#### Check for updates

#### **OPEN ACCESS**

EDITED BY Sebastian Leptihn, Zhejiang University-University of Edinburgh Institute, China

#### REVIEWED BY

Moumita Dutta, National Institute of Cholera and Enteric Diseases (ICMR), India Shan Goh, University of Hertfordshire, United Kingdom Ewa Brzozowska, Polish Academy of Sciences, Poland Agnieszka Latka, Ghent University, Belgium

\*CORRESPONDENCE Surang Chankhamhaengdecha surang.cha@mahidol.edu

#### SPECIALTY SECTION

This article was submitted to Phage Biology, a section of the journal Frontiers in Microbiology

RECEIVED 19 July 2022 ACCEPTED 26 September 2022 PUBLISHED 14 October 2022

#### CITATION

Phetruen T, Chanarat S, Janvilisri T, Phanchana M, Charoensutthivarakul S, Phothichaisri W and Chankhamhaengdecha S (2022) Receptor binding protein of prophage reversibly recognizes the low-molecular weight subunit of the surface-layer protein SlpA in *Clostridioides difficile. Front. Microbiol.* 13:998215. doi: 10.3389/fmicb.2022.998215

#### COPYRIGHT

© 2022 Phetruen, Chanarat, Janvilisri, Phanchana, Charoensutthivarakul, Phothichaisri and Chankhamhaengdecha. This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (CC BY). The use, distribution or reproduction in other forums is permitted, provided the original author(s) and the copyright owner(s) are credited and that the original publication in this journal is cited, in accordance with accepted academic practice. No use, distribution or reproduction is permitted which does not comply with these terms.

## Receptor binding protein of prophage reversibly recognizes the low-molecular weight subunit of the surface-layer protein SlpA in *Clostridioides difficile*

Tanaporn Phetruen<sup>1</sup>, Sittinan Chanarat<sup>1,2</sup>, Tavan Janvilisri<sup>1</sup>, Matthew Phanchana<sup>3</sup>, Sitthivut Charoensutthivarakul<sup>4,5</sup>, Wichuda Phothichaisri<sup>1</sup> and Surang Chankhamhaengdecha<sup>6</sup>\*

<sup>1</sup>Department of Biochemistry, Faculty of Science, Mahidol University, Bangkok, Thailand, <sup>2</sup>Laboratory of Molecular Cell Biology, Center for Excellence in Protein and Enzyme Technology, Faculty of Science, Mahidol University, Bangkok, Thailand, <sup>3</sup>Department of Molecular Tropical Medicine and Genetics, Faculty of Tropical Medicine, Mahidol University, Bangkok, Thailand, <sup>4</sup>Faculty of Science, School of Bioinnovation and Bio-Based Product Intelligence, Mahidol University, Bangkok, Thailand, <sup>5</sup>Faculty of Science, Excellent Center for Drug Discovery (ECDD), Mahidol University, Bangkok, Thailand, <sup>6</sup>Department of Biology, Faculty of Science, Mahidol University, Bangkok, Thailand

Receptor-binding proteins (RBPs) are located at the viral tail and mediate the initial recognition of phage to a specific bacterial host. Phage RBPs have coevolved with numerous types of host receptors resulting in the formation of a diverse assortment of cognate pairs of RBP-receptors that function during the phage attachment step. Although several Clostridioides difficile bacteriophages have been discovered, their RBPs are poorly described. Using homology analysis, putative prophage-tail structure (pts) genes were identified from the prophage genome of the C. difficile HN10 strain. Competition and enzyme-linked immunosorbent assays, using recombinant Pts<sub>HN10</sub>M, demonstrated the interaction of this Pts to C. difficile cells, suggesting a role as a phage RBP. Gel filtration and cross-linking assay revealed the native form of this protein as a homotrimer. Moreover, truncated variants indicated that the C-terminal domain of Pts<sub>HN10</sub>M was important for binding to C. difficile cells. Interaction of Pts<sub>HN10</sub>M was also observed to the low-molecular weight subunit of surface-layer protein A (SlpA), located at the outermost surface of C. difficile cells. Altogether, our study highlights the function of Pts<sub>HN10</sub>M as an RBP and potentially paves the way toward phage engineering and phage therapy against C. difficile infection.

#### KEYWORDS

bacteriophage, *Clostridioides difficile*, receptor-binding protein, phage tail fiber, surface-layer protein SlpA

### Introduction

A receptor binding protein (RBP), located at the tail or distal end of a viral particle, mediates the initial attachment of a bacteriophage to the bacterial host (Nobrega et al., 2018). The RBP possesses a host-recognition module that specifically binds to a unique receptor on bacterial cells (Leiman et al., 2010; Dowah and Clokie, 2018; Dunne et al., 2018). Phage infection typically begins with a reversible binding of the viral tail fiber to the bacterial cell surface. Phage that reversibly binds to bacterial surface components can be released as an infectious particle, promoting the search for its specific receptor. Phage association continues with the bacterial cell surface until the specific receptor is located (Adams, 1959; Baptista et al., 2008; Ge et al., 2020). The resulting specific and irreversible attachment induces a conformational change in the distal tail region, resulting in the injection of the phage genome into the bacterial host (Hu et al., 2015; Bertozzi Silva et al., 2016; Nobrega et al., 2018; Ge et al., 2020). The compatibility of phage RBP and host receptor is believed to dictate the success of the phage infection cycle by restricting the host range. Engineering RBPs using biochemical and structural insights, as well as the principle of phage-host interaction, has extended the bacteriophage host range (Ando et al., 2015; Latka et al., 2021). For example, an engineered Listeria phage, generated using chimeric RBPs with the head, neck, and shoulder domains heterologously shuffled, can infect both natural and other Listeria hosts (Dunne et al., 2019). In addition, a phagebody cocktail, a library of phage scaffolds with modified host-range determining regions of RBPs, can suppress bacterial resistance to phagebodies across long timescales (Yehl et al., 2019). These studies have highlighted the potential of RBP modification to generate phages with tunable host ranges, allowing targeting of pathogenic bacterial strains.

Customized phage production using engineered RBPs should expand susceptible hosts, including Clostridioides difficile. However, a lytic phage has not been described for C. difficile and the best characterized temperate phages for this bacteria have a limited host range (Heuler et al., 2021). Clues to the presence of potential RBPs active against C. difficile have come from the investigation of diffocin, a phage tail fiber-related protein of the R-type bacteriocins (Gebhart et al., 2015). It was proposed that the diffocin RBP (rtbM) is responsible for bacterial cell adhesion. Replacement of *rtbM* with the phage tail-structure M gene (*ptsM*) from a prophage of BI/NAP1/027-type strain resulted in modified diffocins (Avidocin-CDs) capable of killing 16 different C. difficile strains of BI/NAP1/027-type (Gebhart et al., 2015). A correlation between sensitivity to a specific Avidocin-CD and ribotype, the polymorphisms of ribosomal RNA genes used for C. difficile classification, was identified (Kirk et al., 2017). Although the results support the function of both *rtbM* and *ptsM* as RBP genes of C. difficile phage and prophage, respectively, an in-depth analysis of their molecular mechanisms is currently not available.

To understand the biochemical properties and functions of RBPs, we identified novel tail-structure genes of a prophage in the C. difficile HN10 genome. This C. difficile strain is a phage-inducible host of  $\Phi$ HN10, a temperate phage with an approximately 250 nm long-myovirus contractile tail that has the broadest susceptible host range among isolated phages (Phothichaisri et al., 2018). The sequence of tail-structure genes harbored by the prophage region in this strain may be a promising candidate for RBP characterization due to its potential broad host range. The organization of tail-structure genes in the prophage was analyzed using a bioinformatic approach. Two structural genes and one putative chaperone were identified based on homology prediction and relative position to tail-structure genes in known prophages. These genes were expressed, purified, and characterized using biochemical assays. Functional investigations using competition and antibody-based assays were also performed. Additionally, an analysis of thermodynamic parameters for the binding between the putative RBP and the low-molecular weight (LMW) surface-layer protein A (SlpA), the most abundant member in the proteinaceous array above the peptidoglycan layer of C. difficile (Kirk et al., 2017; Phothichaisri et al., 2018; Dowah et al., 2021; Whittle et al., 2022), suggested a direct intermolecular interaction. This analysis should aid in the understanding of the basis for host recognition of C. difficile putative phage RBPs and their receptors, with potential applications in the design of broad host range RBPs for engineering cell-lysis proteins and bacterial detection tools.

## Materials and methods

#### Bacterial strains and culture conditions

Eight *C. difficile* reference ribotypes were used in this study, including ribotypes RT 001, RT 012 (630), RT 017, RT 020, RT 023, RT 027 (R20291), RT 046, and RT 056, and which were kindly provided by Prof. Nigel Minton, University of Nottingham. *C. difficile* strains HN2, HN6, HN9, HN10, and HN21 were applied as the susceptible host for  $\Phi$ HN10 phage according to the previous study (Phothichaisri et al., 2018). *C. difficile* was grown in either brain heart infusion (BHI) medium (Himedia) supplemented with 0.5% yeast extract or TY medium (3% tryptose and 2% yeast extract; Himedia) and incubated in anaerobic condition (10% H<sub>2</sub>, 5% CO<sub>2</sub>, and 85% N<sub>2</sub>) at 37°C (Coy Laboratory Products).

