

Letter to the editor

Induction of immune response after SARS-CoV-2 mRNA BNT162b2 vaccination in healthcare workers

ARTICLE INFO

Keywords

COVID-19 vaccination
mRNA vaccine
Healthcare workers
Immunological response



A two-dose regimen of the BNT162b2 mRNA COVID-19 vaccine (30 µg per dose, given 21 days apart) was found to be safe and 95% effective against COVID-19, with mild to moderate side-effects gone within a few days in recently published clinical trials.^{1,2} Due to the high level of protection against COVID-19 needed in the current pandemic, the European Medicines Agency (EMA) authorised its use in the EU on December 21, 2020.

Recent real-world data have shown a 30–50% reduction of COVID-19 cases after the first vaccine dose.^{3,4} This increased to 75% after the second dose with an 85% drop in COVID-19 hospitalizations.^{4,5}

In Italy, the COVID-19 vaccination campaign started on December 27, 2020, having as primary target healthcare workers (HCWs). Here, we describe the immunogenicity data induced by two injections of BNT162b2, 30 µg 21 days apart, in a subset of 965 HCWs vaccinated at the Bambino-Gesù Children Hospital, Rome, Italy (292 [30.2%] males, median age: 46 years [IQR:36–56]).

Serum samples were available before the first dose and at 7, 21, and 28 days afterwards. All HCWs had a negative SARS-CoV-2 status by molecular (Allplex2019-nCov, Seegene) and antibody assays (Elecys®Anti-SARS-CoV-2, Roche). Presence and titers of anti-spike (S) antibodies in serum samples were evaluated by Elecys®Anti-SARS-CoV-2-S (Roche, cut-off:0.8U/mL) detecting antibody against the S1-receptor-binding-domain (RBD), and the LIAISON® SARS-CoV-2 TrimericS IgG assay (DiaSorin, cut-off: 13 AU/mL), detecting IgG against the trimeric S protein. Recipients were stratified according to age (23–55 or ≥56 years) and sex. The ability of the BNT162b2-vaccine in eliciting SARS-CoV-2 specific cellular responses was assessed by trimeric spike-specific memory B and T cells in a subset of 28 HCWs, randomly selected from the enrolled population. Among them, 11 were males (39.3%) and 10 aged ≥56 years (36%; median age [IQR]: 55 [26–67] years). Refer to Supplementary Information for memory B and T cells methodology.^{6–9}

At day 7, while only few individuals had low positive titers (2 to anti-RBD:3.31 and 6.95 U/mL, and 5 to the S protein: median 26 [IQR:21–31]) (Fig. 1A–B), a primary cellular response was already present. Indeed, a significant increase of B cells specific for the trimeric spike protein was found in the peripheral blood of 84% of vaccinees by

flow cytometry in unstimulated peripheral blood mononuclear cells ($P = 0.0002$), and by ELISpot after polyclonal stimulation ($P < 0.0001$) (Fig. 1C–D). At this time-point, all B cells specific for the trimeric spike were IgM memory B cells.⁶ In line with B cell data, we observed a significant expansion of SARS-CoV-2 specific CD4⁺ T cells⁷ ($P = 0.0202$), with 64% of vaccinees showing elevated proportion of CD4⁺CD40L⁺ T cells (Fig. 1E). Thus, our data confirms the ability of this vaccine to induce strong T cell responses, as reported by others.⁸

At day 21 (before the second administration), 97.5% of individuals showed positive anti-RBD antibodies, though with modest titers (median:54[IQR:26–109], Fig. 1A). Similar results were observed for anti-trimeric S antibodies (Fig. 1B). A further increase of trimeric spike-specific IgM B cells by ELISpot was observed, thus suggesting that this vaccine rapidly activates the germline repertoire and favors the adaptability of the human memory B cell pool to the SARS-CoV-2 challenge.^{10–12}

The highest anti-S titers were detected in samples at day 28, when 99.8% of subjects showed a median anti-RBD titer of 2003(IQR:1089–2,500, Fig. 1A), values far higher than those reported to have neutralizing activity.² Similar results were observed for the anti-trimeric S titers (Fig. 1B). Noteworthy, in the 28 HCWs in whom the trimeric spike-specific memory B and T cells were explored, the magnitude of anti-S antibody production detected at day 28 significantly correlated ($P = 0.018$; $\rho = 0.44$) with the increase of SARS-CoV-2 specific CD4⁺ T cells detected at day 7. When stratified for age, the correlation was still significant only in the group of individuals aged 22–55 years ($\rho = 0.53$, $p = 0.02$), but not in the ≥56 year-old group, probably due to the small and not representative sample size. The role of SARS-CoV-2 CD4⁺ T cell expansion in predicting the antibody titer as described here as a preliminary finding requires further investigation and a larger sample size to be confirmed.

