



Systematic Review

# The Association between Circulating Branched Chain Amino Acids and the Temporal Risk of Developing Type 2 Diabetes Mellitus: A Systematic Review & Meta-Analysis

Imran Ramzan <sup>1,2</sup>, Arash Ardavani <sup>1,2</sup>, Froukje Vanweert <sup>3</sup>, Aisling Mellett <sup>1,4</sup>, Philip J. Atherton <sup>1,2</sup> and Iskandar Idris <sup>1,2,\*</sup>

- <sup>1</sup> Clinical, Metabolic and Molecular Physiology Research Group, MRC-Versus Arthritis Centre for Musculoskeletal Ageing Research, Royal Derby Hospital Centre, University of Nottingham, Derby DE22 6DT, UK
- <sup>2</sup> National Institute for Health Research (NIHR), Nottingham Biomedical Research Centre, Nottingham NG7 2UH, UK
- <sup>3</sup> Department of Nutrition and Movement Sciences, NUTRIM, School of Nutrition and Translational Research in Metabolism, Maastricht University, 6229 ER Maastricht, The Netherlands
- <sup>4</sup> School of Agriculture and Food Science, Agriculture and Food Science Centre, University College Dublin, Belfield, D04 V1W8 Dublin, Ireland
- \* Correspondence: iskandar.idris@nottingham.ac.uk; Tel.: +44-(0)1159515151

Abstract: Introduction: Recent studies have concluded that elevated circulating branched chain amino acids (BCAA) are associated with the pathogenesis of type 2 diabetes mellitus (T2DM) and obesity. However, the development of this association over time and the quantification of the strength of this association for individual BCAAs prior to T2DM diagnosis remains unexplored. Methods: A systematic search was conducted using the Healthcare Databases Advance Search (HDAS) via the National Institute for Health and Care Excellence (NICE) website. The data sources included EMBASE, MEDLINE and PubMed for all papers from inception until November 2021. Nine studies were identified in this systematic review and meta-analysis. Stratification was based on follow-up times (0-6, 6-12 and 12 or more years) and controlling of body mass index (BMI) through the specific assessment of overweight cohorts was also undertaken. Results: The meta-analysis revealed a statistically significant positive association between BCAA concentrations and the development of T2DM, with valine OR = 2.08 (95% CI = 2.04–2.12, *p* < 0.00001), leucine OR = 2.25 (95% CI = 1.76–2.87, p < 0.00001) and isoleucine OR = 2.12, 95% CI = 2.00–2.25, p < 0.00001. In addition, we demonstrated a positive consistent temporal association between circulating BCAA levels and the risk of developing T2DM with differentials in the respective follow-up times of 0–6 years, 6–12 years and  $\geq$ 12 years follow-up for valine (OR = 2.08, 1.86 and 2.14, *p* < 0.05 each), leucine (OR = 2.10, 2.25 and 2.16, *p* < 0.05 each) and isoleucine (OR = 2.12, 1.90 and 2.16, p < 0.05 each) demonstrated. Conclusion: Plasma BCAA concentrations are associated with T2DM incidence across all temporal subgroups. We suggest the potential utility of BCAAs as an early biomarker for T2DM irrespective of follow-up time.

**Keywords:** BCAA; branch chain amino acid; leucine; isoleucine; valine; type 2 diabetes mellitus; T2DM; insulin resistance; obese; obesity; overweight; BMI

## 1. Introduction

Type 2 diabetes mellitus (T2DM) and obesity are major causes of morbidity and mortality worldwide [1]. The World Health Organisation (WHO) estimates that, by the year 2035, 592 million patients with diabetes will exist worldwide [2]. The discovery of novel metabolites and biomarkers that reflect early changes in T2DM and obesity are crucial for both early diagnosis and the prevention of long-term complications. Indeed, a recent study determined that early detection and treatment of T2DM reduces the risk of cardiovascular morbidity and mortality [3].



**Citation:** Ramzan, I.; Ardavani, A.; Vanweert, F.; Mellett, A.; Atherton, P.J.; Idris, I. The Association between Circulating Branched Chain Amino Acids and the Temporal Risk of Developing Type 2 Diabetes Mellitus: A Systematic Review & Meta-Analysis. *Nutrients* **2022**, *14*, 4411. https:// doi.org/10.3390/nu14204411

Academic Editor: Gary David Lopaschuk

Received: 5 September 2022 Accepted: 11 October 2022 Published: 20 October 2022

**Publisher's Note:** MDPI stays neutral with regard to jurisdictional claims in published maps and institutional affiliations.



**Copyright:** © 2022 by the authors. Licensee MDPI, Basel, Switzerland. This article is an open access article distributed under the terms and conditions of the Creative Commons Attribution (CC BY) license (https:// creativecommons.org/licenses/by/ 4.0/). Metabolomics has increasingly been implemented as an analytical approach to identify novel metabolites within a biological specimen [4,5] potentially facilitating their utilisation as biomarkers and novel drug therapy targets [6]. The utilisation of metabolomics in large epidemiological studies has also increased exponentially over the last decade. Specifically, the metabolic alterations associated with or preceding the development of T2DM makes this an attractive area of research [7]. Almost 50 metabolites have demonstrated an association with T2DM, but the most extensively researched group of metabolites are the branched chain amino acids (BCAAs) [8,9].

BCAAs consist of the amino acids isoleucine, leucine and valine. BCAAs are widely present in human food sources containing protein, such as meat and dairy food items, in addition to being supplemented by recreational and professional athletes in an isolated, supplement form [10-13]. Recent prospective studies have highlighted an association between elevated circulating levels of BCAAs and the development of T2DM [14–16]. In addition, studies that have successfully reduced circulating BCAA levels in humans have also demonstrated improvements in insulin sensitivity [17,18]. Consequently, elevated circulating levels of BCAAs could potentially serve as biomarkers for the development of T2DM [19–21]. However, systematic reviews that have investigated this association using cohort studies have provided conflicting results [16,22]. Furthermore, systematic reviews which analysed an association between individual (rather than total) BCAAs and risks of T2DM did not reach statistical significance [22]. Analysis derived from case-control studies would produce more robust findings due to the inherent reduction in the potential for confounding [23]. Further interpretation of these associations will also be strengthened by analysing total and individual BCAA levels at baseline and follow-up. [24,25]. A further ubiquitous issue within the literature is the presence of confounding variables, including body mass index (BMI) and ethnicity [26–28], with various studies implementing differing statistical procedures or variable lists for covariate adjustment [29–31]. Thus, any summative analysis of the relationship between BCAAs and T2DM should address these variables through a sufficiently structured study design.

Multiple in vitro and epidemiological studies have revealed variations in the effect of leucine, isoleucine and valine with various components of glucose metabolism [32–34]. Additionally, the relationship between BCAAs and their contribution to the development of T2DM phenotype with time has not been investigated previously [35]. The determination of temporality as an additional environmental contributor to the resulting T2DM phenotype, within the context of BCAA metabolism, is therefore of novel epidemiological and clinical interest. The identification of specific BCAA-temporal patterns may provide healthcare professionals with an evidence-based rationale to undertake timeframe and BCAA-specific assessments for the development of T2DM in at-risk patient groups, in order to facilitate early lifestyle and dietary interventions.

In this systematic review, an appraisal of the relationship between BCAAs and T2DM development is undertaken through case–control study data of patients with an overweight BMI status. In addition, we also aim to appraise this relationship with the effect of temporality, based on the duration of follow-up in the incorporated studies. To the best of our knowledge, no review has attempted to determine if the association between BCAAs and T2DM exhibits any variation based on follow-up time to diagnosis of T2DM. Finally, we also aimed to assess any differences in the effect size of each individual BCAA for each of the studied timeframes.

