Guanine nucleotide binding protein like-1 (GNL1) promotes cancer cell proliferation and survival through AKT/p21^{CIP1} signaling cascade

Rehna Krishnan, Mariappan Murugiah, Naga Padma Lakshmi, and Sundarasamy Mahalingam* Laboratory of Molecular Cell Biology, National Cancer Tissue Biobank, Department of Biotechnology, Bhupat and Jyoti Mehta School of Biosciences, Indian Institute of Technology-Madras, Chennai 600 036, India

ABSTRACT Human guanine nucleotide binding protein like 1 (GNL1) is an evolutionary conserved putative nucleolar GTPase belonging to the HSR1_MMR1 subfamily of GTPases. GNL1 was found to be highly up-regulated in various cancers. Here, we report for the first time that GNL1 inhibits apoptosis by modulating the expression of Bcl2 family of proteins and the cleavage of caspases 7 and 8. Furthermore, GNL1 protects colon cancer cells from chemodrug-induced apoptosis. Interestingly, GNL1 up-regulates the expression of p53 and its transcriptional target, p21 but the up-regulation of p21 was found to be p53 dependent as well as independent mechanisms. Our results further demonstrate that GNL1 promotes cell growth and survival by inducing cytoplasmic retention and stabilization of p21 through AKTmediated phosphorylation. In addition, GNL1 failed to inhibit apoptosis under p21 knockdown conditions which suggests the critical role of p21 in GNL1-mediated cell survival. Finally, an inverse correlation of GNL1, p21, and AKT expression in primary colon and breast cancer with patient survival suggests their critical role in tumorigenesis. Collectively, our study reveals that GNL1 executes its antiapoptotic function by a novel mechanism and suggests that it may function as a regulatory component of the PI3K/AKT/p21 signaling network to promote cell proliferation and survival in cancers.

Monitoring Editor Carl-Henrik Heldin Ludwig Institute for Cancer Research

Received: Apr 27, 2020 Revised: Oct 14, 2020 Accepted: Oct 27, 2020

INTRODUCTION

Tumors utilize a wide variety of mechanisms for survival under the attack of radiation and chemotherapy. Despite recent advances in cancer treatment, chemoresistance in metastatic disease remains a

This article was published online ahead of print in MBoC in Press (http://www .molbiolcell.org/cgi/doi/10.1091/mbc.E20-04-0267) on November 4, 2020.

"ASCB®," "The American Society for Cell Biology®," and "Molecular Biology of the Cell®" are registered trademarks of The American Society for Cell Biology.

hurdle. Hence, there is a need to identify new biomarkers and to elucidate the underlying molecular mechanisms that regulate chemoresistance. Guanine nucleotide binding proteins are emerging as crucial coordinators of signaling cascades during cell proliferation. The YawG/YIqF/HSR1-MMR1 GTP-binding protein subfamily of GTPases is evolutionarily conserved from prokaryotes to mammals. The members of this family are characterized by the presence of circular permutated guanine nucleotide binding motifs (Essers *et al.*, 2014). Human guanine nucleotide binding protein like 1 (GNL1), GNL2, GNL3, and GNL3L are the four well-known members of this family in humans and are found to be highly expressed in cancers (Liu *et al.*, 2004; Kafienah *et al.*, 2006; Chennupati *et al.*, 2011; Thoompumkal *et al.*, 2015).

GNL1 encodes 607 amino acids with a molecular mass of 65 kDa, contains basic amino acids rich N-terminus, acidic amino acidrich C-terminus, a proline-rich domain, and five GTP-binding motifs. GNL1 encodes a novel arginine/lysine-rich nuclear/nucleolar localization signal at the N-terminus and is found to be localized to different subcellular compartments in cell cycle-dependent manner (Boddapati *et al.*, 2012). GNL1 was reported to have a critical role in

Conflict of interest: The authors declare no conflict of interest with the contents of this article.

Author contributions: R.K. designed and performed experiments, analyzed the data, and wrote the paper; M.M. performed experiments; S.M. conceived, designed, and coordinated the study and wrote the paper.

^{*}Address correspondence to: Sundarasamy Mahalingam (mahalingam@iitm.ac.in). Abbreviations used: ASK1, apoptosis signaling kinase 1; ERp29, endoplasmic reticulum protein 29; FB5, fetal bovine serum; GNL1, guanine nucleotide binding protein-like 1; MTT, 3-(4,5-dimethylthiazol-2-yl)-2,5-diphenyltetrazolium bromide; PARP, poly-ADP ribose polymerase; PEI, polyethyleneimine; PMSF, phenylmethylsulfonyl fluoride; RP, ribosomal protein.

^{© 2020} Krishnan et al. This article is distributed by The American Society for Cell Biology under license from the author(s). Two months after publication it is available to the public under an Attribution–Noncommercial–Share Alike 3.0 Unported Creative Commons License (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-sa/3.0).

liver cell proliferation (Gao et al., 2008). Expression of GNL1 was up-regulated in bladder and ovarian cancers and in a panel of squamous cell carcinoma cell lines (Jensen et al., 2008; Fang et al., 2013; Delcourt et al., 2017). A recent report from our laboratory demonstrates that GNL1 interacts with ribosomal protein S20 (RPS20) and promotes cell proliferation by modulating G1/S and G2/M phase transition (Krishnan et al., 2018). GNL1 promotes hyperphosphorylation of pRb and results in faster cell cycle progression (Krishnan et al., 2018). Together, these reports suggest that GNL1 might regulate a network of pathways to promote cell growth and survival, but the mechanism(s) remains poorly understood.

The p53/p21 pathway has been widely implicated in various cellular processes including cell cycle regulation, apoptosis, and tumorigenesis. DNA damage often activates the p53/p21 pathway and causes G1/S phase arrest in mammalian cells; p21 is a wellknown target of p53 and interacts with various cyclin-CDK complexes to control cell cycle progression. A recent report suggests that p21 could also function as a positive regulator of the cell cycle (Cheng et al., 1999). Interestingly, p21 induces the assembly and activation of the cyclin D1-CDK4/6 complex and promotes faster G1/S phase transition (Cheng et al., 1999). Expression of p21 positively correlated with cell survival (Asada et al., 1999). Recent studies suggested that p21 may act as a tumor suppressor and as an oncogene based on its cellular localization (Asada et al., 1999; Blagosklonny, 2002; Koster et al., 2010). The growth inhibitory functions of p21 are associated with its nuclear localization, whereas cytoplasmic localization was associated with its oncogenic or antiapoptotic activities (Asada et al., 1999; Blagosklonny, 2002; Koster et al., 2010). Furthermore, cytoplasmically localized p21 interacts with apoptosis signaling kinase 1 (ASK1) and inactivates its activity to inhibit cellular apoptosis (Zhan et al., 2007).

Recent reports have suggested that AKT/PKB promotes cell growth and survival by modulating p21 activity in response to extracellular signals (Li *et al.*, 2002). Phosphorylation of p21 at Thr145 and Ser146 residues by AKT resulted in cytoplasmic localization and stabilization of p21 protein (Li *et al.*, 2002). Results from the present investigation provided evidence that GNL1 promotes AKT-mediated p21 phosphorylation, which is critical for the cytoplasmic localization and stabilization of p21. Finally, our results suggest that AKT/p21 signaling pathway is essential for the antiapoptotic function of GNL1 to promote cell proliferation and survival during tumorigenesis.

RESULTS

GNL1 inhibits apoptosis

GNL1 was initially identified as a putative nucleolar GTPase. Recent reports provided evidence that GNL1 and other of members of GNL family are significantly up-regulated in several cancers and promote cell proliferation (Liu et al., 2004; Meng et al., 2008; Boddapati et al., 2012; Datta et al., 2015; Thoompumkal et al., 2015, 2016; Krishnan et al., 2018), but the mechanisms remain poorly understood. Toward this, we have selected GNL1 to define the mechanism by which GNLs regulate cell proliferation. GNL1-expressing cells were stained with annexin-V/APC and the apoptotic cell population was measured by flow cytometry as described in Materials and Methods. Results indicate that the ectopic expression of GNL1 in HCT116^{p53+/+} (Figure 1A) and SKBR3 (Supplemental Figure S1A) cells significantly reduced the apoptotic cell numbers with corresponding increase of live cell population. Toward understanding the mechanism by which GNL1s modulate cell survival, expression levels of key molecular players involved in apoptotic pathway were analyzed in GNL1-expressing cells. Western blot analysis indicates that GNL1 up-regulates the expression of antiapoptotic Bcl-xL, Bcl-2, and survivin; in contrast, the expression of proapoptotic BAX and BID was significantly reduced in both HCT116^{p53+/+} (Figure 1B) and SKBR3 (Supplemental Figure S1B) cells. Furthermore, significant reduction in the levels of cleaved caspases 7 and 8 were observed on GNL1 expression in HCT116^{p53+/+} cells (Figure 1C). To further define the mechanism of GNL1-mediated inhibition of apoptosis, endogenous GNL1 was depleted in HCT116^{p53+/+} cells and measured cell apoptosis by flow cytometry. Interestingly, knockdown of GNL1 resulted in a significant increase of apoptotic cell population with a corresponding decrease of live cell population (Figure 1D). Results from the Western blot analysis indicate that knockdown of GNL1 resulted in significant down-regulation of antiapoptotic Bcl-xL, Bcl-2, and survivin, with increased levels of proapoptotic proteins BAX and BID (Figure 1E). Furthermore, increased levels of cleaved caspases 7 and 8 were observed on GNL1 knockdown in HCT116^{p53+/+} cells (Figure 1F). The knockdown efficiency of GNL1 was determined by Western blot analysis using anti-GNL1 antibodies. Together, these data suggest the possibility that GNL1 promotes cell proliferation and survival by inhibiting caspase-dependent cell apoptosis via modulating the expression of Bcl-2 family proteins in cancers.

