

HHS Public Access

Annu Rev Public Health. Author manuscript; available in PMC 2018 November 23.

Published in final edited form as:

Author manuscript

Annu Rev Public Health. 2018 April 01; 39: 215–235. doi:10.1146/annurev-publhealth-040617-013757.

E-Cigarettes: Use, Effects on Smoking, Risks, and Policy Implications

Stanton A. Glantz¹ and David W. Bareham²

¹Center for Tobacco Control Research and Education and Department of Medicine, University of California, San Francisco, California 94143, USA; Stanton.Glantz@ucsf.edu

²Lincolnshire Community Health Services NHS Trust, Louth, LN11 0EU, United Kingdom; david.bareham@live.co.uk

Abstract

Since e-cigarettes appeared in the mid-2000s, some practitioners, researchers, and policy makers have embraced them as a safer alternative to conventional cigarettes and an effective way to stop smoking. While e-cigarettes deliver lower levels of carcinogens than do conventional cigarettes, they still expose users to high levels of ultrafine particles and other toxins that may substantially increase cardiovascular and noncancer lung disease risks, which account for more than half of all smoking-caused deaths, at rates similar to conventional cigarettes. Moreover, rather than stimulating smokers to switch from conventional cigarettes to less dangerous e-cigarettes or quitting altogether, e-cigarettes are reducing smoking cessation rates and expanding the nicotine market by attracting youth.

Keywords

smoking initiation; smoking cessation; cancer; cardiovascular disease; lung disease

INTRODUCTION

Cigarettes are a highly effective way of delivering the addictive drug nicotine. They do so by burning tobacco to create an aerosol of ultrafine particles that carries nicotine deep into the lungs, where it is rapidly absorbed, then travels through the left heart, reaching the brain in a few seconds. The combustion process also generates carcinogens, oxidizing agents, and other toxins. Like cigarettes, electronic cigarettes (e-cigarettes) create an inhaled aerosol of ultrafine particles that rapidly delivers nicotine to the brain. In contrast with cigarettes, however, e-cigarettes generate the aerosol by heating a liquid, usually consisting of propylene glycol or vegetable glycerin, nicotine, and flavoring agents, without any combustion (53).

This work is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License, which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original author and source are credited. See credit lines of images or other third-party material in this article for license information

DISCLOSURE STATEMENT

The authors are not aware of any affiliations, memberships, funding, or financial holdings that might be perceived as affecting the objectivity of this review.

Page 2

Some in the health community, particularly in England, have embraced e-cigarettes as a safer alternative to conventional cigarettes and an effective way to stop smoking conventional cigarettes (85, 105) and have approved of their use by pregnant women (118). Despite the fact that a puff on an e-cigarette is almost certainly less toxic than a puff on a conventional cigarette, this optimistic scenario has not developed. Rather than encouraging smokers to switch from conventional cigarettes to less dangerous e-cigarettes or quitting altogether, e-cigarettes are reducing smoking cessation rates and expanding the nicotine market by attracting low-risk youth who would be unlikely to initiate nicotine use with conventional cigarettes.

TYPES OF E-CIGARETTES

E-cigarettes as originally marketed in 2004, known as cig-a-likes, were developed in China as a less dangerous alternative to conventional cigarettes (53). The early devices looked like a conventional cigarette, often including a small light on the tip that lit when the user puffed (Table 1). These early systems were generally inefficient at delivering nicotine, in part because the particle sizes of the aerosol were too large to penetrate deep into the lungs. Newer versions feature replaceable or refillable reservoirs and rechargeable batteries that generate smaller particles and more efficient nicotine delivery. These refillable systems allow users to separately purchase the e-cigarette liquid (known as e-liquid or e-juice) that contains varying levels of nicotine and comes in many different flavors (150). Running at a higher power (temperature) not only increases nicotine delivery, but also increases the amount of formaldehyde and other aldehydes that are naturally produced by heating up propylene glycol or vegetable glycerin (73, 98) and other toxins produced in the e-cigarette aerosol.

While some practitioners, researchers, and policy makers viewed e-cigarettes as a disruptive technology (122) that would compete with the established multinational cigarette company brands, by 2014 all the major multinational tobacco companies had entered the e-cigarette market. They did so either by buying existing e-cigarette companies (including Ruyan, the original Chinese e-cigarette company, which was bought by Imperial Tobacco) or by developing their own products (128). Indeed, as part of a larger policy to keep people using recreational nicotine rather than stopping tobacco use (8, 74), Philip Morris had developed the technology of the modern e-cigarette by the mid-1990s (38). As with their other alternative nicotine delivery systems, they chose not to take the product to market to avoid attracting the attention of the US Food and Drug Administration (FDA) and possibly triggering regulation of conventional cigarettes (8, 38). Although there continue to be independently owned "vape shops," from economic and political perspectives the e-cigarette business is now part of the traditional tobacco industry (33, 78).

WHY PEOPLE USE E-CIGARETTES

In the United States and many other countries, e-cigarettes are not subject to the same marketing and promotion restrictions that apply to cigarettes (136). As a result, e-cigarette companies are permitted to advertise on television and in mass media as well as through newer channels such as the Internet. US e-cigarette marketing expenditures increased from

\$3.6 million in 2010 to \$125 million in 2014 (136), which translated into rapid increases in youth e-cigarette use (discussed below). Marketing messages echo well-established cigarette themes, including freedom, good taste, romance, sexuality, and sociability as well as messages claiming that e-cigarettes are healthy, are useful for smoking cessation, and can be used in smokefree environments. These messages are mirrored in the reasons that adults and youth cite for using e-cigarettes.

Adults

Adults cite predominantly three reasons for trying and using e-cigarettes: as an aid to smoking cessation, as a safer alternative to conventional cigarettes, and as a way to conveniently get around smokefree laws (99, 116, 131). Importantly, most adults who use e-cigarettes continue to smoke conventional cigarettes (referred to as dual users). In 2014 in the United States, 93% of e-cigarette users continued to smoke cigarettes (99), 83% in France (6), and 60% in the United Kingdom (131).

Youth

Although initial discussions within the health community about e-cigarettes focused on the potential for adults to use them as an alternative to cigarettes, youth have rapidly adopted them. In addition to the same three motivations that adults have cited for using e-cigarettes (52, 110), youth are attracted by e-cigarettes' novelty (52), the perception that they are harmless or less harmful than cigarettes (20, 52, 109, 110), and the thousands of flavors (5, 72, 136) (e.g., fruit, chocolate, peanut butter, bubble gum, gummy bear, among others).

As a result, youth e-cigarette use in the United States doubled or tripled every year between 2011 and 2014, and by 2014, e-cigarette use had surpassed conventional cigarette use in youth (36, 117). At the same time that e-cigarette use was increasing, cigarette smoking among youth declined (9, 68), leading some to suggest that e-cigarettes were replacing conventional cigarettes among youth (1, 80, 130) and are contributing to declines in youth smoking (84, 108, 111, 123). At least through 2014, however, e-cigarettes had no detectable effect on the decline in cigarette smoking among US adolescents (37) (Figure 1).

Whereas most of the youth who reported smoking cigarettes in the past 30 days (including dual users of cigarettes and e-cigarettes) in 2011–2014 have demographic and behavioral risk profiles (based on 2004–2009 data) consistent with smoking cigarettes, the risk profiles of the remaining e-cigarette-only users (about 25% of e-cigarette users) suggested that these individuals would have been unlikely to have initiated tobacco product use with cigarettes (37). These national results are consistent with regional US studies that also found that e-cigarette-only users display a lower risk profile than do cigarette smokers for smoking cigarettes (14, 24, 93, 143). Consistent with this statement is that, in 2015, in the United States, 40% of 18–24-year-old current e-cigarette users had never smoked conventional cigarettes (27).

This rapid increase in e-cigarette-only use among youth and young adults is of concern because youth are more susceptible to developing nicotine dependence than are adults (136). In addition, nicotine has adverse effects on brain development, including that of developing fetuses (41, 134, 136).

E-CIGARETTES AS A GATEWAY TO CIGARETTE SMOKING

A national cross-sectional study of Korean adolescents based on 2011 data was the first evidence that e-cigarette use was associated with higher cigarette use in youth (77). As with adults, dual use was the dominant pattern. The odds of being an e-cigarette user were 1.58 times [95% confidence interval (CI) 1.39–1.79] higher among students who had made an attempt to quit than for those who had not. It was rare for students who had formerly smoked but were no longer using cigarettes to be current e-cigarette users [odds ratio (OR) = 0.10; 95% CI 0.09–0.12]. A subsequent US cross-sectional study of data collected in 2011 and 2012 found similar results (35). As in Korea (77), current cigarette smokers who had ever used e-cigarettes were more likely to intend to quit smoking within the next year (OR =1.53; 95% CI 1.03–2.28) but were less likely to have stopped smoking (OR = 0.24; 95% CI 0.21-0.28). The same US study also found that e-cigarette use was associated with progression from experimentation with cigarettes to established smoking. Among cigarette experimenters (youth who had smoked at least 1 puff of a cigarette), ever e-cigarette use was associated with higher odds of becoming an established smoker (smoking 100 cigarettes; OR = 6.31; 95% CI 5.39–7.39) and with current cigarette smoking (smoking 100 cigarettes plus smoking in the last 30 days; OR = 5.96; 95% CI 5.67–6.27).

