

Coordination Chemistry |Hot Paper|

Tris(pentafluoroethyl)difluorophosphorane: A Versatile Fluoride Acceptor for Transition Metal Chemistry

Steffen A. Föhrenbacher,^[a] Mirjam J. Krahfuss,^[a] Ludwig Zapf,^[a, b] Alexandra Friedrich,^[a, b] Nikolai V. Ignat'ev,^[a, b, c] Maik Finze,^{*[a, b]} and Udo Radius^{*[a]}

Dedicated to Professor Herbert W. Roesky on the occasion of his 85th birthday

Abstract: Fluoride abstraction from different types of transition metal fluoride complexes $[L_nMF]$ (M = Ti, Ni, Cu) by the Lewis acid tris(pentafluoroethyl)difluorophosphorane $(C_2F_5)_3PF_2$ to yield cationic transition metal complexes with the tris(pentafluoroethyl)trifluorophosphate counterion (FAP anion, $[(C_2F_5)_3PF_3]^-)$ is reported. $(C_2F_5)_3PF_2$ reacted with trans- $[Ni(iPr_2Im)_2(Ar^F)F]$ $(iPr_2Im = 1, 3$ -diisopropylimidazolin-2-ylidene; $Ar^{F} = C_{6}F_{5}$, **1a**; 4-CF₃-C₆F₄, **1b**; 4-C₆F₅-C₆F₄, **1c**) through fluoride transfer to form the complex salts trans-[Ni- $(iPr_2Im)_2(solv)(Ar^F)$]**FAP** (**2a-c[solv**]; solv = Et₂O, CH₂Cl₂, THF) depending on the reaction medium. In the presence of stronger Lewis bases such as carbenes or PPh₃, solvent coordination was suppressed and the complexes trans-[Ni- $(iPr_2Im)_2(PPh_3)(C_6F_5)]FAP$ (trans-2 a[PPh₃]) cis-[Niand

(*i*Pr₂Im)₂(Dipp₂Im)(C₆F₅)]**FAP** (*cis*-**2** a[Dipp₂Im]) (Dipp₂Im = 1,3bis(2,6-diisopropylphenyl)imidazolin-2-ylidene) were isolated. Fluoride abstraction from [(Dipp₂Im)CuF] (**3**) in CH₂Cl₂ or 1,2difluorobenzene led to the isolation of [{(Dipp₂Im)Cu}₂]²⁺ **2 FAP⁻** (**4**). Subsequent reaction of **4** with PPh₃ and different carbenes resulted in the complexes [(Dipp₂Im)Cu(LB)]**FAP** (**5** a-e, LB = Lewis base). In the presence of C₆Me₆, fluoride transfer afforded [(Dipp₂Im)Cu(C₆Me₆)]**FAP** (**5** f), which serves as a source of [(Dipp₂Im)Cu)]⁺. Fluoride abstraction of [Cp₂TiF₂] (**7**) resulted in the formation of dinuclear [FCp₂Ti(μ -F)TiCp₂F]**FAP** (**8**) (Cp = η^5 -C₅H₅) with one terminal fluoride ligand at each titanium atom and an additional bridging fluoride ligand.

Introduction

Weakly coordinating anions (WCAs)^[1] play an important role in the stabilization of electrophilic cations,^[2] as the anionic part of ionic liquids,^[3] or in materials applications such as lithium-ion batteries.^[4] A common feature of most WCAs is a central atom surrounded by fluorine atoms and/or perfluorinated organic

 [a] S. A. Föhrenbacher, M. J. Krahfuss, L. Zapf, Dr. A. Friedrich, Dr. N. Prof. Dr. M. Finze, Prof. Dr. U. Radius Institute of Inorganic Chemistry Julius-Maximilians-Universität Würzburg Am Hubland, 97074 Würzburg (Germany) E-mail: maik.finze@uni-wuerzburg.de u.radius@uni-wuerzburg.de [b] L. Zapf, Dr. A. Friedrich, Dr. N. V. Ignat'ev, Prof. Dr. M. Finze Institute for Sustainable Chemistry & Catalysis with Boron (ICB) 	
 Prof. Dr. M. Finze, Prof. Dr. U. Radius Institute of Inorganic Chemistry Julius-Maximilians-Universität Würzburg Am Hubland, 97074 Würzburg (Germany) E-mail: maik.finze@uni-wuerzburg.de u.radius@uni-wuerzburg.de [b] L. Zapf, Dr. A. Friedrich, Dr. N. V. Ignat'ev, Prof. Dr. M. Finze Institute for Sustainable Chemistry & Catalysis with Boron (ICB) 	N. V. Ignaťev,
 Institute of Inorganic Chemistry Julius-Maximilians-Universität Würzburg Am Hubland, 97074 Würzburg (Germany) E-mail: maik.finze@uni-wuerzburg.de u.radius@uni-wuerzburg.de [b] L. Zapf, Dr. A. Friedrich, Dr. N. V. Ignat'ev, Prof. Dr. M. Finze Institute for Sustainable Chemistry & Catalysis with Boron (ICB) 	
 Julius-Maximilians-Universität Würzburg Am Hubland, 97074 Würzburg (Germany) E-mail: maik.finze@uni-wuerzburg.de u.radius@uni-wuerzburg.de [b] L. Zapf, Dr. A. Friedrich, Dr. N. V. Ignat'ev, Prof. Dr. M. Finze Institute for Sustainable Chemistry & Catalysis with Boron (ICB) 	
 Am Hubland, 97074 Würzburg (Germany) E-mail: maik.finze@uni-wuerzburg.de u.radius@uni-wuerzburg.de [b] L. Zapf, Dr. A. Friedrich, Dr. N. V. Ignat'ev, Prof. Dr. M. Finze Institute for Sustainable Chemistry & Catalysis with Boron (ICB) 	
E-mail: maik.finze@uni-wuerzburg.de u.radius@uni-wuerzburg.de [b] L. Zapf, Dr. A. Friedrich, Dr. N. V. Ignat'ev, Prof. Dr. M. Finze Institute for Sustainable Chemistry & Catalysis with Boron (ICB)	
u.radius@uni-wuerzburg.de [b] L. Zapf, Dr. A. Friedrich, Dr. N. V. Ignat'ev, Prof. Dr. M. Finze Institute for Sustainable Chemistry & Catalysis with Boron (ICB)	
[b] L. Zapf, Dr. A. Friedrich, Dr. N. V. Ignat'ev, Prof. Dr. M. Finze Institute for Sustainable Chemistry & Catalysis with Boron (ICB)	
Institute for Sustainable Chemistry & Catalysis with Boron (ICB)	
Julius-Maximilians-Universität Würzburg	
Am Hubland, 97074 Würzburg (Germany)	
[c] Dr. N. V. Ignat'ev	
Consultant, Merck KGaA	
Frankfurter Strasse 250, 64293 Darmstadt (Germany)	
Supporting information and the ORCID identification numbers	for the
i authors of this article can be found under:	
https://doi.org/10.1002/chem.202004885.	
© 2020 The Authors. Chemistry - A European Journal publish. VCH GmbH. This is an open access article under the terms of th Commons Attribution License, which permits use distribution a	ed by Wiley- he Creative

duction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

groups. Among the most easily accessible and cheapest WCAs are the main group element complex fluorides $[PF_6]^-$, $[BF_4]^-$, and [SbF₆]⁻. These relatively stable anions can be introduced into new compounds either by salt metathesis or by use of the corresponding neutral Lewis acids PF₅, BF₃, or SbF₅ as fluoride acceptor. The latter method is elegant, as the cation is synthesized in the same reaction step as the WCA. For example, the compounds O₂[BF₄] and O₂[PF₆] can be prepared by fluoride transfer from O₂F₂ to BF₃ or PF₅ accompanied by the release of 0.5 equiv of elemental fluorine.^[5] The complexes [M(CO)₆]²⁺ $2[BF_4]^-$ (M = Fe, Ru, Os) are accessible through oxidation of [M₃(CO)₁₂] with F₂ in anhydrous HF (aHF) and subsequent reaction with CO and HF/BF_3 .^[6] However, BF_3 and PF_5 are gases with low boiling points and are extremely sensitive towards moisture, which makes handling of these substances in the laboratory difficult. Antimony pentafluoride is also an excellent fluoride acceptor. Due to the high fluoride-ion affinity (FIA)^[7] of SbF₅, for example, the salt [H₃SO₄][SbF₆] was obtained by treatment of (Me₃SiO)₂SO₂ with HF/SbF₅.^[8] The high Lewis acidity of SbF₅ was also exploited in the first chemical synthesis of elemental fluorine in 1986 by Christe, who used K₂[MnF₆] as fluorine source.^[9] However, the use of SbF₅ in the laboratory also has some major drawbacks, as it is a hygroscopic liquid at room temperature that undergoes rapid hydrolysis in contact with water or atmospheric moisture liberating HF. It is a strong oxidizing agent, corrosive to metals, and very hazardous to the

Chemistry European Chemical Societies Publishing

eyes, skin, and tissue. $\mathsf{SbF}_{\mathsf{5}}$ belongs to a group of heavy metal reagents subject to restrictions for practical applications.

More complex WCAs are formed by formal substitution of the fluoro substituents with strongly electron withdrawing (per)fluorinated organic and inorganic groups. The fluorine atoms of $[BF_4]^-$ can be substituted with different groups without losing or even enhancing the properties as a WCA. For example, an "inorganic" replacement would be substitution with teflate groups OTeF₅. The salt $[TI(mesitylene)_2][B(OTeF_5)_4]$ was obtained by transfer of the teflate group from $TI(OTeF_5)$ to the Lewis acid $B(OTeF_5)_3$ in mesitylene.^[10] $[B(OTeF_5)_4]^-$ is less coordinating than the teflate anion $[OTeF_5]^-$, which is a good metal coordinator, for example, in the nickel complexes [Ni-(Hacac)_2(OTeF_5)_2] and $[Ni(iPr_2lm)_2(OTeF_5)_2]$ (acac = acetylacetonate; $iPr_2lm = 1,3$ -diisopropylimidazolin-2-ylidene).^[11]

