# **RESEARCH ARTICLE**

# Seasonally dependent relationship between insect herbivores and host plant density in *Jatropha nana*, a tropical perennial herb

Ashish N. Nerlekar

## ABSTRACT

The fact that plant spatial aggregation patterns shape insectherbivore communities in a variety of ways has resulted in a large body of literature on the subject. The landmark resource concentration hypothesis predicts that density of insect herbivores per plant will increase as host plant density increases. I examined this prediction across temporal samplings using *Jatropha nana* and the associated specialist insect herbivores as a system. Through 12 field samplings, I modelled the effect of host plant density on insectherbivore loads. The initial samplings (2–3) provided evidence for the resource concentration hypothesis, with insect loads increasing with increasing host plant density, whereas the later samplings (4–5, 7–11) showed the opposite; a resource dilution pattern with a decline of insect loads with increasing host plant density. These patterns also depend on the biology of the herbivores and have important implications on *J. nana* population dynamics.

This article has an associated First Person interview with the first author of the paper.

KEY WORDS: Herbivory, Resource concentration hypothesis, Resource dilution, *Jatropha nana*, Pune

## INTRODUCTION

Insect herbivory is one of the most important biotic drivers that maintains the structure and function of tropical plant communities (Weissflog et al., 2018). Herbivory shapes plant community diversity, species distributions, and phenology in a variety of ways, for example through conspecific negative density dependence and altered leaf flushing patterns (Janzen, 1970; Connell, 1971; Aide, 1992). Conversely, host plant characteristics also play an important role in driving patterns of insect-herbivore abundance and diversity, through a variety of mechanisms including chemical and physical defences, nutritional content of leaves, as well as spatial and temporal variation in resources (Neves et al., 2014). Host plants and insect herbivores have been widely studied given the ecological importance of their relationships in understanding trophic interactions (Koricheva et al., 2000) and the economic importance of their relationships in crop production (Bukovinszky et al., 2005).

Department of Biodiversity, M.E.S. Abasaheb Garware College, Pune, Maharashtra 411004, India.

\*Author for correspondence (ashishnerlekar3@gmail.com)

D A.N.N., 0000-0002-3737-882X

This is an Open Access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/3.0), which permits unrestricted use, distribution and reproduction in any medium provided that the original work is properly attributed.

Received 19 April 2018; Accepted 6 July 2018

Out of the several hypotheses that seek to explain the relation between host plant heterogeneity, spatial complexity and insectherbivore characteristics, three key hypotheses are the 'enemies hypothesis', the 'resource concentration hypothesis', and the 'resource dilution hypothesis' (Elton, 1958; Root, 1973; Otway et al., 2005; Björkman et al., 2010). The 'enemies hypothesis' predicts that because of higher predator and parasite efficiency in diverse environments, insect herbivores are less abundant in species-diverse plant communities than in simple (e.g. monoculture) communities (Elton, 1958). The 'resource concentration hypothesis' (RCH) goes further to consider host patch size and plant density as predictors of herbivore abundance, along with plant diversity (Root, 1973). Specifically, the RCH predicts that as the density of host plants or patch size increases, the density of specialist insect herbivores per plant will also increase because of the lower emigration rates from larger host patches, as these insects are more likely to find and stay in larger host patches than smaller ones. This landmark hypothesis initiated empirical testing on several systems across the world, with equivocal results (Rhainds and English-Loeb, 2003). A major development was made in this domain when Hambäck and Englund (2005), through their 'movement based hypothesis', provided theoretical models that could explain a much wider spectrum of patterns based on the local growth rates and migration of the insects. Through their models, they stressed that RCH is just one special case of the several possible relationships between host density and herbivore load on plants. Related to, but contrasting with the RCH, the 'resource dilution hypothesis' (RDH) predicts that insect-herbivore loads on host plants will instead decrease as host abundance increases (Otway et al., 2005). Resource dilution may thus reduce herbivore loads mathematically, through increased plant density and patch size relative to insect population size (Otway et al., 2005).

