

Whole genome sequencing and analysis reveal insights into the genetic structure, diversity and evolutionary relatedness of *luxl* and *luxR* homologs in bacteria belonging to the *Sphingomonadaceae* family

Han Ming Gan^{1,2}, Huan You Gan^{1,2}, Nurul H. Ahmad³, Nazrin A. Aziz³, André O. Hudson³ and Michael A. Savka³*

¹ School of Science, Monash University Malaysia, Petaling Jaya, Malaysia

² Genomics Facility, Monash University Malaysia, Petaling Jaya, Malaysia

³ Thomas H. Gosnell School of Life Sciences, Rochester Institute of Technology Rochester, NY, USA

Edited by:

Vittorio Venturi, International Centre for Genetic Engineering and Biotechnology, Italy

Reviewed by:

Sujatha Subramoni, International Centre for Genetic Engineering and Biotechnology, Italy Amy Schaefer, University of Washington, USA

*Correspondence:

Michael A. Savka, Thomas H. Gosnell School of Life Sciences, College of Science, Rochester Institute of Technology, 85 Lomb Memorial Dr., A350 Gosnell Hall, Rochester, NY 14623, USA e-mail: massbi@rit.edu Here we report the draft genomes and annotation of four N-acyl homoserine lactone (AHL)-producing members from the family Sphingomonadaceae. Comparative genomic analyses of 62 Sphingomonadaceae genomes were performed to gain insights into the distribution of the canonical *luxI/R*-type guorum sensing (QS) network within this family. Forty genomes contained at least one *luxR* homolog while the genome of *Sphingobium* yanoikuyae B1 contained seven Open Reading Frames (ORFs) that have significant homology to that of luxR. Thirty-three genomes contained at least one luxl homolog while the genomes of Sphingobium sp. SYK6, Sphingobium japonicum, and Sphingobium lactosutens contained four luxl. Using phylogenetic analysis, the sphingomonad LuxR homologs formed five distinct clades with two minor clades located near the plant associated bacteria (PAB) LuxR solo clade. This work for the first time shows that 13 Sphingobium and one Sphingomonas genome(s) contain three convergently oriented genes composed of two tandem luxR genes proximal to one luxI (luxR-luxR-luxI). Interestingly, luxl solos were identified in two Sphingobium species and may represent species that contribute to AHL-based QS system by contributing AHL molecules but are unable to perceive AHLs as signals. This work provides the most comprehensive description of the luxI/R circuitry and genome-based taxonomical description of the available sphingomonad genomes to date indicating that the presence of *luxR* solos and luxl solos are not an uncommon feature in members of the Sphingomonadaceae family.

Keywords: *luxl/R*, *luxR* solos, *Novosphingobium*, quorum-sensing, *Sphingomonadaceae*, phylogenetic, whole genome sequencing

INTRODUCTION

Members of the *Sphingomonadaceae* family are Gram-negative glycosphingolipid-containing bacteria that belong to the α -4 subclass of proteobacteria (Yabuuchi et al., 1990). This family possesses a variety of metabolic capabilities that are potentially advantageous pertaining to a variety of bioremediation capabilities (White et al., 1996). Based on phylogenetic, chemotaxonomic and phenotypic observations, the *Sphingomonas* genus has been expanded to include three new genera, *Sphingobium*, *Novosphingobium* and *Sphingopyxis* (Yabuuchi et al., 1990). Recently, a fifth genus was added to include, *Sphingosinicella* (Maruyama et al., 2006; Geueke et al., 2007; Yoon et al., 2008; Yasir et al., 2010).

Regarding niche, sphingomonads have been isolated from a variety of terrestrial and aquatic environments, including; water supplies, respirators, blood, wounds, dialysis equipment, patients with septicemia, peritonitis, meningitis, and wound infections, soils, deep subsurface sediments, corroding copper pipes and in plants (White et al., 1996; Gan et al., 2009).

Members of the *Sphingomonas* genus are able to catabolize a wide range of natural recalcitrant and anthropogenic compounds including; biphenyl, naphthalenes, pyrene, furans, oestradiol, polyethylenglycols, chlorinated phenols, and various biocides such as carbofuran, 2,4-D and mecoprop (Ogramab et al., 2000; Basta et al., 2004; Stolz, 2009). It was shown that the biphenyl-and naphthalene-degrading *Sphingomonas aromaticivorans* F199 strain and other sphingomonads that degrade additional xenobiotic compounds contain large plasmids encoding the catabolic pathways (Romine et al., 1999; Ogramab et al., 2000; Basta et al., 2004, 2005). Evidence also supports that these replicons can only be transferred among sphingomonads (Ogramab et al., 2000; Basta et al., 2004) by conjugal transfer and that gene and gene cluster rearrangements in the plasmids occur post conjugation (Tiirola et al., 2002). The presence of multiple insertion

elements in sphingomonads suggests a role in the establishment of degradative pathways and in plasmid rearrangements and differences in gene cluster localization in members of the *Sphingomonadaceae* family (Dogra et al., 2004; Muller et al., 2004; Thiel et al., 2005). A recent study comparing the genomes of 26 sphingomonads suggests diverse adaptations and biodegradative capabilities in this group within the phylum *Alphaproteobacteria* (Aylward et al., 2013). Given this complexity in niche environments, biodegradation capabilities and genome rearrangements, the whole genome sequencing of additional sphingomonads has the potential to enhance our understanding of the diversity within this group and may contribute to important biotechnological applications such as bioremediation in the future.

Quorum sensing (QS) is a system commonly employed by bacteria to monitor its cell density prior to regulating gene expression (Fuqua et al., 1994; Miller and Bassler, 2001; Waters and Bassler, 2005; Schuster et al., 2013). In one type of QS system from Gram-negative bacteria, the bacteria produce and detect chemical signals called N-acyl-homoserine lactones (AHL). These signals are produced by the enzyme AHL synthase, a member of the LuxI-type protein family. The AHL compounds are detected by a transcriptional regulator belonging to the LuxRtype family. A typical AHL-QS system contains a LuxI and a LuxR protein that are usually in a genomic context regarding proximity of the genes on the chromosome (Choudhary et al., 2013). Upon reaching a concentration threshold measured by the cell density, the AHL signal is detected by the cognate LuxR and can activate population-wide-responses leading to the coordination of gene activation or repression. In Gramnegative bacteria, AHL dependent QS regulation is used to regulate the production of diverse responses such as; the activation of virulence factors, conjugation, the production of antimicrobial metabolites, the regulation of enzyme secretion, the production of bioluminescence and the anabolism of polysaccharide production which is correlated to biofilm formation (Miller and Bassler, 2001; Fuqua and Greenberg, 2002; Waters and Bassler, 2005).

Besides the presence of the canonical luxI/luxR pairs, many bacteria contain additional luxR transcriptional regulators that are not in a genomic context regarding proximity to a *luxI* gene. These unpaired *luxR* genes have been termed solos and orphans and are homologous to QS LuxR-type transcriptional regulators in that LuxR solos contain the AHL-binding domain at the N terminus and a DNA-binding helix-turn-helix (HTH) domain at the C terminus (Fuqua, 2006; Case et al., 2008; Subramoni and Venturi, 2009; Tsai and Winans, 2010; Cude and Buchan, 2013; Gonzalez and Venturi, 2013). The solo LuxR-type transcriptional activators increase the regulatory range by responding to endogenously produced AHLs and by "listening-in" on exogenous signals produced by other bacteria. Recently, a subfamily of LuxR solos have been found that respond to plant-produced compounds and were subsequently named the plant associated bacteria (PAB) luxR solos (Ferluga et al., 2007; Zhang et al., 2007). In addition, LuxI solos were identified first by Zan et al. (2012) and have also been subsequently identified in Sulfitobacter, Ruesgeria, and Phaeobacter genera all within the Roseobacter clade (Cude and Buchan, 2013).

