## Perioperative provider safety in the pandemic: Development, implementation and evaluation of an adjunct COVID-19 Surgical Patient Checklist

Anaesthesia and Intensive Care 2022, Vol. 50(6) 457–467

© The Author(s) 2022



Article reuse guidelines: sagepub.com/journals-permissions DOI: 10.1177/0310057X221092455 journals.sagepub.com/home/aic



Nichole E Starr<sup>1,2</sup>, Jolene N Moore<sup>3,4</sup>, Constance S Harrell Shreckengost<sup>2,5</sup>, Katie Fernandez<sup>2</sup>, Reshma P Ambulkar<sup>6</sup>, Nina Capo-Chichi<sup>7</sup>, John E Varallo<sup>8</sup>, Adesoji O Ademuyiwa<sup>9</sup>, Sophallyda Krouch<sup>10</sup>, Pankaj Singh Rana<sup>6</sup>, JC Allen Ingabire<sup>11</sup>, Thomas G Weiser<sup>2,12,13</sup>, Tihitena Negussie Mammo<sup>2,14</sup> and Faye M Evans<sup>2,4,7,15,16</sup>

## **Abstract**

The COVID-19 pandemic has strained surgical systems worldwide and placed healthcare providers at risk in their workplace. To protect surgical care providers caring for patients with COVID-19, in May 2020 we developed a COVID-19 Surgical Patient Checklist (C19 SPC), including online training materials, to accompany the World Health Organization Surgical Safety Checklist. In October 2020, an online survey was conducted via partner and social media networks to understand perioperative clinicians' intraoperative practice and perceptions of safety while caring for COVID-19 positive patients and gain feedback on the utility of C19 SPC. Descriptive statistics were used to characterise responses by World Bank income classification. Qualitative analysis was performed to describe respondents' perceptions of C19 SPC and recommended modifications. Respondents included 539 perioperative clinicians from 63 countries. One-third of respondents reported feeling unsafe in their workplace due to COVID-19 with significantly higher proportions in low (39.8%) and lower-middle (33.9%) than higher income countries (15.6%). The most cited concern was the risk of COVID-19 transmission to self, colleagues and family. A large proportion of respondents (65.3%) reported that they had not used C19 SPC, yet 83.8% of these respondents felt it would be useful. Of those who reported that they had used C19 SPC, 62.0% stated feeling safer in the workplace because of its use. Based on survey results, modifications were incorporated into a subsequent version. Our survey findings suggest that perioperative clinicians report feeling unsafe at work during the COVID-19 pandemic. In addition, adjunct tools such as the C19 SPC can help to improve perceived safety.

## Corresponding author:

Nichole Starr, Department of Surgery, UCSF, 505 Parnassus Ave. S-321, San Francisco, CA, 94143, USA. Email: Nichole.Starr@ucsf.edu

 $<sup>^{\</sup>rm I}$  Department of Surgery, University of California San Francisco, San Francisco, CA, USA

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup>Lifebox Foundation, London, UK

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup>School of Medicine, Medical Sciences and Nutrition, University of Aberdeen, Aberdeen, UK

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>4</sup>World Federation of Societies of Anaesthesiologists, London, UK <sup>5</sup>Department of Surgery, Emory University School of Medicine, Atlanta,

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>6</sup>Department of Anaesthesia, Critical Care and Pain, Tata Memorial Centre, Homi Bhabha National Institute, Mumbai, Maharashtra, India <sup>7</sup>Smile Train, New York, NY, USA

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>8</sup>JHPIEGO, Baltimore, MD, USA

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>9</sup>Department of Surgery, Faculty of Clinical Sciences, University of Lagos College of Medicine, Lagos, Nigeria

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>10</sup>Department of Anesthesia, Kampot Provincial Referral Hospital, Kampot, Cambodia

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>11</sup>Department of Surgery, University Teaching Hospital of Kigali, University of Rwanda, Kigali, Rwanda

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>12</sup>Department of Surgery, Stanford University School of Medicine, Stanford, CA, USA

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>13</sup>University of Edinburgh, Department of Clinical Surgery, Edinburgh, UK <sup>14</sup>Addis Ababa University, Addis Ababa, Ethiopia

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>15</sup>Department of Anesthesiology, Critical Care and Pain Medicine Boston Children's Hospital, Boston, MA, USA

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>16</sup>Harvard Medical School, 25 Shattuck St., Boston, USA

## **Keywords**

Anaesthesia safety, checklist, COVID-19, Low- and Middle-Income Country (LMIC), surgical safety

## Introduction

The strain placed on surgical systems by the COVID-19 pandemic has been profound. It has resulted in cancellations of elective operations and delays in emergency and essential surgical care in an already fragile ecosystem. Surgical systems have rapidly adapted to provide ongoing access to emergency and essential surgical care, while ensuring patient and provider safety. However, the risk to frontline healthcare workers during this pandemic is substantial, and systemic, infrastructure and resource constraints pose huge challenges. <sup>2–5</sup>

To address some of the safety concerns imposed by the pandemic, perioperative care providers mobilised to provide guidance on operating room conduct. <sup>6–13</sup> Practically, introducing new guidelines during a viral pandemic is difficult. While intended to be helpful, they may add a cognitive load to already stressed clinicians. This can result in implementation of COVID-19 infection prevention practices with variable fidelity.

