



Transcriptional Reorganization of Drosophila Motor Neurons and Their Muscular Junctions toward a Neuroendocrine Phenotype by the bHLH Protein Dimmed

Jiangnan Luot[‡], Yiting Liu[‡] and Dick R. Nässel*

Department of Zoology, Stockholm University, Stockholm, Sweden

OPEN ACCESS

Edited by: Detlev Boison, Legacy Health, United States

Reviewed by:

Alberto Ferrus, Consejo Superior de Investigaciones Científicas (CSIC), Spain Philip Forsyth Copenhaver, Oregon Health and Science University, United States

> *Correspondence: Dick R. Nässel dnassel@zoologi.su.se

> > [†]Present address:

Jiangnan Luo, Laboratory of Gene Regulation and Development, National Institute of Child Health & Human Development, National Institutes of Health, Bethesda, MD, United States

[‡]These authors have contributed equally to this work.

Received: 05 July 2017 Accepted: 31 July 2017 Published: 14 August 2017

Citation:

Luo J, Liu Y and Nässel DR (2017) Transcriptional Reorganization of Drosophila Motor Neurons and Their Muscular Junctions toward a Neuroendocrine Phenotype by the bHLH Protein Dimmed. Front. Mol. Neurosci. 10:260. doi: 10.3389/fnmol.2017.00260 Neuroendocrine cells store and secrete bulk amounts of neuropeptides, and display morphological and molecular characteristics distinct from neurons signaling with classical neurotransmitters. In Drosophila the transcription factor Dimmed (Dimm), is a prime organizer of neuroendocrine capacity in a majority of the peptidergic neurons. These neurons display large cell bodies and extensive axon terminations that commonly do not form regular synapses. We ask which molecular compartments of a neuron are affected by Dimm to generate these morphological features. Thus, we ectopically expressed Dimm in glutamatergic, Dimm-negative, motor neurons and analyzed their characteristics in the central nervous system and the neuromuscular junction. Ectopic Dimm results in motor neurons with enlarged cell bodies, diminished dendrites, larger axon terminations and boutons, as well as reduced expression of synaptic proteins both pre and post-synaptically. Furthermore, the neurons display diminished vesicular glutamate transporter, and signaling components known to sustain interactions between the developing axon termination and muscle, such as wingless and frizzled are down regulated. Ectopic co-expression of Dimm and the insulin receptor augments most of the above effects on the motor neurons. In summary, ectopic Dimm expression alters the glutamatergic motor neuron phenotype toward a neuroendocrine one, both preand post-synaptically. Thus, Dimm is a key organizer of both secretory capacity and morphological features characteristic of neuroendocrine cells, and this transcription factor affects also post-synaptic proteins.

Keywords: transcription factor, neuromuscular junction, synapses, glutamate signaling, neuroendocrine cell

INTRODUCTION

Neurons display a large variety of shapes, complexity of connectivity and molecular characteristics. For instance, motor neurons are characterized by a high precision in synaptic connections to specific muscles, possess extensive dendritic arborizations with precise somatotopic arrangements, and utilize small molecule neurotransmitters such as acetylcholine or glutamate (Budnik, 1996; Keshishian et al., 1996; Collins and DiAntonio, 2007; Menon et al., 2013; Darabid et al., 2014). In contrast, neuroendocrine cells are commonly peptidergic and do not form specific synaptic connections with their targets, but their axons terminate in diffuse areas for paracrine or hormonal release (Park et al., 2008; Nässel, 2009; Hamanaka et al., 2010; Nässel and Winther, 2010). Thus, we

can distinguish efferent neurons of two main types, motor neurons and neuroendocrine cells with peripheral axon terminations. Although both may target muscle fibers, they display substantial molecular and morphological differences both centrally and peripherally. We ask here how the differentiation of these distinctive neuron types is regulated?

It has been established in Drosophila that the differentiation of upscaled neuroendocrine capacity in neurons is orchestrated by the bHLH transcription factor Dimmed (Dimm) (Hewes et al., 2003; Hamanaka et al., 2010; Park et al., 2011). Dimm confers a secretory phenotype to neuroendocrine cells that ensures increased capacity for neuropeptide production, storage, and release. This includes large cell bodies with well-developed endoplasmic reticulum and Golgi, enlarged storage capacity for neurosecretory vesicles associated with an upregulation of a host of specific proteins in the neuron (Hewes et al., 2003; Hamanaka et al., 2010; Hadzic et al., 2015). One intriguing finding was that ectopic Dimm suppresses expression of small molecule neurotransmitters, thereby seemingly promoting a peptidergic secretory phenotype (Hamanaka et al., 2010). We ask here whether Dimm also regulates changes in morphology of the neurons and their synaptic structures to further complete the neuroendocrine phenotype. To address this question we ectopically expressed Dimm in glutamatergic motor neurons that innervate specific body wall muscles in larval Drosophila. Thus, we investigated whether Dimm expression is sufficient to orchestrate a transformation of the motor neuron to a neuroendocrine cell, not only in terms of secretory capacity, but also with respect to morphology, synaptic structures, and other molecular characteristics.

In Drosophila motor neurons of the embryo and larvae are well-characterized in terms of lineages, central arborizations and muscle innervation patterns (Keshishian et al., 1996; Landgraf et al., 1997, 2003; Landgraf and Thor, 2006). There are relatively few motor neurons, and they are individually identifiable, have specific target muscle and are iterated in several segments of the organism. Thus, Drosophila motor neurons are excellent for analysis of developmental plasticity of synapse formation (Budnik, 1996; Keshishian et al., 1996; Collins and DiAntonio, 2007; Frank et al., 2013; Menon et al., 2013). Furthermore, the pre and post-synaptic features of the motor neuron terminations in muscles are characterized in detail, and the Drosophila neuromuscular junction (NMJ) therefore serves as an excellent genetic model for the development and plasticity in glutamatergic synapses in general (Budnik, 1996; Marrus et al., 2004; Rasse et al., 2005; Collins and DiAntonio, 2007; Menon et al., 2013). This well-established model NMJ is therefore excellent for our analysis of Dimm-induced transcriptional changes of neuron characteristics.

Our study shows that *Dimm* mis-expression is sufficient to cause a loss of glutamatergic phenotype in motor neurons and that this transformation also changes presynaptic structures of the axon termination as well as post-synaptic ones in the target muscle. Thus, the neurons lose presynaptic vesicular glutamate transporter (vGluT), bruchpilot, synapsin, synaptotagmin and post-synaptic disks large and glutamate receptors GluRIIA and B, all characteristic of *Drosophila* motor neurons. Interactions

between the axon termination and muscle cell appear disrupted resulting in loss of wingless signaling components and formation of presynaptic filopodia-like structures. Furthermore, the *Dimm*misexpressing motor neurons display morphologies similar to peptidergic efferent neuroendocrine cells and start to express several proteins known to be transcriptional targets of Dimm. In summary, we show that transcriptional orchestration by Dimm is sufficient to trigger a transformation of the NMJ toward a neuroendocrine phenotype both at the molecular and the morphological levels.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Fly Strains and Husbandry

All parental flies and larvae were reared at 25° C on a standard yeast, corn meal, agar medium, under 12:12 h light:dark conditions. Flies with other original backgrounds were backcrossed into w^{1118} background for four generations before experiments.

The following Gal4 lines were used:

w; *Lk*-Gal4 (II) (gift from P. Herrero, Madrid, Spain),

w; Sco/Cyo; *RRa*-mcd8-GFP (Fujioka et al., 2003) (from R. Baines, Manchester, United Kingdom),

w; Ok6-Gal4;UAS-*Myc*^{Ric}/TM3Sb, and w; UAS-*mcd8-gfp*/Cyo; D42-Gal4 (from A. Ferrus, Madrid, Spain).

The following UAS lines were employed:

w; UAS-*vGluT*-RNAi (II) [(104324) from The Vienna *Drosophila* Resource Center (VDRC), Vienna, Austria].

yw; UAS-*vGluT*-RNAi (III) (27538), yw; UAS-*dInR* (II), yw;UAS-*mcd8-gfp* (III) [all from Bloomington *Drosophila* Stock Center (BDSC), Bloomington, IN, United States], w; UAS-*PI3K* (from A. Ferrus),

yw; UAS-*dimm-Myc* (III) (from P. H. Taghert, St. Louis, MO, United States),

w; UAS-*InR*; UAS-*dimm-Myc* (a recombination made in this lab),

w; If/Cyo, Dfd-GMR-YFP; RN2-FLP14B, tub < CD2 < Gal4, UAS-myr::mRFP1(2x)/Tm6b, Sb, Dfd-GMR-YFP (from M. Landgraf, Cambridge, United Kingdom).