Such cross-sectional data, however, do not allow investigators to draw causal conclusions because they represent a snapshot in time that does not reveal whether the e-cigarette or the conventional cigarette use came first. Reaching a causal conclusion requires longitudinal data where the same people are followed over time. As of February 2017, 9 longitudinal studies were quantifying the effect of starting tobacco use with e-cigarettes on progression to smoking conventional cigarettes (119). These studies all started with youth who had never smoked a cigarette, then compared subsequent smoking between youth who did and did not use e-cigarettes at baseline. Adjusting for demographic, psychosocial, and behavioral risk factors for cigarette smoking, the odds of subsequent cigarette smoking were quadrupled among e-cigarette users (Figure 2).

In sum, e-cigarettes are expanding the tobacco epidemic by bringing lower-risk youth into the market, many of whom then transition to smoking cigarettes. The 2015 US National Youth Tobacco Survey (117) suggests that this process may be starting. The small decline in smoking among middle-school students between 2014 and 2015 (2.5% to 2.3%) and the small increase in smoking among high school students (9.2% to 9.3%) are consistent with the observation that youth who initiated nicotine use with e-cigarettes (i.e., in 2014) are more likely to be smoking cigarettes a year later.

E-CIGARETTES AND SMOKING CESSATION

Determining how to assess the effects of e-cigarettes on smoking cessation has been one of the most contentious aspects of the debate over e-cigarette use. In contrast with nicotine replacement therapy, e-cigarettes are mass-marketed recreational consumer products; they are not medicine developed to be administered under clinical supervision. Another issue embedded in the debate over the assessment of e-cigarettes and smoking cessation is whether their effects should be assessed only among people who are actively using them as

part of a smoking cessation attempt or on all smokers who use them regardless of motivation. This situation is further complicated because a major reason that smokers use ecigarettes is to continue inhaling nicotine in locations where conventional cigarette smoking is prohibited (e.g., workplaces, public places such as restaurants and bars, and smokefree homes) (99, 116, 131). Smokefree environments both motivate and support quit attempts (43, 95, 144, 148). By potentially dulling the effects of smokefree environments, the real-world use of e-cigarettes could reduce quit attempts and keep people smoking. As more jurisdictions include e-cigarettes in their smokefree policies and people include them in voluntary smokefree home rules, this effect will likely be diminished.

As of June 2017, there was only one prospective randomized controlled trial of people using e-cigarettes to quit smoking (23). This trial, conducted in New Zealand, compared giving patients nicotine and non-nicotine e-cigarettes with giving them a voucher for nicotine replacement therapy (NRT) that they could redeem at a local pharmacy (usual care in New Zealand). There was no significant difference in efficacy compared with nicotine patches; both patches and e-cigarettes showed low efficacy. At 6 months, verified abstinence was 7.3% with nicotine e-cigarettes, 5.8% among those offered NRT, and 4.1% for those with non-nicotine e-cigarettes. However, because participants were handed the e-cigarettes and only given a voucher for NRT, these results likely overstated the efficacy of e-cigarettes and understated the efficacy of well-managed NRT. Another randomized trial (25) that compared nicotine and non-nicotine e-cigarettes found no consistent difference in smoking cessation. This study did not have a control group of smokers not using e-cigarettes, so it does not provide any information about the effects of e-cigarette use per se on smoking cessation.

These two studies (23, 25) have been the subject of four meta-analyses (40, 58, 71, 87), two from the Cochrane Collaborative (58, 87), which concluded, with low confidence, that nicotine e-cigarettes were associated with marginally more quitting than non-nicotine e-cigarettes. Another meta-analysis (107) pooled the data from these two trials, two cohorts, and two cross-sectional studies and reached the same conclusion. None of these meta-analyses drew conclusions about the efficacy of e-cigarettes versus other interventions for cessation because only one of the trials had a non-e-cigarette comparison (control) group (23).

Most research on the relationship between the use of e-cigarettes and quitting has been from observational studies that compare cigarette use among smokers who use e-cigarettes with smokers who do not use e-cigarettes. Although it does not support the same kind of causal conclusions that an experimental study (i.e., a randomized controlled trial) would, this approach has the advantage of quantifying the effects of e-cigarettes as actually used, including any indirect effects, such as discouraging cessation attempts. An analysis of 8 cohort observational studies suggested a possible reduction in quit rates with the use of e-cigarettes compared with no use of e-cigarettes (OR = 0.74; 95% CI 0.55–1.00) (40).

Kalkhoran & Glantz (70) took a different approach, namely including all 20 available studies that reported a quantitative estimate of the association between e-cigarette use and having stopped smoking (2 clinical trials, 15 cohort studies, and 3 cross-sectional studies as of April 2015) and that had an appropriate control group (70). [They also presented a

systematic review of all 38 available studies, regardless of whether they included the information necessary to estimate the effect of e-cigarette use on smoking cessation (70).] Odds of quitting cigarettes were 28% lower in those who used e-cigarettes compared with those who did not use e-cigarettes (OR = 0.72; 95% CI 0.57–0.91). This conclusion did not significantly depend on differences in the study designs: studies of all smokers using e-cigarettes (irrespective of interest in quitting cigarettes) compared with studies of only smokers interested in cigarette cessation, study design, population, comparison group, control variables, time of exposure assessment, biochemical verification of abstinence, or definition of e-cigarette use. This result indicates that the overall conclusion that e-cigarettes are associated with less smoking cessation is not an artifact of the study design methods.

Between April 2015 and June 2017, seven more studies were published on the association between using e-cigarettes and quitting cigarettes (48, 62, 75, 81, 147, 149, 151). Updating the Kalkhoran & Glantz meta-analysis (70) to include these studies only slightly changed the pooled estimate of the effect (0.73, 95% CI 0.59–0.92) (Figure 3). The overall conclusion that smokers who used e-cigarettes were significantly less likely to stop smoking cigarettes remained.

Four studies (19, 63, 147, 151) did find significantly increased quitting among some ecigarette users, suggesting that specific use patterns may be important. One study (19) found that intermittent e-cigarette users (more than once or twice but less than daily use) were less likely to quit smoking one year later than none-cigarette users, but those who had used ecigarettes daily for at least one month were significantly more likely to quit cigarettes. Another study (63) found that all "cig-alike" users and nondaily tank system users had lower odds of quitting cigarettes, whereas daily tank system users were significantly more likely to quit. The third study (151) found that short-term e-cigarette use was not associated with a lower rate of smoking cessation, but long-term use was. The fourth study (147) found higher quitting smokers specifically using e-cigarettes as part of a quit attempt in countries with permissive e-cigarette policies (United States and United Kingdom) than those in countries with restrictive policies (Canada and Australia). In contrast, in the European Union (including Great Britain, specifically), a study of the relationship between e-cigarette use and having stopped smoking found less quitting among smokers who used e-cigarettes (75).

These results suggest that e-cigarettes are contributing to the tobacco epidemic by attracting smokers who are interested in quitting but reducing the likelihood of those smokers to quit successfully. This effect may be reflected in the fact that in 2015 the number of cigarettes consumed in the United States was higher than in 2014, the first time cigarette consumption increased since 1973 (139).

HEALTH EFFECTS OF E-CIGARETTES

Are "E-Cigarettes 95% Safer than Cigarettes"?

Influential health organizations in England, including Public Health England (85), the Royal College of Physicians (105), the Royal Society for Public Health (106), and the National Health Service (85, 96), have unequivocally stated that e-cigarettes are 95% safer than conventional cigarettes. This claim originated from a single consensus meeting of 12 people

convened by D.J. Nutt in 2014 (97). They reached this conclusion without citing any specific evidence (32). The Nutt et al. paper did include this caveat: "A limitation of this study is the lack of hard evidence for the harms of most products on most of the criteria" (97, p. 224), which has generally been ignored by those quoting this report (85, 96, 105, 106).

A 2015 editorial in The Lancet (39) identified financial conflicts of interest associated with Nutt et al. (97), noting that "there was no formal criterion for the recruitment of the experts." The Nutt et al. meeting was funded by EuroSwiss Health and Lega Italiana Anti Fumo (LIAF). EuroSwiss Health is one of several companies registered at the same address in a village outside Geneva with the same chief executive, who was reported to have received funding from British American Tobacco (BAT) for writing a book on nicotine as a means of harm reduction (66) and who also endorsed BAT's public health credentials (127). Another of Nutt's coauthors, Riccardo Polosa, was Chief Scientific Advisor to LIAF, received funding from LIAF, and reported serving as a consultant to Arbi Group Srl, an e-cigarette distributor. He also received funding from Philip Morris International (84, 129). Later in 2015, the BMJ published an investigative report (51) that raised broader issues surrounding potential conflicts of interest between individuals involved in the Nutt et al. paper. BMJ provided an infographic illuminating undisclosed connections between key people involved in the paper and the tobacco and e-cigarette industries as well as links between the paper and Public Health England via one of the coauthors. Even so, as of June 2017, the "95% safer" figure remains widely quoted, despite the fact that evidence of the dangers of e-cigarette use has rapidly accumulated since 2014. This new evidence indicates that the true risk of ecigarette use is much higher than the "95% safer" claim would indicate.

