

Article

Synthesis, In Silico and In Vitro Evaluation of Some Flavone Derivatives for Acetylcholinesterase and BACE-1 Inhibitory Activity

Thai-Son Tran ^{1,2}, Thanh-Dao Tran ¹, The-Huan Tran ², Thanh-Tan Mai ¹, Ngoc-Le Nguyen ³, Khac-Minh Thai ^{1,*} and Minh-Tri Le ^{1,4,*}

- ¹ Department of Medicinal Chemistry, Faculty of Pharmacy, University of Medicine and Pharmacy at Ho Chi Minh City, Ho Chi Minh City 700000, Vietnam; ttson@huemed-univ.edu.vn (T.-S.T.); tranthanhdao@uphcm.edu.vn (T.-D.T.); mthtan@ump.edu.vn (T.-T.M.)
- ² Department of Pharmaceutical Chemistry, Faculty of Pharmacy, College of Medicine and Pharmacy, Hue University, Hue City 530000, Vietnam; tthuan@hueuni.edu.vn
- ³ Department of Pharmaceutics and Industrial Pharmacy, Faculty of Pharmacy, South Can Tho University, Can Tho City 640000, Vietnam; dsngocle1984@gmail.com
- ⁴ School of Medicine, Vietnam National University Ho Chi Minh City, Ho Chi Minh City 700000, Vietnam
- * Correspondence: thaikhacminh@gmail.com or thaikhacminh@uphcm.edu.vn (K.-M.T.); leminhtri@ump.edu.vn (M.-T.L.); Tel.: +84-28-3855-2225 or +84-909-680-385 (K-M.T.); +84-903-718-190 (M.-T.L.); Fax: +84-28-3822-5435 (K.-M.T.)

Academic Editor: Orazio Nicolotti

Received: 17 August 2020; Accepted: 4 September 2020; Published: 5 September 2020



Abstract: Acetylcholinesterase (AChE) and β -secretase (BACE-1) have become attractive therapeutic targets for Alzheimer's disease (AD). Flavones are flavonoid derivatives with various bioactive effects, including AChE and BACE-1 inhibition. In the present work, a series of 14 flavone derivatives was synthesized in relatively high yields (35–85%). Six of the synthetic flavones (**B4**, **B5**, **B6**, **B8**, **D6** and **D7**) had completely new structures. The AChE and BACE-1 inhibitory activities were tested, giving pIC₅₀ 3.47–4.59 (AChE) and 4.15–5.80 (BACE-1). Three compounds (**B3**, **D5** and **D6**) exhibited the highest biological effects on both AChE and BACE-1. A molecular docking investigation was conducted to explain the experimental results. These molecules could be employed for further studies to discover new structures with dual action on both AChE and BACE-1 that could serve as novel therapies for AD.

Keywords: in silico; in vitro; QSAR; docking; flavone; acetylcholinesterase; β-secretase

1. Introduction

Alzheimer's disease (AD) is an irreversible neurodegenerative disorder with the defects in nervous system and cognitive function [1]. In this ailment, there is an impairment of the cerebral cortex with complex processes; involving the production of senile plaques, neurofibrillary tangles, synapse loss and neuroinflammation; leading to the progressive cognitive decline and memory loss [2,3]. At the present time, about 50 millions of people worldwide suffer from the disease, which creates a heavy burden on the health care systems of many countries [4–6]. Therefore, discovery of novel drugs for AD is an urgent task.

The exact pathogenesis of AD is currently not fully know and this disease might be referred to as a neurological disorder caused by multiple factors, such as: (i) low concentrations of acetylcholine (ACh) in synaptic clefts; (ii) the accumulation of intracellular neurofibrillary tangles with hyperphosphorylated Tau protein and extracellular amyloid plaques; (iii) oxidative stress; (iv) the homeostasis dysregulation of biometals [2,7–9]. The multifactorial nature of AD has transformed the paradigm of AD drug



2 of 14

development from single to multitarget-directed ligands [10]. Acetylcholinesterase (AChE) and β -secretase (BACE-1) are the two drug targets that have attracted much attention among others. They are two critical enzymes in Alzheimer's pathogenesis, responsible for the defects in the cholinergic signaling pathway [11] and the formation of β -amyloid plaques [12].

During the last few years, flavonoids, including flavones, have attracted much interest because of their diverse bioactivities [13–24]. Recent studies have indicated the abilities of flavones in inhibiting many enzymes [25–29], especially AChE [30] and BACE-1 [31,32]. Studies on the bioactivity of flavone derivatives against AChE and BACE-1 are thus promising for the discovery of novel therapeutic agents for AD.

In this study, a series of flavone derivatives was synthesized and evaluated for AChE and BACE-1 inhibitory activities with the aim of discovering new small-molecule inhibitors with dual action on both enzymes. The produced compounds could also be used in an external validation set to assess the two-dimensional quantitative structure–activity relationship (2D-QSAR) models developed for inhibitors of these enzymes. A molecular docking investigation was conducted to analyze the molecular binding abilities of these substances with the enzymes to obtain more detailed information on these influences on the bioactivity.

2. Results

2.1. Chemistry

Etherification (with acetone as solvent and K₂CO₃ as catalyst) and esterification (in pyridine) reactions were conducted on baicalein (**B1**) to produce the derivatives **B2–B8** in relatively high yields (48–79%, Scheme 1). A hydrolysis process was carried out with diosmin to make diosmetin (**D1**, yield 85%), from which the other compounds **D2–D7** were synthesized via etherification and esterification reactions (Scheme 2) with yields of 35–81%. The structures of the synthetic substances were elucidated by ultraviolet (UV), infrared (IR), high-resolution mass spectrometry (HRMS), proton nuclear magnetic resonance (¹H-NMR), and carbon-13 nuclear magnetic resonance (¹³C-NMR) spectroscopy as described in the Materials and Methods section and six of them (**B4**, **B5**, **B6**, **B8**, **D6** and **D7**) were identified as completely new compounds [33].



Yields: 58-75%

Scheme 1. Synthesis of flavone derivatives from baicalein.



Scheme 2. Synthesis of diosmetin and its derivatives.

2.2. Enzyme Inhibition and 2D-QSAR Analysis

The results of the in vitro assays on AChE and BACE-1 inhibition are indicated in Table 1. From the biological evaluation, the inhibitory activities of synthesized substances against the two enzymes gave pIC₅₀ (–logIC₅₀, negative common logarithm of the half maximal inhibitory concentration) values of 3.47-4.59 (IC₅₀ $340.09-25.51 \mu$ M) for AChE and 4.15-5.80 (IC₅₀ $70.79-1.58 \mu$ M) for BACE-1. Among the synthetic molecules, **B3**, **D5** and **D6** exhibited the highest bioactivities against both enzymes. Galantamine and quercetin were used as reference substances. Galantamine is a well-known AChE inhibitor used for the treatment of cognitive decline in mild to moderate AD. Quercetin is a flavonoid reported to have inhibitory activity against BACE-1. These two compounds have been used as references in many studies on AChE and BACE-1 inhibition. The results showed that the biological activities of the positive controls in this study are comparative to previously published values [34–36].