GNL1 promotes chemoresistance in cancer cells

Different chemotherapy drugs are usually offered to cancer patients, but the response to chemotherapy reduces subsequently with each episode and resulted in disease progression (Acharyya et al., 2012). Chemoresistance and metastasis are the key problems in cancer treatment. The observed high-level expression of GNL1 in various cancers (Liu et al., 2004; Boddapati et al., 2012; Thoompumkal et al., 2015; Krishnan et al., 2018) together with its antiapoptotic function observed in the present investigation led to the hypothesis that GNL1 expression in cancers may induce chemoresistance. We therefore next tested whether GNL1 expression modulates chemodrug-induced apoptosis in cancer cells. Toward this, GNL1-expressing HCT116^{p53+/+} cells were treated with different chemotherapeutic drugs (camptothecin, oxaliplatin, and 5-Flurouracil) and we analyzed the cell viability by MTT (3-(4,5-dimethylthiazol-2-yl)-2,5-diphenyltetrazolium bromide) assay as described in Materials and Methods. Results indicate that GNL1 expression provides growth advantage to cells in the presence of indicated chemo-drugs compared with GPF expression (Figure 2A). In contrast, significant reduction of cell viability was noticed under GNL1 knockdown condition with indicated drug treatment (Figure 2B). These data suggest that the observed increased cell viability in GNL1-expressing cells in the presence of chemo-drugs might be due to apoptosis inhibition. Camptothecin and oxaliplatin were selected for further experiments to understand the antiapoptotic function of GNL1. Toward this, GNL1-expressing HCT116^{p53+/+} cells were treated with indicated drugs for 24 h and stained with annexin-V/APC followed by flow cytometry analysis to determine the status of cell apoptosis. Results indicate that the number of apoptotic cell population was significantly reduced with a corresponding increase in live cell population in GNL1-expressing cells treated with camptothecin (Figure 2C) or oxaliplatin (Supplemental Figure S2A) compared with DMSOtreated cells. Camptothecin is a topoisomerase I inhibitor (Liu et al., 2006) shown to induce apoptotic death of cultured embryonic cortical neurons by BAX-dependent and mitochondrial pathways (Enokido et al., 1996; Keramaris et al., 2000; Morris et al., 2001). Oxaliplatin forms both inter- and intrastrand cross-links with DNA and prevents DNA replication/transcription to induce cell death (Graham et al., 2004). Drug treatments resulted in single- or double-



FIGURE 1: GNL1 inhibits apoptosis. (A) GNL1expressed HCT116 $^{p53+/4}$ cells were stained with Annexin-V/APC to determine the status of apoptosis by flowcytometry analysis. (B) Expression status of indicated proapoptotic and antiapoptotic proteins were determined by Western blot analysis using respective antibodies. (C) GNL1 alters the cleavage of caspase 7 and 8 in HCT116 $^{p53+/4}$ cells. (D) GNL1 depletion by specific shRNA promotes apoptosis in HCT116 $^{p53+/4}$ cells. (E) Knockdown of GNL1 enhances the expression of proapoptotic BAX and BID proteins and reduces the expression of antiapoptotic proteins. (F) Depletion of endogenous GNL1 by shRNA promotes the cleavage of caspase 7 and 8. The densitometry analyses of the corresponding Western blots were carried out by normalizing the expression levels of the indicated endogenous proteins to β -actin.



FIGURE 2: GNL1 protects colon cancer cells from chemo-drug-induced apoptosis. HCT116^{p53+/+} cell viability was enhanced in the presence of indicated chemotherapeutic drugs with GNL1 expression (A) and was reversed under a GNL1-depleted condition (B). The cell viability was determined by MTT assay as described in *Materials and Methods*. (C) GNL1 inhibits camptothecin-induced cell apoptosis in HCT116 $^{p53+/+}$ cells. Status of apoptosis was determined by Annexin-V/APC staining followed by flowcytometry analysis. (D) Camptothecin-induced PARP cleavage was reduced in the presence of GNL1expression. (E) Depletion of GNL1 sensitizes HCT116 $^{p53+/+}$ cells to camptothecin-induced cell apoptosis. (F) GNL1 knockdown resulted in increased PARP and caspase 7 cleavages in HCT116 $^{p53+/+}$ cells in the presence of camptothecin. The densitometry analyses of the corresponding Western blots were carried out by normalizing the expression levels of the indicated endogenous proteins to β -actin.

strand DNA breaks and activate poly-ADP ribose polymerase (PARP) to promote DNA repair (Herceg and Wang, 2001). If damage is irreparable, PARP is inactivated by caspase-mediated cleavage, leading to programmed cell death (Kaufmann *et al.*, 1993; Nicholson *et al.*, 1995; Tewari *et al.*, 1995). To further understand the mechanism of antiapoptotic function of GNL1, we next analyzed the effect of GNL1 expression on PARP cleavage during drug treatment. Western blot analysis showed that the level of cleaved PARP was significantly decreased in both camptothecin- (Figure 2D, lane 4) and oxaliplatin- (Supplemental Figure S2B, lane 4) treated GNL1expressing cells compared with GFP-expressing cells (Figure 2D, lane 3; Supplemental Figure S2B, lane 3). These data suggest that GNL1 blocks PARP cleavage to inhibit drug-induced cell apoptosis.

To further define the status of drug-induced cell apoptosis under GNL1 knockdown condition, GNL1-depleted HCT116^{*p*53+/+} cells were treated with indicated drugs and stained with annexin-V/APC followed by flow cytometry analysis. Results indicate that a significant increase in apoptotic cell population with a corresponding decrease in live cell population in camptothecin-treated cells under GNL1 knockdown condition compared with DMSO treatment (Figure 2E). This was further supported with an increased cleavage of caspase 7 and PARP (Figure 2F, lane 4) under GNL1 knockdown conditions. Caspase 7 is one of the executioner caspases and inactivates PARP by cleavage (Germain *et al.*, 1999). Knockdown efficiency of GNL1 was determined by Western blot analysis using anti-GNL1 antibodies (Figure 2F). Together, these results provide evidence that GNL1 modulates caspase 7 activity to regulate cell apoptosis.

GNL1 modulates p53 and p21 expression in colon and breast cancer cell lines

It is well known that the p53/p21 pathway is activated during DNA damage. The p53 protects the genome by regulating various DNA damage response mechanisms (Williams and Schumacher, 2016). When DNA damage occurs before the cells enter into S phase, p53 arrests the cell at G1 phase by activating the expression of cyclindependent kinase inhibitor CDKN1A/p21^{CIP1} (El-Deiry et al., 1993). If the damage is irreparable, the cell undergoes programmed cell death. It is known that camptothecin and oxaliplatin treatment promotes cell death by p53 and mitochondria-dependent mechanisms (Toscano et al., 2007; Rudolf et al., 2011). These data, together with results from the present study, led to the hypothesis that GNL1 may inhibit the drug-induced cell apoptosis by modulating the p53/p21 pathway. In support of this, recent studies suggest that members of YawG/YIqF/HSR1-MMR1 GTP-binding protein subfamily of GTPases such as GNL2 (NGP-1), GNL3, and GNL3L have been shown to induce cell proliferation by modulating p53 pathway (Datta et al., 2015; Meng et al., 2008, 2011). We therefore immediately tested whether GNL1 expression alters p53 function to promote cell proliferation. Toward this, GNL1-GFP was ectopically expressed in HCT116^{p53+/+} cells and we analyzed the expression levels of p53 and p21 by Western blot analysis. To our surprise, results in Figure 3A indicate that the p53 and p21 protein levels were up-regulated by GNL1 (lane 2). To check whether this regulation is at a transcriptional or translational level, GNL1 was expressed in HCT116^{p53+/+} cells and the levels of p53 and p21 mRNA were measured. Surprisingly, RTqPCR analysis clearly indicates that GNL1 up-regulates the transcript levels of both p53 and p21 (Figure 3B). It is interesting to note that GNL1 inhibits apoptosis and promotes cell proliferation despite up-regulating the expression of well-known tumor suppressor proteins p53 and p21, which are known to inhibit cell growth.

