

## Tuberculosis State Is Associated with Expression of Toll-Like Receptor 2 in Sputum Macrophages

# Karim Lakehal,<sup>a</sup> David Levine,<sup>b</sup> Kathleen F. Kerr,<sup>b</sup> Pooja Vir,<sup>a</sup> Natalie Bruiners,<sup>a</sup> Alfred Lardizabal,<sup>c</sup> Maria Laura Gennaro,<sup>a</sup> Richard Pine<sup>a</sup>

Public Health Research Institute, New Jersey Medical School, Rutgers, The State University of New Jersey, Newark, New Jersey, USA<sup>a</sup>; Department of Biostatistics, University of Washington, Seattle, Washington, USA<sup>b</sup>; Global Tuberculosis Institute, New Jersey Medical School, Rutgers, The State University of New Jersey, Newark, New Jersey, USA<sup>c</sup>

**ABSTRACT** During tuberculosis, macrophages are critical for both pathogen survival and host immune activation. Since expression of particular cell surface markers reflects cell function, we used flow cytometry to measure the abundance of surface markers associated with polarity, lipid uptake, or pattern recognition on macrophages found in induced sputum. Nine macrophage surface markers were examined from three groups of donors: infection-free, latent tuberculosis infection, and active pulmonary tuberculosis. Using a trend test, we found that expression of Toll-like receptor 2 was greater from absence of infection to latent infection and from latent infection to active tuberculosis. The results point to the possibility that innate immune cell phenotypes be used to distinguish among tuberculosis infection stages. Moreover, this study shows that readily accessible sputum macrophages have potential for tuberculosis diagnosis and prognosis.

**IMPORTANCE** *Mycobacterium tuberculosis* is an intracellular pathogen that parasitizes the host macrophage. While approximately two billion people are infected worldwide, only 5 to 10% become diseased with pulmonary tuberculosis, at least in the absence of comorbidities. Tuberculosis control requires development of noninvasive methods probing the host immune status to help distinguish latent infection from active tuberculosis. With such methods, high-risk individuals could be targeted for treatment before disease manifestation. Previous investigations have been based on examination of peripheral blood cells or, more rarely, lung macrophages obtained with invasive procedures, such as bronchoalveolar lavages. Here we show that differences exist in the expression of a surface protein (Toll-like receptor 2) between macrophages recovered from the sputum of individuals in different diagnostic groups: i.e., infection free, latent tuberculosis infection, and active pulmonary tuberculosis. Thus, phenotypic analysis of local macrophages obtained with noninvasive procedures can help distinguish among tuberculosis infection stages.

**KEYWORDS** immunophenotyping, innate immunity, macrophage surface markers, pattern recognition receptors, tuberculosis biomarkers

Most of the 1.5 million annual deaths due to tuberculosis (TB) result from activation of latent *Mycobacterium tuberculosis* infection. Consequently, an important public health goal is to identify individuals who are progressing from latent infection to active disease before they become symptomatic and contagious. Existing diagnostics for *M. tuberculosis* infection, which rely on adaptive immune responses, such as delayed hypersensitivity or cytokine release by antigen-specific T cells (1), fail to meet this challenge (2). In contrast, recent transcriptomic analysis of peripheral blood cells points to innate immune cells as potential indicators of infection stage (3).

Received 4 September 2017 Accepted 12 October 2017 Published 1 November 2017 Citation Lakehal K, Levine D, Kerr KF, Vir P, Bruiners N, Lardizabal A, Gennaro ML, Pine R. 2017. Tuberculosis state is associated with expression of Toll-like receptor 2 in sputum macrophages. mSphere 2:e00475-17. https://

doi.org/10.1128/mSphere.00475-17. Editor Helene F. Rosenberg, IIS/LAD/NIAID/ NIH

**Copyright** © 2017 Lakehal et al. This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International license.

Address correspondence to Maria Laura Gennaro, marila.gennaro@rutgers.edu.



Among innate immune cells, macrophages are central in tuberculosis pathogenesis: these cells are parasitized by the pathogen, and they participate in establishing and maintaining chronic infection as well as in determining the immunopathology of active disease (4, 5). The remarkable functional plasticity of macrophages, which change phenotypes and functions in response to various environmental signals (6, 7), is often explored by monitoring expression of surface protein markers. Thus, it is conceivable that macrophage surface markers and the underlying phenotypes change with the spectrum of tuberculosis infection, presumably reflecting stage-specific microenvironments and cellular functions.