In this study, the synthesized substances were used in an external validation set for the 2D-QSAR models published in a previous work [37] (Table 2). By using these 2D-QSAR models, the predicted values of pIC₅₀ for AChE and BACE-1 of the synthesized flavone derivatives were calculated and are indicated in Table 1. Correlations between observed and predicted activities of synthesized flavones molecules and positive controls againts AChE and BACE-1 were found (Figure 1) with good values of the squared correlation coefficient (R^2) and root-mean-square error (RMSE) ($R^2 = 0.83$ and RMSE = 0.44 for AChE; and $R^2 = 0.82$ and RMSE = 0.40 for BACE-1). These results indicate that the reported models were statistically significant and sufficiently robust to predict the biological activities of new ligands. Selected molecular descriptors used for building 2D-QSAR models are described in detail in Table S1, and their values used in the prediction of pIC₅₀ of the synthesized flavone derivatives are provided in Tables S2 and S3.

	AChE					BACE-1					
Compound	IC ₅₀ Obs. P (μM) pIC ₅₀ pIC		Pre. pIC ₅₀ *	Docking Score (kJ·mol ⁻¹) **	IC ₅₀ (μΜ)	Obs. pIC ₅₀	Pre. pIC ₅₀ *	Docking Score (kJ·mol ^{−1}) **			
B1 (Baicalein)	37.15	4.43	4.66	-27.06	69.18	4.16	3.66	-17.64			
B2	25.51	4.59	4.59	-17.67	43.65	4.36	4.82	-16.37			
B 3	30.01	4.52	4.55	-17.55	3.98	5.40	5.11	-12.35			
B4	51.81	4.29	4.44	-10.36	70.79	4.15	4.35	-10.84			
B5	191.47	3.72	4.32	-10.49	16.98	4.77	4.60	-8.29			
B6	80.32	4.10	4.32	-15.71	12.59	4.90	5.18	-17.35			
B7	51.29	4.29	4.52	-17.91	31.62	4.50	4.73	-12.95			
B8	66.24	4.18	4.56	-19.61	22.39	4.65	4.75	-10.75			
D1 (Diosmetin)	147.91	3.83	4.50	-22.86	43.65	4.36	3.55	-19.32			
D2	87.10	4.06	4.42	-21.05	2.14	5.67	5.83	-19.33			
D3	128.82	3.89	4.37	-20.90	3.16	5.50	6.04	-17.18			
D4	151.36	3.82	4.26	-15.22	3.60	5.44 5.24		-13.31			
D5	73.82	4.13	4.39	-21.80	1.66	5.78 6.20		-13.46			
D6	75.91	4.12	4.31	-20.39	1.58	5.80	6.34	-12.02			
D7	340.09	3.47	4.35	-25.35	2.86	5.54 6.07		-22.07			
Galanthamine	1.31	5.88	5.14	-33.28	-	-	-	-			
Umibecestat	-	-	-	-	0.01	7.96 [<mark>38</mark>]	7.67	-47.04			
Quercetin	-	-			9.55	5.02	5.24	-22.55			
$R^2 = 0.83$, RMSE =					$R^2 = 0.82,$						
	0.44					RMSE = 0.40					

Table 1. Acetylcholinesterase (AChE) and β -secretase (BACE-1) inhibitory activities and docking scores of the synthesized flavone derivatives.

Obs.: Observed, Pre.: Predicted, R^2 (squared correlation coefficient), RMSE (root-mean-square error), IC₅₀: the half maximal inhibitory concentration, pIC₅₀: -logIC₅₀. * pIC₅₀ values predicted using 2D-QSAR models in the previous published work [37]. ** Molecular docking results were reported using the co-crystallized 1DX6 and 6EQM for AChE and BACE-1, respectively. **B3**, **D5** and **D6** (highlighted in bold) exhibited the highest bioactivities against both enzymes.

Table 2. Two-dimensional quantitative structure–activity relationship models (2D-QSAR) for AChE and BACE-1 inhibitors reported in the previous published work [37].

AChE *													
$pIC_{50} = -0.928 + (2.348 \times BCUT_SLOGP_3) - (0.150 \times reactive) - (0.002 \times SlogP_VSA2) - (0.004 \times PEOE_VSA+1)$													
$-(0.004 \times 5101 \text{K}_{1} \times 542) - (0.003 \times 1 \text{EOE}_{1} \times 543)$													
Internal Validation						External Validation							
N	RMSE	R ²	RMSE LOO	Q ² LOO	Ν	RMSE	R ²	R ² (PRED)	r _m ²	$\overline{r_m^2}$	Δr_m^2	CCC	
50	0.18	0.70	0.22	0.57	22	0.16	0.78	0.78	0.65	0.69	0.11	0.88	
BACE-1 *													
$pIC_{50} = 1.268 + (6.370 \times BCUT_PEOE_1) + (3.305 \times a_ICM) + (0.870 \times petitjean) + (0.157 \times a_Nn) + (0.085 \times rings) + $													
$(0.022 \times PEOE_VSA-6) + (0.006 \times PEOE_VSA-0) + (0.009 \times SlogP_VSA3) + (0.009 \times SlogP_VSA5) -$													
$(0.478 \times chiral_u) - (0.260 \times logS)$													
Internal Validation						External Validation							
N	RMSE	R ²	RMSE _{LOO}	Q ² LOO	Ν	RMSE	R ²	R ² (PRED)	r _m ²	$\overline{r_m^2}$	Δr_m^2	CCC	
150	0.37	0.80	0.40	0.77	65	0.41	0.83	0.81	0 79	0 76	0.05	0.91	

N: number of compounds, RMSE_{LOO} (cross-validated root-mean-square error), Q^2_{LOO} (cross-validated squared correlation coefficient), $R^2_{(PRED)}$ (predicted R-squared), CCC (concordance correlation coefficient), and r_m^2 , $\overline{r_m^2}$, Δr_m^2 (validation metrics suggested by Roy et al. [39]). * Selected molecular descriptors used for building 2D-QSAR models are described in detail in Table S1.



Figure 1. The linear regression between observed and predicted activity of synthesized flavone derivatives and positive control against (**A**) acetylcholinesterase (AChE) and (**B**) β -secretase (BACE-1).