Members of the sphingomonads have been shown to synthesize AHL signals (D'angelo-Picard et al., 2005; Gan et al., 2009; Huang et al., 2013; Schaefer et al., 2013). Previous work by our group have, isolated, identified, sequenced and annotated the genome of an AHL-producing Novosphingobium sp. Rr 2-17 isolated from a grapevine tumor (Gan et al., 2009, 2012). Comparative genomic analysis of Rr 2-17 and five additional members from the genus Novosphingobium validated the presence of canonical luxI/luxR pairs. Furthermore, a putative luxR solo in strain PP1Y of the Novosphingobium genus was identified (Gan et al., 2013). Our initial and continuing work with a group of sphingomonads documented to degrade natural and anthropogenic compounds identified a subset of four sphingomonads capable of producing AHL QS signals. We decided to sequence their whole genomes to corroborate AHL-producing phenotype with the presence of *luxI* and *luxR* homologs in the whole genomes and more importantly to contribute molecular resources for future genetic work pertaining to microbial-based bioremediation.

Leveraging on the expansion of microbial genomics data, the additional objectives of this study are to (1) provide an updated genomic distribution of *luxI/R* homologs in the *Sphingomonadaceae* family, (2) update and validate sphingomonad taxonomy using genome-based approach, (3) provide a comprehensive LuxR phylogeny and (4) identify putative LuxR solos and LuxI solos in the currently sequenced sphingomonads.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

STRAINS, CULTURE CONDITIONS AND EXTRACT PREPARATION

The bacterial strains (kindly provided by Andreas Stolz, Institut fur Mikrobiologie, Universitat Stuttgart, Stuttgart, Germany) used in this work were cultured on R2A minimal agar media. To prepare extracts for the detection of AHL compounds, the four sphingomonads were grown on potato dextrose agar medium for 4 days and were resuspended in 10 mls sterile purified water. Equal volume of acidified ethyl acetate (aEtOAc) was added to the resuspended bacteria and the mixture was agitated for 3 h at 25°C with shaking at 150 rpm followed by centrifugation to separate the aqueous phase from the aEtOAc phase. Under these conditions, AHLs partition into the non-polar aEtOAC phase. The aEtOAc was aspirated off, dried in a Savant speed-vac and resuspended in aEtOAc to produce a 20-fold concentrated aEtOAc extracts. These extracts were used in AHL detection assays.

E. coli JM109, *Agrobacterium tumefaciens* A136 and *Chromobacterium violaceum* CV026 were grown in Luria-Bertani (LB). Each bacterial biosensor reporter strain used in this work is listed in Supplemental Table 1 along with its AHL receptor protein and cognate AHL signal. All media and growth conditions are as previously described by our group (Scott et al., 2006; Gan et al., 2009; Lowe et al., 2009; Savka et al., 2011).

BIOASSAYS FOR AHL QS SIGNAL DETECTION USING AHL-DEPENDENT BIOSENSOR STRAINS

An overnight culture of these four biosensors were grown in LB with the appropriate antibiotic and diluted 1:10 in LB and 200 μ l of the diluted cell suspension was added to the round bottom tubes (12 × 50 mm) containing dried aEtOAc samples or pure AHL signals as controls. Cognate AHL signal for *E. coli*

biosensors JM109 (pSB401) was 3-oxo-C6-HSL at 50 nM; for JM109 (pSB536) was C4-HSL at 1 μ M; for JM109 (pSB1075) was 3-oxo-C12-HSL at 1 nM, unless otherwise noted. For the *A. tume-faciens* A136 biosensor pure C8-HSL was used at 50 nM. Tubes were incubated at 30°C with shaking for 5 to 6 h before bioluminescence was measured using a Turner Designs TD 20/20 luminometer. The TD 20/20 luminometer was adjusted to different sensitivities due to the varying responses of the JM109 series of biosensors to their cognate AHL signal. Unless noted, relative light units (RLU) measurements were made at 30.0, 39.9, 50.1, and 30.0% sensitivity for LuxR-, AhyR, LasR, and TraR-based biosensors, respectively. Luminescence is measured and given in RLU per triplicate sample. RLUs were determined with a 20-s integration period. Mean values of the RLUs were obtained with three independent biological samples.

For "T"-streak assays, the *Chromobacterium violaceum* colorless mutant, CV026 was used. In the presence of exogenous QS signals CVO26 produces the purple pigment violacein, indicating the presence of AHL in the sample. *C. violaceum* wild type strain was used as a positive control. *E. coli* DH5 α was the negative control in the T-streak plate assays. The biosensor, controls, and samples were grown on tryptone—yeast extract medium mixed with PDA medium (1:1, v/v). Each isolate was tested at least two times using the "T"-streak bioassay. The whole cell AHL-dependent biosensor assays were performed as previously described by our group (Scott et al., 2006; Gan et al., 2009; Lowe et al., 2009; Savka et al., 2011).

WHOLE GENOME SEQUENCING, ASSEMBLY AND ANNOTATION

Genomic DNA was extracted using the GenElute[™] (Sigma-Aldrich, St. Louis, MO) and converted into next generation sequencing library using Nextera XT (Illumina, San Diego, CA) according to the manufacturer's instructions. Whole genome sequencing was performed using the MiSeq (Illumina, San Diego, CA) at the Monash University Malaysia Genomics Facility. The raw data for each bacterium were error-corrected and assembled using Spades v2.5 (default setting) (Bankevich et al., 2012). The generated contigs were scaffolded and gap-closed using SSPACE and GAPFiller respectively (Boetzer et al., 2011; Boetzer and Pirovano, 2012). Genome annotation was performed using Prokka and InterProScan5 (Jones et al., 2014; Seemann, 2014).

WHOLE GENOME-BASED PHYLOGENY ASSIGNMENT

Publicly available complete and draft genome sequences (<250 contigs) from the genus *Novosphingobium*, *Sphingomonas*, *Sphingopyxis*, and *Sphingobium* were downloaded. Subsequently, gene/protein prediction was performed using Prodigal2.60 (default setting) (Hyatt et al., 2010). PhyloPhlAn was used to construct phylogenetic tree from the resulting predicted proteins based on 400 highly conserved microbial proteins (Segata et al., 2013).

SYSTEMATIC BIOINFORMATICS IDENTIFICATION OF LuxI, LuxR AND LuxR SOLO HOMOLOGS

A systematic methodology for the accurate and stringent identification of LuxI, LuxR, and LuxR solo homologs is presented in **Figure 1**. Briefly, the predicted proteomes were scanned for protein family domain (PFAM) specifically the autoinducer synthase domain (PFAM signature: PF00765) and the autoinducer binding domain (PFAM signature: PF03472) that are universally present in reported LuxR and LuxI homologs, respectively, using profile hidden Markov models-based similarity search (E-value <1e-5). The short listed candidates were further annotated using the more time consuming but comprehensive InterProScan5. To qualify as an authentic LuxR homolog, the shortlisted protein must contain four signature LuxR homolog Interproscan identifiers e.g., IPR005143 (autoinducer binding), IPR016032 (Signal transduction response regulator, C-terminal effector), IPR011991 (Winged helix-turn-helix DNA-binding domain), and IPR000792 (Transcription regulator LuxR, C-terminal) that are universally present in functionally validated LuxR homologs. An authentic LuxI homolog on the other hand, must contain both IPR001690 (Autoinducer synthesis protein) and IPR018311 (Autoinducer synthesis, conserved site). Cognate LuxI and LuxR homologs were then manually identified based on the coordinate and close proximity of their respective protein-coding genes.

