

Recent Advances in Multifunctional Hydrogels for the Treatment of Osteomyelitis

Weiwei Xin, Yingjian Gao and Bing Yue*

Department of Orthopedics, Renji Hospital, School of Medicine, Shanghai Jiao Tong University, Shanghai, China

Osteomyelitis (OM), a devastating disease caused by microbial infection of bones, remains a major challenge for orthopedic surgeons. Conventional approaches for prevention and treatment of OM are unsatisfactory. Various alternative strategies have been proposed, among which, hydrogel-based strategies have demonstrated potential due to their unique properties, including loadable, implantable, injectable, printable, degradable, and responsive to stimuli. Several protocols, including different hydrogel designs, selection of antimicrobial agent, co-administration of bone morphogenetic protein 2 (BMP 2), and nanoparticles, have been shown to improve the biological properties, including antimicrobial effects, osteo-induction, and controlled drug delivery. In this review, we describe the current and future directions for designing hydrogels and their applications to improve the biological response to OM *in vivo*.

OPEN ACCESS

Edited by:

Di Huang, Taiyuan University of Technology, China

Reviewed by:

Jochen Salber, Ruhr-University Bochum, Germany Congqin Ning, Shanghai Normal University, China

> *Correspondence: Bing Yue advbmp2@163.com

Specialty section:

This article was submitted to Biomaterials, a section of the journal Frontiers in Bioengineering and Biotechnology

Received: 29 January 2022 Accepted: 04 April 2022 Published: 25 April 2022

Citation:

Xin W, Gao Y and Yue B (2022) Recent Advances in Multifunctional Hydrogels for the Treatment of Osteomyelitis. Front. Bioeng. Biotechnol. 10:865250. doi: 10.3389/fbioe.2022.865250 Keywords: hydrogel, osteomyelitis, infection, drug-loaded materials, in vivo

1 INTRODUCTION

1.1 Osteomyelitis

Osteomyelitis (OM) is a catastrophic disease caused by a pathogen infection. The incidence of OM varies from 0.1 to 30% in different subspecialties, costing the health care system between \$15,000-\$17,000 per patient (Schwarz et al., 2019). Staphylococcal infections account for 75% of cases (Arciola et al., 2005; Walter et al., 2012). The most common pathogen is *Staphylococcus aureus* (*S. aureus*) (Darouiche, 2004; Arciola et al., 2005; Pulido et al., 2008), and over 50% of the infections are caused by methicillin-resistant *Staphylococcus aureus* (MRSA) (Kaplan, 2014). The invasive capability of *S. aureus* is attributed to its virulence factors and resistance mechanisms, including toxin secretion (Otto, 2014), adherence (Otto, 2008), the slow-growing small colony variant (SCV) subpopulation (Sendi et al., 2006; Tuchscherr et al., 2010), intracellular persistence in bone cells (Masters et al., 2019), the development of antimicrobial resistance (Kaplan, 2014), and biofilm formation (de Mesy Bentley et al., 2017; de Mesy Bentley et al., 2018; Ricciardi et al., 2018; Masters et al., 2019). These mechanisms enable bacteria to endure in hostile environments (Mah and O'Toole, 2001; Savage et al., 2013; de Mesy Bentley et al., 2017; de Mesy Bentley et al., 2018) and cause damage to the surrounding host tissue *via* various mechanism (Redlich and Smolen, 2012; Junka et al., 2017; Putnam et al., 2019).

Currently, no ideal classification and treatment strategies for OM are available. Due to the refractory nature of OM, its costly and long-term treatment, and high rate of disability, improved treatment strategies are urgently needed. Although some therapeutic strategies have been investigated, such as vaccines and monoclonal antibodies (mAbs) (Fowler and Proctor, 2014; Rouha et al., 2015; Thammavongsa et al., 2015; Varshney et al., 2018), the identification of bacterial

1

species and sensitive antibiotics, removal of the implants, extensive debridement, and systemic antibiotic administration for 4–6 weeks remain the standard treatment strategies for OM. Irrigation with antiseptic and implantation of antibiotic-laden spacers in the dead space are adjuncts to this standard procedure (Peng et al., 2010).

Under all treatment scenarios, the key to success is the thorough debridement of infected and necrotic tissue, and eliminating patient risk factors (such as smoking, diabetes mellitus, and immunosuppressants). Unfortunately, it is not possible to completely debride the infectious tissues (Varshney et al., 2018). Clinically, bone debridement is performed by visually distinguishing necrotic "white" bone from healthy "red" bone. In this way, bacteria colonized in biofilms, especially in the osteocyte-lacuno canalicular network (OLCN) (de Mesy Bentley et al., 2017; de Mesy Bentley et al., 2018), remain in the "red" bone, thereby causing a recurrence of infection following multiple extensive debridement. The rate of failure for the staged revision approach against MRSA orthopedic device-related infections (ODRI) ranges between 11% and 52% (Parry and Duncan, 2014), which indicates the necessity for research on novel treatment strategies (Varshney et al., 2018).

Moreover, the effectiveness of the current treatments against *S. aureus* colonized in the bone matrix are currently unknown, although long-term use of systemic antibiotics is the current standard of care. Impaired local blood supply and multiple bacterial resistance mechanisms result in insufficient local concentrations of antibiotics following systemic administration. Meanwhile, a high dose of systemic antibiotic administration over an extended period is not recommended due to the potential systemic toxicity, including ototoxicity and nephrotoxicit (Naughton, 2008; Elyasi et al., 2012; Kubin et al., 2012).

To address these issues, topical antibiotic delivery, which can offer higher concentrations at the target site with fewer side effects compared to systemic administration (Hake et al., 2015), is a promising approach to manage the dead space due to the local drug infusion and its barrier effect on preventing biofilm formation (Pan et al., 2018).

1.2 Polymethylmethacrylate Antibiotic-Laden Bone Cement

As an antibiotic carrier, polymethylmethacrylate (PMMA) bone cement has been used to treat OM and ODRI. Implanted in the dead space, antibiotic-laden bone cement (ALBC) delivers a high concentration of antibiotics locally to the infection site without off-target systemic toxicity. However, as a local antibiotic vehicle, PMMA also has several drawbacks.

One limitation of PMMA is its poor drug-release kinetics. The molecular structure of PMMA and its tight packing influence the diffusion of drugs, and hence the release rate of the laden antibiotics. A large amount remains encapsulated within the polymer and is not released (Frutos Cabanillas et al., 2000; Kuehn et al., 2005). *In vitro* and *in vivo* findings have demonstrated that only 5%–18% of the incorporated drug is eluted from PMMA (Masters et al., 2019). Furthermore, the antibiotic release from PMMA presents a burst pattern within

the first 24 h followed by a rapid decrease in release that lowers drug concentrations to below the minimum inhibitory concentration (MIC) (Guelcher et al., 2011). Clinically, cement spacers remain in situ for up to 6 weeks. The sustained elution of antibiotics at such low concentrations can induce the formation of antibiotic resistance and small colony variants (SCVs) (Sendi et al., 2006; Masters et al., 2019). Additionally, the non-eluting surface of spacers and beads provides a site for pathogen colonization and biofilm formation (Barth et al., 2011; Ma et al., 2018). Weber and Lautenbach (1986) showed that gentamicin ALBC increased the percentage of drug-resistant bacteria from 29% preoperatively to 41% postoperatively. Furthermore, the exothermic reaction inactivates heat-sensitive antibiotics during polymerization of bone cement (Boot et al., 2021). The limited antibiotics that can be loaded in PMMA are not fully effective against the microorganisms. Moreover, unreacted methacrylate monomers can induce toxicity issues (Yoshii, 1997). Finally, beads and spacers require additional surgeries for removal.

These drawbacks of PMMA bone cements have prompted the development of novel vehicles for topical drug delivery and dead space management, with the following criteria: 1) biocompatibility, 2) biodegradability, 3) suitable drug elution kinetics (i.e., burst release followed by sustained release at concentrations higher than the MIC), and 4) osteoconductivity (Sarigol-Calamak and Hascicek, 2018). Various materials have potential for delivering antibiotics directly to complex microenvironments at desired concentrations, including calcium sulfates or phosphates, demineralized bone matrix, natural polymers, and synthetic polymers (Bibbo and Patel, 2006; Rupprecht et al., 2007; Borkhuu et al., 2008; Ferguson et al., 2014; Romano et al., 2014; Cho et al., 2018; Masters et al., 2019; Li et al., 2020b; Boot et al., 2020; Boot et al., 2021; Eltawila et al., 2021; Sun et al., 2021). Furthermore, studies have also investigated the delivery of alternative antimicrobial agents, combinations of antimicrobial agents, and osteoinductive adjuvants that are not effectively carried or eluted by PMMA (Inzana et al., 2016). Hydrogel-based release system have demonstrated potential due to their unique properties, such as high water absorption capacity, high porosity, almost free diffusible interconnectivity, and stimulus-responsive. Different agents or techniques have been evaluated in the complex microenvironment of OM. However, the in vivo data on hydrogels for the treatment of OM are limited. In this review, we describe the current and future directions for the design of hydrogels and their applications in vivo to improve the treatment of OM (Figure 1).

2 HYDROGELS

Hydrogels are water-swollen polymer networks. For drug delivery, hydrogels combine the advantages of minimally invasive application, *in situ* polymerization, inherently adhesiveness, and sustained drug release (De Witte et al., 2018). Moreover, the protease-degradable nature of hydrogels, suitable for bone biological engineering, such as collagen,



hyaluronic acid (HyA), also allows the host to degrade and replace them with repair tissue. Additional surgeries are not required to remove hydrogels, as is the case for nondegradable scaffolds (Johnson et al., 2018). These properties confer the significant therapeutic versatility of hydrogels for topical drugs delivery in heterogeneous and anatomically complex conditions, such as OM (Slaughter et al., 2009). Natural polymers, synthetic polymers, and the composite biomaterials have been used as drug vehicles in *in vivo* studies on OM (**Supplementary Table S1**).

2.1 Natural Polymers

Natural polymer-based hydrogels, such as collagen, HyA, and chitosan (CS), are both biocompatible and biodegradable, making them suitable for drug delivery (Liu et al., 2020).

2.1.1 Collagen

Collagen is the most widely used natural polymer in orthopedic applications, among which Type I collagen is the most abundant structural protein in the body. As an important extracellular matrix protein of bone, Type I collagen can induce tissue regeneration. The early deposition of collagen matrix is essential for bone repair and remodeling, which forms a guiding structure for regeneration process. The interaction of collagen matrix with heterodimeric integrin activates intracellular signal receptors transduction pathways cellular to induce various proliferation, differentiation, and other functions. Thus, osteoblastic phenotypes are affected by collagen; cells migrate to collagen, where they organize and remodel the cytoskeleton (Rupprecht et al., 2007). For most local drug delivery systems using collagen, the collagen products are impregnated with an

antibiotic solution, and the drugs are absorbed by the hydrophilic matrix (Inzana et al., 2016).

In a rabbit mandibular OM model with spontaneous oral bacterial contamination induced by arsenic trioxide, a single intra-lesion injection of gentamicin-collagen (GNT-COLL) hydrogels was more efficient at suppressing of OM than multidose systemic gentamicin. The OM was successfully halted, without recurrence for up to 12 weeks, where a significant increase in ridge length preservation percentage (RLP%) in the GNT-COLL hydrogel group was observed. These results showed up clinically as a slight displacement of the adjacent incisors. This regeneration phenomenon was enhanced by the mixture of hydroxyapatite nanoparticles (NPs) in the hydrogel (Eltawila et al., 2021).

2.1.2 Hyaluronic Acid

Hyaluronic acid (HyA), also known as hyaluronan, is a natural polysaccharide found in the body with excellent biocompatibility, biodegradability, and gelling features due to its ability to rapidly bind to water. Some aqueous formulations based on HyA or its derivatives can be injected and subsequently gelate in situ, their molecular weight according to and local microenviroment. HyA can cross-link or conjugate with various biomacromolecules and efficiently load various drugs, even nanoparticles. As such, it is widely used in biomedical applications, and has high potential as a controlled drugdelivery material (Kartika et al., 2021; Zhang et al., 2022). The cytocompatibility of HyA has been confirmed in an in vitro study using human dermal fibroblasts. After autoclaving, the chemical derivatization of HyA with poly lactic acid retains its chemical structure of the starting copolymers, rheological characteristics, and drug-release properties (Pitarresi et al., 2013). The

rheological features ensure that hydrogel is mostly retained on the roughness titanium prosthesis surface, despite the intense shear stress experienced during insertion (Pitarresi et al., 2013; Drago et al., 2014). These illustrate its potential use in orthopedics, especially for the antimicrobial coatings of orthopedic devices for the prevention and/or treatment of OM (Boot et al., 2017; Boot et al., 2020).

Disposable Antibacterial Coating (DAC[®]) (Novagenit Srl, Mezzolombardo, Italy) hydrogel consists of hyaluronan and poly D, L-lactide via covalent linkage. It is used as a disposable, rapidly bioresorbable antimicrobial coating for orthopedic implants. In 2014, a study addressed the following concerns regarding this HyA hydrogel: 1) Can it be used for the controlled release of antimicrobial agents in vitro? 2) Can this hydrogel (alone or antimicrobial-laden) coating reduce pathogen colonization on implants? And 3) what is the feasibility of intraoperative manufacture of coating and its resistance to the intense stress generated during the insertion? (Drago et al., 2014). The authors showed that all tested antibacterial compounds (gentamicin, vancomycin, amikacin, tobramycin, N-acetylcysteine, and sodium salicylate) were completely released in less than 96 h. The drug-laden DAC[®] hydrogel presented bactericidal and antibiofilm effects in vitro [against MRSA, methicillin resistant Staphylococcus epidermidis (MRSE), E. coli, Pseudomonas aeruginosa, Acinetobacter baumannii, and vancomycin-resistant Enterococcus faecalis]. Intraoperative preparation of hydrogel prosthesis coating is feasible. Almost 80% of the hydrogels are retained on the surface of the implants, after the press-fit insertion of the coated implants in rabbit tibias or human femurs (Drago et al., 2014). In vivo, loaded or unloaded with 2% (w/v) vancomycin, the hydrogel coating on titanium rods implanted into rabbit tibias had no effect on the volume or timing of bone apposition. In addition, no inflammation was observed (Boot et al., 2017). Meanwhile, Vancomycin-laden DAC[®] reduced the local bacterial burden (MRAS or MRSE) with more bone implant contact (Lovati et al., 2016; Boot et al., 2020). Recently, a multi-center matched case-control study revealed no infection or adverse events (0/43) in a mega-prosthesis treatment group with antimicrobial-laden DAC[®] hydrogel coating, compared with the infection rate of 14% (6/43) in a control group without coating, at a mean follow-up of 2 years (Zoccali et al., 2021). Those findings suggest that this treatment strategy could be used safely to prevent early surgical site infections of arthroplasty.