2.3. Molecular Docking

The results of the molecular docking study are reported in Table 1, Figures 2 and 3, and in the Supporting Information (Tables S4–S6). The interactions of three compounds with the highest pIC₅₀ (**B3**, **D5** and **D6**) on both enzyme AChE and BACE-1 are indicated in Figure 3. In this study, the co-crystallized complexes employed for AChE was 1DX6 (resolution 2.30 Å) and for BACE-1 was 6EQM (resolution 1.35 Å). These were the complexes with high resolutions and the co-crystallized ligands were the drugs used in clinical (1DX6: galantamine) or in clinical development (6EQM: umibecestat). With this selection, the probability of docked compounds to be reached further optimization would be high [40]. The results indicated that all studied substances were successfully docked into the binding pockets of AChE and BACE-1 with the docking scores of (-10.36)–(-27.06) kJ·mol⁻¹ (AChE) and (-8.29)–(-22.07) kJ·mol⁻¹ (BACE-1). Linear correlations between docking scores and observed values of pIC₅₀ on AChE and BACE-1 of synthesized flavone derivatives were revealed (Figure 2). The ligand interactions between chemical molecules and their targets will be analysed more detailed in the Discussion section.



Figure 2. The linear regression between observed activity and docking score against (**A**) acetylcholinesterase (AChE) (values from **B2**, **B3**, **B5–8**, **D2**, **D4–6** and galantamine) and (**B**) β -secretase (BACE-1) (values from **B2–8**, **D2–4**, **D7**, umibecestat and quercetin).



Figure 3. Interactions with AChE (left) and BACE-1 (right) of flavone derivatives B3 (A,B), D5 (C,D) and D6 (E,F).

3. Discussion

In this study, a series of fourteen flavone molecules was synthesized in relatively high yields (35–85%). The structures of these substances were elucidated by UV, IR, HRMS, ¹H-NMR and ¹³C-NMR spectroscopy, and six of them (**B4**, **B5**, **B6**, **B8**, **D6** and **D7**) were completely new. The bioactivities of these compounds were examined with pIC₅₀ 3.47–4.59 (AChE) and 4.15–5.80 (BACE-1). The synthetic molecules could be divided into two groups of derivatives, **B2–B8** (from baicalein, **B1**) and **D2–D7** (from diosmetin, **D1**). **B2**, **B3**, **D2**, **D3**, **D5** and **D6** had better AChE inhibiting effects than the respective parent compounds. Compared to baicalein and diosmetin, the synthesized derivatives of these two substances (except for **B4**) had the improved bioactivities against BACE-1. The results indicated that the derivatives of diosmetin had the higher inhibiting effects on BACE-1 than those of baicalein. **B3**, **D5** and **D6** exhibited the highest biological effects on both enzymes among the synthesized compounds.

A molecular docking study showed that all the synthesized flavone derivatives were successfully docked into the binding pocket of AChE (1DX6). Docking scores and pIC₅₀ values in 12 among studied substances (**B2**, **B3**, **B5–8**, **D2**, **D4–6** and galantamine) were quite correlated with $R^2 = 0.72$ (Figure 2).

In addition, the differences in the observed pIC_{50} values could be partly explained by the analysis of the interactions between flavone molecules with AChE. These interactions include: (i) arene-arene interactions with Trp84, Trp279, Tyr334; (ii) arene-cation interaction with Trp84; (iii) hydrogen bonding with Asp72, Glu199. These are residues of the active site-gorge of the enzyme where Trp84 and Tyr334 are exposed on the surface, particularly Asp72 on the top, Glu199 on the bottom, and Trp279 is on the entrance of the active-site gorge [41]. Compared to galantamine, the flavone derivatives were all lower in bioactivity. This could be explained by the fact that galantamine could create an arene–cation interaction with Phe330, a strong hydrogen bond to Glu199 (score: 36%, length: 1.85 Å), and a hydrogen bond (score: 22%, length: 3.07 Å) to Ser200 (a residue in catalytic triad). B1 and B2 had the same mode of interaction with the enzyme but the docking score of **B1** was higher than that of **B2**. This could be due to the hydrogen bonds made by **B1** with Tyr130 (score: 68%, length: 2.61 Å) and Gly117 (score: 20%, length: 1.82 Å) were stronger than those of **B2** with these two residues (Tyr130: score 8%, length 3.14 Å; and Gly117: score 1%, length: 3.52 Å). In addition, the interaction between B1 and Phe330 was an arene-arene interaction (proposed to consist of van der Waals, hydrophobic, and electrostatic forces) while this interaction seen with B2 was a van der Waals force in general. However, the AChE inhibiting effect of B2 was higher than that of B1. This could be due to B1 lacked the van der Waals interactions with two important residues (seen in the B2 case), including His440 of the catalytic triad and Asp72 of the active site-gorge. The docking results also indicated that B3 could form only a weak but important hydrogen bond with Ser200 (score: 6%, length: 3.65 Å) and van der Waals interactions with His440. This could explain why B3 had a stronger biological activity than B1 on AChE, although the docking score of B3 is lower. In the series of diosmetin derivatives, a hydrogen bond between the ligand molecule with either Gly119 or Ser200 could be needed for the improved activities of these substances than the parent compound (D1).

Molecular docking results on BACE-1 expressed that all the synthesized flavone derivatives were successfully docked into the binding pocket of this enzyme (6EQM). The interactions with catalytic dyad residues (Asp32 and Asp228) could be necessary for high inhibiting effect against BACE-1. The interaction models in Table S6 revealed that umibecestat could form strong hydrogen bonds with the catalytic dyad (Asp32: score 59%, length 1.82 Å; score 68%, length 1.75 Å, and Asp228: score 13%, length 2.48 Å; score 30%, length 1.96 Å) in addition to a hydrogen bond with Gly230 (score 21%, length 1.91 Å). This mode of interaction could explain the higher bioactivity against BACE-1 of umibecestat (observed pIC₅₀ 7.96). None of the studied flavone derivatives form any hydrogen bonds with the catalytic dyad residues. They interacted only with these amino acids through van der Waals forces. **B3**, **D2–D7** and quercetin (pIC₅₀ 5.02–5.80) were exposed to Asp32, Asp228, and Gly230 in the radius of 4.5–5.0 Å. Two substances with the lowest BACE-1 inhibitory activities, B1 (pIC₅₀ 4.16) and **B4** (pIC₅₀ 4.15), did not produce any interactions with Asp32, Asp228, and Gly230 (**B4**) or formed only a weak interaction with Gly230 at a distance >5.5 Å (B1). The remaining substances (B2, B5-8 and D1) had pIC₅₀ values of 4.36-4.90. These molecules could form medium van der Waals interactions with Asp32, Asp228, and Gly230 (within a distance of 5.0–5.5 Å) or interacted only with one residue of the catalytic dyad. D5 (pIC_{50} 5.78) and D6 (pIC_{50} 5.80) formed strong hydrogen bonds with Thr232 (D5: score 89%, length 2.69 Å; D6: score 81%, length 2.49 Å; score 60%, length 2.49 Å). These interactions could explain for the higher activities against BACE-1 of those two compounds. In this study, a correlation between docking score and observed pIC_{50} for BACE-1 was found for most of the studied substances (B2–8, D2–4, D7, umibecestat and quercetin) with an R² value of 0.77 (Figure 2). However, this correlation (as in the case of AChE above) was not a conclusion but an interesting result from the present work. In general, molecular docking (with a specified scoring function) is better in pose (spatial orientation of a ligand in the active site of an enzyme) than affinity (free binding energy) prediction. Free binding energy is related to the inhibition constant (K_i). The more negative the free binding energy, the lower the K_i value. While K_i is a constant value for a given compound with an enzyme, an IC_{50} (or pIC₅₀) is a relative value, whose magnitude depends upon the concentration of