#### 2. Materials and Methods

#### 2.1. Protocol and Registration

The study protocol is registered in the International prospective register of systematic reviews (PROSPERO 2022; registration number CRD42022297132), with the entry registration occurring after the finalization of our research question and the implementation of our search strategy. The Preferred Reporting Items for Systematic Reviews and Meta-Analyses (PRISMA) reporting guidelines are provided (Supplementary S1) [36].

## 2.2. Eligibility Criteria

We exclusively sourced prospective case–control studies possessing pre- and postfollow up period individual plasma BCAA levels of at least one year in duration. The inclusion criteria, with respect to samples from within the case groups of the study cohorts, is patients that eventually developed non-insulin-dependent type 2 diabetes mellitus (T2DM) and a body mass index (BMI) of between 25 and 30 kg/m<sup>2</sup>. The control groups were matched for BMI. A full enumeration of our criteria for study inclusion and exclusion, with additional justifications, are provided through the population, intervention, comparison, and studies (PICOS) format (Supplementary S2).

#### 2.3. Information Sources and Searches

MEDLINE and EMBASE databases were accessed through the NICE Healthcare Databases Advanced Search (HDAS). An additional search inquiry was performed through PubMed. Grey area literature sources came through Google Scholar and Scopus. These five databases constituted our primary sources. Studies that did not meet our inclusion criteria based on design, but nonetheless addressed the research question, were included into our secondary sources list, and were reviewed for additional studies through their references. The primary sources were all searched on 3 November 2021 and the secondary sources were reviewed on 13 January 2022. The full electronic search strategy preformed for MEDLINE, EMBASE, Pubmed, Scopus and Google Scholar are presented in (Supplementary S5).

## 2.4. Study Selection

Assessment of studies from primary sources performed by two independent researchers (I.R., F.V.) with a third (A.A.) serving as consensus former in the event of disagreement. The titles, abstracts and full texts of the included studies were evaluated after removal of any duplicates. Inter-observer agreement was found to be substantial (88.41%, Cohen's  $\kappa$  67%). Secondary sources were assessed by one independent researcher (A.A.). Study screening (assessment of manuscript suitability for inclusion based on our eligibility criteria) and eligibility (assessment for the presence of statistical data that met our eligibility criteria) were performed in parallel by two researchers (I.R., A.A.), and no disagreement was observed at either stage.

## 2.5. Data Collection Process

A single independent researcher (A.A.) created a data extraction form (Microsoft Excel spreadsheet). Thereafter, another independent researcher (A.M.) extracted the following information: first author, publication year, sample size, patient demographics, diabetic and BMI status, and main outcomes. In addition, any correlation statistics present in the studies that are present at the eligibility stage of screening were included for qualitative assessment and extracted into a separate excel sheet.

## 2.6. Intra-Study Risk of Bias Assessment

Intra-study bias: The Newcastle Ottawa tool (NOS) was used to assess the overall quality and reliability of the case–control studies that reached synthesis [37]. Assessment was performed by two independent researchers (I.R., F.V.) with a third (A.A.) providing consensus. The overall quality and extent of bias was ranked based on a summative count and assessed within the context of three domains: comparability of cases and controls, selection of cases and controls and exposure. These three domains were separated into 8 individual questions each receiving a single star except comparability which received two stars. Thus, each study was given a score of bias ranging from 7–9 stars "High quality", 4–7 stars as "Fair quality" and 1–3 stars "Low quality".

Inter-study bias: Should sufficient studies (n = 10) be encountered, publication bias will be assessed using Egger's regression test through the Copenhagen Trial Unit's Trial Se-

quential Analysis (TSA) software, with the generation of a funnel plot for visual inspection of distribution skew.

#### Summary Measures

The summary measure per data-point is the log–odds ratio (+-standard error), with the measure of effect reported as the inverse variance (IV) with 95% confidence intervals (CI) and a measure of significance (p < 0.05).

## 2.7. Synthesis of Results

The inverse-variance method and random effects model was selected due to anticipated imprecision. The effect size (reported as a Z-score) and study heterogeneity (reported as an I<sup>2</sup> and Tau statistic) are reported. Heterogeneity is interpreted as advocated by Cochrane [38]. Should the original manuscripts present numeric correlation data, these were incorporated without further transformation or synthesis and are reported with their associated measurements of statistical significance (p < 0.05). Temporal groupings were defined on a continuous scale (follow-up duration in years) based on the requirement for at least three datapoints to be represented in each grouping, with the duration represented by each temporal grouping defined post hoc following allocation.

#### 2.8. Risk of Bias across Studies

Inter-study bias: Should a sufficient number of studies (n = 10) be encountered, publication bias will be assessed using Egger's regression test through the Copenhagen Trial Unit's Trial Sequential Analysis (TSA) software, with the generation of a funnel plot [39].

## 2.9. Additional Analyses

In order to appraise the decision to include datapoints from studies with estimations of effect size through differing covariates (Table 1), sensitivity analysis was performed through all available datapoints for each of the amino acids and irrespective of follow-up duration. Herein, only those studies presenting effect size estimations through the inclusion of less than five covariates (Table 1) were retained for pooled estimation of effect size for each assessed amino acid and follow-up duration. In accordance with Cochrane guidelines [40], the resulting estimates are presented in tabulated form.

Table 1. Study characteristics of the manuscripts, which reached synthesis.

Lead Author	Publication Date	Study Design	Cases (n)	Control (n)	Patient Demographics (Intervention(s))	Follow-Up Period (Y)	Covariates	Cases Group Status	Control Group Status	Individual BCAAs	BCAA Metabolites
F Ottosson [31]	2018	Case- control	204	496	Mean of 69.5 years, predominantly male (69%), Swedish nationality	6.3	Age and Sex.	T2DM	Non-diabetic	Leucine, Isoleucine, Valine	C5, C4 and C3
Y Lu [30]	2016	Case- control	197	197	$55.15 \pm 2.8$ years, predominantly female (59.4%), Chinese nationality	6	BMI, smoking, history of hypertension	T2DM	Non-diabetic,	Leucine, Isoleucine, Valine	C10
L Shi [41]	2018	Case- control	503	503	50.1 ± 8 years, predominantly female (55.5%), Swedish nationality	10	BMI, FBC, PA, education, smoking, consumption of alcohol, dietary fibre, red and processed meat, and coffee, plasma total cholesterol, triglycerols, and systolic and diastolic BP.	T2DM (no medication	Non-diabetic,	Leucine, Isoleucine, Valine	C3 and KMV

Lead Author	Publication Date	Study Design	Cases (n)	Control (n)	Patient Demographics (Intervention(s))	Follow-Up Period (Y)	Covariates	Cases Group Status	Control Group Status	Individual BCAAs	BCAA Metabolites	
T Wang (Framing- ham) [42]	2011	Case- control	189	189	$56.5\pm8.5$ years, predominantly male (58%), USA nationality	12	Age, sex, BMI, fasting glucose, and parental history.	T2DM	Non-diabetic	Leucine, Isoleucine, Valine	-	
T Wang (Malmo) [42]	2011	Case- control	163	163	Mean of 58 years, predominantly female (55%), Swedish nationality	12.6	Age, sex, BMI, and fasting glucose.	T2DM	Non-diabetic	Leucine, Isoleucine, Valine	-	
A Floegel [43]	2013	Case- control	800	2282	52.1 ± 8.1 years, predominantly women (52.1%), German nationality	7	age, sex, alcohol intake, smoking, physical activity, education, coffee intake, red meat intake, prevalent hypertension, BMJ, and waist circumference (cm)	T2DM	Non-diabetic	Isoleucine, Valine	-	
R Wang- Sattler [44]	2012	Case- control	91	866	$64.7\pm5.45$ years, predominantly male (53%), German nationality	7	Age, sex, BMI, physical activity, alcohol intake, smoking, systolic BP, HDL cholesterol, HbA1c, fasting glucose and fasting insulin	T2DM(no medication)	Non-diabetic	Leucine, Isoleucine, Valine	C2	
A Stancáková [45]	2012	Case- control	646	3026	$57\pm7$ years, all male, Finnish nationality	4.7	Age and BMI	T2DM(no medication)	Non-diabetic	Leucine, Isoleucine, Valine	-	
T Tillin (European) [46]	2015	Case- control	643	1007	50.6 $\pm$ 7.0 years, all male, South Asian origin	19	Age, WHR, truncal skinfold thickness, Matsuda-IR, HDL cholesterol level, current smoking, and alcohol consumption.	T2DM(no medication)	Non-diabetic	Leucine, Isoleucine, Valine	-	
T Tillin (South Asian) [46]	2015	Case- control	801	1279	$52.75\pm7.25$ years, all male, European origin	19	Age, WHR, truncal skinfold thickness, Matsuda-IR, HDL cholesterol level, current smoking, and alcohol consumption.	T2DM(no medication)	Non-diabetic	Leucine, Isoleucine, Valine	-	
ND Palmer [29]	2015	Case- control	76	70	56 ± 8 years, predominantly female (63%), European-American, Hispanic, and African	5	Age, sex, and BMI	T2DM(no medication)	Non-diabetic	Leucine or isoleucine, valine	C2, C5 and C10	