HyA-poly (*N*-isopropylacrylamide) (HA-pNipam) hydrogel is another common delivery system used in OM research. Gentamicin and vancomycin can be loaded into this thermoresponsive HyA-based hydrogel, and are subsequently released in an initial burst followed by a more sustained release pattern. The drugs could be detected for more than 14 days *in vitro* and 10 days *in vivo* (Boot et al., 2021; Foster et al., 2021). This sustained antibiotic-release system markedly increases treatment efficacy (Ter Boo et al., 2016; Ter Boo et al., 2018; Vallejo Diaz et al., 2020; Boot et al., 2021; Foster et al., 2021) without hepatorenal toxicity (Foster et al., 2021).

2.1.3 Chitosan

Chitosan (CS), another polysaccharide biopolymer produced by chitin deacetylation, mainly exists in the shells of crustaceans,

insects, and fungal cell walls (Bakshi et al., 2020). Multiple special properties of CS have been reported, including biocompatibility, biodegradability, bioadhesion, low toxicity and immunogenicity, plasticity, modifiability, and printability (Tao F. et al., 2020; Tao et al., 2021). The polycationic nature allows CS to interact with the polyanionic molecules on the bacterial surface to alter bacterial permeability (Raafat et al., 2008). In addition, active amino groups in CS can disrupt RNA and protein synthesis by interfering with DNA (Tao et al., 2021). These molecular structure-related peculiarities attribute to the broad spectrum antibacterial properties of CS (Tan et al., 2013).

Due to the special biological and physicochemical characteristics of CS, CS-based biomaterials are used to simulate the natural extracellular matrix (ECM) and as a drug vehicle. CS has attracted considerable attention in bone tissue engineering, owing to its innate antimicrobial, osteo-inductive, and mechanical properties. CS-based topical drug delivery systems can promote bone regeneration and treat bone diseases, with CS showing promising efficacy in OM treatment (Kazimierczak et al., 2019; Kimna et al., 2019; Tao J. et al., 2020). In addition to antibiotics (Li et al., 2020a; Tao J. et al., 2020), silver can also be released in a sustained manner from CS hydrogel *in vivo* (Croes et al., 2018).

Other natural polymers such as gelatin and alginate (ALG), which have also been studied *in vivo* as vehicles for local drug delivery for the treatment of OM (Wu et al., 2013; Aldrich et al., 2019; Cobb et al., 2019; Sun et al., 2021) (**Supplementary Table S1**), have been illuminated previously (You et al., 2017; Pedroza-Gonzalez et al., 2021). Although the cell behavior and tissue formation can be significantly affected by changing the properties (such as viscosity and stiffness) of the natural polymers (Daly et al., 2016), the local antibiotic release (including release amount and rate) of these polymers remains unsatisfactory (Wang and Tang, 2019). Some techniques have been designed to control release kinetics, degradation rates, predictability of behavior and quality, and mechanical properties. Synthetic polymers are one such approach.

2.2 Synthetic Polymers

PEG-based hydrogels are common synthetic local delivery systems for OM used in *in vivo* studies. Mixing of hydrogels with crosslinked starch (CSt) can inhibit PEG-based hydrogel swelling, thereby reducing the rate of drug release. Covered by a PEG-poly (lactic-co-caprolactone) (PEG-PLCL) membrane, this PEG-based hydrogel coating system allows a sustained vancomycin release, without initial burst release, for approximately 3 weeks *in vitro* and over 4 weeks *in vivo*, and demonstrates a promising antimicrobial activity against *S. aureus*. Additionally, drug loading and the coating thickness regulate the release profile of the hydrogel coating (Li et al., 2017).

Another PEG-based synthetic polymer, four-arm PEG macromers (PEG-4MAL), functionalized with terminal maleimide groups that react specifically with thiols, are functionalized with cell adhesive peptides and cross-linked into a network using thiolated molecules such as protease-degradable peptides with terminal cysteines. The physically encapsulated drugs are released as the hydrogel is degraded, or

via direct diffusion. This implies that the release of its payload can be tuned with respect to the local microenvironment. Hydrogelmediated lysostaphin and bacteriophage delivery systems have been shown to eliminate MRSA and *P. aeruginosa* infection in mice models of OM (Johnson et al., 2018; Johnson et al., 2019; Wroe et al., 2020), and release bone morphogenetic protein 2 (BMP-2) in a sustained pattern as well (Johnson et al., 2019). BMP-2-laden PEG-4MAL demonstrated higher osteoregeneration ability compared to BMP-2-soaked collagen sponges (Shekaran et al., 2014). Moreover, the degradation products of PEG-4MAL hydrogel are excreted via the urine with low toxicity (Johnson et al., 2018).

3 AGENTS

3.1 Antimicrobial Agents

Antimicrobial agents are essential for the treatment of OM. Different agents based on hydrogel drug delivery systems have been investigated *in vivo* (**Supplementary Table S1**).

3.1.1 Antibiotics

Unlike ALBC, using hydrogels as drug carriers greatly expands the selection of antibiotics. Although these drugs can decrease the lower critical solution temperature (LCST) of thermo-responsive hydrogels, such as the HA-pNipam hydrogel, known as the Hoffmeister effect (Ter Boo et al., 2016), the drug-loaded hydrogel remains injectable and gellable, and its payload delivery and applicability are not impacted. Therefore, it is possible to load a wide spectrum of antimicrobial agents into hydrogels (Boot et al., 2021). However, in *in vivo* studies on OM, the most common antibiotics loaded in the hydrogels are gentamicin and vancomycin.

Depending on the different vehicle system designs, gentamicin and vancomycin, the most effective antibiotics against OM, can be released in different patterns (burst, sustained, and burst and sustained). For example, the direct release of vancomycin can be disrupted because its positive charge can interact with the negatively charged ALG chains (Jung et al., 2019). In vitro, the duration of antibiotic-release has been shown to last from less than 96 h to more than 6 weeks (Drago et al., 2014; Ter Boo et al., 2016; Li et al., 2017; Jung et al., 2019; Li et al., 2020a; Boot et al., 2021). However, the release time in vivo has rarely been reported, but the available data suggest a range of 72 h to more than 6 weeks (Changez et al., 2005; Li et al., 2017; Overstreet et al., 2019; Li et al., 2020a; Foster et al., 2021). After covering with a PEG-PLCL membrane, the CSt-mixed PEG-based vehicle system, coated on a Ti implant by covalently bound, sustainedly released vancomycin for over 4 weeks in vivo, without an initial burst release, thereby showing good antimicrobial activity against S. aureus (Li et al., 2017). Foster et al. (2021) reported that antibiotics can be released from HA-pNipam hydrogel for over 10 days, with local concentrations higher than the MIC. Increasing the concentration of gentamicin or vancomycin to 44% (w/w) in a composite hydrogel, which consisted of poly (acrylic acid) and gelatin, maintains the local antibiotics concentration at levels higher than MIC for more than 6 weeks (Changez et al., 2005).

In vivo use of other antibiotics, such as colistin, tobramycin, daptomycin, and isoniazid, in combination with hydrogels has been reported only sporadically (Spicer et al., 2013; Aldrich et al., 2019; Liu et al., 2019; Overstreet et al., 2019). Recently, daunorubicin, ketoconazole, rifapentine, and sitafloxacin have been demonstrated bactericidal activity against *S. aureus* SCVs. Sitafloxacin can also be used to eliminate methicillin-susceptible and -resistant *S. aureus*, as well as *S. aureus* within an established biofilm (Trombetta et al., 2018). Designing optimal hydrogel delivery systems for these drugs will offer novel treatment strategies for patients with OM.

The widespread emergence of antibiotic-resistant bacteria, which has heralded in a post-antibiotic era (Roca et al., 2015), has prompted the development of strategies other than traditional antibiotic therapy. Some antimicrobial agents, such as bacteriophages, antimicrobial peptides (AMPs), nitric oxide (NO), and silver (Ag), have been applied to the hydrogel local drug delivery system; therefore, these strategies open new avenues for the treatment of OM (**Table 1**; **Supplementary Table S1**).

3.1.2 Antimicrobial Peptides

AMPs, usually short positively charged peptide sequences (Ter Boo et al., 2015), target a specific features of microbial cell membranes, which distinguishes the broad range of pathogen species from multicellular plants and animals (Zasloff, 2002). The amphipathic structure of cationic AMPs confers their ability to bind to and disturb the pathogen cellular membrane, and induce the cell death (Epand and Vogel, 1999). In contrast to antibiotics, it is not possible to develop acquired resistance toward AMPs for sensitive bacterial strains, despite the inherent resistance in some bacterial species (Zasloff, 2002). The use of AMPs in OM is quite limited. Yang G. et al. (2018) used RADA16 to form a stable hydrogel scaffold that controlled the release of Tet213, a kind of cationic AMPs. The release of Tet213 was sustained for up to 28 days. In vitro, the growth of S. aureus was inhibited by this AMPs-laden hydrogel, while the proliferation of bone mesenchymal stem cells (BMSCs) was promoted. In vivo, RADA16-AMP self-assembling peptide has demonstrated a promising effect on bone formation.

3.1.3 Lysostaphin

Lysostaphin is a metallo-endopeptidase produced by S. simulans (Schindler and Schuhardt, 1964). The bacteriolytic enzyme exhibits a highly specific anti-staphylococcal activity (Kumar, 2008). Notably, this bacteriolytic enzyme, which possesses high anti-staphylococcal activity, exhibits antimicrobial activity against resistant strains, including MRSA, vancomycinintermediate S. aureus, vancomycin resistant S. aureus and S. epidermidis (Climo et al., 1998; Patron et al., 1999; Mohamed et al., 2014). In contrast to most small-molecule antibiotics, the antimicrobial activity of lysostaphin is not dependent on the bacterial metabolic state; hence, it is active against bacteria in biofilms (Patron et al., 1999), and effectively kills bacteria at lower concentrations (Wu et al., 2003). Furthermore, lysostaphin does not impact the osteogenic differentiation of human cells (Johnson et al., 2018). Unlike systemic use of antibiotics, the speciesspecific nature of lysostaphin does not perturb the gut

Agents		Advantages	Limitations	References
Antimicrobial agents	Antibiotics	A wide variety of selection; broad clinical application; easy to manipulate	Resistance species	Overstreet et al. (2019), Eltawila et al. (2021), Foster et al. (2021), Sun et al. (2021)
	AMPs	A wide variety of selection; no resistance	Cytotoxicity	Guoli Yang et al. (2018)
	Lysostaphin	Highly specific anti-staphylococcal activity;	Narrow antibacterial spectrum; the	Johnson et al. (2018), Johnson et al.
		synergistic effects with β -lactam antibiotics	development of neutralizing antibodies; resistance species	(2019), Johnson et al. (2019)
	NO	Multifunctional (antibacterial, promotion of osteogenic differentiation, inflammation regulation)	The NO release is irreversible and difficult to be controlled	Li et al. (2020b)
	Bacteriophages	Extremely pervasive, sustained antimicrobial effect; synergistic effects antibiotics	Resistance species	Cobb et al. (2019), Ferry et al. (2020), Wroe et al. (2020)
	MΦs	MΦs are presumed to mediate biofilm clearance, which transform the bacteria from the dormant state into the active planktonic state, sensitizing them to antibiotics	Limited half-life; no prophylactic efficacy	Aldrich et al. (2019)
	Sliver	Being widely used in clinic	The efficacy and safety remain controversial in orthopedic application	Croes et al. (2018), Xu et al. (2018)
BMP-2		Osteo-generation effect; co-delivery with other agents	-	Aldrich et al. (2019), Johnson et al. (2019)
PDA		Multifunctional (good adhesion and reducing capability to deposit bioactive molecules and synthesize antimicrobial agents, inducing the mineralization of hydroxyapatite, excellent photothermal properties)	_	Xu et al. (2018), Li et al. (2020b)

TABLE 1 | Summary of advantages and limitations of different agents in hydrogels for OM.

AMP, Antimicrobial Peptide; Mos, Macrophages; BMP, Bone Morphogenetic Protein; OM, Osteomyelitis; -, not mentioned.

microbiota. These features make lysostaphin an potential candidate for the treatment of staphylococcal OM.

Johnson et al. (2018) engineered a low-toxicity, injectable PEG-4MAL hydrogel. The elastic and adhesive features of the hydrogel were not affected by the addition of lysostaphin; meanwhile, the release of lysostaphin could be controlled by tuning the mesh structure of the hydrogel. Also, due to the protease-degradable peptide cross-linked in the hydrogel, lysostaphin release is influenced by local protease activity, which is elevated in the inflammatory microenvironment triggered by bacterial infection (Wolcott et al., 2008). This design maintains the activity of lysostaphin over 14 days (Johnson et al., 2018; Johnson et al., 2019). In a murine model, the lysostaphin-loaden PEG-4MAL hydrogel was shown to clear the infections and supported fracture cure or defect repair (Johnson et al., 2018; Johnson et al., 2019). Seven days post-infection, a multiplexed cytokine array assay revealed a sterile state had been restored in mice in the lysostaphin-laden hydrogel group, and after 5 weeks, bacterial counts revealed persistent infection in mice in the control group; however, animals in the trial group remained sterile, confirming the results at 1-week post-surgery. The group treated with the sustained lysostaphin topical release system showed comparable bone regeneration and mechanical properties to the uninfected group. Notably, sustained release of lysostaphin from hydrogel presented efficient anti-biofilm activity, while the soluble lysostaphin (no hydrogel) did not.

Despite this, there remain two concerns regarding the use of lysostaphin namely the development of neutralizing antibodies (Johnson et al., 2018; Johnson et al., 2019), and the potential resistance to the enzyme (Boyle-Vavra et al., 2001; Climo et al., 2001). Interestingly, lysostaphin synergizes with β -lactam antibiotics, and lysostaphin can render the resistant strains susceptible to the antibiotics (Climo et al., 2001; Kiri et al., 2002). The *in vivo* effects of the combined delivery of lysostaphin and antibiotics warrant further investigations.

3.1.4 Nitric Oxide

NO exerts various important physiological functions. In addition to its role as a signaling molecule, NO is involved in immune regulation and wound healing (Hoang Thi et al., 2018; Li et al., 2020b). Low concentrations of exogenous NO can enter pathogens and provide a degree of antibacterial activity. Additionally, upon reaction with superoxide (\cdot O2–), NO produces peroxynitrite (\cdot ONOO–), which possesses tremendous virulence against bacteria. However, the NO release is irreversible and difficult to be controlled (Yang T. et al., 2018).