In the early weeks of the pandemic, Lifebox (https:// lifebox.org), in collaboration with the Federation of Societies of Anaesthesiologists (WFSA) (https://wfsahq.org) and Smile Train (https://www.smi letrain.org), developed a COVID-19 Surgical Patient Checklist (C19 SPC) (Figure 1).<sup>14</sup> This perioperative safety tool was designed to aid surgical teams in coordinating new behaviours when caring for COVID-19 surgical patients and meant to be used in conjunction with the World Health Organization Surgical Safety Checklist (WHO SSCL). It was developed through a consultative process that included review of available best practice evidence, recommendations by reputable international organisations and perioperative clinician consensus with feedback from partners across several high- (HIC), middle- and low-income (LIC) countries. The goal was to promote recommended practice for operating room behaviours that reduce the risk of SARS-CoV-2 transmission to perioperative care providers. The checklist also incorporated a resource page for rapid access to information on aerosolgenerating procedures, mitigation strategies, personal protective equipment (PPE) use, donning and doffing, and on decontamination and reuse of anaesthesia equipment where absolutely necessary. 15-20

The C19 SPC was disseminated through partner and social media networks beginning in May 2020. To

accompany this distribution, a package of training materials was created by Lifebox and Jhpiego (Johns Hopkins Program for International Education in Gynecology and Obstetrics) (https://www.jhpiego.org) and is hosted online (https://www.lifeboxlearningnet work.com/course?courseid=covidspc). In addition to the online training resources, from May 2020 to March 2021 Lifebox, Smile Train and Jhpiego delivered eight Training of Trainer sessions to partner institutions via Zoom. Trained trainers reported delivering an additional seven training sessions locally in person.

While consensus recommendations for theoretical adaptation of the WHO SSCL during the COVID-19 pandemic have been previously published,<sup>21</sup> actual implementations of these recommendations have not been studied. The aim of this evaluation was to use a structured approach to better understand perioperative clinicians' intraoperative practice and perceptions of safety while managing COVID-19 positive or suspected patients undergoing surgery. We also wanted to gain feedback on the utility of the C19 SPC to help inform future modifications.

## **Methods**

## Survey design

Institutional Review Board exemption was obtained from Boston Children's Hospital (20 July 2020). An online cross-sectional survey was created to evaluate the use of the C19 SPC by perioperative providers when caring for COVID-19 positive or suspected patients undergoing surgery. To ensure crossdisciplinary and broad geographic input and content validity, a questionnaire was developed by representatives from anaesthesia, surgery and nursing disciplines from Ethiopia, India, Cambodia, Nigeria, Rwanda, the United States and the United Kingdom. In addition to basic demographics, the questionnaire included questions on the use of the C19 SPC, associated training, most and least useful aspects of the C19 SPC, recommended modifications, and perceptions of safety during the COVID-19 pandemic. Free text responses were incorporated to obtain qualitative data regarding key concerns of survey respondents when managing COVID-19 positive patients, the impact of the C19 SPC utilisation on operating room practice, any challenges in its use and their reasoning for any changes in

lifebo <b>x</b>	COVID-19 Surgical Patient Checklist* To minimize healthcare provider exposure when operating on COVID+ or suspected patient	cted patient WFSA
Preoperative	Intraoperative	Postoperative
Team Briefing To entire OR team: To entire OR team: What is COVID status of patient? What are the anesthesia & surgical plans? Identify additional staff member assigned to remain outside OR and deliver supplies [OR runner] Is the recovery plan and location confirmed? Setup To Nurse: Is covID notification sign on door? Is per available? Is non-essential equipment removed from OR? Is non-essential equipment removed from OR? Is there a dedicated tray for contaminated items? Before Patient Transport to OR To Nurse, Anesthesia Provider & OR runner: Confirm staff don PPE prior to patient pickup Is surgical mask for patient available for transport? Stretcher cleaned after patient transfer?	Before Induction of Anesthesia To Nurse & Anesthesia Provider  Perform WHO Surgical Safety Checklist Sign In* Confirm all 08 staff wearing appropriate PPE  I SOR runner present outside OR? Will aerosol generating procedure be performed? If YES.  Confirm sateps to minimize aerosol generation* If NO: Confirm patient will wear surgical mask during case Before Skin Incision To Surgeon, Nurse, Anesthesia Provider: Is the surgery aerosol generating?* If YES, confirm steps to minimize aerosol generation  End of Case To Surgeon, Nurse, Anesthesia Provider: Perform WHO Surgical Safety Checklist Sign Out* Will patient be extubated?  If YES, Plan and steps to minimize aerosol generation*  Mon-essential personnel leave OR Confirm OR runner remains outside OR Will the patient remain intubated?  If YES, Has ICU been notified?	Before Patient Transport from OR  To Anesthesia Provider & Nurse  Confirm recovey location  Is swigcal mask on patient?  Are unused medication vials wiped with 70% alcohol** or disposed of?  Reminder to keep PPE on until transfer complete  After Patient Transport: Operating Room Oisinfection  To Nurse:  Is COVID notification sign still on OR door?  Have cleaning staff been notified to clean COVID OR?  WAIT ONE HOUR AFTER EXTUBATION  TO CLEAN OPERATING ROOM***

Figure 1. COVID-19 Surgical Patient Checklist, updated February 2021.