MAXIMUM LIKELIHOOD APPROXIMATION OF THE LuxR PHYLOGENY

Functionally validated LuxR homologs, PAB LuxR solos and the putative sphingomonad LuxR homologs were combined and aligned with MAFFT-LINSI using the default setting (Katoh and Standley, 2013). The resulting protein alignment was then used as the input for maximum likelihood phylogenetic analysis using FastTree2 (Price et al., 2010). The constructed tree was visualized and graphically edited using FigTree (Rambaut, 2014).

VISUALIZATION OF *LuxR* AND *LuxI* SOLOS GENE NEIGHBORHOOD, LuxR HOMOLOGS ALIGNMENT AND PAIRWISE IDENTITY MATRIX CONSTRUCTION

Contigs containing the identified *luxR* solo genes were extracted from the genome, annotated with Prokka (default setting) and subsequently visualized in EasyFig (Sullivan et al., 2011). Additionally, sphingomonad LuxR homologs clustered with the PAB LuxR solos were aligned with MAFFT-LINSI (Katoh and Standley, 2013) and visualized using ALINE (Bond and Schuttelkopf, 2009). Pairwise identity matrix for selected LuxR homologs was constructed using SDT (Muhire et al., 2014).

RESULTS

GENOME STATISTICS OF THE FOUR NEWLY SEQUENCED SPHINGOMONADS AND THEIR ABILITY TO PRODUCE AHL SIGNALS

The genome assembly and annotation statistics of four genomes of *Sphingomonas* known for their biodegradation ability in addition to their isolation source and notable features are presented in **Table 1**. Culture extracts prepared from each of the four sphingomonads strains in this study chosen for whole genome sequencing activated at least two AHL-dependent whole cell bacterial biosensors (Supplemental Table 1). *Sphingomonas paucimobilis* EPA505 activated light production in the TraRbased *Agrobacterium* A136 and in the LasR-based *E. coli* JM109 (pSB1075) biosensors and activated pigment synthesis in the CviR-based *Chromobacterium* biosensor. The *Sphingobium herbicidovorans* NBRC16415, *Sphingobium yanoikuyae* B1 and *Novosphingobium resinovorum* KF1 activated light production in



the TraR- and activated pigment synthesis in the CviR-based biosensors (**Table 2**). These results are consistent with findings by others that AHL QS signal production in members of the sphingomonad group is not uncommon (D'angelo-Picard et al., 2005; Gan et al., 2009; Huang et al., 2013; Schaefer et al., 2013).

PHYLOGENOMIC ANALYSIS OF CURRENTLY SEQUENCED SPHINGOMONAD

Analysis of the currently sequenced sphingomonads (Figure 2 and see Supplemental Table 4 for accession number) indicates that there is a sequencing bias toward the genera *Sphingobium*, *Novosphingobium*, and *Sphingomonas*. Very recently, our group sequenced and annotated the genomes of two additional cave Sphingopyxis genomes that enabled the expansion of the taxon sampling size (Gan et al., 2014). Species from the genera Sphingobium and Novosphingobium form robust monophyletic lineages with extremely high (>90%) nodal support. Based on phylogenomic analysis, the Sphingobium clade is the sister group to the clade of Sphingopyxis and Novosphingobium. Notably, species from the genus Sphingomonas display considerable paraphyletic distributions, indicating incongruence between molecular and biochemical-based taxonomic assignment. Phylogenomic analysis also suggests that Sphingomonas sp. SKA85 and the classic Sphingomonas paucimobilis EPA505 (Nohynek et al., 1996) may have been misclassified at the genus level as evidenced by its tight clustering within the Sphingobium group.

Table 1 | Strain information, genome assembly and annotation statistics of the sequenced sphingomonads used in this study.

Strain	A. N.*	Size (bp)	No. of contigs	N50	GC%	Some compounds degraded	Isolation source	References
Novosphingobium resinovorum KF1	JFYZ01	6,304,486	115	171,782	65.06	2, 3, 4,6-tetrachlorophenol	Fluidized-bed reactor	Takeuchi et al., 2001
Sphingobium herbicidovorans NBRC16415	JFZA01	4,032,326	62	178,990	62.44	2, 4-dichlorophenoxyacetate	Soil	Zipper et al., 1996
Sphingobium yanoikuyae B1	JGVR01	5,683,787	116	158,314	63.94	Toluene, biphenyl	Polluted stream	Yabuuchi et al., 1990
Sphingomonas paucimobilis EPA505	JFYY01	4,874,185	81	285,203	63.93	Fluoranthene, naphthalene(s)	Creosote waste site	Muller and Wittmann-Liebold, 1997

*A.N., Accession Number.

Table 2 | Production of *N*-acyl-homoserine lactones by four newly sequenced strains of the *Sphingomonas, Sphingobium* and *Novosphingobium* group assayed by five AHL-dependent biosensor strains*.

Genus and species/strain	AhyR‡	LuxR‡	TraR^{\ddagger}	$LasR^{\ddagger}$	CviR [#]
Sphingomonas paucimobilis EPA505	-	-	+++	+	+++
Sphingobium herbicidovorans NBRC16415	-	-	++	-	+++
Sphingobium yanoikuyae B1	_	_	++	_	+
Novosphingobium resinovorum KF1	-	-	+++	-	+

*Abbreviations include: AhyR, AHL receptor from Aeromonas hydrophilia; LuxR, from Vibrio fisheri; TraR, from Agrobacterium tumefaciens; LasR, from Pseudomonas aeruginosa; CviR, from Chromobacterium violaceum.

[‡]Scores for biosensor detection of AHL in strain extracts are based on the following criteria: -, < 2-fold higher than background levels of relative light units (RLU) bioluminescence; +, > 2-fold higher than background RLUs; ++, 50 to 75-fold higher than background RLUs; + + +, > 75-fold higher than background in RLUs.

[#]CviR, AHL-dependent receptor of biosensor strain CV026. Scores were relative violacein pigment production in T-streak bioassays on PDA/TYE (1:1) agar media.

THE PRESENCE AND COMPOSITION OF SPHINGOMONAD *LuxR* AND *LuxI* HOMOLOGS ARE DIVERSE

The analysis of 62 sphingomonads genomes provides genetic evidence that QS is a common trait within the family. 40 of the 62 genomes analyzed contain at least one putative *luxI* or *luxR* homolog with 33 of them containing at least 1 putative canonical *luxI/R* homolog pair (**Table 3** and See Supplemental Tables 2, 3 for a complete information of the identified *luxR* and *luxI* homologs). The non-universal presence of QS genes in members of the same species e.g., *Sphingobium yanoikuyae* and *Sphingobium xenophagum* may imply that QS is a trait that is subject to purifying selection. It is also worth noting that members of the currently sequenced *Sphingomonas* have a relatively incomplete *luxI* and *luxR* homologs in this genus.