Perfluoroalkyl(fluoro)borates are another class of boronbased WCAs.^[1,12] The tetrakis(trifluoromethyl)borate anion $[B(CF_3)_4]^{-[12a, 13]}$ was used for the stabilization of reactive cations such as $[H(OEt_2)_2]^{+[14]}$ and $N_5^{+,[15]}$ Mixed perfluoroalkyl(fluoro)borate anions of the general formula $[R_{n}^{F}BF_{4-n}]^{-}$, for example, $[(C_2F_5)BF_3]^{-[16]}$ and $[(C_2F_5)_3BF]^{-,[17]}$ and related anions, $[(C_2F_5)BF_{3-x}(CN)_x]^{-,[18]}$ have also been synthesized and studied as WCAs. However, perfluoroalkylboron Lewis acids R^F_nBF_{3-n} (n = 1-3), which would be ideal starting materials for the aforementioned boron-based WCAs, are rare.^[12, 19] Trifluoromethyl-(fluoro)boranes (CF₃)_nBF_{3-n} (n = 1-3), bis(perfluoroalkyl)fluoroboranes R^F₂BF, and tris(perfluoroalkyl)boranes R^F₃B are unstable; only mono(perfluoroalkyl)difluoroboranes $C_x F_{2x+1} BF_2$ (x > 1) are available.^[12a, 19] It was shown that (CF₃)₃BCO is a valuable synthon for the Lewis acid B(CF₃)₃, as it can be used as starting material for a variety of WCAs of the form $[(CF_3)_3BX]^-$ (X = CI,C(O)F, C(O)NH₂, CP, CAs, etc.). ^[12a,20] For example, the reaction of $(CF_3)_3BCO$ with $Co_2(CO)_8$ in hexane afforded the Lewis acidbase adduct [Co2(CO)7COB(CF3)3],^[21] whereas in aHF the ionic compound [Co(CO)₅][(CF₃)₃BF] was formed.^[22]

Perfluoroalkyl(fluoro)phosphate anions are another important class of WCAs. In particular, the tris(pentafluoroethyl)trifluorophosphate anion (**FAP** anion, $[(C_2F_5)_3PF_3]^-)$ has been extensively studied in recent years. The **FAP** anion, which has been commercialized, is readily accessible from the Lewis acid tris(pentafluoroethyl)difluorophosphorane $(C_2F_5)_3PF_2$ and a fluoride source. The two isomers of the **FAP** anion, *mer*-**FAP** and *fac*-**FAP**, differ by 51.6 kJ mol⁻¹ in energy (see Figure 1). Typically, the thermodynamically favorable isomer *mer*-**FAP** is by far the dominant species in the reaction mixtures, and the less stable *fac*-**FAP** is observed as minor component only by ¹⁹F and ³¹P NMR spectroscopy. The **FAP** anion is less coordinating



Figure 1. Isomers of the FAP anion: mer-FAP (left) and fac-FAP (right) and their relative energies calculated at the DFT/PBE0/def2-TZVP-level of theory.

than the parent hexafluorophosphate anion $[\mathsf{PF}_6]^-$ and it is more stable with respect to hydrolysis and decomposition.^[23] Thus, salts such as LiFAP were studied as a substitute for Li[PF_6] as the electrolyte in Li-ion batteries.^[24] Furthermore, ionic liquids based on the FAP anion have been developed and their properties have been determined.^[25]

 $Tris(pentafluoroethyl) difluorophosphorane \ (C_2F_5)_3PF_2 \ is \ ac$ cessible by electrochemical fluorination of Et₃P in aHF^[26] according to the Simons process on an industrial scale.^[27] $(C_2F_5)_3PF_2$ is a liquid with b.p. 91–92 °C.^[23] It is only slowly hydrolyzed on contact with ice-water, liberating HF. The phosphorane (C₂F₅)₃PF₂ is known to react as a strong Lewis acid with various nucleophiles, such as $F^{-,[23,26b]}$ $CI^{-,[28]}$ $H^{-,[29]}$ HO^{-} , and $CH_3C(O)O^{-,[30]}$ Its FIA has been reported to be 389.3 kJ mol⁻¹.^[23,26b] We re-evaluated the FIA according to the procedure presented by Krossing et al.^[31] (see Supporting Information) to be 405.4 kJ mol⁻¹. Thus, $(C_2F_5)_3PF_2$ is more Lewis acidic than PF_5 (395.0 kJ mol⁻¹) and in the range of AsF_5 (FIA = 427.6 kJ mol⁻¹), but still a weaker Lewis acid than SbF_5 (FIA = 476.1 kJ mol⁻¹). (C_2F_5)₃PF₂ can abstract fluoride from PF₆ salts with formation of FAP salts and liberation of $\text{PF}_{\text{5}}^{\text{.}^{[26b,\,32]}}$ Due to its high Lewis acidity the phosphorane $(C_2F_5)_3PF_2$ has been used as a catalyst, for example, in Diels-Alder reactions^[33] and Michael additions.^[34] It was shown that $(C_2F_5)_3PF_2$ enhances the Brønsted acidity of acetonitrile, which, in the presence of Et₃N, enabled the synthesis of [Et₃NH][(C₂F₅)₃PF₂(CH₂CN)] with deprotonated acetonitrile CH₂CN⁻ coordinated to the phosphorus center.^[35] As noted above, the **FAP** anion $[(C_2F_5)_3PF_3]^-$ can be obtained from $(C_2F_5)_3PF_2$ and a suitable fluoride source. Thus, FAP salts have been obtained by fluoride abstraction from main group element fluorides. For example, Ignat'ev, Hoge, and co-workers recently reported on fluoride transfer from Ph_3PF_2 and Ph_3BiF_2 to $(C_2F_5)_3PF_2$ providing $[Ph_3PF]FAP$ and [Ph₃BiF]FAP,^[36] respectively, and on the generation of neutral $(C_2F_5)_3GeF$ by halide abstraction from $[(C_2F_5)_3GeF_2]^-$ with $(C_2F_5)_3PF_2$.^[37]

A few cationic transition metal complexes with the **FAP** anion are known. All of them have been synthesized by anion metathesis. Wasserscheid et al. obtained a series of silver salts by metathesis using AgNO₃ and K**FAP** in different solvents and investigated propene/propane separation using these salts.^[38] The complexes [Co(η^5 -C₅H₅)₂]**FAP** and [Ru(η^5 -C₅H₅)(η^6 -C₆H₆)]**FAP** have been prepared by anion exchange of the parent metal chloride complexes with Na**FAP**.^[39]

Surprisingly, fluoride abstraction from transition metal fluoride complexes by tris(pentafluoroethyl)difluorophosphorane is unexplored. So, we initiated a systematic investigation of the suitability of $(C_2F_5)_3PF_2$ as a fluoride acceptor in transition metal chemistry (see Scheme 1). Various 3d metal fluoride complexes of the transition metals nickel, copper, and titanium were studied, as these earth-abundant metals^[40] are becoming more and more relevant for catalytic applications.^[41] Metal fluoride complexes of the 3d metals are often readily accessible.^[42]

Full Paper doi.org/10.1002/chem.202004885



Scheme 1. Convenient synthesis of cationic transition metal complexes stabilized by the **FAP** anion through fluoride transfer.

Results and Discussion

Nickel complexes

Some of us reported previously on nickel-mediated C-F bond activation in stoichiometric and catalytic reactions.^[42b, c, 43] Complexes of the type $[Ni(NHC)_2]$ (NHC = *N*-heterocyclic carbene) react with aryl fluorides Ar^FF with insertion into the C-F bonds to yield trans-[Ni(NHC)₂(Ar^{F})F] complexes.^[42b,c] Thus, we started our investigation on tris(pentafluoroethyl)difluorophosphorane $(C_2F_5)_3PF_2$ as fluoride acceptor with *trans*-[Ni(*i*Pr₂Im)₂(Ar^F)F] $(Ar^{F} = C_{6}F_{5}, 1a; 4-CF_{3}-C_{6}F_{4}, 1b; 4-C_{6}F_{5}-C_{6}F_{4}, 1c)$. We found that the choice of the solvent is crucial for these reactions, because 1) the nickel complex/phosphorane mixture polymerized solvents such as THF, 2) halogenated solvents such as trichloromethane led to halide exchange at nickel, and 3) other solvents, especially benzene and toluene, led to solubility issues (the phosphorane is not soluble in these solvents). Finally, diethyl ether was found to be the solvent of choice. The characteristic NiF resonance in the ¹⁹F NMR spectrum of **1**a-c in the region between -370 and -375 ppm vanished on reaction with $(C_2F_5)_3PF_2$ in Et₂O. After addition of the phosphorane to a suspension of complexes 1 a-c in Et₂O, clear yellow solutions were obtained immediately, which led to the isolation of trans- $[Ni(iPr_2Im)_2(OEt_2)(Ar^F)]FAP$ $(Ar^F = C_6F_5, 2a[OEt_2]; 4-CF_3-C_6F_4,$ **2b[OEt₂]**; 4-C₆F₅-C₆F₄, **2c[OEt₂]**) as yellow powders (see Scheme 2).



Scheme 2. Synthesis of [Ni(*i*Pr₂Im)₂(solv)(Ar^F)]FAP (2a-c[solv]).

www.chemeuri.org

Chem. Eur. J. 2021, 27, 3504 - 3516

The abstraction of fluoride from the nickel complexes and the formation of the FAP anion was evident from both ¹⁹F and ³¹P NMR spectra. The reaction of the nickel fluorides with $(C_2F_5)_3PF_2$ afforded the more stable *mer*-**FAP** ion of lower symmetry (with respect to the fac-isomer, see Figure 1), according to ³¹P and ¹⁹F NMR spectroscopy. In the ³¹P NMR spectra of the isolated products in [D₈]THF solution, the characteristic signal of the FAP anion was detected at -148.2 ppm (see Figure 2, top). The chemical shift and the multiplicity (tdm) with ${}^{1}J_{P-F}$ coupling constants of 905 and 891 Hz were in accordance with those reported for the FAP anion previously.^[32a, 36] Furthermore, the FAP anion gave rise to resonances at -45.1 (PF), -80.7 (CF₃), -82.4 (CF₃), -88.0 (PF₂), -116.4 (CF₂), and -117.0 ppm (CF_2) with relative intensities of 1:3:6:2:2:4 in the ¹⁹F NMR spectra of the complexes (see Figure 2, bottom). The NiF resonances were no longer detected in the ¹⁹F NMR spectra which indicated complete fluoride transfer from nickel to phosphorus. The signals of the fluoroaryl ligands were almost unaffected (see Table S1 in the Supporting Information). The ether ligand in *trans*- $[Ni(iPr_2Im)_2(OEt_2)(Ar^F)]$ **FAP** is only loosely bound to the nickel atom. In the ¹H NMR spectrum of **2a[OEt₂]** in [D₈]THF, a

Chemistry Europe

European Chemical Societies Publishing



Figure 2. ³¹P (top) and ¹⁹F NMR spectra (bottom) of 2b[OEt₂]; the ¹⁹F NMR signals of the FAP anion are marked with asterisks.