It is well documented that p21 expression was activated in a p53dependent as well as an -independent manner (Rey *et al.*, 1998). To

Figure 3C clearly indicate that GNL1 up-regulates p21 protein expression (lane 2) without altering its mRNA levels (Figure 3D). To further confirm, the mRNA and protein levels of p21 were determined on GNL1 expression under p53 knockdown condition. Results in Supplemental Figure S3A indicate that the protein level of p21 was significantly higher in GNL1-expressing cells under p53 knockdown conditions (lane 4) despite that the mRNA level of p21 was significantly down-regulated under similar conditions (Figure 3E). These data clearly suggest that GNL1 modulates the p21 level in a p53-dependent as well as an -independent manner. In support of this, the higher expression level of p21 was reported in various cancers, especially breast cancers (Wakasugi et al., 1997; Weiss, 2003). It is likely that p21 may play an important role in cell viability after DNA damage during tumorigenesis. Collectively, these data led to the hypothesis that GNL1 up-regulates p21 to promote cell proliferation by an unknown mechanism. To test this possibility, we next analyzed whether GNL1 expression alters the p21 protein levels in various breast cancer cell lines such as T47D, SKBR3, and MD-AMB231. Interestingly, there is significant up-regulation of p21 protein levels on GNL1 expression in all cell lines tested (Supplemental Figure S3B, lanes 2, 4, and 6). Interestingly, GNL1 knockdown by two independent shRNAs in HCT116^{p53+/+} cells resulted in a significant reduction of p21 protein levels (Figure 3F, lanes 2 and 3). Since knockdown efficiencies were similar for both shRNAs, we used only shRNA1 for all other experiments. These results suggest the possibility that GNL1 alters p21 protein stability to induce cell proliferation. It is known that p21 protein has a short half-life and is degraded by proteasome through ubiquitin-dependent and -independent pathways (Touitou et al., 2001; Zhang et al., 2004; Kim et al., 2008). To understand the mechanism(s) by which GNL1 regulates the stability of p21, the polyubiquitylation status of p21 in HCT116p53+/+ cells was measured in the presence of GNL1 expression. Results in Supplemental Figure S3C indicate that expression of GNL1 reduced the levels of polyubiquitinated p21 (lane 2), whereas depletion of endogenous GNL1 by shRNA-mediated knockdown resulted in a significant increase of p21 polyubiquitination (Figure 3G, lane 2). Taken together, these results suggest that GNL1 stabilizes p21 by inhibiting ubiquitination.

understand whether GNL1-mediated p21 up-regulation is p53 de-

pendent, the mRNA and protein levels of p21 were measured on GNL1 expression in p53-deficient HCT116^{p53-/-} cells. Results in

GNL1 induces cytoplasmic retention of p21

CDKN1A/p21 is a critical regulator of cell cycle and cell survival. Elevated levels of p21 protein in various aggressive tumors are reported to be associated with chemoresistance (Vincent et al., 2012). It is well known that the nuclear retention of p21 is critical to regulate S phase of the cell division cycle by blocking DNA synthesis through interaction with PCNA as well as by inhibiting CDK activity. In contrast, p21 functions as pro-proliferative and -survival factors when it localized to the cytoplasmic compartment (Zhou et al., 2001; Child and Mann, 2006). Since GNL1 up-regulates p21 and promotes cell proliferation, we immediately analyzed the subcellular distribution pattern of p21 on GNL1 expression and knockdown conditions. Toward this, GNL1 was ectopically expressed in HCT116p53+/+ and SKBR3 cells and analyzed the distribution of p21 in nuclear and cytoplasmic compartments. Interestingly, results from the Western blot analysis indicate that p21 was predominantly accumulated with cytoplasmic fractions in GNL1-expressing cells (Figure 4, A and B, lane 2). In contrast, reduction of p21 accumulation in the cytoplasmic fraction was observed in a GNL1-depleted condition compared with scrambled shRNA-transfected cells (Figure 4C, lane 4). It is worth



FIGURE 3: GNL1 modulates p53/p21 pathway. (A) The status of p53 and p21 proteins (A) and mRNA (B) levels were measured in HCT116^{p53+/+} and HCT116^{p53-/-} (C) cells in the presence of GNL1 expression. Indicated protein expression was determined by Western blot analysis using respective antibodies. mRNA levels of *GNL1*, *p53*, *and p21* were determined by qPCR analysis using specific primers. (D) RT-qPCR analysis suggests that mRNA levels of *p21* were not altered by GNL1 in HCT116^{p53+/-} cells. (E) GNL1 failed to promote mRNA levels of *p21* in HCT116^{p53+/+} cells under a p53-depleted condition. (F) Expression of p21 protein was reduced in HCT116^{p53+/+} cells under a GNL1-depleted condition. Expression of endogenous p21 and knockdown status of GNL1 were confirmed by Western blotting using anti-p21 anti-GNL1 antibodies, respectively. (G) GNL1 depletion in HCT116^{p53+/+} cells promoted p21 ubiquitination. The densitometry analyses of the corresponding Western blots were carried out by normalizing the expression levels of the indicated endogenous proteins to β -actin.



FIGURE 4: GNL1 induces cytoplasmic retention of p21. The cytoplasmic and nuclear fractions of HCT116^{*p*53+/+} (A) and SKBR3 (B) cells expressing GNL1 were isolated and accumulation of p21 was analyzed by Western blot using anti-p21 antibody. GAPDH and Lamin A/C were used as cytoplasmic and nuclear markers, respectively. (C) Cytoplasmic and nuclear fractions were isolated from GNL1-depleted HCT116^{*p*53+/+} cells and p21 accumulation was determined by immunoblot analysis with anti-p21 antibodies. Efficiency of GNL1 knockdown was tested by Western blot analysis using anti-GNL1 antibody. The densitometry analyses of the corresponding Western blots were carried out by normalizing the expression levels of GAPDH and Lamin A/C. (D) Immunofluorescence analysis suggests that p21was localized predominantly in the cytoplasmic compartment in the presence of GNL1 expression in SKBR3 cells. DAPI was used for nuclear staining.

noting that the total p21 expression was reduced in GNL1-depleted cells (Figure 4C, lane 2) compared with scrambled shRNA-expressing cells. Lamin A/C and GAPDH were used as nuclear and cytoplasmic markers, respectively. To define further, subcellular localization of p21 was analyzed in the presence of GNL1 by immunofluorescence. Interestingly, predominant cytoplasmic localization of p21 was observed in GNL1-expressing HCT116^{p53+/+} (Supplemental Figure S4) and SKBR3 cells (Figure 4D). Collectively, these data suggest that GNL1 promotes cytoplasmic retention of p21 by a novel unknown mechanism to induce cell proliferation.

GNL1 promotes AKT-mediated p21 phosphorylation to induce cell proliferation and survival

Recent reports suggest that the nuclear localized p21 functions as a tumor suppressor, whereas the cytoplasmic p21 functions as an antiapoptotic factor and enhances cell survival (Zhou et al., 2001; Child and Mann, 2006). Phosphorylation at Thr145 and/or Ser146 residues within the nuclear localization signal of p21 modulates the subcellular distribution of p21 (Xia et al., 2004; Yuste et al., 2005; Pérez-Tenorio et al., 2006). To understand the mechanistic insights on GNL1-mediated p21 cytoplasmic localization, the status of p21 phosphorylation was determined under GNL1 expression and knockdown conditions. Toward this, GNL1 was expressed in both $HCT116^{{\rm p}53{\rm +/+}}$ and SKBR3 cells and the levels of p21 phosphorylation were determined by Western blot analysis. Results showed that GNL1 expression significantly up-regulated the p21 phosphorylation in both tested cell lines (Figure 5A and Supplemental Figure S5A, lane 2). In contrast, knockdown GNL1 in HCT116^{p53+/+} cells significantly reduced the phospho p21 level (Figure 5B, lane 2). Total p21 protein level was normalized to measure the phosphorylation status, and the knockdown of GNL1 was determined by Western blot using anti-GNL1 antibodies. Evidence from the literature suggests that the protein kinase B/AKT is one of the main kinases attributed to p21 phosphorylation and its subsequent cytoplasmic localization (Zhou et al., 2001; Héliez et al., 2003; Park et al., 2006). We therefore first analyzed the levels of phosphorylated AKT (p-Ser-473-AKT) on GNL1 expression by Western blot using phospho-specific AKT antibodies. Results indicate that GNL1 expression increased the phospho-AKT levels without altering the total AKT levels in both HCT116^{p53+/+} and SKBR3 cells (Figure 5C and Supplemental Figure S5B, lane 2). Interestingly, GNL1 knockdown with two independent shRNAs resulted in a significant reduction of phospho-AKT levels without altering its expression of HCT116 $^{p53+/+}$ cells (Figure 5D, lanes 2 and 3). Together, these data suggest that GNL1 may function as a regulatory component of the network, to activate AKT/p21 signaling pathways to promote cell growth and survival during tumorigenesis.

To further define the importance of the AKT signaling pathway in the antiapoptotic function of GNL1, GNL1-expressing HCT116^{p53+/+} and SKBR3 cells were treated with AKT inhibitor and we measured the cellular apoptosis using annexin-V/APC staining followed by flow cytometry. As expected, we observed a significant decrease in apoptotic cell population in GNL1-expressing HCT116^{p53+/+} (Figure 5E) and SKBR3 cells (Supplemental Figure S5C). In contrast, GNL1 was not able to block apoptotic cell death in cells treated with AKT inhibitor (Figure 5E and Supplemental Figure S5C). Collectively, these results suggest that the AKT signaling pathway plays an important role in the antiapoptotic function of GNL1. We next tested the status of p21 phosphorylation in GNL1-expressing cells in the presence of AKT inhibitor. Western blot analysis suggests that there is a significant reduction of total as well as phospho-p21 levels in GNL1expressing HCT116^{p53+/+} (Figure 5F, lanes 2 and 4) cells in the presence of AKT inhibitor. Significant reduction of phospho-AKT levels was observed in GNL1-expressing cells treated with AKT inhibitor without altering total AKT protein levels in HCT116^{p53+/+} (Figure 5F, lanes 2 and 4) and SKBR3 cells (Supplemental Figure S5D). Taken together, these results suggest that GNL1 modulates AKT-mediated p21 phosphorylation to execute its antiapoptotic function.