Table 1. Cont.

T2DM = type 2 diabetes mellitus, BCAAs = branched chain amino acids, C2 = Acetylcarnitine, C3 = Propionylcarnitine, C4 = Butyrylcarnitine, C5 = Isovalerylcarnitine, C10 = Decenoylcarnitine, KMV = 3-methyl-2-oxovaleric acid, - no results, BMI = body mass index, FBC = fasting blood glucose, PA = physical acitivity, WHR = waist hip ratio, IR = insulin resistance, BP = blood pressure, HDL = high-density lipid.

#### 3. Results

#### 3.1. Study Selection

During the identification phase, three hundred and five studies were discovered; after systematically appraising the studies, nine reached synthesis (Supplementary S3) [30–32,42–47]. A total of 29 studies at the eligibility stage were excluded based on inappropriate study design or an absence of usable data (Supplementary S3).

#### 3.2. Study Characteristics

The study characteristics can be found in Table 1, they comprised of nine independent studies reporting data from 4313 T2DM patients and 10078 healthy controls [29–31,41–46]. All the included studies were case–controls studies, the control group comprised of non-diabetic volunteers. In the case group, all volunteers had laboratory-confirmed (either fasting glucose or glycated haemoglobin) T2DM and were not currently undertaking any T2DM medication. The average profile of the case cohort consists of participants with an age of 56.25 years, a BMI of 28.37 and a bias towards male biological sex (with 63.15% of participants across the synthesized studies being represented by men). The included studies have a publication date of between 2011 and 2018 representing the most recent and up-to date BCAA research [29–31,41–46]. The outcome of interest was reported as an odds ratios (OR) in eight of the included studies with a single study reporting as a  $\beta$  coefficient. The patient demographics across the cases and the controls ranged from 48.5 ± 13 to 69.5 ± 2.1 for age and were predominantly female, with mixed ethnicity or nationality status. Follow up time ranged from 4.7 to 20 years (Table 1).

## 3.3. Risk of Bias within Studies

All of the nine included studies received a 'high quality' score after assessment of the studies using the NOS scale. As per the NOS guidelines studies rewarded with 7–9 stars are considered as low risk of bias, high quality studies. All the included studies received this status with two of the studies receiving the maximum nine stars [41,44]. (Supplementary S4).

#### 3.4. Results of Individual Studies

For this systematic review and meta-analysis, we only focused on the BCAAs and their metabolites. Since their discovery in the development of T2DM, the BCAAs are the most extensively and most consistently researched set of amino acids. The results of our meta-analysis performed for the three individual BCAAs are provided below (Table 2).

**Table 2.** Calculated log(OR) for individual serum BCAA levels per each data-point incorporated into the meta assessment.

T 1 A (1		Valine	Outcon	ne Measure	Leucine (	Dutcome	Measure	Isoleucine Outcome Measure			
Lead Author	Publication Date	Log(OR)	SE	<i>p</i> -Value	Log(OR)	SE	<i>p</i> -Value	Log(OR)	SE	<i>p</i> -Value	
F Ottosson [31]	2018	0.73	0.01	0.94	0.74	0.02	0.197	0.75	0.03	0.064	
Y Lu [30]	2016	0.94	0.84	0.0003 *	0.92	0.83	0.0023	0.92	0.83	0.4 *	
L Shi [41]	2018	0.84	0.27	< 0.001 *	0.81	0.22	0.002	0.81	0.22	0.002 *	
T Wang [42]	2011	0.75	0.14	0.34	0.79	0.18	0.034	0.80	0.17	0.01 *	
T Wang [42]	2011	0.24	0.05	$5.89\times10^{-5}\;*$	-	-	-	0.26	0.05	$3.04\times10^{-5}$ *	
A Floegel [43]	2013	0.81	0.28	0.03 *	0.81	0.28	0.06	0.85	0.37	0.008 *	
R Wang-Sattler [44]	2012	0.79	0.15	0.016 *	0.84	0.22	0.006	0.85	0.23	0.001 *	
A Stancáková [45]	2012	0.82	0.29	0.02 *	0.84	0.32	0.006	0.85	0.32	0.004 *	
T Tillin [46]	2015	0.88	0.57	0.01 *	0.83	0.29	0.009	0.80	0.26	0.09	
T Tillin [46]	2015	0.78	0.14	0.044 *	0.77	0.14	0.074	0.77	0.14	0.13	
ND Palmer [29]	2015	0.73	0.12	0.9	0.75	0.12	0.4	0.75	0.13	0.4	

OR = Odds Ratio, SE = Standard Error, \* = Statisticall significant p < 0.05, - = No data available.

#### 3.5. Valine

Within the zero-to-six year subgroup, three cohorts were incorporated for assessment (Palmer et al., 2015, Stancáková et al., 2012, Lu et al., 2016), with all demonstrating a positive association (OR = 2.08–2.56) (Figure 1) [29,30,45]. The overall OR was calculated to be 2.08 (95% CI = 2.04–2.12, p < 0.00001) (Figure 1). Although an assessment of overall heterogeneity was equivocal (I<sup>2</sup> = 0%, p = 0.89) (Figure 1), a substantially wide 95% CI was observed with one cohort (Palmer et al., 2015, 0.49–13.28) (Figure 1) [29].

Four cohorts (Floegel et al., 2013, Ottosson et al., 2018, Shi et al., 2018, Wang-Sattler et al., 2012) were sourced for data in the subgroup with a follow-up of more-than-six, but less-than-twelve year follow-up period (Figure 1) [31,41,43,44]. A positive association was observed in all (OR = 1.27–2.25), with an overall OR of 1.86 (95% CI = 1.28–2.68, p = 0.001) (Figure 1). Subgroup heterogeneity was found to be considerable (I<sup>2</sup> = 0%, p = 0.89) (Figure 1).

Four cohorts sourced from two separate studies (Tillin et al., 2015; European and South Asian, Wang et al., 2011; Framingham and Malmo datasets) represented the subgroup consisting of at least twelve years of follow-up, with each demonstrating demonstrated positive associations (OR = 2.08–2.41), resulting in an overall OR of 2.14 (95% CI = 1.81–2.53, p < 0.00001) (Figure 1) [42,46]. An overall heterogeneity assessment was also equivocal (I<sup>2</sup> = 0%, p = 0.98), with substantially wide 95% CI observed in the Malmo cohort data from Wang et al., 2011 (Figure 1).