*E. coli* XL10-Gold and Rosetta (DE3) were used as cloning and expression hosts, respectively. They were cultured in Luria-Bertani broth (LB) medium (Himedia) supplemented with 100  $\mu$ g/ml ampicillin (Amp), 25  $\mu$ g/ml kanamycin (Km), or 34  $\mu$ g/ml chloramphenicol (Cm) where appropriate for plasmid maintenance.

# Bacteriophage propagation and purification

The bacteriophage  $\Phi$ HN10 was obtained from the induction of *C. difficile* strain HN10 with  $3 \mu g/\mu l$  mitomycin C (Phothichaisri et al., 2018). The induced phages were propagated through the lytic cycle on the sensitive host *C. difficile* HN21. An aliquot of 10<sup>8</sup> PFU/ml phages was added to mid-log phase *C. difficile* culture and incubated until the clear culture was observed (around 6h). Cellular debris was removed by centrifugation at 5,000×g for 30 min at 4°C, and the supernatant was incubated with 10% PEG-8000 and 1 M NaCl overnight at 4°C. The pellet was collected after centrifugation at 10,000×g for 30 min at 4°C and resuspended in the SM buffer (10 mM Tris–HCl pH 7.5, 0.15 mM NaCl, 10 mM MgSO<sub>4</sub>) and supplemented with 1 mM CaCl<sub>2</sub> for further experiments.

#### **Bioinformatic analysis**

Prophage region analysis was run on the PHASTER web server (Arndt et al., 2016). DNA and amino acid sequence alignment of putative RBPs were conducted using Clustal Omega online software (Madeira et al., 2022). The alignment results were visualized using ESPript 3.x (Robert and Gouet, 2014). Protein sequence homology was searched using HHpred (Söding, 2005) and Phyre<sup>2</sup> (Kelley et al., 2015). The secondary structure of protein sequences was predicted using JPred (Drozdetskiy et al., 2015). The protein structure prediction using AlphaFold2 (Jumper et al., 2021) was run and visualized on ChimeraX (Pettersen et al., 2021).

## Cloning of putative RBPs from HN10 prophage

Genomic DNA of *C. difficile* strain HN10 was extracted using a bacteria DNA extraction kit (Omega Bio-Tek) following the manufacturer's instructions. The insert DNA sequence was amplified for *in vivo* assembly (IVA) cloning (García-Nafría et al., 2016; Supplementary Table S1). Briefly, a pair of primers were designed to specifically amplify a plasmid. While insert sequences were amplified using primers with an overhang sequence reverse complement with the primers amplifying the vector. The PCR products were treated with *Dpn*I (NEB) to eliminate the original DNA template and co-transformed into *E. coli* XL10-Gold strain. The resultant transformants were selected for sequencing and subsequent protein expression.

#### Protein expression and purification

The recombinant plasmids were transformed to the *E. coli* strain Rosetta (DE3) expression host. Protein expression was performed by culturing plasmid-containing bacteria in 0.51 LB

medium to the optical density of 0.6 at 600 nm and induced with 1 mM IPTG. The culture was then incubated for 16 h at 20°C with orbital shaking. Bacterial cells were collected by centrifugation at 8,000 x g for 30 min and resuspended in lysis buffer (50 mM Tris-HCl pH 8.0, 150 mM NaCl, 10 mM imidazole, 0.1% Triton X-100, and 5% glycerol) before disruption by ultrasonication. The soluble cell lysate was obtained by centrifugation at 18,000 x g for 30 min, 4°C, mixed with Ni-NTA affinity resins (Expedeon), and loaded onto a 5-ml Poly-Prep Chromatography Column. The unbound proteins were washed using Tris-HCl buffers with 25 mM imidazole and histidine-tagged protein was eluted from the column using Tris-HCl buffers with 250 mM imidazole. Purified protein was dialyzed against a solution containing 10 mM sodium phosphate buffer pH 7.4 and 150 mM NaCl. The molecular weight and purity of the purified proteins were analyzed using 12% (w/v) discontinuous polyacrylamide gels in Tris-glycine SDS running buffers. Gels were visualized after InstantBlue protein stain (Expedeon).

# Size-exclusion chromatography (SEC) analysis and glutaraldehyde cross-linking

A total of 250 µg of purified proteins were analyzed using SEC analysis on ÄKTApurifier (GE Healthcare) using Superdex<sup>TM</sup> 200 Increase 10/300 GL column (GE Healthcare). The SEC was operated at 0.4 ml/min using PBS buffer (10 mM phosphate buffer, 140 mM NaCl, pH 7.4) as an eluent. The fractions were collected at 1 ml continuously and concentrated using a speed vacuum concentrator before running on 12% (w/v) discontinuous polyacrylamide gels. The gel filtration standard (Bio-Rad) was used for column calibration and native-protein molecular weight calculation.

In cross-linking experiments,  $1 \mu g$  of purified proteins was incubated with  $1 \,\mathrm{mM}$  glutaraldehyde (Sigma) in  $100 \,\mu$ l of total reaction volume. The reaction was performed in PBS buffer at room temperature. Samples of  $20 \,\mu$ l were collected at 5, 10, and  $20 \,\mathrm{min}$  post-incubation followed by adding of  $1 \,\mathrm{M}$  Tris–HCl pH 8.0 for quenching the reaction (North et al., 2019). The crosslinked proteins were analyzed using 10% (w/v) discontinuous polyacrylamide gels.

# Competition assay of phage binding by purified proteins

*C. difficile* was cultured to an optical density of 1 at 600 nm. Cells were harvested by centrifugation at  $6,000 \times \text{g}$  for 2 min and resuspended with 0.1 volume of culture media to obtain approximately 10° CFU/ml. A concentrated culture of  $60 \,\mu\text{l}$  was mixed with different concentrations of proteins (5, 10, and  $20 \,\mu\text{M}$ ) and incubated for 20 min at  $37^{\circ}\text{C}$  in anaerobic conditions. Then  $10 \,\mu\text{l}$  of  $10^{7}$  PFU/ml phage was added and incubated for 5 min at  $37^{\circ}\text{C}$ . A mixture without purified proteins was used as a negative control. The supernatant containing unbound phages was collected after centrifugation at  $10,000 \times \text{g}$  for 1 min and determined the titer *via* plaque assay (Beck et al., 2009). Counts were compared with a control without purified proteins and shown as percentages of unabsorbed or residual phages to the initial phage titer.

#### Enzyme-linked immunosorbent assay

For a whole-cell ELISA, C. difficile strains HN2, HN6, HN9, HN21, belonging to ribotype 017, C. difficile reference strains, including ribotype 001, 017, 020, 023, 046, 056, 630, and R20291, C. perfringens, and Bacillus subtilis, were cultured in 96-well MaxiSorp ELISA plates (Thermo Scientific) to mid-log phase, as measured by OD. Then, the culture supernatant was removed, and the plates were blocked with 10% fetal bovine serum (FBS) for 30 min before incubating with  $10 \,\mu\text{M}$  of purified proteins for 1 h. Three percent skim milk was used for blocking non-specific binding. An anti-histidine-tag rabbit antibody (Cell Signaling Technology) was primarily probed, followed by an HRP conjugated anti-rabbit IgG antibody (Cell Signaling Technology). After TMB (3,3',5,5"-tetramethylbenzidine) substrate (SeraCare) incubation for 10 min, the signal intensity was recorded at an absorbance of 650 nm using an Infinite 200 PRO microplate reader (Tecan).

For protein ELISA, an assay plate was coated with extracted surface-layer proteins (SLPs) from different *C. difficile* strains on the MaxiSorp plate and incubated at 4°C overnight. The SLPs fraction was extracted from mid-log phase *C. difficile* culture using the low-pH glycine method as described previously (Willing et al., 2015). Briefly, the culture pellet was suspended with 0.2 M glycine pH 2.2 and incubated with gently shaking for 30 min. The SLPs-containing supernatant was collected after centrifugation at 16,000 × g for 15 min and neutralized with 2 M Tris–HCl pH 9.0. The protein concentration of fractions was measured using a standard Bradford assay.

#### Isothermal titration calorimetry

ITC experiments were carried out in a buffer of 10 mM Tris-HCl pH 7.4, 100 mM NaCl, and 5% glycerol. Protein was dialyzed against this buffer overnight before experiments and subsequent dilutions of protein and ligands with the remaining dialysis buffer. Generally, ligands at a concentration of around 1–1.25 mM were titrated against a solution of 110  $\mu$ M Pts<sub>HN10</sub>M using a MicroCal PEAQ-ITC calorimeter (Malvern Panalytical). The running parameters were as follows: 20 ligand injections, 0.2  $\mu$ l initial injection volume, 2  $\mu$ l subsequent injection volume, with 90 s between injections, at a reference temperature of 25°C. Data were analyzed using MicroCal PEAQ-ITC analysis software. The titration of an initial injection (0.2  $\mu$ l) was discarded during data processing.