A recent report suggested that endoplasmic reticulum protein 29 (ERp29) performs its tumor-suppressive function by repressing the activity of the AKT signaling pathway (Ye et al., 2017). To understand the mechanism by which GNL1 modulates AKT signaling to promote cell growth and survival, we determined whether GNL1 alters ERp29 expression. To verify these possibilities, expression of ERp29 was analyzed under GNL1 expression and knockdown conditions. As shown in Supplemental Figure S6A, expression of GNL1 in HCT116^{p53+/+} cells significantly down-regulated the ERp29 mRNA levels; in contrast, shRNA-mediated depletion of GNL1 significantly up-regulated the ERp29 expression (Supplemental Figure S6B). Interestingly, results in Supplemental Figure S6C indicate that GNL1 expression repressess the ERp29 protein levels but observed increased expression of p21 and AKT protein levels (lane 2). Interestingly, this effect was reversed under GNL1 knockdown condition (Supplemental Figure S6D, lane 2). Collectively, these data suggest that GNL1 modulates the ERp29/AKT/p21 signaling pathway to regulate the cell growth and survival during tumorigenesis.

GNL1 promotes p21 protein stabilization

Evidence from the literature suggests that AKT-mediated p21 phosphorylation plays an essential role in stabilizing p21 protein levels in cells (Child and Mann 2006; Park et al., 2006). Interestingly, interference of AKT signaling resulted in destabilization of p21 by proteasomal degradation pathway (Child and Mann, 2006). The dependency of AKT activity on GNL1-mediated p21 stabilization was determined with or without AKT1 inhibitor. Interestingly, we observed rapid destabilization of p21 in cells expressing GFP or GNL1-GFP with AKT1 inhibitor (Figure 6A, lanes 3 and 4) compared with DMSO-treated cells (Figure 6A, lanes 1 and 2). To understand whether the inhibition AKT activity resulted in faster degradation p21 by proteasomal pathway, GNL1-expressing cells were treated with AKT inhibitor in the presence of proteasome inhibitor MG132 and we measured the levels of p21. Western blot analysis showed that the MG132 treatment stabilized p21 protein levels in GNL1-GFP-expressing cells despite the inhibition of AKT activity (Figure 6A, lane 6). These data support the notion that AKT activity is critical for GNL1-mediated p21 stabilization. To further confirm, ubiquitination status of p21 was determined in the presence of GNL1. Results from the ubiquitination assay reveal that GNL1 expression reduced the levels of polyubiquitinated p21, whereas we observed increased polyubiquitination of p21 in GNL1-expressing cells treated with AKT inhibitor (Figure 6B, lanes 2 and 4). Taken together, our results provided evidence that GNL1 requires functional AKT signaling pathway to stabilize p21 in cells.

Antiapoptotic function of GNL1 is p21 dependent

To further understand whether the observed antiapoptotic function of GNL1 is p21 dependent, the status of cell apoptosis was determined in cells with GNL1expression under p21 knockdown conditions. Interestingly, results presented in Figure 7A clearly suggest that GNL1 was not able to block cellular apoptosis under p21 knockdown, whereas a significant decrease in apoptotic cell population was observed in GNL1-expressing cells transfected with scrambled shRNA (Figure 7A). Furthermore, our results reveal that a significant increase in cell viability was observed in GNL1-expressing cells, and



FIGURE 5: GNL1-dependent AKT-mediated p21 phosphorylation is critical to promote cell proliferation and survival. (A) GNL1 expression in HCT116^{*p*53+/+} cells promotes p21 phosphorylation without altering total cellular p21 protein levels. Phospho-p21 and total p21 levels were measured by Western blot using anti-phospho-p21 and anti-p21 antibodies, respectively. (B) Knockdown of GNL1 by specific shRNA in HCT116^{*p*53+/+} cells reduced the levels of p21 phosphorylation. (C) GNL1 expression in HCT116^{*p*53+/+} cells promote AKT phosphorylation and this effect was reversed by GNL1 knockdown using specific shRNAs (D). Phosphorylation of AKT was analyzed by Western blot using antiphospho-AKT (Ser473) antibodies. Two independent shRNAs were used for GNL1 knockdown and knockdown efficiency was determined by Western blot using anti-GNL1 antibodies. The densitometry analyses of the corresponding Western blots were carried out by normalizing the expression levels of the indicated phospho-proteins to the total endogenous protein. (E) GNL1 failed to block apoptosis in HCT116^{*p*53+/+} cells in the presence of AKT inhibitor (AKTi). Annexin-V/APC staining was used to determine the status of apoptosis. (F) GNL1 was not able to activate AKT phosphorylation in the presence of AKTi in HCT116^{*p*53+/+} cells. Beta actin was used as a loading control.



FIGURE 6: GNL1 stabilizes p21 by promoting AKT-mediated phosphorylation. (A) HCT116^{p53+/+} cells transfected GNL1-GFP expression or control vector were treated with AKTi with or without MG132. GNL1 and p21protein levels were determined by Western blot using anti-GFP and anti-p21 antibodies, respectively. (B) HCT116^{p53+/+} cells expressing GFP or GNL1-GFP were treated with AKTi along with MG132 and ubiquitination assay was carried out as described in *Materials and Methods*.

this effect was reversed under p21 knockdown conditions despite efficient GNL1 expression (Figure 7B). The knockdown efficiency of p21 was checked by Western blotting using anti-p21 antibodies (Figure 7C). To further understand the importance of p21 in antiapoptotic function of GNL1, the levels of antiapoptotic proteins, survivin and Bcl-xL, and the status of caspase 8 cleavage were analyzed in cells expressing GNL1 with or without p21 depletion. Results in Figure 7C suggest that GNL1 expression up-regulates the survivin and Bcl-xL protein levels, but the cleaved caspase 8 level was reduced significantly under the same conditions (Figure 7C, lane 2). Interestingly, under p21 knockdown conditions, GNL1 failed to promote the expression of antiapoptotic proteins, survivin and Bcl-xL, and was not able to inhibit caspase 8 cleavage (Figure 7C, lane 4). Collectively, these data suggest that stabilization of p21 protein is critical for GNL1 to execute its antiapoptotic function.

Evidence for an inverse correlation of GNL1, p21, and AKT expression with survival of colon and breast cancer patients We next evaluated the prognostic effect of GNL1, p21, and AKT gene expression in overall survival of colon (Figure 8A) and breast cancer (Figure 8B) patients from the tissue data available in the PrognoScan database (Mizuno et al., 2009). The results from this analysis indicate the existence of a significant negative correlation between expression status of GNL1, p21, and AKT and survival of colon and breast cancer patients. The dotted lines in the KM plot represent 95% confidence intervals for each group. Collectively, these results suggest that the interplay among GNL1, p21, and AKT may be critical to promote tumorigenesis.

DISCUSSION

GNL1 is a putative nucleolar GTPase localized in different cellular compartments in a cell cycle-dependent manner to regulate cell proliferation (Boddapati et al., 2012; Krishnan et al., 2018). In the present study, we have attempted to gain novel insights into the antiapoptotic function of GNL1. GNL1 was shown to modulate the expression levels of pro- and antiapoptotic proteins and inhibit cell apoptosis. Interestingly, in HCT116^{p53-/-} cells, GNL1 up-regulates p21 protein, not mRNA levels, which suggest that GNL1 modulates cellular p21 stability at posttranslational levels independent of p53. In addition, GNL1 promotes the accumulation of p21 in the cytoplasmic compartment by promoting AKT-mediated p21 phosphorylation. Furthermore, our results suggest that GNL1 activates AKT signaling by suppressing the expression of ERp29, a known inhibitor of AKT. Finally, analysis using the PrognoScan database suggests that the higher-level expression of GNL1, p21, and AKT in primary colon and breast cancers was associated with poor patient survival. Collectively, data from the present investigation provided evidence that cross-talk between GNL1 and the ERp29/AKT/p21 signaling pathway plays a critical role in promoting cell proliferation and survival during tumorigenesis.

Human GNL1 was initially identified as a putative nucleolar GTPase, encodes 607 amino acids, and is highly conserved from prokaryotes to human (Vernet et al., 1994). A recent report from our laboratory demonstrated that GNL1 encompasses a novel arginine/ lysine-rich nucleolar localization signal and shuttles between different subcellular compartments in a cell cycle-dependent manner (Boddapati et al., 2012). GNL1 exported from the nucleus via the CRM1-independent pathway (Boddapati et al., 2012), but the other member of Yaw/YIqF family of GTPases, GNL3L shuttles via the CRM1-dependent pathway (Thoompumkal et al., 2015). Previous reports suggest that GNL1 promotes the E2F1 release from Rb-E2F1 inhibitory complex by altering Rb phosphorylation, which is critical to induce G1/S and G2/M phase transition for faster mammalian cell proliferation (Krishnan et al., 2018). Gene expression analysis revealed that increased expression of GNL1 in human cancers was associated with poor patient survival. Together, these data suggest that GNL1 plays an important role in cell cycle regulation, but the mechanism remains poorly understood.