LuxR PHYLOGENY REVEALS DIVERSE ORIGIN OF SPHINGOMONAD LuxR AND SUPPORTS THE MONOPHYLETIC CLUSTERING OF LuxR SOLOS FROM PLANT ASSOCIATED BACTERIA

A majority of the sphingomonad LuxR homologs form a big clade that is a sister group to the clade containing the functionally validated BiaR and RhlR (Figure 3) (Cubo et al., 1992; Lindemann et al., 2011). Consistent with previous reports, the PAB LuxR solos e.g., NesR, XagR, XccR, OryR, and PsoR (Ferluga et al., 2007; Zhang et al., 2007; Ferluga and Venturi, 2009; Chatnaparat et al., 2012; Gonzalez et al., 2013) formed a robust and well-defined monophyletic group. Based on phylogenetic clustering, six sphingomonad LuxR homologs may share a common (but distant) ancestry with the PAB LuxR solos clade. Alignment of these six putative LuxR homologs shows substitution in the highly conserved amino acid in the regulatory domain e.g., Y61W that is similarly reported in PAB LuxR solos. With the exception of a LuxR homolog from Sphingobium herbicidovorans NRBC 16415 (JFYZ01~contig3 10) that has a W57V substitution, the W57 residue was conserved in the remaining five sphingomonad LuxR homologs. Furthermore, other substitutions were observed in the conserved D70 and W85 residues for four out of the six sphingomonad LuxR homologs (Figure 4). In general, the three conserved residues in the DNA-binding domain (E178, L182, and G188) are conserved across the LuxR homologs alignment with the exception of L182I substitution in a Sphingomonas sp. S17 LuxR homolog (AFGG01~contig50_9).

THE GENE NEIGHBORHOOD OF SPHINGOMONAD *LuxR* SOLO AND *LuxR* DOUBLE IS NOT CONSERVED

Investigation of the genes flanking the putative *luxR* solos in our sequenced genomes reveals some intriguing findings (**Figure 5A**). In *Sphingobium herbicidovorans* NBRC 16415, its putative *luxR* solo is convergently oriented with respect to a *luxI/R* pair and while in *N. resinovorum* [contig 2], it is located four genes downstream of a *luxI/R* pair. Furthermore, the gene coding for a possibly truncated LuxR-like protein is located immediately downstream of the *luxR* solos in *S. yanoikuyae* and *N. resinovorum* (contig2) (**Figure 5A**), suggesting the occurrence of *luxR* gene duplication and/or recombination in that region. In addition to the tandem *luxR* duplication (*luxR* double) in strain NBRC16415, further analysis of the sphingomonad genomes led to the identification of additional tandem *luxR* duplication



Frontiers in Cellular and Infection Microbiology

Organism	Canonical <i>luxR, luxl</i>	<i>luxR-luxR-luxl</i> and neighborhood variation [#]	Unpaired <i>luxI</i> (solos)	Unpaired <i>luxR</i> (solos)
NOVOSPHINGOBIUM (7/11) [‡] [6,0,0,3] *				
Novosphingobium lindaniclasticum LE124	1	0	0	0
Novosphingobium pentaromativorans PP1Y	2	0	0	1
Novosphingobium pentaromativorans US6-1	1	0	0	0
Novosphingobium resinovorum KF1 [†]	2	0	0	2
Novosphingobium sp. AP12	1	0	0	0
Novosphingobium sp. RR 2-17	1	0	0	0
Novosphingobium tardaugens NBRC 16725	0	0	0	2
SPHINGOBIUM (18/24) [‡] [11,11,8,12] *				
Sphingobium baderi LL03	0	2 with T3 and T5	1	3
Sphingobium chinhatense IP26	1	0	1	0
Sphingobium chlorophenolicum NBRC 16172	2	0	1	1
Sphingobium chlorophenolicum L1	0	1 with T1	1	1
Sphingobium herbicidovorans NBRC 16415 [†]	1	1 with T3	0	1
Sphingobium indicum B90A	0	1 with T1	1	1
Sphingobium japonicum UT26S	2	1 with T1	0	1
Sphingobium lactosutens DS20	2	1 with T1	0	0
Sphingobium sp. ANT17	1	0	0	0
Sphingobium sp. AP49	1	0	0	0
Sphingobium sp. DC-2	0	0	0	1
Sphingobium sp. HDIP04	0	1 with T4	1	1
Sphingobium sp. KK22	1	1 with T3	2	0
Sphingobium sp. SYK6	2	1 with T1	0	1
Sphingobium sp. YL23	0	1 with T3	0	0
Sphingobium xenophagum QYY	0	1 with T2	0	1
Sphingobium yanoikuyae ATCC 51230	2	0	1	5
Sphingobium yanoikuyae B1 [†]	2	0	0	1
SPHINGOMONAS (11/22) [‡] [5,2,0,7] *				
Sphingomonas elodea ATCC 31461	0	0	0	1
Sphingomonas paucimobilis EPA505 [†]	2	0	0	1
Sphingomonas sp. KC8	1	0	0	0
Sphingomonas sp. MM1	0	1 with T6	0	0
Sphingomonas sp. PAMC 26617	0	0	0	1
Sphingomonas sp. PAMC 26621	0	0	0	1
Sphingomonas sp. S17	0	0	0	1
Sphingomonas sp. SKA58	1	1 with T1	0	0
Sphingomonas sp. UNC305MFCOL5.2	1	0	0	0
Sphingomonas sp. YL-JM2C	2	0	0	2
Sphingomonas wittichii RW1	0	0	0	3
SPHINGOPYXIS (4/5) [‡] [4,0,0,0] *				
Sphingopyxis alaskensis RB2256	2	0	0	0
Sphingopyxis sp. LC363	1	0	0	0
Sphingopyxis sp. LC81	2	0	0	0
Sphingopyxis sp. MC1	1	0	0	0

Table 3 | Distribution and organization of the *luxl* and *luxR* homologs and the presence of direct double *luxR-luxl* topology identified in the whole genome sequences of 40 sphingomonads.

[‡](Number of genomes luxl and/or luxR)/(Total genome).

*Number of genomes with luxl and luxR of four described category (separated by comma).

[†]Strains sequenced in this study.

[#] Topology variation and conservation of phyH in convergent double luxR, luxI gene neighborhoods (See Figure 5B for detailed topology variation).



(Table 3 and Figure 3) with variable gene neighborhood at the 5' end (Figure 5B).

PAIRWISE COMPARISON BETWEEN MEMBERS OF THE SAME **CONVERGENT DOUBLE LuxR GROUP SHOWS CONSIDERABLE** SEQUENCE DIVERGENCE

The amino acid pairwise identity between members of the same LuxR double group is in the range of 50%. On the contrary, up available in Supplemental Data 1.

to 94% pairwise identity could be obtained for members from different LuxR double group (Figure 6). This is consistent with the LuxR phylogenetic tree with whereby LuxR double members from the same group do not form a tight cluster with one another (Figure 3). Given that *luxR* double is almost exclusively observed in the genus Sphingobium, luxR double may originate from an ancient tandem gene duplication in the common ancestor of the genus Sphingobium followed by a neofunctionalization-oriented



functional divergence of the *luxR* duplicate that was subsequently retained in several strains of the genus *Sphingobium*. The presence of a *luxR* double in a non-*Sphingobium* strain e.g., *Sphingomonas sp.* MM1 may then be attributed to horizontal gene transfer.