Multiple classes of surface protein markers have been used for macrophage phenotyping. For example, markers of macrophage polarization, which reflects the cell's activation state, classify macrophages into two broad groups—the M1 and the M2 macrophages (6, 7). M1 macrophages participate in defense against intracellular pathogens (6, 8), including *M. tuberculosis* (5), while M2 cells likely create a favorable environment for intracellular microbial growth (9–11), due in part to reduced antimicrobial effector functions (12). Other macrophage responses to microbial infections are determined by protein receptors that recognize pathogen-associated molecular patterns (13). Expression of lipid receptors may also provide information about the functional state of macrophages during tuberculosis, since *M. tuberculosis* infection disrupts lipid homeostasis in macrophages (14–16). Thus, a variety of surface markers are available to characterize the relationship between macrophage functional phenotypes and tuberculosis state.

Another consideration for phenotyping efforts is the source of macrophages. In particular, studies of blood monocytes (3) are not preferred, because blood cells reflect systemic effects of infection rather than the lung environment where *M. tuberculosis* infection occurs (4). The respiratory locale can be examined by studying the macrophages from alveoli and lower airways; however, recovery of alveolar macrophages requires invasive bronchoalveolar lavage, which limits the availability of cells for analysis. A more suitable approach is to recover lower airway macrophages by sputum induction, a noninvasive, highly tolerable practice used for research and clinical management of several lung diseases, including tuberculosis (17–25). To date, such studies have not examined associations between immunophenotypes of macrophages found in sputum and tuberculosis infection state.

In the present study, we assessed the abundance of nine protein markers on the surface of sputum macrophages that are associated with macrophage polarization, pattern recognition, or lipid metabolism. We compared sputum macrophages from control subjects not latently infected with TB (LTBI–), latently infected subjects (LTBI+), and patients diagnosed with active pulmonary tuberculosis (PTB) to determine whether phenotypes of innate immune cells vary with tuberculosis infection stages. We find the abundance of Toll-like receptor 2 (TLR2) on the surface of sputum macrophages varies with tuberculosis infection stage.

## RESULTS

**Population and sample characteristics.** Ninety-four (61%) of 154 sputum samples yielded sufficient cell numbers ( $\geq 4 \times 10^6$  total cells) for flow cytometry. The demographic characteristics of sputum donors (n = 94) are shown in Table S1 in the supplemental material. The key sputum characteristics were ~7 ± 2 ml of sample and ~(9 ± 5) × 10<sup>6</sup> total cells, of which 45% ± 14% were macrophages (CD14 and CD11c double-positive cells).

**Expression of surface markers in sputum macrophages and tuberculosis state.** To identify phenotypic differences among sputum macrophages obtained from subjects representing the different *M. tuberculosis* infection states (no latent TB infection [LTBI–], latent TB infection [LTBI+], and active pulmonary tuberculosis [PTB]), we measured the abundance of nine surface protein markers associated with macrophage function by flow cytometry (Table 1). We then investigated associations between surface marker levels and infection classification by linear regression analysis. We

TABLE 1	Surface	protein	markers	evaluated	in	this	stud	y
---------	---------	---------	---------	-----------	----	------	------	---

Marker(s)	Function	Reference(s)
CD36	M2 marker, uptake of fatty acids and oxidized low-density lipoprotein, relevant to mycobacterial pathogenesis	36, 37
CD64	M1 marker (Fc-gamma receptor 1), binding to monomeric IgG-type antibodies, macrophage activation	38
CD80, CD86	M1 markers, costimulatory signals necessary for T cell activation and survival	39
CD163	M2 marker, macrophage iron uptake, relevant to mycobacterial pathogenesis	40
CD206	Pattern recognition receptor (mannose receptor), M. tuberculosis uptake	41, 42
LDLR	Cell surface receptor, cholesterol homeostasis	43
TLR2, TLR4	Pattern recognition receptors, interaction with M. tuberculosis lipoproteins and lipopolysaccharides	13, 41

observed that the levels of several markers varied with infection state (Fig. 1 and column  $\beta$  in Table 2 [adjusted for donor's age and plasma levels of C-reactive protein [CRP] as a control for nonspecific effects related to inflammation]). Only the trend for TLR2 expression was statistically significant (P < 0.001) (Table 2). Results were similar when the analysis was adjusted for CRP alone, donor's age alone, or neither (data not shown). Association of TLR2 expression with severity of disease (expressed as extent of lung cavitation) was not statistically significant (P = 0.06) (see Table S2 in the supplemental material).