			Case (Overweight T2DM)	Contr	ol		Odds Ratio	Odds Ratio
Study or Subgroup	log[Odds Ratio]	SE	Tota	l To	tal	Weight	IV, Random, 95% Cl	IV, Random, 95% Cl
1.1.1 0-6 years (follow-up)								
Lu 2016	0.84	0.27	(	l .	0	7.1%	2.32 [1.36, 3.93]	
Palmer 2015	0.94	0.84	(	l .	0	1.3%	2.56 [0.49, 13.28]	
Stancáková 2012	0.73	0.01	C	l .	0	14.9%	2.08 [2.03, 2.12]	
Subtotal (95% CI)			0	1	0	23.3%	2.08 [2.04, 2.12]	
Heterogeneity: Tau <sup>2</sup> = 0.00; 0	Chi² = 0.23, df = 2 (	P = 0.8	39); I² = 0%					
Test for overall effect: Z = 73.	07 (P < 0.00001)							
1.1.2 >6 to <12 years (follow	<i>i-</i> up)							
Floegel 2013	0.24	0.05	(	1	0	14.4%	1.27 [1.15, 1.40]	-
Ottosson 2018	0.75	0.14	0	l .	0	11.5%	2.12 [1.61, 2.79]	_ <b>_</b>
Shi 2018	0.79	0.15	0	1	0	11.1%	2.20 [1.64, 2.96]	_ <b>_</b>
Wang-Sattler 2012	0.81	0.28	C	1	0	6.8%	2.25 [1.30, 3.89]	
Subtotal (95% CI)			0	1	0	<b>43.9</b> %	1.86 [1.28, 2.68]	
Heterogeneity: Tau <sup>2</sup> = 0.12; (	Chi² = 24.30, df = 3	(P < 0	.0001); I² = 88%					
Test for overall effect: Z = 3.2	9 (P = 0.001)							
1.1.3 ≥12 years (follow-up)								
Tillin 2015 (European)	0.73	0.12	C	l i	0	12.3%	2.08 [1.64, 2.63]	
Tillin 2015 (S. Asian)	0.78	0.14	C	l i	0	11.5%	2.18 [1.66, 2.87]	
Wang 2011 (Framingham)	0.82	0.29	C	l .	0	6.6%	2.27 [1.29, 4.01]	
Wang 2011 (Malmo)	0.88	0.57	(	l .	0	2.5%	2.41 [0.79, 7.37]	
Subtotal (95% CI)			0		0	32.9%	2.14 [1.81, 2.53]	•
Heterogeneity: Tau <sup>2</sup> = 0.00; (	Chi² = 0.17, df = 3 (	P = 0.9	38); I² = 0%					
Test for overall effect: Z = 8.8	5 (P < 0.00001)							
Total (95% CI)			C	1	0	<b>100.0</b> %	2.01 [1.66, 2.45]	•
Heterogeneity: Tau² = 0.07; Chi² = 93.60, df = 10 (P < 0.00001); l² = 89%								
Test for overall effect: Z = 7.0	4 (P < 0.00001)							U.I U.Z U.S I Z 5 10 Eavours (control) Eavours (case)
Test for subgroup difference	s: Chi² = 0.47, df =	2 (P =	0.79), I <sup>z</sup> = 0%					ravours (control) Favours (case)

**Figure 1.** Forest plot depicting the effect sizes of the individual studies for value in each of the designated temporal subgroups [29–31,41–46].

## 3.6. Leucine

Three cohorts (Palmer et al., 2015, Stancáková et al., 2012, Lu et al., 2016) represented the zero-to-six year subgroup, where all presented a positive association (2.10–2.51) and a resulting overall OR (2.10, 95% CI = 2.02–2.18, p < 0.00001) (Figure 2) [30,31,46]. A further three cohorts (Ottosson et al., 2018, Shi et al., 2018, Wang-Sattler et al., 2012) were present in the more-than-six, but less-than-twelve year follow-up subgroup (Figure 2) [31,41,44]. Similarly, each cohort presented a positive association (OR = 2.20–2.32) and a combined OR of 2.25 (95% CI = 1.76–2.87, p < 0.00001) (Figure 2).

Within the subgroup consisting of at least twelve years' follow-up, the same four cohorts were incorporated (Figure 1), with all exhibiting a positive association (OR = 2.12–2.32) (Figure 2). This result was reflected in the overall OR (2.25; 95% CI = 1.76–2.87, p < 0.00001) (Figure 2). Heterogeneity assessments for each of the above-described three temporal subgroups was equivocal ( $I^2 = 0$ , p > 0.05 in each) (Figure 2).

## 3.7. Isoleucine

Once more, Palmer et al., 2015, Stancáková et al., 2012 and Lu et al., 2016 provided datapoints for the zero-to-six year follow-up period subgroup, with all demonstrating a positive association (OR = 2.12–2.51), as reflected in the overall subgroup value (OR = 2.12, 95% CI = 2.00–2.25, p < 0.00001) (Figure 3) [29,30,45]. As observed with the same subgroup present within the analysis for valine (Figure 3), an assessment of overall heterogeneity was equivocal (I<sup>2</sup> = 0%, p = 0.94) (Figure 3), with Palmer et al., 2015 producing a substantially wide 95% CI (0.49–12.47) (Figure 3) [29]. Four cohorts from the same studies that were present in the analysis of the valine output were present for isoleucine (Figures 1 and 3). Furthermore, all revealed a positive association (OR = 1.30–2.34), as reflected in the resulting overall subgroup OR (1.90, 95% CI = 1.27–2.84, p = 0.002) (Figure 3) [31,41,43,44]. An assessment for heterogeneity demonstrated a considerable estimate (I<sup>2</sup> = 82%, p = 0.002) (Figure 3).

				Odds Ratio	Odds Ratio
Study or Subgroup	log[Odds Ratio]	SE	Weight	IV, Random, 95% Cl	IV, Random, 95% Cl
2.1.1 0-6 years (follow-up)					
Lu 2016	0.81	0.22	0.8%	2.25 [1.46, 3.46]	
Palmer 2015	0.92	0.83	0.1%	2.51 [0.49, 12.77]	
Stancáková 2012	0.74	0.02	91.6%	2.10 [2.02, 2.18]	
Subtotal (95% CI)			92.4%	2.10 [2.02, 2.18]	•
Heterogeneity: Tau <sup>2</sup> = 0.00; C	°hi² = 0.15, df = 2 (ł	P = 0.9	(3); <b>I²</b> = 09	%	
Test for overall effect: Z = 37.3	20 (P < 0.00001)				
2.1.2 >6 to <12 years (follow	-up)				
Ottosson 2018	0.79	0.18	1.1%	2.20 [1.55, 3.14]	
Shi 2018	0.84	0.22	0.8%	2.32 [1.51, 3.57]	
Wang-Sattler 2012	0.81	0.28	0.5%	2.25 [1.30, 3.89]	
Subtotal (95% CI)			2.4%	2.25 [1.76, 2.87]	•
Heterogeneity: Tau <sup>2</sup> = 0.00; C	>hi² = 0.03, df = 2 (ł	P = 0.9	18); I <sup>2</sup> = 09	Ж	
Test for overall effect: Z = 6.49	9 (P < 0.00001)				
2.4.2 × 43 years (fellow up)					
2.1.5 ≥ 12 years (ronow-up)					
Tillin 2015 (European)	0.75	0.12	2.5%	2.12 [1.67, 2.68]	
Tillin 2016 (S. Asian)	0.77	U.14	1.9%	2.16 [1.64, 2.84]	
Wang 2011 (Framingham)	0.84	0.32	0.4%	2.32 [1.24, 4.34]	
Wang 2011 (Malmo)	0.83	0.29	0.4%	2.29 [1.30, 4.05]	
Subtotal (95% CI)			5.2%	2.16 [1.83, 2.55]	•
Heterogeneity: Tau <sup>2</sup> = 0.00; C	hi² = 0.12, df = 3 (i	P = 0.9	19); I² = 09	δ.	
Test for overall effect: Z = 9.18	8 (P < 0.00001)				
Total (95% CI)			<b>100.0</b> %	2.10 [2.03, 2.18]	•
Heterogeneity: Tau <sup>z</sup> = 0.00; C	hi² = 0.70, df = 9 (i	<sup>o</sup> = 1.0	0); <b>I²</b> = 09	%	
Test for overall effect: Z = 38.8	85 (P < 0.00001)				U.1 U.2 U.5 1 2 5 1U
Test for subgroup differences	s: Chi <sup>2</sup> = 0.40, df =	2 (P =	0.82), <b>I</b> ² =	= 0%	Favours (control) Favours (case)

**Figure 2.** Forest plot depicting the effect sizes of the individual studies for leucine in each of the designated temporal subgroups [29–31,41–46].



