


Since January 2020 Elsevier has created a COVID-19 resource centre with free information in English and Mandarin on the novel coronavirus COVID-19. The COVID-19 resource centre is hosted on Elsevier Connect, the company's public news and information website.

Elsevier hereby grants permission to make all its COVID-19-related research that is available on the COVID-19 resource centre - including this research content - immediately available in PubMed Central and other publicly funded repositories, such as the WHO COVID database with rights for unrestricted research re-use and analyses in any form or by any means with acknowledgement of the original source. These permissions are granted for free by Elsevier for as long as the COVID-19 resource centre remains active. Contents lists available at ScienceDirect



Journal of Clinical Anesthesia





Correspondence

Respirators used by healthcare workers due to the COVID-19 outbreak increase end-tidal carbon dioxide and fractional inspired carbon dioxide pressure

Check f

Dear Editor,

It is reported that the new coronavirus disease (COVID-19) can be transmitted via droplets and contact to infected surfaces [1]. Healthcare workers who undertake the follow-up and treatment of these infected patients use personal protective equipment (PPE) such as filtering facepiece respirators (FFRs; type of FFRs used in this study is FFP2 respirators and it is approximately equivalent of N95 respirators) and surgical masks, which are recommended by the World Health Organization (WHO), to prevent contamination [2]. These FFP-2 respirators must therefore be close fitting to prevent air leakage. However, the risk of carbon dioxide retention due to re-breathing in healthcare workers who use a FFP-2 respirators for a long time with minimal air leakage is not clear [3]. In our study, it was aimed to evaluate changes in end-tidal carbon dioxide (EtCO₂) and ventilation parameters in healthcare workers while wearing PPE in COVID-19 outbreak.

After obtaining ethics committee approval (date April 2, 2020 and 07/270 numbered, Mersin University, Turkey) and receiving written informed consents, a total of 12 healthy male healthcare workers between the ages of 25–40, using PPE in the COVID-19 outbreak, were included in the study. Data of the participants regarding age (30.0 ± 2.87), body mass index (BMI, mean value 24.3 kg/m^2) and smoking status (n = 6) were recorded. Participants were monitored via CapnostreamTM 20 bedside monitor (Medtronic, Minneapolis, USA) which can measure nasal EtCO₂, fractional inspired carbon dioxide (FiCO₂), respiratory rate (RR), peripheral oxygen saturation (SpO₂) and heart rate (HR).

Before the PPE was worn, EtCO₂, FiCO₂, RR, SpO₂ and HR values of the participants were recorded for 5 min without any physical activity (baseline measurements). Subsequently, the FFP-2 respirator without exhalation valve (3 M Aura[™] 9320 +, Minneapolis, US) was worn with a surgical mask and same parameters of the participants were recorded during 30 min in resting position. After wearing the PPE, the measurements of the participants with baseline values up to 30 min were statistically analyzed. p < 0.05 value was considered statistically significant. Participants were also questioned for the presence of symptoms that may occur due to hypercapnia.

EtCO₂ values of the participants measured in all time periods by nasal route after wearing PPE were found to be statistically significantly higher than before wearing PPE (baseline) (p < 0.003) (Fig. 1). All FiCO₂ measurements from the 10th minute after the PPE was worn by the participants were statistically significantly higher than baseline FiCO₂ value (p < 0.005) (Fig. 1). In comparisons with RR, HR, SpO₂ or smoking status, we could not find a statistically significant difference.

In our study, none of the participants had symptoms which may be

due to hypercapnia. However, in a published case, a healthy intensivist who wore FFP-2 respirator to perform a percutaneous tracheostomy in a patient with multidrug resistant tuberculosis and showed symptoms of dyspnea, tachycardia and tremor at 30th minutes of procedure [4]. Meanwhile, the intensivist's EtCO₂ level measured at the mouth by hand-held capnometry was 6.3 kPa (47.25 mmHg). In this published case report, the authors thought that symptoms developed due to hypercapnia [4].

There are several limitations in the current report. First, the depth of breathing and tidal volume was not measured to avoid air leakage. Second, the effects of FFP-2 respirators on airway resistance and flow have not been evaluated. Likewise, the differences in exercise and rest were not compared.

In conclusion, it was observed that the use of FFP-2 respirator with a surgical mask cover was significantly increasing the $EtCO_2$ and $FiCO_2$ values of healthcare workers without any disease while resting position. Further studies are needed on the safety of devices used by healthcare workers, especially PPE which is extensively used in outbreaks.

Author statement

All authors contributed to the study conception and design. Material preparation, data collection and analysis were performed by Levent Özdemir, Mustafa Azizoğlu and Davud Yapıcı. The first draft of the manuscript was written by Levent Özdemir and all authors commented on previous versions of the manuscript. All authors read and approved the final manuscript.

CRediT authorship contribution statement

Levent Özdemir:Conceptualization, Methodology, Formal analysis, Investigation, Writing - original draft, Writing - review & editing, Funding acquisition.Mustafa Azizoğlu:Conceptualization, Methodology, Formal analysis, Investigation, Writing - review & editing, Resources.Davud Yapıcı:Conceptualization, Methodology, Writing - review & editing, Resources, Supervision.

Declaration of competing interest

The authors declare that they have no known competing financial interests or personal relationships that could have appeared to influence the work reported in this paper.

This research did not receive any specific grant from funding agencies in the public, commercial, or not-for-profit sectors.

https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jclinane.2020.109901

Received 17 April 2020; Received in revised form 26 April 2020; Accepted 20 May 2020 0952-8180/ © 2020 Elsevier Inc. All rights reserved.




Fig. 1. Variations of EtCO₂ and FiCO₂ measurements during 30 min. EtCO₂: end tidal carbon dioxide pressure, FiCO₂: fractional inspired carbon dioxide.

References

- Paules CI, Marston HD, Fauci AS. Coronavirus infections—more than just the common cold. JAMA 2020;323(8):707–8. https://doi.org/10.1001/jama.2020.0757.
- [2] World Health Organization. Rational use of personal protective equipment for coronavirus disease (COVID-19) and considerations during severe shortages: interim guidance 6 April 2020 World Health Organizationhttps://apps.who.int/iris/handle/ 10665/331695; 2020. License: CC BY-NC-SA 3.0 IGO.
- [3] Johnson AT. Respirator masks protect health but impact performance: a review. J Biol Eng 2016;10:4.
- [4] Fletcher SJ, Clark M, Stanley PJ. Carbon dioxide re-breathing with close fitting face respirator masks. Anaesthesia 2006;61:910.

Levent Özdemir (MD) (Assistant Professor)*, Mustafa Azizoğlu (MD) (Assistant Professor), Davud Yapıcı (MD) (Professor) Mersin University Faculty of Medicine, Department of Anaesthesiology and Intensive Care, Mersin, Turkey E-mail address: lozdemir@mersin.edu.tr (L. Özdemir).

^{*} Corresponding author at: Mersin University Faculty of Medicine, Department of Anaesthesiology and Intensive Care, Çiftlikköy Campus, 33343 Mersin, Turkey.