**FIG 1** Expression levels of nine sputum macrophage markers by infection state. For each marker, the expression level was calculated as  $\log_2$  of the ratio of the mean fluorescence intensity (MFI) of the marker to the MFI of an isotype-matched control antibody. Each panel represents one marker. The box plots show the first quartile, median, and third quartile of the distribution. The lower whisker extends from the first quartile to the smallest value of at most  $1.5 \times$  the interquartile range (IQR). The upper whisker extends from the third quartile to the largest value of at most  $1.5 \times$  IQR. Values exceeding the whisker limits are plotted individually. As shown in Table 2, the *P* value for trend was statistically significant only for TLR2 (*P* << 0.05); all other *P* values were >0.05. LTBI-, no tuberculosis infection; LTBI+, latent tuberculosis infection; PTB, active pulmonary tuberculosis.



TABLE 2	Test for	r trend	of	association	of	infection	state	with	sputum	macrophage	marker
expressio	n <sup>a</sup>										

Marker	n	β	SE	P value
CD36	34	0.23	0.19	0.2348
CD64	44	0.12	0.31	0.7009
CD80	46	0.08	0.12	0.4952
CD86	46	0.23	0.16	0.1612
CD163	45	0.19	0.14	0.1811
CD206	89	0.06	0.10	0.5698
LDLR	43	0.03	0.16	0.8467
TLR2	91	0.30	0.09	0.0009
TLR4	45	0.15	0.17	0.3734

<sup>a</sup>The expression level of each marker was calculated as described in the legend to Fig. 1. The test for trend is described in Materials and Methods. *n*, number of observations;  $\beta$ , regression slope; SE, estimated standard error.

We conclude that the level of TLR2 (but not TLR4) changes with tuberculosis infection state but not with tuberculosis disease severity. The results do not indicate that macrophage polarity or the expression of the other selected markers tested distinguishes among tuberculosis infection states.

## DISCUSSION

Immunophenotyping of sputum macrophages from three tuberculosis diagnostic groups showed that (i) macrophages in sputum expressed both M1 and M2 polarization markers, thus recapitulating the simultaneous presence of M1 and M2 phenotypes of alveolar and granuloma macrophages in pulmonary tuberculosis (26–29), and (ii) among the markers tested, only TLR2 exhibited a statistically significant trend of increased abundance across the three diagnostic groups. This result is consistent with the elevated level of TLR2 gene expression in blood cells and in sputum cells from pulmonary tuberculosis patients relative to control subjects (3, 30). While a marker or markers that can conclusively distinguish between active tuberculosis and latent infection remain to be identified, our study unequivocally demonstrates that (i) differences exist in the expression of innate immune markers in relation to tuberculosis state, and (ii) easily obtained sputum macrophages can be used to detect those differences.

Our work advances immunodiagnostic tuberculosis research on multiple fronts. First, by providing the first evidence for an association between immunophenotypes of macrophages found in sputum and tuberculosis infection state, the present study identifies innate immune cell phenotyping as a promising biomarker discovery space. In contrast, much of tuberculosis biomarker discovery has previously focused largely on adaptive (T cell) immune responses (31), with overall disappointing results (2, 32, 33), despite indications that myeloid cell signatures in blood differ between active tuberculosis and latent infection (34). Second, our approach shows the value of characterizing cells in sputum, while previous sputum investigations of pulmonary disease, including tuberculosis, addressed cell differentials and detection of fluid-phase inflammatory and immunomodulatory molecules (17-25). Finally, even when sputum cells (but not specifically macrophages) were characterized for expression of genes associated with innate and adaptive immune responses associated with tuberculosis (30), donors having latent tuberculosis infection with normal chest X rays, who represent the largest reservoir of M. tuberculosis infection (35), were not included in the study. Our study strongly supports the possibility that characterization of readily obtainable sputum macrophages for surface protein markers that can be detected by clinical flow cytometry constitutes a novel approach for identification of infection state markers for tuberculosis diagnosis and prognosis.