To use NO more effectively, Li et al. (2020b) have engineered a hydrogel system, PCP/RSNO, comprising a polyvinyl alcohol (PVA) hydrogel modified with CS, polydopamine (PDA), and a NO-release donor (**Figure 2**). By coating the hydrogel with a red phosphorous (RP) nanofilm, deposited on a titanium implant (Ti-RP/PCP/RSNO), the release of NO and ·O2– could be controlled using near-infrared (NIR) light, which subsequently formed ·ONOO-. Synergism between ·ONOO–, ·O2–, and hyperthermia at 808 nm NIR irradiation destroyed over 93.1% of an MRSA biofilm *in vitro*, demonstrating greater effectiveness than vancomycin (76.2%). Furthermore, the anti-biofilm efficiency was approximately 91.9%. In addition to the antibacterial mechanism against MRSA biofilms, the released NO promoted the osteogenic differentiation and regulated



FIGURE 2 | (A) Schematic representation of the TI-RP/PCP/RSNO hydrogel coating system preparation process. (B) Schematic representation of NIR triggered biofilm eradication. (C) Schematic representation of the mechanism of promoted bone formation and MRSA biofilm eradication. Ti, titanium; CVD, chemical vapor deposition; RP, red phosphorous; PCP, polyvinyl alcohol hydrogel modified with chitosan and polydopamine; RSNO, NO donor of S-nitrosuccinic acid; NIR, near-infrared; MRSA, methicillin-resistant *Staphylococcus aureus*; NO, Nitric oxide. Reprinted with permission from Li et al. (2020b). Copyright: 2020 American Chemical Society.

inflammatory polarization by upregulating the expression of *Alp*, *Opn*, *Ocn*, and *Tnf*- α . The efficient biofilm eradication (99.2%) and bone formation induced by NO released from this coating system under NIR irradiation have also been confirmed *in vivo*.

3.1.5 Bacteriophages

Bacteriophages exert a sustained antimicrobial effect and have potential to prevent and control bacterial biofilms (Sarker et al., 2012; Geredew Kifelew et al., 2019). Bacteriophages are associated with most known species of bacteria. The high specificity prevents side effects associated with the human microbiome (Rhoads et al., 2009; Petrovic Fabijan et al., 2020). Recently, a clinical trial reported the safety and tolerability of adjunctive bacteriophage approach for the treatment of severe *S. aureus* infection (Petrovic Fabijan et al., 2020).

Wroe et al. (2020) have engineered an injectable PEG-4MAL based hydrogel that encapsulate and delivered *P. aeruginosa* bacteriophages to the site of OM. Bacteriophages were released in a controlled manner with a retained bacteriolytic activity. *In vitro*, both planktonic and biofilm pathogens were effectively eliminated using this phage delivery system. Furthermore, the metabolic activity of human mesenchymal stromal cells was not disturbed. Seven-days following implantation in murine radial infectious defects, live *P. aeruginosa* counts were reduced 4.7-fold



in the bacteriophage-laden hydrogel group, compared with that in the bacteriophage-free hydrogel group.

Ferry et al. (2020) reported a case of recurrent *S. aureus* knee mega-prosthesis infection treated with a bacteriophage-laden DAC[®] hydrogel. Unfortunately, the patient suffered a myocardial infarction and underwent emergency stenting and received dual antiplatelet therapy 5-days later. Consequently, bleeding persisted at the surgical site and led to another prosthesis exposition for debridement. No *S. aureus* was found in culture, although three other bacteria strains were identified.

These results support the development of bacteriophagedelivery hydrogels, and provide new therapeutic frontiers for OM. To improve the safety of phage therapy, Cobb et al. (2019) modified the bacteriophages with CRISPR-Cas9 to remove all staphylococcal cytotoxin and enterotoxin genes, thereby preventing toxin contamination in the phage solution. However, the phage-delivery alginate hydrogel was efficacious against soft tissue infections *in vivo*, but not for bone infections.

Resistance is another obstacle hindering the use of bacteriophages. Phages depend on specific proteins to adhere to and infect bacteria. Thus, it is possible to develop resistance to phages, like antibiotics (Yilmaz et al., 2013). Although this might be limited due to the coevolution of bacteriophages and their hosts (Sweere et al., 2019). The co-delivery of bacteriophages and antibiotics could be a potential approach. Synergism upon dissolving the biofilm of MRSA and *P. aeruginosa* has been demonstrated (Yilmaz et al., 2013).

3.1.6 Macrophages

Activated macrophages (M Φ s) significantly limit *S. aureus* biofilm growth and colonization (Hanke et al., 2013). It is also presumed that activated M Φ s facilitate biofilm clearance, which transform the dormant bacteria within the biofilm into a metabolically active planktonic state and sensitive to

antibiotics (Hanke et al., 2013; Aldrich et al., 2019). In a proof-of-concept study, Aldrich et al. (2019) demonstrated the synergistic effects of MΦs and antibiotics in a 3D bio-printed antibiotic-loaded bone scaffold, which promoted S. aureus clearance in a craniotomy-associated infection in mice (Figure 3). For treatment, the scaffolds were placed in the defect area at day 7 post-infection, and the incorporation of MΦs further reduced bacterial burden compared to antibiotics alone. The exact antimicrobial mechanism remains to be determined. It is possible that the direct antimicrobial effect of MΦs, and cytokines/chemokines secreted by activated MΦs enhanced the antibacterial activity of other glia/leukocytes. However, the synergistic effects were detected only in the treatment paradigm, but not in the prevention paradigm in which the scaffolds were inserted 1 day prior to S. aureus inoculation. One possibility reason is lack of some signals, which are present in an established biofilm, to activate M Φ s. Meanwhile, the authors found that the beneficial effect of $M\Phi s$ was transient. No more decrease of bacterial titers was observed 7 days after treatment. This was likely attributed to the limited half-life of M Φ s when exposed to the large number of bacteria in the biofilm that produced lytic toxins. Although the authors observed bacterial burden only in Galea and Brain, but not in bone tissue. The study presents an immune-based 3D bioprinting approach to promote biofilm clearance.

3.1.7 Silver

Before the discovery of penicillin, silver (Ag) was used clinically as a bactericidal agent (Chopra, 2007). Due to the nucleophilic functions of proteins, enzymes, and cell membrane components in bacteria, they react with Ag cations (Ag⁺), which then disrupt their function and displace metal ions, such as Zn^{2+} and Ca^{2+} . These subsequently induce the bacterial death (Hetrick and Schoenfisch, 2006). Silver nanoparticles (AgNP) can be synthesized *in situ* using polydopamine (PDA) and mineralized on PEG diacrylate (PEGda) hydrogels. Therefore, the so-called AgNPs/PDA-coated PEGda hydrogels were designed for the treatment of anti-infection (Xu et al., 2018). Controlled Ag delivery inhibits the growth of *S. aureus* and *E. coli; in vivo*, the AgNP/PDA gel efficiently repaired maxillary defects without infection.

To our knowledge, Ag-loaded hydrogels have been rarely studied for the treatment of OM. Croes et al. (2018) have developed a CS-based coating with AgNPs, which showed burst and sustained drug release properties. However, no antimicrobial effects were found *in vivo*. Furthermore, radiological signs of aggravated OM were observed. The authors attributed the poor antibacterial property to the cytotoxicity for neutrophils at antimicrobial Ag concentrations, and the diminished phagocytic effect at nontoxic concentrations. In orthopedic applications, the true efficacy and safety of Ag remains controversial (Prokopovich et al., 2013; Wafa et al., 2015; Masters et al., 2019). Future research should investigate the ideal formulation and concentration of Ag or AgNPs for safe clinical use.

3.2 Bone Morphogenetic Protein 2

Recombinant BMPs have been developed to address the challenge of segmental bone defects. BMP-2 promotes cell proliferation, alkaline phosphatase activity, differentiation, and mineralization *in vitro* and *in vivo* (Tao et al., 2021). The US FDA has approved the use of BMP-2 to facilitate bone formation (Burkus et al., 2002). However, its use is limited by adverse events associated with supraphysiological doses, including inflammation and heterotopic bone formation (Hustedt and Blizzard, 2014). Carriers with controlled BMP-2 release have been developed to promote bone regeneration and reduce the incidence of adverse effects (Hustedt and Blizzard, 2014; Shekaran et al., 2014; Huang et al., 2017; Han et al., 2020; Sun et al., 2020; Tao et al., 2021). A BMP-2-loaded PEG-4MAL hydrogel has been shown to generate better quality bone compared to a BMP-2laden collagen sponge (Shekaran et al., 2014).

Interventions that promote bone growth while fighting bone infections have the potential to significantly reduce the incidence of non-union and improve patient prognosis. BMP-2 encapsulated in hydrogels with antibiotics can be released in an initial burst manner followed by a sustained release pattern (Jung et al., 2019). Previous studies demonstrated that vancomycin incorporated into the hydrogels did not significantly interfere with the release of BMP-2 (Jung et al., 2019), and that the loaded antibiotics, including ampicillin, cefazolin, dibekacin, teicoplanin, vancomycin, and minocycline, did not inhibit the ability of rhBMP-2 to repair cranial defects (Suzuki et al., 2006). The sustained release of BMP-2 led to prolonged activity, and resulted in effective osteogenic differentiation and bone reconstruction (Kim et al., 2018; Jung et al., 2019). In vivo, BMP-2 and antibiotic-co-encapsulated hydrogel group increased bone reconstruction (Suzuki et al., 2006) and significantly improved biomechanical features compared to the other groups (Suzuki et al., 2006; Jung et al., 2019). The rationale for this strategy was that the coadministration of antibiotics and BMP-2 preserved their own functionality. Moreover, co-administration showed more effective suppression of bacteria compared to the antibiotic alone; this result could be attributed to the rapid proliferation of bone marrow stromal cells induced by BMP-2 outpacing the infectivity and proliferation of pathogen (Jung et al., 2019).

In a study investigating a bifunctional hydrogel, Johnson et al. (2019) also showed that BMP-2 and lysostaphin-co-encapsulated PEG-4MAL hydrogels prevented *S. aureus* infection and promoted segmental bone defect regeneration. The co-encapsulated hydrogel with *S. aureus* group showed equal amounts of new bone formation as the sterile hydrogel alone group.

3.3 Polydopamine

Due to its excellent biocompatibility, hydrophilicity and adhesion reactions with various molecules, PDA has been used pervasively in tissue engineering. In addition to being a crosslinking agent, PDA has been shown to induce the mineralization of hydroxyapatite on demineralized dentin (Zhou et al., 2012), and presents good adhesion and reducing capabilities to deposit bioactive molecules (Sileika et al., 2011; Xu et al., 2018).

PDA has been used to synthesize AgNPs *in situ* on a PEGda scaffold to construct an AgNPs/PDA-coated PEGda hydrogel (Xu et al., 2018). This hydrogel delivery system exhibited excellent cytocompatibility with strong antimicrobial effects against *S. aureus* and *E. coli*, and simultaneously promoted bone generation, due to dual functions of anti-bacterial activity of AgNPs and graft mineralization of PDA. The expression of some osteogenic genes was upregulated *in vitro*, including osteocalcin, runt-related transcription factor 2, bone sialoprotein, and alkaline phosphatase. The rat maxillary bone defects were efficiently repaired (Xu et al., 2018).

In addition, PDA present excellent photothermal properties. NIR irradiation activates photothermal PDA in the hydrogel, and local hyperthermia destroies the integrity of bacteria, leading to bacterial inactivation in a synergistic manner (Gao et al., 2019; Li et al., 2020b). In the Ti-RP/PCP/RSNO system, PDA in the hydrogel increases the bonding strength between the hydrogel and RP-modified implants (Li et al., 2020b). Meanwhile, NIR irradiation activated the photothermal effect of PDA. The generated local hyperthermia disrupted bacterial integrity and eliminated the MRSA burden in a synergistic manner with the simultaneous generation of \cdot ONOO– and \cdot O2–.

4 METHODS TO CONTROL DRUG RELEASE

4.1 Extending Drug Release

Treatment duration is an important factor affecting the success of antibiotic therapy for OM. Thus, in addition to mechanical strength, degradability and histocompatibility, drug elution kinetics are important for vehicle choice. For most hydrogels, the loaded drugs are released in a burst manner, driven by diffusion. *In vitro*, >90% of antibiotics are released within the first day, while the remaining are released within the following 4 days. However, *in vivo*, the release time may be extended due to

the potentially limited fluid volume and mass transfer at the infectious site (Ruan et al., 2016; Li et al., 2017; Haider et al., 2018; Johnson et al., 2018). Extending or controlling the effective duration of drug release can be achieved by successive injections (Boot et al., 2021) or modification of the hydrogel (Sun et al., 2021).

4.1.1 Composite Materials

The development of composite materials can overcome the shortcomings of the individual constituents. The HA-pNipam hydrogel and CSt-mixed PEG hydrogels are composite polymers derived from natural and synthetic materials, respectively. Gentamicin and vancomycin loaded in the HA-pNipam hydrogel were released for more than 336 h *in vitro* and 10 days *in vivo* (Boot et al., 2021; Foster et al., 2021). Drugs release was delayed in a PEG-based hydrogel mixed with CSt, to 3 weeks *in vitro* and 4 weeks *in vivo* without an initial burst release (Li et al., 2017).

An *in situ* gelling alginate/HyA hydrogel, designed by Jung et al. (2019), continuously released both vancomycin and BMP-2 for 6 weeks *in vitro* without significant cytotoxicity. The results of the *in vivo* study demonstrated that this vancomycin/BMP-2laden-alginate/HyA hydrogel could efficiently inhibit *S. aureus* proliferation and promote bone regeneration. Another example of a PEG composites, a triblock PLA-DX-PEG hydrogel (PDLLAp-dioxane-PEG) composed of PLA:DX:PEG at a molar ratio of 5: 1:3. *In vitro*, almost 40% of the laden teicoplanin was released within the first 24 h, and the concentrations above the MIC 90% for *S. aureus* were maintained for 2 weeks (Suzuki et al., 2006).

4.1.2 Transglutaminase

Transglutaminase (TGase) can produce conjugates derivatized at the level of Gln and/or Lys residues, and has been used successfully in various biotechnological applications (Duarte et al., 2020; Dell'Olmo et al., 2021; Sun et al., 2021). The hydrogels, crosslinked by TGase, can control the release of loaded drugs at the targeted site, acting as "smart" delivery systems. In a novel vancomycin-impregnated gelatin/alginate hydrogel crosslinked by TGase, over 90% crosslinking was achieved (Sun et al., 2021). The release time of the encapsulated antibiotics increased with increasing TGase concentrations, from approximately 20 min without TGase to more than 120 h with 1% TGase. In an in vivo study of implantassociated infection in rat, the vancomycin-treated group showed reduced biofilm formation and inflammation, and significant bone regeneration, even after inoculation with a high dose of MRSA. Notably, these beneficial effects were observed with the vancomycin-laden hydrogel, but not with the gentamicin-loaded hydrogel.