Developed by Lifebox, the World Federation of Societies of Anaesthesiogists, and Smile Train. Please feel free to adapt for local context. Questions? covid@lifebox.org



# COVID-19 Surgical Patient Checklist\*

To minimize healthcare provider exposure when operating on COVID+ or suspected patient



WFSA WFSA



# ADDITIONAL INFORMATION FOR USERS

PPE FOR PERIOPERATIVE STAFF

1. AEROSOL GENERATING PROCEDURES

Manual ventilation with bag-valve-mask

Intubation & extubation

Open suctioning of respiratory tract

Sputum induction

## FOR DONNING AND DOFFING:

- Coach should be present to observe during training
- Colleagues should observe each other during practice Perform hand hygiene if contaminated at any step
  - Hand hygiene can be performed over gloves to cor

# NON-STERILE PPE DONNING FOR COVID+ OR

- Perform hand hygiene
- Don N95 mask, place upper strap first, perform seal check Don head covering
  - Cover N95 mask with surgical mask if reusing N95
    - Don eye protection/face shield

High-flow nasal oxygen (HFNO) administration

Nebulized medication administration\*

Some dental procedures e.g., drilling

Use of high-speed surgical devices, autopsy

Non-invasive ventilation (BiPAP, CPAP)

Bronchoscopy, tracheostomy, en Cardiopulmonary resuscitation

Data are still limited on whether these are AGPs

TO MINIMIZE AEROSOL GENERATION

- Don gown
- Don gloves

For sterile donning, masks, headwear, and eye protection are donned first then a surgical scrub is performed. Sterile gown and gloves are donned after scrub.

## PPE DOFFING FOR COVID+ OR

H

Minimize manual ventilation and use rapid se

Preoxygenate and maintain low oxygen flows

if general anesthesia is required:

condition and situation.

- Remove gown, starting with untying the back Remove eye protection/face shield
- Remove N95, starting with lower strap

Remove surgical mask starting with lower ties

Only essential airway personnel in OR during intubation. Others

may enter after intubation is complete

Place viral filter between patient & circuit elbow

Use inline ETT suction if available

Cuffed ETT is preferred to mi

when possible

Use viral filter (HEPA, HMEF or equivalent) to protect against Leave viral filter on ETT when disconnecting from anesthesia

COVID exposure (HME filter not protective)

## WHY SHOULD STAFF IN THE OR WEAR N95s? Perform hand hygiene & change scrubs

- OR procedures have higher risk of aerosol generation & ongoing ssolization in OR can occur during case
- ORs may lack an anesthesia scavenging system and/or a viral filter
- Aerosols can take over one hour to clear a room

# 3. CLEANING & DECONTAMINATION

## DECONTAMINATION of OR SURFACES

machine, equipment, stools) with 0.5% chlorine or 70% alcohol Wipe patient trolley & all OR surfaces (OR table, anesthesia Clean floor with 0.5% chlorine

# DECONTAMINATION & REUSE of ANESTHESIA MATERIALS

Do not reuse oxygen facemask, ETT, suction, or circuit tubing betweer

## ation. Follow the steps below to nate these items. \*\* patients without decontar

- 1. Brush under soap and water; clean internal and external portions
  - Dip in 70% ethyl alcohol solution or 0.5% chlorine
    - Rinse with clean water
    - Dry completely before next use

## VIRAL FILTERS & ANESTHESIA MACHINE

Filters may be transferred with patient but cannot be reprocessed or

- If viral filter is not used, anesthesia machine may be contaminated reused for a new patient

\*To be used in conjunction with WHO Surgical Safety Checklist. This checklist is not intended to be comprehensive. Additions and modifications to fit local practice are encouraged.

\*\*Cleaning and reuse of disposables during COVID-19 pandemic is not recommended if resources are adequate; these recommendations are for critical resource limitations only.

\*\*\*This refers to standard univentilated room. Time may vary depending on OR ventilation system.

Figure 1. Continued.

Table 1. Participant demographics and hospital characteristics.

Factor	Overall	Low-income	Lower-middle income	Upper-middle income	High-income	
N	539	98	277	132	32	P-value
Demographics						
Region						< 0.00 l
East Asia & Pacific	66 (12.2%)	0 (0.0%)	31 (11.2%)	33 (25.0%)	2 (6.2%)	
Europe & Central Asia	20 (3.7%)	0 (0.0%)	I (0.4%)	I (0.8%)	18 (56.2%)	
Latin America & Caribbean	127 (23.6%)	0 (0.0%)	29 (10.5%)	92 (69.7%)	6 (18.8%)	
Middle East & North Africa	2 (0.4%)	0 (0.0%)	I (0.4%)	I (0.8%)	0 (0.0%)	
South Asia	141 (26.2%)	3 (3.1%)	138 (49.8%)	0 (0.0%)	0 (0.0%)	
Sub-Saharan Africa	177 (32.8%)	95 (96.9%)	77 (27.8%)	5 (3.8%)	0 (0.0%)	
North America	6 (1.1%)	0 (0.0%)	0 (0.0%)	0 (0.0%)	6 (18.8%)	
Profession	, ,	, ,	, ,	, ,	, ,	< 0.001
Surgery	191 (35.4%)	46 (46.9%)	86 (31.0%)	55 (41.7%)	4 (12.5%)	
OB/Gyn	27 (5.1%)	15 (15.3%)	10 (3.6%)	I (0.8%)	I (3.1%)	
Anaesthesia	270 (50.1%)	30 (30.6%)	154 (55.6%)	61 (46.2%)	25 (78.1%)	
Nursing	28 (5.2%)	3 (3.1%)	20 (7.2%)	4 (3.0%)	I (3.1%)	
Administrator	2 (0.4%)	I (I.0%)	0 (0.0%)	I (0.8%)	0 (0.0%)	
Other	16 (3.0%)	I (I.0%)	7 (2.5%)	7 (5.3%)	I (3.1%)	
Hospital level	, ,	, ,	` ,	, ,	, ,	0.072
First level/district	46 (8.5%)	9 (9.2%)	31 (11.2%)	5 (3.8%)	I (3.1%)	
Second level/general	78 (14.5%)	18 (18.4%)	34 (12.3%)	21 (15.9%)	5 (15.6%)	
Third level/referral	298 (55.3%)	54 (55.1%)	136 (49.1%)	86 (65.2%)	22 (68.8%)	
Public/private	,	,	,	,	,	0.002
Public	186 (34.5%)	41 (41.8%)	70 (25.3%)	56 (42.4%)	19 (59.4%)	
Private	131 (24.3%)	16 (16.3%)	64 (23.1%)	48 (36.4%)	3 (9.4%)	
Hospital location	,	,	,	,	,	0.12
Urban	168 (31.2%)	32 (32.7%)	66 (23.8%)	57 (43.2%)	13 (40.6%)	
Semi-urban	28 (5.2%)	6 (6.1%)	13 (4.7%)	5 (3.8%)	4 (12.5%)	
Rural	14 (2.6%)	5 (5.1%)	8 (2.9%)	I (0.8%)	0 (0.0%)	
Designated COVID-19 care centre	112 (20.8%)	16 (16.3%)	46 (16.6%)	39 (29.5%)	11 (34.4%)	0.003