Cancer

Most discussion of the health effects of e-cigarettes has focused on cancer. As noted above, e-cigarettes deliver lower levels of carcinogens than do conventional cigarettes (50), and lower levels of carcinogens are found in the bodies of e-cigarette users than are found in smokers (114). While these observations suggest that e-cigarettes are likely less carcinogenic than conventional cigarettes, they do deliver carcinogens that can have effects at very low levels following repeat exposures (32). E-cigarettes deliver the tobacco-specific nitrosamine and potent lung carcinogen NNK [4-(N-methyl-N-nitrosoamino)-1-(3-pyridyl)-1-butanone, also known as nicotine-derived nitrosamine ketone] (50, 114). Some evidence indicates that the NNK dose-response curve for cancer is highly nonlinear, with substantial increases in risk at low doses (60). Known bladder carcinogens have been detected in the urine of e-cigarette users but not in nonusers (44). In addition, while nicotine is not a carcinogen, it does promote the growth of blood vessels that supply tumors and it speeds tumor growth (59).

The fact is, however, cardiovascular and noncancer lung disease kill more smokers (135) than does cancer (Figure 4), which makes it important to assess the impact of e-cigarette use on these other diseases.

Cardiovascular Disease

E-cigarettes adversely impact the cardiovascular system (17, 113). Although the specific role of nicotine in cardiovascular disease remains debated (16, 17), nicotine is not the only biologically active component in e-cigarette aerosol. As noted above, e-cigarettes work by creating an aerosol of ultrafine particles to carry nicotine deep into the lungs. These particles are as small as—and sometimes smaller than—those in conventional cigarettes (45) (Figure 5). These ultrafine particles are themselves biologically active, trigger inflammatory processes, and are directly implicated in causing cardiovascular disease and acute cardiovascular events (101). The dose-response effect for exposure to particles is nonlinear, with substantial increases in cardiovascular risk with even low levels of exposure to ultrafine particles (101). For example, exposure to secondhand cigarette smoke has nearly as large an effect on many risk factors for cardiovascular disease and the risk of acute myocardial infarction as does being an active smoker (13). In addition, e-cigarettes expose users to acrolein and other aldehydes (17, 18). Like conventional cigarette smokers, e-cigarette users experience increased oxidative stress (26, 92) and increases in the release of inflammatory mediators (26, 61). E-cigarette aerosol also induces platelet activation, aggregation, and adhesion (64). All these changes are associated with an increased risk of cardiovascular disease.

These physiological changes are manifest in rapid deterioration of vascular function following use of e-cigarettes. E-cigarette and traditional cigarette smoking in healthy individuals with no known cardiovascular disease exhibit similar inhibition of the ability of arteries to dilate in response to the need for more blood flow (26). This change reflects damage to the lining of the arteries (the vascular endothelium), which increases both the risk of long-term heart disease and an acute event such as a myocardial infarction (heart attack) (141, 145, 146). Using e-cigarettes is also accompanied by a shift in balance of the autonomic (reflex) nervous system toward sympathetic predominance (26, 92), which is also associated with increased cardiac risk (56, 126).

The biological stresses that e-cigarette use imposes on the cardiovascular system are manifest as an increase in risk of acute myocardial infarction (125). A cross-sectional analysis of data in the US 2014 and 2016 National Health Interview Surveys revealed that daily e-cigarette use was associated with increased odds of having suffered a myocardial infarction (OR = 1.79, 95% CI 1.20–2.66; p = 0.004), controlling for conventional cigarette smoking, demographic characteristics (age, gender, body mass index, family income) and health characteristics (hypertension, diabetes, and hypercholesterolemia) (125). Significantly, the effect of using e-cigarette smoking (OR = 2.72, 2.29–3.24; p < 0.001) (125).

Lung Disease

As with cardiovascular disease, evidence consistently indicates that exposure to e-cigarette aerosol has adverse effects on lungs and pulmonary function (31, 91). Repeated exposure to acrolein, which is produced by heating the propylene glycol and glycerin in e-liquids, causes chronic pulmonary inflammation, reduction of host defense, neutrophil inflammation, mucus

hypersecretion, and protease-mediated lung tissue damage, which are linked to the development of chronic obstructive pulmonary disease (94). E-cigarette aerosol also exposes users to highly oxidizing free radicals (49). Animal studies have also shown that e-cigarettes increase pulmonary inflammation and oxidative stress while inhibiting the immune system (31).

Consistent with these experimental results, people who used e-cigarettes experienced decreased expression of immune-related genes in their nasal cavities, with more genes suppressed than among cigarette smokers, indicating immune suppression in the nasal mucosa (82). E-cigarette use upregulates expression of platelet-activating factor receptor (PAFR) in users' nasal epithelial cells (90); PAFR is an important molecule involved in the ability of *S. pneumoniae*, the leading cause of bacterial pneumonia, to attach to cells it infects (adherence). In light of the immunosuppressive effects observed in nasal mucosa (82), there is concern that e-cigarette use will predispose users toward more severe respiratory infections, as has been demonstrated in mouse studies (67).

Given these effects, it is not surprising that e-cigarette use is associated with a doubling of the risk of symptoms of chronic bronchitis among US high school juniors and seniors (OR = 2.02; 95% CI 1.42–2.88) with higher risk associated with higher use; these risks persisted among former users (83). Similarly, current e-cigarette use was associated with an increased diagnosis of asthma among Korean high school students (adjusted OR = 2.74; 95% CI 1.30–5.78 among current e-cigarette users who were never cigarette smokers) (28). E-cigarette users were also more likely to have had days absent from school due to severe asthma symptoms.

Summary of Health Effects

Although e-cigarettes deliver lower levels of carcinogens than do conventional cigarettes, and therefore may pose less cancer risk to users (albeit not zero cancer risk), they still expose users to high levels of ultrafine particles and other toxins that may substantially increase cardiovascular and noncancer lung disease risk. The similarities between the effects of e-cigarettes and those of conventional cigarettes on determinants of cardiovascular and lung disease make it likely that e-cigarettes will impose similar long-term cardiovascular and pulmonary risks as those associated with conventional cigarettes. Cardiovascular and noncancer pulmonary diseases account for about two-thirds of smokers' premature deaths from tobacco-induced diseases (Figure 4), so it would not be surprising if e-cigarettes impose half (or more) of the overall long-term risks as those from conventional cigarettes.

USE OF E-CIGARETTES IN SMOKEFREE ENVIRONMENTS

Using e-cigarettes in places where smoking is prohibited (e.g., workplaces, public places such as restaurants and bars, and otherwise smokefree homes) is one of the reasons that people use e-cigarettes (99, 116, 131). (This situation is changing as more places include e-cigarettes in their smokefree policies.) Even though e-cigarettes do not produce any sidestream smoke (the smoke that comes off the lit end of a smoldering cigarette), they do pollute the air in the form of exhaled mainstream aerosol from people using e-cigarettes. Nicotine, ultrafine particles, and products of heating propylene glycol and glycerin are

increased in the air where e-cigarettes are being used, although, as expected, at lower levels than produced by smoking the same number of conventional cigarettes (12, 34, 46, 112).

As with conventional cigarettes, however, when several people are using e-cigarettes indoors at the same time, the air can become polluted. For example, levels of fine particulate matter (PM_{2.5}) in a large hotel event room (4,023 m³) increased from 2–3 μ g/m³ to as high as 819 μ g/m³ interquartile range: 761–975 μ g/m³) when 59–86 people were using e-cigarettes (120). This level is comparable to a very (conventional tobacco) smoky bar or casino and dramatically exceeds the US Environmental Protection Agency annual time-weighted standard for PM_{2.5} of 12 μ g/m³ (137).

Evidence has also shown that bystanders absorb nicotine when people around them use ecigarettes at levels comparable with exposure to conventional cigarette secondhand smoke (12). In a study of nonsmokers living with nicotine e-cigarette users, those living with conventional cigarette smokers, or those living in homes where no one used either product, cotinine (a metabolite of nicotine) levels in bystanders' urine were significantly elevated in both the people exposed to secondhand e-cigarette aerosol and those exposed to secondhand tobacco smoke compared with people living in aerosol- and smoker-free homes. Interestingly, the levels of elevated urinary cotinine in the two exposed groups were not significantly different (although the passive smokers had higher point estimates), despite the fact that the increase in air pollution in the smokers' homes was much higher than in the ecigarette users' homes (geometric mean air nicotine concentrations of $0.13 \ \mu g/m^3$ in ecigarette users' homes, $0.74 \ \mu g/m^3$ in smokers' homes, and $0.02 \ \mu g/m^3$ in the control homes).

On the basis of emerging evidence, in 2014 the American Industrial Hygiene Association (3, p. 2) concluded that "e-cigarettes are not emission-free and that their pollutants could be of health concern for users and those who are exposed secondhand. ...[T]heir use in the indoor environment should be restricted, consistent with current smoking bans, until and unless research documents that they will not significantly increase the risk of adverse health effects to room occupants." Similarly, in 2016 the American Society of Heating, Refrigeration and Air-Conditioning Engineers (ASHRAE) updated its standard for "Ventilation for Acceptable Indoor Air Quality" to incorporate emissions from e-cigarettes into the definition of "environmental tobacco smoke," which is incompatible with acceptable indoor air quality (10, 11). As of April 2017, 12 US states and 615 localities had prohibited the use of e-cigarettes in venues in which conventional cigarette smoking was prohibited (7).

POLICY ISSUES

Initial hopes that e-cigarettes would be both a less toxic competitor to conventional cigarettes and a help to people who attempt to quit smoking cigarettes (76) have not translated into real-world positive effects. Instead, e-cigarettes have simply become another class of tobacco products that are maintaining and expanding the tobacco epidemic.