#### Phage inactivation assay

Purified phage at  $10^7$  PFU/ml was mixed with different concentrations of purified LMW SlpA and incubated for 30 min at 37°C with gentle agitation. Then,  $10\mu$ l of the mixture were taken out for serial dilution and spotted onto BHI plates (Beck et al., 2009). A phage lysate treated with a protein dialysis buffer was used as a negative control. Reversibly adsorbed phages could be released from the putative receptor as infectious particles by dilution, whereas irreversibly bound phages are not recoverable since they become committed to infection (Adams, 1959; Sao-Jose et al., 2006; Baptista et al., 2008).

#### Statistical analysis

For statistical analysis, GraphPad Prism 8.4.2 was used. Data from each experiment were checked for normality. After passing the normality test, data were analyzed by ANOVA with *post-hoc* Tukey's multiple comparison test. Otherwise, data were analyzed using non-parametric ANOVA with *post-hoc* Dunnett's multiple comparison test.

## Results

# In silico analysis revealed the homology of Pts<sub>HN10</sub> to other known phage proteins

We used the PHASTER application to analyze a putative prophage region in the genome of C. difficile HN10 (Arndt et al., 2016), a strain containing temperate myovirus with a broad host range (Phothichaisri et al., 2018). An intact prophage that is potentially inducible to be an infectious phage particle is defined as a region with a PHASTER score above 90. Three intact prophage regions were identified in C. difficile HN10 with scores between 120-140. The intact prophage with the highest PHASTER score displayed the general organization of the phage tail-fiber gene cluster, with the RBP-encoding genes placed between the tape measuring protein and the lysis cassette, including the holin and endolysin genes (Habann et al., 2014; Dunne et al., 2018; Kizziah et al., 2020). This intact prophage RBP was further characterized. Three prophage tail-structure (pts) genes, ptsL, ptsM, and ptsN, have been identified as tail fiber and RBP-related proteins from C. difficile phage and prophages (Gebhart et al., 2015). These three genes from the HN10 prophage were examined for their potential as putative RBPs (Figure 1A).

Amino acid sequence alignment of  $Pts_{HN10}L$  from the HN10 prophage revealed similarity to the  $\Phi$ CDMH1 phage and a CD630 prophage, with 77.4 and 49% identity, respectively (Supplementary Figure S1A). Homology-based structure determination of  $Pts_{HN10}L_{9-132}$  using Phyre<sup>2</sup> generated a model (approximately 37% of the protein) with similarity to gp105 from *Listeria*-phage A511 with 98.4% confidence (Kelley et al.,



2015). Consistent with the Phyre<sup>2</sup> analysis, the structural prediction of  $Pts_{HN10}L$  using AlphaFold2 indicated that the N-terminal region could be aligned with the chain B of gp105 (PDB: 6hhk) (Supplementary Figure S1C; Jumper et al., 2021). In the A511 phage, gp105 is proposed to either be involved in tail fiber attachment to the bacterial host or form a part of the tail-fiber network (Habann et al., 2014; Guerrero-Ferreira et al., 2019). Our analysis is consistent with previous reports suggesting that the *ptsL* gene encodes a protein in the baseplate attachment region (BPAR) in *C. difficile* diffocin and prophage (Gebhart et al., 2015). Based on the high sequence homology to other Gram-positive phages including those of *C. difficile*, we hypothesized that the protein encoded by prophage  $pts_{HN10}L$  may function either to connect the tail fiber to its network or in host recognition.

Amino acid sequence of  $Pts_{HN10}M$  showed approximately 54% identity to those of  $\Phi CDMH1$  phage and a CD630 prophage

(Supplementary Figure S1B). An analysis of Pts<sub>HN10</sub>M against the Pfam database revealed a conserved glycine-rich domain at the N-terminus ( $\text{Pts}_{\text{HN10}}\text{M}_{14\text{-}267}$ ), although the C-terminal region was more diverse (Supplementary Figure S1B). Glycine-rich regions in certain protein families, such as RNA-binding protein, function as protein-interacting domains (Nawrot et al., 2013). We, therefore, speculated that Pts<sub>HN10</sub>M uses the glycine-rich domain to bind to other baseplate-related proteins. Structure prediction of Pts<sub>HN10</sub>M using AlphaFold2 indicated the presence of an α-helix motif flanked by two β-sandwich motifs at both N- and C-terminus (Supplementary Figure S1D; Jumper et al., 2021). In several phage RBPs, the  $\beta$ -sheet-rich region at the C-terminus functions as a receptor-binding domain for bacterial cell attachment (Dowah and Clokie, 2018; Goulet et al., 2020). In addition, the C-terminus of Pts<sub>HN10</sub>M displays sequence variation which may contribute to host specificity, as shown in some phage RBPs (Spinelli et al., 2006). The in silico analysis suggests that

 $Pts_{HN10}M$  is a primary candidate for a phage RBP from *C. difficile* HN10.

In addition to the structural components, the phage tail-fiber assembly often requires a chaperone. In the T4 phage two small chaperones, gp57A and gp38, are needed for proper folding, preventing protein aggregation, and facilitating oligomerization of the long tail-fiber protein gp37 (Galan Bartual et al., 2010; Leiman et al., 2010). While gp38 is absent in the mature T4 particle other phage chaperones could attach to the tail fiber and promote binding to the bacterial surface, such as the tail-fiber chaperone of Mu phage (North et al., 2019). The nearest downstream gene to RBPs usually encodes the phage tail-fiber chaperone (Galan Bartual et al., 2010). We hypothesized that a chaperone may be encoded by  $pts_{HN10}N$ , which contains a small open reading frame (ORF) most adjacent to  $pt_{HN10}M$ . Both  $pts_{HN10}L$  and  $pts_{HN10}M$  were selected for further characterization as the putative RBP-encoding and phage tail-fiber chaperone encoding genes.

## Recombinant expression and purification of putative RBPs

To examine biochemical properties and functions of the selected *pts* genes for binding to *C. difficile* (Figure 1A), N-terminally hexahistidine (His<sub>6</sub>)-tagged  $Pts_{HN10}L$  and  $Pts_{HN10}M$  were purified using Ni-NTA affinity chromatography. The isolated proteins exhibited the expected sizes of 39 and 65.5 kDa for  $Pts_{HN10}L$  and  $Pts_{HN10}M$ , respectively (Figures 1B,C).

We also expressed multiple polycistronic versions of pts genes using the T7 promoter of the pET15b vector with a His6-tag at the N-terminus of the most upstream gene (Figure 1A). Constructs include *pts*<sub>HN10</sub>*MN*, *pts*<sub>HN10</sub>*LM*, and *pts*<sub>HN10</sub>*LMN*. The polycistronic pts genes were utilized to trace the behavior of these proteins as a complex. SDS-PAGE of purified proteins expressed from pET15bpts<sub>HN10</sub>LM and pts<sub>HN10</sub>LMN constructs displayed co-elution of Pts<sub>HN10</sub>M with Pts<sub>HN10</sub>L, indicating that these two proteins form a stable complex (Figure 1C). The presence of Pts<sub>HN10</sub>L in a protein complex is consistent with its annotated function to connect the baseplate subunits with tail fibers in the baseplate attachment region (BPAR) (Gebhart et al., 2015). Although Pts<sub>HN10</sub>N was successfully expressed and could be detected in the soluble fraction of crude lysates (Figure 1B), it was not co-eluted with Pts<sub>HN10</sub>L or Pts<sub>HN10</sub>M and was lost during purification of the protein complexes (Figure 1C). Interestingly, the co-expression of Pts<sub>HN10</sub>M and Pts<sub>HN10</sub>N reduced the formation of protein aggregates when stored at 4°C. Following centrifugation at  $10,000 \times g$  for 15 min, the amount of Pts<sub>HN10</sub>M present in the insoluble fraction was reduced by approximately 50% when Pts<sub>HN10</sub>N was co-expressed (Supplementary Figure S2). This suggests a role for Pts<sub>HN10</sub>N as a chaperone capable of promoting the formation of soluble Pts<sub>HN10</sub>M in bacterial cells. This analysis demonstrated that  $Pts_{{\rm HN10}}L$  and  $Pts_{{\rm HN10}}M$  interact with each other and may form a functional complex. In addition, Pts<sub>HN10</sub>N may

function as a chaperone even though it is not present in the  $Pts_{HN10}L/M$  complex.

# $Pts_{\text{HN10}}L$ and $Pts_{\text{HN10}}M$ form oligomers facilitated by the putative chaperone $Pts_{\text{HN10}}N$

Under native conditions, most phage tail-fiber proteins are known to oligomerize and form an adsorption apparatus (Dunne et al., 2018). Oligomeric formation of the putative RBPs was analyzed using two complementary methods: size exclusion chromatography (SEC) and chemical cross-linking. In SEC, the proteins were applied to a Superdex 200 Increase column and standard gel-filtration markers were used for molecular mass calibration. The  $\ensuremath{\text{Pts}_{\text{HN10}}\text{L}}$  protein was eluted at a fraction corresponding to a molecular mass of 207 kDa. Given that the calculated molecular mass of monomeric  $Pts_{HN10}L$  with hexahistidine tag is 39 kDa, this result indicated that Pts<sub>HN10</sub>L is forming oligomers in solution (Figure 2A). In the cross-linking experiment, using 5 mM glutaraldehyde, a band on SDS-PAGE of approximately 80kDa was observed as well as a minor peak (~12 ml) in SEC analysis of Pts<sub>HN10</sub>L protein, corresponding to a Pts<sub>HN10</sub>L dimer (Figure 2A; Supplementary Figure S3A). In summary, Pts<sub>HN10</sub>L may form oligomers and appears to exist predominantly as a homodimer.