substrate used in the assay. The relation between K_i and IC_{50} could be obtained only if the mode of inhibition and the concentration of substrate used in the assay are reported [42–44].

4. Materials and Methods

4.1. Materials and Instruments

All chemicals were obtained from commercial suppliers and used without further purification. Melting points were determined on open capillary tubes and are uncorrected (using a Stuart apparatus SMP20, Cole-Parmer, Staffordshire, UK). UV, IR, HRMS, and ¹H-NMR and ¹³C-NMR spectra were recorded on V-730 UV-Vis (Jasco International Co. Ltd., Tokyo, Japan), IR Prestige-21 (Shimadzu, Kyoto, Japan), 6200 Series TOF and 6500 Series Q-TOF LC/MS (Agilent, Santa Clara, CA, USA) and AV500 (500 MHz, 125 MHz) (Bruker, Billerica, MA, USA) spectrometers, respectively. Chemical shifts were reported in parts per million (ppm) downfield relative to tetramethylsilane as an internal standard. Peak splitting patterns were abbreviated as m (multiplet), s (singlet), bs (broad singlet), d (doublet), bd (broad doublet), t (triplet) and dd (doublet of doublets), respectively. All computational processes were performed on a computer system equipped with an Intel[®] CoreTM i&-7700 CPU @ 3.60 Hz processor, 16.0 GB of RAM, an NVIDIA GeForce GT 1030 2GB Visual Graphics Card and the 64 bit Windows 10 operating system (Microsoft, Redmond, WA, USA).

4.2. Chemistry

A series of 14 flavone derivatives were synthesized as indicated in Schemes 1 and 2, as follows:

4.2.1. Synthesis of B2-B6

Baicalein (**B1**) was dissolved in anhydrous acetone. K_2CO_3 , and a dialkyl sulfate or an alkyl bromide were then added. The reaction mixture was heated at 40–45 °C and monitored by thin layer chromatography eluting with the appropriate solvents. At the end of the reaction, the mixture was filtered to remove insoluble solids. The obtained solution was evaporated under reduced pressure and the crude product was purified by column chromatography with the appropriate solvent systems.

5-*Hydroxy*-6,7-*dimethoxyflavone* (**B2**). Yield: 65%. MP: 160–161 °C. UV (λ_{max} nm, MeOH): 210, 272, 314. IR (ν cm⁻¹, KBr): 3453, 3064, 2941, 1662, 1616, 1456, 1128. MS: [M + H]⁺ *m*/*z* = 299.0917. ¹H-NMR (DMSO-*d*₆) δ (ppm): 12.76 (s, 1H, O<u>H</u>), 8.09 (dd, *J*₁ = 1.5 Hz, *J*₂ = 8 Hz, 2H, Ar-<u>H</u>), 7.63–7.57 (m, 3H, Ar-<u>H</u>), 7.02 (s, 1H, Ar-<u>H</u>), 6.97 (s, 1H, Ar-<u>H</u>), 3.94 (s, 3H, OC<u>H</u>₃), 3.75 (s, 3H, OC<u>H</u>₃). ¹³C-NMR (DMSO-*d*₆) δ (ppm): 182.3, 163.4, 158.8, 152.7, 151.0, 132.1, 132.0, 130.6, 129.1, 126.3, 105.3, 104.9, 91.7, 60.0, 56.4.

6,7-Diethoxy-5-hydroxyflavone (**B3**). Yield: 50%. MP: 140–141 °C. UV (λ_{max} nm, MeOH): 210, 272, 315. IR (ν cm⁻¹, KBr): 3447, 3071, 2982, 1661, 1614, 1454, 1125. MS: [M + H]⁺ m/z = 327.1230. ¹H-NMR (DMSO-d₆) δ (ppm): 12.72 (s, 1H, O<u>H</u>), 8.09 (dd, J_1 = 1.5 Hz, J_2 = 8 Hz, 2H, Ar-<u>H</u>), 7.64–7.56 (m, 3H, Ar-<u>H</u>), 7.00 (s, 1H, Ar-<u>H</u>), 6.94 (s, 1H, Ar-<u>H</u>), 4.19 (q, J = 7 Hz, 2H, C<u>H</u>₂), 3.99 (q, J = 7 Hz, 2H, C<u>H</u>₂), 1.40 (t, J = 7 Hz, 3H, C<u>H</u>₃), 1.26 (t, J = 7 Hz, 3H, C<u>H</u>₃). ¹³C-NMR (DMSO-d₆) δ (ppm): 182.3, 163.3, 158.3, 152.6, 152.2, 132.0, 130.9, 130.6, 129.0, 126.3, 105.2, 104.9, 92.1, 67.8, 64.6, 15.3, 14.3.

6,7-Diallyloxy-5-hydroxyflavone (**B4**). Yield: 69%. MP: 132–133 °C. UV (λ_{max} nm, MeOH): 214, 273, 315. IR (ν cm⁻¹, KBr): 3449, 3071, 2922, 1661, 1612, 1456, 1132. MS: [M + H]⁺ m/z = 351.1224. ¹H-NMR (DMSO-d₆) δ (ppm): 12.79 (s, 1H, O<u>H</u>), 8.09 (dd, $J_1 = 1,5$ Hz, $J_2 = 8.5$ Hz, 2H, Ar-<u>H</u>), 7.63–7.57 (m, 3H, Ar-<u>H</u>), 7.03 (s, 1H, Ar-<u>H</u>), 6.98 (s, 1H, Ar-<u>H</u>), 6.13–6.01 (m, 2H, -C<u>H</u>=), 5.48 (dd, $J_1 = 1.5$ Hz, $J_2 = 17.5$ Hz, 1H, =C<u>H</u>_{trans}), 5.33 (dd, $J_1 = 1.5$ Hz, $J_2 = 17$ Hz, 1H, =C<u>H</u>_{trans}), 5.33 (dd, $J_1 = 1.5$ Hz, $J_2 = 10.5$ Hz, 1H, =C<u>H</u>_{cis}), 5.18 (dd, $J_1 = 1.5$ Hz, $J_2 = 10.5$ Hz, 1H, =C<u>H</u>_{cis}), 4.75 (d, J = 5 Hz, 2H, C<u>H</u>₂), 4.51 (d, J = 5.5 Hz, 2H, C<u>H</u>₂). ¹³C-NMR (DMSO-d₆) δ (ppm): 182.3, 163.4, 157.8, 152.6, 152.3, 134.4, 132.7, 132.0, 130.6, 130.5, 129.1, 126.3, 117.8, 117.5, 105.3, 104.9, 92.5, 73.0, 69.2.