**Figure 3.** Forest plot depicting the effect sizes of the individual studies for isoleucine in each of the designated temporal subgroups [29–31,41–46].

As present in value and leucine, four data-points from two studies (Tillin et al., 2015 and Wang et al., 2011) were present in the subgroup with at least twelve years follow-up, where all demonstrated a positive association (OR = 2.12–2.34) and overall subgroup OR (2.16, 95% CI = 1.82–2.56, p < 0.00001) (Figure 3) [42,46]. Heterogeneity assessment was, once more, equivocal (I<sup>2</sup> = 0%, p = 0.99) (Figure 3).

#### 3.8. Synthesis of Results

#### **Risk of Bias across Studies**

Unfortunately, as only nine studies reached the synthesis stage, an assessment of publication bias was not possible in this instance.

#### 3.9. Sensitivity

Palmer et al., 2015, Lu et al., 2016, Ottosson et al., 2018 and Wang et al., 2011 were found to have published association estimates with models adjusting for less than five covariates for each of the BCAAs, resulting in their incorporation for sensitivity analysis (Table 1). The resultant output validates the rationale to include all published association estimates, irrespective of their model adjustment status (Table 3).

Tal	ole 3.	Sensitivity	analysis	of all	available	datapoints,	per	temporal	subgroup,	where	model
adj	ustmei	nt with less	than five	covar	iates was u	undertaken b	y the	publishii	ng team.		

Temporal Subgroup (Years Follow-Up)	Data Sources (Lead Author; Year of Publication; BCAAs)	Covariates (Lead Author; Variables)	OR	95% CI (Lower–Upper)	<i>p</i> -Value
0 to 6	Palmer, 2015 [29] (iso, leu, val) Lu, 2016 [30] (iso, leu, val)	Palmer; Age, sex, BMI, and AIR Lu; BMI, smoking, history of hypertension	2.28	1.77–2.94	<i>p</i> < 0.00001
>6 to <12	Ottosson, 2018 [31] (iso, leu, val)	Ottosson; age and sex	2.17	1.81-2.60	<i>p</i> < 0.00001
≥12	Wang (Malmo dataset), 2011 [42] (iso, leu, val)	Wang [Malmo dataset]; age, sex, BMI, and fasting glucose	2.27	1.59–3.25	<i>p</i> < 0.00001

Legend Iso = Isoleucine, leu = leucine, val = valine, BMI = body mass index, AIR = acute insulin response.

#### 4. Discussion

#### 4.1. Summary of Evidence

Numerous prospective studies have consistently reported an association between circulating BCAA concentrations and development of T2DM [21,28,47]. Our meta-analysis demonstrated all three BCAAs exhibit a positive association with the development of T2DM (OR = 2.01-2.10, p < 0.00001). Our findings are in accordance with those of Guasch-Ferre 2016 et al., who also demonstrated a positive association between BCAAs and incident T2DM, with a pooled risk ratio (RR) for isoleucine, leucine and valine of 1.36 (95% CI 1.24–1.48), 1.36 (1.17–1.58), and 1.35 (1.19–1.53), respectively [16].

In addition, this is the first meta-analysis to evaluate the temporal association between BCAAs and risk of developing T2DM. The findings of our meta-analysis confirm that, across each individual BCAA, a significant and consistent risk for development of T2DM was observed at each time frame, prior to incident T2DM (Figures 1–3). These positive associations between BCAAs and T2DM at variable period prior to incident T2DM suggests that elevated BCAA concentrations could potentially predict the onset of T2DM years before the clinical T2DM symptoms or sequelae of T2DM may manifest. The findings of our systematic review and their potential utility as biomarkers for T2DM is in agreement with multiple metabolomic studies that have confirmed BCAAs exhibit sufficient statistical association with T2DM to serve as risk biomarkers [16,20,42].

In addition, we observed a variation in association between the BCAAs and T2DM with valine providing the lowest overall OR (2.01, p < 0.00001) and leucine the highest (2.10, p < 0.00001) (Figures 1–3). Similarly, this association was also observed in a metaanalysis by Y Sun, 2019 et al., who demonstrated an increased association between leucine and T2DM, with valine producing the weakest association of the BCAAs, where their RRs were 1.40 (95% CI 1.27–1.44) and 1.26 (95% CI 1.18–1.34), respectively [22]. Individually, the strength of each BCAA for T2DM varies between studies. In vitro studies have demonstrated leucine to exhibit a greater affinity in activating mTORc1 compared to either isoleucine or valine which is a pathway suggested to be involved in the development of insulin resistance [48,49] Indeed, the studies included in this review reported differing strengths in association for T2DM for each individual BCAA. Y Lu, 2016 et al., and ND Palmer 2015 et al., reported a higher OR for Valine (1.66 and 2.81) when compared to leucine (1.44 and 2.4) (Figures 1 and 2) [29,30]. What is consistent throughout literature is the strength of the collective BCAAs for T2DM.

A consistent observation between valine, leucine and isoleucine is a deviation from the above-described trend in association in the intermediary temporal follow-up subgroup assessed (>6 to <12 years follow-up) (Figures 1–3). Statistical significance was achieved in all assessed subgroups specific to each BCAA, potentially reflecting a non-linear relationship between T2DM incidence and temporality with respect to the mechanistic involvement of BCAAs. A temporally stratified assessment of BCAA plasma levels are seldom reported in either case–control or cohort studies, limiting comparisons with the existing literature [15,25]. Specifically, the majority of studies only report initial and final individual or total results, dependent on the duration of follow-up. As a result, a summative appraisal of the temporal trends observed in each of the BCAAs and their association with T2DM requires further investigation.

Recent studies have suggested that defects in the catabolic pathway of BCAAs may also be responsible for the accumulation of BCAAs in the plasma [50,51]. We therefore aimed to also appraise downstream BCAA metabolites and their association with T2DM over time. Unfortunately, insufficient data were available to statistically appraise BCAA metabolites, as four of the included studies did not report these, while the remaining studies all reported on different metabolites [29,31,41,45]. As evidenced by the robust and consistent association between BCAAs and T2DM, further research into determining the role of BCAA downstream metabolites in association with T2DM, and in conjunction with their upstream sources, is warranted.

#### 4.2. Limitations

Despite the statistically significant and consistent results generated through the described approach, this study is not without limitations. Substantial inter-study heterogeneity was observed in the statistical analysis, which were likely due to demographic differences between the assessed populations. This likely also would explain the unusual trend observed in the 6–12-year sub-group. Due to a paucity in the available data, this current analysis was constrained by the inclusion of outcomes from different ethnic backgrounds, age distributions and follow-up durations. In addition, both targeted and untargeted metabolomic approaches were utilised by studies, which may also contribute to the heterogeneity, observed. Further, sources of heterogeneity were also observed per study with Palmer et al., 2015 yielded consistently for each individual BCAA analysis [29]. Equivocal result for analysis in the majority of temporal subgroups (Figures 1–3) was observed. In addition, potential confounding factors were present in the included studies, despite attempt to control for BMI, diabetic status and subgroup per study design. Although, our sensitivity analysis confirmed the feasibility in including studies with a reduced independent variable adjustment count, the differences in covariate adjustment implemented by each of the incorporated studies may explain the substantial variation in confidence intervals observed across several included studies. This may be resolved in future studies through the obtaining of crude plasma BCAA levels for the assessed populations, with

post hoc adjustment undertaken thereafter. Moreover, the extracted correlation data was insufficient for either qualitatively or quantitatively appraisal in relation to the presented findings (Table 4).

