD prevalence are still under investigation. A multifactorial paradigm is likely at work, in which risk genes and environmental factors interact with distinct hormonal or immunological pathways according to sex, ultimately contributing to male bias in ASD (39, 40). Another possibility is that some girls may have milder and indistinguishable indications of symptoms that are currently undetectable by diagnostic equipment (41). We additionally found that the prevalence is higher in underdeveloped countries than in developed countries. This emphasizes the role of economics in the prevalence of ASD, possibly because developed areas have a higher quality of life, some of the drivers of ASD are suppressed, more resources are available to limit the risk factors for ASD, and there is greater access to high levels of medical coverage (42). We also took into account regional differences in prevalence. We found that the prevalence was much higher in the Americas than in Asia and Europe. Variances in research methodology, updated diagnosis criteria, and screening tools in national studies may explain regional differences in ASD prevalence (43). We found that the prevalence of ASD increased over time. With the spread of science and medicine, social awareness of ASD has increased. The significant improvement in early identification of the condition explains to some extent the increase in prevalence over time, but this does not imply an increase in the number of cases. The same temporal trend was found in a Korean study (44). Temporal trends in prevalence may reflect differences in exposure to environmental risk factors (45). A further hypothesis is that the environment, which varies in susceptibility over time and at various stages of maternal gestation, may drive the onset of ASD.

We found a pooled prevalence of 48.67% GI problems in autistic patients. The prevalence of GI problems in children with ASD has been reported to be 9%-70%, ranging from mild GI reflux to more severe symptoms (46). GI symptoms show a tendency to recur and may be influenced by a variety of factors. Differences in patient gut flora, a high prevalence of antibiotic use, stress response (47), specific diets, and/or recurrent feeding practices may influence the problem (48).