4.1.3 Nanoparticles

NP-based anti-infection strategies have promising for biomedical applications. This can be attributed to the large surface area to volume ratio and the flexibility in tuning their charactristics (Zhang et al., 2008). Many biocompatible and biodegradable NPs, such as liposomes and polymeric NPs, have been used as vehicles to control antimicrobial delivery (Forier et al., 2014; Ma

et al., 2019; Tao J. et al., 2020). Using this approach, the limitations of antibiotic treatment can be overcome, including inefficient drug release, enzymatic inactivation of drugs, and cytotoxicity (Meers et al., 2008). Compared to free drugs, the encapsulated antibiotics can efficiently penetrate extracellular polymeric substances, resulting in the delivery of therapeutic doses at the target site.

CS-based NPs are used as drug vehicles due to their ideal biological characteristics, such as biocompatibility, antimicrobial properties, and low toxicity. Tao J. et al. (2020) hypothesized that the positive charge of quaternary ammonium CS (QCA) and negative charge of carboxylated CS (CC) drive the electrostatic adsorption-driven assembly of NPs, which can efficiently load the water-soluble antibiotics. Based on the hypothesis, they engineered vancomycin-NPs incorporated in CS-gel to construct an injectable thermosensitive vancomycin-NPs/gel drug delivery system. From CS hydrogel alone, vancomycin was released within 1-5 days (Ruan et al., 2016; Haider et al., 2018). In contrast, vancomycin release was extended over 26 days, and 65% of the laden antibiotic was released from the vancomycin-NPs/gel in vitro; this meets the clinical demand for OM treatment. In a rabbit tibia S. aureus OM model, the sustained release of vancomycin reduced the white blood cell (WBC) count and C-reactive protein (CRP) levels at 4-8 weeks. Radiological and histological analyses showed that the vancomycin-NPs/gel accelerated bone repair under OM conditions (Tao J. et al., 2020).

Liposome-NPs are also commonly applied as drug delivery polymers, since their phospholipid bilayer structure that mimics the cell membrane, enabling fusion with pathogen cell membrane (Allen and Cullis, 2013; Zununi Vahed et al., 2017). Subsequently, the loaded drugs are released to the cellular membrane or cytoplasm of the pathogens (Malam et al., 2009). Studies have demonstrated that encapsulating liposome NPs into hydrogels further extends drug release, since the loaded drugs meet two barriers during release: the liposome and hydrogel networks (O'Neill et al., 2017; Liu et al., 2019). As an excellent vehicle for N'-dodecanoylisonicotinohydrazide (DINH), the drug-laden NPs can be easily prepared and encapsulated into a PLGA-PEG-PLGA hydrogel by simple mixing. The incorporation of liposome NPs does not interfere with the properties of the hydrogel. An in vivo pharmacokinetics analysis showed that, compared with the liposome-free hydrogel, a liposome-NPs-hydrogel DINH delivery system released drugs at target sites over a longer duration, with stable drug concentrations. These properties suggest that this system may have potential for localized bone tuberculosis (Liu et al., 2019).

Ag-based NPs have received considerable attention with satisfactory efficacy in wound care. Because the bioavailability and antimicrobial activity of free Ag ions is reduced due to the rapid sequestration by proteins and other cellular components in the wound (Xiu et al., 2011). Despite their sustained release property, AgNPs in the CS gel coating did not show the expected antibacterial efficacy, and even showed signs of aggravating the infection due to its cytotoxicity (Croes et al., 2018). Identifying the ideal formulation and concentration of AgNPs is a theme for future research.

4.2 Passive and Active Release

Most sustained drug-release systems prolong the release of agents through passive mechanisms, including diffusion, swelling, and erosion (Ter Boo et al., 2015). Drug diffusion depends on the difference in concentration between the inside and outside of the gel and the length of the diffusion path. Swelling of the hydrogel promotes diffusion of the encapsulated drugs. Bulk erosion of the hydrogel reduces the path length and facilitates drug release with the eroded portion.

Studies have investigated stimulus-sensitive hydrogels, which adapt their physical features and cleave attached chemical groups in response to various stimuli (Li et al., 2020a; Li et al., 2020b; McCarthy et al., 2021). For example, hyaluronidase is an S. aureus metabolite related to species spreading (Gu et al., 2015). The acidic nature of S. aureus infectious lesions could be enhanced by hyaluronidase activity (Radovic-Moreno et al., 2012; Wang et al., 2017). Therefore, HyA has been selected as a trigger to release agents on demand. In addition to passive release, drugs can be released based on the concentration of bacteria or their metabolites. Li et al. (2020a) developed a HvA-CS/βglycerophosphate (β -GP)-based thermosensitive hydrogel. Using this intelligent drug-delivery system, which was triggered by HyA, vancomycin hydrochloride was released in a burst or burst-sustained pattern. As the concentration of hvaluronidase increased, the active release pattern was enhanced. In vitro, vancomycin concentrations were much higher than the MIC after 25 days, whereas in vivo, the drug was completely released and detected for up to 30 days, which was sufficient for preventing or treating infection.

In the smart delivery system designed by Johnson et al. (2018), protease-degradable cross-linking peptide GCRDVPMSMRGGDRCG (VPM) was covalently incorporated into a PEG-4MAL network. In addition to a passive sustained release pattern, due to the inclusion of VPM, the encapsulated drugs could be actively released depending on the local protease levels. These are often elevated in the inflammatory microenvironment triggered by bacterial infection (Wolcott et al., 2008).

5 APPLICATIONS

5.1 Implant Coating

In addition to filling dead space directly, drug-laden hydrogels are mainly used to coat orthopedic implants (Li et al., 2017; Boot et al., 2020; Li et al., 2020b; Boot et al., 2021; Foster et al., 2021; Sun et al., 2021). Almost 80% of the hydrogel coated on the implants was found to remain after press-fit insertion (Drago et al., 2014). These drug-laden hydrogel coatings have the following advantages: 1) inhibition of bacterial colonization on the implant in the early postoperative phase, to win the "race to the surface" (**Figure 4**); 2) a favorable safety profile, since high local concentrations and complete controlled drug release over a relatively short period may prevent antibiotic resistance and possible side effects on bone healing; 3) versatility, as different antimicrobial agents can be selected for mixing during the procedure; 4) ease of handling; 5) low costs (Drago et al., 2014). The hydrogel coatings for OM have been primarily studied in rats, rabbits, and sheep, to investigate their prophylactic efficacy (Li et al., 2017; Li et al., 2020; Boot et al., 2021; Foster et al., 2021; Sun et al., 2021). The release of agents in a burst and sustained manner reduces the inflammatory response and optimizes the antibacterial effect, demonstrating efficacy towards preventing or treating implant-associated infections.

5.2 Scaffolds

An optimal bone graft to treat bone defects induced by infection should possess the following properties: 1) a biphasic drug-release profile with enhanced drug elution kinetics; 2) biodegradability; and 3) osteo-conductivity (Masters et al., 2019). Research is ongoing to improve the properties of bio-scaffolds by loading hydrogels with different frames.

5.2.1 Tricalcium Phosphate Scaffold

Tricalcium phosphate (TCP) is biodegradable, biocompatible, and osteoconductive. TCP cements have inherent porosity and high pore interconnectivity, which enhance the adsorption ability and release of loaded drugs, thus has been used widely as a bone graft (Almirall et al., 2004; Kondo et al., 2005; Silverman et al., 2007; Giavaresi et al., 2012; Wu et al., 2013). However, TCP cements rapidly release the impregnated drugs. Approximately 80% of laden antibiotics are released within 4 days (Teo et al., 2011; Wu et al., 2013).

To engineer an optimal antimicrobial delivery system for the treatment of OM that is easy to handle, biodegradable, and ensures a constant drug release at an effective concentration for a long period, antibiotic-laden hydrogels were introduced into a TCP scaffold (Wu et al., 2013; Li et al., 2020a). Following vacuum adsorption in the hydrogel solution to form the coatings, the TCP scaffold maintained its polyporous structure. This antibiotic delivery system presented elution kinetics characterized by an initial burst followed by zero-order release sustained for more than 25 days in vitro and 30 days in vivo (Wu et al., 2013; Li et al., 2020a), with higher concentration than the MIC over 25 days in vivo (Li et al., 2020a). Following implantation in a rabbit femur condyle OM defect model, the antibacterial and osteogenic effects of these delivery systems were enhanced compared to those of intramuscular antibiotic injection or TCP scaffold alone, which are routine approaches (Wu et al., 2013; Li et al., 2020a).

5.2.2 The 3D-Bioprinting Approach

3D bioprinting has demonstrated excellent potential in bone tissue engineering. Using different strategies, material containing living cells is deposited to fabricate living volumetric constructs in a layer-by-layer manner, such as vascularized bone-like fragment (Byambaa et al., 2017; Ashammakhi et al., 2019). However, studies on the use of 3D bioprinting for OM are rare. Aldrich et al. (2019) have developed a 3D bioprinting bone scaffold engineered for sustained local antibiotic release, in combination with the incorporation of M Φ s that possessed potent antimicrobial activity. This 3D-printed scaffold was constructed with methacrylated hyaluronic acid



(Me-HyA)- and methacrylated gelatin (Me-Gel)-based hydrogels encapsulating daptomycin between a polycaprolactone/ hydroxyapatite frame incorporated with rifampin. MΦs were printed on the surface of the HyA/gel hydrogel matrix in L929 solution to maximize cell viability. In an *S. aureus* craniotomyassociated biofilm mouse model, antibiotic scaffolds with MΦs reduced the bacterial burden (**Figure 3**); however, infection in the bone defect was not investigated. Due to the short life span of MΦs, this strategy only demonstrated an early therapeutic effect, which was lost at 7 days, with no preventive effects were observed. This proof-of-concept study provides an insight into 3D bioprinting technology in the OM field.

5.3 Nanotopography

Stem cell proliferation and differentiation can be modulated by the external microenvironments and specific biophysical cues. As a stream of stem research, nanotography, with diverse biomaterials and different surface geometries, can precisely and efficiently regulate the behavior of stem cells and enhance their abilities through specific cell-surface interaction (Kim et al., 2017; Thomas et al., 2018). Vertically aligned 1D nanomaterials have been used in advanced biomedical applications (Kwak et al., 2015; Poudineh et al., 2018). Living cells and tissues can interface on these efficient platforms. Due to the nanoscale diameters and sharp tips, they can pierce cell membrane, impacting cell viability. The volumes of mammalian and bacterial cells differ. By tuning their physical features (i.e., the relative size of the nanoneedles compared to the cells), these nanomaterials can pierce the pathogen cell membrane without disturbing the integrity of mammalian cell membranes (Mas-Moruno et al., 2019). Furthermore, the long 1D structure facilitates cellular interfacing with the external microenvironments, and the high-aspect-ratio feature of their sharp tip structure effectively prevents biofilm formation via mechanical lysis (Pogodin et al., 2013; May et al., 2016).

Based on these advantages of 1D nanomaterials, Park et al. (2019) designed a biocompatible PEG dimethacrylate-based hydrogel patch (**Figure 5**). This patch possessed a nanospike (hSPIKE) arrays with tapered tips. This transplantable platform promoted the osteogenic, chondrogenic, and adipogenic differentiation of dental pulp stem cells *in vitro* without altering cell viability. Furthermore, the hSPIKE arrays exhibited efficient bactericidal effects against both Gram-positive and -negative bacteria. Compared with a flat patch, the hSPIKE patch significantly promoted the healing of mouse cranial bone defect while preventing bacterial infections.

6 EFFECT ON BONE HEALING

The impact of any antibiotic-laden-biomaterial (ALB) implanted in a fracture or bone defect on bone regeneration



must be addressed. Hydrogels themselves, as foreign materials, and high local concentrations of antimicrobial agents may influence tissue healing (Ter Boo et al., 2018). Studies have investigated bone regeneration following ABL implantation *in vitro* (Ter Boo et al., 2018; Li et al., 2020; Boot et al., 2020; Tao J. et al., 2020; Vallejo Diaz et al., 2020; Eltawila et al., 2021; Foster et al., 2021; Sun et al., 2021). However, to our knowledge, limited *in vivo* studies have evaluated the influence of hydrogels on bone healing.

Ter Boo et al. (2018) observed fracture healing in groups treated with HA-pNipam hydrogel alone in the absence of bacterial contamination using a rabbit humeral fracture model. Implantation of HA-pNipam hydrogel showed no significant effect on clinical or biological responses, including weight, CRP levels, and WBC count. No significant differences in relative stiffness were observed 4 weeks after hydrogel application. Compared with contralateral non-fractured humerus, the mean relative stiffness values with and without HA-pNipam hydrogel were 49%–67%, respectively. Contact radiographs and histopathological analyses in both groups revealed callus formation at both the *cis* and *trans* sides of the humerus, and at the interface between the screws and intramedullary cavity. Compared to the control group, the HA-pNipam group presented less bone callus formation only at the *cis* side, where the HA-pNipam hydrogel was injected. Another study investigating the effects of a DAC[®] hydrogel coating on bone apposition at a titanium implant surface *in vivo*, showed that, compared with the group without coating, the hydrogel coating loaded or unloaded with 2% vancomycin neither affected the volume and timing of bone apposition, nor induced an inflammatory response (Boot et al., 2017). The results of these two studies showed that HA-pNipam and DAC[®] hydrogels did not affect bone regeneration. In future, more research is needed on other hydrogels.

7 HEPATORENAL TOXICITY

Hepatorenal side effects of hydrogels in bone infection have been reported (Changez et al., 2005; Overstreet et al., 2015; Johnson et al., 2018; Johnson et al., 2019; Li et al., 2020b; Foster et al., 2021). In rabbits, Li et al. (2020a) have found that, compared with the

blank group, those treated with a VH-HyA-CS/ β -GP hydrogelladen TCP scaffold showed no significant difference in aspartate aminotransferase (AST) and blood urea nitrogen (BUN) levels at 1, 4, and 7 days, whereas alanine aminotransferase and serum creatinine (SCr) levels increased slightly at 4–7 days. In rats, no differences in BUN, SCr, and uric acid (UA) levels were found between the Ti-RP/PCP/RSNO and control groups, while systemic vancomycin treatment increased SCr and UA levels and induced renal toxicity (Li et al., 2020b). Additionally, liver enzyme tests and histological analyses of the liver and kidneys supported the safety of lysostaphin-delivering PEG hydrogels designed by Johnson et al. (2018). These results support the safety of the application of these local antimicrobial agent delivery hydrogel systems.