IDENTIFICATION OF LuxI SOLOS

Two putative *luxI* solos were identified in *Sphingobium* sp. KK2 strain that reside on different contigs and one in *Sphingobium chinhatense* IP26 strains (**Figure 7A**). A gene coding for N-terminal truncated/mutated LuxR-like protein is located immediately upstream and convergently oriented to the putative *luxI* solo in *Sphingobium chinhatense* IP26 and *Sphingobium* sp. KK2. Multiple sequence alignment of the three putative LuxI solos in sphingomonads with LuxI-type family proteins showed all 10 amino acid residues required for AHL synthase activity are conserved and supports that these three *luxI* solos encode enzymes involved in AHL synthesis (**Figure 7B**). Additionally, *phyH* gene coding for phytanoyl dioxygenase is located immediately downstream of and convergently oriented with respect to one of the *luxI* solos in strain KK2 which is frequently observed in several well-described *luxI/R* pairs (Gan et al., 2013).

DISCUSSION

The biochemical and genetic characterization of Novosphingobium sp. Rr 2-17 isolated from grapevine tumor provided the first glimpse of QS ability in the genus Novosphingobium (Gan et al., 2009). The genome sequencing of strain Rr 2-17 and subsequent comparative genomic analysis with five additional members from the genus Novosphingobium validates the presence of *luxI/R* homolog(s) (Gan et al., 2012) and even more intriguingly, a luxR solo in this genus (Gan et al., 2013). Expanding from our previous study, we present four new whole genome sequences of AHL QS signal producing strains in the sphingomonad group and to our knowledge presents the most comprehensive genomic surveillance of sphingomonads for the distribution of *luxI/R* homologs to date. In addition, the work presents the most updated and accurate genome-based taxonomy validation of the currently sequenced sphingomonads. Although previous works provided convincing biochemical test results to support the reclassification of Sphingomonas, the constructed phylogeny based on the 16S rRNA gene failed to provide satisfactory bootstrap support particularly in the splits that separated the major genus in Sphingomonadaceae (Takeuchi et al., 2001). Our phylogenomic approach dramatically improves the bootstrap support at these major splits that highlights the presence of strong phylogenetic signal afforded by the utilization of nearly 400 universal proteins. Further, the paraphyletic clustering of the genus *Sphingomonas* underscores the overlooked diversity of *Sphingomonas* that may benefit from further sub-classification in addition to its current classification into three well-known genera e.g., *Novosphingobium, Sphingopyxis,* and *Sphingobium* and the recently proposed genus, *Sphingosinicella* (Takeuchi et al., 2001).

The phylogenetic clustering of sphingomonad LuxR homologs shows no evidence of phylogeny congruence i.e., inconsistent clustering of LuxR homologs from members of the same genus. Given that a majority of the sphingomonad LuxR homologs form a large clade among themselves, the incongruence with the newly constructed species phylogeny (Figure 2) can be explained by a combination of horizontal gene transfer and gene duplication within the Sphingomonadaceae family followed by speciation as proposed previously (Lerat and Moran, 2004). Interestingly, four sphingomonad LuxR homologs formed a monophyletic clade that is sister group to TraR and RaiR. The distant relationship between the this sphingomonad LuxR clade and the major sphingomonad LuxR homologs clade coupled with the localization of both traR and raiR genes on the plasmid e.g., Ti plasmid and non-symbiotic plasmid respectively (Piper et al., 1993; Gray et al., 1996; Oger and Farrand, 2002) suggest the acquisition of these four luxR homologs via plasmid-mediated horizontal gene transfer. This warrants future work focusing on the identification of plasmidcoded luxR homolog through plasmid isolation and sequencing to confirm the origin of the distant sphingomonad luxR homologs.

Five out of six of the sphingomonad LuxR homologs that are more closely related to PAB LuxR solos than the rest of the LuxR homologs (Figure 3) appear to share one of the two major signature e.g., Y61W in PAB solos (Figure 4). Recent cartography analysis of the ligand-binding sites of the LuxR homologs has demonstrated that Y61 residue is directly involved in ligand binding (in addition to W57, D70, and W85) (Covaceuszach et al., 2013). Therefore, substitution at Y61 in these specific sphingomonad LuxR homologs is a strong indicator of their inability to bind to AHL. Three dimensional structure modeling of these proteins followed by comparison of binding/active sites regarding substrate preference(s) will shed lights into the protein characteristic of these atypical sphingomonad LuxR homologs. Recently, a LuxR-homolog from Photorhabdus that has some substitutions in the conserved 9 aa residues in LuxR homologs was shown to bind to a bacterial-produced pyrone instead of AHLs or plant exudates (Brachmann et al., 2013; Brameyer et al., 2014). It should be noted



that the structural-activity relationship(s) of LuxR solos is beyond the scope of this study.

The occurrence of two *luxR* homologs in tandem is not novel in the realm of alpha-bacteria and has been previously reported in the genus *Roseobacter*, noted as topology N (Cude and Buchan, 2013). However, the gene neighborhood of the double *luxR* in various sphingomonads is significantly different from topology N to justify the proposal of a new topology that we will coin as topology T. Topology T represents the convergently oriented *luxR-luxI-phyH-X-virB1-virB2-virB3* topology whereby X denotes gene coding for hypothetical protein. It is also worth noting that one or more mobile elements are present upstream of the



double *luxR* in three out of the six topology variants, indicating past transposition event(s) and/or transposition potential of the gene cluster.

The low pairwise identity between members of the same LuxR double group (**Figure 6**) support the distantly shared ancestry as observed in the LuxR phylogenetic tree (**Figure 3**, shaded in pink). Furthermore, the low pairwise identity between members of the same LuxR double group and retention of convergent double *luxR* in the genomes of several *Sphingobium* strains suggests that the sphingomonad LuxR duplicate has undergone sufficient functional divergence which may correlate to the evolution of the organism to be more competitive regarding niche adaptation. The presence of the complete LuxR signature domains in both members of the same convergent double LuxR group suggests the retention of the core LuxR function with perhaps dissimilar

substrate range and/or DNA-binding region that warrants future protein characterization and transcription study.

In addition to harboring the newly described QS gene circuit arrangement, some members of the currently sequenced genus *Sphingobium* exhibit another interesting feature of QS signaling, e.g., presence of *luxI* solos. The assignment of LuxI solos based on the presence of signature amino acid residues in canonical LuxI homologs and the absence of unassociated *luxR* in the vicinity of its protein coding gene (**Figure 7** and **Table 3**) provide strong evidence that the LuxI solos identified in both *Sphingobium* sp. KK2 and *Sphingobium chinhatense* IP26 are authentic. The presence of a gene coding for a putative N-terminal truncated LuxR-like protein immediately upstream of the *luxI* solo gene in strain IP26 is suggestive of the *luxI* solo previously being part of a functional *luxI/R* pair instead of having been acquired independently.



However, this may not be the case for another *luxI* solo located in contig92 of Sphingobium sp. KK2 with more than 800 bp of an upstream non-protein coding region. Recently a detailed study of LuxR-LuxI type QS network in Ruegeria sp. KLH11 (Zan et al., 2012) confirmed the presence of a functional LuxI solo, SscI and demonstrated that SscI and a paired-LuxI homolog, SsbI, produced the same AHLs e.g., 3-OH-C14:1-HSL and 3-OH-C14-HSL that indirectly affect QS-dependent gene regulation by another LuxI/R pair homologs, SsaI/R. Given the presence of one or more *luxI/R* pairs in the strain KK2 and IP26 genomes, it is tempting to speculate that a similar level of QS network complexity may operate in both Sphingobium strains.