#### **MATERIALS AND METHODS**

**Donor recruitment.** Participants were recruited from two regional chest clinics in Essex and Middlesex Counties, New Jersey. All participants provided informed consent as approved by the Rutgers University Institutional Review Board.

**Clinical definitions of tuberculosis state.** Active pulmonary tuberculosis (PTB) was defined based on symptoms suggestive of active tuberculosis (i.e., cough for more than 2 weeks, fever, night sweats, and weight loss) and/or an abnormal chest radiograph consistent with PTB. Diagnosis was confirmed by *M. tuberculosis* culture-positive sputum, regardless of initial sputum smear findings (i.e., presence/ absence of acid-fast bacilli). Latent *M. tuberculosis* infection (LTBI+) was defined by lack of symptoms, a negative chest radiograph, and a positive tuberculin skin test (induration of  $\geq 10$  mm) or interferon gamma release assay with QuantiFERON-TB Gold (according to the manufacturer's guidelines). Absence of *M. tuberculosis* infection (LTBI-) was defined by a negative tuberculin skin test or interferon gamma release assay. Participants with PTB were enrolled within 8 weeks after initiation of antituberculosis treatment. All donors were free from HIV-1 infection.

PTB disease severity was defined by examining chest radiographs and determining the extent of lung cavitation, which was measured at the point of maximum diameter in 6-ft posteroanterior chest X-ray films. Patients were grouped as follows: 1, absence of cavitation; 2, presence of single or multiple cavities with a diameter of <4 cm in aggregate; or 3, presence of single or multiple cavities with a diameter of  $\geq$ 4 cm in aggregate.

**Plasma levels of CRP.** CRP measurements were obtained by using particle-enhanced immunoturbidimetric assays and Roche Cobas c501 analyzers.

**Induced sputum.** To minimize contamination from the oral cavity, participants were asked to brush, rinse, and gargle with tap water until the returned fluid was free of debris. An SU99 ultrasonic nebulizer (WestPrime Health Care, Chino, CA) was used to produce a mist of 3% saline solution that patients were instructed to deeply inhale and exhale through the mouth. Inhalation was interrupted each time the patient expectorated sputum into a sterile container; inhalation was resumed until ~10 ml of sputum was obtained or until a maximum of 20 min of inhalation was reached. Sputum samples were stored at 4°C for  $\leq 2$  h, diluted with 1 volume of phosphate-buffered saline (PBS) at pH 7.4 and 0.1 volume of 100 mM dithiothreitol, shaken for 10 min on a platform rocker, gravity filtered twice through a 70- $\mu$ m-pore nylon strainer, and centrifuged for 10 min at 450  $\times$  *g* at 4°C. Cells were washed twice and resuspended in PBS; the total cell number was determined using a Neubauer hemocytometer. Cells were centrifuged again and resuspended in PBS plus 1% bovine serum albumin at a density of 2.5  $\times$  10<sup>6</sup> cells/ml for antibody staining. All procedures were approved by the Rutgers University Institutional Biosafety Committee.

**Measurement of macrophage cell surface markers by flow cytometry.** Sputum cells ( $1 \times 10^{\circ}$ ) were incubated for 30 min on ice with specific mouse anti-human monoclonal antibodies or isotype-matched negative-control antibodies. The following antibodies were used: anti-CD14–Alexa 700 clone M5E2, anti-CD11c–phycoerythrin (PE)–CY7 clone B-LY6, anti-TLR2–fluorescein isothiocyanate (FITC) clone TL2.1, anti-CD163–PE clone GHI/61, and anti-TLR4–allophycocyanin (APC) clone HTA125 from Becton, Dickinson, San Jose, CA; anti-CD80–FITC clone 2D10.4, anti-CD86–PE clone IT2.2, anti-CD26–Efluor450 clone 19.2, anti-CD64–Pacific Blue clone 10.1, anti-CD36–APC/CY7 clone 5-271, isotype control mouse IgG2a APC/Cy7 clone MOPC-173, and mouse IgG1 Pacific Blue clone MOPC-21 from BioLegend, San Diego, CA; anti-low-density lipoprotein receptor (LDLR)–APC clone 472413 from R&D, Minneapolis, MN; and isotype control mouse IgG1 (FITC, APC, or eFluor450 conjugated) clone P3.6.2.8.1 from EBioscience, San Diego, CA. After incubation with antibody, cells were washed twice with phosphate-buffered saline and fixed in 4% paraformaldehyde for 30 min. A total of 100,000 events per sample was recorded with a Becton, Dickinson LSR II flow cytometer, which was calibrated with Calibrite beads (Becton, Dickinson, San Jose, CA). Data were analyzed by FlowJo Software v.7.6.5 (FlowJo, LLC, Ashland, OR).