Pts<sub>HN10</sub>M fractionated using SEC resulted in the majority of proteins eluting with a retention volume corresponding to a molecular weight of approximately 180 kDa (Figure 2B). A band of approximately 180 kDa was also observed in the chemical cross-linking assay (Supplementary Figure S3B). The calculated molecular mass of monomeric Pts<sub>HN10</sub>M is 65.5 kDa suggesting that Pts<sub>HN10</sub>M is present as a homotrimeric protein, similar to other well-characterized phage RBPs (Dunne et al., 2018; Goulet et al., 2020).

We next investigated whether  $Pts_{HN10}N$  could stabilize the  $Pts_{HN10}M$  protein due to its putative chaperone activity (Supplementary Figure S2). Using SEC analysis, it was observed that  $Pts_{HN10}M$  co-expressed with  $Pts_{HN10}N$  was eluted with a similar retention volume (~10 ml) as when  $Pts_{HN10}M$  was expressed alone (Figure 2B). The small peak eluted at ~12 ml corresponding to the expected monomeric species of  $Pts_{HN10}M$ , with a molecular mass of about 67 kDa. However, a small peak before the column void volume ( $V_0$ =7.59 ml), as determined by the elution of thyroglobulin (670 kDa), disappeared when  $Pts_{HN10}M$  and  $Pts_{HN10}N$  were co-expressed. The protein eluted at the column void volume is thought to be in a soluble-aggregated form. This finding is consistent with co-expressed Pts\_{HN10}N promoting the folding or stabilization of the homotrimeric form of  $Pts_{HN10}M$  during or after bacterial translation, reducing the presence of aggregated  $Pts_{HN10}M$ .

To evaluate the stoichiometry of the Pts protein complex the molecular mass was determined using SEC analysis. Similar to the results with affinity chromatography, both  $Pts_{HN10}M$  and  $Pts_{HN10}L$  proteins were co-eluted using gel filtration chromatography. The estimated molecular mass of the  $Pts_{HN10}L/M$  complex was



~440 kDa (Figure 2C). SDS-PAGE analysis indicated the ratio of protein intensity in elution fraction was approximately 1:1 (Figure 2C). Given the molecular mass of Pts<sub>HN10</sub>L was around half of Pts<sub>HN10</sub>M, Pts<sub>HN10</sub>L may be twice as abundant as Pts<sub>HN10</sub>M suggesting the molar ratio of 2:1. The calculated molecular weight of the complex from SEC is consistent with a combination of Pts<sub>HN10</sub>L and Pts<sub>HN10</sub>M oligomers both as trimeric species (6 × 39.9 of Pts<sub>HN10</sub>L and 3×62.7 of Pts<sub>HN10</sub>M is approximately 440 kDa). It is important to note that co-expression of Pts<sub>HN10</sub>N changed the elution profile of the Pts<sub>HN10</sub>L/M complex during SEC analysis (Figure 2C). The purified Pts<sub>HN10</sub>L/M proteins yielded two major peaks containing both proteins (Figure 2C). In contrast, the presence of Pts<sub>HN10</sub>N during co-expression resulted stabilized the  $Pts_{HN10}L/M$  complex as one major species resulting in a single elution peak (Figure 2C). Even though  $Pts_{HN10}N$  did not co-elute with  $Pts_{HN10}L$  or  $Pts_{HN10}M$ , these results suggest that  $Pts_{HN10}N$  may play a role in protein folding or stabilization of the  $Pts_{HN10}L/M$  complex. Our findings support the hypothesis that  $Pts_{HN10}N$  is a chaperone for putative tail fibers of HN10 prophage.

# The C-terminus of $Pts_{HN10}M$ was necessary for $Pts_{HN10}L$ binding

Because both affinity and size exclusion chromatography data indicated that  $Pts_{\rm HN10}L$  and  $Pts_{\rm HN10}M$  form a complex in



solution, we examined the key amino acid residues involved in this interaction. Protein structure prediction using AlphaFold2 and the secondary structure determination using JPred4 revealed two beta-sheet and loop-rich regions at the N- and C-terminus of  $Pts_{HN10}M$ , while the middle part is consisting of alpha helixes (Drozdetskiy et al., 2015; Jumper et al., 2021). We then used the result from secondary structure prediction to anticipate the deletion of amino acid residues from the C-terminus of Pts<sub>HN10</sub>M, resulting in four distinct constructs (Figure 3A). Co-expression of full-length Pts<sub>HN10</sub>L and C-terminally truncated versions of Pts<sub>HN10</sub>M was used to characterize the  $Pts_{\rm HN10}L\text{-}Pts_{\rm HN10}M$  interaction. The  $pts_{HN10}L$  gene was cloned into multiple cloning site 1 (MCS1) of pETDuet-1 resulting in a fusion with the N-terminal His<sub>6</sub>-tag. The four truncated  $pts_{HN10}M$  variants were cloned into another multiple cloning site of pETDuet-1 without a tag. Recombinant proteins of the four variants were successfully expressed. The interaction between fulllength Pts<sub>HN10</sub>L and truncated variants of Pts<sub>HN10</sub>M was

examined using affinity chromatography. It was expected that Pts<sub>HN10</sub>L would primarily be in the elution fraction due to the presence of the N-terminal hexahistidine tag. The presence of the Pts<sub>HN10</sub>M truncation variants in the elution fraction would indicate the ability to interact with  $Pts_{HN10}L$ . The result revealed that both  $Pts_{HN10}M_{1-269}$  and  $\mbox{Pts}_{\mbox{\tiny HN10}}\mbox{M}_{\mbox{\tiny 1-376}}$  were in the flow-through fraction indicating a loss of interaction with Pts<sub>HN10</sub>L. Pts<sub>HN10</sub>M<sub>1-465</sub> and full-length of Pts<sub>HN10</sub>M co-eluted with His<sub>6</sub>-Pts<sub>HN10</sub>L (Figure 3B). Although the glycine-rich region at the N-terminus of Pts<sub>HN10</sub>M is thought to play a role in protein-protein interaction, the co-expression experiments revealed that amino acids 376 to 465 are required for docking Pts<sub>HN10</sub>M to  $Pts_{HN10}L$ . It is worth noting that the interaction with  $Pts_{HN10}L$ might not occur at the C-terminus of Pts<sub>HN10</sub>M, but this C-terminal region might be required to stabilize the overall protein structure. We concluded that the C-terminus of Pts<sub>HN10</sub>M is necessary for binding to Pts<sub>HN10</sub>L and for forming the protein complex.



Functional analysis of putative RBPs. The bar graph represents the blockage of phage adsorption by (A) purified Pts<sub>HNLD</sub>L and (B) Pts<sub>HNLD</sub>M. Phage titers of each condition were compared with initial phage counts and displayed as percentages of residual plaque-forming unit (PFU). The standard deviation was calculated from three replicates. (C) The binding of putative RBPs and *C. difficile* was shown by enzyme-linked immunosorbent assay (ELISA) using purified proteins from five constructs at an absorbance of 650nm. (D) The signal of ELISA using N-terminally and C-terminally truncated variants of PFts<sub>HNLD</sub>M and *C. difficile* HN21 strain. Purified mCherry with hexahistidine tag was used as a control for non-specific protein binding. The graphs show the mean and standard deviation from three replicates. The asterisk indicates the significant difference of data (\*\*p<0.01; \*\*\*p<0.001; \*\*\*p<0.001) by one-way ANOVA with *post-hoc* Dunnett's multiple comparison test.

# The C-terminus of $Pts_{HN10}M$ is sufficient to bind to *Clostridioides difficile* cells

We hypothesized that the selected Pts proteins function in *C. difficile* cell recognition. To establish this interaction, we tested the binding of the two putative RBPs,  $Pts_{HNI0}L$  and  $Pts_{HNI0}M$ , to bacterial cells using two different assays. First, we performed a competition assay to measure the ability of individual proteins to inhibit the adsorption of virion particles onto bacterial cells (McDonnell et al., 2016; Zhang et al., 2018). A basal level of host cell adsorption was measured by incubating fresh cultures of the *C. difficile* HN21 strain, a propagating host of  $\Phi$ HN10 (Phothichaisri et al., 2018), and phage without the purified proteins. The plaquing efficiency at different concentrations of proteins was measured and calculated as a percentage of residual PFU in the supernatant. We observed that the purified Pts<sub>HN10</sub>L

did not compete with the  $\Phi$ HN10 for adsorption at all tested concentrations (5, 10, and 20  $\mu$ M) (Figure 4A). In contrast, the purified Pts<sub>HN10</sub>M was capable of competing with  $\Phi$ HN10 in a concentration-dependent manner, inhibiting phage adsorption to the host bacteria (Figure 4B). Hence, we conclude that Pts<sub>HN10</sub>M alone is sufficient to inhibit  $\Phi$ HN10 binding to the receptor *in vitro* and may function as the RBP.