Ababye of sum spectrum disorder: $0.0001$ $0.00010$ $0.00010$ $0.00010$ $0.00010$ $0.00010$ $0.00010$ $0.00010$ $0.00010$ $0.00010$ $0.00010$ $0.00010$ $0.00010$ $0.00010$ $0.00010$ $0.00010$ $0.00010$ $0.00010$ $0.00010$ $0.00010$ $0.0001000000$ $0.000100000000000000000000000000000000$	Subgroup	No. Study	No. of Sample	No. of Cases	Prevalence ( 95	5%CI)	Egger Test	P Value
Orange     Of Set 1,20     J 20,0040     Part (10000)     Open (100	Analysis of autism spectrum disorders							
Math         12         22.87,76.62         22.04/2         0.009(0.0071-0.017)         0.0	Overall	67	548.413.748	1.206.482	1 HE-1	0.0098(0.0081-0.0118)	0.061	< 0.001
mak         22         232,754,62         82,842         +         0.0000(001/0.01/2)         <<000           Approx          0.001(00150.01/2)         <000	Sex							
famile         12         26,00,0,17         66,049         ●         0.001(0005.00,27)         <0.007           NSM         29         41,057,75         07,457         0.077(001.0005.00,27)         <0.007	male	32	238.779.662	328.042	H <b>H</b> -1	0.0090(0.0071-0.0112)		< 0.001
Approach	female	32	236,704,617	86,949	•	0.0021(0.0015-0.0027)		< 0.001
$ \begin{array}{ c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c$	Approach							
$ \begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $	DSM	29	61,153,755	705,698	HEH	0.0102(0.0085-0.0121)		< 0.001
patient-sepred others         9         61,381,131         326,065         1         0.0172,010,010,40,025)         0.0072,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000	ICD	20	416,007,739	107,457		0.0037(0.0031-0.0045)		< 0.001
n         n	parent-reported	9	61,585,131	326,965		0.0193(0.0116-0.0288)		< 0.001
Regin	others	9	9.667.123	66.362		0.0172(0.0100-0.0263)		< 0.001
Deckplay county         53         945,00,137         1,17,188         P         0.0085(0.007-0.019)         <0.005           Regent         0.0155(0.007-0.019)         <0.005         <0.005         <0.005         <0.005         <0.005         <0.005         <0.005         <0.005         <0.005         <0.005         <0.005         <0.005         <0.005         <0.005         <0.005         <0.005         <0.005         <0.005         <0.005         <0.005         <0.005         <0.005         <0.005         <0.005         <0.005         <0.005         <0.005         <0.005         <0.005         <0.005         <0.005         <0.005         <0.005         <0.005         <0.005         <0.005         <0.005         <0.005         <0.005         <0.005         <0.005         <0.005         <0.005         <0.005         <0.005         <0.005         <0.005         <0.005         <0.005         <0.005         <0.005         <0.005         <0.005         <0.005         <0.005         <0.005         <0.005         <0.005         <0.005         <0.005         <0.005         <0.005         <0.005         <0.005         <0.005         <0.005         <0.005         <0.005         <0.005         <0.005         <0.005         <0.005         <0.005         <0.	Region 1							
$ \begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $	Developed country	53	545,606,157	1,187,188	H <b>B</b> -1	0.0085(0.0067-0.0105)		< 0.001
Regin         Line         Line <thlin< th="">         Line         <thline< th="">         L</thline<></thlin<>	Developing country	14	2.807.591	19.294		0.0155(0.0111-0.0204)		< 0.001
America         23         120.68,005 $102.422$ Image $001200 (006.0 (055))$ $< 0000$ Aisi         24         415.770.0 $100.40$ $00020 (0056.0 (055))$ $< 00000$ $< 000000 (0056.0 (055))$ $< 000000$ $< 000000 (0056.0 (055))$ $< 000000000000000000000000000000000000$	Region <sup>2</sup>		_,,	,				
Aia         24         415.470.200         100.043         •         0.004(0.003-0.004)         <         <         0.004(0.003-0.004)         <         <         0.004(0.003-0.004)         <         Cool           Decamia         4         15.575         404         •         0.025(0.0156.0.034)         <	America	23	120 658 005	1 022 452	H <b>H</b> -1	0.0129(0.0106-0.0155)		< 0.001
$\begin{tabular}{ c c c c c } \hline left is in the image is $	Asia	24	415 470 269	100.043		0.0034(0.0028-0.004)		< 0.001
Oceans         i         is 575         044         i	Furone	15	12 269 494	83.463	HEH	0.0069(0.0058-0.0082)		< 0.001
Affan         1         405         120         0         0.2965(0.2522-0.343)         0         0         0           Yar         0         0         0.2965(0.2522-0.343)         0         0.000         0	Oceania	4	15 575	404		0.0258(0.0156-0.0384)		< 0.001
Part         Allow         1         000         100         000000-100004-00050         000000-00004-00050         000000-000000         000000-000000-000000         000000-000000-000000         000000-000000         14         85,398         818         0000000-000000-00000-00000         00000-000000         16         6,577,193         54,789         000000-000000-00000-00000         00000-000000-00000-00000-00000         00000-000000-00000-00000-00000-00000-0000	Africa	1	405	120		0.2963(0.2522.0.3434)		< 0.001
Num         Second 201-2020         49         442,715,10         332,674         **         0.0055(0.0046-0.0066)         <000         <000           201-2020         10         66,26,970         737,212         0.0055(0.0046-0.0066)         <000	Vaar	*	405	120		0.2905(0.2522-0.5454)		< 0.001
appl:spin         s         00,73,700         35,600         appl:spin         appl:spi	2001 2010	0	62 731 510	222 674		0.0055(0.0046.0.0066)		< 0.001
$\begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $	2001-2010	40	410 418 268	126 506		0.0035(0.0040-0.0000)		< 0.001
$\begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $	2011-2020	49	419,418,208	727,010		0.0085(0.0077-0.0094)		< 0.001