Results from the current investigation clearly indicate that GNL1 inhibits apoptosis by modulating the expression levels of pro- and antiapoptotic proteins and the cleavage of caspases. Furthermore, depletion of GNL1 sensitizes cells to chemo-drug (camptothecin and oxaliplatin)-induced apoptosis. Both chemo-drugs are known to induce DNA damage and activate the p53/p21 pathway. Interestingly, our results suggest that GNL1 up-regulates both p53 and p21



FIGURE 7: Antiapoptotic function of GNL1 is p21 dependent. (A) HCT116^{p53+/+} cells were cotransfected with GNL1-GFP or control vector along with specific p21 shRNA or scrambled shRNA constructs and the status of apoptosis was measured by Annexin-V binding assay. (B) The cell viability was determined by MTT assay. (C) Expression GNL1-GFP, Bcl-xL, and survivin; cleavage of caspase 8; and the extent of p21 knockdown were determined by Western blot analysis using respective antibodies. Beta actin was used as loading control.

mRNA and protein levels in cells. It is worth mentioning that expression of GNL1 in HCT116^{p53-/-} cells increased p21 protein without altering its mRNA levels. In addition, knockdown of GNL1 decreased p21 protein level in p53 null cells, suggesting that GNL1 regulates cellular p21 protein accumulation at posttranslational level via a

novel p53-independent mechanism. This observation was unforeseen because p21 is a target of p53 and known to inhibit cell proliferation. Several reports suggest that p21 up-regulates G1/S progression by modulating the complex formation between cyclin D1 and CDK4 and further showed that it is a positive regulator of



FIGURE 8: Expression of GNL1, p21 and AKT was inversely correlated with breast and colon cancer patient survival. Kaplan–Meier plots indicate the differential expression levels of GNL1, p21, and AKT in (A) colon and (B) breast cancers. The survival curves for high (red) and low (blue) expression groups indicate poor survival of patients associated with high levels of GNL1, p21, and AKT1 expression. Confidence intervals (95%) for each group are also indicated by dotted lines.

cell survival (Labaer et al., 1997; Ghannam-Shahbari et al., 2018). This was supported by the fact that p21 was found to be overexpressed in many human cancers and positively correlated with resistance to chemo- and radiation therapy (Shiohara et al., 1994; Jung et al., 1995; Elledge and Allred 1998; Aaltomaa et al., 1999; Ferrandina et al., 2000; Biankin et al., 2001; Cheung et al., 2001; Abbas and Dutta, 2009). Increased p21 levels in late-stage gliomas do not correlate with p53 levels (Jung et al., 1995) and supports the notion that p21 levels may be up-regulated by p53-independent mechanism(s). Reduced levels of p21 in terminally differentiated cells such as muscle cells, hematopoietic stem cells, and macrophages resulted in apoptotic cell death (Asada et al., 1999; Cheng et al., 2000; Lawlor and Rotwein, 2000). In addition, the reduction of p21 expression sensitizes cells to Adriamycin, camptothecin, etoposide, gamma radiation, or prostaglandin treatment (Polyak et al., 1996; Waldman et al., 1996, 1997; Tian et al., 2000). It is well documented that nuclear localization of p21 is critical to inhibit CDK and PCNA activity for arresting cell division cycle during DNA damage response (Harper et al., 1995). On the contrary, the cytoplasmically localized p21 binds to pro-caspase 3 and promotes resistance to Fas-mediated cell apoptosis (Suzuki et al., 1998, 1999; Xia et al., 2004). Furthermore, p21 interacts with proapoptotic kinase ASK1 and inhibits apoptosis (Zhan et al., 2007). Increased expression of cytoplasmic p21 in human primary breast carcinomas may be considered as a factor of poor prognosis (Winters et al., 2001; Xia et al., 2004). Together, these data suggest the possibility that the p21 subcellular localization plays an important role in determining its function. Interestingly, the observed cytoplasmic retention of p21 in the presence of GNL1 expression in the present investigation supports the notion that p21 may play an important role in regulating the antiapoptotic function of GNL1 during tumorigenesis.

Recent study suggests that p21 levels may be regulated by p53independent mechanisms (Johannessen et al., 1999). For example, epidermal growth factor up-regulates the p21 level independent of p53 by activating the PI3K/AKT pathway (Johannessen et al. 1999). Activated AKT phosphorylates p21 at Thr 145/Ser146 and promotes its accumulation in the cytoplasmic compartment, which is critical for p21 stability (Zhou et al., 2001). Results from the present study provided evidence that GNL1 induces AKT phosphorylation and the activated AKT phosphorylates p21 at Thr145, which is critical for p21 stabilization. Immunofluorescence experiments clearly indicated that the phosphorylated p21 predominantly localized in cytoplasm (Figure 4D and Supplemental Figure S4). Interestingly, results from the present study indicate that pharmacological inhibition of AKT activity impaired antiapoptotic function of GNL1 (Figure 5E and Supplemental Figure S5C). In addition, GNL1 was unable to inhibit the apoptosis under p21 knockdown condition in colon cancer cells (Figure 7A). A recent report demonstrates that ERp29 executes its tumor suppression function by blocking AKT signaling in gastric cancers (Ye et al., 2017). Interestingly, our data suggest that GNL1-mediated suppression of ERp29 expression may be critical for the activation of AKT signaling to stabilize p21 protein levels to promote cell proliferation. These results are in agreement with the AKT role in promoting cell survival (Shiohara et al., 1994). Taken together, data from the present study suggest that AKT-dependent p21 phosphorylation plays an important role in GNL1-mediated cell survival during tumorigenesis.



FIGURE 9: Proposed schematic model summarizing the role of GNL1 as an antiapoptotic protein. Results from the present investigation clearly illustrate that: 1) GNL1 alters the expression of pro- and antiapoptotic BCL2 family proteins; 2) suppression of ERp29 is required for the activation of AKT signaling pathway; 3) GNL1 promotes cytoplasmic localization and stabilization of p21 in AKT-mediated phosphorylation-dependent manner; and 4) cytoplasmic p21 inhibits caspase 8 cleavage, which is essential for the antiapoptotic function of GNL1.

Based on the results from the existing reports and from the current investigation, we proposed a functional model for GNL1 (Figure 9). The proposed model suggests that GNL1 promotes cell survival by regulating cellular apoptosis via the following: 1) alters the expression of pro- and antiapoptotic Bcl2 family proteins, 2) activates the AKT/p21 signaling pathway, 3) promotes cytoplasmic localization and stabilization of p21 in AKT-mediated phosphorylation dependent manner, and 4) promotes cell survival by inhibiting caspase 8 cleavage and cell apoptosis. An important function of activated PI3K/AKT pathway in cells is to modulate programmed cell death (Yao and Cooper, 1995). The activated AKT pathway regulates the translocation of Forkhead Box O transcription factors, thereby suppressing the expression of proapoptotic proteins (Datta et al., 1997; Kops et al., 1999). Activation of AKT pathway might be one of the possible mechanisms by which GNL1 alters the expression levels of pro- and antiapoptotic proteins. Results obtained from a current study provide evidence that GNL1 negatively regulates the expression of ERp29, and the recent report suggests that its expression was inversely associated with breast tumor development (Bambang et al., 2009). Interestingly, loss of ERp29 expression in gastric cancer tissues resulted the activation of the PI3K/AKT pathway, thereby inducing epithelial-to-mesenchymal transition (Wu et al., 2017). Together, the data from the present study suggest that GNL1-mediated down-regulation of ERp29 might result in the activation of the PI3K/AKT pathway. Further studies are warranted to understand the other key signaling molecules modulated by GNL1 to execute its antiapoptotic function.

The underlying mechanism(s) for cancer cells to develop resistance to chemotherapy is more complex. It is well documented that there is an association of the PI3K/AKT pathway with the acquired resistance of cancers to a variety of chemo-drugs, such as gemcitabine, irinotecan, etoposide, and Taxol (Campbell *et al.*, 2001; Pérez-Tenorio et al., 2002; Shah et al., 2005; Bleau et al., 2009; Sinnberg et al., 2009). Hyperphosphorylation of AKT has been observed in many cancers (Pérez-Tenorio et al., 2002; Shah et al., 2005). The results from the current investigation for the first time provided evidence that GNL1 promotes the cytoplasmic retention of p21 through AKT activation by suppressing ERp29 expression, which in turn is critical in promoting cell proliferation and survival. Collectively, these data elucidate a novel GNL1/ERp29/AKT/p21 signaling cascade to provide a growth benefit to cancer cells. It is worth mentioning that PI3K/AKT inhibitors may provide a benefit to patients with tumors expressing high levels of GNL1. The observed expression pattern of GNL1 and p21 in various cancers suggests the possibility that the synergy between GNL1 and p21 may enhance chemoresistance and this may be an ideal target for cancer therapeutic intervention.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Request a protocol through Bio-protocol.

Plasmid construction

GNL1 open reading frame was amplified from HeLa cDNA using appropriate primers as described elsewhere (Boddapati et al., 2012). The amplified product was digested with *Hind*III and *Bg*/II and cloned into a modified pcDNA3 vector (Invitrogen, USA) as fusion with GFP (Essers et al., 2014). DNA sequencing was performed to validate the integrity of all plasmids.

Chemicals, antibodies, and reagents

DMEM, RPMI 1640, and fetal bovine serum (FBS) were from Invitrogen Life Technologies. Annexin V–APC was procured from BD Biosciences. Camptothecin and oxaliplatin were from Sigma and AKT inhibitor IV from Millipore. All other reagents used in this study are of molecular biology grade. The antibodies used in this study are detailed in Supplemental Table S2.