Next, we performed a whole-cell enzyme-linked immuno sorbent assay (ELISA), which is an antibody-based highthroughput detection method to determine the binding of purified proteins to bacterial cells. As a negative control, we added the purified proteins to the well without bacterial culture. In addition, a histidine-tagged mCherry, a bright red fluorescent protein, was used as a representative of non-specific protein binding to the *C. difficile* HN21 strain. In agreement with the competition assay, the purified  $Pts_{HN10}L$  did not exhibit a

significant increase in signal intensity compared with the negative control (Figure 4C), indicating that Pts<sub>HN10</sub>L could not bind to bacterial cells. Even though purified Pts<sub>HN10</sub>M from pET15b $pts_{HN10}M$  and  $pts_{HN10}MN$  constructs displayed the ability to bind to bacterial cells, the signal intensity of protein expressed from pET15b-pts<sub>HN10</sub>MN was higher than pET15b-pts<sub>HN10</sub>M. This indicates that purified  $\mbox{Pts}_{\mbox{\tiny HN10}}M$  functions more effectively when co-expressed with Pts<sub>HN10</sub>N, consistent with the proposed role of Pts<sub>HN10</sub>N as a chaperone. No significant difference in binding to bacterial cells was observed between purified proteins from pET15b-pts<sub>HN10</sub>LM, pET15b-pts<sub>HN10</sub>LMN constructs, and the control indicating that the *pts*<sub>HN10</sub>*LM* complex was not able to attach to intact bacteria using this assay. To gain further insight into domains important for C. difficile recognition, N-terminal (1-269 AA) and C-terminal (259-570 AA) variants of Pts<sub>HN10</sub>M were constructed. Recombinant proteins were then expressed, purified, and used in ELISA, which revealed that only the C-terminus of  $\ensuremath{\text{Pts}_{\text{HN10}}}\ensuremath{M}$  was sufficient for binding to bacterial cells (Figure 4D). Of the proteins examined only Pts<sub>HN10</sub>M fulllength and its C-terminal variant appears to bind to C. difficile cells, suggesting that the C-terminus (259-570 AA) is most likely the host recognition domain of Pts<sub>HN10</sub>M.

#### Pts<sub>HN10</sub>M bound to both phage susceptible and unsusceptible *Clostridioides difficile* strains

We investigated the binding specificity of Pts<sub>HN10</sub>M to diverse C. difficile strains, including  $\Phi$ HN10-susceptible and unsusceptible isolates (Phothichaisri et al., 2018). To this end, we performed ELISA by coating 12 different C. difficile strains, containing 5 phagesusceptible and 7 phage-unsusceptible strains, onto microtiter plates and exposing them with purified Pts<sub>HN10</sub>M from pET15b-pts<sub>HN10</sub>MN construct. The purified Pts<sub>HN10</sub>M protein exhibited the binding efficiency for all five phage-susceptible strains (Supplementary Figure S4). Pts<sub>HN10</sub>M also bound to seven phage non-susceptible strains of different ribotypes, suggesting that the binding range of the protein was broader than lysis-capable  $\Phi$ HN10 particles. Using ELISA with purified  $\mbox{Pts}_{\mbox{\tiny HN10}}\mbox{M}$  as a probe differentiated C. difficile from C. perfringens (Supplementary Figure S4). Similar results were observed when another Gram-positive bacterium Bacillus subtilis was analyzed, indicating that PtsHN10M does not crossreact with other bacterial species and appears to bind specifically to C. difficile. This broad-spectrum binding and specificity of Pts<sub>HN10</sub>M therefore may allow the use of phage RBPs for bacterial detection.

#### Pts<sub>HN10</sub>M bound to LMW subunit of SlpA

*C. difficile* naturally expresses a proteinaceous array known as surface-layer protein (SLP) above the thick layer of peptidoglycan. Among 29 cell-wall proteins (CWPs) that decorate the cellular surface of this bacterium, SlpA is the major protein candidate for

bacteriophage recognition (Kirk et al., 2017; Phothichaisri et al., 2018; Dowah et al., 2021; Whittle et al., 2022). The SLP fraction extracted from *C. difficile* HN21 strain using the low-pH glycine method exhibited two strong protein bands corresponding to the low-molecular weight (LMW) and high-molecular weight (HMW) subunits of SlpA (Figure 5A; Willing et al., 2015; Phothichaisri et al., 2022). The purified Pts<sub>HN10</sub>M binds to the extracted SLP (Figure 5A) and we suggest that the phage tail-structure protein most likely interacts with SlpA, a putative bacterial receptor and the most abundant protein in the SLP.

The cell-wall binding 2 (CWB2) motif of HMW SlpA is highly conserved; however, the sequence of LMW SlpA is more diverse among C. difficile strains (Calabi et al., 2001). The LMW SlpA is composed of two domains, D1 and D2. The high sequence variation is present in the D2 domain and this region is externally exposed from the CWB2 core complex (Fagan et al., 2009; Lanzoni-Mangutchi et al., 2022; Figure 5B). We speculated that the LMW subunit of SlpA might be a specific ligand for Pts<sub>HN10</sub>M, due to its environmental exposure and sequence variation. To examine the role of LMW SlpA in interactions with  $Pts_{HN10}M$ , we cloned the gene from the C. difficile HN21 strain and recombinantly expressed it in E. coli. The thermodynamic properties for the binding interaction between the Pts<sub>HN10</sub>M and LMW SlpA were determined by using isothermal titration calorimetry (ITC). This technique facilitates the determination of the protein-ligand interactions in solution without any reporter labeling requirement. A positive titration peak typically indicates that the binding is endothermic (Figure 5C). An enthalpy change ( $\Delta$ H) of 7.56 kJ mol<sup>-1</sup> and free energy change ( $\Delta G$ ) of  $-6.44 \text{ kJ} \text{ mol}^{-1}$  was obtained from the ITC data for the interaction of LMW SlpA and Pts<sub>HN10</sub>M. The negative  $\Delta G$  suggested that the interaction between Pts<sub>HN10</sub>M and LMW SlpA may be transient, as the dissociation constant  $(K_D)$  of the binding was approximately 19µM. Determination of the thermodynamic parameters for binding between Pts<sub>HN10</sub>M and HMW SlpA or extracted SLP fraction from C. difficile was attempted; however, it was not possible to obtain these results due to the protein aggregation during the preparation steps. Based on our findings, we conclude that a direct interaction between Pts<sub>HN10</sub>M and LMW SlpA was observed and it was thermodynamically favored as the  $\Delta G$  was below 0.

To examine the type of receptor for LMW SlpA, we next used a phage inactivation assay to differentiate a reversible or primary receptor from an irreversible or secondary receptor, which causes a release of the phage genome and complete inactivation of viral particles (Sao-Jose et al., 2006; Stephan et al., 2020). Different concentrations of purified LMW SlpA were incubated with  $\Phi$ HN10, the mixture was serially diluted, and the plaquing efficiency was measured (Supplementary Figure S5). According to the competition assay, these concentrations exhibited the ability to block phage adsorption. Therefore, we applied the same concentrations in the phage inactivation assay. However, we observed that the purified LMW SlpA protein was unable to inactivate phage particles at all tested concentrations, implying that the LMW SlpA might not be enough to inactivate phage



particles (Supplementary Figure S5). Taken together, our results suggest that the LMW SlpA is a potentially reversible receptor for bacteriophage adsorption.

## Discussion

RBP is one of the most extensively studied proteins among bacteriophage-encoding proteins due to its function in specific host recognition. It has been proposed that the compatibility of RBP and the bacterial host receptor determines the outcome of phage infection. RBP engineering has become a promising strategy for modifying phage to alter the host range (Dunne et al., 2019; Yehl et al., 2019). For *C. difficile*, previous phage RBP studies only examined their effect on bacteriolytic activity (Gebhart et al., 2015; Kirk et al., 2017). In this study, three putative RBP-related genes were identified, and five different combinations of gene constructs were cloned and recombinantly expressed in *E. coli* for biochemical characterization.

Based on our analysis, the  $Pts_{HN10}L$  is homologous to gp105 or the core part of the baseplate in *Listeria*-phage A511, which was found as 3 units of homodimers in the mature tail-fiber structure

(Guerrero-Ferreira et al., 2019). From the homology models, Pts<sub>HN10</sub>L and gp105 appear to share the same oligomeric features. As demonstrated by the cross-linking assay, Pts<sub>HN10</sub>L may form a homodimer, three of which could then form a larger complex based on the molecular mass calculated from size exclusion chromatography (SEC) analysis (approximately 207 kDa or 5.3 times higher than its monomeric unit). The Pts<sub>HN10</sub>M, on the other hand, exhibited a homotrimeric formation, which is a common characteristic of most described phage RBPs. This may reflect the essential nature of the trimeric form for binding to the specific receptor on bacterial cells (Dunne et al., 2018; Goulet et al., 2020). Altogether, our results suggested that Pts<sub>HN10</sub>L and Pts<sub>HN10</sub>M most likely form a dimer and a trimer, respectively. However, it is important to note that due to the limitations of the techniques utilized the oligomerization state of the proteins may need further validation using other methods such as Multi-Angle Light Scattering coupled to size exclusion chromatography (SEC-MALS), X-ray crystallography, or cryogenic electron microscopy (cryo-EM) structure determination.