3506 © 2020 The Authors. Chemistry - A European Journal published by Wiley-VCH GmbH



triplet at 1.12 ppm and a quartet at 3.39 ppm were observed for uncoordinated Et₂O,^[44] that is, in [D₈]THF ligand exchange occurred and the Et_2O ligand was replaced with $[\text{D}_8]\text{THF}.$ The NHC ligands gave rise to two sharp doublets at 1.36 and 1.57 ppm for the *i*Pr methyl groups, a septet at 6.18 ppm (${}^{3}J_{H}$ $_{\rm H}$ = 6.7 Hz) for the methine protons, and a singlet at 7.40 ppm for the olefinic protons of the backbone. The integration of the signals of the iPr methyl groups and the methyl groups of Et₂O resulted in a 1:1 molar ratio of complex:Et₂O. After removal of all volatile substances in vacuo and redissolution in [D₈]THF, the signals at 1.12 and 3.39 ppm were no longer detected. Ether exchange was also observed for trans-[Ni- $(iPr_2Im)_2(OEt_2)(4-CF_3-C_6F_4)]$ **FAP** (2 b[OEt₂]) and trans-[Ni- $(iPr_2Im)_2(OEt_2)(4-C_6F_5-C_6F_4)]$ **FAP** (2c[OEt_2]), which does not affect the signals of the FAP anion.

In the ¹³C{¹H} MAS NMR spectrum of 2a[OEt₂] two sets of signals were observed for the coordinated Et₂O (see Figure S5 of the Supporting Information). In the solid-state ³¹P{¹⁹F} MAS NMR spectrum two signals for the counterion were observed as well (see Figure S6 of the Supporting Information). Both observations are indicative of two independent positions of the complex salt in the solid state. The formation of cationic metal complexes was also evident from high-resolution mass spectra of complexes $2a-c[OEt_2]$. In each spectrum, a signal at m/z444.94 was detected in the negative-ion mode for the FAP anion and a signal for the $[Ni(iPr_2Im)_2(Ar^F)]^+$ cation (**2a**: m/z529.19; 2b: m/z 579.19; 2c: m/z 677.18) in the positive-ion mode.

We were not successful in growing high-quality crystals of 2a-c[OEt₂], although a variety of solvent mixtures was tested. However, addition of pentane to a solution of 2c[OEt₂] in wet toluene led to formation of crystals of the hydrolysis product trans-[Ni(iPr_2Im)₂(OH₂)(4-C₆F₅-C₆F₄)]**FAP**·H₂O (trans-2c[OH₂]·H₂O) suitable for X-ray diffraction (see Figure 3). The complex trans-**2c[OH₂]·H₂O** crystallizes in the triclinic space group $P\overline{1}$ with one molecule in the asymmetric unit. The molecular structure confirms the ionic nature of [Ni(iPr₂Im)₂(OH₂)(4-C₆F₅- C_6F_4]**FAP**·H₂O. The nickel atom is almost ideally square-planar coordinated with the carbene ligands in trans positions. The distances of the carbenic carbon atoms C1 and C2 to the nickel atom are identical within 3σ and the fluoride transfer has no influence on the C_{NHC} -Ni bond lengths (cf. 1.924(2), 1.933(2) Å for $1\,a^{\rm [43a]}$ and 1.932(8), 1.911(8) Å for $1\,b^{\rm [42c]}$. The structure reveals the presence of the mer-isomer of the FAP anion in agreement with the ¹⁹F NMR spectrum in solution, which shows only the signals of this isomer. The solid-state structures of the metal complexes presented previously by Mochida and Kimata^[39] and Wasserscheid et al.^[38] as well as the fluorophosphonium salt reported by Hoge et al.^[36] also contain the mer-isomer of the FAP anion.

The water molecule coordinated to the nickel center and a second water molecule bridging the cation and the anion form a hydrogen-bond motif and interconnect the cation and the anion (see Figure 3). The O1-Ni distance of 1.9425(16) Å is slightly longer than the O-Ni distance observed in [Ni{MesIm- $(C_2OMe)_2(H_2O)_2^{2+}2[PF_6]^{-1}$ (1.907(4) Å) (Meslm(C₂OMe) = 1-(2,4,6-trimethylphenyl)-3-(2-methoxyethyl)imidazolin-2-yli-



Figure 3. Molecular structure of trans-2 c[OH₂]·H₂O in the solid state (ellipsoids set at the 50% probability level; iPr groups are shown as wire-andstick models). Hydrogen atoms (except those of the H₂O moieties) are omitted for clarity. Bond lengths [Å] and angles [°]: C1-Ni 1.920(2), C2-Ni 1.931(2), C3-Ni 1.8851(18), O1-Ni 1.9425(16), H1···O2 1.74(4), H2···F1 2.04(4); C1-Ni-C2 179.01(8), C1-Ni-C3 89.96(8), C1-Ni-O1 89.55(8), C2-Ni-C3 90.97(8), C2-Ni-O1 89.53(8), C3-Ni-O1 177.00(8).

dene).^[45] The H1-O2 distance of 1.74(4) Å is in the range expected for hydrogen bonds formed by water (1.72-2.19 Å).[46] The H2-F1 distance of 2.03(4) Å corresponds to a rather short fluorine hydrogen bond, which might be due to the fact that the fluorine atom involved is part of an anion and thus electron rich. This requirement for short fluorine hydrogen bonds was already pointed out previously by Dunitz and Taylor.^[47]

To gain further insight into the coordination of the solvent, the fluoride abstraction of 1a was performed in Et₂O/THF (1:1). Interestingly, the mixture of these two solvents and the phosphorane did not lead to polymerization of THF. After workup, trans- $[Ni(iPr_2Im)_2(thf)(C_6F_5)]$ FAP (2a[thf]) was obtained as a yellow powder with THF coordinated to nickel. The ¹H NMR spectrum of this powder in CD₂Cl₂ shows, besides the signals of the NHC ligands, two resonances for the THF ligand at 1.83 and 3.20 ppm, which are only slightly shifted relative to noncoordinated THF in CD₂Cl₂ (1.81 and 3.67 ppm) but indicative of THF coordination. Similarly, the resonances of the coordinated THF (25.7 and 74.1 ppm) are shifted compared to uncoordinated THF (26.0 and 68.2 ppm) in the ¹³C{¹H} NMR spectrum.

As Et₂O and THF are rather strongly coordinating solvents, we examined the fluoride transfer from 1a to $(C_2F_5)_3PF_2$ in CH₂Cl₂ and 1,2-difluorobenzene, which are both weaker donor solvents. The reaction of 1a with the phosphorane in 1,2-difluorobenzene led to partial decomposition of the nickel complex, whereas $\mathsf{CH}_2\mathsf{Cl}_2$ proved to be a suitable solvent for this reaction. Although the phosphorane is not soluble in CH₂Cl₂, clear solutions were obtained after addition of the phosphorane to solutions of 1 a-c in CH_2Cl_2 . Removal of all volatile substances and drying in vacuo led to isolation of the CH₂Cl₂coordinated complexes trans-[Ni(iPr₂Im)₂(CICH₂CI)(C₆F₅)]FAP $(2a[CICH_2CI])$ and $trans-[Ni(iPr_2Im)_2(CICH_2CI)(4-C_6F_5-C_6F_4)]FAP$ (2c[ClCH₂Cl]). The presence of one equivalent of CH₂Cl₂ was



confirmed by ¹H NMR spectroscopy of the compounds in $[D_8]$ THF. The reaction of $(C_2F_5)_3PF_2$ with **1 b** in CH₂Cl₂ resulted in an impure, sticky, oily residue after removal of all volatile substances in vacuo. To obtain further evidence for solvent coordination, differential scanning calorimetry (DSC) of complexes 2a[OEt2], 2a[CICH2CI], and 2a[thf] was carried out (see Figure S66 of the Supporting Information). The DSC curves revealed endothermic processes at onset temperatures of 50 (2a[CICH₂CI]), 73 (2a[OEt₂]) and 125 °C (2a[thf]), which correspond to solvent removal and lie significantly higher than the boiling points of the corresponding solvents. According to the onset temperatures and the differences compared with the boiling points of the solvents, it can be concluded that the Msolvent binding energy increases in the order $CH_2CI_2 < Et_2O <$ THF, which is in agreement with the exchange of diethyl ether and CH₂Cl₂ with [D₈]THF observed. Furthermore, the complexes 2a[CICH₂CI] and 2a[OEt₂] decompose slowly at room temperature in the solid state and in solution, whereas 2a[thf] is stable under ambient conditions. However, all complexes including 2a[CICH₂CI] and 2a[OEt₂] can be stored in the solid state at -80 °C for weeks without any decomposition.

Quantum chemical calculations (DFT, PBE0/def2-TZVP; for details, see the Supporting Information) were performed on the fluoride transfer from *trans*- $[Ni(iPr_2Im)_2(C_6F_5)F]$ **1a** to $(C_2F_5)_3PF_2$ (see Scheme 3). Fluoride transfer from the nickel complex to the phosphorane to yield the three-coordinate nickel cation and the **FAP** anion is exothermic ($-27.5 \text{ kJ mol}^{-1}$) and should thus occur even in the absence of an additional donor solvent that binds to the nickel cation (see Scheme 3 a). However, coordination even of the weak donor CH₂Cl₂ contributes significantly to the stabilization of the cationic nickel complex (-31.8 kJmol^{-1} , see Scheme 3 b) and thus to the exother-

micity $(-59.3 \text{ kJmol}^{-1}$, see Scheme 3 c) of the formation of **2 a[CICH₂CI]**.

For further examination of the reactivity of the complex cations **2a-c[OEt₂]** with two-electron donor ligands we first tried to re-establish the Ni–F bond using different fluorinating agents (see Scheme 4). All attempts to refluorinate **2a[OEt₂]** with AgF, [Me₄N]F, KF, and $[C_7H_{14}CIFN_2]^{2+}2[BF_4]^-$ (Selectfluor) failed according to ¹⁹F NMR spectroscopy. However, as solvent-coordinated complexes were used as starting materials, we finally succeeded by using CH₂Cl₂ as a less coordinating solvent compared to Et₂O. In contrast to **2a[OEt₂]**, the complex **2a[CICH₂CI]** was refluorinated by using [Me₄N]F as fluoride source to yield **1a**, which was confirmed by ¹⁹F NMR spectroscopy (Scheme 4; Figure S23 of the Supporting Information).

As the nickel cation $[Ni(iPr_2Im)_2(C_6F_5)]^+$ is stabilized by the solvent, we also tried to substitute the solvent by other Lewis bases. For example, treating 2a[CICH2CI] with PPh3 in CD2Cl2 led to two additional resonances in the ³¹P NMR spectrum at 14.9 and 18.6 ppm (cf. noncoordinated PPh_3 at -5.4 ppm), indicative of formation of a 1:1 mixture of cis-2a[PPh₃] and trans-2a[PPh₃]. The formation of these stereoisomers was confirmed by ¹H and ¹⁹F NMR spectra of the reaction mixture. Three septets were observed for the methine protons of the iPr groups at 5.20, 5.50, and 5.57 ppm with relative intensities of 2:2:4. For the cis isomer, the resonances of the NHC ligands were split into two septets at 5.20 and 5.50 ppm. Therefore, the septet at 5.57 ppm was assigned to the trans isomer. Furthermore, in the ³¹P,¹H HMQC spectrum, the methine protons at 5.20 ppm revealed coupling to the phosphorus signal at 18.6 ppm, and the septet at 5.57 ppm with the phosphorus resonance at 14.9 ppm (see Figure 4, top). In the ¹⁹F NMR spectrum, two sets of resonances for the fluoro substituents of the



Scheme 3. Optimized geometries (hydrogen atoms are omitted for clarity) and calculated reaction energies (DFT, PBE0/def2-TZVP/COSMO) of the fluoride transfer from 1 a to $(C_2F_3)_3$ PF₂ and subsequent formation of 2 a[CICH₂CI].