N = the absolute number of respondents indicating each choice; however, the associated percentages indicate the percentage of each overall group. OB/Gyn: obstetrics and gynaecology.

perception of safety when using the C19 SPC. Suggested modifications by respondents to the C19 SPC were also requested. The full survey tool is available in online supplementary materials.

## Survey administration

To receive broad geographic input, the questionnaire was translated into nine languages and an invitation to participate was distributed online via open dissemination through the Lifebox, Smile Train, Jhpiego and WFSA partner networks and social media over a one-month period in October 2020. These groups and local partners work collaboratively on initiatives to improve the safety of global surgery and anaesthesia. Their networks were used to distribute the questionnaire widely with the intention of findings being actionable in real time if training or other resource needs were identified. An individual's participation was voluntary and anonymous. The Survey Monkey website (Survey

Monkey, Palo Alto, CA, USA) was used for data collection. Survey responses were collected anonymously.

## Data analysis

Collected responses were exported to Microsoft Excel v.16.47 (Microsoft Corporation, Redmond, WA, USA). Quantitative data were analysed in Stata (15.1, Statacorp LLC, College Station, TX, USA) using descriptive statistics and chi-square, Kruskal–Wallis, analysis of variance and t-test where appropriate to compare groups, with alpha set at 0.05. Free-text response questions were not mandatory; however, all responses to free-text questions were included in the analysis. To identify emerging themes related to safety concerns, reasoning for altered safety perception, suggested modifications, challenges, and impact of C19 SPC utilisation, qualitative data derived from free-text responses were coded thematically by three members of the research team and a codebook inductively and

Table 2. COVID-19 Surgical Patient Checklist use and impact on perceived safety.

			Lower-middle	Upper-middle		
Factor	Overall	Low-income	income	income	High-income	
N	539	98	277	132	32	P-value
COVID-19 Surgical Patient Checkl	ist					
Cared for COVID-19 patients	269 (49.9%)	40 (40.8%)	131 (47.3%)	73 (55.3%)	25 (78.1%)	0.003
Heard of COVID-19 SPC	305 (56.6%)	48 (49.0%)	168 (60.6%)	72 (54.5%)	17 (53.1%)	0.04
Training provided on	244 (45.3%)	26 (26.5%)	124 (44.8%)	79 (59.9%)	15 (46.9%)	< 0.00 l
COVID-19 SPC						
Personally used COVID-19	187 (34.7%)	18 (18.4%)	104 (37.5%)	55 (41.7%)	10 (31.3%)	0.001
SPC	, ,	, ,	, ,	, ,	,	
COVID-19 SPC adapted to	49 (26.2%)	3 (16.7%)	33 (31.7%)	10 (18.2%)	3 (30.0%)	0.32
local context	, ,	` ,	` ,	, ,	,	
Practice changed following	96 (51.3%)	11 (61.1%)	62 (59.6%)	20 (36.4%)	3 (30.0%)	0.02
COVID-19 SPC						
implementation						
Encountered difficulties imple-	24 (12.8%)	4 (22.2%)	16 (15.4%)	4 (7.3%)	0 (0%)	0.20
menting COVID-19 SPC						
First look at COVID-19 SPC	195 (83.3%)	50 (100%)	94 (86.2%)	42 (70.0%)	9 (90.0%)	0.08
appears useful						
Perceptions of safety						
Perceived safety caring for						< 0.00 l
COVID+ or suspected						
patients						
Unsafe	147 (27.3%)	39 (39.8%)	94 (33.9%)	9 (6.8%)	5 (15.6%)	
Neutral	27 (5.0%)	3 (3.1%)	17 (6.1%)	7 (5.3%)	0 (0.0%)	
Safe	281 (52.1%)	40 (40.8%)	116 (41.9%)	101 (76.5%)	24 (75.0%)	
Perceived safety using	, ,	, ,	, ,	, ,	,	0.23
COVID-19 SPC						
Less safe	5 (2.7%)	I (5.6%)	4 (3.8%)	0 (0.0%)	0 (0.0%)	
Neutral	28 (15.0%)	l (5.6%)	13 (12.5%)	11 (20.0%)	3 (30.0%)	
Safer	116 (62.0%)	12 (66.7%)	70 (67.3%)	29 (52.7%)	5 (50.0%)	