Along with theories investigating plant spatial structure and heterogeneity, the tremendous variation in plant investment in defences against herbivores led to the formulation of two key hypotheses: the 'apparency theory' and the 'resource availability hypothesis' (Endara and Coley, 2011). The 'apparency theory' of Feeny (1976) predicts that apparent perennial species, which are common and easily found by both generalist and specialist herbivores, will invest in high concentrations of chemical defences that reduce plant palatability (Feeny, 1976). On the other hand, annual unapparent species, which are difficult for herbivores to locate, invest in small quantities of highly toxic chemicals that offer protection against generalist herbivores (Feeny, 1976). The 'resource availability hypothesis' by Coley (1987) further predicts that slow growing species (often found in environments that constrain plant growth) are better defended compared to fast growing plants in highly productive environments. Essentially, for slow growing plants the cost of herbivory is very high, which incentivizes investment in herbivore defence. In contrast, fast growing plants with short-lived leaves can quickly replace lost tissue at less cost than energetically expensive defences (Coley, 1987).



Jatropha nana (Euphorbiaceae) is an endemic threatened perennial herb (referred to in literature as a dwarf under-shrub) found in fragmented populations in the states of Maharashtra, West-Bengal, Jharkhand and Bihar in India (Nerlekar et al., 2016). This species grows in distinct spatial aggregations in its natural habitat, which provide a good range of host-plant density. J. nana is a perennial geophyte and its shoots sprout from the tuberous rhizome in May, just before the Indian monsoon season. The aboveground tissue then wilts away by September and it remains dormant for the rest of the year through the underground rhizome, making it functionally equivalent to a herbaceous woody perennial (Nerlekar, 2015). Thus, it can be classified as an 'unapparent' and 'fast growing' species (Feeny, 1976; Coley, 1987) and we can predict that it is difficult for insects to develop a specialism for the plant, and that it also has poor defences against herbivores. However, contradictory to this prediction, Euphorbiaceae members in the genus Jatropha attract only a selective set of insect herbivores, owing to the secondary metabolites produced by the plants that are toxic to most insects (Shanker and Dhyani, 2006). Since the RCH is based primarily on specialist insect herbivores, I ascertained the feeding preferences of major herbivores on J. nana through the literature (Shanker and Dhyani, 2006; Kulkarni et al., 2009; Robinson et al., 2010) as well as pilot observations in 2014 that confirmed that this plant supported specialist insect herbivores in the study area, further making it a suitable system to test this hypothesis.

In spite of the vast body of literature available on insect herbivores and host-plant density, there are some gaps in our understanding. Most studies testing the predictions of RCH have experimented with cultivated plants and their pests as the focal species (Rhainds and English-Loeb, 2003; Tooker and Frank, 2012). Hence, our knowledge of plant–insect-herbivore relationships in wild systems is very limited in general regarding the tropics (but see Hambäck et al., 2000; Otway et al., 2005) and specifically for India (but see Thorat et al., 2016). Furthermore, the plant–insect-herbivore relationship may vary across seasons and time (Reznik, 1993), implying the need to test RCH across seasons or for the complete life span of the focal plant. In light of these gaps in our knowledge, in the present work I aimed to empirically examine the effect of the density of a tropical shrub *J. nana* on the insect-herbivore's load in its natural habitat. For this, I used a wild host plant (*J. nana*) and the associated insect herbivores as a study system and employed a temporal field sampling approach to test the predictions of the RCH at different stages of the host plant's life cycle.

## RESULTS

## Insect-herbivore community

Through the current sampling, I recorded a total of 17 insectherbivore taxa (Table 1), of which five were Lepidopterans, six were Hemipterans and two were Coleopterans. I omitted four out of these 17 insects from the analysis since they were singletons. The insect community recorded showed an exceptionally high dominance of the moth *Pempelia* cf. *morosalis* (Lepidoptera: Pyralidae) (95.24% relative abundance).

## Temporal patterns of host plant density and herbivore abundance

During S1, the lowest median density of ramets ( $6.5\pm9.87$  SD) across n=36 clumps was recorded, and during S4–S8, the highest median density ( $11.5\pm14.71-14.91$  SD) was recorded. The median density of ramets for all clumps increased from S1–S4 and remained constant till S9, after which it declined from S9 through S12 (Fig. 1). The median density of all the clumps was not significantly different across the 12 samplings (Kruskal-Wallis test, H=13.55, P>0.05).