Antisera and Immunocytochemistry

The central nervous system (CNS) of first and third instar larvae as well as first and third instar larval body wall muscles were dissected in 0.1 M sodium phosphate buffer (PB; pH 7.4) and fixed in ice-cold 4% paraformaldehyde (4% PFA) in 0.1 M PB for 2–4 h. For anti-GluRIIA staining, the tissues were fixed in ice-cold 100% methanol for 10 min and rehydrated with 70, 50, and 20% methanol each for 5 min. All tissues were rinsed with 0.1 M PB three times over 1 h and washed finally in 0.01 M PBS with 0.25% Triton-X (PBS-Tx) for 15 min before application of primary antisera. The primary antisera were diluted in 0.01 M PBS-Tx with 0.05% sodium azide. Incubation with primary antiserum for whole tissues was performed for 24–48 h at 4°C with gentle agitation. For anti-Evi-N, anti-GluRIIB and anti-Wg staining, third instar larval body wall muscles were fixed in Bouin's fixation for 20 min and washed intensively with 0.01 M PBS after fixation. All tissues were rinsed thoroughly with PBS-Tx, followed by application of secondary antibody overnight and thorough wash in 0.01 M PBS and then mounted in 80% glycerol in 0.01 M PBS.

For embryo analysis eggs were collected on food plates with apple juice at 25°C. After washing, embryos were dechorionated with 3% sodium hypochlorite for 2-3 min and blotted on paper to remove excess liquid. Embryos were fixed for 20 min with a 1:1 mixture of heptane and fresh 4% formaldehyde and devitellinized with ice-cold methanol for 30 s to 1 min. For immunostaining, embryos were rehydrated in 50% methanol for 5 min and washed 3 min \times 5 min in PBST and then 4 min \times 20 min in PBST with 0.5% NGS (normal goat serum). Incubation of primary antiserum was performed over night at 4°C with gentle agitation. Embryos were washed 3 min \times 5 min and then 4 min \times 20 min in PBST, and finally 30 min in PBS followed by application of secondary antiserum overnight at 4°C. For mounting, embryos were dehydrated 10 min each in 50, 70, 80, and $2 \times 99\%$ ethanol. Then embryos were clarified by replacing ethanol with methyl salicylate and settled for 30 min. Finally embryos were mounted in newly exchanged methyl salicylate.

The following primary antisera were used: guinea pig anti-Dimm (1:2000) [(Allan et al., 2005) from P. Taghert, St. Louis, MO, United States], rabbit anti-vGluT (1:1000) (from H. Aberle, Münster, Germany) (Mahr and Aberle, 2006), rabbit anti-horseradish peroxidase (1:500) (anti-HRP, # 323-005-021, Jackson ImmunoResearch), rabbit anti-proctolin (1:1000) (Taylor et al., 2004), mouse anti-synapsin (3C11) (1:100) mouse antinc82 (1:50), mouse anti-GluRIIA (8B4D2) (1:100), mouse antisynaptotagmin (3H2 2D7) (1:10) from Developmental Studies Hybridoma Bank, Iowa, guinea pig anti-maelstrom (1:500) (Pek et al., 2009) from T. Kai, Univ. Singapore, guinea pig anti-CAT-4 (1:2000) (Park et al., 2011) and anti-PHM (1:750) (Jiang et al., 2000) both from P. Taghert, rabbit anti-GluRIIB (1:2500) from A. DiAntonio, St. Louis, MO, United States rabbit anti-Wg (1:500), rabbit anti-dfrizzled 2 (anti-DFz2C; 1:500), rabbit anti-Evi-Nex (1:100) all three from V. Budnik and J. Ashley, Worcester, MA, United States (Korkut et al., 2009), mouse monoclonal anti-disks large (Dlg; 1:2000) from DSHB (Parnas et al., 2001) and mouse monoclonal antibody to cysteine string protein (CSP) (Zinsmaier et al., 1990) was a gift from Dr. Eric Buchner (Würzburg, Germany).

To detect GFP we used rabbit, mouse and chicken anti-GFP (1:1000) (Invitrogen, Carlsbad, CA, United States), rat anti-GFP (1:1000) (Abcam, Cambridge, United Kingdom), and rabbit anti-RFP (1:5000) (Invitrogen). For detection of primary antisera the following secondary antisera were used: goat antirabbit Alexa 488, goat anti-mouse Alexa 488, goat anti-rat Alexa 488, goat anti-chicken Alexa 488, goat anti-rabbit Alexa 546, goat anti-mouse Alexa 546, goat anti-mouse Alexa 649, cy5 goat anti-rabbit (all from Invitrogen), Cy3-tagged goat antirat antiserum and Cy3-tagged goat anti-guinea pig antiserum (Jackson ImmunoResearch, West Grove, PA, United States) were all used at a dilution of 1:1000. Rhodamine-phalloidin (Invitrogen) was employed at a dilution of 1:500 to stain muscle. DNA was visualized with 4',6-Diamidine-2-phenylindole (DAPI; Sigma) at 1:2000.

Image Analysis

Specimens were imaged with a Zeiss LSM 780 confocal microscope (Jena, Germany) using 20x, 40x, or 63x oil immersion objectives. Confocal images were processed with Zeiss LSM software for either projection of z-stacks or single optical sections. Images were in some cases edited for contrast and brightness in Adobe Photoshop CS3 Extended version 10.0.

For quantification of immunofluorescence confocal images of neurons from different genotypes were obtained with identical laser intensity and scan settings. Immunofluorescence intensity in both cell bodies and tissue background was quantified in a set of regions of interest using Image J 1.40 from NIH, Bethesda, MD, United States¹ as described in Luo et al. (2013) and Liu et al. (2016). Mean fluorescence of projections of each cell body and image background were measured and the final immunofluorescence intensity in cell bodies was determined by subtracting the intensity of the tissue background. More specifically, for determining the background fluorescence intensity, 10 areas widely distributed on the muscle 1 (M1), but not on the aCC axon terminals, were randomly selected, and their mean fluorescent intensities were quantified using Image J. The average fluorescent intensity was calculated and used as background fluorescence. Total fluorescence of cell bodies was calculated as mean fluorescence multiplied with cell size in some cases. For each genotype neurons in 5-15 animals were measured.

For size determination, the outline of each cell body was extracted and its area determined using Image J. For each genotype neurons in 5–15 larvae from three independent crosses were measured. For determination of axon and arborization size in larval body wall muscle, staining of NMJ in M1-2 from the third segment was imaged and then quantified by outlining of each axon using Image J. For each genotype neurons in at least five body walls were measured. Boutons from mCD8-GFPlabeled axon terminals from the third segment were counted, and the area of each bouton was manually outlined and quantified using Image J. The average bouton size from individual axon terminals was calculated and the data set from 5 to 15 larvae of each genotype was plotted. The data were analyzed in Prism GraphPad 6.0, with Student's t-test, one way ANOVA followed by Dunnett's multiple comparison or two way ANOVA followed by Tukey's multiple comparison. All data are presented as mean values \pm SEM.

RESULTS

Characteristics of Glutamatergic Motor Neurons and Efferent Peptidergic Neuroendocrine Cells

Since we are interested in transcriptional changes that may shift a glutamatergic motor neuron toward a phenotype typical

¹http://rsb.info.nih.gov/ij/

of efferent peptidergic neurons (neuroendocrine cells), we first highlight the characteristics of these two types of neurons.

Glutamatergic motor neurons in the larval ventral nerve cord have segmentally arranged cell bodies with relatively large diameters. Their dendrites are distinct with spatially well-defined wide arborizations, their axon terminations are restricted to specific muscles and their presynaptic boutons are large and numerous (Keshishian et al., 1996; Landgraf et al., 1997; Sanchez-Soriano et al., 2005; Landgraf and Thor, 2006; Kim et al., 2009). We primarily analyzed a specific motor neuron type in abdominal neuromeres, the aCC neurons, that innervate body wall muscle 1 (M1), which in late third instar larvae are labeled by the RRa-Gal4 driver (Fujioka et al., 2003; Lin et al., 2012) (**Figures 1A,B**). Importantly, the larval motor neurons, including the aCC neurons, do not express Dimm (Park et al., 2008) (see **Figures 1E-G**).

In contrast, the efferent peptidergic neurons commonly have poorly defined dendrites, and extensive thin axon terminations with small boutons (Budnik, 1996; Keshishian et al., 1996; Santos et al., 2007; Nässel and Winther, 2010). These terminations are not always muscle specific, and may also have additional targets. Here, as a reference, we show the segmental abdominal efferent neurons expressing the peptide leucokinin (LK) (see Supplementary Figure 1). These neurons designated ABLK neurons, specified by an Lk-Gal4 driver (de Haro et al., 2010), associate primarily with larval body wall muscle 8 (M8), but may also supply short branches to adjacent muscles (Cantera and Nässel, 1992; Luo et al., 2013). The axons of the ABLK terminations associated with M8 are thin with small boutons (Supplementary Figure 1).

It should be noted that although several types of larval motor neurons express the neuropeptide proctolin (Anderson et al., 1988; Taylor et al., 2004), the aCC neurons do not (Supplementary Figure 2). However, the adjacent large motor neurons RP2 do produce proctolin, although with some variability (Supplementary Figure 2). Proctolin is a non-amidated peptide that does not seem to require Dimm or PHM for its expression (Park et al., 2008). In support of this we found here that both aCC and RP2 neurons are indeed Dimm negative (**Figures 1E-G**).