It is worth noting that, despite the lack of homology between  $Pts_{HN10}N$  and other bacteriophage proteins, this protein demonstrated an ability to reduce the accumulation of aberrantly

folded and aggregated Pts<sub>HN10</sub>M. The difference in SEC profiles of purified Pts<sub>HN10</sub>M from pET15b-pts<sub>HN10</sub>M and pts<sub>HN10</sub>MN constructs also reflected the difference in protein folding and decreased aggregation when Pts<sub>HN10</sub>N was co-expressed. In addition, similar results were observed in SEC analysis of purified proteins from pET15b-*pts*<sub>HN10</sub>LM and -*pts*<sub>HN10</sub>LMN, indicating the role of  $\ensuremath{\text{Pts}_{\text{HN10}}}\xspace{N}$  in complex formation. These results suggest the function of Pts<sub>HN10</sub>N as a chaperone. However, further experiments, such as a luciferase reporter assay, should be performed to verify the activity of Pts<sub>HN10</sub>N in preventing protein aggregation. The separation between the tail fiber protein and its chaperone in the assembled phage structure is similar to that of T4, a well-studied myophage of E. coli. In this case, both chaperones including gp57a and gp38 assist the folding of a long tail fiber protein gp37 but are not incorporated in the mature tail structure (Galan Bartual et al., 2010). This information as well as our results highlight the crucial role of phage tail fiber chaperones for proper protein folding.

In both competition assay and enzyme-linked immunosorbent assays (ELISA), purified Pts<sub>HN10</sub>L showed no detectable binding ability. The purified  $\ensuremath{\text{Pts}_{\text{HN10}}}\ensuremath{M}$  from pET15bpts<sub>HN10</sub>MN construct revealed a higher signal intensity than that of pET15b-pts<sub>HN10</sub>M, implying that co-expression of Pts<sub>HN10</sub>N could prevent the Pts<sub>HN10</sub>M protein from forming the unproductive complex. This result is consistent with the initial observations that co-expression with Pts<sub>HN10</sub>N may reduce protein aggregation. Based on ELISA, the C-terminal region of Pts<sub>HN10</sub>M alone was sufficient to bind to C. difficile and suggested that it may contain the host recognition module. In several studies, the N-terminal part of RBP was found to be involved in the attachment of the RBP to other phage tail-fiber components, while the C-terminal part is responsible for receptor binding (Dupont et al., 2004; Hayes et al., 2018; Kizziah et al., 2020). Therefore, the C-terminus of several phage RBPs was the primary target for phage host-range modification (Yoichi et al., 2005; Trojet et al., 2011; Ando et al., 2015; Yosef et al., 2017; Dunne et al., 2019). Considering the results of protein co-expression, the C-terminus of Pts<sub>HN10</sub>M was required for co-elution with Pts<sub>HN10</sub>L, thus indicating the importance of this region for intermolecular interaction between the two Pts proteins. Even though the conserved glycine-rich region in the N-terminal domain of Pts<sub>HN10</sub>M was found to be involved in protein-protein interaction among diverse protein families (Fusaro and Sachetto-Martins, 2007; Nawrot et al., 2013), it is not sufficient to bind to Pts<sub>HN10</sub>L. Our assays, however, had a limitation that conformational changes due to truncations were not examined and the altered structure of the truncated proteins has the potential to limit protein-protein interactions (Goh et al., 2004). Therefore, we interpreted our co-expression results to indicate that the C-terminal domain of  $\ensuremath{\text{Pts}_{\text{HN10}}}\ensuremath{M}$  is required for the binding to Pts<sub>HN10</sub>L. In conclusion, Pts<sub>HN10</sub>L of HN10 prophage functions to connect tail fiber with other baseplate components and acts as baseplate attachment protein (BPAR) in C. difficile phages (Gebhart et al., 2015).  $Pts_{HN10}M$  indeed functions as RBP, the C-terminus of which contains the host-binding site similar to other described phage RBPs and presumably maintains the structure for interacting with other baseplate-related proteins.

The purified proteins expressed from pET15b-*pts*<sub>HN10</sub>LM and pts<sub>HN10</sub>LMN constructs, on the other hand, showed no detectable binding to bacterial cells. This could be explained based on the cryo-EM structure of the baseplate and tail fibers of the T4 phage which is the most well-investigated myovirus. In the T4 phage, gp12 or the six short tail fibers (STFs) are folded underneath the mature baseplate (Leiman et al., 2004; Yap and Rossmann, 2014). Upon detection of a potential host, the bent region of the STFs then unfolded and straightened along their lengths for host binding. The conformational change in the baseplate is activated by a signal from other proteins in the baseplate complex, such as gp9 that initiates the appropriate orientation of proteins for T4 phage genome delivery (Yap and Rossmann, 2014). Alternatively, an external signal, such as calcium ions, may be essential for baseplate transformation and phage infection in lactococcal phages p2 (Sciara et al., 2010; Spinelli et al., 2020). From our results, it appears that the presence of Pts<sub>HN10</sub>L may cover the host binding site of  $Pts_{\rm HN10}M.$  The  $Pts_{\rm HN10}L/M$  complex may require a signal to release and activate  $Pts_{HN10}M$  for bacterial recognition.

RBP-fused Avidocin-CD is capable of binding to different strains of C. difficile (Kirk et al., 2017). Our findings are in agreement with this report as Pts<sub>HN10</sub>M could also bind to both phage susceptible and unsusceptible C. difficile strains. The ability to bind a vast range of cells regardless of lytic capability may be explained by the complicated processes of phage infection. Besides adsorption during the initial phage attachment, several steps underlying the success of host cell lysis, including injection of phage genome, phage DNA replication, assembly of phage particles, and other phage resistance mechanisms of bacteria, are also required (Labrie et al., 2010). Hence, the cellular lysis caused by phage infection is not only related to the adsorption ability (Thanki et al., 2018). Interestingly, Pts<sub>HN10</sub>M could distinguish C. difficile from other Gram-positive species such as C. perfringens and B. subtilis, implying that the components of C. difficile cell surface recognized by the Pts<sub>HN10</sub>M are not present in other bacterial species. Based on the specificity of Pts<sub>HN10</sub>M, this system has the potential for the development of RBP-based molecular probes for C. difficile detection.

To establish the direct interaction between phage RBP and surface receptor of *C. difficile*, we performed ELISA with the extracted SLPs fraction from *C. difficile* culture. In addition, thermodynamic parameters of binding between  $Pt_{HN10}M$  to LMW SlpA using ITC were determined. The calculated  $K_D$  was in the micromolar range and the binding reaction was likely transient. Detectable  $K_D$  from phage RBPs and specific host receptors reported to date range from nanomolar to micromolar. This weak interaction is proposed to be compensated by the cumulative binding of multiple receptors (Dunne et al., 2018). Hence, bacteriophages whose RBP has a weak affinity to host receptors may possess a higher number of RBPs and receptor binding sites. This may increase the affinity of binding and lead to irreversible adsorption. Our results from ITC and the phage inactivation assay suggested that LMW SlpA is most likely a reversible receptor for phage adsorption. In conclusion, we demonstrated that  $Pts_{HN10}M$  initially contacts LMW SlpA, the outermost surface subunit of SlpA in *C. difficile*, and subsequently  $Pts_{HN10}M$  or other baseplate-related proteins may irreversibly attach to secondary receptors.

As an alternative treatment for *C. difficile* infection, *C. difficile* phages have limitations due to the lack of a strict lytic phenotype. However, characterization of the putative RBP may expand the knowledge about phage-host interactions for modern phage engineering. As the putative phage RBP of HN10 prophage and its corresponding receptor have been identified, further structural study of phage RBPs and important amino acid residues for host cell recognition could expand phage host range and broaden the application of *C. difficile* phage to both diagnostic and therapeutic purposes.

#### Data availability statement

The datasets presented in this study can be found in online repositories. The names of the repository/repositories and accession number(s) can be found at: https://figshare.com/, https://figshare.com/articles/dataset/phage\_tail\_sequence\_fasta/20338716/1.

## Author contributions

TP contributed to idea or design of the research and manuscript writing. SChana contributed to research design, data analysis, and edited the final manuscript. TJ performed the revision and editing of the final manuscript. MP, SChar, and WP helped with the experimental assays. SChank supervised the project, contributed to research design, data analysis, and edited the final manuscript. All authors contributed to the article and approved the submitted version.

## Funding

SChank is supported by the National Research Council of Thailand (NRCT) and Mahidol University Grant NRCT5-RSA63015-26. SChank and TJ are also supported by Research Cluster: Multi-generation Researchers Grant from Mahidol

#### References

Adams, M. H. (1959). Bacteriophages New York, Interscience Publishers.