As the major tobacco companies have moved into, and increasingly dominated, the ecigarette market, they are dominating the political and policy-making environments just as

Page 11

they have in conventional cigarette policy making (33, 78). As they have done to influence tobacco control policies for conventional cigarettes (132), the large companies often try to stay out of sight and work through third parties that can obscure their links to the tobacco industry (33). The one difference from the historical pattern of industry efforts to shape tobacco policy from behind the scenes is that there are also genuine independent sellers of e-cigarettes and associated users (so-called vape shops) who are not necessarily being directed by the cigarette companies. These smaller operators are, however, losing market share to the big tobacco companies (89), and the real political power is now being exercised by the cigarette companies. The cigarette companies try to take advantage of the existence of independent players while acting through the industry's traditional allies and front groups (33, 42).

Countries have reacted in a variety of ways to the introduction of e-cigarettes in their markets, ranging from no regulations to a ban on e-cigarettes. The Conference of the Parties to the World Health Organization Framework Convention (which does not include the United States) has generally taken a cautious approach to e-cigarettes (140) and has agreed that regulatory measures need to be implemented to, at a minimum, ensure that e-cigarettes do not worsen the tobacco epidemic (140). Because of these realities, e-cigarettes should be integrated into tobacco control policies at all levels of government.

To minimize deleterious health effects, we recommend the following measures:

- Prohibit the use of e-cigarettes anywhere that use of conventional cigarettes is prohibited, including in smokefree homes;
- Tax e-cigarettes at levels comparable to cigarettes;
- Include e-cigarettes in public education campaigns, particularly communicating the facts that they are not "harmless water vapor," do pollute the air, are a gateway to conventional cigarettes, and are increasingly sold by the same multinational companies that sell conventional cigarettes;
- Prohibit the sale of e-cigarettes to anyone who cannot legally buy cigarettes or in any venues where the sale of conventional cigarettes is prohibited;
- Establish a minimum purchase age of 21;
- Subject e-cigarettes to the same marketing restrictions that apply to conventional cigarettes (including no television, radio, or outdoor advertising);
- Prohibit cobranding of e-cigarettes with cigarettes or marketing in a way that promotes dual use;
- Prohibit flavored e-cigarettes, particularly menthol, candy, fruit, and alcohol flavors;
- Prohibit claims that e-cigarettes are effective smoking cessation aids until ecigarette companies provide sufficient evidence that, as actually used in the real world, e-cigarettes are effective for smoking cessation;

- Prohibit any health claims about e-cigarette products until and unless they are authorized by the appropriate regulatory agencies (the FDA in the United States) using scientific and regulatory standards that account for dual use and effects of e-cigarette use on depressing smoking cessation; and
- Establish quality standards for ingredients and functioning of e-cigarette devices.

Implementing these policies would reduce the likelihood that e-cigarettes will continue to expand and extend the tobacco epidemic.

THE FUTURE

Because e-cigarettes have been on the market for only a few years, the long-term population health effects are not known. Nevertheless, it is already clear that e-cigarettes are prolonging and extending the tobacco epidemic by reducing smoking cessation and expanding the tobacco use with conventional cigarettes. On the basis of the short-term effects that have been identified to date, e-cigarettes likely have cardiovascular and noncancer lung disease risks similar to those associated with smoking conventional cigarettes. Under most reasonable alternative use pattern scenarios, this is a high enough risk to lead to a net population harm even if some smokers switch to e-cigarettes (47, 69, 80). To minimize harm, e-cigarettes as well as the timing and location of their promotion and use should be regulated like other tobacco products.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

Dr. Glantz's work was supported in part by grant 1P50CA180890 from the National Cancer Institute and Food and Drug Administration Center for Tobacco Products. The content is solely the responsibility of the authors and does not necessarily represent the official views of the National Institutes of Health or the US FDA. All views by David Bareham are his own and do not necessarily reflect those of his employer.

LITERATURE CITED

- Abrams DB. 2014 Promise and peril of e-cigarettes: Can disruptive technology make cigarettes obsolete? JAMA 311:135–36 [PubMed: 24399548]
- Adkison SE, O'Connor RJ, Bansal-Travers M, Hyland A, Borland R, et al. 2013 Electronic nicotine delivery systems: International Tobacco Control Four-Country Survey. Am. J. Prev. Med 44:207–15 [PubMed: 23415116]
- 3. AIHA (Am. Ind. Hyg. Assoc.). 2014 White Paper: Electronic Cigarettes in the Indoor Environment Falls Church, VA: AIHA
- Al-Delaimy WK, Myers MG, Leas EC, Strong DR, Hofstetter CR. 2015 E-cigarette use in the past and quitting behavior in the future: a population-based study. Am. J. Public Health 105:1213–19 [PubMed: 25880947]
- Ambrose BK, Rostron BL, Johnson SE, Portnoy DB, Apelberg BJ, et al. 2014 Perceptions of the relative harm of cigarettes and e-cigarettes among U.S. youth. Am. J. Prev. Med 47:S53–60 [PubMed: 25044196]
- Andler R, Guignard R, Wilquin JL, Beck F, Richard JB, Nguyen-Thanh V. 2016 Electronic cigarette use in France in 2014. Int. J. Public Health 61:159–65 [PubMed: 26687039]
- 7. ANRF (Am. Nonsmok. Rights Found.). 2017 States and municipalities with laws regulating use of electronic cigarettes Updated July 3. ANRF, Berkeley, CA
- 8. Apollonio D, Glantz S. 2017 Tobacco industry research on nicotine replacement therapy: "If anyone is going to take away our business it should be us." Am. J. Public Health 17:e1–7

- 9. Arrazola RA, Singh T, Corey CG, Husten CG, Neff LJ, et al. 2015 Tobacco use among middle and high school students—United States, 2011–2014. MMWR 64:381–85 [PubMed: 25879896]
- 10. ASHRAE (Am. Soc. Heat. Refrig. Air Cond. Eng.). 2016 Standards 62.1. Ventilation for Acceptable Indoor Air Quality Atlanta: ASHRAE
- 11. ASHRAE (Am. Soc. Heat. Refrig. Air Cond. Eng.). 2016 Standard 62.2. Ventilation and Acceptable Indoor Air Quality in Residential Buildings Atlanta: ASHRAE
- Ballbe M, Martinez-Sanchez JM, Sureda X, Fu M, Perez-Ortuno R, et al. 2014 Cigarettes vs. ecigarettes: passive exposure at home measured by means of airborne marker and biomarkers. Environ. Res 135:76–80 [PubMed: 25262078]
- Barnoya J, Glantz SA. 2005 Cardiovascular effects of secondhand smoke: nearly as large as smoking. Circulation 111:2684–98 [PubMed: 15911719]
- Barrington-Trimis JL, Berhane K, Unger JB, Cruz TB, Huh J, et al. 2015 Psychosocial factors associated with adolescent electronic cigarette and cigarette use. Pediatrics 136:308–17 [PubMed: 26216326]
- 15. Barrington-Trimis JL, Urman R, Berhane K, Unger JB, Cruz TB, et al. 2016 E-cigarettes and future cigarette use. Pediatrics 138:1–8
- Benowitz NL, Fraiman JB. 2017 Cardiovascular effects of electronic cigarettes. Nat. Rev. Cardiol 14:447–56 [PubMed: 28332500]
- 17. Bhatnagar A 2016 E-cigarettes and cardiovascular disease risk: evaluation of evidence, policy implications, and recommendations. Curr. Cardiovasc. Risk Rep 10:24
- Bhatnagar A 2017 Are electronic cigarette users at increased risk for cardiovascular disease? JAMA Cardiol 2:237–38 [PubMed: 28146233]
- Biener L, Hargraves JL. 2015 A longitudinal study of electronic cigarette use among a populationbased sample of adult smokers: association with smoking cessation and motivation to quit. Nicotine Tob. Res 17:127–33 [PubMed: 25301815]
- Bold KW, Kong G, Cavallo DA, Camenga DR, Krishnan-Sarin S. 2016 Reasons for trying ecigarettes and risk of continued use. Pediatrics 138:1–8
- Borderud SP, Li YL, Burkhalter JE, Sheffer CE, Ostroff JS. 2014 Electronic cigarette use among patients with cancer: characteristics of electronic cigarette users and their smoking cessation outcomes. Cancer 120:3527–35 [PubMed: 25252116]
- Brown J, Beard E, Kotz D, Michie S, West R. 2014 Real-world effectiveness of e-cigarettes when used to aid smoking cessation: a cross-sectional population study. Addiction 109:1531–40 [PubMed: 24846453]
- Bullen C, Howe C, Laugesen M, McRobbie H, Parag V, et al. 2013 Electronic cigarettes for smoking cessation: a randomised controlled trial. Lancet 382:1629–37 [PubMed: 24029165]
- 24. Bunnell RE, Agaku IT, Arrazola R, Apelberg BJ, Caraballo RS, et al. 2015 Intentions to smoke cigarettes among never-smoking U.S. middle and high school electronic cigarette users, National Youth Tobacco Survey, 2011–2013. Nicotine Tob. Res 17:228–35 [PubMed: 25143298]
- Caponnetto P, Campagna D, Cibella F, Morjaria JB, Caruso M, et al. 2013 EffiCiency and Safety of an eLectronic cigAreTte (ECLAT) as tobacco cigarettes substitute: a prospective 12-month randomized control design study. PLOS ONE 8:e66317 [PubMed: 23826093]
- 26. Carnevale R, Sciarretta S, Violi F, Nocella C, Loffredo L, et al. 2016 Acute impact of tobacco versus electronic cigarette smoking on oxidative stress and vascular function. Chest 150:606–12 [PubMed: 27108682]
- CDC (Cent. Dis. Control Prev.). 2016 QuickStats: cigarette smoking status among current adult ecigarette users, by age group—National Health Interview Survey, United States, 2015. MMWR 65:1177 [PubMed: 27787495]
- Cho JH, Paik SY. 2016 Association between electronic cigarette use and asthma among high school students in South Korea. PLOS ONE 11:e0151022 [PubMed: 26942764]
- 29. Choi K, Forster JL. 2014 Authors' response. Am. J. Prev. Med 46:e58–59 [PubMed: 24842747]
- 30. Christensen T, Welsh E, Faseru B. 2014 Profile of e-cigarette use and its relationship with cigarette quit attempts and abstinence in Kansas adults. Prev. Med 69:90–94 [PubMed: 25230365]