**Table 4.** Presented correlation statistics between serum BCAA levels with either of body mass index, glycated haemoglobin, insulin resistance and/or fasting glucose in the assessed studies at baseline.

		Vali				Leuci	ne					Isoleu	cine					
Load Author	BM	I	HOMA-IR		HbA1c		BM	I	HOMA	A-IR	HbA1c		BMI		HOMA	A-IR	HbA	1c
Leau Aution	Outcome	<i>p-</i> Value																
F Ottosson [31]	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Y Lu [30]	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
L Shi (S) [41]	0.24	-	0.2	0.01	-	-	0.26	-	0.25	< 0.001	-	-	0.26	-	0.29	< 0.001	-	-
T Wang (P) (Framingham) [42]	-	-	0.24	0.0008	-	-	-	-	0.24	0.0009	-	-	-	-	0.24	0.0007	-	-
T Wang (Malmo) [42]	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
A Floegel [43]	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
R Wang-Sattler (P) [44]	0.27	-	-	-	0.08	-	0.19	-	-	-	0.09	-	0.19	-	-	-	0.09	-
A Stancáková [45]	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
T Tillin (S) (European) [46]	0.22	< 0.05	0.27	< 0.05	-	-	0.18	< 0.05	0.26	< 0.05	-	-	0.23	< 0.05	0.34	< 0.05	-	-
T Tillin (S) (South.Asian) [46]	0.29	< 0.05	0.33	<0.05	-	-	0.27	<0.05	0.31	<0.05	-	-	0.31	<0.05	0.35	< 0.05	-	-
ND Palmer [29]	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-

S = Spearmans correlation test, P = Pearsons correlation test, BMI = body mass index.

Through this systematic review and meta-analysis, we demonstrated consistent associations between each BCAA and the eventual development of T2DM irrespective of follow-up period duration. Due to the implemented study design and the absence of individual-level participant data, we were unable to explore any relationships between each of the BCAAs with disease severity, the trait-pharmacology interactions, or any clinically recognized subtypes, such as gestational or monogenic diabetes. Indeed, a recent metabolomic study (Del Coco et al., 2019) validated the existence of relationship heterogeneity between BCAAs and manifestations of diabetes mellitus beyond a recent-onset, metabolic syndrome implicated clinical presentation. [52] We therefore recommend further investigation into the specific associations between the recognized subtypes of diabetes mellitus, with the consideration of time as a separate variable, in future work.

## 5. Conclusions

In summation, the findings from this meta-analysis demonstrate that alterations in Plasma BCAA concentrations are associated with T2DM incidence, independent of the baseline plasma BCAA levels. We suggest the potential utility of BCAAs as biomarkers, which reflect early changes in T2DM. Detection of these changes years before the onset of physiological symptoms may be crucial for the early diagnosis and development of novel interventions.

**Supplementary Materials:** The following supporting information can be downloaded at: https://www. mdpi.com/article/10.3390/nu14204411/s1, Supplementary S1: PRISMA checklist; Supplementary S2: PICOS; Supplementary S3: PRISMA flow diagram; Supplementary S4: Risk of Bias; Supplementary S5: All data base search.

Author Contributions: One researcher (I.R.) is the first author for this study. Study conception—I.R.; study lead—I.R.; logistical planning, allocation and implementation—I.R. and A.A.; literature search—I.R.; data extraction—A.M.; risk of bias assessment—I.R., F.V. and A.A.; statistical contribution—A.A., manuscript composition—I.R. and A.A.; preliminary draft review—I.R. and A.A.; senior review and revision—I.I. and P.J.A.; approval of final manuscript for submission—I.I. All authors have read and agreed to the published version of the manuscript.

**Funding:** No direct funding towards this study was received. One contributing author (Arash Ardavani) is the recipient of the Novo Nordisk UK Research Foundation (Registered Charity number 1056410), Research Fellow Scholarship; we thank them for providing their support. A second author (Froukje Vanweert) was financially supported by the Foundation "De Drie Lichten" in The Netherlands and by the EMBO Scientific Exchange Grant (number 9142).

Institutional Review Board Statement: Not applicable.

**Informed Consent Statement:** Informed consent was deemed to be implicit through the citation of peer reviewed studies, where patient identifies were precluded, for the purposes of this academic investigation.

**Data Availability Statement:** The data presented in this study are available on request from the corresponding author.

Acknowledgments: This work was supported by the Medical Research Council [grant number MR/P021220/1] as part of the MRC-Versus Arthritis Centre for Musculoskeletal Ageing Research awarded to the Universities of Nottingham and Birmingham, and the National Institute for Health Research, United Kingdom, Nottingham Biomedical Research Centre.

**Conflicts of Interest:** Ramzan I: No disclosures. Ardavani A: No disclosures. Vanweert F: No disclosures. Mellett A: No disclosures. Atherton PJ: No disclosures. Idris I: No disclosures.

#### Abbreviations

BCAA	Branch Chain Amino Acid
BCKA	Branched-chain α-ketoacids
mTORc1	Mammalian Target Rapamycin Complex 1
NICE	National Institute for Clinical Excellence
PRISMA	Preferred Reporting Items for Systematic Reviews and Meta-Analyses
PICOS	Population, Intervention, Comparison and Studies
PROSPERO	International Prospective Register of Systematic Reviews
T2DM	Type 2 Diabetes Mellitus
BMI	Body Mass Index
WHO	World Health Organisation
ID	Identification
IR	Insulin Resistance
SD	Standard Deviation
C2	Aceylcarnitine
CI	Confidence Interval
TSA	Trial Sequential Analysis
FBC	Fasting Blood Glucose
BP	Blood Pressure
IV	Inverse Variance
ORs	Odds Ratios
RoB	Risk of Bias
SMD	Standardised Mean Difference

## References

- Abdelaal, M.; le Roux, C.W.; Docherty, N.G. Morbidity and mortality associated with obesity. *Ann. Transl. Med.* 2017, 5, 161. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 2. World Health Organization. Obesity and Overweight Facts: How Do We Define Obesity and Global Strategy on World Health. 2003. Available online: https://www.who.int/news-room/fact-sheets/detail/obesity-and-overweight (accessed on 1 September 2022).
- Herman, W.H.; Ye, W.; Griffin, S.J.; Simmons, R.K.; Davies, M.J.; Khunti, K.; Rutten, G.E.; Sandbaek, A.; Lauritzen, T.; Borch-Johnsen, K.; et al. Early Detection and Treatment of Type 2 Diabetes Reduce Cardiovascular Morbidity and Mortality: A Simulation of the Results of the Anglo-Danish-Dutch Study of Intensive Treatment in People With Screen-Detected Diabetes in Primary Care (ADDITION-Europe). *Diabetes Care* 2015, *38*, 1449–1455. [CrossRef]
- 4. Clish, C.B. Metabolomics: An emerging but powerful tool for precision medicine. Mol. Case Stud. 2015, 1, a000588. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Wang, J.H.; Byun, J.; Pennathur, S. Analytical Approaches to Metabolomics and Applications to Systems Biology. *Semin. Nephrol.* 2010, 30, 500–511. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Johnson, C.H.; Ivanisevic, J.; Siuzdak, G. Metabolomics: Beyond biomarkers and towards mechanisms. *Nat. Rev. Mol. Cell Biol.* 2016, 17, 451–459. [CrossRef]