5,6,7-*Triallyloxyflavone* (**B5**). Yield: 48%. MP: 117–118 °C. UV (λ_{max} nm, MeOH): 215, 264, 307. IR (ν cm⁻¹, KBr): 3053, 2978, 1638, 1603, 1453, 1117. MS: [M + H]⁺ *m*/*z* = 391.1549. ¹H-NMR (DMSO-*d*₆) δ (ppm): 8.05 (dd, *J*₁ = 2 Hz, *J*₂ = 8 Hz, 2H, Ar-<u>H</u>), 7.59–7.55 (m, 3H, Ar-<u>H</u>), 7.23 (s, 1H, Ar-<u>H</u>), 6.79 (s, 1H, Ar-<u>H</u>), 6.17–6.02 (m, 3H, -C<u>H</u>=), 5.49 (dd, *J*₁ = 1.5 Hz, *J*₂ = 17 Hz, 1H, =C<u>H</u>_{trans}), 5.37 (dd, *J*₁ = 1.5 Hz, *J*₂ = 17 Hz, 1H, =C<u>H</u>_{trans}), 5.37 (dd, *J*₁ = 1.5 Hz, *J*₂ = 17 Hz, 1H, =C<u>H</u>_{trans}), 5.30 (dd, *J*₁ = 1.5 Hz, *J*₂ = 10.5 Hz, 1H, =C<u>H</u>_{cis}), 5.20 (dd, *J*₁ = 1.5 Hz, *J*₂ = 10.5 Hz, 2H, =C<u>H</u>_{cis}), 4.76 (d, *J* = 5 Hz, 2H, C<u>H</u>₂), 4.54 (d, *J* = 5.5 Hz, 2H, C<u>H</u>₂), 4.50 (d, *J* = 5.5 Hz, 2H, C<u>H</u>₂). ¹³C-NMR (DMSO-*d*₆) δ (ppm): 175.7, 160.1, 156.4, 153.8, 150.4, 138.8, 134.6, 134.2, 132.6, 131.4, 130.9, 129.0, 125.9, 117.8, 117.4, 117.2, 112.4, 107.5, 98.2, 75.0, 73.8, 69.2.

5,6,7-*Tribenzyloxyflavone* (**B6**). Yield: 79%. MP: 126–127 °C. UV (λ_{max} nm, MeOH): 264, 308. IR (ν cm⁻¹, KBr): 3032, 2940, 1643, 1601, 1450, 1117. MS: [M + H]⁺ m/z = 541.2011. ¹H-NMR (DMSO-*d*₆) δ (ppm): 8.08 (dd, $J_1 = 2$ Hz, $J_2 = 8$ Hz, 2H, Ar-<u>H</u>), 7.61–7.45 (m, 8H, Ar-<u>H</u>), 7.43 (s, 1H, Ar-<u>H</u>), 7.41–7.26 (m, 10H, Ar-<u>H</u>), 6.85 (s, 1H, Ar-<u>H</u>), 5.33 (s, 2H, C<u>H</u>₂), 5.03 (s, 2H, C<u>H</u>₂), 4.97 (s, 2H, C<u>H</u>₂). ¹³C-NMR (DMSO-*d*₆) δ (ppm): 175.8, 160.2, 156.7, 154.0, 150.6, 139.2, 137.3, 137.1, 135.9, 131.5, 130.9, 129.0, 128.5, 128.3, 128.2, 128.0, 128.0, 127.9, 127.9, 127.8, 126.0, 112.6, 107.6, 98.6, 75.6, 74.9, 70.6.

4.2.2. Synthesis of **B7** and **B8**

Baicalein (**B1**) was dissolved in pyridine and an anhydride acid or an acid chloride was then added. The reaction mixture was heated at 70–80 °C and monitored by thin layer chromatography with an appropriate solvent system. When the reaction was complete, the mixture was poured into cold water and stirred vigorously. The precipitate was then filtered and recrystallized from an appropriate solvent to yield final product.

5,6,7-*Triacetoxyflavone* (**B7**). Yield: 58%. MP: 196–197 °C. UV (λ_{max} nm, MeOH): 256, 294. IR (ν cm⁻¹, KBr): 3065, 2936, 1784, 1645, 1452, 1175. MS: [M + H]⁺ *m*/*z* = 397.0916. ¹H-NMR (DMSO-*d*₆) δ 8.08 (dd, *J*₁ = 1.5 Hz, *J*₂ = 8.5 Hz, 2H, Ar-<u>H</u>), 7.83 (s, 1H, Ar-<u>H</u>), 7.62–7.57 (m, 3H, Ar-<u>H</u>), 6.94 (s, 1H, Ar-<u>H</u>), 2.37 (s, 3H, C<u>H</u>₃), 2.37 (s, 3H, C<u>H</u>₃), 2.3 (s, 3H, C<u>H</u>₃). ¹³C-NMR (DMSO-*d*₆) δ 175.3, 167.8, 167.5, 167.4, 162.0, 153.6, 146.7, 141.2, 132.6, 132.0, 130.4, 129.1, 126.4, 115.1, 111.1, 107.5, 20.4, 20.3, 19.7.

5,6,7-*Tripropionoxyflavone* (**B8**). Yield: 75%. MP: 167–168 °C. UV (λ_{max} nm, MeOH): 256, 295. IR (ν cm⁻¹, KBr): 3063, 2984, 2943, 1782, 1643, 1454, 1111. MS: [M + H]⁺ m/z = 439.1384. ¹H-NMR (DMSO-d₆) δ 8.08 (dd, $J_1 = 1.5$ Hz, $J_2 = 8.5$ Hz, 2H, Ar-<u>H</u>), 7.83 (s, 1H, Ar-<u>H</u>), 7.62–7.57 (m, 3H, Ar-<u>H</u>), 6.93 (s, 1H, Ar-<u>H</u>), 2.68 (q, J = 7.5 Hz, 2H, C<u>H</u>₂), 2.67 (q, J = 7.5 Hz, 2H, C<u>H</u>₂), 2.66 (q, J = 7.5 Hz, 2H, C<u>H</u>₂), 1.18 (t, J = 7.5 Hz, 3H, C<u>H</u>₃), 1.16 (t, J = 7.5 Hz, 3H, C<u>H</u>₃), 1.16 (t, J = 7.5 Hz, 3H, C<u>H</u>₃). ¹³C-NMR (DMSO-d₆) δ 175.3, 171.0, 170.8, 170.7, 162.0, 153.6, 146.8, 141.2, 132.5, 132.0, 130.4, 129.1, 126.3, 115.1, 111.0, 107.5, 26.7, 26.6, 26.2, 9.0, 8.7, 8.6.