8 OUTLOOKS

Collectively, available hydrogel designs have demonstrated the superior ability to prevent or treat OM, compared to the clinical gold standard of ALBC. However, there remains several challenges in the clinical applications. First, potential resistance to present agents remains a concern. Co-delivery of different agents may represent a suitable approach to overcome this challenge. Alternative strategies, such as mAb treatment and conjugation of bisphosphonates to conventional antibiotics, are increasingly attractive for the treatment of OM (Sedghizadeh et al., 2017; Masters et al., 2019). Hydrogel loading with these agents would increase the number of therapeutic strategies. Second, whether the hydrogel can be applied once in a onestage exchange procedure remains to be determined. Eliminating the need for a second revision surgery would be an attractive prospect (Boot et al., 2021). Thus, future work should focus on engineering smart or intelligent hydrogel delivery systems that are responsive to multiple stimuli, and outstanding breakthroughs in 4D printing (Chu et al., 2020). Third, present studies have focused on the OM induced by S. aureus. Different hydrogel antimicrobial approaches should be investigated for infections by a diverse range of species. Fourth, to date, only a few drug-laden hydrogels have been used successfully in the clinic. More studies are needed to develop an optimal hydrogel system for OM.

REFERENCES

- Aldrich, A., Kuss, M. A., Duan, B., and Kielian, T. (2019). 3D Bioprinted Scaffolds Containing Viable Macrophages and Antibiotics Promote Clearance of *Staphylococcus aureus* Craniotomy-Associated Biofilm Infection. ACS Appl. Mater. Inter. 11 (13), 12298–12307. doi:10.1021/acsami.9b00264
- Allen, T. M., and Cullis, P. R. (2013). Liposomal Drug Delivery Systems: from Concept to Clinical Applications. *Adv. Drug Deliv. Rev.* 65 (1), 36–48. doi:10. 1016/j.addr.2012.09.037
- Almirall, A., Larrecq, G., Delgado, J. A., Martı'nez, S., Planell, J. A., and Ginebra, M. P. (2004). Fabrication of Low Temperature Macroporous Hydroxyapatite Scaffolds by Foaming and Hydrolysis of an α-TCP Paste. *Biomaterials* 25 (17), 3671–3680. doi:10.1016/j.biomaterials.2003.10.066

9 CONCLUSION

OM remains a major challenge due to its complicated microenvironment. An in-depth understanding the mechanism of OM will help to develop better treatment strategies. Loading antimicrobial agents into hydrogels, even co-incorporating osteo-inductive materials and other adjuvants, is a promise strategy. This review discussed current hydrogel designs and their applications to improve the biological response to OM *in vivo*, thereby providing insights into the development of novel prevention and treatment options for OM.

AUTHOR CONTRIBUTIONS

BY conceived the general idea and provided critical revision and final approval of the manuscript. WX and YG conducted the literature study and wrote the draft manuscript. All authors contributed to the article and approved the submitted version.

FUNDING

This work was supported by the National Natural Science Foundation of China (Grant nos. 81972086 and 81672196); Shanghai "Rising Stars of Medical Talent" Youth Development Program (Youth Medical Talents—Specialist Program) (Grant no. 2019-72); National Key Research and Development Project of China (Grant nos. 2020YFC1107500, and 2020YFC1107503); "Technology Innovation Action Plan" Key Project of Shanghai Science and Technology Commission (Grant no. 19411962800); Clinical Scientific innovation and Cultivation Fund of Renji Hospital Affiliated School of Medicine, Shanghai Jiaotong University (Grant no. PY2018-I-02); RENJI-NSFC Advancing Targeted Projects (RJTJ-JX-005).

SUPPLEMENTARY MATERIAL

The Supplementary Material for this article can be found online at: https://www.frontiersin.org/articles/10.3389/fbioe.2022.865250/full#supplementary-material

- Arciola, C. R., An, Y. H., Campoccia, D., Donati, M. E., and Montanaro, L. (2005).
 Etiology of Implant Orthopedic Infections: a Survey on 1027 Clinical Isolates.
 Int. J. Artif. Organs 28 (11), 1091–1100. doi:10.1177/039139880502801106
- Ashammakhi, N., Hasan, A., Kaarela, O., Byambaa, B., Sheikhi, A., Gaharwar, A. K., et al. (2019). Advancing Frontiers in Bone Bioprinting. *Adv. Healthc. Mater.* 8 (7), 1801048. doi:10.1002/adhm.201801048
- Bakshi, P. S., Selvakumar, D., Kadirvelu, K., and Kumar, N. S. (2020). Chitosan as an Environment Friendly Biomaterial - a Review on Recent Modifications and Applications. *Int. J. Biol. Macromolecules* 150, 1072–1083. doi:10.1016/j. ijbiomac.2019.10.113
- Barth, R. E., Vogely, H. C., Hoepelman, A. I. M., and Peters, E. J. G. (2011). 'To Bead or Not to Bead?' Treatment of Osteomyelitis and Prosthetic Joint-Associated Infections with Gentamicin Bead Chains. *Int. J. Antimicrob. Agents* 38 (5), 371–375. doi:10.1016/j.ijantimicag.2011.03.008

- Bibbo, C., and Patel, D. V. (2006). The Effect of Demineralized Bone Matrix-Calcium Sulfate with Vancomycin on Calcaneal Fracture Healing and Infection Rates: a Prospective Study. *Foot Ankle Int.* 27 (7), 487–493. doi:10.1177/ 107110070602700702
- Boot, W., Gawlitta, D., Nikkels, P. G. J., Pouran, B., van Rijen, M. H. P., Dhert, W. J. A., et al. (2017). Hyaluronic Acid-Based Hydrogel Coating Does Not Affect Bone Apposition at the Implant Surface in a Rabbit Model. *Clin. Orthop. Relat. Res.* 475 (7), 1911–1919. doi:10.1007/s11999-017-5310-0
- Boot, W., Schmid, T., D'Este, M., Guillaume, O., Foster, A., Decosterd, L., et al. (2021). A Hyaluronic Acid Hydrogel Loaded with Gentamicin and Vancomycin Successfully Eradicates Chronic Methicillin-Resistant Staphylococcus aureus Orthopedic Infection in a Sheep Model. Antimicrob. Agents Chemother. 65 (4), e01840–20. doi:10.1128/AAC.01840-20
- Boot, W., Vogely, H. C., Vogely, H., Nikkels, P., Pouran, B., van Rijen, M., et al. (2020). Prophylaxis of Implant-Related Infections by Local Release of Vancomycin from a Hydrogel in Rabbits. *eCM* 39, 108–120. doi:10.22203/ eCM.v039a07
- Borkhuu, B., Borowski, A., Shah, S. A., Littleton, A. G., Dabney, K. W., and Miller, F. (2008). Antibiotic-loaded Allograft Decreases the Rate of Acute Deep Wound Infection after Spinal Fusion in Cerebral Palsy. *Spine* 33 (21), 2300–2304. doi:10.1097/BRS.0b013e31818786ff
- Boyle-Vavra, S., Carey, R. B., and Daum, R. S. (2001). Development of Vancomycin and Lysostaphin Resistance in a Methicillin-Resistant *Staphylococcus aureus* Isolate. J. Antimicrob. Chemother. 48 (5), 617–625. doi:10.1093/jac/48.5.617
- Burkus, J. K., Gornet, M. F., Dickman, C. A., and Zdeblick, T. A. (2002). Anterior Lumbar Interbody Fusion Using rhBMP-2 with Tapered Interbody Cages. J. Spinal Disord. Tech. 15 (5), 337–349. doi:10.1097/00024720-200210000-00001
- Byambaa, B., Annabi, N., Yue, K., Trujillo-de Santiago, G., Alvarez, M. M., Jia, W., et al. (2017). Bioprinted Osteogenic and Vasculogenic Patterns for Engineering 3D Bone Tissue. *Adv. Healthc. Mater.* 6 (16), 1700015. doi:10.1002/adhm. 201700015
- Changez, M., Koul, V., and Dinda, A. K. (2005). Efficacy of Antibiotics-Loaded Interpenetrating Network (IPNs) Hydrogel Based on Poly(acrylic Acid) and Gelatin for Treatment of Experimental Osteomyelitis: In Vivo Study. Biomaterials 26 (14), 2095–2104. doi:10.1016/j.biomaterials.2004.06.008
- Cho, J.-W., Kim, J., Cho, W.-T., Kent, W. T., Kim, H.-J., and Oh, J.-K. (2018). Antibiotic Coated Hinged Threaded Rods in the Treatment of Infected Nonunions and Intramedullary Long Bone Infections. *Injury* 49 (10), 1912–1921. doi:10.1016/j.injury.2018.07.016
- Chopra, I. (2007). The Increasing Use of Silver-Based Products as Antimicrobial Agents: a Useful Development or a Cause for Concern? J. Antimicrob. Chemother. 59 (4), 587–590. doi:10.1093/jac/dkm006
- Chu, H., Yang, W., Sun, L., Cai, S., Yang, R., Liang, W., et al. (2020). 4D Printing: A Review on Recent Progresses. *Micromachines* 11 (9), 796. doi:10.3390/ mi11090796
- Climo, M. W., Ehlert, K., and Archer, G. L. (2001). Mechanism and Suppression of Lysostaphin Resistance in Oxacillin-Resistant Staphylococcus aureus. Antimicrob. Agents Chemother. 45 (5), 1431–1437. doi:10.1128/AAC.45.5. 1431-1437.2001
- Climo, M. W., Patron, R. L., Goldstein, B. P., and Archer, G. L. (1998). Lysostaphin Treatment of Experimental Methicillin-Resistant *Staphylococcus aureus* Aortic Valve Endocarditis. *Antimicrob. Agents Chemother.* 42 (6), 1355–1360. doi:10. 1128/AAC.42.6.1355
- Cobb, L. H., Park, J., Swanson, E. A., Beard, M. C., McCabe, E. M., Rourke, A. S., et al. (2019). CRISPR-Cas9 Modified Bacteriophage for Treatment of *Staphylococcus aureus* Induced Osteomyelitis and Soft Tissue Infection. *PLoS One* 14 (11), e0220421. doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0220421
- Croes, M., Bakhshandeh, S., van Hengel, I. A. J., Lietaert, K., van Kessel, K. P. M., Pouran, B., et al. (2018). Antibacterial and Immunogenic Behavior of Silver Coatings on Additively Manufactured Porous Titanium. *Acta Biomater.* 81, 315–327. doi:10.1016/j.actbio.2018.09.051
- Daly, A. C., Critchley, S. E., Rencsok, E. M., and Kelly, D. J. (2016). A Comparison of Different Bioinks for 3D Bioprinting of Fibrocartilage and Hyaline Cartilage. *Biofabrication* 8 (4), 045002. doi:10.1088/1758-5090/8/4/045002
- Darouiche, R. O. (2004). Treatment of Infections Associated with Surgical Implants. N. Engl. J. Med. 350 (14), 1422–1429. doi:10.1056/NEJMra035415

- de Mesy Bentley, K. L., MacDonald, A., Schwarz, E. M., and Oh, I. (2018). Chronic Osteomyelitis with *Staphylococcus aureus* Deformation in Submicron Canaliculi of Osteocytes. *JBJS Case Connector* 8 (1), e8. doi:10.2106/JBJS.CC. 17.00154
- de Mesy Bentley, K. L., Trombetta, R., Nishitani, K., Bello-Irizarry, S. N., Ninomiya, M., Zhang, L., et al. (2017). Evidence of Staphylococcus AureusDeformation, Proliferation, and Migration in Canaliculi of Live Cortical Bone in Murine Models of Osteomyelitis. *J. Bone Miner Res.* 32 (5), 985–990. doi:10.1002/jbmr. 3055
- De Witte, T.-M., Fratila-Apachitei, L. E., Zadpoor, A. A., and Peppas, N. A. (2018). Bone Tissue Engineering via Growth Factor Delivery: from Scaffolds to Complex Matrices. *Regen. Biomater.* 5 (4), 197–211. doi:10.1093/rb/rby013
- Dell'Olmo, E., Gaglione, R., Arciello, A., Piccoli, R., Cafaro, V., Di Maro, A., et al. (2021). Transglutaminase-mediated Crosslinking of a Host Defence Peptide Derived from Human Apolipoprotein B and its Effect on the Peptide Antimicrobial Activity. *Biochim. Biophys. Acta (Bba) - Gen. Subjects* 1865 (2), 129803. doi:10.1016/j.bbagen.2020.129803
- Drago, L., Boot, W., Dimas, K., Malizos, K., Hänsch, G. M., Stuyck, J., et al. (2014). Does Implant Coating with Antibacterial-Loaded Hydrogel Reduce Bacterial Colonization and Biofilm Formation *In Vitro? Clin. Orthop. Relat. Res.* 472 (11), 3311–3323. doi:10.1007/s11999-014-3558-1
- Duarte, L., Matte, C. R., Bizarro, C. V., and Ayub, M. A. Z. (2020). Transglutaminases: Part I-Origins, Sources, and Biotechnological Characteristics. World J. Microbiol. Biotechnol. 36 (1), 15. doi:10.1007/ s11274-019-2791-x
- Eltawila, A. M., Hassan, M. N., Safaan, S. M., Abd El-Fattah, A., Zakaria, O., El-Khordagui, L. K., et al. (2021). Local Treatment of Experimental Mandibular Osteomyelitis with an Injectable Biomimetic Gentamicin Hydrogel Using a New Rabbit Model. J. Biomed. Mater. Res. 109 (11), 1677–1688. doi:10.1002/ jbm.b.34824
- Elyasi, S., Khalili, H., Dashti-Khavidaki, S., and Mohammadpour, A. (2012). Vancomycin-induced Nephrotoxicity: Mechanism, Incidence, Risk Factors and Special Populations. A Literature Review. *Eur. J. Clin. Pharmacol.* 68 (9), 1243–1255. doi:10.1007/s00228-012-1259-9
- Epand, R. M., and Vogel, H. J. (1999). Diversity of Antimicrobial Peptides and Their Mechanisms of Action. *Biochim. Biophys. Acta* 1462 (1-2), 11–28. doi:10. 1016/s0005-2736(99)00198-4
- Ferguson, J. Y., Dudareva, M., Riley, N. D., Stubbs, D., Atkins, B. L., and McNally, M. A. (2014). The Use of a Biodegradable Antibiotic-Loaded Calcium Sulphate Carrier Containing Tobramycin for the Treatment of Chronic Osteomyelitis. *Bone Jt. J.* 96-B (6), 829–836. doi:10.1302/0301-620X.96B6.32756
- Ferry, T., Batailler, C., Petitjean, C., Chateau, J., Fevre, C., Forestier, E., et al. (2020). The Potential Innovative Use of Bacteriophages within the DAC Hydrogel to Treat Patients with Knee Megaprosthesis Infection Requiring "Debridement Antibiotics and Implant Retention" and Soft Tissue Coverage as Salvage Therapy. Front. Med. 7, 342. doi:10.3389/fmed.2020.00342
- Forier, K., Raemdonck, K., De Smedt, S. C., Demeester, J., Coenye, T., and Braeckmans, K. (2014). Lipid and Polymer Nanoparticles for Drug Delivery to Bacterial Biofilms. *J. Controlled Release* 190, 607–623. doi:10.1016/j.jconrel. 2014.03.055
- Foster, A. L., Boot, W., Stenger, V., D'Este, M., Jaiprakash, A., Eglin, D., et al. (2021). Single-stage Revision of MRSA Orthopedic Device-related Infection in Sheep with an Antibiotic-loaded Hydrogel. J. Orthop. Res. 39 (2), 438–448. doi:10.1002/jor.24949
- Fowler, V. G., Jr., and Proctor, R. A. (2014). Where Does a Staphylococcus aureus Vaccine Stand? Clin. Microbiol. Infect. 20 (Suppl. 5), 66–75. doi:10.1111/1469-0691.12570
- Frutos Cabanillas, P., Díez Peña, E., Barrales-Rienda, J. M., and Frutos, G. (2000). Validation and *In Vitro* Characterization of Antibiotic-Loaded Bone Cement Release. *Int. J. Pharm.* 209 (1-2), 15–26. doi:10.1016/s0378-5173(00)00520-2
- Gao, G., Jiang, Y.-W., Jia, H.-R., and Wu, F.-G. (2019). Near-infrared Light-Controllable On-Demand Antibiotics Release Using Thermo-Sensitive Hydrogel-Based Drug Reservoir for Combating Bacterial Infection. *Biomaterials* 188, 83–95. doi:10.1016/j.biomaterials.2018.09.045
- Garg, D., Matai, I., and Sachdev, A. (2021). Toward Designing of Anti-infective Hydrogels for Orthopedic Implants: From Lab to Clinic. ACS Biomater. Sci. Eng. 7 (6), 1933–1961. doi:10.1021/acsbiomaterials.0c01408