Ectopic Expression of *Dimm* in Motor Neurons Affects the Size of Cell Bodies, Dendrites, and Axon Terminations

To introduce Dimm into motor neurons, we used the RRa-Gal4 driver (Fujioka et al., 2003) fused with GFP to selectively drive expression of target genes in the segmental aCC motor neurons. In third instar larvae the aCC motor neurons innervate body wall muscle 1 (M1) in the corresponding segment and have large cell bodies anteriorly in each abdominal hemi-segment of the ventral nerve cord (**Figures 1A,B**). In larvae homozygous for the RRa-Gal4 the GFP expression is additionally seen in RP2 neurons (**Figure 1C**). RP2 innervates muscles 2, 9, and 10 (**Figure 1D**). The aCC neurons form a restricted axon termination on M1 with a small number of relatively large boutons (**Figure 1B**). Furthermore, the aCC neurons have distinct dendrites along the

midline of the neuropil (in both hemispheres) of the nerve cord (**Figure 1A**). We confirmed that the aCC (and RP2) neurons are glutamatergic by immunolabeling with antiserum to a vGluT (see Supplementary Figure 4A).

Dimm mis-expression in aCC neurons was verified by detecting Dimm immunolabeling in the nuclei and cell bodies in the ganglion (Figures 1H-J). We found that the Dimm immunolabeling was further increased by simultaneously misexpressing Dimm and the insulin receptor (dInR) in the aCC neurons (not shown). We had shown previously that expressing the dInR in Dimm-positive neurons leads, not only to increased Dimm immunolabeling, but it also increased the size of the cell body (Luo et al., 2013; Liu et al., 2016). Dimm mis-expression in aCC neurons leads to a drastic increase in the size of their cell bodies (Figures 2A-C,J). This growth effect was amplified by co-expression of *Dimm* and *dInR* in the neurons, but only in abdominal segments A1 and A2 the extra growth was significant (Figures 2D,J). Since the ectopic co-expression of dInR was found to enhance the effect of Dimm on cell body size we tested the effects of Dimm+dInR in subsequent experiments with other markers to determine whether also other phenotypes were exacerbated. We, however, did not express dInR alone in the Dimm negative aCCs (except in the experiment shown in Figure 2), since our previous work suggest that ectopic dInR excerts effects on growth only in the presence of Dimm (Luo et al., 2013; Liu et al., 2016). This is confirmed in Figures 2B,F,J where aCC cell bodies and dendrites are unaffected by ectopic dInR.

In addition to the enlarged cell bodies, the ectopic Dimm and Dimm+dInR expression resulted in drastically reduced dendritic branching in the aCC neurons (Figures 2E-I). For a detailed analysis we utilized an RN2-FLP construct and mild heat shock late in the embryo to generate small, random subpopulations of aCC/RP2 neurons for driving Dimm and Dimm+dInR expression. Dendritic arborizations of single motor neurons from the same abdominal segment were compared. Overexpression of Dimm and Dimm+dInR in these motor neurons resulted in enlarged cell bodies and a nearly complete loss of dendrites (Figures 2E-H), while dInR overexpression alone did not have any impact on cell body size, or the dendritic arborizations (Figures 2B,F). We show 3-D rendering of dendrites in Figure 2I and quantifications of density of dendrite branching in Figures 2K,L.

Furthermore, ectopic *Dimm* and *Dimm*+*dInR* expression induced increases in the size and number of branches of axon terminations on M1 (**Figures 3A-C**). Also the boutons in the terminations are larger after *Dimm* mis-expression (**Figures 3D-F**). We found that the enlarged boutons frequently display thin filopodia-like protrusions over the muscle surface (**Figures 3G,H**) that were not seen in controls. Quantifications of changes in axon terminations are shown in **Figures 3I-L**. Expression of the *dInR* without co-expressing *Dimm* in aCC neurons has no effect on the boutons or axon branching of the terminations on M1 (not shown).

To examine at what time point ectopic Dimm induces altered development we analyzed embryonic aCC/RP2 neurons



FIGURE 1 | The larval aCC motor neurons are Dimm negative and terminate on abdominal muscle 1. (A) The RRa-Gal4 driver recombined with GFP displays the aCC motor neurons in the ventral nerve cord (one pair in each neuromere) of third instar larva. The aCC dendrites are seen laterally (arrow). (B) The aCC axon termination on muscle 1. One bouton is indicated by arrow. (C) Using a homozygous RRa-Gal4 driver both the anterior aCC and the posterior RP2 neurons display GFP in each segment. (D) With the homozygous RRa-Gal4 driver axon terminations are seen on muscles 1, 2, 9, and 10. The termination from aCC on muscle 1 is indicated by arrows. (E–G) The aCC and RP2 neurons are DIMM negative as seen with double labeling with anti-GFP and anti-DIMM. Scale bar in (A) is also for (F,G). (H–J) Ectopic expression of Dimm in aCC neurons (RRa-Gal4 > Dimm) triggers growth of the cell bodies and strong expression of anti-DIMM, both in nuclei and cytoplasm. Scale bar in (H) is also for (I,J).



FIGURE 2 [Ectopic expression of Dimm induces growth of aCC cell bodies and loss of growth of their dendrites. (**A–D**) The size of the aCC cell bodies is increased by ectopic expression of Dimm and Dimm combined with insulin receptor (Dimm; dlnR), but not by dlnR alone (visualized by RRa; GFP and anti-GFP). (**E–H**) The dendrites of the aCC neurons in abdominal segment A4 are reduced after expression of Dimm (**G**) and Dimm; dlnR (**H**). These images are obtained by utilizing an RN2-FLP construct and mild heat shock late in the embryo to generate small, random subpopulations of aCC/RP2 neurons for driving Dimm and Dimm+dlnR expression (visualized by red fluorescent protein). (**I**) Amira renderings of representative aCC neurons from A4 showing the ipsilateral dendrites. Note that in A4 the additional effect of dlnR expression is negligible. (**J**) Quantification of cell body sizes after ectopic expression of Dimm or Dimm+dlnR compared to controls and dlnR alone. Abdominal segments A1–A7 were analyzed; both Dimm and Dimm+dlnR expression induced significantly increased cell bodies in all segments compared to ectopic dlnm alone (blue to red bars) was only significant in A1 and A2 (p < 0.05 for A1 and p < 0.01 for A2 for A2 as assessed by unpaired *t*-test). Data are presented as means \pm SEM; with 9–12 larvae from three biological replicates. (**K**) Sholl profiles of dendrite density, reduced after Dimm and Dimm+dlnR expression. Data are presented as means \pm SEM; with 7–8 larvae from three biological replicates (*p < 0.05, ***p < 0.001, ns, not significant), as assessed by Mann–Whitney test after Shapiro–Wilk normality test.



at stages 14-16. Earlier studies have shown that axon outgrowth from aCC neurons starts at about stage 13 and reaches the muscle at about stage 16, after which growth cones start exploring the muscle fiber (Keshishian et al., 1996; Yoshihara et al., 1997). We find that the RRa-Gal4 drives GFP in aCC/RP2 motor neurons at stage 16 and that RRa-Gal4 > Dimm induces growth of cell bodies at this stage (Supplementary Figures 3A-C). At this time point we detected GFP labeled axon terminations on the body wall muscles (Supplementary Figure 3D). Effects of Dimm expression in aCC and RP2 neurons were also visible in axon terminations in late first instar larvae: axon terminations were increased (Supplementary Figures 3E,F). Since the aCC neurons find the correct muscle (and area in muscle), we assume that Dimm-induced effects on axon terminals set in around stage 16, after muscles are reached and do not affect axonal pathfinding.

Mis-expression of Dimm in Motor Neurons Diminishes Vesicular Glutamate Transporter (vGluT) and Presynaptic Proteins

Drosophila motor neurons are glutamatergic (Jan and Jan, 1976; Johansen et al., 1989) and express a number of presynaptic proteins such as for instance synapsin, synaptotagmin, and bruchpilot (see Collins and DiAntonio, 2007; Menon et al., 2013). A vGluT is required for transporting the neurotransmitter into the synaptic vesicles and serves as a reliable marker for glutamatergic neurons (Daniels et al., 2004; Mahr and Aberle, 2006). We validated that the aCC motor neurons express vGluT, and the presynaptic proteins bruchpilot (Brp), synapsin, and synaptotagmin (see **Figures 4**, **5**). As seen in Supplementary Figure 1 there is no vGluT labeling and Brp expression is very scarce in peptidergic axon terminations.

Merged

α-Bruchpilot

Merged

С

0

R



Next we examined whether *Dimm* mis-expression affect the glutamatergic phenotype of the aCC neurons using the RRa-Gal4 driver. Targeted *Dimm* expression strongly reduced vGluT immunolabeling in the cell bodies (Supplementary Figures 4A,B)

and axon terminations of aCC neurons (**Figures 4A–L**). Also the active zone protein Brp, as detected with the antiserum nc82, was drastically diminished (RRa > Dimm) or no longer detectable presynaptically (RRa > Dimm; dInR) (**Figures 4D–L**).

FIGURE 4 | Synaptic proteins are affected by ectopic Dimm expression. Note that in this and the following figures the axon terminations are shown in Z-stacks of several optic sections and thus the entire volume of the axons is commonly shown (this also means that often adjacent axon terminations on nearby muscle fibers may be depicted). (A) In control larvae the active zone protein bruchpilot (Brp) identifies pre-synaptic sites in the aCC axon termination (visualized by nc82 antibody).