4.2.3. Synthesis of Diosmetin (D1)

Diosmin was placed in a two-necked flask with a solution of sulfuric acid-acetic acid-water. The reaction mixture was heated at 95–105 °C and monitored by thin layer chromatography with an appropriate solvent system. At the end of the reaction, the mixture was poured into cold water and stirred vigorously. The precipitate formed was then filtered and recrystallized from an appropriate solvent to yield the final product.

5,7,3'-*Trihydroxy-4'-methoxyflavone* (**D1**). Yield: 85%. MP: 277–278 °C. UV (λ_{max} nm, MeOH): 252, 269, 345. IR (ν cm⁻¹, KBr): 3387, 3089, 2940, 2844, 1652, 1610, 1507, 1166. MS: [M + H]⁺ *m*/*z* = 301.0709. ¹H-NMR (DMSO-*d*₆) δ 12.92 (s, 1H, O<u>H</u>), 10.82 (s, 1H, O<u>H</u>), 9.43 (s, 1H, O<u>H</u>), 7.52 (dd, *J*₁ = 8.5 Hz, *J*₂ = 2.5 Hz, 1H, Ar-<u>H</u>), 7.42 (d, *J* = 2.5 Hz, 1H, Ar-<u>H</u>), 7.07 (d, *J* = 8.5 Hz, 1H, Ar-<u>H</u>), 6.73 (s, 1H, Ar-<u>H</u>), 6.46 (d, *J* = 2 Hz, 1H, Ar-<u>H</u>), 6.19 (d, *J* = 2 Hz, 1H, Ar-<u>H</u>), 3.86 (s, 3H, OC<u>H</u>₃). ¹³C-NMR (DMSO-*d*₆) δ 181.6, 164.1, 163.5, 161.4, 157.3, 151.1, 146.7, 123.0, 118.6, 112.9, 112.1, 103.7, 103.5, 98.8, 93.8, 55.7.

4.2.4. Synthesis of D2-D6

Derivatives **D2–D6** were synthesized from diosmetin (**D1**) through the same reaction processes as described in the synthesis of substances B2–B6 from baicalein (**B1**).

5-*Hydroxy*-3',4',7-*trimethoxyflavone* (**D2**). Yield: 56%. MP: 200–201 °C. UV (λ_{max} nm, MeOH): 242, 269, 340. IR (ν cm⁻¹, KBr): 3444, 3001, 2951, 2835, 1662, 1589, 1502, 1168. MS: [M + H]⁺ *m*/*z* = 329.1015. ¹H-NMR (DMSO-*d*₆) δ 12.92 (s, 1H, O<u>H</u>), 7.72 (dd, *J*₁ = 8.5 Hz, *J*₂ = 2 Hz, 1H, Ar-<u>H</u>), 7.60 (d, *J* = 2 Hz, 1H, Ar-<u>H</u>), 7.14 (d, *J* = 8.5 Hz, 1H, Ar-<u>H</u>), 7.00 (s, 1H, Ar-<u>H</u>), 6.82 (d, *J* = 2 Hz, 1H, Ar-<u>H</u>), 6.45 (d, *J* = 2 Hz, 1H, Ar-<u>H</u>), 3.89 (s, 3H, OC<u>H</u>₃), 3.88 (s, 3H, OC<u>H</u>₃), 3.86 (s, 3H, OC<u>H</u>₃). ¹³C-NMR (DMSO-*d*₆) δ 182.0, 165.2, 163.6, 161.1, 157.3, 152.2, 149.0, 122.7, 120.1, 111.7, 109.5, 104.7, 104.0, 98.0, 92.7, 56.0, 55.9, 55.7.

3',7-Diethoxy-5-hydroxy-4'-methoxyflavone (**D3**). Yield: 57%. MP: 173–174 °C. UV (λ_{max} nm, MeOH): 269, 338. IR (ν cm⁻¹, KBr): 3452, 3057, 2920, 2835, 1654, 1622, 1510, 1168. MS: [M + H]⁺ m/z = 357.1327. ¹H-NMR (DMSO-d₆) δ 12.90 (s, 1H, O<u>H</u>), 7.70 (dd, J_1 = 8.5 Hz, J_2 = 2.5 Hz, 1H, Ar-<u>H</u>), 7.58 (d, J = 2.5 Hz, 1H, Ar-<u>H</u>), 7.13 (d, J = 8.5 Hz, 1H, Ar-<u>H</u>), 7.00 (s, 1H, Ar-<u>H</u>), 6.69 (d, J = 2.5 Hz, 1H, Ar-<u>H</u>), 6.45 (d, J = 2.5 Hz, 1H, Ar-<u>H</u>), 4.16 (q, J = 7 Hz, 2H, C<u>H</u>₂), 4.15 (q, J = 7 Hz, 2H, C<u>H</u>₂), 3.86 (s, 3H, OC<u>H</u>₃), 1.37 (t, J = 7 Hz, 3H, C<u>H</u>₃), 1.36 (t, J = 7 Hz, 3H, C<u>H</u>₃). ¹³C-NMR (DMSO-d₆) δ 181.9, 164.4, 163.6, 161.1, 157.3, 152.4, 148.2, 122.7, 120.1, 111.8, 110.5, 104.6, 103.9, 98.3, 93.1, 64.2, 64.1, 55.7, 14.6, 14.3.