Identification of macrophages in all samples was based on forward and side light scattering and on positivity for both CD14 and CD11c macrophage markers. Experimental markers were tested in two separate panels to accommodate the numbers of macrophages in the samples and fluorophore panels. Panel 1 (CD80, CD86, CD163, TLR2, and CD206) was tested on the initial ~50 donors. A preliminary analysis identified markers in panel 1 that failed to distinguish among groups; testing of these (CD80, CD86, and CD163) was discontinued. Panel 2 (TLR2, CD206, LDLR, CD36, CD64, and TLR4) was tested on an additional ~40 donors. For each experimental marker, the expression level was calculated as the ratio of the mean fluorescence intensity (MFI) of the marker to the MFI of an isotype-matched control antibody.

Statistical analysis. Two sets of linear regression analyses were performed. One model was used to estimate the association between macrophage marker levels and infection classification. We performed a linear regression of log<sub>2</sub> of the marker level (M) versus the infection state (S) coded as 1, 2, and 3 (LTBI-, LTBI+, and PTB, respectively [see "Clinical definitions of tuberculosis state"]):  $\log_2 M = \beta_0 + \beta_1 S + \beta_2$  $CRP + \beta_3$  age. We assessed the evidence of a trend by testing the null hypothesis  $\beta_1 = 0$ , where  $\beta_1$ represents the CRP- and age-adjusted difference in mean  $\log_2 M$ , on average, for groups differing by one step in infection state. The outcome of the analysis was left unadjusted or was adjusted for (i) level of C-reactive protein (CRP) in the subject's blood at the time of sputum collection, which served to control for nonspecific effects related to inflammation, and/or (ii) donor's age, which controlled for the generally younger age of LTBI- participants compared to the other participants. CRP values and donor's age are shown in Fig. S1 in the supplemental material. A second linear regression model was used to estimate the association between macrophage marker levels in PTB patients and disease severity:  $\log_2 M = \beta_0 + \beta_0$  $\beta_1 V + \beta_2 CRP + \beta_3$  age, where V is the disease severity category (cavitation grade) coded 1, 2, or 3 (0, <4, and >4 mm, respectively [see "Clinical definitions of tuberculosis state"]). Associations between marker levels and disease severity were adjusted for age and CRP. All statistical tests were Wald tests, and robust standard error estimates were used. The threshold for statistical significance was set at 0.05.



## SUPPLEMENTAL MATERIAL

Supplemental material for this article may be found at https://doi.org/10.1128/mSphere.00475-17.

FIG S1, TIF file, 0.7 MB. TABLE S1, DOCX file, 0.1 MB. TABLE S2, DOCX file, 0.1 MB.

#### ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

We thank Tanya Vialet, Anna Sevilla, and the staff of the Lattimore Clinic (Newark, NJ) and Middlesex County chest clinic for valuable assistance in subject recruitment. We are also grateful to Karl Drlica and Valentina Guerrini for critical reading of the manuscript.

This work was supported by grants from the National Institutes of Health (R01HL106788 and R01HL106800) in the context of the NIHLB program "Systems Biology Approach to the Mechanisms of TB Latency and Reactivation." The funders had no role in study design, data collection and interpretation, or the decision to submit the work for publication.

K.L., P.V., and N.B. designed and performed experiments, D.L. and K.F.K. performed statistical analyses, A.L. supervised donors' diagnostic status and recruitment, M.L.G. supervised the interactions between clinical and laboratory teams, and M.L.G. and R.P. designed the study and wrote the manuscript. All authors contributed to manuscript writing.