SUPPLEMENTARY MATERIAL

The Supplementary Material for this article can be found online at: http://www.frontiersin.org/journal/10.3389/fcimb. 2014.00188/abstract

REFERENCES

- Aylward, F. O., McDonald, B. R., Adams, S. M., Valenzuela, A., Schmidt, R. A., Goodwin, L. A., et al. (2013). Comparison of 26 sphingomonad genomes reveals diverse environmental adaptations and biodegradative capabilities. Appl. Environ. Microbiol. 79, 3724-3733. doi: 10.1128/AEM. 00518-13
- Bankevich, A., Nurk, S., Antipov, D., Gurevich, A. A., Dvorkin, M., Kulikov, A. S., et al. (2012). SPAdes: a new genome assembly algorithm and its

applications to single-cell sequencing. J. Comput. Biol. 19, 455-477. doi: 10.1089/cmb.2012.0021

- Basta, T., Buerger, S., and Stolz, A. (2005). Structural and replicative diversity of large plasmids from sphingomonads that degrade polycyclic aromatic compounds and xenobiotics. Microbiology 151, 2025-2037. doi: 10.1099/mic.0.27965-0
- Basta, T., Keck, A., Klein, J., and Stolz, A. (2004). Detection and characterization of conjugative degradative plasmids in xenobiotic-degrading Sphingomonas strains. J. Bacteriol. 186, 3862-3872. doi: 10.1128/JB.186.12.3862-3872.2004
- Boetzer, M., Henkel, C. V., Jansen, H. J., Butler, D., and Pirovano, W. (2011). Scaffolding pre-assembled contigs using SSPACE. Bioinformatics 27, 578-579. doi: 10.1093/bioinformatics/btg683
- Boetzer, M., and Pirovano, W. (2012). Toward almost closed genomes with GapFiller. Genome Biol. 13, R56. doi: 10.1186/gb-2012-13-6-r56
- Bond, C. S., and Schuttelkopf, A. W. (2009). ALINE: a WYSIWYG protein-sequence alignment editor for publication-quality alignments. Acta Crystallogr. D Biol. Crystallogr. 65, 510-512. doi: 10.1107/S0907444909007835
- Brachmann, A. O., Brameyer, S., Kresovic, D., Hitkova, I., Kopp, Y., Manske, C., et al. (2013). Pyrones as bacterial signaling molecules. Nat. Chem. Biol. 9, 573-578. doi: 10.1038/nchembio.1295
- Brameyer, S., Kresovic, D., Bode, H. B., and Heermann, R. (2014). LuxR solos in Photorhabdus species. Front. Cell. Infect. Microbiol. 4:166. doi: 10.3389/fcimb.2014.00166
- Case, R. J., Labbate, M., and Kjelleberg, S. (2008). AHL-driven quorum-sensing circuits: their frequency and function among the Proteobacteria. ISME J. 2, 345-349. doi: 10.1038/ismej.2008.13
- Chatnaparat, T., Prathuangwong, S., Ionescu, M., and Lindow, S. E. (2012). XagR, a LuxR homolog, contributes to the virulence of Xanthomonas axonopodis pv. glycines to soybean. Mol. Plant Microbe Interact. 25, 1104-1117. doi: 10.1094/MPMI-01-12-0008-R

- Choudhary, K. S., Hudaiberdiev, S., Gelencser, Z., Goncalves Coutinho, B., Venturi, V., and Pongor, S. (2013). The Organization of the quorum sensing *luxI/R* Family Genes in *Burkholderia. Int. J. Mol. Sci.* 14, 13727–13747. doi: 10.3390/ijms140713727
- Covaceuszach, S., Degrassi, G., Venturi, V., and Lamba, D. (2013). Structural insights into a novel interkingdom signaling circuit by cartography of the ligand-binding sites of the homologous quorum sensing LuxR-family. *Int. J. Mol. Sci.* 14, 20578–20596. doi: 10.3390/ijms141020578
- Cubo, M. T., Economou, A., Murphy, G., Johnston, A. W., and Downie, J. A. (1992). Molecular characterization and regulation of the rhizosphere-expressed genes *rhiABCR* that can influence nodulation by *Rhizobium leguminosarum* biovar viciae. J. Bacteriol. 174, 4026–4035.
- Cude, W. N., and Buchan, A. (2013). Acyl-homoserine lactone-based quorum sensing in the *Roseobacter* clade: complex cell-to-cell communication controls multiple physiologies. *Front. Microbiol.* 4:336. doi: 10.3389/fmicb.2013.00336
- D'angelo-Picard, C., Faure, D., Penot, I., and Dessaux, Y. (2005). Diversity of N-acyl homoserine lactone-producing and -degrading bacteria in soil and tobacco rhizosphere. *Environ. Microbiol.* 7, 1796–1808. doi: 10.1111/j.1462-2920.2005.00886.x
- Dogra, C., Raina, V., Pal, R., Suar, M., Lal, S., Gartemann, K. H., et al. (2004). Organization of *lin* genes and IS6100 among different strains of hexachlorocyclohexane-degrading *Sphingomonas paucimobilis*: evidence for horizontal gene transfer. *J. Bacteriol.* 186, 2225–2235. doi: 10.1128/JB.186.8.2225-2235.2004
- Ferluga, S., Bigirimana, J., Hofte, M., and Venturi, V. (2007). A LuxR homologue of Xanthomonas oryzae pv. oryzae is required for optimal rice virulence. Mol. Plant Pathol. 8, 529–538. doi: 10.1111/j.1364-3703.2007.00415.x
- Ferluga, S., and Venturi, V. (2009). OryR is a LuxR-family protein involved in interkingdom signaling between pathogenic *Xanthomonas oryzae* pv. oryzae and rice. *J. Bacteriol.* 191, 890–897. doi: 10.1128/JB.01507-08
- Fuqua, C., and Greenberg, E. P. (2002). Listening in on bacteria: acyl-homoserine lactone signalling. Nat. Rev. Mol. Cell Biol. 3, 685–695. doi: 10.1038/nrm907
- Fuqua, C. (2006). The QscR quorum-sensing regulon of *Pseudomonas aeruginosa*: an orphan claims its identity. *J. Bacteriol.* 188, 3169–3171. doi: 10.1128/JB.188.9.3169-3171.2006
- Fuqua, W. C., Winans, S. C., and Greenberg, E. P. (1994). Quorum sensing in bacteria: the LuxR-LuxI family of cell density-responsive transcriptional regulators. *J. Bacteriol.* 176, 269–275.
- Gan, H. M., Buckley, L., Szegedi, E., Hudson, A. O., and Savka, M. A. (2009). Identification of an rsh gene from a *Novosphingobium* sp. necessary for quorum-sensing signal accumulation. *J. Bacteriol.* 191, 2551–2560. doi: 10.1128/JB.01692-08
- Gan, H. M., Chew, T. H., Hudson, A. O., and Savka, M. A. (2012). Genome sequence of *Novosphingobium* sp. strain Rr 2-17, a nopaline crown gallassociated bacterium isolated from *Vitis vinifera* L. grapevine. *J. Bacteriol.* 194, 5137–5138. doi: 10.1128/JB.01159-12
- Gan, H. M., Hudson, A. O., Rahman, A. Y., Chan, K. G., and Savka, M. A. (2013). Comparative genomic analysis of six bacteria belonging to the genus *Novosphingobium*: insights into marine adaptation, cell-cell signaling and bioremediation. *BMC Genomics* 14:431. doi: 10.1186/1471-2164-14-431
- Gan, H. Y., Gan, H. M., Tarasco, A. M., Busairi, N. I., Barton, H. A., Hudson, A. O., et al. (2014). Whole-genome sequences of five oligotrophic bacteria isolated from deep within Lechuguilla Cave, New Mexico. *Genome Announc.* 2:e01133-14. doi: 10.1128/genomeA.01133-14
- Geueke, B., Busse, H. J., Fleischmann, T., Kampfer, P., and Kohler, H. P. (2007). Description of *Sphingosinicella xenopeptidilytica* sp. nov., a beta-peptidedegrading species, and emended descriptions of the genus *Sphingosinicella* and the species *Sphingosinicella microcystinivorans. Int. J. Syst. Evol. Microbiol.* 57, 107–113. doi: 10.1099/ijs.0.64509-0
- Gonzalez, J. F., Myers, M. P., and Venturi, V. (2013). The inter-kingdom solo OryR regulator of *Xanthomonas oryzae* is important for motility. *Mol. Plant Pathol.* 14, 211–221. doi: 10.1111/j.1364-3703.2012.00843.x
- Gonzalez, J. F., and Venturi, V. (2013). A novel widespread interkingdom signaling circuit. *Trends Plant Sci.* 18, 167–174. doi: 10.1016/j.tplants.2012. 09.007
- Gray, K. M., Pearson, J. P., Downie, J. A., Boboye, B. E., and Greenberg, E. P. (1996). Cell-to-cell signaling in the symbiotic nitrogen-fixing bacterium *Rhizobium leguminosarum*: autoinduction of a stationary phase and rhizosphere-expressed genes. J. Bacteriol. 178, 372–376.