Ando, H., Lemire, S., Pires, D. P., and Lu, T. K. (2015). Engineering modular viral scaffolds for targeted bacterial population editing. *Cell Systems* 1, 187–196. doi: 10.1016/j.cels.2015.08.013

Arndt, D., Grant, J. R., Marcu, A., Sajed, T., Pon, A., Liang, Y., et al. (2016). PHASTER: a better, faster version of the PHAST phage search tool. *Nucleic Acids Res.* 44, W16–W21. doi: 10.1093/nar/gkw387

Baptista, C., Santos, M. A., and São-José, C. (2008). Phage SPP1 reversible adsorption to *Bacillus subtilis* cell wall teichoic acids accelerates virus recognition of membrane receptor YueB. *J. Bacteriol.* 190, 4989–4996. doi: 10.1128/JB.00349-08

University (MRC-MGR 02/2565) and the East Asia Science and Innovation Area Joint Research Program through the National Research Council of Thailand (NRCT; N10A650693). SChana is supported by the Faculty of Science, Mahidol University and the Research Grant for New Scholars from the Ministry of High Education, Science, Research and Innovation (RGNS 63–178); and WP by Science Achievement Scholarship of Thailand (SAST).

### Acknowledgments

We thank Supapit Wongkuna and Thapakorn Trirasspanich for their assistance in the HN10 genome sequence analysis in this study. We acknowledge the Central Instrument Facility (CIF) at the Faculty of Science, Mahidol University for facilities and technical support. We thank Laran T. Jensen, Department of Biochemistry, Faculty of Science, Mahidol University for critically reading the manuscript.

## Conflict of interest

The authors declare that the research was conducted in the absence of any commercial or financial relationships that could be construed as a potential conflict of interest.

### Publisher's note

All claims expressed in this article are solely those of the authors and do not necessarily represent those of their affiliated organizations, or those of the publisher, the editors and the reviewers. Any product that may be evaluated in this article, or claim that may be made by its manufacturer, is not guaranteed or endorsed by the publisher.

#### Supplementary material

The Supplementary material for this article can be found online at: https://www.frontiersin.org/articles/10.3389/fmicb. 2022.998215/full#supplementary-material

Beck, N. K., Callahan, K., Nappier, S. P., Kim, H., Sobsey, M. D., and Meschke, J. S. (2009). Development of a spot-titer culture assay for quantifying bacteria and viral indicators. *J. Rapid Meth. Auto. Microbiol.* 17, 455–464. doi: 10.1111/j.1745-4581.2009.00182.x

Bertozzi Silva, J., Storms, Z., and Sauvageau, D. (2016). Host receptors for bacteriophage adsorption. *FEMS Microbiol. Lett.* 363:fnw002. doi: 10.1093/femsle/fnw002

Calabi, E., Ward, S., Wren, B., Paxton, T., Panico, M., Morris, H., et al. (2001). Molecular characterization of the surface layer proteins from *Clostridium difficile*. *Mol. Microbiol.* 40, 1187–1199. doi: 10.1046/j.1365-2958.2001.02461.x Dowah, A. S. A., and Clokie, M. R. J. (2018). Review of the nature, diversity and structure of bacteriophage receptor binding proteins that target gram-positive bacteria. *Biophys. Rev.* 10, 535–542. doi: 10.1007/s12551-017-0382-3

Dowah, A. S. A., Xia, G., Kareem Ali, A. A., Thanki, A. M., Shan, J., Wallis, R., et al. (2021). Identification of the receptor-binding protein of Clostridium difficile phage CDHS-1 reveals a new class of receptor-binding domains. bioRxiv, 2021.2007.2005.451159. doi: 10.1101/2021.07.05.451159,

Drozdetskiy, A., Cole, C., Procter, J., and Barton, G. J. (2015). JPred4: a protein secondary structure prediction server. *Nucleic Acids Res.* 43, W389–W394. doi: 10.1093/nar/gkv332

Dunne, M., Hupfeld, M., Klumpp, J., and Loessner, M. J. (2018). Molecular basis of bacterial host interactions by gram-positive targeting bacteriophages. *Viruses* 10:397. doi: 10.3390/v10080397

Dunne, M., Rupf, B., Tala, M., Qabrati, X., Ernst, P., Shen, Y., et al. (2019). Reprogramming bacteriophage host range through structure-guided design of chimeric receptor binding proteins. *Cell Rep.* 29, 1336–1350.e4. doi: 10.1016/j. celrep.2019.09.062

Dupont, K., Vogensen Finn, K., Neve, H., Bresciani, J., and Josephsen, J. (2004). Identification of the receptor-binding protein in 936-species Lactococcal bacteriophages. *Appl. Environ. Microbiol.* 70, 5818–5824. doi: 10.1128/AEM.70.10.5818-5824.2004

Fagan, R. P., Albesa-Jové, D., Qazi, O., Svergun, D. I., Brown, K. A., and Fairweather, N. F. (2009). Structural insights into the molecular organization of the S-layer from *Clostridium difficile*. *Mol. Microbiol*. 71, 1308–1322. doi: 10.1111/j.1365-2958.2009.06603.x

Fusaro, A. F., and Sachetto-Martins, G. (2007). Blooming time for plant glycinerich proteins. *Plant Signal. Behav.* 2, 386–387. doi: 10.4161/psb.2.5.4262

Galan Bartual, S., Garcia-Doval, C., Alonso, J., Schoehn, G., and van Raaij, M. J. (2010). Two-chaperone assisted soluble expression and purification of the bacteriophage T4 long tail fibre protein gp37. *Protein Expr. Purif.* 70, 116–121. doi: 10.1016/j.pep.2009.11.005

García-Nafría, J., Watson, J. F., and Greger, I. H. (2016). IVA cloning: a single-tube universal cloning system exploiting bacterial *in vivo* assembly. *Sci. Rep.* 6:27459. doi: 10.1038/srep27459

Ge, H., Hu, M., Zhao, G., Du, Y., Xu, N., Chen, X., et al. (2020). The "fighting wisdom and bravery" of tailed phage and host in the process of adsorption. *Microbiol. Res.* 230:126344. doi: 10.1016/j.micres.2019.126344

Gebhart, D., Lok, S., Clare, S., Tomas, M., Stares, M., Scholl, D., et al. (2015). A modified R-type bacteriocin specifically targeting *Clostridium difficile* prevents colonization of mice without affecting gut microbiota diversity. *MBio* 6, e02368–e02314. doi: 10.1128/mBio.02368-14

Goh, C.-S., Milburn, D., and Gerstein, M. (2004). Conformational changes associated with protein-protein interactions. *Curr. Opin. Struct. Biol.* 14, 104–109. doi: 10.1016/j.sbi.2004.01.005

Goulet, A., Spinelli, S., Mahony, J., and Cambillau, C. (2020). Conserved and diverse traits of adhesion devices from *Siphoviridae* recognizing proteinaceous or saccharidic receptors. *Viruses* 12:512. doi: 10.3390/v12050512

Guerrero-Ferreira, R. C., Hupfeld, M., Nazarov, S., Taylor, N. M. I., Shneider, M. M., Obbineni, J. M., et al. (2019). Structure and transformation of bacteriophage A511 baseplate and tail upon infection of *listeria* cells. *EMBO J.* 38:e99455. doi: 10.15252/embj.201899455

Habann, M., Leiman, P. G., Vandersteegen, K., Bossche, A. V. d., Lavigne, R., Shneider, M. M., et al. (2014). *Listeria* phage A511, a model for the contractile tail machineries of SPO1-related bacteriophages. *Mol. Microbiol.* 92, 84–99. doi: 10.1111/mmi.12539

Hayes, S., Duhoo, Y., Neve, H., Murphy, J., Noben, J.-P., Franz, M. A. P. C., et al. (2018). Identification of dual receptor binding protein systems in Lactococcal 936 group phages. *Viruses* 10:668. doi: 10.3390/v10120668

Heuler, J., Fortier, L.-C., and Sun, X. (2021). *Clostridioides difficile* phage biology and application. *FEMS Microbiol. Rev* 45:5. doi: 10.1093/femsre/fuab012

Hu, B., Margolin, W., Molineux, I. J., and Liu, J. (2015). Structural remodeling of bacteriophage T4 and host membranes during infection initiation. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U. S. A.* 112, E4919–E4928. doi: 10.1073/pnas.1501064112

Jumper, J., Evans, R., Pritzel, A., Green, T., Figurnov, M., Ronneberger, O., et al. (2021). Highly accurate protein structure prediction with AlphaFold. *Nature* 596, 583–589. doi: 10.1038/s41586-021-03819-2

Kelley, L. A., Mezulis, S., Yates, C. M., Wass, M. N., and Sternberg, M. J. E. (2015). The Phyre<sup>2</sup> web portal for protein modeling, prediction and analysis. *Nat. Protoc.* 10, 845–858. doi: 10.1038/nprot.2015.053

Kirk, J. A., Gebhart, D., Buckley, A. M., Lok, S., Scholl, D., Douce, G. R., et al. (2017). New class of precision antimicrobials redefines role of *Clostridium difficile* S-layer in virulence and viability. *Sci. Transl. Med.* 9:eaah6813. doi: 10.1126/scitranslmed.aah6813