The median total abundance of insect herbivores for all clumps ranged from 0 ( $\pm$ 11.66–75.31 SD) for S1–S4 and S12, to 9.5 ( $\pm$ 73.29 SD) for S9 and was significantly different across samplings (Kruskal-Wallis test, *H*=81.26, *P*<0.001). Similarly, median total insect load for all clumps varied from 0 ( $\pm$ 1.21–8.50 SD) for S1–S4, rising up to the highest of 0.630 ( $\pm$ 7.98 SD) for S9 and then declining back to 0 ( $\pm$ 0.44 SD) till S12 (Fig. 2). The median insect load for all the clumps together was significantly different across samplings (Kruskal-Wallis test, *H*=85.2, *P*<0.001). The pooled insect load for a seasonal analysis (seasons delimited under 'field sampling' section of the Materials and Methods section) revealed that there was a significant difference in median insect loads across seasons (Kruskal-Wallis test, *H*=63.02, *P*<0.001).

#### **Relationship between host-plant density and insect loads**

The generalized linear mixed model (GLMM) analysis for all the samplings together revealed that plant density was a significant

Sr. no	Herbivore taxa	Order	Absolute abundance (relative %)	Remarks		
1	Pempelia cf. morosalis	Lepidoptera	12,130 (95.24)	New host plant record		
2	Chrysomelidae sp.	Coleoptera	336 (2.638)	New host plant record		
3	Membracidae sp.	Hemiptera	59 (0.463)	New host plant record		
4	Chrysocoris purpureus (Westwood, 1781)	Hemiptera	59 (0.463)	Reported earlier on <i>J. nana</i> (Kulkarni et al., 2009)		
5	Eurybrachis tomentosa Fabricius, 1775	Hemiptera	52 (0.408)	New host plant record.		
6	Hyposidra talaca (Walker, 1860)	Lepidoptera	40 (0.314)	New host plant record		
7	Flata sp.	Hemiptera	20 (0.157)	New host plant record		
8	Unidentified caterpillar 1	Lepidoptera	13 (0.102)			
9	Scutellera perplexa (Westwood)	Hemiptera	8 (0.062)	Reported earlier on <i>J. nana</i> (Kulkarni et al., 2009)		
10	Olene mendosa Hübner, 1823	Lepidoptera	6 (0.047)	New host plant record		
11	Nezara viridula (Linnaeus, 1758)	Hemiptera	5 (0.039)	New host plant record		
12	Cryptocephalus sp.	Coleoptera	4 (0.031)	Seen only at Pashan hill		
13	Aloa lactinea (Cramer, 1777)	Lepidoptera	4 (0.031)	New host plant record		
14	Coptosoma cribraria (Fabricius, 1798)	Hemiptera	1 (NA)	Singleton		
15	Dolycoris indicus Stål, 1876	Hemiptera	1 (NA)	Singleton		
16	Miridae sp.	Hemiptera	1 (NA)	Singleton		
17	Unidentified caterpillar 2	Lepidoptera	1 (NA)	Singleton		

Table 1. Summary of insect herbivores recorded on J. nana during 2015

Species have been arranged in descending order of relative abundance. Singleton species have been mentioned separately at the end.



predictor in a positive direction (t=8.0156; P<0.001) of insect loads irrespective of the temporal samplings. However, for the individual samplings overall, the results of the Poisson regression between plant density and insect loads varied across samplings. For the regressions of individual samplings, the estimates of the coefficient ranged from -0.0504 (SE=0.0094) for S5 to 0.0734 (SE=0.0418) for S12. A significant positive relationship was observed between host plant density and insect load from S2 through S3, whereas a significant negative relationship was observed from S4 through S5 and S7 through S11 (Table 2). The G statistic, which is the difference between the deviance of the model and another GLMM with only intercept fitted (Hammer et al., 2001), shows the highest values for S5, S7, S9, indicating the highest difference from the null (intercept) model for these samplings. Because there was a predicted significant increase in insect load per unit of plant density, it can be concluded that there was a resource concentration pattern observed for S2 and S3. Similarly for each sampling, since the insect load decreases significantly with per unit rise of host-plant density, a resource dilution effect was observed for S4 through S11 (Fig. 3).