α-Bruchpilot

 α -GFP

5 µm

10 µm

G

Μ

10 µm

D

RRa>w1118

RRa>w1118

RRa>Dimm

RRa>Dimm;dInR

RRa>w1118

RRa>Dimm

 α -GFP

 α -vGluT

 α -vGluT

α-Synaptotagmin

В

Е

н

K

N

Q

Luo et al



expression reduces synapsin expressing termination is seen on an adjacent muscle (arrow). Scale bar in (A) is also for (D-F). (D, E) Ecopic Dimin expression reduces synapsin immunolabeling in the aCC neurons, but not in an adjacent neuron (arrow). (G-L) The same axon terminations are shown in higher magnification. Arrows indicate adjacent GFP-negative neurons and asterisks in (K,L) indicate branches of the aCC axon termination in which synapsin immunolabeling is reduced. Note that in (I,L) a third marker is added: anti-vesicular glutamate transporter (mostly obscured by the anti-synapsin). Scale bar in (G) is also for (H-L). Data for effects of Dimm+dlnR expression on synapsin are shown in Figure 6.

Furthermore, we found that synaptotagmin immunolabeling was no longer visible (Figures 4M–R) and synapsin labeling drastically reduced (Figure 5). When driving *Dimm* expression combined with *dInR* in aCC neurons we observed the same phenotypes as above and here bruchpilot was consistently non-detectable (Figures 4J–L). Quantification of the decreases in immunolabeling is shown in Figures 6A–C. As internal control we measured the same markers in Dimm-negative boutons on the adjacent muscles 9 and 10 (Figure 6B). Thus, the *Dimm* mis-expression diminishes levels of several markers typical of glutamatergic neurons and dInR coexpression amplifies the effect.

We verified the growth of cell bodies and loss of vGluT immunolabeling using a broad motor neuron driver, OK6-Gal4, known to be expressed in most of the motor neurons and some interneurons (Sanyal, 2009). Both *Dimm* and *Dimm+dInR* mis-expression lead to diminished vGluT immunolabeling in the enlarged cell bodies of large midline motor neurons, and dInR alone produced a slight non-significant increased vGluT (Supplementary Figures 4C,D). Moreover, we noted that the effect of *Dimm* mis-expression with the OK6-driver lead to lethality where most offspring died as late third instar larvae. The few surviving larvae displayed diminished body size and resulted in small pupae, probably due to reduced food intake. Furthermore the dwarfed OK6 > *Dimm* larvae displayed abnormal crawling and a tail-up phenotype, indicating that muscle coordination was severely impaired.

Another, more specific, driver, D42-Gal4 (fused with mcd8-GFP) was used to drive *Dimm* and *Dimm+dInR* to confirm the vGluT and growth phenotype. D42 displays a broader expression pattern than the RRa-Gal4, but smaller than OK6. However, mis-expression of *Dimm* and *Dimm+dInR* using this strong driver led to lethality at late embryonic stage (data not shown).

Mis-expression of Dimm in Motor Neurons Affects Post-synaptic Structures

Since we detected a loss of vGluT and several presynaptic markers in aCC motor neurons after *Dimm* mis-expression, we next tested whether this manipulation also affected post-synaptic structures in M1. The post-synaptic portion of the NMJ is characterized by





a subsynaptic reticulum (SSR) and attached ionotropic glutamate receptors (iGluR) of a few types (Marrus et al., 2004; Collins and DiAntonio, 2007). To label the SSR we used an antiserum to disks large (DLG), a prototypic member of a family of membrane-associated guanylate kinase homologs (MAGUKs), known to be associated with the SSR (Lahey et al., 1994; Menon et al., 2013).

In the larvae with *Dimm* and *Dimm*+*dInR* mis-expression in aCC neurons DLG immunostaining was lost in M1 (**Figures 6A**, 7), whereas in adjacent muscles DLG labeling of post-synaptic sites of Dimm-negative motor neurons remained intact. This finding suggests that loss of presynaptic structures and presumably defect glutamate-loading of synaptic vesicles affects the post-synaptic SSR. Note that peptidergic axon terminations on abdominal muscle lack associated Dlg immunolabeling (Supplementary Figure 1E).

The glutamate-gated iGluRs in the *Drosophila* NMJ are tetrameric proteins composed of the obligatory subunits GluRIIC, D, E and either GluRIIA (type A receptor) or GluRIIB (type B) (Marrus et al., 2004; Qin et al., 2005; Collins and DiAntonio, 2007). We employed antisera to GluRIIA and GluRIIB (Marrus et al., 2004) to probe post-synaptic effects of the *Dimm* and *Dimm+dInR* mis-expression in aCC neurons and found that both manipulations very strongly diminished labeling for GluRIIA (**Figures 6C**, **8**) and GluRIIB (**Figures 6C**, **9** and Supplementary Figure 5). In adjacent muscles, including the M10 innervated by the RP2 neurons, the receptor immunolabeling was intact. Thus, the manipulation of the presynaptic structures in aCC neurons affected the SSR and expression of post-synaptic glutamate receptors just adjacent to the presynaptic structures.

Wingless Signaling Is Affected by Dimm Expression in Motor Neurons

It has been found that wingless signaling is critical for the establishment and plasticity of synapses at the NMJ (Packard et al., 2002; Collins and DiAntonio, 2007; Korkut et al., 2009). Wingless signaling provides an interaction between the pre- and post-synaptic components of the NMJ and the ligand wingless (Wg) released in exosome vesicles acts on the receptor frizzled-2 (dFz2) in the pre- and post-synaptic muscle membrane (Packard et al., 2002; Koles et al., 2012). Since *Dimm* expression targeted to aCC neurons disrupts expression of post-synaptic markers such as Dlg and GluRIIA and B in M1 and induces formation of filopodia-like processes, we tested the effects of ectopic *Dimm* on Wg and dFz2 immunolabeling. We found that both markers are almost completely eliminated in association with aCC neurons in M1 (**Figures 6C, 10**). The combined *Dimm; dInR* expression produces a complete loss of labeling (**Figures 10E,F,K,L**).

Next we sought a link between Dimm and wingless signaling and noted that some direct targets of Dimm may be involved in exosome release (see Hadzic et al., 2015). The exosomedependent release of Wg requires the transmembrane protein Evenness Interrupted (Evi) (Koles et al., 2012). Two further proteins involved in Evi-exosome release, Rab11 and Ykt-6 (Gross et al., 2012; Koles et al., 2012), were shown to be direct targets of Dimm (Hadzic et al., 2015). Thus we tested effects of *Dimm* expression on Evi distribution in the NMJ. The pre- and post-synaptic immunolabeling with Evi N-terminal (Evi-Nex) antiserum was strongly diminished after *Dimm* and *Dimm*; *dInR* expression (**Figure 6C** and Supplementary Figures 6A–F), suggesting that exosome signaling may be affected.





Mis-expression of Dimm in Motor Neurons Upregulates Expression of Known Dimm Targets

We next asked what the immediate effects of *Dimm*misexpression are on Dimm negative motor neurons. It has been shown that overexpression of *Dimm* leads to upregulation of a number of direct transcriptional targets (Park et al., 2011, 2014; Hadzic et al., 2015). In **Table 1** [data mined from (Hadzic et al., 2015)] we show Dimm targets with specific relevance for synaptic structure and morphology of the NMJ, others are related to secretory capacity. Expression levels of four Dimm target genes were tested here: CSP (Bronk et al., 2005), peptidylglycine- α -hydroxylating mono-oxygenase (PHM) (Jiang et al., 2000), maelstrom (Pek et al., 2009) and the vesicular transporter CAT-4 (Park et al., 2011). Membrane-associated CSP immunolabeling can be seen in aCC terminations of control larvae, but its distribution after *Dimm* and *Dimm*+*dInR* expression appears

RRa>Dimm; dInR

G

Η





Knockdown of Vesicular Glutamate Transporter Is Not Sufficient for Altering the Motor Neuron Phenotype

aCC motor neurons and affects the distribution of CSP.

neurons (Figures 12E-H), whereas maelstrom is only detectable

in the aCC cell bodies (Figures 11A-F). Thus, Dimm triggers

transcription of three of its known targets in the Dimm-negative

Since mis-expression of Dimm in motor neurons induced a down regulation of the glutamatergic phenotype, we asked whether knockdown of the vGluT is sufficient to trigger changes in NMJ morphology and expression of synaptic proteins.

In RRa-Gal4 > UAS-vGluT-RNAi larvae we revealed a strong decrease in vGluT immunolabeling in the NMJ of the aCC neurons (Supplementary Figures 7A-F). However, we detected no obvious effect on neuron morphology or the expression of synaptic markers such as DLG (Supplementary Figures 7C,F) and GluRIIA (Supplementary Figures 7R-U). We also used the D42-Gal4 since it appears to be a stronger driver and obtained similar results (Supplementary Figures 7G-L). The D42-Gal4 was also used for knockdown of vGluT to monitor the effect on the cell bodies. No change was seen in cell body size, but the vGluTimmunolabeling was reduced (Supplementary Figures 7M-Q). In summary, our findings suggest that diminishing vGluT expression in aCC neurons (and RP2 neurons) is not sufficient to alter the motor neuron phenotype toward that obtained with Dimm mis-expression.