- Jin, Q.; Ma, R.C.W. Metabolomics in Diabetes and Diabetic Complications: Insights from Epidemiological Studies. *Cells* 2021, 10, 2832. [CrossRef]
- Pallares-Méndez, R.; Aguilar-Salinas, C.A.; Cruz-Bautista, I.; del Bosque-Plata, L. Metabolomics in diabetes, a review. Ann. Med. 2016, 8, 89–102. Available online: http://search.ebscohost.com/login.aspx?direct=true&scope=site&site=ehost-live&db=mdc& AN=26883715 (accessed on 1 September 2022). [CrossRef]
- Cuomo, P.; Capparelli, R.; Iannelli, A.; Iannelli, D. Role of Branched-Chain Amino Acid Metabolism in Type 2 Diabetes, Obesity, Cardiovascular Disease and Non-Alcoholic Fatty Liver Disease. *Int. J. Mol. Sci.* 2022, 23, 4325. [CrossRef]
- 10. Holeček, M. Branched-chain amino acids in health and disease: Metabolism, alterations in blood plasma, and as supplements. *Nutr. Metab.* **2018**, *15*, 33. [CrossRef]
- 11. Merz, B.; Frommherz, L.; Rist, M.J.; Kulling, S.E.; Bub, A.; Watzl, B. Dietary Pattern and Plasma BCAA-Variations in Healthy Men and Women—Results from the KarMeN Study. *Nutrients* **2018**, *10*, 623. [CrossRef]
- 12. Rousseau, M.; Guénard, F.; Garneau, V.; Allam-Ndoul, B.; Lemieux, S.; Pérusse, L.; Vohl, M.-C. Associations Between Dietary Protein Sources, Plasma BCAA and Short-Chain Acylcarnitine Levels in Adults. *Nutrients* **2019**, *11*, 173. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 13. Williams, M. Dietary Supplements and Sports Performance: Amino Acids. J. Int. Soc. Sports Nutr. 2005, 2, 63–67. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 14. Isanejad, M.; LaCroix, A.Z.; Thomson, C.A.; Tinker, L.; Larson, J.C.; Qi, Q.; Qi, L.; Cooper-DeHoff, R.M.; Phillips, L.S.; Prentice, R.L.; et al. Branched-chain amino acid, meat intake and risk of type 2 diabetes in the Women's Health Initiative. *Br. J. Nutr.* 2017, 117, 1523–1530. Available online: http://gateway.proquest.com/openurl?ctx\_ver=Z39.88-2004&res\_id=xri: pqm&req\_dat=xri:pqil:pq\_clntid=145298&rft\_val\_fmt=ori/fmt:kev:mtx:journal&genre=article&issn=0007-1145&volume=117& issue=11&spage=1523 (accessed on 1 September 2022). [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 15. Würtz, P.; Soininen, P.; Kangas, A.J.; Rönnemaa, T.; Lehtimäki, T.; Kähönen, M.; Viikari, J.S.; Raitakari, O.T.; Ala-Korpela, M. Branched-Chain and Aromatic Amino Acids Are Predictors of Insulin Resistance in Young Adults. *Diabetes Care* 2013, *36*, 648–655. [CrossRef]
- Guasch-Ferré, M.; Hruby, A.; Toledo, E.; Clish, C.B.; Martínez-González, M.A.; Salas-Salvadó, J.; Hu, F.B. Metabolomics in Prediabetes and Diabetes: A Systematic Review and Meta-analysis. *Diabetes Care* 2016, 39, 833–846. [CrossRef]
- Ramzan, I.; Taylor, M.; Phillips, B.; Wilkinson, D.; Smith, K.; Hession, K.; Idris, I.; Atherton, P. A Novel Dietary Intervention Reduces Circulatory Branched-Chain Amino Acids by 50%: A Pilot Study of Relevance for Obesity and Diabetes. *Nutrients* 2020, 13, 95. [CrossRef]
- Karusheva, Y.; Koessler, T.; Strassburger, K.; Markgraf, D.; Mastrototaro, L.; Jelenik, T.; Simon, M.-C.; Pesta, D.; Zaharia, O.-P.; Bódis, K.; et al. Short-term dietary reduction of branched-chain amino acids reduces meal-induced insulin secretion and modifies microbiome composition in type 2 diabetes: A randomized controlled crossover trial. *Am. J. Clin. Nutr.* 2019, *110*, 1098–1107. [CrossRef]
- Kramer, N.B.; Evers, S.S.; Shin, J.H.; Silverwood, S.; Wang, Y.; Burant, C.F.; Sandoval, D.A.; Seeley, R.J. The Role of Elevated Branched-Chain Amino Acids in the Effects of Vertical Sleeve Gastrectomy to Reduce Weight and Improve Glucose Regulation. *Cell Rep.* 2020, 33, 108239. [CrossRef]
- 20. Long, J.; Yang, Z.; Wang, L.; Han, Y.; Peng, C.; Yan, C.; Yan, D. Metabolite biomarkers of type 2 diabetes mellitus and pre-diabetes: A systematic review and meta-analysis. *BMC Endocr. Disord.* **2020**, *20*, 174. [CrossRef]
- Flores-Guerrero, J.L.; Osté, M.C.J.; Kieneker, L.M.; Gruppen, E.G.; Wolak-Dinsmore, J.; Otvos, J.D.; Connelly, M.A.; Bakker, S.J.L.; Dullaart, R.P.F. Plasma Branched-Chain Amino Acids and Risk of Incident Type 2 Diabetes: Results from the PREVEND Prospective Cohort Study. J. Clin. Med. 2018, 7, 513. [CrossRef]
- 22. Sun, Y.; Gao, H.-Y.; Fan, Z.-Y.; He, Y.; Yan, Y.-X. Metabolomics Signatures in Type 2 Diabetes: A Systematic Review and Integrative Analysis. *J. Clin. Endocrinol. Metab.* **2019**, *105*, 1000–1008. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Song, J.W.; Chung, K.C. Observational Studies: Cohort and Case-Control Studies. *Plast. Reconstr. Surg.* 2010, 126, 2234–2242. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 24. Mirmiran, P.; Bahadoran, Z.; Esfandyari, S.; Azizi, F. Dietary Protein and Amino Acid Profiles in Relation to Risk of Dysglycemia: Findings from a Prospective Population-Based Study. *Nutrients* **2017**, *9*, 971. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 25. Asghari, G.; Farhadnejad, H.; Teymoori, F.; Mirmiran, P.; Tohidi, M.; Azizi, F. High dietary intake of branched-chain amino acids is associated with an increased risk of insulin resistance in adults. *J. Diabetes* **2018**, *10*, 357–364. [CrossRef]
- Lee, C.C.; Watkins, S.M.; Lorenzo, C.; Wagenknecht, L.E.; Il'Yasova, D.; Chen, Y.-D.I.; Haffner, S.M.; Hanley, A.J. Branched-Chain Amino Acids and Insulin Metabolism: The Insulin Resistance Atherosclerosis Study (IRAS). *Diabetes Care* 2016, 39, 582–588. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 27. Chen, T.; Ni, Y.; Ma, X.; Bao, Y.; Liu, J.; Huang, F.; Hu, C.; Xie, G.; Zhao, A.; Jia, W.; et al. Branched-chain and aromatic amino acid profiles and diabetes risk in Chinese populations. *Sci. Rep.* **2016**, *6*, 20594. [CrossRef]
- Geidenstam, N.; Al-Majdoub, M.; Ekman, M.; Spégel, P.; Ridderstråle, M. Metabolite profiling of obese individuals before and after a one year weight loss program. *Int. J. Obes.* 2017, 41, 1369–1378. [CrossRef]
- Palmer, N.D.; Stevens, R.D.; Antinozzi, P.A.; Anderson, A.; Bergman, R.N.; Wagenknecht, L.E.; Newgard, C.B.; Bowden, D.W. Metabolomic Profile Associated With Insulin Resistance and Conversion to Diabetes in the Insulin Resistance Atherosclerosis Study. J. Clin. Endocrinol. Metab. 2015, 100, E463–E468. [CrossRef]
- Lu, Y.; Wang, Y.; Ong, C.-N.; Subramaniam, T.; Choi, H.W.; Yuan, J.-M.; Koh, W.-P.; Pan, A. Metabolic signatures and risk of type 2 diabetes in a Chinese population: An untargeted metabolomics study using both LC-MS and GC-MS. *Diabetologia* 2016, 59, 2349–2359. [CrossRef]