#### REFERENCES

- 1. Pai M, Zwerling A, Menzies D. 2008. Systematic review: T-cell-based assays for the diagnosis of latent tuberculosis infection: an update. Ann Intern Med 149:177–184. https://doi.org/10.7326/0003-4819-149-3-200808050-00241.
- Rangaka MX, Wilkinson KA, Glynn JR, Ling D, Menzies D, Mwansa-Kambafwile J, Fielding K, Wilkinson RJ, Pai M. 2012. Predictive value of interferon-gamma release assays for incident active tuberculosis: a systematic review and meta-analysis. Lancet Infect Dis 12:45–55. https:// doi.org/10.1016/S1473-3099(11)70210-9.
- Cliff JM, Kaufmann SH, McShane H, van Helden P, O'Garra A. 2015. The human immune response to tuberculosis and its treatment: a view from the blood. Immunol Rev 264:88–102. https://doi.org/10.1111/imr.12269.
- Flynn JL, Chan J. 2001. Immunology of tuberculosis. Annu Rev Immunol 19:93–129. https://doi.org/10.1146/annurev.immunol.19.1.93.
- North RJ, Jung YJ. 2004. Immunity to tuberculosis. Annu Rev Immunol 22:599–623. https://doi.org/10.1146/annurev.immunol.22.012703.104635.
- Mosser DM, Edwards JP. 2008. Exploring the full spectrum of macrophage activation. Nat Rev Immunol 8:958–969. https://doi.org/10.1038/ nri2448.
- Murray PJ, Allen JE, Biswas SK, Fisher EA, Gilroy DW, Goerdt S, Gordon S, Hamilton JA, Ivashkiv LB, Lawrence T, Locati M, Mantovani A, Martinez FO, Mege JL, Mosser DM, Natoli G, Saeij JP, Schultze JL, Shirey KA, Sica A, Suttles J, Udalova I, van Ginderachter JA, Vogel SN, Wynn TA. 2014. Macrophage activation and polarization: nomenclature and experimental guidelines. Immunity 41:14–20. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.immuni.2014 .06.008.
- Nathan C. 2008. Metchnikoff's legacy in 2008. Nat Immunol 9:695–698. https://doi.org/10.1038/ni0708-695.
- Harris J, De Haro SA, Master SS, Keane J, Roberts EA, Delgado M, Deretic V. 2007. T helper 2 cytokines inhibit autophagic control of intracellular Mycobacterium tuberculosis. Immunity 27:505–517. https://doi.org/10 .1016/j.immuni.2007.07.022.
- Müller U, Stenzel W, Köhler G, Werner C, Polte T, Hansen G, Schütze N, Straubinger RK, Blessing M, McKenzie AN, Brombacher F, Alber G. 2007. IL-13 induces disease-promoting type 2 cytokines, alternatively activated macrophages and allergic inflammation during pulmonary infection of mice with Cryptococcus neoformans. J Immunol 179:5367–5377. https://doi.org/10.4049/jimmunol.179.8.5367.
- Shirey KA, Cole LE, Keegan AD, Vogel SN. 2008. Francisella tularensis live vaccine strain induces macrophage alternative activation as a survival mechanism. J Immunol 181:4159–4167. https://doi.org/10.4049/jimmunol .181.6.4159.