Chem. Eur. J. 2021, 27, 3504-3516

Full Paper doi.org/10.1002/chem.202004885



Scheme 4. Reactivity of 2 a[solv] towards two-electron donors.



Figure 4. ³¹P,¹H HMQC (top), and ³¹P,¹⁹F HMQC spectra (bottom) of the reaction mixture of $2a[CICH_2CI]$ with PPh₃ in CD_2CI_2 .

aryl ligand were observed. In the ³¹P,¹⁹F HMQC spectrum (see Figure 4, bottom), coupling between the phosphorus nucleus with δ = 14.9 ppm and the fluorine atoms with resonances at -115.3, -160.2, and -163.2 ppm was observed. The fluoro substituents assigned to the signals at -116.4, -161.0, and -163.5 ppm showed coupling to the signal of the phosphorus atom of the isomer at 18.6 ppm.

After addition of pentane to a solution of a 1:1 mixture of both stereoisomers in CH₂Cl₂, yellow blocks of *trans-2a*[PPh₃]



Chemistry Europe

European Chemical Societies Publishing

Figure 5. Molecular structure of *trans*-2 a[PPh₃] in the solid state (ellipsoids set at the 50% probability level; *i*Pr and phenyl groups are shown as wireand-stick models). Hydrogen atoms are omitted for clarity. Bond lengths [Å] and angles [°]: C1–Ni 1.905(4), C2–Ni 1.936(4), C3–Ni 1.942(4), P–Ni 2.2588(12); C1-Ni-C2 166.15(16), C1-Ni-C3 88.68(16), C1-Ni-P 93.33(12), C2-Ni-C3 88.25(16), C2-Ni-P 90.52(12), C3-Ni-P 167.5(12).

suitable for X-ray diffraction formed overnight (see Figure 5). The complex *trans*-2 a[PPh₃] crystallizes in the triclinic space group $P\overline{1}$ with one molecule in the asymmetric unit. The nickel atom is coordinated to two NHC ligands, the phosphine ligand, and the fluoroaryl group and thus adopts a distorted square-planar geometry. The PPh₃ ligand is *trans* to the perfluoroaryl ligand. The Ni–P distance of 2.2588(12) Å is close to the average Ni–P distance in [Ni(PPh₃)₃(SO₂)] (2.260(4) Å)^[48] and slightly longer than d(Ni–P) observed for the cationic unit in *trans*-[Ni((MeO)₂Im)₂(PPh₃)Br][PF₆] (2.1811(8) Å) [(MeO)₂Im = 1,3-dimethoxyimidazolin-2-ylidene],^[49] or for neutral [Ni(PPh₃)₃(N= CPh)] (average Ni–P distance: 2.190(5) Å).^[50] The C2–Ni (1.936(4) Å) and C3–Ni (1.942(4) Å) distances are identical within 3 σ and slightly longer than d(C1–Ni) (1.905(4) Å).

The fluoride abstraction from 1 a with $(C_2F_5)_3PF_2$ in the presence of PPh3 also resulted in the formation of a cis/trans-**2a**[**PPh**₃] mixture according to ³¹P NMR spectroscopy [δ (³¹P): 18.6, 14.9 ppm] and HRMS $([Ni(iPr_2Im)_2(PPh_3)(C_6F_5)]^+: m/z$ 791.28; ESI + MS). However, the crude material from this reaction typically contains some unknown impurities, and all attempts to purify the product further have failed, so far. Fluoride abstraction from 1 a in the presence of NHCs was also studied, but these reactions were unspecific and typically gave mixtures of products, which were not separated. For example, the reaction of 1a with $(C_2F_5)_3PF_2$ and Dipp₂Im in Et₂O afforded, after removal of all volatile substances in vacuo, a yellow powder containing a mixture of products according to ¹H NMR spectroscopy (the resonances were not assigned completely). However, one of the reaction products is most probably the imidazolium salt [Dipp2ImH]FAP, as the characteristic signals of the olefinic protons were observed as a doublet at 8.22 ppm, and the imidazolium proton was detected as triplet at 9.59 ppm. This is unexpected, because no protic solvent was used during the reaction and NMR characterization. Furthermore, we isolated a small number of crystals suitable for X-ray diffraction by vapor-diffusion of hexane into a solution of the reaction products in 2-propanol. The crystal structure (see Figure 6) revealed the formation of *cis*-[Ni(*i*Pr₂Im)₂(Dipp₂Im)- (C_6F_5)]FAP (*cis*-2 a[Dipp_2Im]).

Full Paper doi.org/10.1002/chem.202004885





Figure 6. Molecular structure of *cis*-2 a[Dipp₂Im] in the solid state (ellipsoids set at the 50% probability level; *i*Pr and phenyl groups are shown as wireand-stick models). Hydrogen atoms are omitted for clarity. Bond lengths [Å] and angles [°]: C1–Ni 1.9545(18), C2–Ni 1.9709(18), C3–Ni 1.9548(18), C4–Ni 1.9904(17), C1-Ni-C2 89.35(7), C1-Ni-C3 82.91(7), C1-Ni-C4 173.88(7), C2-Ni-C3 163.00(7), C2-Ni-C4 94.92(7), C3-Ni-C4 94.09(7).

The complex *cis*-2 a[Dipp₂Im] crystallizes in the triclinic space group $P\bar{1}$ with one molecule in the asymmetric unit. The environment around the nickel atom is distorted square-planar, with the two *cis-i*Pr₂Im ligands. The C1-Ni-C2 angle is 89.35(7)° and the C–Ni distances of both the carbenic carbon atoms and the fluoroaryl ligand are similar (1.9545(18)–1.9904(17) Å). The angle between Dipp₂Im and the *trans-i*Pr₂Im ligand is 173.88(7)°. The molecule is further stabilized by π -stacking^[41,f,h,51] between the C₆F₅ ligand and one of the Dipp₂Im phenyl substituents, as the angle between the best planes through the fluoroaryl ligand and the Dipp₂Im phenyl substituents is 8.67(9) ° with a distance between the centroids of these two aromatic rings of 3.2402 Å (cf. 3.35 Å in graphite).^[52]

Copper complexes

Copper complexes are of increasing importance as catalysts, which tempted us to examine the reactivity of the copper fluoride complex [(Dipp_lm)CuF] (**3**) towards the phosphorane $(C_2F_5)_3PF_2$. Complex **3** and the parent chloride derivative [(Dipp_lm)CuCl] were intensively studied as catalysts for organic transformations.^[53]

On reaction of **3** with $(C_2F_5)_3PF_2$ in CH_2CI_2 or 1,2-difluorobenzene, the dinuclear complex $[{(Dipp_2Im)Cu}_2]^{2+}2FAP^-$ (4) was obtained as a colorless solid (see Scheme 5). Crystals of 4 suitable for X-ray diffraction were obtained from a saturated solution of 4 in CH_2CI_2 (see Figure 7). Compound 4 crystallizes in the monoclinic space group $P2_1/c$ in the solid state and has half of the centrosymmetric dicationic dinuclear fragment [{(Dipp₂Im)Cu₂]²⁺, one disordered *mer*-isomer **FAP** counterion, and one disordered CH₂Cl₂ solvent molecule in the asymmetric unit (see Figure S67 of the Supporting Information). An inversion center is located between the copper atoms. Besides the coordination of the carbene ligands, the copper atom of the [(Dipp₂Im)Cu]⁺ unit coordinates to a NHC Dipp phenyl group of the adjacent [(Dipp₂Im)Cu]⁺ moiety in an η^3 mode to the ipso and ortho carbon atoms. Two of these units form the dimeric structure of the dication of **4**. Such η^n coordination of the metal atom to aryl substituents at the NHC imidazoline nitrogen atoms is rather common, as observed, for example, for



Scheme 5. Fluoride transfer from [(Dipp₂lm)CuF] (**3**) to $(C_2F_5)_3PF_2$ and follow-up reactions of [{(Dipp₂lm)Cu}₂]²⁺2 **FAP**⁻ (**4**).



Figure 7. Molecular structure of the dication of $[{(Dipp_1lm)Cu}_2]^{2+} 2 FAP^- (4)$ in the solid state (ellipsoids set at the 50% probability level; *i*Pr groups and phenyl groups are shown as wire-and-stick models). Hydrogen atoms are omitted for clarity. Bond lengths [Å] and angles [°]: C1–Cu1 1.890(4), C2–Cu1 2.156(4), C3–Cu1 2.312(5), C4–Cu1 2.355(5), Cu1–Cu1' 2.8014(13); C1-Cu1-C2 170.22(19).

the low-valent, dinuclear NHC complexes $[\{(Ar_2Im)M\}_2]$ $(Ar=Mes,\ M=Fe;\ Ar=Dipp,\ M=Co,\ Ni)^{[54]}$ and $[\{(Dipp_2Im)IrH\}_2]^{2+}$ $2[BF_4]^{-},^{[55]}$ in which each NHC ligand is end-on coordinated to the metal center and one of the aryl substituents is attached through the π system to the adjacent metal atom. The Cu–C

www.chemeuri.ora

Chemistry Europe European Chemical Socletles Publishing

distance to the carbenic carbon atom of 1.890(4) Å in compound 4 is slightly longer than the distances observed in the parent fluoride complex [(Dipp2Im)CuF] (1.857(3) Å)^[56] and the tert-butoxide complex [(Dipp₂Im)Cu(OtBu)] (1.8641(18) Å)^[57] but shorter than those in the related chloride complex [(Dipp₂Im)CuCl] (1.953(8) Å)^[53c] or in the bis-NHC complexes $[(Dipp_2Im)_2Cu][PF_6]$ (1.938(5) Å) and [(Dipp₂Im)₂Cu][BF₄] (1.939(18) Å).^[58] The Cu–C distance to the *ipso* carbon atom C2 (2.156(4) Å) is significantly shorter than the distances to the carbon atoms in ortho positions C3 and C4 (2.312(5) and 2.355(5) Å, respectively). Similar η^3 coordination was observed by Khan and co-workers, who realized halide abstraction from [(Dipp₂Im)CuBr] with Ag[SbF₆] in the presence of hexamethylbenzene to give [(Dipp₂Im)Cu(C₆Me₆)][SbF₆].^[59] The distances between the copper atom and both the carbenic carbon atom and the ipso/ortho carbon atoms of hexamethylbenzene in this complex are very similar to those found in 4.^[59] Additionally, there is a "cuprophilic" interaction^[60] with a Cu---Cu distance of 2.8014(13) Å, which is slightly longer than those in similar NHC-stabilized dinuclear dicationic complexes $(^{R}NHCP^{tBu} = 3-alkyl/aryl-1-bis(di-tert [{(^{R}NHCP^{tBu})Cu}_{2}]^{2+}2[PF_{6}]^{-}$ butylphosphino)-imidazolin-2-ylidene; R = Me, Mes) and $[{(^{R}NHC(CH_{2})P^{tBu})Cu}_{2}]^{2+}2[PF_{6}]^{-}$ $(^{R}NHC(CH_{2})P^{tBu} = 3-alkyl/aryl-1-$ (di-tert-butylphosphinomethyl)-imidazolin-2-ylidene; $R = Me_{\ell}$ Mes) reported by Hofmann et al. (2.575–2.744 Å).^[61]