## DISCUSSION

The present work thus provides only partial support for the RCH and the results support the predictions of the RCH for the initial stages of the host plant. They also provide evidence for the opposite pattern of a resource dilution effect in the later stages. Samplings S2–S3 showed a positive relationship between host-plant density and insect-herbivore load. During this stage, the clumps have not reached the full density of ramets and are at a relatively initial phenology stage. A resource concentration pattern at these stages might most probably be a result of the higher emigration rates from smaller clumps and higher immigration rates into larger clumps (Root, 1973). These migration rates are directly dependent on the search mode of the insects (Bukovinszky et al., 2005) which is largely olfaction (for moths) in the present work. Through their simulated study for insects using olfaction as a search mode, Bukovinszky et al. (2005) predicted that insect loads will increase in patches of intermediate densities. The present results however show a mixed pattern, where the samplings with the highest host-plant density (S4-S5, S7-S8) show a resource dilution pattern, the ones

Fig. 2. Trend of insect-herbivore loads over samplings.

(n=36 clumps for each sampling). Boxes represent the interquartile range, the central line is the median. Whiskers represent data points less than, or equal to, upper hinge +1.5 times the inter-quartile range.



Sampling no	Coefficients $(\beta)$	Standard error of $\beta$	Lower 95% CI of $\beta$	Upper 95% CI of $\beta$	Wald's statistic	d.f	P value	G statistic	Pearson's X <sup>2</sup>
2*	0.04023	0.012589	0.01555	0.064902	10.21133	34	0.001396	9.1873	148.431
3*	0.028661	0.007841	0.013293	0.04403	13.36063	34	0.000257	12.451	284.160
4*	-0.024626	0.007804	-0.039922	-0.00933	9.9569	34	0.001602	11.512	643.875
5*	-0.050438	0.009458	-0.068975	-0.0319	28.4396	34	0.000000	39.728	590.043
6	-0.006354	0.007625	-0.021298	0.008591	0.69434	34	0.404693	0.71678	294.713
7*	-0.046934	0.008475	-0.063545	-0.03032	30.6691	34	0.000000	41.726	419.353
8*	-0.032948	0.009019	-0.050625	-0.01527	13.3452	34	0.000259	16.271	340.414
9*	-0.048708	0.008081	-0.064547	-0.03287	36.3279	34	0.000000	49.36	340.511
10*	-0.021087	0.008709	-0.038156	-0.00402	5.86327	34	0.01546	6.5952	316.401
11*	-0.022182	0.010872	-0.04349	-0.00087	4.16305	34	0.041315	4.6355	300.452
12	0.0743	0.041832	-0.00769	0.15629	3.15441	34	0.075722	3.044	52.377

Samplings for which the results were significant (at  $\alpha$ =0.05) have been highlighted with an asterisk.

with the lowest density (S1, S12) do not show any significant pattern, and the rest samplings (S2–S3 and S9–S11) with intermediate densities show both patterns. Considering the fact that insects can use a combination of search modes and that we know much less about this, our inferences are limited in some cases (Rhainds and English-Loeb, 2003). From S4 onwards, the insect load showed an inverse relationship such that it decreases as the host-plant density increases. Samplings S4–S10 represent the peak monsoon season and the density of ramets has reached a peak during this time. The mechanistic explanation provided by Otway et al. (2005) for such a dilution effect focusses on the inability of colonization and post colonization population growth to give rise to resource concentration.

Just as host plant densities predict insect-herbivore abundance, insect herbivory also affects the population dynamics of the host plant in different ways. Depending upon the different insect aggregation patterns, Stephens and Myers (2012) predict different outcomes for host plant population dynamics. For a resource concentration pattern, as seen for S2-S3 in this study, they predict a decline of larger patches due to higher herbivore pressure and growth of smaller patches. Similarly, for a resource dilution pattern, as seen for S4-S5 and S7-S11 in this study, larger patches are predicted to show growth and smaller ones to decline. Thus, both these insect aggregation patterns have contrasting effects on the plant population. The model by Stephens and Myers (2012) only deals with insect loads and there exists a paucity of knowledge regarding how parasites and parasitoides (on the insect herbivores) in turn affect the host plant population dynamics for various insect aggregation patterns. For the present system, given the higher abundance of these parasitoides (Trissolcus jatrophae, Cheilomenes sp., and Telenomus sp.) in the intermediate samplings (A.N.N, unpublished, also see Nerlekar and Rajmohana, 2016), it would be useful to model their impact on J. nana population dynamics. Thus, further studies that investigate patch extinction dynamics and parasitoid loads and link it with anthropogenic pressures will be a useful extension of the present work. Understanding population dynamics of J. nana is crucial since this species is threatened globally, and has an IUCN Red list status of 'vulnerable' (Nerlekar et al., 2016).