- Schreiber T, Ehlers S, Heitmann L, Rausch A, Mages J, Murray PJ, Lang R, Hölscher C. 2009. Autocrine IL-10 induces hallmarks of alternative activation in macrophages and suppresses antituberculosis effector mechanisms without compromising T cell immunity. J Immunol 183:1301–1312. https://doi .org/10.4049/jimmunol.0803567.
- Kumar H, Kawai T, Akira S. 2011. Pathogen recognition by the innate immune system. Int Rev Immunol 30:16–34. https://doi.org/10.3109/ 08830185.2010.529976.
- Neyrolles O. 2014. Mycobacteria and the greasy macrophage: getting fat and frustrated. Infect Immun 82:472–475. https://doi.org/10.1128/IAI .01512-13.
- Russell DG, Cardona PJ, Kim MJ, Allain S, Altare F. 2009. Foamy macrophages and the progression of the human tuberculosis granuloma. Nat Immunol 10:943–948. https://doi.org/10.1038/ni.1781.
- Salamon H, Bruiners N, Lakehal K, Shi L, Ravi J, Yamaguchi KD, Pine R, Gennaro ML. 2014. Cutting edge: vitamin D regulates lipid metabolism in Mycobacterium tuberculosis infection. J Immunol 193:30–34. https:// doi.org/10.4049/jimmunol.1400736.
- Muñoz X, Bustamante V, Lopez-Campos JL, Cruz MJ, Barreiro E. 2015. Usefulness of noninvasive methods for the study of bronchial inflammation in the control of patients with asthma. Int Arch Allergy Immunol 166:1–12. https://doi.org/10.1159/000371849.
- Koutsokera A, Kostikas K, Nicod LP, Fitting JW. 2013. Pulmonary biomarkers in COPD exacerbations: a systematic review. Respir Res 14:111. https://doi.org/10.1186/1465-9921-14-111.
- 19. Spahn JD. 2012. Asthma biomarkers in sputum. Immunol Allergy Clin North Am 32:387–399. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.iac.2012.06.004.
- Dragonieri S, Tongoussouva O, Zanini A, Imperatori A, Spanevello A. 2009. Markers of airway inflammation in pulmonary diseases assessed by induced sputum. Monaldi Arch Chest Dis 71:119–126. https://doi.org/ 10.4081/monaldi.2009.357.
- Sagel SD, Chmiel JF, Konstan MW. 2007. Sputum biomarkers of inflammation in cystic fibrosis lung disease. Proc Am Thorac Soc 4:406–417. https://doi.org/10.1513/pats.200703-044BR.
- Economidou F, Samara KD, Antoniou KM, Siafakas NM. 2009. Induced sputum in interstitial lung diseases: novel insights in the diagnosis, evaluation and research. Respiration 77:351–358. https://doi.org/10 .1159/000187725.
- de Figueiredo F, de Paola, Dias LB. 1960. The finding of giant cells in the sputum of tuberculous patients. Am Rev Respir Dis 81:358–363. https:// doi.org/10.1164/arrd.1960.81.3.358.



- Roger V, Nasiell M, Nasiell K, Hjerpe A, Enstad I, Bisther A. 1972. Cytologic findings indicating pulmonary tuberculosis. II. The occurrence in sputum of epithelioid cells and multinucleated giant cells in pulmonary tuberculosis, chronic non-tuberculous inflammatory lung disease and bronchogenic carcinoma. Acta Cytol 16:538–542.
- Ribeiro-Rodrigues R, Resende Co T, Johnson JL, Ribeiro F, Palaci M, Sá RT, Maciel EL, Pereira Lima FE, Dettoni V, Toossi Z, Boom WH, Dietze R, Ellner JJ, Hirsch CS. 2002. Sputum cytokine levels in patients with pulmonary tuberculosis as early markers of mycobacterial clearance. Clin Diagn Lab Immunol 9:818–823. https://doi.org/10.1128/CDLI.9.4.818-823.2002.
- 26. Raju B, Hoshino Y, Kuwabara K, Belitskaya I, Prabhakar S, Canova A, Gold JA, Condos R, Pine RI, Brown S, Rom WN, Weiden MD. 2004. Aerosolized gamma interferon (IFN-gamma) induces expression of the genes encoding the IFN-gamma-inducible 10-kilodalton protein but not inducible nitric oxide synthase in the lung during tuberculosis. Infect Immun 72:1275–1283. https://doi.org/10.1128/IAI.72.3.1275-1283.2004.
- Raju B, Hoshino Y, Belitskaya-Lévy I, Dawson R, Ress S, Gold JA, Condos R, Pine R, Brown S, Nolan A, Rom WN, Weiden MD. 2008. Gene expression profiles of bronchoalveolar cells in pulmonary TB. Tuberculosis 88:39–51. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.tube.2007.07.003.
- Pessanha AP, Martins RA, Mattos-Guaraldi AL, Vianna A, Moreira LO. 2012. Arginase-1 expression in granulomas of tuberculosis patients. FEMS Immunol Med Microbiol 66:265–268. https://doi.org/10.1111/j .1574-695X.2012.01012.x.
- Mattila JT, Ojo OO, Kepka-Lenhart D, Marino S, Kim JH, Eum SY, Via LE, Barry CE, III, Klein E, Kirschner DE, Morris SM, Jr, Lin PL, Flynn JL. 2013. Microenvironments in tuberculous granulomas are delineated by distinct populations of macrophage subsets and expression of nitric oxide synthase and arginase isoforms. J Immunol 191:773–784. https://doi.org/ 10.4049/jimmunol.1300113.
- Almeida AS, Lago PM, Boechat N, Huard RC, Lazzarini LC, Santos AR, Nociari M, Zhu H, Perez-Sweeney BM, Bang H, Ni Q, Huang J, Gibson AL, Flores VC, Pecanha LR, Kritski AL, Lapa e Silva JR, Ho JL. 2009. Tuberculosis is associated with a down-modulatory lung immune response that impairs Th1-type immunity. J Immunol 183:718–731. https://doi.org/10 .4049/jimmunol.0801212.
- Walzl G, Ronacher K, Hanekom W, Scriba TJ, Zumla A. 2011. Immunological biomarkers of tuberculosis. Nat Rev Immunol 11:343–354. https:// doi.org/10.1038/nri2960.
- 32. Pai M. 2010. Spectrum of latent tuberculosis-existing tests cannot