- Huang, Y., Zeng, Y., Yu, Z., Zhang, J., Feng, H., and Lin, X. (2013). In silico and experimental methods revealed highly diverse bacteria with quorum sensing and aromatics biodegradation systems–a potential broad application on bioremediation. *Bioresour. Technol.* 148, 311–316. doi: 10.1016/j.biortech.2013.08.155
- Hyatt, D., Chen, G. L., Locascio, P. F., Land, M. L., Larimer, F. W., and Hauser, L. J. (2010). Prodigal: prokaryotic gene recognition and translation initiation site identification. *BMC Bioinformatics* 11:119. doi: 10.1186/1471-2105-11-119
- Jones, P., Binns, D., Chang, H. Y., Fraser, M., Li, W., Mcanulla, C., et al. (2014). InterProScan 5: genome-scale protein function classification. *Bioinformatics* 30, 1236–1240. doi: 10.1093/bioinformatics/btu031
- Katoh, K., and Standley, D. M. (2013). MAFFT multiple sequence alignment software version 7: improvements in performance and usability. *Mol. Biol. Evol.* 30, 772–780. doi: 10.1093/molbev/mst010
- Lerat, E., and Moran, N. A. (2004). The evolutionary history of quorum-sensing systems in bacteria. Mol. Biol. Evol. 21, 903–913. doi: 10.1093/molbev/msh097
- Lindemann, A., Pessi, G., Schaefer, A. L., Mattmann, M. E., Christensen, Q. H., Kessler, A., et al. (2011). Isovaleryl-homoserine lactone, an unusual branched-chain quorum-sensing signal from the soybean symbiont *Bradyrhizobium japonicum. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U.S.A.* 108, 16765–16770. doi: 10.1073/pnas.1114125108
- Lowe, N., Gan, H. M., Chakravartty, V., Scott, R., Szegedi, E., Burr, T. J., et al. (2009). Quorum-sensing signal production by *Agrobacterium vitis* strains and their tumor-inducing and tartrate-catabolic plasmids. *FEMS Microbiol. Lett.* 296, 102–109. doi: 10.1111/j.1574-6968.2009.01627.x
- Maruyama, T., Park, H. D., Ozawa, K., Tanaka, Y., Sumino, T., Hamana, K., et al. (2006). Sphingosinicella microcystinivorans gen. nov., sp. nov., a microcystin-degrading bacterium. Int. J. Syst. Evol. Microbiol. 56, 85–89. doi: 10.1099/ijs.0.63789-0
- Miller, M. B., and Bassler, B. L. (2001). Quorum sensing in bacteria. Annu. Rev. Microbiol. 55, 165–199. doi: 10.1146/annurev.micro.55.1.165
- Muhire, B. M., Varsani, A., and Martin, D. P. (2014). SDT: a virus classification tool based on pairwise sequence alignment and identity calculation. *PLoS ONE* 9:e108277. doi: 10.1371/journal.pone.0108277
- Muller, E. C., and Wittmann-Liebold, B. (1997). Phylogenetic relationship of organisms obtained by ribosomal protein comparison. *Cell. Mol. Life Sci.* 53, 34–50. doi: 10.1007/PL00000578
- Muller, T. A., Byrde, S. M., Werlen, C., Van Der Meer, J. R., and Kohler, H. P. (2004). Genetic analysis of phenoxyalkanoic acid degradation in *Sphingomonas herbicidovorans* MH. Appl. Environ. Microbiol. 70, 6066–6075. doi: 10.1128/AEM.70.10.6066-6075.2004
- Nohynek, L. J., Nurmiaho-Lassila, E. L., Suhonen, E. L., Busse, H. J., Mohammadi, M., Hantula, J., et al. (1996). Description of chlorophenol-degrading *Pseudomonas* sp. strains KF1T, KF3, and NKF1 as a new species of the genus *Sphingomonas, Sphingomonas subarctica* sp. nov. *Int. J. Syst. Bacteriol.* 46, 1042–1055. doi: 10.1099/00207713-46-4-1042
- Oger, P., and Farrand, S. K. (2002). Two opines control conjugal transfer of an *Agrobacterium* plasmid by regulating expression of separate copies of the quorum-sensing activator gene *traR. J. Bacteriol.* 184, 1121–1131. doi: 10.1128/jb.184.4.1121-1131.2002
- Ogramab, A. V., Duana, Y., Trabuea, S. L., Fengc, X., Castroa, H., and Oua, L. (2000). Carbofuran degradation mediated by three related plasmid systems. *FEMS Microbiol. Ecol.* 32, 197–203. doi: 10.1111/j.1574-6941.2000. tb00712.x
- Piper, K. R., Beck Von Bodman, S., and Farrand, S. K. (1993). Conjugation factor of Agrobacterium tumefaciens regulates Ti plasmid transfer by autoinduction. *Nature* 362, 448–450. doi: 10.1038/362448a0
- Price, M. N., Dehal, P. S., and Arkin, A. P. (2010). FastTree 2–approximately maximum-likelihood trees for large alignments. *PLoS ONE* 5:e9490. doi: 10.1371/journal.pone.0009490
- Rambaut, A. (2014). FigTree v1.4.1:Tree Figure Drawing Tool. Available online at: http://tree.bio.ed.ac.uk/software/figtree (Accessed September 18, 2014).
- Romine, M. F., Fredrickson, J. K., and Li, S. M. (1999). Induction of aromatic catabolic activity in *Sphingomonas aromaticivorans* strain F199. J. Ind. Microbiol. Biotechnol. 23, 303–313. doi: 10.1038/sj.jim.2900750
- Savka, M. A., Le, P. T., and Burr, T. J. (2011). LasR receptor for detection of longchain quorum-sensing signals: identification of N-acyl-homoserine lactones encoded by the *avsI* locus of *Agrobacterium vitis*. *Curr. Microbiol.* 62, 101–110. doi: 10.1007/s00284-010-9679-1