The bis-NHC complex [(Dipp₂Im)₂Cu]FAP (5 a) was formed in small amounts during the reaction of [(Dipp₂Im)CuF] (3) with (C₂F₅)₃PF₂, as is evident from the ¹H NMR spectrum. This complex was subsequently prepared from [{(Dipp₂Im)Cu₂]²⁺2FAP⁻ (4) and the free carbene (Scheme 5). The ¹H NMR resonances of 4 in CD₂Cl₂ are very broad at room temperature. On cooling, these signals sharpened and were well resolved at $-40\,^\circ\text{C}$ (see Figure S33 of the Supporting Information). All signals of the carbene ligand, except those of the olefinic backbone, are split into two sets due to the coordination of one of the aromatic moieties to copper, which results in local asymmetry of the carbene moiety. This is also reflected in the $^{13}\mbox{C}\{^1\mbox{H}\}$ NMR spectrum, in which two sets of signals for all but the carbenic carbon atoms and the carbon atoms of the backbone were observed (see Figure S35 of the Supporting Information). In [D₈]THF solution only one set of signals was observed for the Dipp₂Im ligand, which may be rationalized by THF coordination with cleavage of the copper-aryl coordination. The doublets of the iPr methyl groups of the carbene ligands in [D₈]THF were observed at 1.25 and 1.27 ppm. The corresponding methine protons give rise to a septet at 2.63 ppm, and the protons of the phenyl groups were detected at 7.42 and 7.55 ppm. Additionally, the olefinic protons of the backbone were observed at 7.66 ppm.

The ¹⁹F and ³¹P NMR spectrum of 4 show the presence of the mer-isomer of the FAP anion, similar to all other complexes presented herein. The formation of the dinuclear species $[{(Dipp_2Im)Cu}_2]^{2+}$ is in agreement with quantum chemical calculations (DFT, PBE0/def2-TZVP/COSMO; see Scheme 6). The transfer of a fluoride anion from [(Dipp₂Im)CuF] (3) to the phosphorane is an endothermic process $(+37.9 \text{ kJmol}^{-1}; \text{ see})$ Scheme 6 a), but dimerization of two cations [(Dipp₂Im)Cu]⁺ to

form the dication of **4** is exothermic by $-156.0 \text{ kJ} \text{ mol}^{-1}$ (-78 kJ mol⁻¹ per copper atom, Scheme 6 b), and contributes significantly to the exothermicity $(-80.2 \text{ kJ} \text{ mol}^{-1})$ of the overall process starting from [(Dipp₂Im)CuF] (3) and the phosphorane to yield 4 (Scheme 6 c).

A solvent-coordinated cationic fragment [(Dipp₂Im)Cu(thf)]⁺ was detected at m/z = 523.27 in the HR mass spectrum of 4 from THF solutions of this complex, which nicely demonstrates that dinuclear 4 serves as a source of the mononuclear [(Dip p_2 Im)Cu]⁺ cation. Thus, we treated complex **4** with PPh₃ and selected carbenes and subsequently isolated the complexes [(Dipp₂lm)Cu(NHC)]**FAP** (NHC = Dipp₂lm, **5a**; tBu_2 lm, **5b**; Me_2Im^{Me} , **5c**; cAAC^{Me}, **5d**; $tBu_2Im = 1,3$ -di(*tert*-butyl)-imidazolin-2-ylidene, Me₂Im^{Me} = 1,3,4,5-tetramethyl-imidazolin-2-ylidene, cAAC^{Me} = 1-(2,6-di-isopropylphenyl)-2,2,4,4-tetramethyl-pyrrolidine) and [(Dipp₂Im)Cu(PPh₃)]FAP (5e) (see Scheme 5). Complexes 5a-e were characterized by ¹H, ¹³C, ¹⁹F, and ³¹P NMR spectroscopy, elemental analysis, IR spectroscopy, and mass spectrometry (see Supporting Information).

Table 1 summarizes selected chemical shifts of the ¹H and ¹³C NMR spectra of **4** and **5a-e** in [D₈]THF solution. There are no significant differences in the ¹⁹F or ³¹P NMR spectra of any of the compounds.

Table 1. Selected ¹ H and ¹³ C NMR chemical shifts [ppm] of the Dipp ₂ Im ligands of 4 and 5a–e in [D ₈]THF.							
	δ(¹³ C) NCN	NCHCHN	C _{para} —H	δ(¹ H) C _{meta} —H	<i>i</i> Pr-CH	<i>i</i> Pr-CH₃	
4	180.1	7.56	7.42	7.42	2.63	1.27/1.25	
5a	178.1	7.38	7.48	7.20	2.37	1.02/0.90	
5b	179.8	7.75	7.55	7.43	2.79	1.27/1.23	
5c	180.7	7.75	7.56	7.43	2.64	1.27	
5d	178.8	7.61	7.52	7.34	2.52	1.14/1.13	
5 e	178.0	7.81	7.65	7.46	2.63	1.26/1.15	

The cations of 5a,^[58,62] 5b^[63] and 5e^[64] were reported previously but synthesized by different routes. Crystals of 5a, 5c, and 5d suitable for X-ray diffraction were obtained by evaporation of a solution of 5a in CH₂Cl₂ and vapor-diffusion of hexane into solutions of 5c in THF and 5d in toluene (see Figure 8). Complex 5a crystallizes in the triclinic space group $P\bar{1}$ and compounds **5c** and **5d** crystallize in the monoclinic space group $P2_1/n$. All three structures show the corresponding cations [(Dipp₂Im)Cu(NHC)]⁺ and the *mer*-isomer of the FAP anion. The copper atom in these complexes is linearly coordinated by the two NHC ligands. In 5a, 5c, and 5d the distances between the carbenic carbon atoms and the copper atom are the same within the standard deviation (5 a: C1-Cu = C2–Cu 1.9272(15) Å; **5c**: C1–Cu 1.8955(19), C2–Cu 1.898(2) Å; 5d: C1–Cu 1.9129(14), C2–Cu 1.9136(14) Å). The distances between the carbenic carbon atoms and the copper atom in 5c are slightly shorter than those in 5a and 5d. The C-Cu distances in **5a** are similar to those of [(Dipp₂Im)₂Cu][X] $(X = PF_6: 1.938(5) Å_{t}^{[58]} BF_4: 1.939(18) Å_{t}^{[58]} BPh_4: 1.872(4) Å_{t}^{[62a]}$ Bneop₂: 1.9204(14) Å^[62b] Bpin₂: 1.920(4) Å^[62c] neop = neopentyl Full Paper doi.org/10.1002/chem.202004885





Scheme 6. Optimized geometries (hydrogen atoms are omitted for clarity) and calculated reaction energies (DFT, PBE0/def2-TZVP/COSMO) of the fluoride transfer from [(Dipp_2Im)CuF] (3) to $(C_2F_3)_3PF_2$ and subsequent formation of [{(Dipp_2Im)Cu}_2]^2+2FAP⁻ (4). The calculations demonstrate that the Dipp arene ligand of 4 is rather η^2 -bonded to the adjacent Cu atom.

glycolato, pin = pinacolato) with the same cation but different counterions. The C1–Cu bond lengths in **5 c** and **5 d** are in the range of the distances within standard deviation of the carben-ic carbon atoms of the Dipp₂Im ligands to the copper atom in the heteroleptic bis-NHC complexes reported by Cazin et al. (1.898(6)–1.908(7) Å).^[63] The C2–Cu distances in **5 c** (1.898(2) Å) and **5 d** (1.9136(14) Å) are slightly longer than *d*(C–Cu) in the related chloride complexes [(Me₂Im^{Me})CuCl] (1.878(2) Å).^[65] and [(cAAC^{Me})CuCl] (1.878(2) Å).^[66] The corresponding cationic copper ions were detected in the high resolution mass spectra of **5 a**–**e** (**5 a**: *m/z* 839.50; **5 b**: *m/z* 631.38; **5 c**: *m/z* 575.31; **5 d**: *m/z* 736.46; **5 e**: *m/z* 729.30). Additionally, the signal of the **FAP** anion was observed in the negative-ion mode of the mass spectra of the aforementioned salts (see Supporting Information).

The cationic hexamethylbenzene Cu¹ complex of $[(Dipp_2Im)Cu(C_6Me_6)][SbF_6]^{[59]}$ is accessible as $[(Dipp_2Im)-Cu(C_6Me_6)]FAP$ (5 f) as the sole product starting from the fluoride complex $[(Dipp_2Im)CuF]$ (3), $(C_2F_5)_3PF_2$, and C_6Me_6 . Complex 5 f was also prepared by addition of C_6Me_6 to a solution of 4 in CD_2Cl_2 . On dissolution of 5 f in $[D_8]THF$ the signal of free hexamethylbenzene was observed at 2.17 ppm, which shows that hexamethylbenzene is easily replaced by other donors. In addition, solutions of both 4 and 5 f in $[D_8]THF$ showed the same signals in the ¹H NMR spectra. Consequently, in both 4 and 5 f the aryl ligand can be substituted by other

donors, which was also previously observed for the related complex [(Dipp₂Im)Cu(toluene)][SbF₆].^[67] However, fluoride abstraction with a fluoride-ion acceptor such as $(C_2F_5)_3PF_2$ is advantageous compared to bromide abstraction with a silver salt of a WCA, since 1) it is a more atom-efficient process, 2) the Lewis acid $(C_2F_5)_3PF_2$ is cheaper than silver salts of WCAs, and 3) it is not necessary to remove any byproduct such as AgBr.