No. Sample       No. Sample </td <td>2021-2022</td> <td>10</td> <td>00,203,970</td> <td>/3/,212</td> <td></td> <td>0.0118(0.0092-0.0147)</td> <td></td> <td>&lt; 0.001</td>	2021-2022	10	00,203,970	/3/,212		0.0118(0.0092-0.0147)		< 0.001
$\begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $	No. Sample	2	1.901	202		0.1705(0.024(-0.270)		< 0.001
$\begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $	0-1000	5	1,801	393		0.1703(0.0346-0.379)		< 0.001
$\begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $	1000-10000	14	85,398	818		0.0096(0.0055-0.0149)		< 0.001
Introduct 1000000       16       6, 23, 49, 17, 31       16, 13, 23, 23       Image: Constraint of the second	10000-100000	18	630,121	8,365		0.0127(0.0084-0.0179)		< 0.001
1000000-10000000       6       2.4.947       161,2.2       ++       0.0004(0.050,00.07)       <0.0007       <0.0023       <0.0287         Study Type       0       0       51,627,564       98,079       0       0.0002(0.0073-0.013)       <0.0033	100000-1000000	16	6,577,193	54,789		0.0085(0.006-0.0115)		< 0.001
10000000       100       517,627,304       980,792       0000000-00023)       0.0000(0-0023)       0.00023)       0.0002         Cross-sectional       52       529,470,106       1,073,550       Image: Constructional symptoms       0.0002(0.0073-0.0113)       <0.000       <0.000       <0.000       0.00000-0.0023)       0.00001-0.0104)       <0.000       <0.000       <0.000       <0.000       0.00000-0.0023)       0.00001-0.0104)       <0.000       <0.000       <0.000       <0.000       <0.0000       <0.0000       0.00000-0.0023)       0.00001-0.0104)       <0.000       <0.000       <0.000       <0.000       <0.0000       <0.0000       <0.0000       <0.0000       <0.0000       <0.0000       <0.0000       <0.0000       <0.0000       <0.0000       <0.0000       <0.0000       <0.0000       <0.0000       <0.0000       <0.0000       <0.0000       <0.0000       <0.0000       <0.0000       <0.0000       <0.0000       <0.0000       <0.0000       <0.0000       <0.0000       <0.0000       <0.0000       <0.0000       <0.0000       <0.0000       <0.0000       <0.0000       <0.0000       <0.0000       <0.0000       <0.0000       <0.0000       <0.0000       <0.0000       <0.0000       <0.0000       <0.0000       <0.0000       <0.0000       <0.0000	1000000-10000000	6	23,491,731	161,325	HEH	0.0064(0.005-0.0079)		< 0.001
Study Type       Coss-sectional       52       529,470,106       1,073,550       Image: Coss-sectional       0.0092(0,0073-0.0113)       <0.000         Coss-sectional       52       529,470,106       1,073,550       Image: Coss-sectional       0.0092(0,0073-0.0113)       <0.000         Coss-sectional       52       529,470,106       1,073,550       Image: Coss-sectional       0.0092(0,0073-0.0113)       <0.000         Analysis of gastrointestinal symptoms       Coss-sectional       52       529,470,106       1,073,550       Image: Coss-sectional       0.0092(0,0073-0.0113)       <0.000         Year       Coss-sectional       53       13,416       57,711       Image: Coss-sectional       0.4867(0,435-0.5366)       0.738       <0.000         2011-2020       35       39,756       11,996       0.000       0.000       0.0380,02624-0.5112)       <0.000         Specific Symptoms       Image: Coss-sectional       51       17,898       3,639       Image: Coss-sectional       0.0320,0179,02418)       <0.000         Darnhea       42       16,945       2,277       Image: Coss-sectional       0.21380,16070,212,0345)       <0.000         Nausea       10       3,843       379       Image: Coss-sectional       0.21380,16070,212,03453       <0.000	10000000-100000000	10	517,627,504	980,792		0.0006(0-0.0023)		0.0287
Cross-sectional       52       52/470.006       1.073.530       Image: Market and State	Study Type							
Cohort study       15       18,943,642       132,932       •       0.0097(0.0097(0.0091-0.0104)       <0.000         Analysis of gastrointestinal symptoms       0.0000       0.0100       0.0200       0.0300       0.0400         Analysis of gastrointestinal symptoms       63       131,416       57,711       •       •       0.4867(0.435-0.5386)       0.738       <0.000         Year       0.0120       0.353       39,756       11,996       •       0.4867(0.435-0.5386)       0.738       <0.000         2011-2020       35       39,756       11,996       •       0.4867(0.435-0.518)       <0.000       0.000         Specific Symptoms       •       0.4431(0.3716-0.518)       <0.000       <0.000       <0.000       <0.000       0.000       0.000       0.000       <0.000         Abdominal pin       33       11,996       1.640       •       0.1920(1.057-0.2418)       <0.000         Named       10       3,43       379       •       0.2027(0.0351-0.082)       <0.000         Named       10       3,43       379       •       0.2025(0.157-0.2418)       <0.000         Nomin       21       6,134       410       •       0.0259(0.0351-0.122)       <0.000       <0.00	Cross-sectional	52	529,470,106	1,073,550		0.0092(0.0073-0.0113)		< 0.001
Analysis of gastrointestinal symptoms           Overall         0.4867(0.435.0.5386)         0.000           Veru           0.131,416         57,711         -         0.4867(0.435.0.5386)         0.000           201-2010         1         1,789         590           201-2020         0.383(0.2624.0.5112)          0.04867(0.435.0.5386)         0.000           201-2020         0.383(0.2624.0.5112)          -         0.04867(0.435.0.5876.0696)          -         0.0438(0.2624.0.5112)          -         0.0438(0.2624.0.5112)          -         0.0438(0.2624.0.5112)          -         0.0438(0.2624.0.5112)          -         0.0438(0.2624.0.5112)          -         0.0438(0.2624.0.5112)          -         -         -         -         -         -         -         - <th< td=""><td>Cohort study</td><td>15</td><td>18,943,642</td><td>132,932</td><td></td><td>0.0097(0.0091-0.0104)</td><td></td><td>&lt; 0.001</td></th<>	Cohort study	15	18,943,642	132,932		0.0097(0.0091-0.0104)		< 0.001