N = the absolute number of respondents indicating each choice; however, the associated percentages indicate the percentage of each overall group. SPC: Surgical Patient Checklist.

iteratively derived. The excerpts were separated, organised and coded manually using Microsoft Excel v.16.47. Discussion among three of the authors was used to assign the initial codes and develop the coding framework. A second group of three authors applied codes from the codebook in a blinded fashion to excerpts to determine inter-rater reliability. The six authors reviewed together to reach consensus on discordantly coded excerpts until the codebook and themes were finalised.

## **Results**

While 547 perioperative clinicians from 63 countries completed the questionnaire (Table 1) only 539 were analysed. Eight completed questionnaires were excluded from the analysis as no country was listed therefore an income group could not be assigned. The highest proportion (32.8%) were from Sub-Saharan Africa, with additional representation from Latin America

and Caribbean (23.6%) and South Asia (26.2%). Most respondents were anaesthesia providers (50.1%) or surgeons (35.4%) working in tertiary (referral) hospitals (55.3%), and 20.8% of respondents reported working in designated COVID-19 facilities. When stratified by World Bank income classification, most clinicians from LICs represented Sub-Saharan Africa (96.9%), lower-middle income country respondents were primarily from South Asia (49.8%) and Sub-Saharan Africa (27.8%), and upper-middle income country respondents were mostly from Latin America & Caribbean region (69.7%). HIC respondents were predominantly from Europe (56.2%). A greater proportion of respondents from HICs worked in designated COVID-19 care centres (P = 0.003).

Overall, about half of respondents had cared for COVID-19 positive patients (49.9%) and were aware of the C19 SPC (56.6%) (Table 2). Nearly half (45.3%) had received training on the C19 SPC and 34.7% had personally used it. Of those, a quarter (26.2%) had

Table 3. Qualitative codebook.

Topic (# responses)	Parent code	Child code			
Key concerns when managing COVID-19 positive or suspected patients for surgery (439)	COVID-19 transmission	Infection of other patients, family or colleagues Personal fear of contracting COVID-19 Aerosol production and OR contamination			
	Patient morbidity and mortality	Preoperative optimisation Patient develops COVID-related complications			
	Process functionality	Lack of clear infection prevention processes Testing inconsistencies Unclear or suboptimal patient care processes			
	Pasauras shartagas				
M-4:6::	Resource shortages	Shortage of PPE			
Modifications made to COVID-19 SPC (8)	Added COVID testing results				
	Personnel roles modified				
	Added screening measures				
Mechanisms of increased perception of safety (30)	Defining roles/responsibilities				
	Improved communication and reminder of safety checks				
	Improved IPC practice				
	Reduced anxiety				
	Reduced exposure to COVID-19				
Most useful aspects of COVID-19 SPC (112)	Hospital system modifications	Confirming COVID status Infection prevention practice			
	Individual behaviour change	Airway management PPE practice			
		Systematic reminders			
	Safety and teamwork	Enhanced awareness			
	,	Teamwork			
Changes in practice due to	Infections	Reduced infections			
COVID-19 SPC utilisation (55)	Knowledge and awareness	Enhanced awareness			
		Evidence-based behaviour			
	Practice modification	Attention to disinfection and IPC			
	Tractice modification	Barrier measures			
		Change in PPE practice			
	Process management	Improved systems and protocols			
	110ccss management	Managing personnel in OR			
	Provider perception	Sense of safety/confidence			
Challenges encountered when	Adapting to new system and changing	•			
introducing COVID-19 SPC (17)	Buy-in	Communication/awareness from team			
	Duy-III	Lack of interest or understanding of team			
		Lack of surgeon buy-in			
		Not mandatory			
	Identifying leadership roles	1 NOC III aliqator y			
	Overwhelmed with new protocols	Lack of PPE			
	Supplies	Lack of PPE Lack of viral filters			
		Lack Of VItal litters			

OR: operating room; SPC: Surgical Patient Checklist; PPE: personal protective equipment; IPC: infection prevention and control.

adapted the checklist to their local context and just over half (51.3%) reported a change in practice following its implementation. Few (12.8%) had encountered difficulties with implementation. Those who were unaware of the C19 SPC were asked to review it and provide feedback. Almost all respondents (83.8%) of the 234 who had not seen the C19 SPC agreed the checklist appeared useful. Half (52.1%) of respondents reported feeling safe caring for COVID-19 positive or

suspected patients and 62.0% reported feeling safer using the C19 SPC.

When stratified by World Bank income classification, fewer clinicians from LICs had cared for COVID-19 positive patients ( $P\!=\!0.003$ ), and fewer had received training on the C19 SPC ( $P\!<\!0.001$ ). However, more clinicians from LICs saw practice change following implementation ( $P\!=\!0.02$ ). Respondents from all income groups viewing the C19 SPC for the first time agreed it appeared useful.

Respondents from LICs were more than twice as likely to report feeling unsafe at work as those in HICs (39.8% versus 15.6%, P < 0.001). Among the few respondents from LICs that had used the C19 SPC, 66.7% reported feeling safer, compared with 50.0% of respondents from HICs, although this difference was not statistically significant (P = 0.23).