3',7-Diallyloxy-5-hydroxy-4'-methoxyflavone (**D4**). Yield: 81%. MP: 126–127 °C. UV (λ_{max} nm, MeOH): 243, 269, 339. IR (ν cm⁻¹, KBr): 3446, 3082, 2931, 2841, 1662, 1608, 1498, 1168. MS: [M + H]⁺ m/z = 381.1333. ¹H-NMR (DMSO-d₆) δ 12.90 (s, 1H, O<u>H</u>), 7.71 (dd, J_1 = 8.5 Hz, J_2 = 2 Hz, 1H, Ar-<u>H</u>), 7.61 (d, J = 2 Hz, 1H, Ar-<u>H</u>), 7.15 (d, J = 8.5 Hz, 1H, Ar-<u>H</u>), 6.99 (s, 1H, Ar-<u>H</u>), 6.82 (d, J = 2 Hz, 1H, Ar-<u>H</u>), 6.40 (d, J = 2 Hz, 1H, Ar-<u>H</u>), 6.11–6.04 (m, 2H, -C<u>H</u>=), 5.45 (dd, J_1 = 17 Hz, J_2 = 1.5 Hz, 1H, =C<u>H</u>trans), 5.44 (dd, J_1 = 17 Hz, J_2 = 1.5 Hz, 1H, =C<u>H</u>trans), 5.31 (dd, J_1 = 10 Hz, J_2 = 1.5 Hz, 1H, =CH_{cis}), 4.71–4.69 (m, 4H, CH₂), 3.87 (s, 3H, OCH₃). ¹³C-NMR (DMSO-d₆) δ 181.9, 164.0, 163.5, 161.2, 157.2, 152.5, 147.8, 133.6, 132.8, 122.7, 120.4, 118.1, 117.9, 112.0, 111.1, 104.8, 104.0, 98.4, 93.4, 69.2, 68.9, 55.8.

3',4',5,7-Tetramethoxyflavone (**D5**). Yield: 42%. MP: 196–197 °C. UV (λ_{max} nm, MeOH): 242, 265, 331. IR (ν cm⁻¹, KBr): 3001, 2938, 2841, 1605, 1514, 1018. MS: [M + H]⁺ m/z = 343.1179. ¹H-NMR (DMSO-d₆) δ 7.61 (dd, $J_1 = 8.5$ Hz, $J_2 = 2$ Hz, 1H, Ar-<u>H</u>), 7.51 (d, J = 2 Hz, 1H, Ar-<u>H</u>), 7.08 (d, J = 8.5 Hz, 1H, Ar-<u>H</u>), 6.83 (d, J = 2 Hz, 1H, Ar-<u>H</u>), 6.74 (s, 1H, Ar-<u>H</u>), 6.48 (d, J = 2 Hz, 1H, Ar-<u>H</u>), 3.90 (s, 3H, OC<u>H₃</u>), 3.88 (s, 3H, OC<u>H₃</u>), 3.84 (s, 3H, OC<u>H₃</u>), 3.82 (s, 3H, OC<u>H₃</u>). ¹³C-NMR (DMSO-d₆) δ 175.6, 163.5, 160.1, 159.5, 159.0, 151.5, 148.9, 123.0, 119.2, 111.5, 109.0, 108.2, 106.9, 96.0, 93.3, 55.9, 55.8, 55.7, 55.6.

3',5,7-Triethoxy-4'-methoxyflavone (**D6**). Yield: 35%. MP: 167–168 °C. UV (λ_{max} nm, MeOH): 242, 266, 234. IR (ν cm⁻¹, KBr): 3082, 2977, 2929, 1601, 1514, 1115. MS: [M + H]⁺ m/z = 385.1645. ¹H-NMR (DMSO-*d*₆) δ 7.62 (dd, *J*₁ = 8.5 Hz, *J*₂ = 2 Hz, 1H, Ar-<u>H</u>), 7.51 (d, *J* = 2 Hz, 1H, Ar-<u>H</u>), 7.10 (d, *J* = 8.5 Hz, 1H, Ar-<u>H</u>), 6.82 (d, *J* = 2 Hz, 1H, Ar-<u>H</u>), 6.69 (s, 1H, Ar-<u>H</u>), 6.45 (d, *J* = 2 Hz, 1H, Ar-<u>H</u>), 4.18 (q, *J* = 7 Hz, 2H, C<u>H</u>₂), 4.14 (q, *J* = 7 Hz, 2H, C<u>H</u>₂), 4.08 (q, *J* = 7 Hz, 2H, C<u>H</u>₂), 3.85 (s, 3H, OC<u>H</u>₃), 1.37 (t, *J* = 7 Hz, 9H, C<u>H</u>₃). ¹³C-NMR (DMSO-*d*₆) δ 175.5, 162.6, 159.4, 159.3, 159.0, 151.6, 148.1, 123.1, 119.1, 111.6, 109.9, 108.3, 106.9, 97.1, 93.6, 64.2, 64.0, 63.9, 55.5, 14.6, 14.3, 14.3.

4.2.5. Synthesis of D7

Diosmetin (**D1**) was dissolved in pyridine and benzoyl chloride was then added. The reaction mixture was heated at 40–45 °C and monitored by thin layer chromatography with the appropriate solvent system. At the end of the reaction, the mixture was poured into cold water and stirred vigorously. The precipitate formed was then filtered off and recrystallized in the appropriate solvent to yield the final product.

3',7-*Dibenzoyloxy*-5-*hydroxy*-4'-*methoxyflavone* (**D7**). Yield: 68%. MP: 227–228 °C. UV (λ_{max} nm, MeOH): 232, 270, 324. IR (ν cm⁻¹, KBr): 3066, 1742, 1608, 1503, 1250, 1054. MS: [M + H]⁺ *m/z* = 509.1226. ¹H-NMR

(DMSO- d_6) δ 12.93 (s, 1H, O<u>H</u>), 8.16–8.11 (m, 6H, Ar-<u>H</u>), 7.79–7.76 (m, 2H, Ar-<u>H</u>), 7.64–7.61 (m, 4H, Ar-<u>H</u>), 7.39 (d, *J* = 9 Hz, 1H, Ar-<u>H</u>), 7.29 (d, *J* = 2 Hz, 1H, Ar-<u>H</u>), 7.14 (s, 1H, Ar-<u>H</u>), 6.84 (d, *J* = 2 Hz, 1H, Ar-<u>H</u>), 3.89 (s, 3H, OC<u>H</u>₃). ¹³C-NMR (DMSO- d_6) δ 182.4, 163.8, 163.7, 163.2, 160.7, 156.1, 155.8, 154.3, 139.6, 134.3, 134.2, 129.9, 129.8, 129.0, 128.9, 128.4, 128.3, 126.2, 122.7, 121.5, 113.3, 108.2, 105.3, 104.6, 101.7, 56.3.

All spectra of the synthesized substances are provided in the Supporting Information (Table S7).

4.3. In Vitro Assays

AChE inhibitory activities of the synthesized flavone derivatives were determined by Ellman's method [45]. Purified acetylcolinesterase from *Electrophorus electricus* (electric eel), acetylthiocholine iodide (ATCI, substrate), and galantamine (positive control) were purchased from Sigma–Aldrich (St. Louis, MO, USA) and used in this study. The assay was performed as described earlier [46] in 96-well microtiter plates using the EMR-500 ELISA system (Labomed, Los Angeles, CA, USA). Each well of the plate contained a mixture of sodium phosphate buffer pH 8, sample (studied compounds or reference), acetylcholinesterase, ATCI, and 5,5'-dithio-bisnitrobenzoic acid (DTNB). The absorbance was recorded at 405 nm. All samples were assayed in triplicate.