Cell culture, transfection, immunoprecipitation, and Western blot analysis

HCT116^{p53+/+}, HCT116^{p53-/-}, SKBR3, T47D, and MDAMB231 cells were cultured in DMEM with 10% FBS and 1% antibiotics-antimycotics (Thermo Fisher Scientific, USA). Cells were grown to 60% confluency and transfected with polyethyleneimine (PEI) or lipofectamine 2000 (Thermo Fisher Scientific) according to the manufacturer's protocol. Transfected cells were lysed using 1× cell lysis buffer (25 mM Tris-HCl, pH 7.4, 150 mM KCl, 1 mM Na₂EDTA, 1mM EGTA, 1% Triton X-100, 2.5mM sodium pyrophosphate, 1 mM β-glycerophosphate, 0.4 mM phenylmethylsulfonyl fluoride [PMSF], 1 mM NaF, 1 mM Na3VO4, and 1 µg/ml each of aprotinin, leupeptin, and pepstatin). For detection of phosphoprotein, cell pellets were sonicated in 1× cell lysis buffer (50 mM Tris,150 mM NaCl, 1 mM EDTA, 1 mM EGTA, 1% Triton X-100, 1× protease inhibitor cocktail, 1× phosphatase inhibitor cocktail, 1 mM PMSF, 2 mM Na3VO4,100 nM okadoic acid, 0.5% sodium deoxycholate, and 0.1% SDS). Proteins were separated on SDS-12% PAGE, transferred onto PVDF membrane (PerkinElmer, USA), and probed with indicated antibodies. HRP-conjugated specific secondary antibodies (Bio-Rad Laboratories, USA) were incubated with protein-antibody complexes and detected using the enhanced chemiluminescence prime detection system (GE Healthcare, Sweden). For immunoprecipitation, equal amounts of cell lysates were incubated with anti-p21 antibodies for 6 h at 4°C, and the antibody-protein complexes were eluted and resolved on SDS-12% PAGE followed by Western blotting with anti-GNL1 antibody. Densitometry analysis of Western blots was carried out using ImageJ software.

RNA interference

GNL1 shRNA1 (TRCN0000189397: CCGGCCCGATACTTTCAGAC CTACTCTCGAGAGTAGGTCTGAAAGTATCGGGTTTTTTG), GNL1 shRNA2 (TRCN0000193121: CCGGCGATACTTTCAGACCTACTTT CTCGAGAAAGTAGGTCTGAAAGTATCGTTTTT), and p21 shRNA (TRCN0000040127: CCGGGTCACTGTCTTGTACCCTTGTCTCGA-GACAAGGGTACAAGACAGTGACTTTTG) were used for knockdown of GNL1 and p21, respectively, in HCT116^{p53+/+} and SKBR3 cells. The universal control shRNA (SHC016: CCGGGCGCGATAGC-GCTAATAATTTCTCGAGAAATTATTAGCGCTATCGCGCTTTTT, Sigma-Aldrich, USA) was used as scrambled negative control in all the knockdown experiments. All shRNAs were purchased from Sigma-Aldrich (USA).

Fluorescence microscopy

HCT116^{p53+/+} or SKBR3 cells grown on coverslips (Thermo Fisher Scientific, USA) were transfected with pCDNA3/GFP or pCDNA3/ GNL1-GFP expression plasmids using PEI. For determining the subcellular distribution of proteins, transfected cells were fixed using 3% (wt/vol) paraformaldehyde and permeabilized with Triton X-100. Endogenous proteins were stained with specific antibodies for 1 h at room temperature or overnight at 4°C. Hoechst 33342 was used to stain the nuclei at a final concentration of 1 mg/ml. Samples were then viewed with LSM710 laser scanning confocal microscope (Carl Zeiss, Germany), and image acquisition was performed using Zen 2009 software (Carl Zeiss, Germany).

Ubiquitination assay

HCT116^{p53+/+} cells were transiently transfected with plasmid expressing HA-ubiquitin and GNL1-GFP or GFP. After 40 h of transfection, cells were treated with 20 μ M MG132 for 8 h before being harvested. Cell lysates were subjected to coimmunoprecipitation using anti-p21 antibodies and the ubiquitin conjugates were detected by Western blot analysis using anti-HA or anti-ubiquitin antibodies.

Annexin-V binding assay

HCT116^{p53+/+} or SKBR3 cells were transfected with indicated expression or shRNA plasmids. Cells were trypsinized to obtain a single cell suspension after 48 h of transfection and incubated with binding buffer (0.1 M HEPES/1.4 M NaCl, 25 Mm CaCl₂) containing Annexin-APC and propidium iodide for 15 min at room temperature. The samples were then analyzed using a flow cytometer (FACS Canto II, BD Biosciences, USA), and the results were processed using FACS Diva software.

MTT assay

HCT116^{p53+/+} were cotransfected with GNL1-GFP with or without p21-specific shRNA plasmid. MTT solution (12 mM; Thermo Fisher Scientific, USA) was added to cells and incubated for 4 h at 37°C, and the absorbance was measured at 540 nm using Enspire Multimode Reader (PerkinElmer, USA).

qPCR analysis

Total RNA from HCT116^{p53+/4} cells transfected with different expression plasmids was isolated using TRIzol reagent (TaKaRa, Japan). Total RNA (2 µg) was reverse transcribed using PrimeScript RT reagent Kit (TaKaRa, Japan) according to the manufacturer's instructions. Quantitative PCR analysis was performed using SYBR-Green mix (TaKaRa, Japan) on Realplex cycler (Eppendorf, Germany). The expression levels of various genes relative to beta-actin were analyzed using $\Delta C \tau$ values according to the manufacturer's directions. The primers used for the qPCR analyzes are described in Supplemental Table S1.

Statistical analysis

Statistical analysis was carried out using GraphPad Prism 5.0 software. The experiments were repeated thrice with three biological replicates. Error bars representing mean \pm SD were drawn from a representative experiment with biological triplicates. The *p* values indicative of statistical significance were obtained by performing a Student's t test (unpaired). ImageJ software was used for densitometry analysis of Western blots.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

This work was supported by a grant from the Department of Science and Technology (VI-D&P/411/2012-2013/TDT [G]), Government of India to S.M.

REFERENCES

- Aaltomaa S, Lipponen P, Eskelinen M, Ala-Opas M, Kosma VM (1999). Prognostic value and expression of P21(Wafl/Cip I) protein in prostate cancer. Prostate 39, 8–15.
- Abbas T, Dutta A (2009). P21 in cancer: intricate networks and multiple activities. Nat Rev Cancer doi:10.1038/nrc2657.
- Acharyya S, Oskarsson T, Vanharanta S, Malladi S, Kim J, Morris PG, Manova-Todorova K, Leversha M, Hogg N, Seshan VE, et al. (2012). A CXCL1 paracrine network links cancer chemoresistance and metastasis. Cell 150, 165–178.
- Asada M, Yamada T, Ichijo H, Delia D, Miyazono K, Fukumuro K, Mizutani S (1999). Apoptosis inhibitory activity of cytoplasmic P21(Cip1/WAF1) in monocytic differentiation. EMBO J 18, 1223–1234.
- Biankin AV, Kench JG, Morey AL, Lee SC, Biankin SA, Head DR, Hugh TB, Henshall SM, Sutherland RL (2001). Overexpression of p21 WAF1/CIP1 is an early event in the development of pancreatic intraepithelial neoplasia. Cancer Res 61, 8830–8837.
- Blagosklonny MV (2002). Are P27 and P21 cytoplasmic oncoproteins? Cell Cycle 1, 391–393.
- Bleau AM, Hambardzumyan D, Ozawa T, Fomchenko EI, Huse JT, Brennan CW, Holland EC (2009). PTEN/PI3K/Akt pathway regulates the side population phenotype and ABCG2 activity in glioma tumor stem-like cells. Cell Stem Cell 4, 226–235.
- Boddapati N, Anbarasu K, Suryaraja R, Tendulkar AV, Mahalingam S (2012). Subcellular distribution of the human putative nucleolar Gtpase Gnl1 is regulated by a novel arginine/lysine-rich domain and a GTP binding domain in a cell cycle-dependent manner. J Mol Biol 416, 346–366.
- Campbell RA, Bhat-Nakshatri P, Patel NM, Constantinidou D, Ali S, Nakshatri H (2001). Phosphatidylinositol 3-kinase/AKT-mediated activation of estrogen receptor α: a new model for anti-estrogen resistance. J Biol Chem 276, 9817–9824.
- Cheng M, Olivier P, Diehl JA, Fero M, Roussel MF, Roberts JM, Sherr CJ (1999). The P21(Cip1) and P27(Kip1) CDK 'inhibitors' are essential activators of cyclin D-dependent kinases in murine fibroblasts. EMBO J 18, 1571–1583.
- Cheng T, Rodrigues N, Shen H, Yang YG, Dombkowski D, Sykes M, Scadden DT (2000). Hematopoietic stem cell quiescence maintained by P21(Cip1/Waf1). Science 287, 1804–1809.
- Chennupati V, Datta D, Rao MRS, Boddapati N, Kayasani M, Sankaranarayanan R, Mishra M, Seth P, Mani C, Mahalingam S (2011). Signals and pathways regulating nucleolar retention of novel putative nucleolar GTPase NGP-1(GNL-2). Biochemistry 50, 4521–4536.
- Cheung TH, Lo KWK, Yu MMY, Yim SF, Poon CS, Chung TKH, Wong YF (2001). Aberrant Expression of P21WAF1/CIP1 and P27KIP1 in cervical carcinoma. Cancer Lett 172, 93–98.
- Child ES, Mann DJ (2006). The Intricacies of P21 phosphorylation: protein/ protein interactions, subcellular localization and stability. Cell Cycle doi:10.4161/cc.5.12.2863.
- Datta D, Anbarasu K, Rajabather S, Priya RS, Desai P, Mahalingam S (2015). Nucleolar GTP-binding protein-1 (NGP-1) promotes G1to S phase transition by activating cyclin-dependent kinase inhibitor P21Cip1/Waf1. J Biol Chem. doi:10.1074/jbc.M115.637280.