DISCUSSION

We have shown here that glutamatergic motor neurons can be altered toward a neuroendocrine phenotype by mis-expression

RRa>w1118

A

 α -GFP

В

C

α-GluRIIA

RRa>Dimm

D

20 µm

5 µm

F



post-synaptic to control aCC neurons. In (B1,C,D) enlarged portions of smaller Z-projections are shown (from other specimen). Scale bar in (A) is also for (B,E,F); scale bar in (C) is also for (D,G,H). (E,F) After Dimm expression in aCC neurons GluBIIB immunolabeling is strongly reduced. (G,H) After Dimm expression in different aCC neuron (asterisk) the GluBIIB immunolabeling is strongly reduced, whereas in an adjacent termination (arrow) the labeling is unaltered (projections of complete Z-stacks). Data for effects of Dimm+dlnR expression are shown in Figure 6.

of the transcription factor *Dimm*. This effect of *Dimm*misexpression is enhanced by co-expression of the *dInR*. Thus, we validate and further develop the previously established notion that *Dimm* organizes a secretory phenotype in neurons, characteristic of neuroendocrine cells that store and secrete large amounts of neuropeptides or peptide hormones (Hewes et al., 2003; Park et al., 2008, 2011). By choosing glutamatergic motor neurons as a model we were able to use a wide set of morphological and molecular markers to monitor developmental changes, and also to build on a wealth of existing knowledge on NMJ and synaptic development in *Drosophila* (see Collins and DiAntonio, 2007; Menon et al., 2013).

The most obvious morphological alterations of motor neurons due to Dimm and Dimm+dInR expression was increased size of cell bodies, strongly reduced dendrites and increased branching of axon terminations with enlarged synaptic boutons at the



Scale bar in (A) is also for (B-L). (G-L) Antiserum to frizzled-2 (dFz2) labels aCC boutons in control animals (G,H), but not in Dimm (I,J) and Dimm; dlnR (K,L). The position of the aCC neuron is indicated by arrows. An adjacent axon termination (on adjacent muscle) is strongly labeled in (1,J).

NMJ. These transformed features taken together result in a morphology similar to that of efferent neuroendocrine cells rather than typical motor neurons. The one exception is the

increased bouton size seen after Dimm expression; peptidergic neurons usually have much smaller boutons (Budnik, 1996; Keshishian et al., 1996). At the molecular level we noted a loss

Genes	Overexpression	RNAi or mutation	Reference
ACC (Acetyl-CoAcarboxylase)	_	Synaptic undergrowth	Valakh et al., 2012
Adf1 (Adh transcription factor 1)	Increased bouton number	Reduced bouton number	DeZazzo et al., 2000
ash2 (absent, small, or homeotic disks 2)	_	Pathfinding defect, reduced/abnormal synapses	Kraut et al., 2001
CG11486 (polyA-nuclease related protein)	Pathfinding defect, excess/ectopic synapses	-	Kraut et al., 2001
Chic (Chickadee)	-	Filopodia length reduced, axon extension reduced	Goncalves-Pimentel et al., 2011
Csp (Cystein string protein)	-	Reduced bouton number, string length, fewer branches, terminal length	Bronk et al., 2005; Dawson-Scully et al., 2007
Got2 (Glutamate oxaloacetate transaminase 2)	-	Reduced glutamate level, increased post-synaptic receptor area and more GluRs	Featherstone et al., 2002
Gs2 (Glutamine synthetase)	_	Fewer post-synaptic GluRs	Featherstone et al., 2002
Mbl (Muscleblind)	-	Synaptic undergrowth, synaptic retraction and axonal transport defect	Valakh et al., 2012
Pdk (Pyruvate dehydrogenase kinase)	Excess synapses, ectopic branches and axonal pathfinding errors	_	Kraut et al., 2001
sigA (Sluggish A)	-	Synaptic apposition, retraction and axonal transport defects	Valakh et al., 2012
Spi (Spitz)	-	Pathfinding defects, abnormal/reduced synapses	Kraut et al., 2001
Sta (Stubarista)	_	Synaptic apposition defect	Valakh et al., 2012
Vha55 (Vacuolar H+-ATPase 55 kD subunit)	-	Reduced Evi exosome release	Koles et al., 2012

These genes were mined from a larger set in Hadzic et al. (2015).

of several glutamatergic proteins (vGluT, GluRIIA, and GluRIIB) and as well as pre- and post-synaptic proteins (Brp, synapsin, synaptotagmin, and Dlg), all of which are commonly absent in association with efferent neuroendocrine cells. Interestingly, we also recorded filopodia-like extensions from the enlarged axon terminations, suggesting a change in interactions between motor neuron and target muscle. Such interactions involve wingless signaling, which also affects bouton size and number, as well as dGluRIIA receptor expression (Packard et al., 2002; Miech et al., 2008). In support of altered trans-synaptic signaling we indeed found that wingless/frizzled immunolabeling was strongly reduced in the Dimm mis-expressing axon terminations and at post-synaptic sites. Furthermore, expression of an exosomeassociated protein, Evi, that is important for Wg exocytosis (Koles et al., 2012; Korkut et al., 2013) was diminished, and thus exosome secretion likely to be affected in aCC neurons after ectopic Dimm expression. It has been shown that the proteins Rab11 and Ykt-6, involved in Evi-exosome release at the NMJs (Gross et al., 2012; Koles et al., 2012) are Dimm targets (Hadzic et al., 2015). Rab11 is required for Evi-vesicle release at the NMJ and is localized at synaptic boutons and Wg is present in and secreted with Evi-exosome-like vesicles (Gross et al., 2012; Koles et al., 2012). The other component Ykt6, which is a prenylated ER-Golgi SNARE in mammals, is also required for exosomedependent Wg secretion (Gross et al., 2012). Overexpression of Dimm in aCC motor neurons possibly interferes with the Ykt-6/Rab11 regulated exosome-dependent Wg secretion, and result in the disruption of wingless signaling at the terminals and thus a defect in synaptic formation and homeostasis.

In general, our findings on the effects of Dimm on motor neurons are similar to a previous investigation of effects of this transcription factor on Drosophila photoreceptors of the compound eye (Hamanaka et al., 2010). However, our study system provides access to further components of the targeted neuron, such as dendrite structure, and varicose, branching axon terminations with well-defined pre and post-synaptic proteins. The photoreceptor study demonstrated that Dimmmisexpression induces a loss of histamine expression in these receptors, as well as morphologically altered synaptic vesicles, loss of presynaptic T-bars, and enlarged and varicose axon terminations (Hamanaka et al., 2010). In comparison, we find a reduced expression of glutamatergic markers, loss of Brp expression, which represents active zone protein associated with the T-Bar (Kittel et al., 2006), and enlarged axon terminations and boutons. In addition, our study provides further data that suggest that post-synaptic alterations are also triggered by Dimm expression in motor neurons. Thus, we detected diminished SSR in the muscle fiber, as monitored by DLG immunolabeling, and reduction of glutamate receptor expression. In this context, we also obtained data suggesting a change in the reciprocal



FIGURE 11 | The Dimm targets maelstrom and PHM increase in aCC neurons after Dimm expression. (A–F) The aCC neurons express maelstrom immunolabeling after ectopic expression of Dimm and Dimm+dlnR (C–F), but not in controls (A,B). Scale bar in (A) is also for (B–L). (G–L) Also PHM immunolabeling is triggered by Dimm expression and accentuated by co-expression of dlnR (K,L).

interactions between axon terminations and muscle cells that leads to filopodial outgrowth and expanded axon branching at the NMJ, probably linked to reduced or altered wingless signaling.

We attempted two other genetic manipulations of the motor neurons, but failed to induce a significant phenotype: we tried to mis-express three different neuropeptides, ion transport peptide, proctolin and short neuropeptide F (data not shown), and knocked down vGluT in motor neurons. It was actually shown earlier that vGluT mutant larvae display only subtle differences in bouton morphology in *Drosophila* motor neurons (Choi et al., 2014). Also in glutamic acid decarboxylase mutants that are unable to catalyze the formation of GABA from glutamate, the motor neuron axon terminations appear unaltered morphologically (Featherstone et al., 2000). Our lack of phenotypic changes after mis-expressing neuropeptides in motor neurons are not surprising, since it was shown earlier that ectopically expressing neuropeptide in photoreceptors had no effect unless *Dimm* was co-expressed (Hamanaka et al., 2010).