Analysis of gastrointestinal symptoms       Gastrointestinal symptoms       0.0000 mm					0000 0.0100 0.0200 0.0300 0.0400			
Interview       63       131,416       57,711       Image: Constraint of information of	Analysis of asstrointestinal symptoms							
Orthom         Object         Object<	Quandl	63	131.416	57 711	L ⊢∎→	0.4867(0.435-0.5386)	0.738	< 0.001
2001-2010       11       1,789       590       0.383(0.2624-0.5112)       <0.00	Var	05	151,410	57,711		0.4007(0.455-0.5500)	0.750	< 0.001
2011-2020       35       39,756       11,966       0.000       0.000       0.000       0.000         2011-2020       17       89,871       45,125       0.634(0.5872.6069)       <0.000	2001-2010	11	1 780	590		0.383(0.2624.0.5112)		< 0.001
2011-2022       17       89,871       45,125        0.643(0.587-0.696)       <0.00	2001-2010	25	20.756	11.006		0.385(0.2024=0.5112)		< 0.001
Dart 2022     17     5/5/1     4/123     0.054(0.0587-0.0597)     <0.000       Constipation     51     17,898     3,639     + -     0.2017(0.221-0.3045)     <0.000	2011-2020	17	90,971	45 125		0.4451(0.5710-0.5158)		< 0.001
Orectigation     51     17,898     3,639     → →     0,2617(0.221-0.3045)     <0,000	2021-2022 Secolific Sumetonic	17	09,071	45,125		0.034(0.3087-0.0909)		~0.001
Constitution       51       17,898       5,659       0,201(0.2246,5045)       <0.001	Specyte Symptoms	61	17 808	2 (20		0.2(17(0.221.0.2045)		< 0.001
Darinea     42     10,943     2,277  <	Diamhan	31	17,898	3,039		0.2617(0.221-0.3043)		< 0.001
Abdominal jami     5.3     11.990     1.040      0.045	Abduminal anin	42	10,945	1,640		0.1992(0.1597-0.2418)		< 0.001
Abdominal distention     2.3     0,840     1,038     -     0.252(0,188/-0.2993)     <<000	Abdominai pain	33	11,996	1,640		0.2138(0.1607-0.272)		< 0.001
Nausca         10         5,843         3/9          0.25060.072-0.4285)         <0000           Vomit         21         6,134         410         0.05900.0055-0.082.0         <0.00	Abdominal distention	23	6,820	1,038		0.2252(0.1587-0.2993)		< 0.001
Vomit         21         6,14         410         •••         0.0599(0.0365-0.082)         <<000           Gastrosophageal Refux         16         5,244         520         •••         0.0858(0.0551-0.122)         <0.00	Nausca	10	5,845	379		0.2506(0.1072-0.4285)		< 0.001
Gastrosophageal Kehtx         16         5,244         520         ••••         0.0588(0.0551-0.122)         <0.000           Food Selectivity         7         1,525         987         ••••         0.5914(0.4477-0.7276)         <0.00	Vomt	21	6,134	410		0.0599(0.0365-0.0882)		< 0.001
Pood Selectivity     7     1,22     987     0.914(0.447/-0.726)     <0.00       Complication     0     90.664     50.507     0.2799(0.0718-0.5562)     0.0003       Epidepsy     12     109,218     26.970     •••     0.1285(0.0901-0.1725)     <0.00	Gastroesophageal Reflux	16	5,244	520		0.0858(0.0551-0.122)		< 0.001
Complexation         0         90,664         \$0,507         0.2799(0.0718-0.552)         0.0003           Epikpsy         12         109,218         26,970          0.1285(0.0901-0.1725)         <0.00	Food Selectivity	7	1,525	987		0.5914(0.4477-0.7276)		< 0.001
ADHD         10         90,664         50,507         0.2799(0.0718-0.5502)         0.0000           Epikpsy         12         109,218         26,970         +         0.1285(0.091-0.1725)         <0.000	Complication							
Epikpsy         12         109,218         26,970         0.1285(0.0901-0.1725)         <0.00         <0.000         <0.0001/2760-0.5502)         <0.000         <0.000         <0.0001/2760-0.5502)         <0.000         <0.000         <0.0001/2760-0.5502)         <0.000         <0.0001/2760-0.5502)         <0.000         <0.0001/2760-0.5502)         <0.0001/2760-0.5502)         <0.0001/2760-0.5502         <0.0001/2760-0.5502         <0.0001/2760-0.5502         <0.0001/2760-0.5502         <0.0001/2760-0.5502         <0.0001/2760-0.5502         <0.0001/2760-0.5502         <0.0001/2760-0.5502         <0.0001/2760-0.5502         <0.0001/2760-0.5502         <0.0001/2760-0.5502         <0.0001/2760-0.5502         <0.0001/2760-0.5502         <0.0001/2760-0.5502         <0.0001/2760-0.5502         <0.0001/2760-0.5502         <0.0001/2760-0.5502         <0.0001/2760-0.5502         <0.0001/2760-0.5502         <0.0001/2760-0.5502         <0.0001/2760-0.5502         <0.0001/2760-0.5502         <0.0001/2760-0.5502         <0.0001/2760-0.5502         <0.0001/2760-0.5502         <0.0001/2760-0.5502         <0.0001/2760-0.5502         <0.0001/2760-0.5502         <0.0001/2760-0.5502         <0.0001/2760-0.5502         <0.0001/2760-0.5502         <0.0001/2760-0.5502         <0.0001/2760-0.5502         <0.0001/2760-0.5502         <0.0001/2760-0.5502         <0.0001/2760-0.5502         <0.0001/2760-0.5502         <0.0001/2760-0.5502         <0.0001/2760-0.5502         <0.0001/2760-0.5502	ADHD	10	90,664	50,507		0.2799(0.0718-0.5562)		0.0003
Skeep problems         19         111,431         27,872         Image: Constraint of the state of the	Epilepsy	12	109,218	26,970	H <b>H</b> -1	0.1285(0.0901-0.1725)		< 0.001
Anxiety         7         90,352         26,717         →         0.3636(0.2994-0.4303)         <0.00           0.0000         0.5000         1.0000	Sleep problems	19	111,431	27,872		0.4101(0.2769-0.5502)		< 0.001
0.0000 0.5000 1.0000	Anxiety	7	90,352	26,717	⊢ <b>∎</b> →	0.3636(0.2994-0.4303)		< 0.001
0,0000 0,5000 1,0000					L			
					0.5000 1.00			