Both abiotic and biotic factors are known to shape plant phenology patterns and within biotic factors, insect herbivory has been reported to be an important selective force for phenology shifts, especially in the tropics (Aide, 1988). Leaf flushing of trees in the dry season has been proven to be a strategy to avoid peak insect herbivory in tropical seasonal forests (Aide, 1988, 1992; Murali and Sukumar, 1993). The phenology patterns of *J. nana* also show such dry season leaf and flower production, which starts in May (A.N.N, unpublished). The present data suggests that insect load is relatively lower in the pre-monsoon samplings (S1–S3) than the monsoon samplings (S4–S10). Given these phenology and insect load patterns, it would be reasonable to extend this hypothesis to this functionally woody perennial herb that also employs a dry season leaf flushing strategy to avoid higher insect herbivory in the wet season. However, higher insect load may or may not always translate into higher herbivory pressure (Rhainds and English-Loeb, 2003) (as is the case with *P. morosalis*) and directly measuring damage due to herbivory as a response across different phenology stages might be helpful in fully testing this hypothesis.

One of the limitations of this study was that the observations were only conducted for a specific time period during the day, which might have resulted in the omission of nocturnal herbivores. The RCH also hypothesises a relationship between host-patch diversity and insect loads and this was not considered in the present study. Distance between patches plays an important role in migration rates (Coley, 1987) which could not be accounted for in this work, owing to the restricted distribution of the species. Lastly, for insects I used an observation based method instead of commonly used insect traps which might have introduced bias.

## **MATERIALS AND METHODS**

## Study area

The hills in Pune city harbour the largest known population of *J. nana* in India (Nerlekar et al., 2016). The present study was carried out in the Vetal hills (18°31'31.04"N; 73°49'11.17"E), the Pashan-Baner hills (18°32' 56.22"N; 73°47'9.16"E) and the NDA hills (18°30'1.27"N; 73°46'50.25"E) in Pune. These hills are an important natural landscape within an urban area and the habitat is a mosaic of plantations of exotic species and patches of remnant native savannah vegetation (Nerlekar and Kulkarni, 2015; https:// thewire.in/environment/open-savannahs-versus-wooded-thickets-whats-

the-future-for-punes-hills). On the Vetal and Pashan-Baner hills, the native *Anogeissus-Lannea-Boswellia* tree community is commonly found along with plantations of *Gliricidia sepium* (Jacq.) Kunth (Leguminosae) and *Leucaena leucocephala* (Lam.) de Wit. (Leguminosae) (Nerlekar and Kulkarni, 2015), whereas the NDA hills have fairly intact vegetation with low anthropogenic disturbance (Vattakaven et al., 2016). The elevation of the hills is about 700 m above sea level and the region receives annual seasonal rainfall (about 700 mm), of which about 90% is received between June and October. The average daily minimum temperature for January is about 11.4°C, whereas the average daily maximum temperature for April is 38.1°C. During the sampling duration (May to September, 2015), the maximum average daily temperature was recorded as 40.8°C on the 4th of May, whereas the minimum average daily temperature was 18.0°C on the

Open



Fig. 3. Poisson regression models (red line) fitted to the host plant density versus insect load data for each sampling. Samplings S2–S3 show a resource concentration pattern, whereas the samplings S4–S5 and S7–S11 show a resource dilution pattern. The model coefficient estimates ( $\beta$ ) and *P* value have been provided for each sampling.

3rd of September and the total average daily rainfall was 584 mm (data from Indian Meteorological Department, Pune).

### Standardization of focal sampling unit

The study species *J. nana* grows in spatial aggregations and the radii of such aggregations vary. In order to delimit the clump radius, the degree of clumping of ramets (a single above ground shoot) was taken into account by the following formula: degree of clumping=density of all ramets in clump/ average distance of all ramets from assumed centre. I noted readings of the degree of clumping for 16 such clumps for increasing radii at 1 m interval from the centre. Then I plotted the degree of clumping flattens was found to be 80 m<sup>2</sup> area (=5 m radius) which was used as the radius for all clumps and kept constant during all samplings (Fig. S1). Thus, the focal observation area was kept constant, within which the individual ramets' densities were recorded.