- Geredew Kifelew, L., Mitchell, J. G., and Speck, P. (2019). Mini-review: Efficacy of Lytic Bacteriophages on Multispecies Biofilms. *Biofouling* 35 (4), 472–481. doi:10.1080/08927014.2019.1613525
- Giavaresi, G., Bertazzoni Minelli, E., Sartori, M., Benini, A., Della Bora, T., Sambri, V., et al. (2012). Microbiological and Pharmacological Tests on New Antibiotic-Loaded PMMA-Based Composites for the Treatment of Osteomyelitis. J. Orthop. Res. 30 (3), 348–355. doi:10.1002/jor.21531
- Giavaresi, G., Meani, E., Sartori, M., Ferrari, A., Bellini, D., Sacchetta, A. C., et al. (2014). Efficacy of Antibacterial-Loaded Coating in an *In Vivo* Model of Acutely Highly Contaminated Implant. *Int. Orthopaedics (Sicot)* 38 (7), 1505–1512. doi:10.1007/s00264-013-2237-2
- Gu, F.-F., Han, L.-Z., Chen, X., Wang, Y.-C., Shen, H., Wang, J.-Q., et al. (2015). Molecular Characterization ofStaphylococcus Aureusfrom Surgical Site Infections in Orthopedic Patients in an Orthopedic Trauma Clinical Medical Center in Shanghai. Surg. Infections 16 (1), 97–104. doi:10.1089/sur. 2014.027
- Guelcher, S. A., Brown, K. V., Li, B., Guda, T., Lee, B.-H., and Wenke, J. C. (2011). Dual-purpose Bone Grafts Improve Healing and Reduce Infection. J. Orthop. Trauma 25 (8), 477–482. doi:10.1097/BOT.0b013e31821f624c
- Haider, M., Hassan, M. A., Ahmed, I. S., and Shamma, R. (2018). Thermogelling Platform for Baicalin Delivery for Versatile Biomedical Applications. *Mol. Pharmaceutics* 15 (8), 3478–3488. doi:10.1021/acs.molpharmaceut.8b00480
- Hake, M. E., Young, H., Hak, D. J., Stahel, P. F., Hammerberg, E. M., and Mauffrey, C. (2015). Local Antibiotic Therapy Strategies in Orthopaedic Trauma: Practical Tips and Tricks and Review of the Literature. *Injury* 46 (8), 1447–1456. doi:10.1016/j.injury.2015.05.008
- Han, S. H., Cha, M., Jin, Y.-Z., Lee, K.-M., and Lee, J. H. (2020). BMP-2 and hMSC Dual Delivery onto 3D Printed PLA-Biogel Scaffold for Critical-Size Bone Defect Regeneration in Rabbit Tibia. *Biomed. Mater.* 16 (1), 015019. doi:10. 1088/1748-605X/aba879
- Hanke, M. L., Heim, C. E., Angle, A., Sanderson, S. D., and Kielian, T. (2013). Targeting Macrophage Activation for the Prevention and Treatment ofStaphylococcus aureusBiofilm Infections. *J.I.* 190 (5), 2159–2168. doi:10. 4049/jimmunol.1202348
- Hetrick, E. M., and Schoenfisch, M. H. (2006). Reducing Implant-Related Infections: Active Release Strategies. *Chem. Soc. Rev.* 35 (9), 780–789. doi:10.1039/b515219b
- Hoang Thi, T. T., Lee, Y., Le Thi, P., and Park, K. D. (2018). Nitric Oxide-Releasing Injectable Hydrogels with High Antibacterial Activity through *In Situ* Formation of Peroxynitrite. *Acta Biomater*. 67, 66–78. doi:10.1016/j.actbio. 2017.12.005
- Huang, H., Feng, J., Wismeijer, D., Wu, G., and Hunziker, E. (2017). Hyaluronic Acid Promotes the Osteogenesis of BMP-2 in an Absorbable Collagen Sponge. *Polymers* 9 (8), 339. doi:10.3390/polym9080339
- Hustedt, J. W., and Blizzard, D. J. (2014). The Controversy Surrounding Bone Morphogenetic Proteins in the Spine: a Review of Current Research. Yale J. Biol. Med. 87 (4), 549–561.
- Inzana, J. A., Schwarz, E. M., Kates, S. L., and Awad, H. A. (2016). Biomaterials Approaches to Treating Implant-Associated Osteomyelitis. *Biomaterials* 81, 58–71. doi:10.1016/j.biomaterials.2015.12.012
- Johnson, C. T., Sok, M. C. P., Martin, K. E., Kalelkar, P. P., Caplin, J. D., Botchwey, E. A., et al. (2019). Lysostaphin and BMP-2 Co-delivery Reduces S. aureus Infection and Regenerates Critical-Sized Segmental Bone Defects. Sci. Adv. 5 (5), eaaw1228. doi:10.1126/sciadv.aaw1228
- Johnson, C. T., Wroe, J. A., Agarwal, R., Martin, K. E., Guldberg, R. E., Donlan, R. M., et al. (2018). Hydrogel Delivery of Lysostaphin Eliminates Orthopedic Implant Infection by *Staphylococcus aureus* and Supports Fracture Healing. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U.S.A.* 115 (22), E4960–E4969. doi:10.1073/pnas. 1801013115
- Jung, S. W., Oh, S. H., Lee, I. S., Byun, J.-H., and Lee, J. H. (2019). In Situ Gelling Hydrogel with Anti-bacterial Activity and Bone Healing Property for Treatment of Osteomyelitis. Tissue Eng. Regen. Med. 16 (5), 479–490. doi:10.1007/s13770-019-00206-x
- Junka, A., Szymczyk, P., Ziółkowski, G., Karuga-Kuzniewska, E., Smutnicka, D., Bil-Lula, I., et al. (2017). Bad to the Bone: On *In Vitro* and *Ex Vivo* Microbial Biofilm Ability to Directly Destroy Colonized Bone Surfaces without Participation of Host Immunity or Osteoclastogenesis. *PLoS One* 12 (1), e0169565. doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0169565

- Kaplan, S. L. (2014). Recent Lessons for the Management of Bone and Joint Infections. J. Infect. 68 (Suppl. 1), S51–S56. doi:10.1016/j.jinf.2013.09.014
- Kartika, R. W., Alwi, I., Suyatna, F. D., Yunir, E., Waspadji, S., Immanuel, S., et al. (2021). Wound Healing in Diabetic Foot Ulcer Patients Using Combined Use of Platelet Rich Fibrin and Hyaluronic Acid, Platelet Rich Fibrin and Placebo: An Open Label, Randomized Controlled Trial. *Acta Med. Indones* 53 (3), 268–275.
- Kazimierczak, P., Kolmas, J., and Przekora, A. (2019). Biological Response to Macroporous Chitosan-Agarose Bone Scaffolds Comprising Mg- and Zn-Doped Nano-Hydroxyapatite. *Ijms* 20 (15), 3835. doi:10.3390/ijms20153835
- Kim, H. N., Jang, K.-J., Shin, J.-Y., Kang, D., Kim, S. M., Koh, I., et al. (2017). Artificial Slanted Nanocilia Array as a Mechanotransducer for Controlling Cell Polarity. ACS Nano 11 (1), 730–741. doi:10.1021/acsnano.6b07134
- Kim, H. Y., Lee, J. H., Lee, H. A. R., Park, J.-S., Woo, D. K., Lee, H.-C., et al. (2018). Sustained Release of BMP-2 from Porous Particles with Leaf-Stacked Structure for Bone Regeneration. ACS Appl. Mater. Inter. 10 (25), 21091–21102. doi:10. 1021/acsami.8b02141
- Kimna, C., Deger, S., Tamburaci, S., and Tihminlioglu, F. (2019). Chitosan/ montmorillonite Composite Nanospheres for Sustained Antibiotic Delivery at post-implantation Bone Infection Treatment. *Biomed. Mater.* 14 (4), 044101. doi:10.1088/1748-605X/ab1a04
- Kiri, N., Archer, G., and Climo, M. W. (2002). Combinations of Lysostaphin with β-Lactams Are Synergistic against Oxacillin-Resistant Staphylococcus Epidermidis. Antimicrob. Agents Chemother. 46 (6), 2017–2020. doi:10.1128/ AAC.46.6.2017-2020.2002
- Kondo, N., Ogose, A., Tokunaga, K., Ito, T., Arai, K., Kudo, N., et al. (2005). Bone Formation and Resorption of Highly Purified β -tricalcium Phosphate in the Rat Femoral Condyle. *Biomaterials* 26 (28), 5600–5608. doi:10.1016/j.biomaterials. 2005.02.026
- Kubin, C. J., Ellman, T. M., Phadke, V., Haynes, L. J., Calfee, D. P., and Yin, M. T. (2012). Incidence and Predictors of Acute Kidney Injury Associated with Intravenous Polymyxin B Therapy. J. Infect. 65 (1), 80–87. doi:10.1016/j.jinf. 2012.01.015
- Kuehn, K.-D., Ege, W., and Gopp, U. (2005). Acrylic Bone Cements: Composition and Properties. Orthop. Clin. North America 36 (1), 17–28. doi:10.1016/j.ocl. 2004.06.010
- Kumar, J. K. (2008). Lysostaphin: an Antistaphylococcal Agent. Appl. Microbiol. Biotechnol. 80 (4), 555–561. doi:10.1007/s00253-008-1579-y
- Kwak, M., Han, L., Chen, J. J., and Fan, R. (2015). Interfacing Inorganic Nanowire Arrays and Living Cells for Cellular Function Analysis. *Small* 11 (42), 5600–5610. doi:10.1002/smll.201501236
- Li, D., Lv, P., Fan, L., Huang, Y., Yang, F., Mei, X., et al. (2017). The Immobilization of Antibiotic-Loaded Polymeric Coatings on Osteoarticular Ti Implants for the Prevention of Bone Infections. *Biomater. Sci.* 5 (11), 2337–2346. doi:10.1039/ c7bm00693d
- Li, Y., Li, G., Sha, X., Li, L., Zhang, K., Liu, D., et al. (2020a). An Intelligent Vancomycin Release System for Preventing Surgical Site Infections of Bone Tissues. *Biomater. Sci.* 8 (11), 3202–3211. doi:10.1039/d0bm00255k
- Li, Y., Liu, X., Li, B., Zheng, Y., Han, Y., Chen, D.-f., et al. (2020b). Near-Infrared Light Triggered Phototherapy and Immunotherapy for Elimination of Methicillin-Resistant *Staphylococcus aureus* Biofilm Infection on Bone Implant. ACS Nano 14 (7), 8157–8170. doi:10.1021/acsnano.0c01486
- Liu, P., Guo, B., Wang, S., Ding, J., and Zhou, W. (2019). A Thermo-Responsive and Self-Healing Liposome-In-Hydrogel System as an Antitubercular Drug Carrier for Localized Bone Tuberculosis Therapy. *Int. J. Pharmaceutics* 558, 101–109. doi:10.1016/j.ijpharm.2018.12.083
- Liu, S., Ji, X.-X., and Zhu, J.-F. (2021). Recent Progress in the Synthesis and Biomedical Properties of Natural Biopolymer Composites. *Cmc* 28, 8243–8266. doi:10.2174/0929867328666201217104038
- Lovati, A. B., Drago, L., Bottagisio, M., Bongio, M., Ferrario, M., Perego, S., et al. (20162016). Systemic and Local Administration of Antimicrobial and Cell Therapies to Prevent Methicillin-ResistantStaphylococcus Epidermidis-Induced Femoral Nonunions in a Rat Model. *Mediators Inflamm.* 2016, 1–12. doi:10.1155/2016/9595706
- Ma, D., Shanks, R. M. Q., Davis, C. M., 3rd, Craft, D. W., Wood, T. K., Hamlin, B. R., et al. (2018). Viable Bacteria Persist on Antibiotic Spacers Following Two-Stage Revision for Periprosthetic Joint Infection. J. Orthop. Res. 36 (1), 452–458. doi:10.1002/jor.23611