TABLE 1 Pooled prevalence of gastrointestinal symptoms in autism spectrum disorders and autism spectrum disorders.

Region<sup>1</sup> is classified according to developed/developing countries; Region<sup>2</sup> is based on the region of each country.

095%CI:95% confidence interval @DSM, Diagnostic and Statistical Manual of Mental Disorders @ICD, International Classification of Diseases @ADHD, Attention deficit and hyperactivity disorder.

It is generally known that GI problems can express themselves in a variety of simple or complex ways. We found food selectivity to be one of the most prevalent symptoms in people with ASD. Food selectivity in autistic children frequently includes a strong preference for carbs, snacks, and/or processed meals, as well as a rejection of fruits and vegetables (49). These dietary problems can lead to the development of nutritional deficiencies that can worsen autism symptoms. Food selectivity associated with ASD has been reported to improve with age (50). This may imply that we should provide a wide nutritional supplement rather than a specialized recipe based on dietary preferences. In our study, we also found that another of the most common gastrointestinal symptoms was constipation (26.17%). In a previous review, the median prevalence of constipation was reported to be 22% (51). Most ASD patients have functional constipation associated with mitochondrial dysfunction, gut flora composition, and unusual dietary patterns (52). A 2012 study found that a gluten-free, casein-free (GFCF) diet may be useful in improving behavioral outcomes in children with

constipation compared to children with ASD without bowelrelated symptoms (53). However, there is a different view that dietary gluten and casein do not correlate with GI symptoms in people with ASD (47). This suggests that the relationship between diet and GI symptoms in ASD is unclear and needs to be further explored in the future. Our results showed that other major gastrointestinal symptoms included abdominal pain, diarrhea, bloating, and nausea. Notably, gastrointestinal issues can manifest in multiple ways. According to Chaidez et al. (54), diarrhea and constipation rarely occur together. 9 children with ASD experienced both diarrhea and constipation in the last 3 months, while 29 children with ASD reported diarrhea along with other symptoms.

The pooled prevalence of Gl problems increased in both developed and developing countries. From 2021 to 2022, both groups had a GI pooled prevalence of  $\sim$ 60%. GI symptoms persist over time as the individual with ASD ages (55). This recurrence may partly explain the increased prevalence of GI. We have also discovered that the prevalence of ASD in

	Pooled prevalence	95%CI	No. cases	No. sample	No. study
Autism spectrum disorders					
2001-2010					
Developed countries	0.0055	0.0046-0.0066	332,674	62,731,510	8
2011-2020					
Developed countries	0.0081	0.0073-0.0090	122,057	417,342,043	39
Developing countries	0.0198	0.0129-0.0280	14,539	2,076,225	10
2021-2022					
Developed countries	0.0138	0.0103-0.0178	732,457	65,532,604	6
Developing countries	0.0092	0.0041-0.0162	4,755	731,366	4
Gastrointestinal symptoms					
2001-2010					
Developed countries	0.3830	0.2624-0.5112	590	1,789	11
2011-2020					
Developed countries	0.4557	0.3729-0.5398	11,700	38,857	26
Developing countries	0.4022	0.2846-0.5255	296	899	9
2021-2022					
Developed countries	0.6418	0.5729-0.7079	44,919	89,410	12
Developing countries	0.6231	0.2740-0.9132	206	461	5

TABLE 2 Time trends in prevalence by level of development.

95%CI=95% Confidence Interval.

wealthy nations has tended to grow year on year, whereas the prevalence in underdeveloped countries has dropped. This may be attributed to the fact that economically developed countries have broader access to medical resources than other locations, leading to the diagnosis of ASD at the start of their development (56), which reflects a trend toward maturity in the available diagnostic procedures. In addition, we hypothesize that the prevalence of health insurance may be higher in developed regions and that parents and providers are willing to consider an ASD diagnosis (57), thus resulting in an increase in the prevalence of ASD.

COVID-19 may impact ASD. The virus may or may not affect the prevalence of ASD, but it may cause delays in diagnosis, treatment, and assistance (58). There is a clear tendency for GI symptoms to spread under the influence of COVID-19 (59), which may also affect the GI in ASD. As a result, we think COVID-19 may act as a genetic, physiological, and pathological driver of ASD. This suggests that more studies with larger sample sizes are needed in the future to investigate the potential link between COVID-19 and ASD.

# Strengths and limitations

Additional databases were searched, and more sources of evidence were included. No restrictions were placed on age in this study, and as many areas as possible were included. Following the COVID-19 epidemic, this research re-examined the prevalence of ASD and conducted the first meta-analysis of the prevalence of GI symptoms in ASD. Our subgroup observed a strong sex difference in ASD and an overall rising tendency in both illnesses. Our findings help to update health policy to better prevent and respond to ASD and associated comorbidities.

Our study also has some limitations. First, the research is unevenly distributed regionally. Relatively more research has been carried out in the Americas, Europe, and Asia and very little in Africa and Oceania. These few pieces of literature may have some influence on the findings for some subgroups, causing some bias, but for the overall rate, the effect is small due to the large sample size. We did not collect data from Chinese databases, which may have caused some linguistic bias, but we included some data from Chinese patients in the published English studies. Finally, GI symptoms are primarily diagnosed by parental reports and symptom questionnaires, and their diagnostic criteria need to be clarified. A more standardized and consistent diagnostic scale for the assessment of GI in patients with ASD is needed in the future.

# Conclusion

We discovered an overall prevalence of 98 per 10,000 for ASD and a high prevalence of 48.67% for GI in ASD, both increasing over time. We found a higher prevalence of ASD in men than in women and a higher prevalence of ASD in developing countries. We should be aware of subtle behavioral and mental changes and the onset of specific gastrointestinal symptoms early in life. Simultaneously, changing policies may help avoid ASD and comorbidities. Due to the high prevalence of ASD and GI symptoms, we will need to continue focusing on this area in the future.

### Data availability statement

The original contributions presented in the study are included in the article/Supplementary material, further inquiries can be directed to the corresponding author/s.

# Author contributions

JyW served as principal author and had full access to all the data in the study, takes responsibility for the accuracy of the data analysis, the integrity of the data, and contributed to draft of the manuscript. ZyZ and JjW contributed to the conception and design. JjW and BM contributed to data acquisition and interpretation. OC contributed to revise of the article and final approval. All authors contributed to the article and approved the submitted version.

# Funding

This research was supported by the Natural Science Foundation of Shandong Province (No. ZR2020MH006).