resolve the underlying phenotypes. Nat Rev Microbiol 8:242. https://doi .org/10.1038/nrmicro2236-c1.

- Kunnath-Velayudhan S, Gennaro ML. 2011. Immunodiagnosis of tuberculosis: a dynamic view of biomarker discovery. Clin Microbiol Rev 24:792–805. https://doi.org/10.1128/CMR.00014-11.
- 34. Berry MP, Graham CM, McNab FW, Xu Z, Bloch SA, Oni T, Wilkinson KA, Banchereau R, Skinner J, Wilkinson RJ, Quinn C, Blankenship D, Dhawan R, Cush JJ, Mejias A, Ramilo O, Kon OM, Pascual V, Banchereau J, Chaussabel D, O'Garra A. 2010. An interferon-inducible neutrophildriven blood transcriptional signature in human tuberculosis. Nature 466:973–977. https://doi.org/10.1038/nature09247.
- 35. World Health Organization. 2015. Guidelines on the management of latent tuberculosis infection. World Health Organization, Geneva, Switzerland.
- Silverstein RL, Febbraio M. 2009. CD36, a scavenger receptor involved in immunity, metabolism, angiogenesis, and behavior. Sci Signal 2:re3. https://doi.org/10.1126/scisignal.272re3.
- Dodd CE, Pyle CJ, Glowinski R, Rajaram MV, Schlesinger LS. 2016. CD36mediated uptake of surfactant lipids by human macrophages promotes intracellular growth of Mycobacterium tuberculosis. J Immunol 197: 4727–4735. https://doi.org/10.4049/jimmunol.1600856.
- Nimmerjahn F, Ravetch JV. 2008. Fcgamma receptors as regulators of immune responses. Nat Rev Immunol 8:34–47. https://doi.org/10.1038/ nri2206.
- Harris NL, Ronchese F. 1999. The role of B7 costimulation in T-cell immunity. Immunol Cell Biol 77:304–311. https://doi.org/10.1046/j .1440-1711.1999.00835.x.
- Boelaert JR, Vandecasteele SJ, Appelberg R, Gordeuk VR. 2007. The effect of the host's iron status on tuberculosis. J Infect Dis 195:1745–1753. https://doi.org/10.1086/518040.
- Kleinnijenhuis J, Oosting M, Joosten LA, Netea MG, Van Crevel R. 2011. Innate immune recognition of Mycobacterium tuberculosis. Clin Dev Immunol 2011:405310. https://doi.org/10.1155/2011/405310.
- Rajaram MV, Brooks MN, Morris JD, Torrelles JB, Azad AK, Schlesinger LS. 2010. Mycobacterium tuberculosis activates human macrophage peroxisome proliferator-activated receptor gamma linking mannose receptor recognition to regulation of immune responses. J Immunol 185: 929–942. https://doi.org/10.4049/jimmunol.1000866.
- Goldstein JL, Brown MS. 1984. Progress in understanding the LDL receptor and HMG-CoA reductase, two membrane proteins that regulate the plasma cholesterol. J Lipid Res 25:1450–1461.