- Ma, Y., Cortez-Jugo, C., Li, J., Lin, Z., Richardson, R. T., Han, Y., et al. (2019). Engineering Biocoatings to Prolong Drug Release from Supraparticles. *Biomacromolecules* 20 (9), 3425–3434. doi:10.1021/acs.biomac.9b00710
- Mah, T.-F. C., and O'Toole, G. A. (2001). Mechanisms of Biofilm Resistance to Antimicrobial Agents. *Trends Microbiol.* 9 (1), 34–39. doi:10.1016/s0966-842x(00)01913-2
- Malam, Y., Loizidou, M., and Seifalian, A. M. (2009). Liposomes and Nanoparticles: Nanosized Vehicles for Drug Delivery in Cancer. Trends Pharmacol. Sci. 30 (11), 592–599. doi:10.1016/j.tips.2009.08.004
- Mas-Moruno, C., Su, B., and Dalby, M. J. (2019). Multifunctional Coatings and Nanotopographies: Toward Cell Instructive and Antibacterial Implants. Adv. Healthc. Mater. 8 (1), 1801103. doi:10.1002/adhm.201801103
- Masters, E. A., Trombetta, R. P., de Mesy Bentley, K. L., Boyce, B. F., Gill, A. L., Gill, S. R., et al. (2019). Evolving Concepts in Bone Infection: Redefining "biofilm", "acute vs. Chronic Osteomyelitis", "the Immune Proteome" and "local Antibiotic Therapy". *Bone Res.* 7, 20. doi:10.1038/s41413-019-0061-z
- May, P. W., Clegg, M., Silva, T. A., Zanin, H., Fatibello-Filho, O., Celorrio, V., et al. (2016). Diamond-coated 'black Silicon' as a Promising Material for High-Surface-Area Electrochemical Electrodes and Antibacterial Surfaces. J. Mater. Chem. B 4 (34), 5737–5746. doi:10.1039/c6tb01774f
- McCarthy, P. C., Zhang, Y., and Abebe, F. (2021). Recent Applications of Dual-Stimuli Responsive Chitosan Hydrogel Nanocomposites as Drug Delivery Tools. *Molecules* 26 (16), 4735. doi:10.3390/molecules26164735
- Meers, P., Neville, M., Malinin, V., Scotto, A. W., Sardaryan, G., Kurumunda, R., et al. (2008). Biofilm Penetration, Triggered Release and *In Vivo* Activity of Inhaled Liposomal Amikacin in Chronic *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* Lung Infections. J. Antimicrob. Chemother. 61 (4), 859–868. doi:10.1093/jac/dkn059
- Mohamed, M. F., Hamed, M. I., Panitch, A., and Seleem, M. N. (2014). Targeting Methicillin-Resistant Staphylococcus aureus with Short Salt-Resistant Synthetic Peptides. Antimicrob. Agents Chemother. 58 (7), 4113–4122. doi:10.1128/AAC. 02578-14
- Naughton, C. A. (2008). Drug-induced Nephrotoxicity. Am. Fam. Physician 78 (6), 743–750.
- O'Neill, H. S., Herron, C. C., Hastings, C. L., Deckers, R., Lopez Noriega, A., Kelly, H. M., et al. (2017). A Stimuli Responsive Liposome Loaded Hydrogel Provides Flexible On-Demand Release of Therapeutic Agents. *Acta Biomater.* 48, 110–119. doi:10.1016/j.actbio.2016.10.001
- Otto, M. (2014). Staphylococcus aureus Toxins. Curr. Opin. Microbiol. 17, 32–37. doi:10.1016/j.mib.2013.11.004
- Otto, M. (2008). Targeted Immunotherapy for Staphylococcal Infections. *BioDrugs* 22 (1), 27–36. doi:10.2165/00063030-200822010-00003
- Overstreet, D. J., Badha, V. S., Heffernan, J. M., Childers, E. P., Moore, R. C., Vernon, B. L., et al. (2019). Temperature-responsive PNDJ Hydrogels Provide High and Sustained Antimicrobial Concentrations in Surgical Sites. *Drug Deliv. Transl. Res.* 9 (4), 802–815. doi:10.1007/s13346-019-00630-5
- Overstreet, D., McLaren, A., Calara, F., Vernon, B., and McLemore, R. (2015). Local Gentamicin Delivery from Resorbable Viscous Hydrogels Is Therapeutically Effective. *Clin. Orthop. Relat. Res.* 473 (1), 337–347. doi:10.1007/s11999-014-3935-9
- Pan, C., Zhou, Z., and Yu, X. (2018). Coatings as the Useful Drug Delivery System for the Prevention of Implant-Related Infections. J. Orthop. Surg. Res. 13 (1), 220. doi:10.1186/s13018-018-0930-y
- Park, S., Park, H.-H., Sun, K., Gwon, Y., Seong, M., Kim, S., et al. (2019). Hydrogel Nanospike Patch as a Flexible Anti-pathogenic Scaffold for Regulating Stem Cell Behavior. ACS Nano 13 (10), 11181–11193. doi:10.1021/acsnano.9b04109
- Parry, M. C., and Duncan, C. P. (2014). The challenge of Methicillin Resistant Staphylococcal Infection after Total Hip Replacement. *Bone Jt. J.* 96-B (11 Suppl. A), 60–65. doi:10.1302/0301-620X.96B11.34333
- Patron, R. L., Climo, M. W., Goldstein, B. P., and Archer, G. L. (1999). Lysostaphin Treatment of Experimental Aortic Valve Endocarditis Caused by a *Staphylococcus aureus* Isolate with Reduced Susceptibility to Vancomycin. *Antimicrob. Agents Chemother.* 43 (7), 1754–1755. doi:10.1128/AAC.43.7.1754
- Pedroza-González, S. C., Rodriguez-Salvador, M., Pérez Benítez, B. E., Alvarez, M. M., and Trujillo-de Santiago, G. (2021). Bioinks for 3D Bioprinting: A Scientometric Analysis of Two Decades of Progress. *Int. J. Bioprint* 7 (2), 333. doi:10.18063/ijb.v7i2.337
- Peng, K.-T., Chen, C.-F., Chu, I.-M., Li, Y.-M., Hsu, W.-H., Hsu, R. W.-W., et al. (2010). Treatment of Osteomyelitis with Teicoplanin-Encapsulated

Biodegradable Thermosensitive Hydrogel Nanoparticles. *Biomaterials* 31 (19), 5227–5236. doi:10.1016/j.biomaterials.2010.03.027

- Penn-Barwell, J. G., Baker, B., and Wenke, J. C. (2015). Local Bismuth Thiols Potentiate Antibiotics and Reduce Infection in a Contaminated Open Fracture Model. J. Orthop. Trauma 29 (2), e73–e78. doi:10.1097/BOT. 000000000000171
- Petrovic Fabijan, A., Lin, R. C. Y., Ho, J., Maddocks, S., Ben Zakour, N. L., Iredell, J. R., et al. (2020). Safety of Bacteriophage Therapy in Severe Staphylococcus aureus Infection. Nat. Microbiol. 5 (3), 465–472. doi:10.1038/s41564-019-0634-z
- Pitarresi, G., Palumbo, F. S., Calascibetta, F., Fiorica, C., Di Stefano, M., and Giammona, G. (2013). Medicated Hydrogels of Hyaluronic Acid Derivatives for Use in Orthopedic Field. *Int. J. Pharmaceutics* 449 (1-2), 84–94. doi:10.1016/j. ijpharm.2013.03.059
- Pogodin, S., Hasan, J., Baulin, V. A., Webb, H. K., Truong, V. K., Phong Nguyen, T. H., et al. (2013). Biophysical Model of Bacterial Cell Interactions with Nanopatterned Cicada wing Surfaces. *Biophysical J.* 104 (4), 835–840. doi:10.1016/j.bpj.2012.12.046
- Poudineh, M., Wang, Z., Labib, M., Ahmadi, M., Zhang, L., Das, J., et al. (2018). Three-Dimensional Nanostructured Architectures Enable Efficient Neural Differentiation of Mesenchymal Stem Cells via Mechanotransduction. *Nano Lett.* 18 (11), 7188–7193. doi:10.1021/acs.nanolett.8b03313
- Prokopovich, P., Perni, R., Leech, R., Carmalt, I. P., and Parkin, S. (2013). A Novel Bone Cement Impregnated with Silver–tiopronin Nanoparticles: its Antimicrobial, Cytotoxic, and Mechanical Properties. *Ijn* 8, 2227–2237. doi:10.2147/IJN.S42822
- Pulido, L., Ghanem, E., Joshi, A., Purtill, J. J., and Parvizi, J. (2008). Periprosthetic Joint Infection: the Incidence, Timing, and Predisposing Factors. *Clin. Orthop. Relat. Res.* 466 (7), 1710–1715. doi:10.1007/s11999-008-0209-4
- Putnam, N. E., Fulbright, L. E., Curry, J. M., Ford, C. A., Petronglo, J. R., Hendrix, A. S., et al. (2019). MyD88 and IL-1R Signaling Drive Antibacterial Immunity and Osteoclast-Driven Bone Loss during *Staphylococcus aureus* Osteomyelitis. *Plos Pathog.* 15 (4), e1007744. doi:10.1371/journal.ppat.1007744
- Raafat, D., von Bargen, K., Haas, A., and Sahl, H.-G. (2008). Insights into the Mode of Action of Chitosan as an Antibacterial Compound. *Appl. Environ. Microbiol.* 74 (12), 3764–3773. doi:10.1128/AEM.00453-08
- Radovic-Moreno, A. F., Lu, T. K., Puscasu, V. A., Yoon, C. J., Langer, R., and Farokhzad, O. C. (2012). Surface Charge-Switching Polymeric Nanoparticles for Bacterial Cell wall-targeted Delivery of Antibiotics. ACS Nano 6 (5), 4279–4287. doi:10.1021/nn3008383
- Redlich, K., and Smolen, J. S. (2012). Inflammatory Bone Loss: Pathogenesis and Therapeutic Intervention. *Nat. Rev. Drug Discov.* 11 (3), 234–250. doi:10.1038/ nrd3669
- Rhoads, D. D., Wolcott, R. D., Kuskowski, M. A., Wolcott, B. M., Ward, L. S., and Sulakvelidze, A. (2009). Bacteriophage Therapy of Venous Leg Ulcers in Humans: Results of a Phase I Safety Trial. J. Wound Care 18 (6), 237–243. doi:10.12968/jowc.2009.18.6.42801
- Ricciardi, B. F., Muthukrishnan, G., Masters, E., Ninomiya, M., Lee, C. C., and Schwarz, E. M. (2018). *Staphylococcus aureus* Evasion of Host Immunity in the Setting of Prosthetic Joint Infection: Biofilm and beyond. *Curr. Rev. Musculoskelet. Med.* 11 (3), 389–400. doi:10.1007/s12178-018-9501-4
- Roca, I., Akova, M., Baquero, F., Carlet, J., Cavaleri, M., Coenen, S., et al. (2015). The Global Threat of Antimicrobial Resistance: Science for Intervention. New Microbes and New Infections 6, 22–29. doi:10.1016/j.nmni.2015.02.007
- Romanò, C. L., Logoluso, N., Meani, E., Romanò, D., De Vecchi, E., Vassena, C., et al. (2014). A Comparative Study of the Use of Bioactive Glass S53P4 and Antibiotic-Loaded Calcium-Based Bone Substitutes in the Treatment of Chronic Osteomyelitis. *Bone Jt. J.* 96-B (6), 845–850. doi:10.1302/0301-620X.96B6.33014
- Rouha, H., Badarau, A., Visram, Z. C., Battles, M. B., Prinz, B., Magyarics, Z., et al. (2015). Five Birds, One Stone: Neutralization of α-hemolysin and 4 Bicomponent Leukocidins of *Staphylococcus aureus* with a Single Human Monoclonal Antibody. *MAbs* 7 (1), 243–254. doi:10.4161/19420862.2014. 985132
- Ruan, H., Yu, Y., Liu, Y., Ding, X., Guo, X., and Jiang, Q. (2016). Preparation and Characteristics of Thermoresponsive Gel of Minocycline Hydrochloride and Evaluation of its Effect on Experimental Periodontitis Models. *Drug Deliv.* 23 (2), 525–531. doi:10.3109/10717544.2014.929195