# References

1. American Psychiatric Association D, Association A P. Diagnostic and statistical manual of mental disorders: DSM-5. *Am Psychiatric Assoc Washington.* (2013) 21:591–643. doi: 10.1176/appi.books.97808904 25596

2. Guan J, Li G. Injury mortality in individuals with autism. Am J Public Health. (2017) 107:791–3. doi: 10.2105/AJPH.2017.303696

3. Ratajczak H V. Theoretical aspects of autism: causes—a review. J Immunotoxicol. (2011) 8:68–79. doi: 10.3109/1547691X.2010.545086

4. Jarmołowska B, Bukało M, Fiedorowicz E, Cieślińska A, Kordulewska N K, Moszyńska M, et al. Role of milk-derived opioid peptides and proline dipeptidyl peptidase-4 in autism spectrum disorders. *Nutrients.* (2019) 11:87. doi: 10.3390/nu11010087

5. Baxter A J, Brugha T S, Erskine H E, Scheurer R W, Vos T, Scott J G. The epidemiology and global burden of autism spectrum disorders. *Psychol Med.* (2015) 45:601–13. doi: 10.1017/S003329171400172X

6. Skonieczna-Zydecka K, Gorzkowska I, Pierzak-Sominka J, Adler G. The prevalence of autism spectrum disorders in West Pomeranian and pomeranian regions of Poland. *J Appl Res Intellect Disabil.* (2017) 30:283–9. doi: 10.1111/jar.12238

7. Vargason T, Frye RE, Mcguinness D L, Hahn J. Clustering of cooccurring conditions in autism spectrum disorder during early childhood: a retrospective analysis of medical claims data. *Autism Res.* (2019) 12:1272– 85. doi:10.1002/aur.2128

8. Rylaarsdam L, Guemez-Gamboa A. Genetic causes and modifiers of autism spectrum disorder. *Front Cell Neurosci.* (2019) 13:385. doi: 10.3389/fncel.2019.00385

9. Bauman M L. Medical comorbidities in autism: challenges to diagnosis and treatment. *Neurotherapeutics*. (2010) 7:320–7. doi: 10.1016/j.nurt.2010.06.001

10. Maenner MJ, Arneson CL, Levy SE, Kirby RS, Nicholas JS, Durkin MS. Brief report: association between behavioral features and gastrointestinal problems among children with autism spectrum disorder. *J Autism Dev Disord.* (2012) 42:1520–5. doi: 10.1007/s10803-011-1379-6

11. Mazurek MO, Vasa RA, Kalb LG, Kanne SM, Rosenberg D, Keefer A, et al. Anxiety, sensory over-responsivity, and gastrointestinal problems in

# **Conflict of interest**

The authors declare that the research was conducted in the absence of any commercial or financial relationships that could be construed as a potential conflict of interest.

# Publisher's note

All claims expressed in this article are solely those of the authors and do not necessarily represent those of their affiliated organizations, or those of the publisher, the editors and the reviewers. Any product that may be evaluated in this article, or claim that may be made by its manufacturer, is not guaranteed or endorsed by the publisher.

## Supplementary material

The Supplementary Material for this article can be found online at: https://www.frontiersin.org/articles/10.3389/ fpsyt.2022.963102/full#supplementary-material

children with autism spectrum disorders. J Abnorm Child Psychol. (2013) 41:165–76. doi: 10.1007/s10802-012-9668-x

12. Prosperi M, Santocchi E, Balboni G, Narzisi A, Bozza M, Fulceri F, et al. Behavioral phenotype of ASD preschoolers with gastrointestinal symptoms or food selectivity. *J Autism Dev Disord.* (2017) 47:3574–88. doi: 10.1007/s10803-017-3271-5

13. Ferguson BJ, Marler S, Altstein LL, Lee EB, Mazurek MO, Mclaughlin A, et al. Associations between cytokines, endocrine stress response, and gastrointestinal symptoms in autism spectrum disorder. *Brain Behav Immun.* (2016) 58:57–62. doi: 10.1016/j.bbi.2016.05.009

14. Buie T, Campbell DB, Fuchs GJ 3rd, Furuta GT, Levy J, Vandewater J, et al. Evaluation, diagnosis, and treatment of gastrointestinal disorders in individuals with ASDs: a consensus report. *Pediatrics*. (2010) 125:S1–18. doi: 10.1542/peds.2009-1878C

15. Lefter R, Ciobica A, Timofte D, Stanciu C, Trifan A. A Descriptive review on the prevalence of gastrointestinal disturbances and their multiple associations in autism spectrum disorder. *Medicina (Kaunas).* (2019) 56:11. doi: 10.3390/medicina56010011

16. Mcelhanon B O, Mccracken C, Karpen S, Sharp W G. Gastrointestinal symptoms in autism spectrum disorder: a meta-analysis. *Pediatrics*. (2014) 133:872–83. doi: 10.1542/peds.2013-3995

17. Albatti TH, Alsaghan LB, Alsharif MF, Alharbi JS, Binomair AI, Alghurair HA, et al. Prevalence of autism spectrum disorder among Saudi children between 2 and 4 years old in Riyadh. *Asian J Psychiatr.* (2022) 71:103054. doi: 10.1016/j.ajp.2022.103054

18. Akhter S, Hussain A, Shefa J, Kundu G K, Rahman F, Biswas A. Prevalence of autism spectrum disorder (ASD) among the children aged 18-36 months in a rural community of Bangladesh: a cross sectional study. F1000Res (2018) 7:424. doi: 10.12688/f1000research.13563.1