- Schaefer, A. L., Lappala, C. R., Morlen, R. P., Pelletier, D. A., Lu, T. Y., Lankford, P. K., et al. (2013). *luxR*- and *luxI*-type quorum-sensing circuits are prevalent in members of the *Populus deltoides* microbiome. *Appl. Environ. Microbiol.* 79, 5745–5752. doi: 10.1128/AEM.01417-13
- Schuster, M., Sexton, D. J., Diggle, S. P., and Greenberg, E. P. (2013). Acylhomoserine lactone quorum sensing: from evolution to application. Annu. Rev. Microbiol. 67, 43–63. doi: 10.1146/annurev-micro-092412-155635
- Scott, R. A., Weil, J., Le, P. T., Williams, P., Fray, R. G., Von Bodman, S. B., et al. (2006). Long- and short-chain plant-produced bacterial N-acyl-homoserine lactones become components of phyllosphere, rhizosphere, and soil. *Mol. Plant Microbe Interact.* 19, 227–239. doi: 10.1094/MPMI-19-0227
- Seemann, T. (2014). Prokka: rapid prokaryotic genome annotation. *Bioinformatics* 30, 2068–2069. doi: 10.1093/bioinformatics/btu153
- Segata, N., Bornigen, D., Morgan, X. C., and Huttenhower, C. (2013). PhyloPhlAn is a new method for improved phylogenetic and taxonomic placement of microbes. *Nat. Commun.* 4, 2304. doi: 10.1038/ncomms3304
- Stolz, A. (2009). Molecular characteristics of xenobiotic-degrading sphingomonads. Appl. Microbiol. Biotechnol. 81, 793–811. doi: 10.1007/s00253-008-1752-3
- Subramoni, S., and Venturi, V. (2009). LuxR-family 'solos': bachelor sensors/regulators of signalling molecules. *Microbiology* 155, 1377–1385. doi: 10.1099/mic.0.026849-0
- Sullivan, M. J., Petty, N. K., and Beatson, S. A. (2011). Easyfig: a genome comparison visualizer. *Bioinformatics* 27, 1009–1010. doi: 10.1093/bioinformatics/btr039
- Takeuchi, M., Hamana, K., and Hiraishi, A. (2001). Proposal of the genus Sphingomonas sensu stricto and three new genera, Sphingobium, Novosphingobium and Sphingopyxis, on the basis of phylogenetic and chemotaxonomic analyses. Int. J. Syst. Evol. Microbiol. 51, 1405–1417. doi: 10.1099/00207713-51-4-1405
- Thiel, M., Kaschabek, S. R., Groning, J., Mau, M., and Schlomann, M. (2005). Two unusual chlorocatechol catabolic gene clusters in *Sphingomonas* sp. TFD44. *Arch. Microbiol.* 183, 80–94. doi: 10.1007/s00203-004-0748-3
- Tiirola, M. A., Wang, H., Paulin, L., and Kulomaa, M. S. (2002). Evidence for natural horizontal transfer of the *pcpB* gene in the evolution of polychlorophenoldegrading sphingomonads. *Appl. Environ. Microbiol.* 68, 4495–4501. doi: 10.1128/AEM.68.9.4495-4501.2002
- Tsai, C. S., and Winans, S. C. (2010). LuxR-type quorum-sensing regulators that are detached from common scents. *Mol. Microbiol.* 77, 1072–1082. doi: 10.1111/j.1365-2958.2010.07279.x
- Waters, C. M., and Bassler, B. L. (2005). Quorum sensing: cell-to-cell communication in bacteria. Annu. Rev. Cell Dev. Biol. 21, 319–346. doi: 10.1146/annurev.cellbio.21.012704.131001
- White, D. C., Sutton, S. D., and Ringelberg, D. B. (1996). The genus Sphingomonas: physiology and ecology. Curr. Opin. Biotechnol. 7, 301–306. doi: 10.1016/S0958-1669(96)80034-6

- Yabuuchi, E., Yano, I., Oyaizu, H., Hashimoto, Y., Ezaki, T., and Yamamoto, H. (1990). Proposals of Sphingomonas paucimobilis gen. nov. and comb. nov., Sphingomonas parapaucimobilis sp. nov., Sphingomonas yanoikuyae sp. nov., Sphingomonas adhaesiva sp. nov., Sphingomonas capsulata comb. nov., and two genospecies of the genus Sphingomonas. Microbiol. Immunol. 34, 99–119. doi: 10.1111/j.1348-0421.1990.tb00996.x
- Yasir, M., Aslam, Z., Song, G. C., Jeon, C. O., and Chung, Y. R. (2010). Sphingosinicella vermicomposti sp. nov., isolated from vermicompost, and emended description of the genus *Sphingosinicella*. Int. J. Syst. Evol. Microbiol. 60, 580–584. doi: 10.1099/ijs.0.010777-0
- Yoon, J. H., Kang, S. J., Lee, J. S., Nam, S. W., Kim, W., and Oh, T. K. (2008). Sphingosinicella soli sp. nov., isolated from an alkaline soil in Korea. Int. J. Syst. Evol. Microbiol. 58, 173–177. doi: 10.1099/ijs.0.65242-0
- Zan, J., Cicirelli, E. M., Mohamed, N. M., Sibhatu, H., Kroll, S., Choi, O., et al. (2012). A complex LuxR-LuxI type quorum sensing network in a roseobacterial marine sponge symbiont activates flagellar motility and inhibits biofilm formation. *Mol. Microbiol.* 85, 916–933. doi: 10.1111/j.1365-2958.2012. 08149.x
- Zhang, L., Jia, Y., Wang, L., and Fang, R. (2007). A proline iminopeptidase gene upregulated in planta by a LuxR homologue is essential for pathogenicity of *Xanthomonas campestris* pv. *campestris. Mol. Microbiol.* 65, 121–136. doi: 10.1111/j.1365-2958.2007.05775.x
- Zipper, C., Nickel, K., Angst, W., and Kohler, H. P. (1996). Complete microbial degradation of both enantiomers of the chiral herbicide mecoprop [(RS)-2-(4-chloro-2-methylphenoxy)propionic acid] in an enantioselective manner by *Sphingomonas herbicidovorans* sp. nov. *Appl. Environ. Microbiol.* 62, 4318–4322.

Conflict of Interest Statement: The authors declare that the research was conducted in the absence of any commercial or financial relationships that could be construed as a potential conflict of interest.

Received: 23 September 2014; accepted: 16 December 2014; published online: 08 January 2015.

Citation: Gan HM, Gan HY, Ahmad NH, Aziz NA, Hudson AO and Savka MA (2015) Whole genome sequencing and analysis reveal insights into the genetic structure, diversity and evolutionary relatedness of luxI and luxR homologs in bacteria belonging to the Sphingomonadaceae family. Front. Cell. Infect. Microbiol. 4:188. doi: 10.3389/ fcimb.2014.00188

This article was submitted to the journal Frontiers in Cellular and Infection Microbiology.

Copyright © 2015 Gan, Gan, Ahmad, Aziz, Hudson and Savka. This is an openaccess article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (CC BY). The use, distribution or reproduction in other forums is permitted, provided the original author(s) or licensor are credited and that the original publication in this journal is cited, in accordance with accepted academic practice. No use, distribution or reproduction is permitted which does not comply with these terms.