The effects of *Dimm* mis-expression are likely to start in the embryo, but seem to occur after axon outgrowth and target recognition, since the aCC neurons find M1 and form primary branch points in the correct position at the appropriate time. The aCC motor neuron axons reach muscle fibers of M1 in

stage 16 (at 13 h) embryos and growth cones are seen at stage 16 and early stage 17, followed by bouton formation in second half of stage 17 (Yoshihara et al., 1997). Thus, the Dimm effects may commence at the time of establishing the neurotransmitter and presynaptic phenotypes, which occur between 14 and 16 h of embryonic development (Broadie and Bate, 1993; Keshishian et al., 1996; Yoshihara et al., 1997). At this time also the wingless signaling across the prospective synaptic cleft plays a critical role in formation of the functional synapse (Packard et al., 2002). Also the trans-synaptic changes seen after Dimm expression in aCC neurons (diminished Dlg, GluRIIA and B) and the altered wingless signaling may be arising at this stage. This could probably explain the filopodia-like protrusions seen in the axon terminations. The lack of a stable contact point seems to induce over-growth of the axon terminations, accompanied by filopodialike protrusions, characteristic of growth cones normally seen in 13-16 h embryos (Yoshihara et al., 1997).

We found that some of the known transcriptional targets of *Dimm* were strongly up regulated after *Dimm* mis-expression: the synapse protein CSP, the peptide α -amidating enzyme PHM, the putative cationic amino acid transporter CAT-4 and maelstrom, a nuclear protein know to regulate micro-RNA (miR) (Zinsmaier et al., 1990; Hewes et al., 2006;



Pek et al., 2009; Park et al., 2011). The first three of these are associated with the peptidergic secretory pathway and storage vesicles, whereas maelstrom is involved in germ line stem cell differentiation, and a role in neuronal development is not yet known (Pek et al., 2009; Park et al., 2011). It has been shown that knockdown of CSP in motor neurons impairs neurotransmitter release but also diminishes axon termination growth (Bronk et al., 2005; Dawson-Scully et al., 2007). Thus, there may be a link between Dimm-induced changes in CSP and growth of the axon terminations in our experiments. It was shown previously that maelstrom is highly enriched in Dimm-positive clock neurons (sLNvs), but its function was not revealed (Kula-Eversole et al., 2010). Table 1 shows several transcriptional targets of Dimm (Hadzic et al., 2015) that have been shown to affect the differentiation of axon terminations and synaptic structure of Drosophila motor neurons. These include genes known to influence level of glutamate, glutamate receptor expression, number of axon branches, bouton number or size, synaptic growth, length of filopodia and axon pathfinding (references in Table 1). Hence, there are further candidates to test for roles in aCC motor neuron differentiation and effects of Dimm expression on synaptic development.

The central processes of the aCC neurons (and other motor neurons) display molecular and developmental features

in common with dendrites of mammalian neurons (Sanchez-Soriano et al., 2005; Rolls, 2011). We noted a dramatic loss of dendritic branches of aCC neurons in the central neuropil after Dimm mis-expression. Thus, Dimm induces growth of cell body and axon terminations, but reduces dendrites. Scaling and growth of dendrite arborizations is regulated by factors like ecdysone receptors (Zwart et al., 2013), and Down syndrome cell adhesion molecule (Dscam1) (Hutchinson et al., 2014; Ryglewski et al., 2014). A few of the Dimm target genes (Hadzic et al., 2015) have been indicated in control of dendrite branching: clockwork orange (cwo), Ef1alpha48D, mbf1, muscle blind (mbl) and Sin3A (Parrish et al., 2006; Iyer et al., 2013; Olesnicky et al., 2014). These target genes, and others including wingless components (Valnegri et al., 2015), would also be of interest to investigate in relation to the Dimm-induced dendrite growth deficiency in aCC neurons.

In *Drosophila* as well as in mammals, several factors are known that act on growth of dendrites and axon terminations, and these portions of the neuron can grow independently of each other (Rolls, 2011; Wang et al., 2014; Valnegri et al., 2015). Effects on growth of dendrites, or other parts of neurons, have also been demonstrated after overexpression of phosphoinositide 3-kinase (PI3K) and tuberous sclerosis-2 (TSC2; Gigas), both downstream to tyrosine kinase signaling (Martin-Pena et al., 2006; Acebes and Morales, 2012; Luo et al., 2013). The PI3K induced growth can occur in absence of Dimm (Luo et al., 2013). However, expression of dInR has no effect on growth of neurons without co-expression of Dimm (Luo et al., 2013; Liu et al., 2016), suggesting that the PI3K mediated growth is induced by signaling other than insulin-like peptide. Interestingly, we could show here that ectopic expression of *dInR* combined with *Dimm* amplifies most phenotypes seen after *Dimm* expression alone. Thus, an interesting question for the future is to determine how *Dimm* and *dInR* cooperate to regulate expression of Dimm targets and establish an endocrine phenotype.

AUTHOR CONTRIBUTIONS

JL, YL, and DN: designed the research; JL and YL: performed the research; JL and YL: performed main data analysis; JL, YL, and DN: drafted the manuscript; DN: edited final version (the other authors read and approved the final version of the manuscript); DN: supervised the study and obtained funding.

FUNDING

Financial support was from The Swedish Research Council (VR; 621-2010-5742 and 2015-04626).

REFERENCES

- Acebes, A., and Morales, M. (2012). At a PI3K crossroads: lessons from flies and rodents. *Rev. Neurosci.* 23, 29–37. doi: 10.1515/rns.2011.057
- Allan, D. W., Park, D., St Pierre, S. E., Taghert, P. H., and Thor, S. (2005). Regulators acting in combinatorial codes also act independently in single differentiating neurons. *Neuron* 45, 689–700. doi: 10.1016/j.neuron.2005.01.026
- Anderson, M. S., Halpern, M. E., and Keshishian, H. (1988). Identification of the neuropeptide transmitter proctolin in *Drosophila* larvae: characterization of muscle fiber-specific neuromuscular endings. J. Neurosci. 8, 242–255.
- Broadie, K. S., and Bate, M. (1993). Development of the embryonic neuromuscular synapse of Drosophila melanogaster. J. Neurosci. 13, 144–166.
- Bronk, P., Nie, Z., Klose, M. K., Dawson-Scully, K., Zhang, J., Robertson, R. M., et al. (2005). The multiple functions of cysteine-string protein analyzed at *Drosophila* nerve terminals. *J. Neurosci.* 25, 2204–2214. doi: 10.1523/ JNEUROSCI.3610-04.2005
- Budnik, V. (1996). Synapse maturation and structural plasticity at Drosophila neuromuscular junctions. Curr. Opin. Neurobiol. 6, 858–867. doi: 10.1016/ S0959-4388(96)80038-9
- Cantera, R., and Nässel, D. R. (1992). Segmental peptidergic innervation of abdominal targets in larval and adult dipteran insects revealed with an antiserum against leucokinin I. *Cell Tissue Res.* 269, 459–471. doi: 10.1007/ BF00353901
- Choi, B. J., Imlach, W. L., Jiao, W., Wolfram, V., Wu, Y., Grbic, M., et al. (2014). Miniature neurotransmission regulates *Drosophila* synaptic structural maturation. *Neuron* 82, 618–634. doi: 10.1016/j.neuron.2014.03.012
- Collins, C. A., and DiAntonio, A. (2007). Synaptic development: insights from Drosophila. Curr. Opin. Neurobiol. 17, 35–42. doi: 10.1016/j.conb.2007.01.001
- Daniels, R. W., Collins, C. A., Gelfand, M. V., Dant, J., Brooks, E. S., Krantz, D. E., et al. (2004). Increased expression of the *Drosophila* vesicular glutamate transporter leads to excess glutamate release and a compensatory decrease in quantal content. *J. Neurosci.* 24, 10466–10474. doi: 10.1523/JNEUROSCI.3001– 04.2004

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

We are grateful to Drs H. Aberle, J. Ashley, R. Baines, E. Buchner, V. Budnik, A. DiAntonio, A. Ferrus, P. Herrero, T. Kai, M. Landgraf, D. Park, and P. H. Taghert for providing flies and reagents. Also the following organizations are thanked for flies and reagents: Developmental Studies Hybridoma Bank, developed under the auspices of the National Institute of Child Health & Human Development (NICHD) and maintained by The University of Iowa, Department of Biological Sciences, Iowa City, IA 52242, United States; The Bloomington Drosophila Stock Center, Bloomington, IN, United States and The Vienna Drosophila Resource Center, Vienna, Austria. We thank Dr. Rafael Cantera for valuable advice and helpful discussions. We are grateful to Drs Mihaela Serpe and Chi-hon Lee (both NIH/NICHD) for valuable comments on an earlier version of the paper. Stina Höglund and the Imaging Facility at Stockholm University (IFSU) are acknowledged for maintenance of the confocal microscopes. This study was supported by the Swedish Research Council (Vetenskapsrådet) grant 621-2010-5742.