#### **Field sampling**

Through pilot ground surveys in 2014, I traced and mapped clumps of J. nana in the study area. I carried out field sampling 12 times [intervals between sampling range from 5-8 days (average 6.6 days) for sampling 1 and sampling 11, and 16 days between sampling 11 and sampling 12 (see Table S1 for sampling dates)] from May to September 2015 (referred to as S1-S12 hereon). In each sampling effort I measured 36 stratified random clumps, proportional to the total estimated population on each of the hills (23 on Vetal hills, eight on NDA hills and five on Pashan hills) based on varying initial densities. I divided a typical sampling into three to four days (an average of four hours/day) and sampled within a fixed time range of 1300 h to 1700 h. In each sampling I noted the density of ramets and presence of insect herbivores in each clump. The sampling period covers a seasonal transition from late summer (S1-S2, May, hot and dry with intermittent thunderstorms, most monsoon herbs in dormant stage, J. nana ramets sprouting from tubers) to early monsoon (S3-S5, June, intermediate rainfall, monsoon herbaceous vegetation in initial growth stages, J. nana ramets flowering), mid monsoon (S6-S10, June-August, maximum rainfall, peak flowering, fruiting for most monsoon herbaceous vegetation including J. nana) and late monsoon (S11-S12, August-September, intermediate rainfall with minimum mean minimum daily temperature, monsoon herbaceous vegetation about to dry, most J. nana ramets wilted and fruits fully mature) for the study area.

On all the ramets within the clump, I scanned insect herbivores visually by turning at least 50 % of randomly selected leaves by hand to check the underside, and recorded the insect species along with their abundance. For Pempelia cf. morosalis caterpillars, I recorded the abundance as follows: due to the webbing behaviour of the caterpillars, it was difficult to estimate absolute abundance without employing invasive methods. Hence, I scored the damage due to consumption as low, moderate or high. The low damage stage had about 70 individuals, 40 individuals for moderate and ten individuals for high, as found out by pilot observations (The low damage stage has more individuals than the high damage stage). I ascertained an insect as herbivore only if it was seen damaging any plant part in the field or the lab, or if it was indicated in the literature. Thus, I omitted insects that were currently at life stages that do not feed on plants (for example eggs, pupae) from the analysis. I also excluded herbivores that occurred only once in all the samplings combined (singletons) from the analyses. I collected insect specimens, preserved in 70 % ethanol, which were identified using standard keys (Hampson, 1892-1896; Distant, 1977) and validated by experts. It was not possible to identify some insects at the species level due to multiple factors including lack of adequate number of specimens, lack of specimens at the adult stage (some moths were only recorded as larva and could not be successfully reared in the lab for recording adult morphology) and finally lack of revised and updated literature. In all such cases, I identified the insects to the lowest taxonomic level possible.

#### **Data analysis**

All statistical analysis was performed in PAST (Hammer et al., 2001) and STATISTICA (StatSoft, 2001). I checked data for normality by using the Shapiro-Wilk's test and found it to be non-normal, therefore I chose the

non-parametric Kruskal-Wallis test to check if there was a significant difference between sampling for density of ramets and insect loads. Insect load has been defined as total abundance of all insects divided by the total number of ramets in the clump (Otway et al., 2005). For all the tests, results were considered significant at the  $\alpha$  =0.05 threshold level. To check if the insect-herbivore load was significantly predicted by plant density for all samplings combined, I used GLMM with Poisson distribution and log link function, keeping sampling numbers as a random factor. Similarly, to model the impact of plant density on insect-herbivore loads for each sampling separately, I compared model coefficients using a Poisson regression (with clump numbers as a random factor) within the GLMM framework, with log link owing to the Poisson distribution of data (Agresti, 2007).

#### Acknowledgements

I express my deepest gratitude towards H. V. Ghate for identification of the insects and guidance. I thank Akshay Onkar and Shubham Mudrale who regularly volunteered during the sampling. Inputs on project design provided by Vedant Dixit, Prerna Agarwal, and on the manuscript by Joseph Veldman, Ovee Thorat, Vikram Aditya, Srikanta Dani, Nikhil Modak, Harshad Mayekar greatly improved the quality of this work. Peter Smetacek, Sachin Gurule, Mansi Mungee, Neha Mujumdar provided identification for moths encountered. Lastly, I thank the Maharashtra Forest Department for granting permits for field work.