Of note, the anti-RBD titer was significantly higher in 23–55 year-old participants compared to ≥56 year-old ones at both 21 and 28 days (Day 21: 39[IQR:15–98] vs. 14[IQR:4–45], $P = 0.000003$; Day 28: 2197 [IQR:1260–2557] vs. 1525[IQR:555–2500], $P = 0.0000005$) (Fig. 1A). Similar results were observed for anti-trimeric S titers (Fig. 1B). These results were also confirmed in both male and female HCWs. The lower

<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jve.2021.100046>

Received 25 March 2021; Received in revised form 13 May 2021; Accepted 14 May 2021

Available online 19 May 2021

2055-6640/© 2021 The Author(s).

Published by Elsevier Ltd.

This is an open access article under the CC BY-NC-ND license

(<http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-nd/4.0/>).




Fig. 1. SARS-CoV-2 antibody responses (Panels A-B). Anti-RBD and anti-trimeric S titers assessed by Elecsys® Anti-SARS-CoV-2 S assay (Roche, cut-off 0.8 U/mL) (Panel A) LIAISON® SARS-CoV-2 TrimericS IgG assay (Diasorin, cut-off 13 AU/mL) (Panel B) before vaccine administration (Day 0), at 7 (Day 7), 21 (Day 21) and 28 (Day 28) days after the first dose, against participants' age. Anti-S titers at different time-points were compared according to age by the Mann-Whitney test. Scatter dot plots denote interquartile ranges and horizontal bars median end-point titers. Whisker end-points denote the maximum and minimum values below or above the median at 1.5 times the interquartile range. The box indicates the width of titers' distribution proportionate to the number of points at that Y value. Blue and red dots defined 18–55 and ≥ 56 years ranges, respectively. Each dot represents a single patient. **Frequency of trimeric spike-specific B and T cells 7 days after the first vaccine dose (Panels C, D, E).** The frequency of trimeric spike-specific B cells before and 7 days after the first dose was showed by violin plot (Panel C). Connected scatter plot depicts the percentage in ELISpot of trimeric spike-specific IgM memory B cells (calculated within the total IgM memory B cells) (Panel D). Antigen-specific CD4+T cells expansion at 7 days following the first dose of vaccination is shown by violin plot (Panel E). Blue and red dots defined values at day 0 and day 7, respectively. Each dot represents a single patient. Statistical significance was calculated using the paired-Wilcoxon test and a P-value <0.05 was considered significant. Ag = antigen. **Number of COVID-19 cases among HCWs and number of vaccinations against weeks (Panel F).** Black line indicates the number of COVID-19 among HCWs. Red bars indicate the number of second doses against date. Pink bars indicate the number of first doses against date. **SARS-CoV-2 infections occurring among HCWs after the first vaccine dose against days after the first vaccine dose (Panel G).** Black bars indicate the number of infection cases. No infection occurred after day 14. (For interpretation of the references to colour in this figure legend, the reader is referred to the Web version of this article.)

titer observed in our aged HCWs was confirmed by other recently published reports,^{13,14} and, even if at day 28 the anti-S titers reached levels higher than those reported to have neutralizing activity, monitoring of neutralizing antibodies over time is needed to detect potential drop as soon as possible, especially in the older population, known to be at higher risk of serious disease manifestation.¹⁵

The weekly tracing of SARS-CoV-2 infections among HCWs in our hospital (see Supplementary Information for detailed description of tracing) showed a consistent number of new infections during the whole of the second wave, from October 2020 until the beginning of the vaccination campaign (Fig. 1F), generally characterized by high SARS-CoV-2 load (median: >10⁶ copies/mL). During the vaccination period, 7 HCWs developed SARS-CoV-2 infection (as confirmed by molecular positive swabbing), all within 14 days of the first administration, and all with a SARS-CoV-2 load by ddPCR <1000 copies/mL (Fig. 1G).¹⁶ Three were submitted to molecular testing after a contact with infected cohabiting family members, while four as a routine control. Six were women (85.7%) and 2 aged >55 years. The departments they worked in had a similar Covid-19 risk. No information about anti-S titers before the SARS-CoV-2 infection was available.

Overall, the immunological results combined with the decrease of new COVID-19 cases among HCWs in the observed time frame demonstrate an excellent performance of the COVID-19 mRNA BNT162b2 in daily practice. This data is fully consistent with recent published reports from front-running countries describing high rates of immune responses to vaccination in HCWs following just a single dose of BNT162b2 vaccine,¹⁴ low incidence of SARS-CoV-2 infections among vaccinated HCWs,¹⁷ and low viral load among post-vaccinated infections.¹⁸ Prolonged structured follow-up and monitoring are required to confirm the long-term efficacy of COVID-19 vaccination strategy.

Declaration of interest

We declare no competing interest.