- Chun L, Moazed F, Calfee C, Matthay M, Gotts J. 2017 Pulmonary toxicity of e-cigarettes. Am. J. Physiol. Lung Cell Mol. Physiol 313:L193–206 [PubMed: 28522559]
- Combes RD, Balls M. 2015 On the safety of e-cigarettes: "I can resist anything except temptation." Altern. Lab. Anim 43:417–25 [PubMed: 26753944]
- Cox E, Barry RA, Glantz S. 2016 E-cigarette policymaking by local and state governments: 2009– 2014. Milbank Q 94:520–96 [PubMed: 27620685]
- 34. Czogala J, Goniewicz ML, Fidelus B, Zielinska-Danch W, Travers MJ, Sobczak A. 2014 Secondhand exposure to vapors from electronic cigarettes. Nicotine Tob. Res 16:655–62 [PubMed: 24336346]
- Dutra LM, Glantz SA. 2014 Electronic cigarettes and conventional cigarette use among U.S. adolescents: a cross-sectional study. JAMA Pediatr 168:610–17 [PubMed: 24604023]
- Dutra LM, Glantz SA. 2014 High international electronic cigarette use among never smoker adolescents. J. Adolesc. Health 55:595–97 [PubMed: 25344030]
- Dutra LM, Glantz SA. 2017 E-cigarettes and national adolescent cigarette use: 2004–2014. Pediatrics 139(2):pii:e20162450
- Dutra LM, Grana R, Glantz SA. 2016 Philip Morris research on precursors to the modern ecigarette since 1990. Tob. Control https://www.doi.org/10.1136/tobaccocontrol-2016-053406
- Editors. 2015 E-cigarettes: Public Health England's evidence-based confusion. Lancet 386:829 [PubMed: 26335861]
- 40. El Dib R, Suzumura EA, Akl EA, Gomaa H, Agarwal A, et al. 2017 Electronic nicotine delivery systems and/or electronic non-nicotine delivery systems for tobacco smoking cessation or reduction: a systematic review and meta-analysis. BMJ Open 7:e012680
- England LJ, Bunnell RE, Pechacek TF, Tong VT, McAfee TA. 2015 Nicotine and the developing human: a neglected element in the electronic cigarette debate. Am. J. Prev. Med 49:286–93 [PubMed: 25794473]
- 42. Fallin A, Grana R, Glantz SA. 2014 'To quarterback behind the scenes, third-party efforts': the tobacco industry and the Tea Party. Tob. Control 23:322–31 [PubMed: 23396417]
- 43. Fichtenberg CM, Glantz SA. 2002 Effect of smoke-free workplaces on smoking behaviour: systematic review. BMJ 325:188 [PubMed: 12142305]
- 44. Fuller T, Acharya A, Bhaskar G, Yu M, Little S, Tarin T. MP88–14 evaluation of e-cigarettes users urine for known bladder carcinogens. J. Urol 197:e1179
- Fuoco FC, Buonanno G, Stabile L, Vigo P. 2014 Influential parameters on particle concentration and size distribution in the mainstream of e-cigarettes. Environ. Pollut 184:523–29 [PubMed: 24172659]
- 46. Geiss O, Bianchi I, Barahona F, Barrero-Moreno J. 2015 Characterisation of mainstream and passive vapours emitted by selected electronic cigarettes. Int. J. Hyg. Environ. Health 218:169–80 [PubMed: 25455424]
- 47. Glantz SA. 2017 Need for examination of broader range of risks when predicting the effects of new tobacco products. Nicotine Tob. Res 19:266–67 [PubMed: 28082476]
- Gmel G, Baggio S, Mohler-Kuo M, Daeppen J-B, Studer J. 2016 E-cigarette use in young Swiss men: Is vaping an effective way of reducing or quitting smoking? Swiss Med. Wkly 146:w14271 [PubMed: 26752454]
- 49. Goel R, Durand E, Trushin N, Prokopczyk B, Foulds J, et al. 2015 Highly reactive free radicals in electronic cigarette aerosols. Chem. Res. Toxicol 28:1675–77 [PubMed: 26244921]
- Goniewicz ML, Knysak J, Gawron M, Kosmider L, Sobczak A, et al. 2014 Levels of selected carcinogens and toxicants in vapour from electronic cigarettes. Tob. Control 23:133–39 [PubMed: 23467656]
- 51. Gornall J 2015 Public Health England's troubled trail. BMJ 351:h5826 [PubMed: 26534902]
- Gorukanti A, Delucchi K, Ling P, Fisher-Travis R, Halpern-Felsher B. 2017 Adolescents' attitudes towards e-cigarette ingredients, safety, addictive properties, social norms, and regulation. Prev. Med 94:65–71 [PubMed: 27773711]
- 53. Grana R, Benowitz N, Glantz SA. 2014 E-cigarettes: a scientific review. Circulation 129:1972–86 [PubMed: 24821826]

- Grana RA, Popova L, Ling PM. 2014 A longitudinal analysis of electronic cigarette use and smoking cessation. JAMA Intern. Med 174:812–13 [PubMed: 24664434]
- 55. Hajek P, Corbin L, Ladmore D, Spearing E. 2015 Adding e-cigarettes to specialist stop-smoking treatment: City of London pilot project. J. Addict. Res. Ther 6:2
- Halcox JP, Schenke WH, Zalos G, Mincemoyer R, Prasad A, et al. 2002 Prognostic value of coronary vascular endothelial dysfunction. Circulation 106:653–58 [PubMed: 12163423]
- 57. Harrington KF, Cheong J, Hendricks S, Kohler C, Bailey WC. 2015 E-cigarette and traditional cigarette use among smokers during hospitalization and 6 months later. Cancer Epidemiol. Biomark. Prev 24:762
- Hartmann-Boyce J, McRobbie H, Bullen C, Begh R, Stead LF, Hajek P. 2016 Electronic cigarettes for smoking cessation. Cochrane Database Syst. Rev 9:CD010216
- 59. Heeschen C, Jang JJ, Weis M, Pathak A, Kaji S, et al. 2001 Nicotine stimulates angiogenesis and promotes tumor growth and atherosclerosis. Nat. Med 7:833–39 [PubMed: 11433349]
- 60. Hengstler JG, Bogdanffy MS, Bolt HM, Oesch F. 2003 Challenging dogma: thresholds for genotoxic carcinogens? The case of vinyl acetate. Annu. Rev. Pharmacol. Toxicol 43:485–520 [PubMed: 12415124]
- Higham A, Rattray NJ, Dewhurst JA, Trivedi DK, Fowler SJ, et al. 2016 Electronic cigarette exposure triggers neutrophil inflammatory responses. Respir. Res 17:56 [PubMed: 27184092]
- Hirano T, Tabuchi T, Nakahara R, Kunugita N, Mochizuki-Kobayashi Y. 2017 Electronic cigarette use and smoking abstinence in Japan: a cross-sectional study of quitting methods. Int. J. Environ. Res. Public Health 14:202
- 63. Hitchman SC, Brose LS, Brown J, Robson D, McNeill A. 2015 Associations between e-cigarette type, frequency of use, and quitting smoking: findings from a longitudinal online panel survey in Great Britain. Nicotine Tob. Res 17:1187–94 [PubMed: 25896067]
- 64. Hom S, Chen L, Wang T, Ghebrehiwet B, Yin W, Rubenstein DA. 2016 Platelet activation, adhesion, inflammation, and aggregation potential are altered in the presence of electronic cigarette extracts of variable nicotine concentrations. Platelets 27:694–702 [PubMed: 27096416]
- 65. Hornik RC, Gibson L, Lerman C. 2016 Prediction of cigarette use from prior electronic and combustible cigarette use for a national sample of 13–25 year olds. Abstr. POS5–30. Presented at Soc Res. Nicotine Tob., 3 2–4, Chicago https://c.ymcdn.com/sites/www.srnt.org/resource/resmgr/ Conferences/2016_Annual_Meeting/Program/SRNT_2016_Rapids_WEB2.pdf
- 66. Human D 2010 Wise Nicotine. Lowestoft, UK: Dennis Barber
- Hwang JH, Lyes M, Sladewski K, Enany S, McEachern E, et al. 2016 Electronic cigarette inhalation alters innate immunity and airway cytokines while increasing the virulence of colonizing bacteria. J. Mol. Med 94:667–79 [PubMed: 26804311]
- Johnston LD, O'Malley PM, Miech RA, Bachman JG, Schulenberg JE. 2016 Monitoring the Future. National Survey Results on Drug Use, 1975–2015: 2015 Overview. Key Findings on Adolescent Drug Use Ann Arbor: Inst. Soc. Res., Univ. Mich. http://www.monitoringthefuture.org/ pubs/monographs/mtf-overview2015.pdf
- 69. Kalkhoran S, Glantz SA. 2015 Modeling the health effects of expanding e-cigarette sales in the United States and United Kingdom: a Monte Carlo analysis. JAMA Intern. Med 175:1671–80 [PubMed: 26322924]
- Kalkhoran S, Glantz SA. 2016 E-cigarettes and smoking cessation in real-world and clinical settings: a systematic review and meta-analysis. Lancet Respir. Med 4:116–28 [PubMed: 26776875]
- Khoudigian S, Devji T, Lytvyn L, Campbell K, Hopkins R, O'Reilly D. 2016 The efficacy and short-term effects of electronic cigarettes as a method for smoking cessation: a systematic review and a meta-analysis. Int. J. Public Health 61:257–67 [PubMed: 26825455]
- 72. Kong G, Morean ME, Cavallo DA, Camenga DR, Krishnan-Sarin S. 2015 Reasons for electronic cigarette experimentation and discontinuation among adolescents and young adults. Nicotine Tob. Res 17:847–54 [PubMed: 25481917]
- Kosmider L, Sobczak A, Fik M, Knysak J, Zaciera M, et al. 2014 Carbonyl compounds in electronic cigarette vapors: effects of nicotine solvent and battery output voltage. Nicotine Tob. Res 16:1319–26 [PubMed: 24832759]