#### **Competing interests**

The authors declare no competing or financial interests.

#### Author contributions

Conceptualization: A.N.N.; Methodology: A.N.N.; Software: A.N.N.; Validation: A.N.N.; Formal analysis: A.N.N.; Investigation: A.N.N.; Resources: A.N.N.; Data curation: A.N.N.; Writing - original draft: A.N.N.; Writing - review & editing: A.N.N.; Visualization: A.N.N.; Supervision: A.N.N.; Project administration: A.N.N.; Funding acquisition: A.N.N.

#### Funding

The project was funded by a grant from Idea Wild, USA.

#### Data availability

Data available from the Dryad Digital Repository: https://doi.org/10.5061/dryad.dk5gt88 (Nerlekar, 2018)

#### Supplementary information

Supplementary information available online at http://bio.biologists.org/lookup/doi/10.1242/bio.035071.supplemental

#### References

- Agresti, A. (2007). An Introduction to Categorical Data Analysis- Second Edition. New Jersey: John Wiley & Sons.
- Aide, T. M. (1988). Herbivory as a selective agent on the timing of leaf production in a tropical understory community. *Nature* 336, 574-575.
- Aide, T. M. (1992). Dry season leaf production: an escape from herbivory. *Biotropica* 4, 532-537.
- Björkman, M., Hambäck, P. A., Hopkins, R. J. and Rämert, B. (2010). Evaluating the enemies hypothesis in a clover-cabbage intercrop: effects of generalist and specialist natural enemies on the turnip root fly (*Delia floralis*). Agric. Forest Entomol. 12, 123-132.
- Bukovinszky, T., Potting, R. P. J., Clough, Y., Van Lenteren, J. C. and Vet, L. E. M. (2005). The role of pre-and post-alighting detection mechanisms in the responses to patch size by specialist herbivores. *Oikos* 109, 435-446.
- Coley, P. D. (1987). Interspecific variation in plant anti-herbivore properties: the role of habitat quality and rate of disturbance. *New Phytol.* **106**, 251-263.
- Connell, J. H. (1971). On the role of natural enemies in preventing competitive exclusion in some marine animals and in rain forest trees. In *Dynamics of Population* (ed. P. J. Den Boer and G. R. Gradwell), pp. 298-312. Wageningen, The Netherlands: Pudoc.
- Distant, W. L. (1977). The Fauna of British India including Ceylon and Burma -Rhynchota—Volume 1 (Heteroptera) Indian Reprint. London: Taylor and Francis.
- Elton, C. S. (1958). The Ecology of Invasions by Animals and Plants. London, Methuen.
- Endara, M.-J. and Coley, P. D. (2011). The resource availability hypothesis revisited: a meta-analysis. *Funct. Ecol.* 25, 389-398.
- Feeny, P. (1976). Plant apparency and chemical defence. In *Biochemical Interaction Between Plants and Insects* (Eds. J. W. Wallace and R. L. Mansell), pp. 1-40. US: Springer.