Funding

Supported by grants from the “Bambino Gesù Foundation” and “Ricerca Corrente” of the Italian Ministry of Health.

Ethical approval

Ethical approval was obtained from the Bambino Gesù Children's Hospital IRCCS Ethical Committee. Written informed consent was provided by all participants.

Declaration of competing interest

The authors declare that they have no known competing financial interests or personal relationships that could have appeared to influence the work reported in this paper.

Appendix A. Supplementary data

Supplementary data to this article can be found online at <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jve.2021.100046>.

References

- 1 Polack FP, Thomas SJ, Kitchin N, et al. Clinical trial group. Safety and efficacy of the BNT162b2 mRNA covid-19 vaccine. *N Engl J Med*. 2020;383:2603–2615.
- 2 Walsh EE, Frenck Jr RW, Falsey AR, et al. Safety and immunogenicity of two RNA-based covid-19 vaccine candidates. *N Engl J Med*. 2020;383:2439–2450.
- 3 Chodick G, Tene L, Patalone T, et al. The effectiveness of the first dose of BNT162b2 vaccine in reducing SARS-CoV-2 infection: real-world evidence; 2021. <https://doi.org/10.2139/ssrn.3769977>. Available at SSRN: <https://ssrn.com/abstract=3769977>.

- 4 Amit S, Regev-Yochay G, Afek A, Kreiss Y, Leshem E. Early rate reductions of SARS-CoV-2 infection and COVID-19 in BNT162b2 vaccine recipients. *Lancet*. 2021;397:875–877.
- 5 Vasileiou E, Simpson CR, Robertson CS, et al. Effectiveness of first dose of COVID-19 vaccines against hospital admissions in Scotland: national prospective cohort study of 5.4 million people; 2021. <https://doi.org/10.2139/ssrn.3789264>. Available at SSRN: <https://ssrn.com/abstract=3789264>.
- 6 Marcellini V, Piano Mortari E, Fedele G, et al. Protection against pertussis in humans correlates to elevated serum antibodies and memory B cells. *Front Immunol*. 2017;8:1158.
- 7 Dan JM, Mateus J, Kato Y, et al. Immunological memory to SARS-CoV-2 assessed for up to 8 months after infection. *Science*. 2021;371, eabf4063.
- 8 Cotugno N, Ruggiero A, Bonfante F, et al. Virological and immunological features of SARS-CoV-2-infected children who develop neutralizing antibodies. *Cell Rep*. 2021;34:108852.
- 9 Chattopadhyay PK, Yu J, Roederer M. Live-cell assay to detect antigen-specific CD4+ T-cell responses by CD154 expression. *Nat Protoc*. 2006;1:1–6.
- 10 Grimsholm O, Piano Mortari E, Davydov AN, et al. The interplay between CD27dull and CD27bright B cells ensures the flexibility, stability, and resilience of human B cell memory. *Cell Rep*. 2020;30:2963–2977.
- 11 Sahin U, Muik A, Derhovanessian E, et al. COVID-19 vaccine BNT162b1 elicits human antibody and TH1 T cell responses. *Nature*. 2020;586:594–599.
- 12 Kreer C, Zehner M, Weber T, et al. Longitudinal isolation of potent near-germline SARS-CoV-2-neutralizing antibodies from COVID-19 patients. *Cell*. 2020;182:1663–1673.
- 13 Widge AT, Roupael NG, Jackson LA, et al. Durability of responses after SARS-CoV-2 mRNA-1273 vaccination. *N Engl J Med*. 2021;384:80–82.
- 14 Abu Jabal K, Ben-Amram H, Beiruti K, et al. Impact of age, ethnicity, sex and prior infection status on immunogenicity following a single dose of the BNT162b2 mRNA COVID-19 vaccine: real-world evidence from healthcare workers, Israel, December 2020 to January 2021. *Euro Surveill*. 2021;26, 2100096.
- 15 Shahid Z, Kalayanamitra R, McClafferty B, et al. COVID-19 and older adults: what we know. *J Am Geriatr Soc*. 2020;68:926–929.
- 16 Alteri C, Cento V, Antonello M, et al. Detection and quantification of SARS-CoV-2 by droplet digital PCR in real-time PCR negative nasopharyngeal swabs from suspected COVID-19 patients. *PLoS One*. 2020;15, e0236311.
- [17] Angel Y, Spitzer A, Henig O, et al. Association between vaccination with BNT162b2 and incidence of symptomatic and asymptomatic SARS-CoV-2 infections among Health care workers. *J Am Med Assoc*. 2021. <https://doi.org/10.1001/jama.2021.7152>.
- [18] Levine-Tiefenbrun M, Yelin I, Katz R, et al. Initial report of decreased SARS-CoV-2 viral load after inoculation with the BNT162b2 vaccine. *Nat Med*. 2021;27(5):790–792.