- 74. Kostygina G, England L, Ling P. 2016 New product marketing blurs the line between nicotine replacement therapy and smokeless tobacco products. Am. J. Public Health 106:1219–22 [PubMed: 27077338]
- 75. Kulik M, Lisha N, Glantz S. 2018 Electronic cigarette use is associated with lower odds of having stopped smoking: a cross-sectional study of 28 European Union countries. Am. J. Prev. Med In press
- 76. Laugesen M 2008 Safety Report on the Ruyan ^R E-Cigarette Cartridge and Inhaled Aerosol. Christch., NZ: Health N. Z. http://www.healthnz.co.nz/RuyanCartridgeReport30-Oct-08.pdf
- Lee S, Grana R, Glantz S. 2014 Electronic cigarette use among Korean adolescents: a crosssectional study of market penetration, dual use, and relationship to quit attempts and former smoking. J. Adolesc. Health 54:684–90 [PubMed: 24274973]
- Lempert LK, Grana R, Glantz SA. 2016 The importance of product definitions in US e-cigarette laws and regulations. Tob. Control 25:e44–51 [PubMed: 25512432]
- Leventhal AM, Strong DR, Kirkpatrick MG, Unger JB, Sussman S, et al. 2015 Association of electronic cigarette use with initiation of combustible tobacco product smoking in early adolescence. JAMA 314:700–7 [PubMed: 26284721]
- Levy DT, Borland R, Villanti AC, Niaura R, Yuan Z, et al. 2017 The application of a decisiontheoretic model to estimate the public health impact of vaporized nicotine product initiation in the United States. Nicotine Tob. Res 19:149–59 [PubMed: 27613952]
- Manzoli L, Flacco ME, Ferrante M, La Vecchia C, Siliquini R, et al. 2017 Cohort study of electronic cigarette use: effectiveness and safety at 24 months. Tob. Control 26:284–92 [PubMed: 27272748]
- 82. Martin EM, Clapp PW, Rebuli ME, Pawlak EA, Glista-Baker E, et al. 2016 E-cigarette use results in suppression of immune and inflammatory-response genes in nasal epithelial cells similar to cigarette smoke. Am. J. Physiol. Lung Cell Mol. Physiol 311:L135–44 [PubMed: 27288488]
- McConnell R, Barrington-Trimis JL, Wang K, Urman R, Hong H, et al. 2017 Electronic cigarette use and respiratory symptoms in adolescents. Am. J. Respir. Crit. Care Med 195:1043–49 [PubMed: 27806211]
- 84. McKee M, Capewell S. 2015 Evidence about electronic cigarettes: a foundation built on rock or sand? BMJ 351:h4863 [PubMed: 26374616]
- 85. McNeill A, Brose LS, Calder R, Hitchman SC, Hajek P, McRobbie H. 2015 E-Cigarettes: An Evidence Update. A Report Commissioned by Public Health England London: Public Health Engl https://www.gov.uk/government/uploads/system/uploads/attachment_data/file/457102/ Ecigarettes_an_evidence_update_A_report_commissioned_by_Public_Health_England_FINAL.p df
- McQueen N, Partington EJ, Harrington KF, Rosenthal EL, Carroll WR, Schmalbach CE. 2016 Smoking cessation and electronic cigarette use among head and neck cancer patients. Otolaryngol. Head Neck Surg 154:73–79 [PubMed: 26519457]
- 87. McRobbie H, Bullen C, Hartmann-Boyce J, Hajek P. 2014 Electronic cigarettes for smoking cessation and reduction. Cochrane Database Syst. Rev (12):CD010216
- Miech RA, O'Malley PM, Johnston LD, Patrick ME. 2016 E-cigarettes and the drug use patterns of adolescents. Nicotine Tob. Res 18:654–59 [PubMed: 26416823]
- 89. Mincer J, Geller M. 2016 A BAT deal with Reynolds adds to Big Tobacco's e-cig advantage. Reuters 10 26 http://www.reuters.com/article/us-reynolds-amricn-m-a-vape-analysis/a-bat-dealwith-reynolds-adds-to-big-tobaccos-e-cig-advantage-idUSKCN12P2YW
- Miyashita L, Suri R, Grigg J. 2017 The effect of e-cigarettes (EC) on nasal platelet activating factor receptor (PAFR) expression. Abstr. A1029 Presented at Am. Thorac. Soc. 2017 Int. Conf., May 19–24, Washington, DC http://www.atsjournals.org/doi/abs/10.1164/ajrccm-conference. 2017.195.1_MeetingAbstracts.A1029
- Moazed F, Calfee CS. 2017 The canary in the coal mine is coughing: electronic cigarettes and respiratory symptoms in adolescents. Am. J. Respir. Crit. Care Med 195:974–76 [PubMed: 28409686]

- Moheimani RS, Bhetraratana M, Yin F, Peters KM, Gornbein J, et al. 2017 Increased cardiac sympathetic activity and oxidative stress in habitual electronic cigarette users: implications for cardiovascular risk. JAMA Cardiol 2:278–84 [PubMed: 28146259]
- Moore GF, Littlecott HJ, Moore L, Ahmed N, Holliday J. 2014 E-cigarette use and intentions to smoke among 10–11-year-old never-smokers in Wales. Tob. Control 25:147–52 [PubMed: 25535293]
- 94. Moretto N, Volpi G, Pastore F, Facchinetti F. 2012 Acrolein effects in pulmonary cells: relevance to chronic obstructive pulmonary disease. Ann. N. Y. Acad. Sci 1259:39–46 [PubMed: 22758635]
- 95. Nagelhout GE, Levy DT, Blackman K, Currie L, Clancy L, Willemsen MC. 2012 The effect of tobacco control policies on smoking prevalence and smoking-attributable deaths. Findings from the Netherlands SimSmoke Tobacco Control Policy Simulation Model. Addiction 107:407–16 [PubMed: 21906197]
- 96. Natl. Health Serv. 2017 Smokefree NHS: electronic cigarettes Natl. Health Serv., London https:// www.nhs.uk/smokefree/help-and-advice/e-cigarettes
- Nutt DJ, Phillips LD, Balfour D, Curran HV, Dockrell M, et al. 2014 Estimating the harms of nicotine-containing products using the MCDA approach. Eur. Addict. Res 20:218–25 [PubMed: 24714502]
- Ogunwale MA, Li M, Ramakrishnam Raju MV, Chen Y, Nantz MH, et al. 2017 Aldehyde detection in electronic cigarette aerosols. ACS Omega 2:1207–14 [PubMed: 28393137]
- 99. Patel D, Davis KC, Cox S, Bradfield B, King BA, et al. 2016 Reasons for current E-cigarette use among U.S. adults. Prev. Med 93:14–20 [PubMed: 27612572]
- 100. Pearson JL, Stanton CA, Cha S, Niaura RS, Luta G, Graham AL. 2015 E-cigarettes and smoking cessation: insights and cautions from a secondary analysis of data from a study of online treatment-seeking smokers. Nicotine Tob. Res 17:1219–27 [PubMed: 25542911]
- 101. Pope CA, 3rd, Burnett RT, Krewski D, Jerrett M, Shi Y, et al. 2009 Cardiovascular mortality and exposure to airborne fine particulate matter and cigarette smoke: shape of the exposure-response relationship. Circulation 120:941–48 [PubMed: 19720932]
- 102. Primack BA, Shensa A, Sidani JE, Hoffman BL, Soneji S, et al. 2016 Initiation of cigarette smoking after e-cigarette use: a nationally representative study Presented at Annu. Meet. Sci. Sess. Soc. Behav. Med., 37th, March 30–April 2, Washington, DC
- 103. Primack BA, Soneji S, Stoolmiller M, Fine MJ, Sargent JD. 2015 Progression to traditional cigarette smoking after electronic cigarette use among US adolescents and young adults. JAMA Pediatr 169:1018–23 [PubMed: 26348249]
- 104. Prochaska JJ, Grana RA. 2014 E-cigarette use among smokers with serious mental illness. PLOS ONE 9:e113013 [PubMed: 25419703]
- 105. R. Coll. Phys. 2016 Nicotine Without Smoke: Tobacco Harm Reduction London: R. Coll. Phys.
- 106. R. Soc. Public Health. 2016 Growing consensus on the harm-reduction potential of e-cigarettes 4 28 R. Soc. Public Health, London
- 107. Rahman MA, Hann N, Wilson A, Mnatzaganian G, Worrall-Carter L. 2015 E-cigarettes and smoking cessation: evidence from a systematic review and meta-analysis. PLOS ONE 10:e0122544 [PubMed: 25822251]
- 108. Reuters. 2015 Teen e-cigarette use triples, but cigarette smoking falls New York Daily News 4 17 http://www.nydailynews.com/life-style/health/teen-e-cigarette-triples-cigarette-smoking-fallsarticle-1.2188748
- 109. Roditis M, Delucchi K, Cash D, Halpern-Felsher B. 2016 Adolescents' perceptions of health risks, social risks, and benefits differ across tobacco products. J. Adolesc. Health 58:558–66 [PubMed: 27107909]
- Roditis ML, Halpern-Felsher B. 2015 Adolescents' perceptions of risks and benefits of conventional cigarettes, e-cigarettes, and marijuana: a qualitative analysis. J. Adolesc. Health 57:179–85 [PubMed: 26115908]
- 111. Rodu B 2016 This caused smoking to drop 10% in a year; why isn't the CDC cheering? 7 8 Am. Counc. Sci. Health, New York https://www.acsh.org/news/2016/07/08/harm-reduction-causedsmoking-to-drop-10-in-a-year-why-isnt-the-cdc-cheering