Titanium complexes

To demonstrate the scope of the phosphorane $(C_2F_5)_3PF_2$ as fluoride abstractor with respect to electron-deficient early 3d metal fluoride complexes, we expanded our study to the model reaction of $(C_2F_5)_3PF_2$ with $[Cp_2TiF_2]$ (**6**; $Cp = \eta^5-C_5H_5$). The Ti–F bond energy was experimentally found to be 569 kJ mol⁻¹ in the gas phase.^[68] For Ni–F and Cu–F significantly smaller dissociation energies of 430^[69] and 413 kJ mol^{-1[70]} have been reported, respectively. These experimental values are based on mass spectrometric data for Ti^[68] and Cu^[70] and on IR spectroscopic data for Ni.^[69] Thus, the abstraction of a fluoride ion from titanium should require a much stronger Lewis acid compared with copper or nickel.

After addition of one equivalent of $(C_2F_5)_3PF_2$ to a solution of **6** in CH₂Cl₂, the color immediately changed from yellow to orange. After 15 min of stirring, an orange solid precipitated. Removal of the solvent in vacuo led to isolation of the dinu-



Figure 8. Molecular structures of $[(Dipp_2lm)_2Cu]FAP (5a) (top), [(Dip-p_2lm)Cu(Me_2lm^Me)]FAP (5c) (middle), and <math>[(Dipp_2lm)Cu(cAAC^{Me})]FAP (5d)$ (bottom) in the solid state (ellipsoids set at the 50% probability level; *i*Pr and phenyl groups are shown as wire-and-stick models). Hydrogen atoms are omitted for clarity. Bond lengths [Å] and angles [°]: 5a: C1–Cu 1.9272(15), C2–Cu 1.9272(15); C1–Cu–C2 178.27(6); 5c: C1–Cu 1.8955(19), C2–Cu 1.898(2); C1-Cu-C2 176.23(8); 5d: C1–Cu 1.9129(14), C2–Cu 1.9136(14); C1-Cu-C2 170.41(6).

clear complex $[FCp_2Ti(\mu-F)TiCp_2F]FAP$ (7). Thus, only one of the fluoride ligands of **6** was transferred to the phosphorane. The outcome is independent of the stoichiometry used, as the re-

action of **6** with 0.5 and 5 equiv of $(C_2F_5)_3PF_2$ also gave **7** as sole product. In the cationic complex **7** a fluoride ligand bridges two titanium atoms (Scheme 7). The formation of the *mer*-**FAP** anion can be deduced from ¹⁹F and ³¹P NMR spectra. Besides the resonances of the *mer*-**FAP** anion, additional signals were observed in the ¹⁹F NMR spectrum at 168.2 and –121.9 ppm for the terminal (168.2 ppm) and the bridging (–121.9 ppm) fluoride ligand(s),^[71] which is also in agreement with the integration of the ¹⁹F NMR spectrum of **7** (see Figure S63 of the Supporting Information).



Scheme 7. Synthesis of [FCp₂Ti(*u*-F)TiCp₂F]**FAP** (7).

The proposed structure of **7** was also confirmed by elemental analysis and the HR mass spectrum of the isolated complex. In the positive-mode HR mass spectrum the dinuclear cation $[FCp_2Ti(\mu-F)TiCp_2F]^+$ was detected at m/z 413.05. The signal of the **FAP** anion was observed at m/z 444.95. Additionally, crystals of **7** suitable for X-ray diffraction were obtained by diffusion of hexane into a solution of **7** in 1,2-difluorobenzene (see Figure S65 of the Supporting Information). Although the quality of the data is insufficient for a detailed analysis of the bonding parameters, the solid-state structure proves the connectivity of the dinuclear cation featuring a bridging fluoride ligand and the **FAP** anion (*mer*-isomer) as a not coordinated counterion.

The formation of a dinuclear complex is further supported by quantum chemical calculations (Scheme 8). Similar to the copper complex **3**, the formation of a non-stabilized mononuclear cation [Cp2TiF]⁺ is thermodynamically not feasible, as fluoride transfer is highly endothermic ($+76.4 \text{ kJmol}^{-1}$, see Scheme 8 a). As this process is more endothermic for titanium (76.4 kJmol⁻¹) than for copper (37.9 kJmol⁻¹), the metal–fluoride bond in **6** should be stronger than that in **3**. The addition of [Cp₂TiF₂] to the cation [Cp₂TiF]⁺, however, proved to be crucial, as this addition is exothermic (-93.0 kJmol^{-1} ; see Scheme 8 b) and provides the driving force necessary to make the overall reaction exothermic (-16.6 kJmol^{-1} ; see Scheme 8 c).

Conclusion

We have demonstrated that fluoride abstraction from 3d transition metal fluoride complexes by the readily available and easy-to-handle (liquid) strong Lewis acid tris(pentafluoroethyl)difluorophosphorane (C_2F_{5})₃PF₂ is a general approach to prepare cationic complexes, as exemplified for fluoride complexes of nickel, copper, and titanium. The cationic metal complexes are stabilized by the weakly coordinating tris(pentafluoroethyl)trifluorophosphate anion (**FAP** anion, [(C_2F_5)₃PF₃]⁻). Fluoride ab-

Full Paper doi.org/10.1002/chem.202004885





Scheme 8. Optimized geometries (hydrogen atoms are omitted for clarity) and calculated reaction energies (DFT, PBE0/def2-TZVP/COSMO) of the fluoride transfer from $[Cp_2TiF_2]$ (6) to $(C_2F_5)_3PF_2$ and subsequent formation of $[FCp_2Ti(\mu-F)TiCp_2F]FAP$ (7).

straction from *trans*- $[Ni(iPr_2Im)_2(Ar^F)F]$ (**1a**-c) led to a series of solvent-coordinated cationic complexes or cations stabilized by classical Lewis bases if the reaction was conducted in the presence of a Lewis base such as a carbene or a phosphine. Fluoride abstraction from [(Dipp₂Im)CuF] (3) resulted in the formation of the dinuclear species $[{(Dipp_2Im)Cu}_2]^{2+}2FAP^-$ (4), in which the copper complex cations are stabilized by copperarene interactions with NHC aryl groups of the adjacent [(Dipp₂lm)Cu]⁺ moiety. This weak interaction can be cleaved by weak two-electron donors such as THF (as evidenced by HRMS and ¹H NMR spectroscopy), but not by the weakly coordinating FAP anion. Reaction of 4 with Lewis bases such as a phosphine and carbenes resulted in the formation of complexes of the type [(Dipp₂Im)Cu(LB)]FAP (5a-e), indicating that the dinuclear complex **4** serves as a synthon for [(Dipp₂Im)Cu]⁺. When fluoride transfer from [(Dipp2lm)CuF] (3) was conducted in the presence of C_6Me_6 , the salt [(Dipp_2Im)Cu(C_6Me_6)]FAP (5 f) was obtained in good yield. Fluoride abstraction from [Cp₂TiF₂] (6) with $(C_2F_5)_3PF_2$ afforded the dinuclear complex $[FCp_2Ti(\mu -$ F)TiCp₂F]FAP (7), in which the two titanium centers are bridged by a fluoride ligand.

In all cases the phosphorane $(C_2F_5)_3PF_2$, which has a FIA of 405.4 kJ mol⁻¹ close to that of AsF₅ (FIA = 427.6 kJ mol⁻¹), serves as a versatile fluoride acceptor to give the weakly coordinating **FAP** anion. Fluoride abstraction is thus feasible for a wide range of transition metal complexes, including those of fluorophilic titanium, and the **FAP** anion stabilizes reactive cationic complexes, as exemplified by cationic copper, nickel, and titanium complexes. We believe that fluoride abstraction by the phosphorane (C₂F₅)₃PF₂ and cation stabilization with the resulting **FAP** anion formed are generally applicable for the sta-

bilization of reactive transition metal complex cations and cationic intermediates, and future studies along these lines are in progress.

Experimental Section

Crystallographic data: Deposition Number(s) 2042381 (for *trans*-**2c[OH**₂]**·H**₂**O**), 2042382 (for *trans*-**2a[PPh**₃]), 2042386 (for *cis*-**2a[Dipp**₂**Im**]), 2042385 (for **4**), 2042383 (for **5a**), 2042384 (for **5c**), and 2042387 (for **5d**) contain(s) the supplementary crystallographic data for this paper. These data are provided free of charge by the joint Cambridge Crystallographic Data Centre and Fachinformationszentrum Karlsruhe Access Structures service www.ccdc.cam.a-c.uk/structures.

Acknowledgements

This work was supported by the Julius-Maximilians-Universität Würzburg. We thank Prof. Dr. Todd B. Marder for helpful discussions and Merck KGaA (Darmstadt, Germany) for generous support. Open access funding enabled and organized by Projekt DEAL.

Conflict of interest

The authors declare no conflict of interest.

Keywords: copper \cdot nickel \cdot phosphoranes \cdot titanium \cdot weakly coordinating anions