Respondents were asked to report their greatest concern when managing COVID-19 positive patients. Most clinicians cited fear of personal infection with COVID-19 or transmission to family members, colleagues or other patients (Table 3). Other commonly reported fears centred on aerosol production and contamination of the operating room, the surgical patient's risk of developing complications related to COVID-19, and workplace functionality, such as unclear infection prevention and patient care protocols, and inconsistencies in patient testing. Shortages of resources such as PPE were a major concern amongst respondents, when caring for COVID-19 positive patients.

A few respondents reported making context-relevant modifications to the C19 SPC (Table 3), such as adding a prompt to review COVID-19 test results, rearranging personnel roles to align with their hospital workforce and adding symptom screening questions. Those who felt safer at work when using the checklist for surgical patients cited clearer roles and responsibilities, improved communication and safety practice and reduced anxiety as some reasons for their increased sense of safety.

The most useful reported aspects of the C19 SPC fit broadly into three themes: hospital system modifications, such as COVID-19 test confirmation and infection prevention and control (IPC) practice; individual behaviour changes, such as PPE donning and doffing and airway management; and safety and teamwork. Respondents that reported changes in practice as a result of using the C19 SPC cited improved attention to evidence-based and IPC practice and improvement in the personal protective measures taken by the team. They also reported improvements in the system and operating room protocols used as a result of using the C19 SPC, and improved personnel management, as well as a greater sense of safety and confidence at work.

Although few had encountered difficulties with implementation, some challenges were reported when introducing the C19 SPC. Themes that emerged included challenges with behaviour change, lack of buy-in from surgeons or other surgical team members, and difficulty identifying leadership roles to drive the checklist. Some respondents reported that they were overwhelmed with the number of new COVID-19 related protocols and practice. For others, the biggest challenges

were simply a lack of material resources like PPE and viral filters to fulfil the C19 SPC recommendations.

## Discussion

This survey of global perioperative clinicians found that many respondents feel unsafe in the workplace and that their biggest concerns are related to COVID-19 transmission to themselves, their colleagues or their family members. Findings suggest that use of the C19 SPC for perioperative care of surgical patients helped clinicians to feel safer and reportedly led to practice change in a majority of respondents who had used it. As noted by this work and others. 21-24 checklists can improve teamwork and communication, and clarify team member roles and responsibilities in the operating room-an important element of safe, multidisciplinary patient care. While rapid endorsement of checklists by numerous organisations such as societies and specialty colleges can increase awareness, distribution and uptake, the importance of adaptation to local context cannot be overemphasised.<sup>25–28</sup> Similarly, our survey found that over a quarter (26.2%) of respondents who had used the C19 SPC reported that they had adapted it.

The survey participants provided vital feedback on the C19 SPC components based on their practice and real world experience. However, less than half of the respondents reported that they had used the C19 SPC, highlighting a need for further dissemination and strategies to increase its use. Of the two-thirds of respondents who had not used it, a high proportion (83.8%) reported that they felt it appeared useful.

After analysis of survey results and feedback, the C19 SPC was modified to reflect recommended changes suggested by the end users (Figure 1). These changes included rewording and reorganisation for clarification, flow and role allocation, verification of COVID-19 status of patient, clarification around PPE use and updates to guidance for aerosol-generating procedures. This updated C19 SPC was made available online and modifications for the local context were encouraged as required. Notification of the updated checklist was disseminated through partner and social media networks. In addition, some of the qualitative findings of this study, particularly around implementation challenges such as institutional buy-in, assigning roles and staff being overwhelmed with COVID-19 protocols, informed updates in the C19 SPC training materials.

The recent work by Panda et al.<sup>21</sup> outlines consensus recommendations assembled by an international panel for theoretical adaptation of the WHO SSCL as part of a surgical team response to the COVID-19 pandemic. Elements that they recommended in their

adapted checklist include review of patient COVID-19 status, discussion of surgical and anaesthesia plans, use of safety checks around PPE use, establishment of equipment availability and specimen handling and confirmation of patient recovery location. Their recommendations also proposed a framework for hospital teams to rapidly implement this modified checklist that included the importance of support by relevant leadership, content modification to the local context, simulation-based training and frequent revision as scientific knowledge of best practice related to COVID-19 advanced.

Our work developing and implementing a C19 SPC through our partner network further supports these theoretical recommendations and underscores the importance of including provider safety measures into routine surgical care during the pandemic. Through a separate consultative process, items for inclusion in the C19 SPC included many of those recommended by Panda et al., reinforcing the key concerns of perioperative providers when managing COVID-19 positive patients. While Panda and colleagues' theoretical recommendations were to modify the WHO SSCL, the C19 SPC was developed using an alternative approach. It is meant to be used as an adjunct with the WHO SSCL and includes prompts to perform the WHO SSCL at relevant timepoints. Both approaches encourage checklist revision based on context-specific modifications. Some hospitals in our study merged the two Checklists, so that only one tool was needed in the operating room. This approach can avoid the use of additional tools that can lead to user fatigue and lack of buy-in. However, some facilities may prefer to keep them separate, as the C19 SPC is focused on protecting providers in the context of COVID-19 in the workplace whereas the WHO SSCL is aimed at patient safety.

