



Since January 2020 Elsevier has created a COVID-19 resource centre with free information in English and Mandarin on the novel coronavirus COVID-19. The COVID-19 resource centre is hosted on Elsevier Connect, the company's public news and information website.

Elsevier hereby grants permission to make all its COVID-19-related research that is available on the COVID-19 resource centre - including this research content - immediately available in PubMed Central and other publicly funded repositories, such as the WHO COVID database with rights for unrestricted research re-use and analyses in any form or by any means with acknowledgement of the original source. These permissions are granted for free by Elsevier for as long as the COVID-19 resource centre remains active.



Letter to the Editor

Societal reopening after the COVID-19 pandemic



The COVID-19 pandemic is not over, and in many places around the world, populations are either unable or unwilling to be vaccinated, either because of logistical supply issues or because of vaccine scepticism. Despite these problems with vaccination coverage, there are plans to relax social distancing rules and the wearing of masks throughout Europe. This strategy is likely to be followed by many nations around the world; however, it has the potential to reverse gains that have been attained on COVID-19 control thus far.

The continuing emergence of novel viral variants to which the current vaccines (raised against the original alpha variant) are less effective is a distinct possibility. In addition, there remain huge unvaccinated populations, for example, in Africa, India and Brazil, some of whom it is predicted will not be immunised until 2023. Proposals to irreversibly relax current COVID-19 control policies in Europe, without global consensus and for a pandemic of this magnitude, therefore seem to be ill-informed.

Globally, there is an escalating counter-reaction to COVID-19 containment protocols as communities start to question ongoing approaches to the pandemic. This is fuelled by either bored or misinformed social media opinion, often in response to confusing public health messages from both medical authorities and governments.

As this new pressure to liberalise COVID-19 containment policy grows, it is likely that populist politicians around the world will give way to the perceived desire for relaxation of the rules from the general public, who now see few good options from their national leaders. Despite a surge in cases across Africa, for example, in Tanzania, a vaccination programme is not taking place.¹ Furthermore, with business continuing as usual in Zambia, most of the population do not use masks or practice social distancing.^{2,3} Hybrid approaches in Kenya and Uganda with intermittent lockdowns need to be further evaluated for effectiveness.^{2,3} Moreover, Somalia, in the horn of Africa, has abandoned all COVID-19 containment measures, perhaps because governance and implementation systems remain fragile.¹⁻⁴ In South America, Brazil has a leadership that is in COVID-19 denial, despite the country being severely impacted by the pandemic. In the East, India is struggling to cope with COVID-19, both in terms of providing acute medical services and an effective vaccination programme.

So, the authors argue that the 'reopening' of countries and the approval of mass travel is driven by new pressures from the public to counter and question current or previous public health policy.⁵ With the notable exception of New Zealand and Australia, where

case numbers have, not coincidentally, remained low, these issues seem too great for elected politicians to ignore. In the long run, this is foolhardy, given the potential of viral variants to take hold even in the double-vaccinated sections of society.

The authors recommend that governments think carefully about actioning populist policies that counteract evidence-based public health consensus in the global pandemic.

References

1. Buguzi S. COVID-19: counting the cost of denial in Tanzania. *BMJ* 2021;**373**: n1052. <https://doi.org/10.1136/bmj.n1052>.
2. Nakkazi E. Obstacles to COVID-19 control in East Africa. *Lancet. Infect Dis* 2020;**20**:660.
3. Umvilighozo G, Mupfumi L, Sonela N, Naicker D, Obuku EA, Koofhethile C, et al. Sub-Saharan Africa preparedness and response to the COVID-19 pandemic: a perspective of early career African scientists. *Wellcome Open Res* 2020;**5**.
4. Makoni M. Tanzania refuses COVID-19 vaccines. *Lancet* 2021;**397**:566.
5. Oni T, Micklesfield LK, Wadende P, Obonyo CO, Woodcock J, Mogo ER, et al. Implications of COVID-19 control measures for diet and physical activity, and lessons for addressing other pandemics facing rapidly urbanising countries. *Glob Health Action* 2020;**13**:1810415.

S.D. Taylor-Robinson*

Department of Surgery and Cancer, St Mary's Hospital Campus, Imperial College London, London, W2 1NY, UK

M.Y. Morgan

Department of Medicine, Royal Free Hospital Campus, University College London, London, NW3 2PG, UK

P. Olupot-Olupot

Department of Medicine, Busitema University, Mbale, Uganda

A.W. Taylor-Robinson

School of Health, Medical & Applied Sciences, Central Queensland University, Brisbane, Australia

College of Health and Human Sciences, Charles Darwin University, Casuarina, Australia

College of Health Sciences, Vin University, Hanoi, Vietnam

* Corresponding author.

E-mail address: str338333@gmail.com (S.D. Taylor-Robinson).

20 August 2021

Available online 5 October 2021