SUPPLEMENTARY MATERIAL

The Supplementary Material for this article can be found online at: http://journal.frontiersin.org/article/10.3389/fnmol. 2017.00260/full#supplementary-material

- Darabid, H., Perez-Gonzalez, A. P., and Robitaille, R. (2014). Neuromuscular synaptogenesis: coordinating partners with multiple functions. *Nat. Rev. Neurosci.* 15, 703–718. doi: 10.1038/nrn3821
- Dawson-Scully, K., Lin, Y., Imad, M., Zhang, J., Marin, L., Horne, J. A., et al. (2007). Morphological and functional effects of altered cysteine string protein at the *Drosophila* larval neuromuscular junction. *Synapse* 61, 1–16. doi: 10.1002/syn. 20335
- de Haro, M., Al-Ramahi, I., Benito-Sipos, J., Lopez-Arias, B., Dorado, B., Veenstra, J. A., et al. (2010). Detailed analysis of leucokinin-expressing neurons and their candidate functions in the *Drosophila* nervous system. *Cell Tissue Res.* 339, 321–336. doi: 10.1007/s00441-009-0890-y
- DeZazzo, J., Sandstrom, D., De Belle, S., Velinzon, K., Smith, P., Grady, L., et al. (2000). nalyot, a mutation of the Drosophila myb-related Adf1 transcription factor, disrupts synapse formation and olfactory memory. Neuron 27, 145–158. doi: 10.1016/S0896-6273(00)00016-7
- Featherstone, D. E., Rushton, E., and Broadie, K. (2002). Developmental regulation of glutamate receptor field size by nonvesicular glutamate release. *Nat. Neurosci.* 5, 141–146. doi: 10.1038/nn789
- Featherstone, D. E., Rushton, E. M., Hilderbrand-Chae, M., Phillips, A. M., Jackson, F. R., and Broadie, K. (2000). Presynaptic glutamic acid decarboxylase is required for induction of the postsynaptic receptor field at a glutamatergic synapse. *Neuron* 27, 71–84. doi: 10.1016/S0896-6273(00)00010-6
- Frank, C. A., Wang, X., Collins, C. A., Rodal, A. A., Yuan, Q., Verstreken, P., et al. (2013). New approaches for studying synaptic development, function, and plasticity using *Drosophila* as a model system. *J. Neurosci.* 33, 17560–17568. doi: 10.1523/JNEUROSCI.3261-13.2013
- Fujioka, M., Lear, B. C., Landgraf, M., Yusibova, G. L., Zhou, J., Riley, K. M., et al. (2003). Even-skipped, acting as a repressor, regulates axonal projections in *Drosophila*. *Development* 130, 5385–5400. doi: 10.1242/dev.00770
- Goncalves-Pimentel, C., Gombos, R., Mihaly, J., Sanchez-Soriano, N., and Prokop, A. (2011). Dissecting regulatory networks of filopodia formation in a *Drosophila* growth cone model. *PLoS ONE* 6:e18340. doi: 10.1371/journal.pone. 0018340

- Gross, J. C., Chaudhary, V., Bartscherer, K., and Boutros, M. (2012). Active Wnt proteins are secreted on exosomes. *Nat. Cell Biol.* 14, 1036–1045. doi: 10.1038/ ncb2574
- Hadzic, T., Park, D., Abruzzi, K. C., Yang, L., Trigg, J. S., Rohs, R., et al. (2015). Genome-wide features of neuroendocrine regulation in *Drosophila* by the basic helix-loop-helix transcription factor DIMMED. *Nucleic Acids Res.* 43, 2199–2215. doi: 10.1093/nar/gku1377
- Hamanaka, Y., Park, D., Yin, P., Annangudi, S. P., Edwards, T. N., Sweedler, J., et al. (2010). Transcriptional orchestration of the regulated secretory pathway in neurons by the bHLH protein DIMM. *Curr. Biol.* 20, 9–18. doi: 10.1016/j. cub.2009.11.065
- Hewes, R. S., Gu, T., Brewster, J. A., Qu, C., and Zhao, T. (2006). Regulation of secretory protein expression in mature cells by DIMM, a basic helixloop-helix neuroendocrine differentiation factor. J. Neurosci. 26, 7860–7869. doi: 10.1523/JNEUROSCI.1759-06.2006
- Hewes, R. S., Park, D., Gauthier, S. A., Schaefer, A. M., and Taghert, P. H. (2003). The bHLH protein Dimmed controls neuroendocrine cell differentiation in *Drosophila. Development* 130, 1771–1781. doi: 10.1242/dev.00404
- Hutchinson, K. M., Vonhoff, F., and Duch, C. (2014). Dscam1 is required for normal dendrite growth and branching but not for dendritic spacing in *Drosophila* motoneurons. *J. Neurosci.* 34, 1924–1931. doi: 10.1523/ JNEUROSCI.3448-13.2014
- Iyer, E. P., Iyer, S. C., Sullivan, L., Wang, D., Meduri, R., Graybeal, L. L., et al. (2013). Functional genomic analyses of two morphologically distinct classes of *Drosophila* sensory neurons: post-mitotic roles of transcription factors in dendritic patterning. *PLoS ONE* 8:e72434. doi: 10.1371/journal.pone.0072434
- Jan, L. Y., and Jan, Y. N. (1976). L-glutamate as an excitatory transmitter at the *Drosophila* larval neuromuscular junction. *J. Physiol.* 262, 215–236. doi: 10.1113/jphysiol.1976.sp011593
- Jiang, N., Kolhekar, A. S., Jacobs, P. S., Mains, R. E., Eipper, B. A., and Taghert, P. H. (2000). PHM is required for normal developmental transitions and for biosynthesis of secretory peptides in *Drosophila. Dev. Biol.* 226, 118–136. doi: 10.1006/dbio.2000.9832
- Johansen, J., Halpern, M. E., Johansen, K. M., and Keshishian, H. (1989). Stereotypic morphology of glutamatergic synapses on identified muscle cells of *Drosophila* larvae. J. Neurosci. 9, 710–725.
- Keshishian, H., Broadie, K., Chiba, A., and Bate, M. (1996). The Drosophila neuromuscular junction: a model system for studying synaptic development and function. Annu. Rev. Neurosci. 19, 545–575. doi: 10.1146/annurev.ne.19. 030196.002553
- Kim, M. D., Wen, Y., and Jan, Y. N. (2009). Patterning and organization of motor neuron dendrites in the *Drosophila* larva. *Dev. Biol.* 336, 213–221. doi: 10.1016/ j.ydbio.2009.09.041
- Kittel, R. J., Wichmann, C., Rasse, T. M., Fouquet, W., Schmidt, M., Schmid, A., et al. (2006). Bruchpilot promotes active zone assembly, Ca²⁺ channel clustering, and vesicle release. *Science* 312, 1051–1054. doi: 10.1126/science. 1126308
- Koles, K., Nunnari, J., Korkut, C., Barria, R., Brewer, C., Li, Y., et al. (2012). Mechanism of evenness interrupted (Evi)-exosome release at synaptic boutons. *J. Biol. Chem.* 287, 16820–16834. doi: 10.1074/jbc.M112.342667
- Korkut, C., Ataman, B., Ramachandran, P., Ashley, J., Barria, R., Gherbesi, N., et al. (2009). Trans-synaptic transmission of vesicular Wnt signals through Evi/Wntless. *Cell* 139, 393–404. doi: 10.1016/j.cell.2009. 07.051
- Korkut, C., Li, Y., Koles, K., Brewer, C., Ashley, J., Yoshihara, M., et al. (2013). Regulation of postsynaptic retrograde signaling by presynaptic exosome release. *Neuron* 77, 1039–1046. doi: 10.1016/j.neuron.2013.01.013
- Kraut, R., Menon, K., and Zinn, K. (2001). A gain-of-function screen for genes controlling motor axon guidance and synaptogenesis in *Drosophila. Curr. Biol.* 11, 417–430. doi: 10.1016/S0960-9822(01)00124-5
- Kula-Eversole, E., Nagoshi, E., Shang, Y., Rodriguez, J., Allada, R., and Rosbash, M. (2010). Surprising gene expression patterns within and between PDFcontaining circadian neurons in *Drosophila. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U.S.A.* 107, 13497–13502. doi: 10.1073/pnas.1002081107
- Lahey, T., Gorczyca, M., Jia, X. X., and Budnik, V. (1994). The Drosophila tumor suppressor gene dlg is required for normal synaptic bouton structure. Neuron 13, 823–835. doi: 10.1016/0896-6273(94)90249-6