- 112. Schober W, Szendrei K, Matzen W, Osiander-Fuchs H, Heitmann D, et al. 2014 Use of electronic cigarettes (e-cigarettes) impairs indoor air quality and increases FeNO levels of e-cigarette consumers. Int. J. Hyg. Environ. Health 217:628–37 [PubMed: 24373737]
- 113. Schweitzer RJ, Wills TA, Behner D. 2017 E-cigarette use and indicators of cardiovascular disease risk. Curr. Epid. Rep 4:248–57
- 114. Shahab L, Goniewicz ML, Blount BC, Brown J, McNeill A, et al. 2017 Nicotine, carcinogen, and toxin exposure in long-term e-cigarette and nicotine replacement therapy users: a cross-sectional study. Ann. Intern. Med 166:390–400 [PubMed: 28166548]
- 115. Shi Y, Pierce J, White M, Compton W, Conway K, et al. 2015 E-cigarette use, smoking cessation, and change in smoking intensity in 2010/2011 TUS-CPS longitudinal cohort Presented at Annu. Meet. Soc. Res. Nicotine Tob., 21st, Feb. 25–28, Phila.
- 116. Simonavicius E, McNeill A, Arnott D, Brose LS. 2017 What factors are associated with current smokers using or stopping e-cigarette use? Drug Alcohol Depend 173:139–43 [PubMed: 28246049]
- 117. Singh T, Arrazola RA, Corey CG, Husten CG, Neff LJ, et al. 2016 Tobacco use among middle and high school students–United States, 2011–2015. MMWR 65:361–67 [PubMed: 27077789]
- 118. Smok. in Pregnancy Chall. Group. 2016 Use of electronic cigarettes in pregnancy: a guide for midwives and other healthcare professionals. Brief, June http://www.smokefreeaction.org.uk/SIP/ files/eCigSIP.pdf
- 119. Soneji S, Barrington-Trimis JL, Wills TA, Leventhal A, Unger JB, et al. 2017 Association between initial use of e-cigarettes and subsequent cigarette smoking among adolescents and young adults: a systematic review and meta-analysis. JAMA Pediatr 171:788–97 [PubMed: 28654986]
- 120. Soule EK, Maloney SF, Spindle TR, Rudy AK, Hiler MM, Cobb CO. 2017 Electronic cigarette use and indoor air quality in a natural setting. Tob. Control 26:109–12 [PubMed: 26880745]
- 121. Spindle TR, Hiler MM, Cooke ME, Eissenberg T, Kendler KS, Dick DM. 2017 Electronic cigarette use and uptake of cigarette smoking: a longitudinal examination of U.S. college students. Addict. Behav 67:66–72 [PubMed: 28038364]
- 122. Stimson GV, Thom B, Costall P. 2014 Disruptive innovations: the rise of the electronic cigarette. Int. J. Drug Policy 25:653–55 [PubMed: 24889671]
- 123. Sullum J 2013 CDC belatedly reveals that smoking by teenagers dropped while vaping rose. Forbes Nov. 20 https://www.forbes.com/sites/jacobsullum/2013/11/20/cdc-belatedly-reveals-thatsmoking-by-teenagers-dropped-while-vaping-rose/#71b5e113698b
- 124. Sutfin EL, Reboussin BA, Debinski B, Wagoner KG, Spangler J, Wolfson M. 2015 The impact of trying electronic cigarettes on cigarette smoking by college students: a prospective analysis. Am. J. Public Health 105:e83–89
- 125. Talal A, Pena I, Temesgen N, Glantz SA. 2018 Association between electronic cigarette use and myocardial infarction: results from the 2014 and 2016 National Health Interview Surveys Presented at Annu. Meet. Res. Nicotine Tob., 24th, Baltimore, MD
- 126. Targonski PV, Bonetti PO, Pumper GM, Higano ST, Holmes DR, Jr., Lerman A 2003 Coronary endothelial dysfunction is associated with an increased risk of cerebrovascular events. Circulation 107:2805–9 [PubMed: 12771004]
- 127. TobaccoTactics. 2016 Delon Human Updated Oct. 19, Univ. Bath, Bath, UK http:// www.tobaccotactics.org/index.php/Delon_Human
- 128. TobaccoTactics. 2016 E-cigarettes Updated July 5, Univ. Bath, Bath, UK http:// www.tobaccotactics.org/index.php/E-cigarettes
- 129. TobaccoTactics.org. 2016 Riccardo Polosa Updated July 7, Univ. Bath, Bath, UK http://www.tobaccotactics.org/index.php/Riccardo_Polosa
- 130. Initiat Truth. 2015 The truth about: electronic nicotine delivery systems. Brief, Dec, Truth Initiat., Washington, DC https://truthinitiative.org/sites/default/files/ The_Truth_About_Electronic_Nicotine_Delivery_Systems.pdf
- 131. UK Off. Natl. Stat. 2016 Adult smoking habits in Great Britain: 2014 Stat. Bull., Off. Natl. Stat., Newport, UK https://www.ons.gov.uk/peoplepopulationandcommunity/healthandsocialcare/ healthandlifeexpectancies/bulletins/adultsmokinghabitsingreatbritain/2016

- 132. Ulucanlar S, Fooks GJ, Gilmore AB. 2016 The policy dystopia model: an interpretive analysis of tobacco industry political activity. PLOS Med 13:e1002125 [PubMed: 27649386]
- 133. Unger JB, Soto DW, Leventhal A. 2016 E-cigarette use and subsequent cigarette and marijuana use among Hispanic young adults. Drug Alcohol Depend 163:261–64 [PubMed: 27141841]
- 134. US DHHS (Dep. Health Hum. Serv.). 2014 The Health Consequences of Smoking—50 Years of Progress. A Report of the Surgeon General Rockville, MD: US DHHS, Public Health Serv. Off. Surg. Gen. https://www.surgeongeneral.gov/library/reports/50-years-of-progress/full-report.pdf
- 135. US DHHS (Dep. Health Hum. Serv.). 2014 The Health Consequences of Smoking—50 Years of Progress. A Report of the Surgeon General. Executive Summary Rockville, MD: US DHHS, Public Health Serv. Off. Surg. Gen.
- 136. US DHHS (Dep. Health Hum. Serv.). 2016 E-Cigarette Use Among Youth and Young Adults. A Report of the Surgeon General Atlanta: Cent. Dis. Control Prev., Natl. Cent. Chronic Dis. Prev. Health Promot, Off. Smok. Health. https://e-cigarettes.surgeongeneral.gov/documents/ 2016_SGR_Full_Report_non-508.pdf
- 137. US EPA (Environ. Prot. Agency). 2016 NAAQS table Updated Dec. 20, US EPA, Washington, DC https://www.epa.gov/criteria-air-pollutants/naaqs-table
- 138. Vickerman KA, Carpenter KM, Altman T, Nash CM, Zbikowski SM. 2013 Use of electronic cigarettes among state tobacco cessation quitline callers. Nicotine Tob. Res 15:1787–91 [PubMed: 23658395]
- Wang TW, Kenemer B, Tynan MA, Singh T, King B. 2016 Consumption of combustible and smokeless tobacco—United States, 2000–2015. MMWR 65:1357–63 [PubMed: 27932780]
- 140. WHO (World Health Organ.). 2016 Electronic Nicotine Delivery Systems and Electronic Non-Nicotine Delivery Systems (ENDS/ENNDS). Rep. FCTC/COP/7/11, WHO, Geneva http:// www.who.int/fctc/cop/cop7/FCTC_COP_7_11_EN.pdf
- Widlansky ME, Gokce N, Keaney JF, Jr., Vita JA. 2003 The clinical implications of endothelial dys-function. J. Am. Coll. Cardiol 42:1149–60 [PubMed: 14522472]
- 142. Wills TA, Gibbons FX, Sargent JD, Schweitzer RJ. 2016 How is the effect of adolescent ecigarette use on smoking onset mediated: a longitudinal analysis. Psychol. Addict. Behav 30:876–86 [PubMed: 27669093]
- 143. Wills TA, Knight R, Williams RJ, Pagano I, Sargen JD. 2015 Risk factors for exclusive e-cigarette use and dual e-cigarette use and tobacco use in adolescents. Pediatrics 135:e43–51 [PubMed: 25511118]
- 144. Woodruff TJ, Rosbrook B, Pierce J, Glantz SA. 1993 Lower levels of cigarette consumption found in smoke-free workplaces in California. Arch. Intern. Med 153:1485–93 [PubMed: 8512439]
- 145. Yeboah J, Folsom AR, Burke GL, Johnson C, Polak JF, et al. 2009 Predictive value of brachial flow-mediated dilation for incident cardiovascular events in a population-based study: the multiethnic study of atherosclerosis. Circulation 120:502–9 [PubMed: 19635967]
- 146. Yeboah J, Sutton-Tyrrell K, McBurnie MA, Burke GL, Herrington DM, Crouse JR. 2008 Association between brachial artery reactivity and cardiovascular disease status in an elderly cohort: the cardiovascular health study. Atherosclerosis 197:768–76 [PubMed: 17714717]
- 147. Yong H-H, Hitchman SC, Cummings KM, Borland R, Gravely SML, et al. 2017 Does the regulatory environment for e-cigarettes influence the effectiveness of e-cigarettes for smoking cessation?: Longitudinal findings from the ITC Four Country Survey. Nicotine Tob. Res https:// doi.org/10.1093/ntr/ntx056
- 148. Zablocki RW, Edland SD, Myers MG, Strong DR, Hofstetter CR, Al-Delaimy WK. 2014 Smoking ban policies and their influence on smoking behaviors among current California smokers: a population-based study. Prev. Med 59:73–78 [PubMed: 24291748]
- 149. Zawertailo L, Pavlov D, Ivanova A, Ng G, Baliunas D, Selby P. 2017 Concurrent e-cigarette use during tobacco dependence treatment in primary care settings: association with smoking cessation at three and six months. Nicotine Tob. Res 19:183–89 [PubMed: 27613911]
- 150. Zhu S-H, Sun JY, Bonnevie E, Cummins SE, Gamst A, et al. 2014 Four hundred and sixty brands of e-cigarettes and counting: implications for product regulation. Tob. Control 23(Suppl. 3):iii3–9 [PubMed: 24935895]