Datta SR, Dudek H, Xu T, Masters S, Haian Fu, Gotoh Y, Greenberg ME (1997). Akt phosphorylation of BAD couples survival signals to the cellintrinsic death machinery. Cell 91, 231–241.

Delcourt V, Franck J, Leblanc E, Narducci F, Robin YM, Gimeno JP, Quanico J, Wisztorski M, Kobeissy F, Jacques JF, *et al.* (2017). Combined mass spectrometry imaging and top-down microproteomics reveals evidence of a hidden proteome in ovarian cancer. EBioMedicine 21, 55–64.

El-Deiry WS, Tokino T, Velculescu VE, Levy DB, Parsons R, Trent JM, Lin D, Edward Mercer W, Kinzler KW, Vogelstein B (1993). WAF1, a potential mediator of P53 tumor suppression. Cell 75, 817–825.

Elledge RM, Allred DC (1998). Prognostic and predictive value of P53 and P21 in breast cancer. Breast Cancer Res Treat. doi:10.102 3/A:1006163101948.

Enokido Y, Araki T, Tanaka K, Aizawa S, Hatanaka H (1996). Involvement of *P53* in DNA strand break-induced apoptosis in postmitotic CNS neurons. Eur J Neurosci 8, 1812–1821.

Essers PB, Pereboom TC, Goos YJ, Paridaen JT, MacInnes AW (2014). A comparative study of nucleostemin family members in zebrafish reveals specific roles in ribosome biogenesis. Dev Biol 385, 304–315.

Fang ZQ, Zang WD, Chen R, Ye BW, Wang XW, Yi SH, Chen W, He F, Ye G (2013). Gene expression profile and enrichment pathways in different stages of bladder cancer. Genet Mol Res 12, 1479–1489.

Ferrandina G, Stoler A, Fagotti A, Fanfani F, Sacco R, De Pasqua A, Mancuso S, Scambia G (2000). P21WAF1/CIP1 protein expression in primary ovarian cancer. Int J Oncol 17, 1231–1235.

Gao J, Li WXi, Feng SiQ, Yuan YS, Wan DaF, Han W, Yu Y (2008). A proteinprotein interaction network of transcription factors acting during liver cell proliferation. Genomics 91, 347–355.

Germain M, Affar ElB, D'Amours D, Dixit VM, Salvesen GS, Poirier GG (1999). Cleavage of automodified poly(ADP-ribose) polymerase during apoptosis. evidence for involvement of Caspase-7. J Biol Chem 274, 28379–28384.

Ghannam-Shahbari D, Jacob E, Kakun RR, Wasserman T, Korsensky L, Sternfeld O, Kagan J, Bublik DR, Aviel-Ronen S, Levanon K, et al. (2018). PAX8 activates a P53-P21-dependent pro-proliferative effect in high grade serous ovarian carcinoma. Oncogene 37, 2213–2224.

Graham J, Muhsin M, Kirkpatrick P (2004). Oxaliplatin. Market Analysis. Nat Rev Drug Discov doi:10.1038/nrd1287.

Harper JW, Elledge SJ, Keyomarsi K, Dynlacht B, Tsai LH, Zhang P, Dobrowolski S, Bai C, Connell-Crowley L, Swindell E, et al. (1995). Inhibition of cyclin-dependent kinases by P21. Mol Biol Cell 6, 387–400.

Héliez C, Baricault L, Barboule N, Valette A (2003). Paclitaxel increases P21 synthesis and accumulation of its AKT-phosphorylated form in the cytoplasm of cancer cells. Oncogene 22, 3260–3268.

Herceg Z, Wang ZQi (2001). Functions of poly(ADP-ribose) polymerase (PARP) in DNA repair, genomic integrity and cell death. Mutat Res. doi:10.1016/S0027-5107(01)00111-7.

Jensen KB, Jones J, Watt FM (2008). A stem cell gene expression profile of human squamous cell carcinomas. Cancer Lett 272, 23–31.

Johannessen LE, Knardal SL, Madshus IH (1999). Epidermal growth factor increases the level of the cyclin-dependent kinase (CDK) inhibitor P21/ CIP1 (CDK-interacting protein 1) in A431 cells by increasing the half-lives of the P21/CIP1 transcript and the P21/CIP1 protein. Biochem J 337, 599–606.

Jung JM, Bruner JM, Ruan S, Langford LA, Kyritsis AP, Kobayashi T, Levin VA, Zhang W (1995). Increased levels of P21(WAF1)/(Cip1) in human brain tumors. Oncogene 11, 2021–2028.

Kafienah W, Mistry S, Williams C, Hollander AP (2006). Nucleostemin is a marker of proliferating stromal stem cells in adult human bone marrow. Stem Cells 24, 1113–1120.

Kaufmann SH, Desnoyers S, Ottaviano Y, Davidson NE, Poirier GG (1993). Specific proteolytic cleavage of poly(ADP-ribose) polymerase: an early marker of chemotherapy-induced apoptosis. Cancer Res 53, 3976-3985.

Keramaris E, Stefanis L, MacLaurin J, Harada N, Takaku K, Ishikawa TO, Taketo MM, Robertson GS, Nicholson DW, Slack RS, Park DS (2000). Involvement of Caspase 3 in apoptotic death of cortical neurons evoked by DNA damage. Mol Cell Neurosci 15, 368–379.

Kim Y, Starostina NG, Kipreos ET (2008). The CRL4Cdt2 ubiquitin ligase targets the degradation of P21Cip1 to control replication licensing. Genes Dev 22, 2507–2519.

Kops GJPL, De Ruiter ND, De Vries-Smits AMM, Powell DR, Bos JL, Th Burgering BM (1999). Direct control of the forkhead transcription factor AFX by protein kinase B. Nature 398, 630–634.

Koster R, Di Pietro A, Timmer-Bosscha H, Gibcus JH, Van Den Berg A, Suurmeijer AJ, Bischoff R, Gietema JA, De Jong S (2010). Cytoplasmic P21 expression levels determine cisplatin resistance in human testicular cancer. J Clin Invest 120, 3594–3605.

Krishnan R, Boddapati N, Mahalingam S (2018). Interplay between human nucleolar GNL1 and RPS20 is critical to modulate cell proliferation. Sci Rep 8, 1–16.

Labaer J, Garrett MD, Stevenson LF, Slingerland JM, Sandhu C, Chou HS, Fattaey A, Harlow ED (1997). New functional activities for the P21 family of CDK inhibitors. Genes Dev 11, 847–862.

Lawlor MA, Rotwein P (2000). Insulin-like growth factor-mediated muscle cell survival: central roles for Akt and cyclin-dependent kinase inhibitor P21. Mol Cell Biol 20, 8983–8995.

Li Y, Dowbenko D, Lasky LA (2002). AKT/PKB phosphorylation of P21Cip/ WAF1 enhances protein stability of P21Cip/WAF1 and promotes cell survival. J Biol Chem 277, 11352–11361.

Liu SJ, Cai ZW, Liu YJ, Dong MY, Sun LiQ, Hu GF, Wei YY, De Lao W (2004). Role of Nucleostemin in Growth Regulation of Gastric Cancer, Liver Cancer and Other Malignancies. World J Gastroenterol 10, WJG Press: 1246–1249.

Liu LF, Desai SD, Li T-K, Mao Y, Sun M, Sim S-P (2006). Mechanism of action of camptothecin. Ann N Y Acad Sci 922, 1–10.

Meng L, Hsu JK, Tsai RYL (2011). GNL3L Depletion destabilizes MDM2 and induces P53-dependent G2/M arrest. Oncogene 30, 1716–1726.

Meng L, Lin T, Tsai RYL (2008). Nucleoplasmic mobilization of nucleostemin stabilizes MDM2 and promotes G2-M progression and cell survival. J Cell Sci 121, 4037–4046.

Mizuno H, Kitada K, Nakai K, Sarai A (2009). PrognoScan: a new database for meta-analysis of the prognostic value of genes. BMC Med Genomics 2, 18.

Morris EJ, Keramaris E, Rideout HJ, Slack RS, Dyson NJ, Stefanis L, Park DS (2001). Cyclin-dependent kinases and P53 pathways are activated independently and mediate Bax activation in neurons after DNA damage. J Neurosci 21, 5017–5026. doi:10.1523/jneurosci.21-14-05017.2001.