Kizziah, J. L., Manning, K. A., Dearborn, A. D., and Dokland, T. (2020). Structure of the host cell recognition and penetration machinery of a *Staphylococcus aureus* bacteriophage. *PLoS Pathog.* 16:e1008314. doi: 10.1371/ journal.ppat.1008314

Labrie, S. J., Samson, J. E., and Moineau, S. (2010). Bacteriophage resistance mechanisms. Nat rev. *Micro* 8, 317–327. doi: 10.1038/nrmicro2315

Lanzoni-Mangutchi, P., Banerji, O., Wilson, J., Barwinska-Sendra, A., Kirk, J. A., Vaz, F., et al. (2022). Structure and assembly of the S-layer in *C. difficile*. Nature. *Communications* 13:970. doi: 10.1038/s41467-022-28196-w

Latka, A., Lemire, S., Grimon, D., Dams, D., Maciejewska, B., Lu, T., et al. (2021). Engineering the modular receptor-binding proteins of *Klebsiella* phages switches their capsule serotype specificity. *MBio* 12, e00455–e00421. doi: 10.1128/mBio.00455-21

Leiman, P. G., Arisaka, F., van Raaij, M. J., Kostyuchenko, V. A., Aksyuk, A. A., Kanamaru, S., et al. (2010). Morphogenesis of the T4 tail and tail fibers. *Virol. J.* 7:355. doi: 10.1186/1743-422X-7-355

Leiman, P. G., Chipman, P. R., Kostyuchenko, V. A., Mesyanzhinov, V. V., and Rossmann, M. G. (2004). Three-dimensional rearrangement of proteins in the tail of bacteriophage T4 on infection of its host. *Cells* 118, 419–429. doi: 10.1016/j. cell.2004.07.022

Madeira, F., Pearce, M., Tivey, A. R. N., Basutkar, P., Lee, J., Edbali, O., et al. (2022). Search and sequence analysis tools services from EMBL-EBI in 2022. *Nucleic Acids Res.* 50, W276–W279. doi: 10.1093/nar/gkac240

McDonnell, B., Mahony, J., Neve, H., Hanemaaijer, L., Noben, J.-P., Kouwen, T., et al. (2016). Identification and analysis of a novel group of bacteriophages infecting the lactic acid bacterium *Streptococcus thermophilus*. *Appl. Environ. Microbiol.* 82, 5153–5165. doi: 10.1128/AEM.00835-16

Nawrot, R., Tomaszewski, L., Czerwoniec, A., and Goździcka-Józefiak, A. (2013). Identification of a coding sequence and structure modeling of a glycine-rich RNAbinding protein (CmGRP1) from *Chelidonium majus* L. *Plant Mol. Biol. Report.* 31, 470–476. doi: 10.1007/s11105-012-0510-y

Nobrega, F. L., Vlot, M., de Jonge, P. A., Dreesens, L. L., Beaumont, H. J. E., Lavigne, R., et al. (2018). Targeting mechanisms of tailed bacteriophages. *Nat. Rev. Microbiol.* 16, 760–773. doi: 10.1038/s41579-018-0070-8

North, O. I., Sakai, K., Yamashita, E., Nakagawa, A., Iwazaki, T., Büttner, C. R., et al. (2019). Phage tail fibre assembly proteins employ a modular structure to drive the correct folding of diverse fibres. *Nat. Microbiol.* 4, 1645–1653. doi: 10.1038/s41564-019-0477-7

Pettersen, E. F., Goddard, T. D., Huang, C. C., Meng, E. C., Couch, G. S., Croll, T. I., et al. (2021). UCSF ChimeraX: structure visualization for researchers, educators, and developers. *Protein Sci.* 30, 70–82. doi: 10.1002/pro.3943

Phothichaisri, W., Chankhamhaengdecha, S., Janvilisri, T., Nuadthaisong, J., Phetruen, T., et al. (2022). Potential role of the host-derived cell-wall binding domain of endolysin CD16/50L as a molecular anchor in preservation of uninfected *Clostridioides difficile* for new rounds of phage infection. *Microbiol. Spectr.* 10:e0236121. doi: 10.1128/spectrum.02361-21

Phothichaisri, W., Ounjai, P., Phetruen, T., Janvilisri, T., Khunrae, P., Singhakaew, S., et al. (2018). Characterization of bacteriophages infecting clinical isolates of *Clostridium difficile. Front. Microbiol.* 9:1701. doi: 10.3389/ fmicb.2018.01701

Robert, X., and Gouet, P. (2014). Deciphering key features in protein structures with the new ENDscript server. *Nucleic Acids Res.* 42, W320–W324. doi: 10.1093/nar/gku316

Sao-Jose, C., Lhuillier, S., Lurz, R., Melki, R., Lepault, J., Santos, M. A., et al. (2006). The ectodomain of the viral receptor YueB forms a fiber that triggers ejection of bacteriophage SPP1 DNA. J. Biol. Chem. 281, 11464–11470. doi: 10.1074/jbc.M513625200

Sciara, G., Bebeacua, C., Bron, P., Tremblay, D., Ortiz-Lombardia, M., Lichière, J., et al. (2010). Structure of lactococcal phage p2 baseplate and its mechanism of activation. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U. S. A.* 107, 6852–6857. doi: 10.1073/pnas.1000232107

Söding, J. (2005). Protein homology detection by HMM-HMM comparison. Bioinformatics 21, 951-960. doi: 10.1093/bioinformatics/bti125

Spinelli, S., Campanacci, V., Blangy, S., Moineau, S., Tegoni, M., and Cambillau, C. (2006). Modular structure of the receptor binding proteins of *Lactococcus lactis* phages. The RBP structure of the temperate phage TP901-1. *J. Biol. Chem.* 281, 14256–14262. doi: 10.1074/jbc.M600666200

Spinelli, S., Tremblay, D., Moineau, S., Cambillau, C., and Goulet, A. (2020). Structural insights into lactococcal siphophage p2 baseplate activation mechanism. *Viruses* 12:878. doi: 10.3390/v12080878

Stephan, M. S., Broeker, N. K., Saragliadis, A., Roos, N., Linke, D., and Barbirz, S. (2020). *In vitro* analysis of O-antigen-specific bacteriophage P22 inactivation by *salmonella* outer membrane vesicles. *Front. Microbiol.* 11:11. doi: 10.3389/fmicb.2020.510638

Thanki, A. M., Taylor-Joyce, G., Dowah, A., Nale, J. Y., Malik, D., and Clokie, M. R. J. (2018). Unravelling the links between phage adsorption and successful infection in *Clostridium difficile*. *Viruses* 10:411. doi: 10.3390/v10080411

Trojet, S. N., Caumont-Sarcos, A., Perrody, E., Comeau, A. M., and Krisch, H. M. (2011). The gp38 adhesins of the T4 superfamily: a complex modular determinant of the phage's host specificity. *Genome Biol. Evol.* 3, 674–686. doi: 10.1093/gbe/evr059

Whittle, M. J., Bilverstone, T. W., van Esveld, R. J., Lücke, A. C., Lister, M. M., Kuehne, S. A., et al. (2022). A novel bacteriophage with broad host range against *Clostridioides difficile* ribotype 078 supports SlpA as the likely phage receptor. *Microbiol. Spec.* 10, –e0229521. doi: 10.1128/spectrum.02295-21

Willing, S. E., Candela, T., Shaw, H. A., Seager, Z., Mesnage, S., Fagan, R. P., et al. (2015). *Clostridium difficile* surface proteins are anchored to the cell wall using CWB2 motifs that recognise the anionic polymer PSII. *Mol. Microbiol.* 96, 596–608. doi: 10.1111/mmi.12958

Yap, M. L., and Rossmann, M. G. (2014). Structure and function of bacteriophage T4. *Future Microbiol.* 9, 1319–1327. doi: 10.2217/fmb.14.91

Yehl, K., Lemire, S., Yang, A. C., Ando, H., Mimee, M., Torres, M. T., et al. (2019). Engineering phage host-range and suppressing bacterial resistance through phage tail fiber mutagenesis. *Cells* 179, 459–469.e9. doi: 10.1016/j. cell.2019.09.015

Yoichi, M., Abe, M., Miyanaga, K., Unno, H., and Tanji, Y. (2005). Alteration of tail fiber protein gp38 enables T2 phage to infect *Escherichia coli* O157:H7. *J. Biotechnol.* 115, 101–107. doi: 10.1016/j.jbiotec.2004.08.003

Yosef, I., Goren, M. G., Globus, R., Molshanski-Mor, S., and Qimron, U. (2017). Extending the host range of bacteriophage particles for DNA transduction. *Mol. Cell* 66, 721–728.e3. doi: 10.1016/j.molcel.2017.04.025

Zhang, Z., Tian, C., Zhao, J., Chen, X., Wei, X., Li, H., et al. (2018). Characterization of tail sheath protein of N4-like phage phiAxp-3. *Front. Microbiol.* 9:450. doi: 10.3389/fmicb.2018.00450