- Landgraf, M., Bossing, T., Technau, G. M., and Bate, M. (1997). The origin, location, and projections of the embryonic abdominal motorneurons of *Drosophila. J. Neurosci.* 17, 9642–9655.
- Landgraf, M., Sanchez-Soriano, N., Technau, G. M., Urban, J., and Prokop, A. (2003). Charting the *Drosophila* neuropile: a strategy for the standardised characterisation of genetically amenable neurites. *Dev. Biol.* 260, 207–225. doi: 10.1016/S0012-1606(03)00215-X
- Landgraf, M., and Thor, S. (2006). Development and structure of motoneurons. *Int. Rev. Neurobiol.* 75, 33–53. doi: 10.1016/S0074-7742(06)75002-4
- Lin, W. H., Gunay, C., Marley, R., Prinz, A. A., and Baines, R. A. (2012). Activity-dependent alternative splicing increases persistent sodium current and promotes seizure. *J. Neurosci.* 32, 7267–7277. doi: 10.1523/JNEUROSCI.6042-11.2012
- Liu, Y., Luo, J., and Nässel, D. R. (2016). The Drosophila transcription factor Dimmed affects neuronal growth and differentiation in multiple ways depending on neuron type and developmental stage. Front. Mol. Neurosci. 9:97. doi: 10.3389/fnmol.2016.00097
- Luo, J., Liu, Y., and Nässel, D. R. (2013). Insulin/IGF-regulated size scaling of neuroendocrine cells expressing the bHLH transcription factor Dimmed in Drosophila. PLoS Genet, 9:e1004052. doi: 10.1371/journal.pgen.1004052
- Mahr, A., and Aberle, H. (2006). The expression pattern of the *Drosophila* vesicular glutamate transporter: a marker protein for motoneurons and glutamatergic centers in the brain. *Gene Expr. Patterns* 6, 299–309. doi: 10.1016/j.modgep. 2005.07.006
- Marrus, S. B., Portman, S. L., Allen, M. J., Moffat, K. G., and Diantonio, A. (2004). Differential localization of glutamate receptor subunits at the *Drosophila* neuromuscular junction. *J. Neurosci.* 24, 1406–1415. doi: 10.1523/JNEUROSCI. 1575-03.2004
- Martin-Pena, A., Acebes, A., Rodriguez, J. R., Sorribes, A., De Polavieja, G. G., Fernandez-Funez, P., et al. (2006). Age-independent synaptogenesis by phosphoinositide 3 kinase. *J. Neurosci.* 26, 10199–10208. doi: 10.1523/ JNEUROSCI.1223-06.2006
- Menon, K. P., Carrillo, R. A., and Zinn, K. (2013). Development and plasticity of the *Drosophila* larval neuromuscular junction. Wiley interdisciplinary reviews. *Dev. Biol.* 2, 647–670. doi: 10.1002/wdev.108
- Miech, C., Pauer, H. U., He, X., and Schwarz, T. L. (2008). Presynaptic local signaling by a canonical wingless pathway regulates development of the *Drosophila* neuromuscular junction. *J. Neurosci.* 28, 10875–10884. doi: 10.1523/ JNEUROSCI.0164-08.2008
- Nässel, D. R. (2009). Neuropeptide signaling near and far: how localized and timed is the action of neuropeptides in brain circuits? *Invert. Neurosci.* 9, 57–75. doi: 10.1007/s10158-009-0090-1
- Nässel, D. R., and Winther, Å. M. (2010). Drosophila neuropeptides in regulation of physiology and behavior. Prog. Neurobiol. 92, 42–104. doi: 10.1016/j.pneurobio. 2010.04.010
- Olesnicky, E. C., Killian, D. J., Garcia, E., Morton, M. C., Rathjen, A. R., Sola, I. E., et al. (2014). Extensive use of RNA-binding proteins in *Drosophila* sensory neuron dendrite morphogenesis. *G3* 4, 297–306. doi: 10.1534/g3.113. 009795
- Packard, M., Koo, E. S., Gorczyca, M., Sharpe, J., Cumberledge, S., and Budnik, V. (2002). The *Drosophila* Wnt, wingless, provides an essential signal for pre- and postsynaptic differentiation. *Cell* 111, 319–330. doi: 10.1016/S0092-8674(02) 01047-4
- Park, D., Hadzic, T., Yin, P., Rusch, J., Abruzzi, K., Rosbash, M., et al. (2011). Molecular organization of *Drosophila* neuroendocrine cells by Dimmed. *Curr. Biol.* 21, 1515–1524. doi: 10.1016/j.cub.2011.08.015
- Park, D., Li, P., Dani, A., and Taghert, P. H. (2014). Peptidergic cellspecific synaptotagmins in *Drosophila*: localization to dense-core granules and regulation by the bHLH protein DIMMED. *J. Neurosci.* 34, 13195–13207. doi: 10.1523/JNEUROSCI.2075-14.2014
- Park, D., Veenstra, J. A., Park, J. H., and Taghert, P. H. (2008). Mapping peptidergic cells in *Drosophila*: where DIMM fits in. *PLoS ONE* 3:e1896. doi: 10.1371/ journal.pone.0001896
- Parnas, D., Haghighi, A. P., Fetter, R. D., Kim, S. W., and Goodman, C. S. (2001). Regulation of postsynaptic structure and protein localization by the Rho-type guanine nucleotide exchange factor dPix. *Neuron* 32, 415–424. doi: 10.1016/ S0896-6273(01)00485-8

- Parrish, J. Z., Kim, M. D., Jan, L. Y., and Jan, Y. N. (2006). Genome-wide analyses identify transcription factors required for proper morphogenesis of *Drosophila* sensory neuron dendrites. *Genes Dev.* 20, 820–835. doi: 10.1101/gad. 1391006
- Pek, J. W., Lim, A. K., and Kai, T. (2009). Drosophila maelstrom ensures proper germline stem cell lineage differentiation by repressing microRNA-7. Dev. Cell 17, 417–424. doi: 10.1016/j.devcel.2009.07.017
- Qin, G., Schwarz, T., Kittel, R. J., Schmid, A., Rasse, T. M., Kappei, D., et al. (2005). Four different subunits are essential for expressing the synaptic glutamate receptor at neuromuscular junctions of *Drosophila*. J. Neurosci. 25, 3209–3218. doi: 10.1523/JNEUROSCI.4194-04.2005
- Rasse, T. M., Fouquet, W., Schmid, A., Kittel, R. J., Mertel, S., Sigrist, C. B., et al. (2005). Glutamate receptor dynamics organizing synapse formation in vivo. *Nat. Neurosci.* 8, 898–905. doi: 10.1038/nn1484
- Ristanovic, D., and Milosevic, N. T. (2007). A confirmation of Rexed's laminar hypothesis using the Sholl linear method complemented by nonparametric statistics. *Neurosci. Lett.* 414, 286–290. doi: 10.1016/j.neulet.2006. 12.034
- Rolls, M. M. (2011). Neuronal polarity in *Drosophila*: sorting out axons and dendrites. *Dev. Neurobiol.* 71, 419–429. doi: 10.1002/dneu.20836
- Ryglewski, S., Kadas, D., Hutchinson, K., Schuetzler, N., Vonhoff, F., and Duch, C. (2014). Dendrites are dispensable for basic motoneuron function but essential for fine tuning of behavior. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U.S.A.* 111, 18049–18054. doi: 10.1073/pnas.1416247111
- Sanchez-Soriano, N., Bottenberg, W., Fiala, A., Haessler, U., Kerassoviti, A., Knust, E., et al. (2005). Are dendrites in *Drosophila* homologous to vertebrate dendrites? *Dev. Biol.* 288, 126–138.
- Santos, J. G., Vomel, M., Struck, R., Homberg, U., Nässel, D. R., and Wegener, C. (2007). Neuroarchitecture of peptidergic systems in the larval ventral ganglion of *Drosophila melanogaster*. *PLoS ONE* 2:e695. doi: 10.1371/journal.pone. 0000695
- Sanyal, S. (2009). Genomic mapping and expression patterns of C380, OK6 and D42 enhancer trap lines in the larval nervous system of *Drosophila. Gene Expr. Patterns* 9, 371–380. doi: 10.1016/j.gep.2009.01.002

- Taylor, C. A., Winther, Å. M., Siviter, R. J., Shirras, A. D., Isaac, R. E., and Nässel, D. R. (2004). Identification of a proctolin preprohormone gene (Proct) of *Drosophila melanogaster*: expression and predicted prohormone processing. *J. Neurobiol.* 58, 379–391. doi: 10.1002/neu.10301
- Valakh, V., Naylor, S. A., Berns, D. S., and Diantonio, A. (2012). A large-scale RNAi screen identifies functional classes of genes shaping synaptic development and maintenance. *Dev. Biol.* 366, 163–171. doi: 10.1016/j.ydbio.2012.04.008
- Valnegri, P., Puram, S. V., and Bonni, A. (2015). Regulation of dendrite morphogenesis by extrinsic cues. *Trends Neurosci.* 38, 439–447. doi: 10.1016/ j.tins.2015.05.003
- Wang, X., Sterne, G. R., and Ye, B. (2014). Regulatory mechanisms underlying the differential growth of dendrites and axons. *Neurosci. Bull.* 30, 557–568. doi: 10.1007/s12264-014-1447-3
- Yoshihara, M., Rheuben, M. B., and Kidokoro, Y. (1997). Transition from growth cone to functional motor nerve terminal in *Drosophila* embryos. J. Neurosci. 17, 8408–8426.
- Zinsmaier, K. E., Hofbauer, A., Heimbeck, G., Pflugfelder, G. O., Buchner, S., and Buchner, E. (1990). A cysteine-string protein is expressed in retina and brain of Drosophila. J. Neurogenet. 7, 15–29. doi: 10.3109/01677069009084150
- Zwart, M. F., Randlett, O., Evers, J. F., and Landgraf, M. (2013). Dendritic growth gated by a steroid hormone receptor underlies increases in activity in the developing *Drosophila* locomotor system. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U.S.A.* 110, E3878–E3887. doi: 10.1073/pnas.1311711110

Conflict of Interest Statement: The authors declare that the research was conducted in the absence of any commercial or financial relationships that could be construed as a potential conflict of interest.

Copyright © 2017 Luo, Liu and Nässel. This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (CC BY). The use, distribution or reproduction in other forums is permitted, provided the original author(s) or licensor are credited and that the original publication in this journal is cited, in accordance with accepted academic practice. No use, distribution or reproduction is permitted which does not comply with these terms.