Nicholson DW, Ali A, Thornberry NA, Vaillancourt JP, Ding CK, Gallant M, Gareau Y, Griffin PR, Labelle M, Lazebnik YA, et al. (1995). Identification and inhibition of the ICE/CED-3 protease necessary for mammalian apoptosis. Nature 376, 37–43.

Park JK, Cho CH, Ramachandran S, Shin SJ, Kwon SH, Kwon SY, Cha SD (2006). Augmentation of sodium butyrate-induced apoptosis by phosphatidylinositol 3-kinase inhibition in the human cervical cancer cell-line. Cancer Res Treat 38, 112.

Pérez-Tenorio G, Stål O, Arnesson LG, Malmström A, Nordenskjöld B, Nordenskjöld K, Bång H, et al. (2002). Activation of Akt/PKB in breast cancer predicts a worse outcome among endocrine treated patients. Br J Cancer 86, 540–545.

Pérez-Tenorio G, Berglund F, Merca AE, Nordenskjöld Bo, Rutqvist LE, Skoog L, Stål O (2006). Cytoplasmic P21WAF1/CIP1 correlates with Akt activation and poor response to tamoxifen in breast cancer. Int J Oncol 28, 1031–1042.

Polyak K, Waldman T, He TC, Kinzler KW, Vogelstein B (1996). Genetic determinants of P53-induced apoptosis and growth arrest. Genes Dev 10, 1945–1952.

Rey MJ, Fernández PL, Jares P, Muñoz M, Nadal A, Peiró N, Nayach I, Mallofré C, Muntané J, Campo E, et al. (1998). P21(WAF1/Cip1) is associated with cyclin D1(CCND1) expression and tubular differentiation but is independent of P53 overexpression in human breast carcinoma. J Pathol 184, 265–271.

Rudolf E, Cervinka M, Rudolf K (2011). Camptothecin induces P53-dependent and -independent apoptogenic signaling in melanoma cells. Apoptosis 16, 1165–1176.

Shah A, Swain WA, Richardson D, Edwards J, Stewart DJ, Richardson CM, Swinson DEB, Patel D, Louise Jones J, O'Byrne KJ (2005). Phospho-Akt expression is associated with a favorable outcome in non-small cell lung cancer. Clin Cancer Res 11, 2930–2936.

Shiohara M, El-Deiry WS, Wada M, Nakamaki T, Takeuchi S, Yang R, Chen DL, Vogelstein B, Phillip Koeffler H (1994). Absence of WAF1 mutations in a variety of human malignancies. Blood 84, 3781–3784.

Sinnberg T, Lasithiotakis K, Niessner H, Schittek B, Flaherty KT, Kulms D, MacZey E, Campos M, Gogel J, Garbe C, et al. (2009). Inhibition of PI3K-AKT-MTOR signaling sensitizes melanoma cells to cisplatin and temozolomide. J Invest Dermatol 129, 1500–1515.

Suzuki A, Tsutomi Y, Akahane K, Araki T, Miura M (1998). Resistance to Fas-mediated apoptosis: activation of caspase 3 is regulated by cell cycle regulator P21(WAF1) and IAP gene family ILP. Oncogene 17, 931–939.

Suzuki A, Tsutomi Y, Miura M, Akahane K (1999). Caspase 3 inactivation to suppress Fas-mediated apoptosis: identification of binding domain with P21 and ILP and inactivation machinery by P21. Oncogene 18, 1239–1244.

Tewari M, Quan LT, O'Rourke K, Desnoyers S, Zeng Z, Beidler DR, Poirier GG, Salvesen GS, Dixit VM (1995). Yama/CPP32β, a mammalian homolog of CED-3, is a CrmA-inhibitable protease that cleaves the death substrate poly(ADP-ribose) polymerase. Cell 81, 801–809.

Thoompumkal IJ, Rao MRKS, Kumaraswamy A, Krishnan R, Mahalingam S (2015). GNL3L is a nucleo-cytoplasmic shuttling protein: role in cell cycle regulation. PLoS One 10, e0135845.

Thoompumkal IJ, Rehna K, Anbarasu K, Mahalingam S (2016). Leucine zipper down-regulated in cancer-1 (LDOC1) interacts with guanine nucleotide binding protein-like 3-like (GNL3L) to modulate nuclear factor-kappa B (NF-KB) signaling during cell proliferation. Cell Cycle 15, 3251–3267.

Tian H, Wittmack EK, Jorgensen TJ (2000). P21(WAF1/CIP1) Antisense therapy radiosensitizes human colon cancer by converting growth arrest to apoptosis. Cancer Res 60, 679–684.

Toscano F, Parmentier B, Fajoui ZE, Estornes Y, Chayvialle JA, Saurin JC, Abello J (2007). p53 dependent and independent sensitivity to oxaliplatin of colon cancer cells. Biochem Pharmacol 74, 392–406.

Touitou R, Richardson J, Bose S, Nakanishi M, Rivett J, Allday MJ (2001). A degradation signal located in the C-terminus of P21WAF1/CIP1 is a binding site for the C8 α -subunit of the 20S proteasome. EMBO J 20, 2367–2375.

Vernet C, Ribouchon MT, Chimini G, Pontarotti P (1994). Structure and evolution of a member of a new subfamily of GTP-binding proteins mapping to the human MHC class I region. Mamm Genome 5, 100–105.

Vincent AJ, Ren S, Harris LG, Devine DJ, Samant RS, Fodstad O, Shevde LA (2012). Cytoplasmic translocation of P21 mediates NUPR1-induced chemoresistance: NUPR1 and P21 in chemoresistance. FEBS Lett 586, 3429–3434.

Wakasugi E, Kobayashi T, Tamaki Y, Ito Y, Miyashiro I, Komoike Y, Takeda T, Shin E, Takatsuka Y, Kikkawa N, *et al.* (1997). P21(Waf1/Cip1) and P53 protein expression in breast cancer. Am J Clin Pathol 107, 684–691.

Waldman T, Lengauer C, Kinzler KW, Vogelstein B (1996). Uncoupling of S phase and mitosis induced by anticancer agents in cells lacking P21. Nature 381, 713–716.

Waldman T, Zhang Y, Dillehay L, Yu J, Kinzler K, Vogelstein B, Williams J (1997). Cell-cycle arrest versus cell death in cancer therapy. Nat Med 3, 1034–1036. Weiss RH (2003). P21Waf1/Cip1 as a therapeutic target in breast and other cancers. Cancer Cell doi:10.1016/S1535-6108(03)00308-8.

Williams AB, Schumacher B (2016). P53 in the DNA-damage-repair process. Cold Spring Harb Perspect Med 6, doi:10.1101/cshperspect.a026070.

Winters ZE, Turley H, Harris AL, Norbury CJ, Hunt NC, Bradburn MJ, Royds JA (2001). Subcellular localisation of Cyclin B, Cdc2 and P21WAF1/ CIP1 in breast cancer: association with prognosis. Eur J Cancer 37, 2405–2412.

Wu J, Yang Y, Gao S, Jiang H, Wang XQ, Xiao Y, Chen XH, Li Pu, Xu CDi (2017). erp29 inhibits tumorigenicity by suppressing epithelial mesenchymal transition in gastric cancer. Oncotarget 8, 78757–7866.

Xia W, Chen JS, Zhou X, Sun PR, Lee DF, Liao Y, Zhou BP, Hung MC (2004). Phosporylation/cytoplasmic localization of P21Cip1/WAF1 is associated with HER2/Neu overexpression and provides a novel combination predictor for poor prognosis in breast cancer patients. Clin Cancer Res 10, 3815–3824.

Yao R, Cooper GM (1995). Requirement for phosphatidylinositol-3 kinase in the prevention of apoptosis by nerve growth factor. Science 267, 2003–2006.

Ye J, Huang J, Xu J, Huang Q, Wang J, Zhong W, Lin X, Li Y, Lin Xu (2017). ERp29 controls invasion and metastasis of gastric carcinoma by inhibition of epithelial-mesenchymal transition via PI3K/Aktsignaling pathway. BMC Cancer 17, 626–626.

Yuste L, Montero JC, Esparís-Ogando A, Pandiella A (2005). Activation of ErbB2 by overexpression or by transmembrane neuregulin results in differential signaling and sensitivity to herceptin. Cancer Res 65, 6801–6810.

Zhan J, Easton JB, Huang S, Mishra A, Xiao L, Lacy ER, Kriwacki RW, Houghton PJ (2007). Negative regulation of ASK1 by P21Cip1 involves a small domain that includes serine 98 that is phosphorylated by ASK1 in vivo. Mol Cell Biol 27, 3530–3541.

Zhang Z, Wang H, Li M, Agrawal S, Chen X, Zhang R (2004). MDM2 is a negative regulator of P21WAF1/CIP1, independent of P53. J Biol Chem 279, 16000–16006.

Zhou BP, Liao Y, Xia W, Spohn B, Lee MH, Hung MC (2001). Cytoplasmic localization of P21 CIP1/WAF1 by Akt-induced phosphorylation in HER-2/ Neu-overexpressing cells. Nat Cell Biol 3, 245–252.

ETOC:

GNL1 inhibits cell apoptosis and promotes cell growth by inducing cytoplasmic retention and stabilization of p21 through AKT-mediated phosphorylation. Our data elucidate a novel GNL1/AKT/p21 signaling cascade to provide a growth benefit to cancer cells and this may be an ideal target for cancer therapeutic intervention.