www.chemeuri.ora



- a) W. Beck, K. Sünkel, Chem. Rev. **1988**, 88, 1405–1421; b) S. H. Strauss, Chem. Rev. **1993**, 93, 927–942; c) I. Krossing, I. Raabe, Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. **2004**, 43, 2066–2090; Angew. Chem. **2004**, 116, 2116–2142; d) I. M. Riddlestone, A. Kraft, J. Schaefer, I. Krossing, Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. **2018**, 57, 13982–14024; Angew. Chem. **2018**, 130, 14178–14221.
- [2] a) T. Drews, K. Seppelt, Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. Engl. 1997, 36, 273–274; Angew. Chem. 1997, 109, 264–266; b) A. Vij, W. W. Wilson, V. Vij, F. S. Tham, J. A. Sheehy, K. O. Christe, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2001, 123, 6308– 6313; c) K.-C. Kim, C. A. Reed, D. W. Elliott, L. J. Mueller, F. Tham, L. Lin, J. B. Lambert, Science 2002, 297, 825–827; d) T. Kato, C. A. Reed, Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. 2004, 43, 2908–2911; Angew. Chem. 2004, 116, 2968– 2971; e) T. A. Engesser, M. R. Lichtenthaler, M. Schleep, I. Krossing, Chem. Soc. Rev. 2016, 45, 789–899.
- [3] a) T. Welton, Chem. Rev. 1999, 99, 2071–2084; b) P. Wasserscheid, W. Keim, Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. 2000, 39, 3772–3789; Angew. Chem. 2000, 112, 3926–3945.
- [4] a) F. Kita, H. Sakata, A. Kawakami, H. Kamizori, T. Sonoda, H. Nagashima, N. V. Pavlenko, Y. L. Yagupolskii, J. Power Sources 2001, 97–98, 581– 583; b) M. Armand, P. Johansson, J. Power Sources 2008, 178, 821–825; c) R. Younesi, G. M. Veith, P. Johansson, K. Edström, T. Vegge, Energy Environ. Sci. 2015, 8, 1905–1922; d) Z. Huang, S. Wang, R. D. Dewhurst, N. V. Ignat'ev, M. Finze, H. Braunschweig, Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. 2020, 59, 8800–8816; Angew. Chem. 2020, 132, 8882–8900.
- [5] I. J. Solomon, R. I. Brabets, R. K. Uenishi, J. N. Keith, J. M. McDonough, Inorg. Chem. 1964, 3, 457.
- [6] M. Finze, E. Bernhardt, H. Willner, C. W. Lehmann, F. Aubke, *Inorg. Chem.* 2005, 44, 4206–4214.
- [7] K. O. Christe, D. A. Dixon, D. McLemore, W. W. Wilson, J. A. Sheehy, J. A. Boatz, J. Fluorine Chem. 2000, 101, 151–153.
- [8] R. Minkwitz, R. Seelbinder, R. Schöbel, Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. 2002, 41, 111–114; Angew. Chem. 2002, 114, 119–121.
- [9] K. O. Christe, Inorg. Chem. 1986, 25, 3721-3722.
- [10] M. D. Noirot, O. P. Anderson, S. H. Strauss, Inorg. Chem. 1987, 26, 2216– 2223.
- [11] S. Hämmerling, L. Mann, S. Steinhauer, M. W. Kuntze-Fechner, U. Radius, S. Riedel, Z. Anorg. Allg. Chem. 2018, 644, 1047 – 1050.
- [12] a) M. Finze, E. Bernhardt, H. Willner, Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. 2007, 46, 9180–9196; Angew. Chem. 2007, 119, 9340–9357; b) N. Y. Adonin, V. V. Bardin, Russ. Chem. Rev. 2010, 79, 757–785.
- [13] a) E. Bernhardt, G. Henkel, H. Willner, G. Pawelke, H. Bürger, Chem. Eur. J. 2001, 7, 4696–4705; b) E. Bernhardt, M. Finze, H. Willner, Inorg. Chem. 2011, 50, 10268–10273.
- [14] M. Finze, E. Bernhardt, M. Berkei, H. Willner, J. Hung, R. M. Waymouth, Organometallics 2005, 24, 5103-5109.
- [15] W. W. Wilson, A. Vij, V. Vij, E. Bernhardt, K. O. Christe, *Chem. Eur. J.* 2003, 9, 2840–2844.
- [16] a) Z.-B. Zhou, M. Takeda, M. Ue, J. Fluorine Chem. 2003, 123, 127–131;
 b) Z.-B. Zhou, H. Matsumoto, K. Tatsumi, Chem. Eur. J. 2004, 10, 6581–6591;
 c) J. A. P. Sprenger, C. Kerpen, N. Ignat'ev, M. Finze, J. Fluorine Chem. 2018, 206, 54–60.
- [17] G. Pawelke, H. Willner, Z. Anorg. Allg. Chem. 2005, 631, 759-762.
- [18] J. Landmann, J. A. P. Sprenger, P. T. Hennig, R. Bertermann, M. Grüne, F. Würthner, N. Ignat'ev, M. Finze, *Chem. Eur. J.* 2018, 24, 608–623.
- [19] M. Finze, E. Bernhardt, M. Zähres, H. Willner, *Inorg. Chem.* 2004, 43, 490-505.
- [20] a) M. Finze, E. Bernhardt, A. Terheiden, M. Berkei, H. Willner, D. Christen, H. Oberhammer, F. Aubke, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2002, 124, 15385–15398;
 b) A. Terheiden, E. Bernhardt, H. Willner, F. Aubke, Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. 2002, 41, 799–801; Angew. Chem. 2002, 114, 823–825; c) M. Finze, E. Bernhardt, H. Willner, C. W. Lehmann, Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. 2004, 43, 4160–4163; Angew. Chem. 2004, 116, 4254–4257; d) M. Finze, E. Bernhardt, H. Willner, C. W. Lehmann, Chem. Eur. J. 2005, 11, 6653–6665.
- [21] E. Bernhardt, M. Finze, H. Willner, C. W. Lehmann, F. Aubke, Chem. Eur. J. 2006, 12, 8276–8283.
- [22] E. Bernhardt, M. Finze, H. Willner, C. W. Lehmann, F. Aubke, Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. 2003, 42, 2077–2079; Angew. Chem. 2003, 115, 2123– 2125.
- [23] N. V. Ignat'ev, J. Bader, K. Koppe, B. Hoge, H. Willner, J. Fluorine Chem. 2015, 171, 36–45.
- [24] a) M. Schmidt, U. Heider, A. Kuehner, R. Oesten, M. Jungnitz, N. Ignat'ev, P. Sartori, J. Power Sources 2001, 97–98, 557–560; b) J. S. Gnanaraj, E.

Zinigrad, L. Asraf, H. E. Gottlieb, M. Sprecher, D. Aurbach, M. Schmidt, J. Power Sources 2003, 119–121, 794–798; c) J. S. Gnanaraj, E. Zinigrad, M. D. Levi, D. Aurbach, M. Schmidt, J. Power Sources 2003, 119–121, 799–804; d) J. S. Gnanaraj, M. D. Levi, Y. Gofer, D. Aurbach, M. Schmidt, J. Electrochem. Soc. 2003, 150, A445; e) V. Aravindan, P. Vickraman, *Eur. Polym. J.* 2007, 43, 5121–5127; f) V. Aravindan, P. Vickraman, *J. Appl. Polym. Sci.* 2008, 108, 1314–1322; g) V. Aravindan, P. Vickraman, *Mater. Chem. Phys.* 2009, 115, 251–257; h) V. Aravindan, P. Vickraman, A. Sivashanmugam, R. Thirunakaran, S. Gopukumar, *Appl. Phys. A* 2009, 97, 811–819; i) V. Aravindan, P. Vickraman, *Ind. J. Phys.* 2012, 86, 341–344; j) Y. R. Dougassa, C. Tessier, L. El Ouatani, M. Anouti, J. Jacquemin, J. Chem. Thermodyn. 2013, 61, 32–44; k) M. Carboni, R. Spezia, S. Brutti, J. Phys. Chem. C 2014, 118, 24221–24230.

- [25] a) N. V. Ignat'ev, U. Welz-Biermann, A. Kucheryna, G. Bissky, H. Willner, J. Fluorine Chem. 2005, 126, 1150–1159; b) C. C. Cassol, G. Ebeling, B. Ferrera, J. Dupont, Adv. Synth. Catal. 2006, 348, 243–248; c) A. S. L. Gouveia, L. C. Tomé, E. I. Lozinskaya, A. S. Shaplov, Y. S. Vygodskii, I. M. Marrucho, Phys. Chem. Chem. Phys. 2017, 19, 28876–28884.
- [26] a) N. Ignat'ev, P. Sartori, J. Fluorine Chem. 2000, 103, 57–61; b) N. Ignat'ev, Modern Synthesis Processes and Reactivity of Fluorinated Compounds, Elsevier Inc, London, UK, 2016.
- [27] J. H. Simons, J. Electrochem. Soc. 1949, 95, 47-57.
- [28] N. Ignatiev, G. Bissky, H. Willner, WO 2008/092489, Merck Patent GmbH, Darmstadt, Germany.
- [29] a) N. Ignatyev, M. Schulte, A. J. Bader, B. T. Hoge, WO 2011/072810, Merck Patent GmbH, Darmstadt, Germany; b) J. Bader, N. Ignat'ev, B. Hoge, *Eur. J. Inorg. Chem.* 2018, 861–866.
- [30] N. Ignatyev, W. Hierse, W. Wiebe, H. Willner, DE 10 2011 111 490 A1, Merck Patent GmbH, Darmstadt, Germany.
- [31] I. Krossing, I. Raabe, Chem. Eur. J. 2004, 10, 5017-5030.
- [32] a) N. Ignatyev, G. Bissky, H. Willner, WO 2007/087949, Merck Patent GmbH, Darmstadt, Germany; b) N. V. Ignat'ev, H. Willner, P. Sartori, J. Fluorine Chem. 2009, 130, 1183–1191.
- [33] B. Bittner, K. Koppe, V. Bilir, W. Frank, H. Willner, N. Ignat'ev, J. Fluorine Chem. 2015, 169, 50–60.
- [34] B. Bittner, K. Koppe, W. Frank, N. Ignat'ev, J. Fluorine Chem. 2016, 182, 22-27.
- [35] J. Bader, B. Neumann, H.-G. Stammler, N. Ignat'ev, B. Hoge, Chem. Eur. J. 2018, 24, 6975-6982.
- [36] S. Solyntjes, B. Neumann, H.-G. Stammler, N. Ignat'ev, B. Hoge, Eur. J. Inorg. Chem. 2016, 3999–4010.
- [37] S. Pelzer, B. Neumann, H.-G. Stammler, N. Ignat'ev, B. Hoge, Chem. Eur. J. 2016, 22, 16460–16466.
- [38] D. Pliquett, P. S. Schulz, F. W. Heinemann, A. Bause, P. Wasserscheid, *Phys. Chem. Chem. Phys.* **2016**, *18*, 28242–28253.
- [39] H. Kimata, T. Mochida, Cryst. Growth Des. 2018, 18, 7562-7569.
- [40] K. H. Wedepohl, Geochim. Cosmochim. Ac. 1995, 59, 1217-1232.
- [41] a) G. Xu, Macromolecules 1998, 31, 586-591; b) X. Du, Z. Huang, ACS Catal. 2017, 7, 1227-1243; c) P. Gandeepan, T. Müller, D. Zell, G. Cera, S. Warratz, L. Ackermann, Chem. Rev. 2019, 119, 2192-2452; d) R. A. Jagtap, B. Punji, Asian J. Org. Chem. 2020, 9, 326-342; e) J. Loup, U. Dhawa, F. Pesciaioli, J. Wencel-Delord, L. Ackermann, Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. 2019, 58, 12803-12818; Angew. Chem. 2019, 131, 12934-12949; f) Y. P. Budiman, A. Friedrich, U. Radius, T. B. Marder, ChemCatChem 2019, 11, 5387-5396; g) R. Jazzar, M. Soleilhavoup, G. Betrand, Chem. Rev. 2020, 120, 4141-4168; h) Z. Liu, Y. P. Budiman, Y. Tian, A. Friedrich, M. Huang, S. A. Westcott, U. Radius, T. B. Marder, Chem. Eur. J. 2020, 26, 17267-17274.
- [42] a) P. M. Druce, B. M. Kingston, M. F. Lappert, T. R. Spalding, R. C. Srivastava, J. Chem. Soc. A 1969, 2106–2110; b) T. Schaub, U. Radius, Chem. Eur. J. 2005, 11, 5024–5030; c) T. Schaub, M. Backes, U. Radius, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2006, 128, 15964–15965; d) J. R. Herron, Z. T. Ball, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2008, 130, 16486–16487.
- [43] a) T. Schaub, P. Fischer, A. Steffen, T. Braun, U. Radius, A. Mix, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2008, 130, 9304–9317; b) T. Schaub, M. Backes, U. Radius, Eur. J. Inorg. Chem. 2008, 2680–2690; c) T. Schaub, P. Fischer, T. Meins, U. Radius, Eur. J. Inorg. Chem. 2011, 3122–3126; d) P. Fischer, K. Götz, A. Eichhorn, U. Radius, Organometallics 2012, 31, 1374–1383; e) J. Zhou, M. W. Kuntze-Fechner, R. Bertermann, U. S. D. Paul, J. H. J. Berthel, A. Friedrich, Z. Du, T. B. Marder, U. Radius, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2016, 138, 5250–5253; f) J. Zhou, J. H. J. Berthel, M. W. Kuntze-Fechner, A. Frie-

Chem. Eur. J. 2021, 27, 3504 – 3516

www.chemeurj.org

3515 © 2020 The Authors. Chemistry - A European Journal published by Wiley-VCH GmbH



drich, T. B. Marder, U. Radius, *J. Org. Chem.* **2016**, *81*, 5789–5794; g) U. S. D. Paul, U. Radius, *Chem. Eur. J.* **2017**, *23*, 3993–4009; h) Y. Tian, X. Guo, M. Kuntze-Fechner, I. Krummenacher, H. Braunschweig, U. Radius, A. Steffen, T. B. Marder, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **2018**, *140*, 17612– 17623; i) M. W. Kuntze-Fechner, C. Kerpen, D. Schmidt, M. Häring, U. Radius, *Eur. J. Inorg. Chem.* **2019**, 1767–1775.