19. Reynolds AM, Soke GN, Sabourin KR, Croen LA, Daniels JL, Fallin MD, et al. Gastrointestinal symptoms in 2- to 5-year-old children in the study to explore early development. *J Autism Dev Disord.* (2021) 51:3806–17. doi: 10.1007/s10803-020-04786-9

20. Chakraborty P, Carpenter K L H, Major S, Deaver M, Vermeer S, Herold B, et al. Gastrointestinal problems are associated with increased repetitive

behaviors but not social communication difficulties in young children with autism spectrum disorders. *Autism.* (2021) 25:405–15. doi: 10.1177/13623613209 59503

21. Bitan D T, Krieger I, Weinstein O. Challenges of the COVID-19 pandemic among individuals with autism spectrum disorder. *JAMA Psychiatry.* (2022) 79:389–90. doi: 10.1001/jamapsychiatry.2022.0237

22. Page MJ, Mckenzie JE, Bossuyt PM, Boutron I, Hoffmann TC, Mulrow CD, et al. The PRISMA 2020 statement: an updated guideline for reporting systematic reviews. *J Clin Epidemiol.* (2021) 134:178–89. doi: 10.1016/j.jclinepi.2021.02.003

23. Cumpston M, Li T, Page MJ, Chandler J, Welch VA, Higgins JP, et al. Updated guidance for trusted systematic reviews: a new edition of the cochrane handbook for systematic reviews of interventions. *Cochrane Database Syst Rev.* (2019) 10:Ed000142. doi: 10.1002/14651858.ED000142

24. Rice CE, Carpenter LA, Morrier MJ, Lord C, Dirienzo M, Boan A, et al. Defining in detail and evaluating reliability of DSM-5 criteria for autism spectrum disorder (ASD) among children. *J Autism Dev Disord*. (2022) 4:1–3. doi: 10.1007/s10803-021-05377-y

25. Reed GM, First MB, Kogan CS, Hyman SE, Gureje O, Gaebel W, et al. Innovations and changes in the ICD-11 classification of mental, behavioural and neurodevelopmental disorders. *World Psychiatry.* (2019) 18:3–19. doi:10.1002/wps.20611

26. Lord C, Rutter M, Dilavore P, Risi S, Gotham K, Bishop S. Autism diagnostic observation schedule-2nd edition (ADOS-2). Los Angeles, CA: Western Psychological Corporation (2012). p. 284.

27. Rutter M, Le Couteur A, Lord C. Autism Diagnostic Interview-Revised. Los Angeles, CA: Western Psychological Services (2003). p. 30.

28. Ehlers S, Gillberg C, Wing L, A. screening questionnaire for Asperger syndrome and other high-functioning autism spectrum disorders in school age children. *J Autism Dev Disord*. (1999) 29:129–41. doi: 10.1023/A:1023040610384

29. Robins DL. Official M-CHAT Website. (2014). Available from: http://www. mchatscreen.com/Official M-CHAT Website.html

30. National Heart L, Institute B. National Institute of Health Study Quality Assessment Tool. (2021). Available from: https://www.nhlbi.nih.gov/health-topics/ study-quality-assessment-tools

31. Nyaga V N, Arbyn M, Aerts M. Metaprop: a Stata command to perform meta-analysis of binomial data. *Arch Public Health.* (2014) 72:39. doi: 10.1186/2049-3258-72-39

32. Spineli L M, Pandis N. Meta-analysis: Random-effects model. Am J Orthod Dentofacial Orthop. (2020) 157:280-2. doi: 10.1016/j.ajodo.2019.10.007

33. Higgins J P, Thompson S G. Quantifying heterogeneity in a meta-analysis. *Stat Med.* (2002) 21:1539–58. doi: 10.1002/sim.1186

34. Egger M, Juni P, Bartlett C, Holenstein F, Sterne J. How important are comprehensive literature searches and the assessment of trial quality in systematic reviews? Empirical study. *Health Technol Assess.* (2003) 7:1–76. doi: 10.3310/hta7010

35. Zeidan J, Fombonne E, Scorah J, Ibrahim A, Durkin M S, Saxena S, et al. Global prevalence of autism: a systematic review update. *Autism Res.* (2022). doi: 10.1002/aur.2696

36. Flynn K J, Dehner L P, Gajl-Peczalska K J, Dahl M V, Ramsay N, Wang N. Regressing atypical histiocytosis: a cutaneous proliferation of atypical neoplastic histiocytes with unexpectedly indolent biologic behavior. *Cancer.* (1982) 49:959.doi: 10.1002/1097-0142(19820301)49:5<959::aid-cncr2820490521>3.0. co;2-j

37. Baron-Cohen S, Wheelwright S, Skinner R, Martin J, Clubley E. The autismspectrum quotient (AQ): evidence from Asperger syndrome/high-functioning autism, males and females, scientists and mathematicians. *J Autism Dev Disord*. (2001) 31:5–17. doi: 10.1023/A:1005653411471

38. Werling DM, Geschwind DH. Sex differences in autism spectrum disorders. *Curr Opin Neurol.* (2013) 26:146–53. doi: 10.1097/WCO.0b013e32835ee548

39. Mccarthy MM, Wright CL. Convergence of sex differences and the neuroimmune system in autism spectrum disorder. *Biol Psychiatry*. (2017) 81:402–10. doi: 10.1016/j.biopsych.2016.10.004