## Limitations

This study has several limitations. The survey tool was distributed to providers partnering or in communication with the groups that designed and implemented the C19 SPC. Their responses may not be representative of other perioperative clinicians working in contexts not affiliated with Lifebox, Smile Train, Jhpiego or WFSA. The inclusion of social media as a method of survey distribution, while aiming for a broad reach and mirroring the distribution of the checklist, did not enable a response rate to be measured. Furthermore, each country is experiencing waves of COVID-19 infection at different timepoints. Responses were based on the prevalence and practice environment at the time of the survey and may not reflect current perceptions of safety or infection prevention practice. As some higherincome countries are reaching vaccination of a high

proportion of their populations, other lower-income, countries are experiencing the worst surges yet, highlighting the importance of continued attention to provider safety. It is worth noting that a large proportion of LIC respondents were from Sub-Saharan Africa. In addition, the survey tool did not ascertain details of checklist use such as proportional case utilisation, appropriate use or completion of individual items.

## Conclusion

Adjunct surgical checklists may improve context-specific patient and healthcare worker safety. Lessons from the COVID-19 pandemic may have a broad impact on improving infection prevention and control in low- and middle-income countries.<sup>29</sup> In health systems facing systemic challenges compounded by shortages of material resources,<sup>30</sup> the C19 SPC for operating rooms is an affordable solution that may aid in both the perception and the reality of healthcare worker safety as the pandemic continues to place them at risk. Furthermore, this tool and lessons from its development and implementation may inform the response to future events in the healthcare landscape.

## **Author Contribution(s)**

Nichole E Starr: Conceptualization; Formal analysis; Investigation; Methodology; Project administration; Validation; Writing – original draft; Writing – review & editing.

**Jolene N Moore:** Conceptualization; Formal analysis; Investigation; Methodology; Writing – review & editing.

**Constance S Harrell Shreckengost:** Investigation; Validation; Writing – review & editing.

**Katie Fernandez:** Formal analysis; Investigation; Project administration; Writing – review & editing.

**Reshma P Ambulkar:** Investigation; Writing – review & editing.

Nina Capo-Chichi: Conceptualization; Investigation; Methodology; Validation; Writing – review & editing.

John E Varallo: Formal analysis; Writing – review & editing. Adesoji O Ademuyiwa: Conceptualization; Methodology; Writing – review & editing.

**Sophallyda Krouch:** Conceptualization; Investigation; Validation; Writing – review & editing.

Pankaj Singh Rana: Writing – review & editing.

JC Allen Ingabire: Investigation; Writing – review & editing. Thomas G Weiser: Conceptualization; Formal analysis; Investigation; Methodology; Writing – review & editing.

**Tihitena Negussie Mammo:** Investigation; Methodology; Writing – review & editing.

**Faye M Evans:** Conceptualization; Formal analysis; Investigation; Methodology; Writing – review & editing.

## **Acknowledgements**

We would like to acknowledge Eliana Lillevik, Luciano Barbosa, Daniela Farchi, Dr Laila Woc-Colburn, Dr Gustavo Moraes, Suko Dwi Nugroho, Nguyen Tri Dung, Dr Rong Hu, Priya Desai and Senait Bitew for their contributions to language translations, survey distribution and data collection.

## **Funding**

The authors disclosed receipt of the following financial support for the research, authorship, and publication of this article: NS received salary support during the conduct of this study from NIH Fogarty International Center (Global Health Equity Scholars NIH FIC D43TW010540).

## **ORCID iDs**

Nichole E Starr https://orcid.org/0000-0003-0714-5512 Jolene N Moore https://orcid.org/0000-0003-2997-8371 Constance S Harrell Shreckengost https://orcid.org/0000-0001-5229-0140

Reshma P Ambulkar (b) https://orcid.org/0000-0002-3353-4303

Thomas G Weiser https://orcid.org/0000-0002-3118-3888 Faye M Evans https://orcid.org/0000-0002-9852-8932

## Supplemental material

Supplemental material for this article is available online.

## References

- COVIDSurg Collaborative. Elective surgery cancellations due to the COVID-19 pandemic: Global predictive modelling to inform surgical recovery plans. *Br J Surg* 2020; 107: 1440–1449. doi:10.1002/bjs.11746
- 2. Chersich MF, Gray G, Fairlie L, et al. COVID-19 in Africa: Care and protection for frontline healthcare workers. *Glob Health* 2020; 16: 46. doi:10.1186/s12992-020-00574-3
- 3. Ma X, Vervoort D, Reddy CL, et al. Emergency and essential surgical healthcare services during COVID-19 in low- and middle-income countries: A perspective. *Int J Surg* 2020; 79: 43–46. doi:10.1016/j.ijsu.2020.05.037
- The Lancet. COVID-19: Protecting health-care workers. Lancet 2020; 395: 922. doi:10.1016/S0140-6736(20)30644-9
- Vawter DE, Garrett JE, Prehn AW, et al. Health care workers' willingness to work in a pandemic. Am J. Bioeth 2008; 8: 21–23. doi:10.1080/15265160802318204
- COVIDSurg Collaborative. Global guidance for surgical care during the COVID-19 pandemic. *Br J Surg* 2020; 107: 1097–1103. doi.10.1002/bjs.11646
- 7. Agrawal V and Sharma D. Frugal solutions for the operating room during the COVID-19 pandemic. *Br J Surg* 2020; 107: e331–e332. doi:10.1002/bjs.11783
- 8. Chew MH, Chau KC, Koh FH, et al. Safe operating room protocols during the COVID-19 pandemic. *Br J Surg* 2020; 107: e292–e293. doi:10.1002/bjs.11721
- 9. Tian Y, Gong YH, Liu PY, et al. Infection prevention strategy in operating room during coronavirus disease