- Hambäck, P. A. and Englund, G. (2005). Patch area, population density and the scaling of migration rates: the resource concentration hypothesis revisited. *Ecol. Lett.* 8, 1057-1065.
- Hambäck, P. A., Ågren, J. and Ericson, L. (2000). Associational resistance: insect damage to purple loosestrife reduced in thickets of sweet gale. *Ecology* 81, 1784-1794.
- Hammer, Ø., Harper, D. A. T. and Ryan, P. D. (2001). PAST (v3.0). Paleontological Statistics software package for education and data analysis. *Palaeontol. Electron.* 4, 1-9.
- Hampson, G. F. (1892–1896). *The Fauna of British India including Ceylon and Burma, Moths*, Vol. 1–4. London: Taylor and Francis.
- Janzen, D. H. (1970). Herbivores and the number of tree species in tropical forests. *Am. Nat.* **104**, 501-528.
- Koricheva, J., Mulder, C. P. H., Schmid, B., Joshi, J. and Huss-Danell, K. (2000). Numerical responses of different trophic groups of invertebrates to manipulations of plant diversity in grasslands. *Oecologia* **125**, 271-282.
- Kulkarni, D. K., Bhagat, R. and Ghate, H. V. (2009). Occurrence of Pentatomoid bug on Jatropha nana Dalz. Ind J Trop Biod. 17, 125-126.
- Murali, K. S. and Sukumar, R. (1993). Leaf flushing phenology and herbivory in a tropical dry deciduous forest, southern India. *Oecologia* **94**, 114-119.
- Nerlekar, A. N. (2015). Lectotypification of Jatropha nana (Euphorbiaceae) with notes on its threats, and the status of Jatropha nana var. bengalensis. Phytotaxa 213, 155-158.
- Nerlekar, A. N. (2018). Data from: Seasonally dependent relationship between insect herbivores and host plant density in a tropical perennial herb. *Dryad Digital Repository*. doi:10.5061/dryad.dk5gt88
- Nerlekar, A. N. and Kulkarni, D. K. (2015). The Vetal hills: an urban wildscape in peril. *Taprobanica* 7, 72-78.
- Nerlekar, A. N. and Rajmohana, K. (2016). Occurrence of *Trissolcus jatrophae* Rajmohana et al. 2011 (Hymenoptera: Scelionidae) in Pune City, India. *J. Threat. Taxa.* 8, 8736-8738.
- Nerlekar, A. N., Kumar, A. and Venu, P. (2016). Jatropha nana. The IUCN Red List of Threatened Species: e. T88425992A88425995. Accessed on 30th December 2017 http://dx.doi.org/10.2305/IUCN.UK.20161.RLTS.T88425992A88425995.en.
- Neves, F. S., Silva, J. O., Espírito-Santo, M. M. and Fernandes, G. W. (2014). Insect herbivores and leaf damage along successional and vertical gradients in a tropical dry forest. *Biotropica* 46, 14-24.

- Otway, S. J., Hector, A. and Lawton, J. H. (2005). Resource dilution effects on specialist insect herbivores in a grassland biodiversity experiment. J. Anim. Ecol. 74, 234-240.
- Reznik, S. Y. (1993). Influence of target plant density on herbivorous insect oviposition choice: Ambrosia artemisiifolia L. (Asteraceae) and Zygogramma suturalis F. (Coleoptera: Chrysomelidae). Biocontrol Sci. Technol. 3, 105-113.
- Rhainds, M. and English-Loeb, G. (2003). Testing the resource concentration hypothesis with tarnished plant bug on strawberry: density of hosts and patch size influence the interaction between abundance of nymphs and incidence of damage. *Ecol. Entomol.* 28, 348-358.
- Robinson, G. S., Ackery, P. R., Kitching, I. J., Beccaloni, G. W. and Hernández, L. M. (2010). HOSTS - A Database of the World's Lepidopteran Hostplants. London: Natural History Museum. http://www.nhm.ac.uk/hosts. (Accessed: 30th December 2017).
- Root, R. B. (1973). Organization of a plant-arthropod association in simple and diverse habitats: the fauna of collards (*Brassica oleracea*). *Ecol. Monogr.* 43, 95-124.
- Shanker, C. and Dhyani, S. K. (2006). Insect pests of *Jatropha curcas* L. and the potential for their management. *Curr. Sci.* 91, 162-163.
- StatSoft, I. N. C. (2001). STATISTICA (Data Analysis Software System), Version 10, StatSoft Inc. Tulsa, USA.
- Stephens, A. E. A. and Myers, J. H. (2012). Resource concentration by insects and implications for plant populations. J. Ecol. 100, 923-931.
- Thorat, O., Mukherjee, R., Ramanujam, R. V., Aditya, V. and Devy, M. S. (2016). Patterns of herbivory on *Macaranga peltata*, a pioneer species in the midelevation forests of the Western Ghats, India. *Curr. Sci.* **111**, 790-792.
- Tooker, J. F. and Frank, S. D. (2012). Genotypically diverse cultivar mixtures for insect pest management and increased crop yields. J. Appl. Ecol. 49, 974-985.
- Vattakaven, T., George, R., Balasubramanian, D., Réjou-Méchain, M., Muthusankar, G., Ramesh, B. and Prabhakar, R. (2016). India Biodiversity Portal: an integrated, interactive and participatory biodiversity informatics platform. *Biodivers. Data J.* 4, e10279.
- Weissflog, A., Markesteijn, L., Lewis, O. T., Comita, L. S. and Engelbrecht, B. M. J. (2018). Contrasting patterns of insect herbivory and predation pressure across a tropical rainfall gradient. *Biotropica* 50, 302-311.