40. Werling D M, Parikshak N N, Geschwind D H. Gene expression in human brain implicates sexually dimorphic pathways in autism spectrum disorders. *Nat Commun.* (2016) 7:10717. doi: 10.1038/ncomms10717

41. Lockwood Estrin G, Milner V, Spain D, Happé F, Colvert E. Barriers to autism spectrum disorder diagnosis for young women and girls: a systematic review. *Rev J Autism Dev Disord*. (2021) 8:454–70. doi: 10.1007/s40489-020-00225-8

42. Shenouda J, Barrett E, Davidow A L, Halperin W, Silenzio V M B, Zahorodny W. Prevalence of autism spectrum disorder in a large, diverse metropolitan area: Variation by sociodemographic factors. *Autism Res.* (2022) 15:146–55. doi: 10.1002/aur.2628

43. Qiu S, Lu Y, Li Y, Shi J, Cui H, Gu Y, et al. Prevalence of autism spectrum disorder in Asia: a systematic review and meta-analysis. *Psychiatry Res.* (2020) 284:112679. doi: 10.1016/j.psychres.2019.112679

44. Hong M, Lee SM, Park S, Yoon SJ, Kim YE, Oh IH. Prevalence and economic burden of autism spectrum disorder in south korea using national health insurance data from 2008 to 2015. *J Autism Dev Disord*. (2020) 50:333–9. doi:10.1007/s10803-019-04255-y

45. Nevison CD. A comparison of temporal trends in United States autism prevalence to trends in suspected environmental factors. *Environ Health.* (2014) 13:73. doi: 10.1186/1476-069X-13-73

46. Beopoulos A, Gea M, Fasano A, Iris F. Autonomic nervous system neuroanatomical alterations could provoke and maintain gastrointestinal dysbiosis in autism spectrum disorder (ASD): a novel microbiome-host interaction mechanistic hypothesis. *Nutrients.* (2021) 14:65. doi: 10.3390/nu14010065

47. Ferguson B J, Dovgan K, Severns D, Martin S, Marler S, Gross Margolis K, et al. Lack of associations between dietary intake and gastrointestinal symptoms in autism spectrum disorder. *Front Psychiatry.* (2019) 10:528. doi: 10.3389/fpsyt.2019.00528

48. Louis P. Does the human gut microbiota contribute to the etiology of autism spectrum disorders? *Dig Dis Sci.* (2012) 57:1987-9. doi: 10.1007/s10620-012-2286-1

49. Li X, Liu G, Chen W, Bi Z, Liang H. Network analysis of autistic disease comorbidities in Chinese children based on ICD-10 codes. *BMC Med Inform Decis Mak.* (2020) 20:268. doi: 10.1186/s12911-020-01282-z

50. Bandini L G, Curtin C, Phillips S, Anderson S E, Maslin M, Must A. Changes in food selectivity in children with autism spectrum disorder. *J Autism Dev Disord*. (2017) 47:439–46. doi: 10.1007/s10803-016-2963-6

51. Holingue C, Newill C, Lee L C, Pasricha P J, Daniele Fallin M. Gastrointestinal symptoms in autism spectrum disorder: a review of the literature on ascertainment and prevalence. *Autism Res.* (2018) 11:24–36. doi: 10.1002/aur.1854

52. Mulay K V, Karthik S V. Managing constipation in children with ASD—A challenge worth tackling. *Pediatr Neonatol.* (2022). doi: 10.1016/j.pedneo.2021.11.009

53. Pennesi C M, Klein L C. Effectiveness of the gluten-free, casein-free diet for children diagnosed with autism spectrum disorder: based on parental report. *Nutr Neurosci.* (2012) 15:85–91. doi: 10.1179/1476830512Y.000000003

54. Chaidez V, Hansen R L, Hertz-Picciotto I. Gastrointestinal problems in children with autism, developmental delays or typical development. J Autism Dev Disord. (2014) 44:1117–27. doi: 10.1007/s10803-013-1973-x

55. Babinska K, Celusakova H, Belica I, Szapuova Z, Waczulikova I, Nemcsicsova D, et al. Gastrointestinal symptoms and feeding problems and their associations with dietary interventions, food supplement use, and behavioral characteristics in a sample of children and adolescents with autism spectrum disorders. *Int J Environ Res Public Health.* (2020) 17:6372. doi: 10.3390/ijerph17176372

56. Christensen D L, Maenner M J, Bilder D, Constantino J N, Daniels J, Durkin M S, et al. Prevalence and characteristics of autism spectrum disorder among children aged 4 years—early autism and developmental disabilities monitoring network, seven sites, United States, 2010, 2012, and 2014. *MMWR Surveill Summ*. (2019) 68:1–19. doi: 10.15585/mmwr.ss6802a1

57. Mandell D S, Barry C L, Marcus S C, Xie M, Shea K, Mullan K, et al. Effects of autism spectrum disorder insurance mandates on the treated prevalence of autism spectrum disorder. *JAMA Pediatr.* (2016) 170:887–93. doi: 10.1001/jamapediatrics.2016.1049

58. Fernandes P, Kwak H. Autism and COVID-19. Pediatrics. (2022) 149:e2020049437O. doi: 10.1542/peds.2020-049437O

59. Jin B, Singh R, Ha S E, Zogg H, Park P J, Ro S. Pathophysiological mechanisms underlying gastrointestinal symptoms in patients with COVID-19. *World J Gastroenterol.* (2021) 27:2341–52. doi: 10.3748/wjg.v27.i1 9.2341