- 2019 (COVID-19) outbreak. Chin Med Sci J 2020; 35: 114–120. doi:10.24920/003739
- 10. Ti LK, Ang LS, Foong TW, et al. What we do when a COVID-19 patient needs an operation: Operating room preparation and guidance. *Can J Anesth* 2020; 67: 756–758. doi:10.1007/s12630-020-01617-4
- Bong C-L, Brasher C, Chikumba E, et al. The COVID-19 pandemic: Effects on low and middle-income countries.
   Anesth Analg 2020; 131: 86–92. doi:10.1213/ ANE.00000000000004846
- 12. Brindle M and Gawande A. Managing COVID-19 in surgical systems. *Ann Surg* 2020; 272: e1–e2. doi:10.1097/SLA.00000000000003923
- Dexter F, Parra MC, Brown JR, et al. Perioperative COVID-19 defense: An evidence-based approach for optimization of infection control and operating room management. *Anesth Analg* 2020; 131: 37–42. doi:10.1213/ANE.0000000000004829
- Ademuyiwa AO, Bekele A, Berhea AB, et al. COVID-19 preparedness within the surgical, obstetric, and anesthetic ecosystem in Sub-Saharan Africa. *Ann Surg* 2020; 272: e9–e13. doi:10.1097/SLA.000000000003964
- Lim SM, Cha WC, Chae MK, et al. Contamination during doffing of personal protective equipment by healthcare providers. *Clin Exp Emerg Med* 2015; 2: 162–167. doi:10.15441/ceem.15.019
- Balazy A, Toivola M, Adhikari A, et al. Do N95 respirators provide 95% protection level against airborne viruses, and how adequate are surgical masks? *Am J Infect Control* 2006; 34: 51–57. doi:10.1016/j. aiic.2005.08.018
- 17. Fisher EM and Shaffer RE. Considerations for recommending extended use and limited reuse of filtering face-piece respirators in health care settings. *J Occup Environ Hyg* 2014; 11: D115–D128. doi:10.1080/15459624.2014. 902954
- 18. Centers for Disease Control and Prevention. PPE donning and doffing sequence, https://www.cdc.gov/hai/pdfs/ppe/ppe-sequence.pdf (accessed 20 November 2021).
- Anesthesia Patient Safety Foundation. FAQ on anesthesia machine use, protection, and decontamination during the COVID-19 pandemic, https://www.apsf.org/faq-on-anesthesia-machine-use-protection-and-decontamina tion-during-the-covid-19-pandemic/ (accessed 20 November 2021).
- 20. Tran K, Cimon K, Severn M, et al. Aerosol generating procedures and risk of transmission of acute respiratory infections to healthcare workers: A systematic review. *PLoS One* 2012; 7: e35797. doi:10.1371/journal. pone.0035797
- 21. Panda N, Etheridge JC, Singh T, et al. We asked the experts: The WHO Surgical Safety Checklist and the COVID-19 pandemic: Recommendations for content and implementation adaptations. *World J Surg* 2021; 45: 1293–1296. doi:10.1007/s00268-021-06000-y
- Haynes AB, Weiser TG, Berry WR, et al. A surgical safety checklist to reduce morbidity and mortality in a global population. N Engl J Med 2009; 360: 491–499. doi:10.1056/NEJMsa0810119

- 23. Weiser TG, Haynes AB, Dziekan G, et al. Effect of a 19item surgical safety checklist during urgent operations in a global patient population. *Ann Surg* 2010; 251: 976–980. doi:10.1097/SLA.0b013e3181d970e3
- 24. Kwok AC, Funk LM, Baltaga R, et al. Implementation of the World Health Organization surgical safety checklist, including introduction of pulse oximetry, in a resource-limited setting. *Ann Surg* 2013; 257: 633–639. doi:10.1097/SLA.0b013e3182777fa4
- 25. Kim RY, Kwakye G, Kwok AC, et al. Sustainability and long-term effectiveness of the WHO Surgical Safety Checklist combined with pulse oximetry in a resource-limited setting: Two-year update from Moldova. *JAMA Surg* 2015; 150: 473–479. doi:10.1001/jamasurg.2014.3848
- 26. Brewster DJ, Nickson CP, McGloughlin S, et al. Preparation for airway management in Australia and New Zealand ICUs during the COVID-19 pandemic.

- *PLoS One* 2021; 16: e0251523. doi:org/10.1371/journal. pone.0251523
- Marshall S. The use of cognitive aids during emergencies in anesthesia: A review of the literature. *Anesth Analg* 2013; 117: 1162–1171. doi:10.1213/ANE.0b013e31829c397b
- Röhsig V, Maestri RN, Parrini Mutlaq MF, et al. Quality improvement strategy to enhance compliance with the World Health Organization Surgical Safety Checklist in a large hospital: Quality improvement study. *Ann Med Surg* 2020; 55: 19–23. doi:10.1016/j.amsu.2020.04.027
- 29. Maina M, Tosas-Auguet O, English M, et al. COVID-19: An opportunity to improve infection prevention and control in LMICs. *Lancet Glob Health* 2020; 8: e1261. doi:10.1016/S2214-109X(20)30352-1
- 30. Usher AD. Health systems neglected by COVID-19 donors. *Lancet* 2021; 397: 83. doi:10.1016/S0140-6736 (21)00029-5