Salvatore Zaffina

Occupational Medicine Unit, Bambino Gesù Children's Hospital IRCCS,
Rome, Italy

Claudia Alteri

Department of Oncology and Hemato-Oncology, University of Milan, Milan,
Italy
Multimodal Medicine Research Area, Bambino Gesù Children's Hospital,
IRCCS, Rome, Italy

Alessandra Ruggiero

Academic Department of Pediatrics, Clinical Immunology and Vaccinology
Unit, Bambino Gesù Children's Hospital, IRCCS, Rome, Italy
Department of Neuroscience, Biomedicine and Movement Sciences,
University of Verona, Italy

Nicola Cotugno

Academic Department of Pediatrics, Clinical Immunology and Vaccinology
Unit, Bambino Gesù Children's Hospital, IRCCS, Rome, Italy

Maria Rosaria Vinci

Occupational Medicine Unit, Bambino Gesù Children's Hospital IRCCS,
Rome, Italy

Vincenzo Camisa

Occupational Medicine Unit, Bambino Gesù Children's Hospital IRCCS,
Rome, Italy

Anna Paola Santoro

Occupational Medicine Unit, Bambino Gesù Children's Hospital IRCCS,
Rome, Italy

Rita Brugaletta

Occupational Medicine Unit, Bambino Gesù Children's Hospital IRCCS,
Rome, Italy

Gloria Deriu
*Occupational Medicine Unit, Bambino Gesù Children's Hospital IRCCS,
Rome, Italy*

Eva Piano Mortari
*Microbiology and Diagnostic Immunology Unit, Bambino Gesù Children's
Hospital, IRCCS, Rome, Italy*
*Multimodal Medicine Research Area, Bambino Gesù Children's Hospital,
IRCCS, Rome, Italy*

Ane Fernandez Salinas
*Microbiology and Diagnostic Immunology Unit, Bambino Gesù Children's
Hospital, IRCCS, Rome, Italy*
*Multimodal Medicine Research Area, Bambino Gesù Children's Hospital,
IRCCS, Rome, Italy*

Cristina Russo
*Microbiology and Diagnostic Immunology Unit, Bambino Gesù Children's
Hospital, IRCCS, Rome, Italy*

Stefania Ranno
*Microbiology and Diagnostic Immunology Unit, Bambino Gesù Children's
Hospital, IRCCS, Rome, Italy*

Luana Coltella
*Microbiology and Diagnostic Immunology Unit, Bambino Gesù Children's
Hospital, IRCCS, Rome, Italy*

Luna Colagrossi
*Microbiology and Diagnostic Immunology Unit, Bambino Gesù Children's
Hospital, IRCCS, Rome, Italy*

Ottavia Porzio
*Clinical Pathology Unit, Bambino Gesù Children's Hospital, IRCCS, Rome,
Italy*
Department of Experimental Medicine, Tor Vergata University, Rome, Italy

Andrea Onetti Muda
*Department of Laboratories, Bambino Gesù Children's Hospital IRCCS,
Rome, Italy*

Massimiliano Raponi
Medical Direction, Bambino Gesù Children's Hospital, IRCCS, Rome, Italy

Marta Ciofi degli Atti
*Clinical Pathways and Epidemiology Unit - Medical Direction, Bambino
Gesù Children's Hospital, IRCCS, Rome, Italy*

Caterina Rizzo
*Clinical Pathways and Epidemiology Unit - Medical Direction, Bambino
Gesù Children's Hospital, IRCCS, Rome, Italy*

Alberto Villani
*Emergency and General Pediatrics Department, Bambino Gesù Children's
Hospital, IRCCS, Rome, Italy*

Paolo Rossi
*Academic Department of Pediatrics, Division of Infectious Diseases,
Bambino Gesù Children's Hospital, IRCCS, Rome, Italy*

Paolo Palma¹
*Academic Department of Pediatrics, Clinical Immunology and Vaccinology
Unit, Bambino Gesù Children's Hospital, IRCCS, Rome, Italy*

Rita Carsetti¹
*Microbiology and Diagnostic Immunology Unit, Bambino Gesù Children's
Hospital, IRCCS, Rome, Italy*
*Multimodal Medicine Research Area, Bambino Gesù Children's Hospital,
IRCCS, Rome, Italy*

Carlo Federico Perno^{1,*}
*Multimodal Medicine Research Area, Bambino Gesù Children's Hospital,
IRCCS, Rome, Italy*
*Microbiology and Diagnostic Immunology Unit, Bambino Gesù Children's
Hospital, IRCCS, Rome, Italy*

* Corresponding author.

E-mail address: carlofederico.perno@opbg.net (C.F. Perno).

¹ Equally contributed to the work.

¹ Equally contributed to the work.

¹ Equally contributed to the work.