- [44] G. R. Fulmer, A. J. M. Miller, N. H. Sherden, H. E. Gottlieb, A. Nudelman, B. M. Stoltz, J. E. Bercaw, K. I. Goldberg, *Organometallics* 2010, 29, 2176– 2179.
- [45] S. Hameury, P. de Frémont, P.-A. R. Breuil, H. Olivier-Bourbigou, P. Braunstein, Organometallics 2015, 34, 2183–2201.
- [46] T. Steiner, Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. 2002, 41, 48-76; Angew. Chem. 2002, 114, 50-80.
- [47] J. D. Dunitz, R. Taylor, Chem. Eur. J. 1997, 3, 89–98.
- [48] D. C. Moody, R. R. Ryan, Inorg. Chem. 1979, 18, 223-227.
- [49] G. Laus, A. Schwärzler, P. Schuster, G. Bentivoglio, M. Hummel, K. Wurst, V. Kahlenberg, T. Lörting, J. Schütz, P. Peringer, G. Bonn, G. Nauer, H. Schottenberger, Z. Naturforsch. B 2007, 62, 295–308.
- [50] I. W. Bassi, C. Benedicenti, M. Calcaterra, G. Rucci, J. Organomet. Chem. 1976, 117, 285–295.
- [51] a) "Arene-Perfluoroarene Interactions in Coordination Architectures": A. Hori, The Importance of Pi-Interactions in Crystal Engineering: Frontiers in Crystal Engineering, John Wiley & Sons, Chichester, 2012, 163-185; b) T. Dahl, Acta Chem. Scand. 1988, 42, 1-7; c) C. Janiak, J. Chem. Soc. Dalton Trans. 2000, 3885-3896; d) J. C. Collings, K. P. Roscoe, R. L. Thomas, A. S. Batsanov, L. M. Stimson, J. A. K. Howard, T. B. Marder, New J. Chem. 2001, 25, 1410-1417; e) J. C. Collings, K. P. Roscoe, E. G. Robins, A. S. Batsanov, L. M. Stimson, J. A. K. Howard, S. J. Clark, T. B. Marder, New. J. Chem. 2002, 26, 1740-1746; f) J. C. Collings, A. S. Batsanov, J. A. K. Howard, T. B. Marder, Cryst. Eng. 2002, 5, 37-46; g) C. E. Smith, P. S. Smith, R. L. Thomas, E. G. Robins, J. C. Collings, C. Y. Dai, A. J. Scott, S. Borwick, A. S. Batsanov, S. W. Watt, S. J. Clark, C. Viney, J. A. K. Howard, W. Clegg, T. B. Marder, J. Mater. Chem. 2004, 14, 413-420; h) J. C. Collings, P. S. Smith, D. S. Yufit, A. S. Batsanov, J. A. K. Howard, T. B. Marder, CrystEngComm 2004, 6, 25-28; i) J. C. Collings, A. S. Batsanov, J. A. K. Howard, D. A. Dickie, J. A. C. Clyburne, H. A. Jenkins, T. B. Marder, J. Fluorine Chem. 2005, 126, 513-519; j) J. C. Collings, J. M. Burke, P. S. Smith, A. S. Batsanov, J. A. K. Howard, T. B. Marder, Org. Biomol. Chem. 2004, 2, 3172-3178; k) J. C. Collings, A. S. Batsanov, J. A. K. Howard, T. B. Marder, Can. J. Chem. 2006, 84, 238-242; I) Y. P. Budiman, A. Jayaraman, A. Friedrich, F. Kerner, U. Radius, T. B. Marder, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2020, 142, 6036-6050; m) L. Kuehn, M. Huang, U. Radius, T. B. Marder, Org. Biomol. Chem. 2019, 17, 6601-6606.
- [52] A. H. R. Palser, Phys. Chem. Chem. Phys. 1999, 1, 4459-4464.
- [53] a) V. Jurkauskas, J. P. Sadighi, S. L. Buchwald, Org. Lett. 2003, 5, 2417–2420; b) M. R. Fructos, T. R. Belderrain, M. C. Nicasio, S. P. Nolan, H. Kaur, M. M. Díaz-Requejo, P. J. Pérez, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2004, 126, 10846–10847; c) H. Kaur, F. K. Zinn, E. D. Stevens, S. P. Nolan, Organometallics 2004, 23, 1157–1160; d) H. Lebel, M. Davi, S. Díez-Gonzalez, S. P. Nolan, J. Org. Chem. 2007, 72, 144–149; e) M. Trose, F. Lazreg, M. Lesieur, C. S. J. Cazin, J. Org. Chem. 2015, 80, 9910–9914; f) L.-J. Cheng, N. P. Mankad, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2018, 140, 1159–1164; h) L-J. Cheng, N. P. Mankad, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2018, 140, 1159–1164; h) L-J. Cheng, N. P. Mankad, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2020, 142, 80–84; i) F-P. Wu,

X. Luo, U. Radius, T. B. Marder, X.-F. Wu, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2020, 142, 14074–14079.

- [54] a) C. H. Lee, D. S. Laitar, P. Müller, J. P. Sadighi, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2007, 129, 13802–13803; b) T. Hashimoto, R. Hoshino, T. Hatanaka, Y. Ohki, K. Tatsumi, Organometallics 2014, 33, 921–929; c) S. C. Meier, A. Holz, J. Kulenkampff, A. Schmidt, D. Kratzert, D. Himmel, D. Schmitz, E.-W. Scheidt, W. Scherer, C. Bülow, M. Timm, R. Lindblad, S. T. Akin, V. Zamudio-Bayer, B. von Issendorff, M. A. Duncan, J. T. Lau, I. Krossing, Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. 2018, 57, 9310–9314; Angew. Chem. 2018, 130, 9454– 9458.
- [55] L. Rubio-Pérez, M. Iglesias, J. Munarriz, V. Polo, P. J. Sanz Miguel, J. J. Perez-Torrente, L. A. Oro, *Chem. Commun.* 2015, *51*, 9860–9863.
- [56] L. Kuehn, A. F. Eichhorn, D. Schmidt, T. B. Marder, U. Radius, J. Organomet. Chem. 2020, 919, 121249.
- [57] N. P. Mankad, D. S. Laitar, J. P. Sadighi, Organometallics 2004, 23, 3369– 3371.
- [58] S. Díez-González, N. M. Scott, S. P. Nolan, Organometallics 2006, 25, 2355–2358.
- [59] N. Parvin, S. Pal, J. Echeverría, S. Alvarez, S. Khan, Chem. Sci. 2018, 9, 4333–4337.
- [60] N. V. S. Harisomayajula, S. Makovetskyi, Y.-C. Tsai, Chem. Eur. J. 2019, 25, 8936–8954.
- [61] E. Kühnel, I. V. Shishkov, F. Rominger, T. Oeser, P. Hofmann, Organometallics 2012, 31, 8000–8011.
- [62] a) F. Ritter, D. Mukherjee, T. P. Spaniol, A. Hoffmann, J. Okuda, Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. 2019, 58, 1818–1822; Angew. Chem. 2019, 131, 1832– 1836; b) W. Drescher, C. Borner, C. Kleeberg, New J. Chem. 2021, https:// doi.org/10.1039/d0nj03166f; c) C. Kleeberg, CSD Communication 2014, https://doi.org/10.5517/cc13jvdw; CCDC-1029088.
- [63] F. Lazreg, A. M. Z. Slawin, C. S. J. Cazin, Organometallics 2012, 31, 7969– 7975.
- [64] S. Guo, M. H. Lim, H. V. Huynh, Organometallics 2013, 32, 7225-7233.
- [65] L. Kuehn, A. F. Eichhorn, T. B. Marder, U. Radius, J. Organomet. Chem. 2019, 881, 25–33.
- [66] H. Braunschweig, W. C. Ewing, T. Kramer, J. D. Mattock, A. Vargas, C. Werner, Chem. Eur. J. 2015, 21, 12347 – 12356.
- [67] N. Parvin, J. Hossain, A. George, P. Parameswaran, S. Khan, Chem. Commun. 2020, 56, 273–276.
- [68] K. F. Zmbov, J. L. Margrave, J. Phys. Chem. 1967, 71, 2893-2895.
- [69] T. C. Devore, M. McQuaid, J. L. Gole, High Temp. Sci. 1990, 30, 83-94.
- [70] T. C. Ehlert, J. S. Wang, J. Phys. Chem. 1977, 81, 2069-2073.
- [71] a) S. A. A. Shah, H. Dorn, J. Gindl, M. Noltemeyer, H.-G. Schmidt, H. W. Roesky, J. Organomet. Chem. 1998, 550, 1–6; b) L. Postigo, A. B. Vázquez, J. Sánchez-Nieves, P. Royo, E. Herdtweck, Organometallics 2008, 27, 5588–5597; c) A. Demsar, A. Pevec, L. Golič, S. Petriček, A. Petrič, H. W. Roesky, Chem. Commun. 1998, 1029–1030; d) F. Perdih, A. Pevec, S. Petricek, A. Petric, N. Lah, K. Kogej, A. Demsar, Inorg. Chem. 2006, 45, 7915–7921.

Manuscript received: November 9, 2020 Revised manuscript received: November 23, 2020 Accepted manuscript online: November 26, 2020 Version of record online: January 26, 2021