- Ottosson, F.; Smith, E.; Melander, O.; Fernandez, C. Altered Asparagine and Glutamate Homeostasis Precede Coronary Artery Disease and Type 2 Diabetes. J. Clin. Endocrinol. Metab. 2018, 103, 3060–3069. [CrossRef]
- 32. Yoon, M.-S. The Role of Mammalian Target of Rapamycin (mTOR) in Insulin Signaling. Nutrients 2017, 9, 1176. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 33. Lee, S.; Gulseth, H.L.; Langleite, T.M.; Norheim, F.; Olsen, T.; Refsum, H.; Jensen, J.; Birkeland, K.I.; Drevon, C.A. Branchedchain amino acid metabolism, insulin sensitivity and liver fat response to exercise training in sedentary dysglycaemic and normoglycaemic men. *Diabetologia* **2020**, *64*, 410–423. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Wada, E.; Kobayashi, M.; Kohno, D.; Kikuchi, O.; Suga, T.; Matsui, S.; Yokota-Hashimoto, H.; Honzawa, N.; Ikeuchi, Y.; Tsuneoka, H.; et al. Disordered branched chain amino acid catabolism in pancreatic islets is associated with postprandial hypersecretion of glucagon in diabetic mice. *J. Nutr. Biochem.* 2021, 97, 108811. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 35. Diabetes Branch Chain Amino Acid Time Progression-Search Results-PubMed. Available online: https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih. gov/?term=diabetesbranchchainaminoacidtimeprogression&page=4 (accessed on 25 July 2022).
- Moher, D.; Liberati, A.; Tetzlaff, J.; Altman, D.G.; the PRISMA Group. Preferred reporting items for systematic reviews and meta-analyses: The PRISMA statement. *BMJ* 2009, 339, b2535. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- The Newcastle-Ottawa Scale (NOS) for Assessing the Quality if Nonrandomized Studies in Meta-Analyses-ScienceOpen. Available online: https://www.scienceopen.com/document?vid=54b48470-4655-4081-b5d4-e8ebe8d1792e (accessed on 2 December 2020).
- 38. What Is Heterogeneity? Available online: https://handbook-5-1.cochrane.org/chapter\_9/9\_5\_1\_what\_is\_heterogeneity.htm (accessed on 2 December 2020).
- Identifying Publication Bias in Meta-Analyses of Continuous Outcomes | Cochrane Training. Available online: https://training. cochrane.org/resource/identifying-publication-bias-meta-analyses-continuous-outcomes (accessed on 26 January 2021).
- 40. Chapter 10: Analysing Data and Undertaking Meta-Analyses | Cochrane Training. Available online: https://training.cochrane.org/handbook/current/chapter-10 (accessed on 27 January 2021).
- Shi, L.; Brunius, C.; Lehtonen, M.; Auriola, S.; Bergdahl, I.A.; Rolandsson, O.; Hanhineva, K.; Landberg, R. Plasma metabolites associated with type 2 diabetes in a Swedish population: A case-control study nested in a prospective cohort. *Diabetologia* 2018, 61, 849–861. [CrossRef]
- 42. Wang, T.J.; Larson, M.G.; Vasan, R.S.; Cheng, S.; Rhee, E.P.; McCabe, E.; Lewis, G.D.; Fox, C.S.; Jacques, P.F.; Fernandez, C.; et al. Metabolite profiles and the risk of developing diabetes. *Nat. Med.* **2011**, *17*, 448–453. [CrossRef]
- 43. Floegel, A.; Stefan, N.; Yu, Z.; Mühlenbruch, K.; Drogan, D.; Joost, H.-G.; Fritsche, A.; Häring, H.-U.; De Angelis, M.H.; Peters, A.; et al. Identification of Serum Metabolites Associated With Risk of Type 2 Diabetes Using a Targeted Metabolomic Approach. *Diabetes* 2013, *62*, 639–648. [CrossRef]
- 44. Wang-Sattler, R.; Yu, Z.; Herder, C.; Messias, A.; Floegel, A.; He, Y.; Heim, K.; Campillos, M.; Holzapfel, C.; Thorand, B.; et al. Novel biomarkers for pre-diabetes identified by metabolomics. *Mol. Syst. Biol.* **2012**, *8*, 615. [CrossRef]
- 45. Stancáková, A.; Civelek, M.; Saleem, N.K.; Soininen, P.; Kangas, A.J.; Cederberg, H.; Paananen, J.; Pihlajamäki, J.; Bonnycastle, L.L.; Morken, M.A.; et al. Hyperglycemia and a common variant of GCKR are associated with the levels of eight amino acids in 9,369 Finnish men. *Diabetes* 2012, *61*, 1895–1902. Available online: http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pmc/articles/PMC3379649 (accessed on 1 September 2022). [CrossRef]
- 46. Tillin, T.; Hughes, A.; Wang, Q.; Würtz, P.; Ala-Korpela, M.; Sattar, N.; Forouhi, N.; Godsland, I.F.; Eastwood, S.; McKeigue, P.M.; et al. Diabetes risk and amino acid profiles: Cross-sectional and prospective analyses of ethnicity, amino acids and diabetes in a South Asian and European cohort from the SABRE (Southall And Brent REvisited) Study. *Diabetologia* 2015, 58, 968–979. [CrossRef]
- Chen, S.; Akter, S.; Kuwahara, K.; Matsushita, Y.; Nakagawa, T.; Konishi, M.; Honda, T.; Yamamoto, S.; Hayashi, T.; Noda, M.; et al. Serum amino acid profiles and risk of type 2 diabetes among Japanese adults in the Hitachi Health Study. *Sci. Rep.* 2019, *9*, 7010. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Efeyan, A.; Zoncu, R.; Sabatini, D.M. Amino acids and mTORC1: From lysosomes to disease. *Trends Mol. Med.* 2012, 18, 524–533. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Yoon, M.-S. The Emerging Role of Branched-Chain Amino Acids in Insulin Resistance and Metabolism. *Nutrients* 2016, *8*, 405. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 50. White, P.J.; Lapworth, A.L.; An, J.; Wang, L.; McGarrah, R.W.; Stevens, R.D.; Ilkayeva, O.; George, T.; Muehlbauer, M.J.; Bain, J.R.; et al. Branched-chain amino acid restriction in Zucker-fatty rats improves muscle insulin sensitivity by enhancing efficiency of fatty acid oxidation and acyl-glycine export. *Mol. Metab.* **2016**, *5*, 538–551. [CrossRef]
- 51. Lynch, C.J.; Adams, S.H. Branched-chain amino acids in metabolic signalling and insulin resistance. *Nat. Rev. Endocrinol.* **2014**, *10*, 723–736. [CrossRef]
- Del Coco, L.; Vergara, D.; de Matteis, S.; Mensà, E.; Sabbatinelli, J.; Prattichizzo, F.; Bonfigli, A.R.; Storci, G.; Bravaccini, S.; Pirini, F.; et al. NMR-Based Metabolomic Approach Tracks Potential Serum Biomarkers of Disease Progression in Patients with Type 2 Diabetes Mellitus. J. Clin. Med. 2019, 8, 720. [CrossRef]