- Rupprecht, S., Petrovic, L., Burchhardt, B., Wiltfang, J., Neukam, F. W., and Schlegel, K. A. (2007). Antibiotic-containing Collagen for the Treatment of Bone Defects. J. Biomed. Mater. Res. 83B (2), 314–319. doi:10.1002/jbm.b. 30797
- Sarigol-Calamak, E., and Hascicek, C. (2018). Tissue Scaffolds as a Local Drug Delivery System for Bone Regeneration. Adv. Exp. Med. Biol. 1078, 475–493. doi:10.1007/978-981-13-0950-2_25
- Sarker, S. A., McCallin, S., Barretto, C., Berger, B., Pittet, A.-C., Sultana, S., et al. (2012). Oral T4-like Phage Cocktail Application to Healthy Adult Volunteers from Bangladesh. *Virology* 434 (2), 222–232. doi:10.1016/j.virol.2012.09.002
- Savage, V. J., Chopra, I., and O'Neill, A. J. (2013). Staphylococcus aureus Biofilms Promote Horizontal Transfer of Antibiotic Resistance. Antimicrob. Agents Chemother. 57 (4), 1968–1970. doi:10.1128/AAC.02008-12
- Schindler, C. A., and Schuhardt, V. T. (1964). Lysostaphin: A New Bacteriolytic Agent for the Staphylococcus. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U.S.A. 51, 414–421. doi:10. 1073/pnas.51.3.414
- Schwarz, E. M., Parvizi, J., Gehrke, T., Aiyer, A., Battenberg, A., Brown, S. A., et al. (2019). 2018 International Consensus Meeting on Musculoskeletal Infection: Research Priorities from the General Assembly Questions. J. Orthop. Res. 37 (5), 997–1006. doi:10.1002/jor.24293
- Sedghizadeh, P. P., Sun, S., Junka, A. F., Richard, E., Sadrerafi, K., Mahabady, S., et al. (2017). Design, Synthesis, and Antimicrobial Evaluation of a Novel Bone-Targeting Bisphosphonate-Ciprofloxacin Conjugate for the Treatment of Osteomyelitis Biofilms. J. Med. Chem. 60 (6), 2326–2343. doi:10.1021/acs. jmedchem.6b01615
- Sendi, P., Rohrbach, M., Graber, P., Frei, R., Ochsner, P. E., and Zimmerli, W. (2006). Staphylococcus aureusSmall Colony Variants in Prosthetic Joint Infection. *Clin. Infect. Dis.* 43 (8), 961–967. doi:10.1086/507633
- Shekaran, A., García, J. R., Clark, A. Y., Kavanaugh, T. E., Lin, A. S., Guldberg, R. E., et al. (2014). Bone Regeneration Using an Alpha 2 Beta 1 Integrin-specific Hydrogel as a BMP-2 Delivery Vehicle. *Biomaterials* 35 (21), 5453–5461. doi:10.1016/j.biomaterials.2014.03.055
- Sileika, T. S., Kim, H.-D., Maniak, P., and Messersmith, P. B. (2011). Antibacterial Performance of Polydopamine-Modified Polymer Surfaces Containing Passive and Active Components. ACS Appl. Mater. Inter. 3 (12), 4602–4610. doi:10. 1021/am200978h
- Silverman, L. D., Lukashova, L., Herman, O. T., Lane, J. M., and Boskey, A. L. (2007). Release of Gentamicin from a Tricalcium Phosphate Bone Implant. J. Orthop. Res. 25 (1), 23–29. doi:10.1002/jor.20284
- Slaughter, B. V., Khurshid, S. S., Fisher, O. Z., Khademhosseini, A., and Peppas, N. A. (2009). Hydrogels in Regenerative Medicine. *Adv. Mater.* 21 (32-33), 3307–3329. doi:10.1002/adma.200802106
- Spicer, P. P., Shah, S. R., Henslee, A. M., Watson, B. M., Kinard, L. A., Kretlow, J. D., et al. (2013). Evaluation of Antibiotic Releasing Porous Polymethylmethacrylate Space Maintainers in an Infected Composite Tissue Defect Model. *Acta Biomater*. 9 (11), 8832–8839. doi:10.1016/j.actbio.2013. 07.018
- Sun, C.-K., Ke, C.-J., Lin, Y.-W., Lin, F.-H., Tsai, T.-H., and Sun, J.-S. (2021). Transglutaminase Cross-Linked Gelatin-Alginate-Antibacterial Hydrogel as the Drug Delivery-Coatings for Implant-Related Infections. *Polymers* 13 (3), 414. doi:10.3390/polym13030414
- Sun, K., Lin, H., Tang, Y., Xiang, S., Xue, J., Yin, W., et al. (2020). Injectable BMP-2 Gene-Activated Scaffold for the Repair of Cranial Bone Defect in Mice. *Stem Cell Transl Med* 9 (12), 1631–1642. doi:10.1002/sctm.19-0315
- Suzuki, A., Terai, H., Toyoda, H., Namikawa, T., Yokota, Y., Tsunoda, T., et al. (2006). A Biodegradable Delivery System for Antibiotics and Recombinant Human Bone Morphogenetic Protein-2: A Potential Treatment for Infected Bone Defects. J. Orthop. Res. 24 (3), 327–332. doi:10.1002/jor.20049
- Sweere, J. M., Van Belleghem, J. D., Ishak, H., Bach, M. S., Popescu, M., Sunkari, V., et al. (2019). Bacteriophage Trigger Antiviral Immunity and Prevent Clearance of Bacterial Infection. *Science* 363 (6434). doi:10.1126/science.aat9691
- Tan, H., Ma, R., Lin, C., Liu, Z., and Tang, T. (2013). Quaternized Chitosan as an Antimicrobial Agent: Antimicrobial Activity, Mechanism of Action and Biomedical Applications in Orthopedics. *Ijms* 14 (1), 1854–1869. doi:10. 3390/ijms14011854
- Tao, F., Cheng, Y., Shi, X., Zheng, H., Du, Y., Xiang, W., et al. (2020). Applications of Chitin and Chitosan Nanofibers in Bone Regenerative Engineering. *Carbohydr. Polym.* 230, 115658. doi:10.1016/j.carbpol.2019.115658

- Tao, F., Ma, S., Tao, H., Jin, L., Luo, Y., Zheng, J., et al. (2021). Chitosan-based Drug Delivery Systems: From Synthesis Strategy to Osteomyelitis Treatment - A Review. Carbohydr. Polym. 251, 117063. doi:10.1016/j.carbpol.2020.117063
- Tao, J., Zhang, Y., Shen, A., Yang, Y., Diao, L., Wang, L., et al. (2020). Injectable Chitosan-Based Thermosensitive Hydrogel/Nanoparticle-Loaded System for Local Delivery of Vancomycin in the Treatment of Osteomyelitis. *Ijn* Vol. 15, 5855–5871. doi:10.2147/IJN.S247088
- Teo, E. Y., Ong, S.-Y., Khoon Chong, M. S., Zhang, Z., Lu, J., Moochhala, S., et al. (2011). Polycaprolactone-based Fused Deposition Modeled Mesh for Delivery of Antibacterial Agents to Infected Wounds. *Biomaterials* 32 (1), 279–287. doi:10.1016/j.biomaterials.2010.08.089
- Ter Boo, G.-J. A., Arens, D., Metsemakers, W.-J., Zeiter, S., Richards, R. G., Grijpma, D. W., et al. (2016). Injectable Gentamicin-Loaded Thermo-Responsive Hyaluronic Acid Derivative Prevents Infection in a Rabbit Model. Acta Biomater. 43, 185–194. doi:10.1016/j.actbio.2016.07.029
- Ter Boo, G.-J. A., Grijpma, D. W., Moriarty, T. F., Richards, R. G., and Eglin, D. (2015). Antimicrobial Delivery Systems for Local Infection Prophylaxis in Orthopedic- and Trauma Surgery. *Biomaterials* 52, 113–125. doi:10.1016/j. biomaterials.2015.02.020
- Ter Boo, G.-J., Schmid, T., Schmid, T., Zderic, I., Nehrbass, D., Camenisch, K., et al. (2018). Local Application of a Gentamicin-Loaded Thermo-Responsive Hydrogel Allows for Fracture Healing upon Clearance of a High Staphylococcus aureus Load in a Rabbit Model. eCM 35, 151–164. doi:10. 22203/eCM.v035a11
- Thammavongsa, V., Rauch, S., Kim, H. K., Missiakas, D. M., and Schneewind, O. (2015). Protein A-Neutralizing Monoclonal Antibody Protects Neonatal Mice against *Staphylococcus aureus*. *Vaccine* 33 (4), 523–526. doi:10.1016/j.vaccine. 2014.11.051
- Thomas, D., O'Brien, T., and Pandit, A. (2018). Toward Customized Extracellular Niche Engineering: Progress in Cell-Entrapment Technologies. *Adv. Mater.* 30 (1), 1703948. doi:10.1002/adma.201703948
- Trombetta, R. P., Dunman, P. M., Schwarz, E. M., Kates, S. L., and Awad, H. A. (2018). A High-Throughput Screening Approach to Repurpose FDA-Approved Drugs for Bactericidal Applications against *Staphylococcus aureus* Small-Colony Variants. *mSphere* 3 (5), e00422–18. doi:10.1128/mSphere.00422-18
- Tuchscherr, L., Heitmann, V., Hussain, M., Viemann, D., Roth, J., von Eiff, C., et al. (2010). Staphylococcus aureusSmall-Colony Variants Are Adapted Phenotypes for Intracellular Persistence. J. Infect. Dis. 202 (7), 1031–1040. doi:10.1086/ 656047
- Vallejo Diaz, A., Deimling, C., Morgenstern, M., D'Este, M., Puetzler, J., Zeiter, S., et al. (2020). Local Application of a Gentamicin-Loaded Hydrogel Early after Injury Is Superior to Perioperative Systemic Prophylaxis in a Rabbit Open Fracture Model. J. Orthop. Trauma 34 (5), 231–237. doi:10.1097/BOT. 000000000001707
- Varshney, A. K., Kuzmicheva, G. A., Lin, J., Sunley, K. M., Bowling, R. A., Jr., Kwan, T.-Y., et al. (2018). A Natural Human Monoclonal Antibody Targeting Staphylococcus Protein A Protects against *Staphylococcus aureus* Bacteremia. *PLoS One* 13 (1), e0190537. doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0190537
- Wafa, H., Grimer, R. J., Reddy, K., Jeys, L., Abudu, A., Carter, S. R., et al. (2015). Retrospective Evaluation of the Incidence of Early Periprosthetic Infection with Silver-Treated Endoprostheses in High-Risk Patients. *Bone Jt. J.* 97-B (2), 252–257. doi:10.1302/0301-620X.97B2.34554
- Walter, G., Kemmerer, M., Kappler, C., and Hoffmann, R. (2012). Treatment Algorithms for Chronic Osteomyelitis. *Dtsch Arztebl Int.* 109 (14), 257–264. doi:10.3238/arztebl.2012.0257
- Wang, M., and Tang, T. (2019). Surface Treatment Strategies to Combat Implant-Related Infection from the Beginning. J. Orthopaedic Translation 17, 42–54. doi:10.1016/j.jot.2018.09.001
- Wang, T., Liu, X., Zhu, Y., Cui, Z. D., Yang, X. J., Pan, H., et al. (2017). Metal Ion Coordination Polymer-Capped pH-Triggered Drug Release System on Titania Nanotubes for Enhancing Self-Antibacterial Capability of Ti Implants. ACS Biomater. Sci. Eng. 3 (5), 816–825. doi:10.1021/acsbiomaterials.7b00103
- Weber, F. A., and Lautenbach, E. E. G. (1986). Revision of Infected Total Hip Arthroplasty. Clin. Orthopaedics Relat. Res. 211, 108–115. doi:10.1097/ 00003086-198610000-00015
- Wolcott, R. D., Rhoads, D. D., and Dowd, S. E. (2008). Biofilms and Chronic Wound Inflammation. J. Wound Care 17 (8), 333–341. doi:10.12968/jowc.2008. 17.8.30796

- Wroe, J. A., Johnson, C. T., and García, A. J. (2020). Bacteriophage Delivering Hydrogels Reduce Biofilm Formation In Vitro and Infection In Vivo. J. Biomed. Mater. Res. 108 (1), 39–49. doi:10.1002/jbm.a.36790
- Wu, J. A., Kusuma, C., Mond, J. J., and Kokai-Kun, J. F. (2003). Lysostaphin Disrupts *Staphylococcus aureus* and Staphylococcus Epidermidis Biofilms on Artificial Surfaces. *Antimicrob. Agents Chemother.* 47 (11), 3407–3414. doi:10. 1128/AAC.47.11.3407-3414.2003
- Wu, T., Zhang, Q., Ren, W., Yi, X., Zhou, Z., Peng, X., et al. (2013). Controlled Release of Gentamicin from Gelatin/genipin Reinforced Beta-Tricalcium Phosphate Scaffold for the Treatment of Osteomyelitis. J. Mater. Chem. B 1 (26), 3304–3313. doi:10.1039/c3tb20261e
- Xiu, Z.-M., Ma, J., and Alvarez, P. J. J. (2011). Differential Effect of Common Ligands and Molecular Oxygen on Antimicrobial Activity of Silver Nanoparticles versus Silver Ions. *Environ. Sci. Technol.* 45 (20), 9003–9008. doi:10.1021/es201918f
- Xu, H., Zhang, G., Xu, K., Wang, L., Yu, L., Xing, M. M. Q., et al. (2018). Musselinspired Dual-Functional PEG Hydrogel Inducing Mineralization and Inhibiting Infection in Maxillary Bone Reconstruction. *Mater. Sci. Eng. C* 90, 379–386. doi:10.1016/j.msec.2018.04.066
- Yang, G., Huang, T., Wang, Y., Wang, H., Li, Y., Yu, K., et al. (2018). Sustained Release of Antimicrobial Peptide from Self-Assembling Hydrogel Enhanced Osteogenesis. J. Biomater. Sci. Polym. Edition 29 (15), 1812–1824. doi:10.1080/ 09205063.2018.1504191
- Yang, T., Zelikin, A. N., and Chandrawati, R. (2018). Progress and Promise of Nitric Oxide-Releasing Platforms. Adv. Sci. 5 (6), 1701043. doi:10.1002/advs. 201701043
- Yilmaz, C., Colak, M., Yilmaz, B. C., Ersoz, G., Kutateladze, M., and Gozlugol, M. (2013). Bacteriophage Therapy in Implant-Related Infections. *The J. Bone Jt. Surgery-American Volume* 95 (2), 117–125. doi:10.2106/JBJS.K.01135
- Yoshii, E. (1997). Cytotoxic Effects of Acrylates and Methacrylates: Relationships of Monomer Structures and Cytotoxicity. J. Biomed. Mater. Res. 37 (4), 517–524. doi:10.1002/(sici)1097-4636(19971215)37:4<517::aid-jbm10>3.0.co; 2-5
- You, F., Eames, B. F., and Chen, X. (2017). Application of Extrusion-Based Hydrogel Bioprinting for Cartilage Tissue Engineering. *Ijms* 18 (7), 1597. doi:10.3390/ijms18071597

- Zasloff, M. (2002). Antimicrobial Peptides of Multicellular Organisms. *Nature* 415 (6870), 389–395. doi:10.1038/415389a
- Zhang, L., Gu, F., Chan, J., Wang, A., Langer, R., and Farokhzad, O. (2008). Nanoparticles in Medicine: Therapeutic Applications and Developments. *Clin. Pharmacol. Ther.* 83 (5), 761–769. doi:10.1038/sj.clpt.6100400
- Zhang, Y., Zheng, Y., Shu, F., Zhou, R., Bao, B., Xiao, S., et al. (2022). In Situ-formed Adhesive Hyaluronic Acid Hydrogel with Prolonged Amnion-Derived Conditioned Medium Release for Diabetic Wound Repair. *Carbohydr. Polym.* 276, 118752. doi:10.1016/j.carbpol.2021.118752
- Zhou, Y.-Z., Cao, Y., Liu, W., Chu, C. H., and Li, Q.-L. (2012). Polydopamineinduced Tooth Remineralization. ACS Appl. Mater. Inter. 4 (12), 6901–6910. doi:10.1021/am302041b
- Zoccali, C., Scoccianti, G., Biagini, R., Daolio, P. A., Giardina, F. L., and Campanacci, D. A. (2021). Antibacterial Hydrogel Coating in Joint Mega-Prosthesis: Results of a Comparative Series. *Eur. J. Orthop. Surg. Traumatol.* 31 (8), 1647–1655. doi:10.1007/s00590-021-02884-7
- Zununi Vahed, S., Salehi, R., Davaran, S., and Sharifi, S. (2017). Liposome-based Drug Co-delivery Systems in Cancer Cells. *Mater. Sci. Eng. C* 71, 1327–1341. doi:10.1016/j.msec.2016.11.073

Conflict of Interest: The authors declare that the research was conducted in the absence of any commercial or financial relationships that could be construed as a potential conflict of interest.

Publisher's Note: All claims expressed in this article are solely those of the authors and do not necessarily represent those of their affiliated organizations, or those of the publisher, the editors and the reviewers. Any product that may be evaluated in this article, or claim that may be made by its manufacturer, is not guaranteed or endorsed by the publisher.

Copyright © 2022 Xin, Gao and Yue. This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (CC BY). The use, distribution or reproduction in other forums is permitted, provided the original author(s) and the copyright owner(s) are credited and that the original publication in this journal is cited, in accordance with accepted academic practice. No use, distribution or reproduction is permitted which does not comply with these terms.