Page 20

Glantz and Bareham



Figure 1.

The advent of e-cigarettes did not affect declining trends in conventional cigarette smoking. After e-cigarettes became available, dual use of cigarettes and e-cigarettes increased, and some youth started using e-cigarettes alone; however, these changes did not affect the declining trend in cigarette use. This pattern was observed in both ever (1 puff lifetime; *panel a*) and current (use in past 30 days; *panel b*) cigarette use in the National Youth Tobacco Survey (NYTS), including dual use with e-cigarettes (cigarettes only, *light brown*; dual use, *dark brown*). E-cigarette-only users (*orange*) are at low risk of having initiated tobacco products with cigarettes (37). E-cigarette use was assessed starting in 2011. Adapted with permission from *Pediatrics* 2017 Volume 139, Issue 2, pii: e20162450. doi: 10.1542/ peds.2016–2450, Copyright © 2017 by the American Academy of Pediatrics.

Page 22

Study		OR (95% CI)	Weight (%
Smoking initiation			
Barrington-Trimis et al. (2016)	l l l	6.17 (3.29, 11.57)	12.4
Leventhal et al. (2015)		1.75 (1.10, 2.78)	15.5
Miech et al. (2016)		4.78 (1.91, 11.96)	8.3
Primack et al. (2015)	i ≇]	8.30 (1.19, 58.00)	2.6
Primack et al. (2016)		8.80 (2.37, 32.69)	5.0
Spindle et al. (2017)		3.37 (1.91, 5.94)	13.5
Wills et al. (2016)	I III	2.87 (2.03, 4.05)	17.9
Subtotal ($l^2 = 60.0\%$, $p = 0.020$)	\diamond	3.62 (2.42, 5.41)	75.2
Current (30 day) smoking			
Hornik et al. (2016)	l l	5.43 (2.59, 7.27)	14.5
Unger et al. (2016)		3.32 (1.55, 7.11)	10.3
Subtotal ($I^2 = 9.0\%$, $p = 0.295$)	\diamond	4.61 (2.93, 7.26)	24.8
Overall ($l^2 = 57.4\%$, $p = 0.016$)		3.77 (2.70, 5.27)	100.0
	1 2 4 10 20		
	Odds of smoking (OR)		

Figure 2.

Ever e-cigarette use among never smokers at baseline quadruples the odds of being a smoker at follow-up. Meta-analysis is by the authors following Soneji et al. (119). Citations for studies: 15, 65, 79, 88, 102, 103, 121, 133, 142. Note: Weights are from random effects meta-analysis. Abbreviations: CI, confidence interval; OR, odds ratio.

Study	OR (95% CI)	Weight
Real-world study	1 1 1	
Adkison et al. (2013)	0.81 (0.43, 1.53)	3.9
Al-Delaimy et al. (2015)	0.41 (0.18, 0.93)	3.2
Biener & Hargraves (2015), intense	6.07 (1.11, 33.18)	1.3
Biener & Hargraves (2015), intermittent	0.31 (0.04, 2.80)	0.9
Borderud et al. (2014)	0.50 (0.30, 0.80)	4.4
Brown et al. (2014)	1.61 (1.19, 2.18)	5.1
Choi & Forster (2014)	0.93 (0.19, 4.63)	1.4
Christensen et al. (2014)	0.16 (0.07, 0.36)	3.2
Gmel et al. (2016)	0.42 (0.22, 0.78)	3.9
Grana et al. (2014)	0.76 (0.36, 1.60)	3.4
Harrington et al. (2015)	0.90 (0.54, 1.50)	4.3
Hirano et al. (2017)	0.63 (0.41, 0.94)	4.7
Hitchman et al. (2015)	0.83 (0.52, 1.30)	4.5
Kulik et al. (2018), ever use	0.43 (0.32, 0.58)	5.1
Manzoli et al. (2017)	1.25 (0.85, 1.84)	4.8
McQueen et al. (2016)	0.25 (0.09, 0.65)	2.7
Pearson et al. (2015)	0.77 (0.59, 1.00)	5.2
Prochaska & Grana (2014)	1.16 (0.65, 2.05)	4.1
Shi et al. (2015)	0.44 (0.24, 0.79)	4.0
Sutfin et al. (2015)	0.40 (0.21, 0.76)	3.8
Vickerman et al. (2013)	0.50 (0.40, 0.63)	5.3
Zawertailo et al. (2017)	0.51 (0.39, 0.64)	5.3
Zhuang et al. (2016), long term	4.14 (1.50, 11.42)	2.6
Zhuang et al. (2016), short term	0.87 (0.53, 1.43)	4.4
Subtotal (/2 = 80.0%, p = 0.000)	0.68 (0.54, 0.85)	91.4
Clinical trial		
Bullen et al. (2013), randomized	1.26 (0.68, 2.34)	3.9
Hajek et al. (2015), not randomized	1.44 (0.94, 2.21)	4.7
Subtotal (<i>I</i> ² = 0.0%, <i>p</i> = 0.728)	1.38 (0.97, 1.96)	8.6
Overall $(l^2 = 80.8\%, p = 0.000)$	0.72 (0.58, 0.90)	100.0
0.03 0.1 0.3 1	<u> </u>	
0.03 0.1 0.3 1 Odds of having quit sn		

Figure 3.

Smokers who use e-cigarettes are significantly less likely to have stopped smoking than smokers who do not use e-cigarettes, with the odds of quitting smoking depressed by 27%. Citations for studies: 2, 4, 19, 21, 22, 29, 30, 48, 54, 57, 62, 63, 75, 81, 86, 100, 104, 115, 124, 138, 147, 149, 151. Note: Weights are from random effects analysis. Abbreviations: CI, confidence interval; OR, odds ratio.

Author Manuscript



Figure 4.

Between 1965 and 2015, active and passive smoking killed 21 million people. Although most discussion of smoking and disease focuses on cancer, cardiovascular disease and metabolic and noncancer pulmonary disease kill most smokers (134).



Figure 5.

Particle number distribution from (*a*) mainstream aerosol in high and low nicotine content eliquids and from (*b*) conventional cigarette as a function particle size (diameter, *D*). Adapted from Fuoco et al. (45) with permission from the publisher. Copyright © 2013 Elsevier Ltd.

Table 1

Types of e-cigarettes. Reproduced under the terms of the CC-BY-NC-ND license, Reference 53

Product	Description	Some brands
Disposable e-cigarette	Cigarette-shaped device consisting of a battery and a cartridge containing an atomizer to heat a solution (with or without nicotine). Not rechargeable or refillable and is intended to be discarded after product stops producing vapor. Sometimes called an e-hookah.	NJOY OneJoy, Aer Disposable, Flavorvapes
Rechargeable e-cigarette	Cigarette-shaped device consisting of a battery that connects to an atomizer used to heat a solution typically containing nicotine. Often contains an element that regulates puff duration and/or how many puffs may be taken consecutively.	Blu, GreenSmoke, EonSmoke
Pen-style, medium-sized rechargeable e-cigarette	Larger than a cigarette, often with a higher-capacity battery, may contain a prefilled cartridge or a refillable cartridge. Often come with a manual switch allowing the user to regulate length and frequency of puffs.	Vapor King Storm, Totally Wicked Tornado
Tank-style, large-sized rechargeable e-cigarette	Much larger than a cigarette with a higher-capacity battery and typically contains a large, refillable cartridge. Often contains manual switches and a battery casing for customizing battery capacity. Can be easily modified.	Volcano Lavatube