A fluorescence β -Secretase (BACE-1) Activity Detection Kit was purchased from Sigma–Aldrich and used to determine the effect of the synthesized flavone derivatives on β -secretase activity. The assay was carried out according to the manufacturer's protocol as described earlier [47,48]. The enzyme solution was reacted with the substrate (7-methoxycumarin-4-acetyl-(Asn670, Lue671)-amyloid β /A4 precursor protein 770 fragment 667–676-(2,4-dinitrophenyl))Lys-Arg-Arg amide trifluoroacetate salt and sulfated polysaccharide samples in a fluorescence assay buffer in different wells. Baseline readings were measure immediately on an F-7000 fluorescence spectrophotometer (Hitachi, Tokyo, Japan; excitation: 320 nm; emission: 405 nm) and repeated after 2 h incubation at 37 °C. Quercetin was used as the positive control.

4.4. Molecular Docking

Ligand molecules were prepared directly in Sybyl X 2.0 [49]. Complexes 1DX6 of AChE (acetylcolinesterase from *Electrophorus electricus* in complex with (–)-galantamine, resolution 2.3 Å) and 6EQM of BACE-1 (human BACE-1 in complex with umibecestat, resolution 1.35 Å) were downloaded from Protein Data Bank [50]. Docking protocols were firstly validated by the method of pose selection [51] on three conformations of co-crystallized ligands. The root-mean-square deviation (RMSD) value between re-docked conformations and the original bound ligand in the co-crystallized complex which was ≤ 1.5 Å would indicate the reliability of the binding ability prediction of new ligands. In this study, FlexX program in BioSolveIT LeadIt [52] was used with default settings. This program applied a flexible-based docking methodology, an incremental construction algorithm for the seach of ligand conformations, and empirical scoring functions to score and rank the docking poses [53,54]. The interactions between flavone molecules and their targets, including hydrogen bonds, π – π , cation– π , ionic and van der Waals, were rendered and analyzed in the MOE 2008.10 program [55].

5. Conclusions

In this study, 14 flavone derivatives divided into two groups were synthesized and tested for AChE and BACE-1 inhibition, giving pIC₅₀ values of 3.47–4.59 (AChE) and 4.15–5.80 (BACE-1). The synthesized substances were also used in an external validation set to evaluate the developed 2D-QSAR model for AChE and BACE-1 inhibitors with relatively high correlations between the observed and estimated bioactivities ($R^2 = 0.83$ and RMSE = 0.44 for AChE, and $R^2 = 0.82$ and RMSE = 0.40 for BACE-1). Six of the synthesized derivatives (**B4**, **B5**, **B6**, **B8**, **D6** and **D7**) were new. The molecular docking model developed in the present work could be used to explain the biological activity observed in the in vitro assays. Among the studied flavone derivatives, **B3**, **D5** and **D6**

were the three derivatives with the strongest inhibitory activities against both AChE and BACE-1. These substances could be used in the further studies.

Supplementary Materials: The following are available online at http://www.mdpi.com/1420-3049/25/18/4064/s1, Table S1: Selected descriptors used for building 2D-QSAR models reported in the previous published work, Table S2: Values of selected descriptors used in the prediction of pIC₅₀ of the synthesized flavone derivatives (AChE), Table S3: Values of selected descriptors used in the prediction of pIC₅₀ of the synthesized flavone derivatives (BACE-1), Table S4: Results of re-docking (RMSD in Å), Table S5: Docking results and ligand interactions (Co-crystallized 1DX6), Table S6: Docking results and ligand interactions (Co-crystallized 6EQM), Table S7: Spectra of the synthesized flavone derivatives.

Author Contributions: Conceptualization, T.-D.T., K.-M.T. and M.-T.L.; Data curation, T.-S.T., T.-H.T. and T.-T.M.; Formal analysis, T.-S.T., T.-D.T., T.-H.T. and N.-L.N.; Funding acquisition, K.-M.T.; Investigation, T.-S.T., T.-H.T., T.-T.M. and N.-L.N.; Methodology, T.-S.T., T.-D.T., K.-M.T. and M.-T.L.; Project administration, T.-D.T., K.-M.T. and M.-T.L.; Resources, K.-M.T. and M.-T.L.; Software, T.-S.T. and K.-M.T.; Supervision, T.-D.T., K.-M.T. and M.-T.L.; Validation, T.-S.T., T.-D.T., T.-T.M., N.-L.N., K.-M.T. and M.-T.L.; Visualization, T.-S.T., T.-H.T. and K.-M.T.; Writing—original draft, T.-S.T., T.-D.T., K.-M.T. and M.-T.L.; Writing—review & editing, T.-S.T., T.-D.T., K.-M.T. and M.-T.L. All authors have read and agreed to the published version of the manuscript.

Funding: This research is funded by Vietnam National Foundation for Science and Technology Development (NAFOSTED) under grant number 106-YS.05-2015.31 to Khac-Minh Thai and 108.05-2017.12 to Minh-Tri Le.

Conflicts of Interest: The authors declare no conflicts of interest.

References

- 1. Kim, M.; Park, H.E.; Lee, S.-H.; Han, K.; Lee, J.H. Increased risk of Alzheimer's disease in patients with psoriasis: A nationwide population-based cohort study. *Sci. Rep.* **2020**, *10*, 6454. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 2. Ringman, J.M. Update on Alzheimer's and the Dementias: Introduction. *Neurol. Clin.* **2017**, *35*, 171–174. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 3. Graham, W.V.; Bonito-Oliva, A.; Sakmar, T.P. Update on Alzheimer's Disease Therapy and Prevention Strategies. *Annu. Rev. Med.* 2017, *68*, 413–430. [CrossRef]
- 4. Guo, S.; Getsios, D.; Revankar, N.; Xu, P.; Thompson, G.; Bobula, J.; Lacey, L.; Gaudig, M. Evaluating disease-modifying agents: A simulation framework for Alzheimer's disease. *Pharmacoeconomics* **2014**, *32*, 1129–1139. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 5. Association, A.S. 2015 Alzheimer's disease facts and figures. *Alzheimers Dement* 2015, 11, 332–384. [CrossRef]
- Lalut, J.; Payan, H.; Davis, A.; Lecoutey, C.; Legay, R.; Santos, J.S.-D.O.; Claeysen, S.; Dallemagne, P.; Rochais, C. Rational design of novel benzisoxazole derivatives with acetylcholinesterase inhibitory and serotoninergic 5-HT4 receptors activities for the treatment of Alzheimer's disease. *Sci. Rep.* 2020, *10*, 3014. [CrossRef]
- 7. Blazer, L.L.; Neubig, R.R. Small molecule protein-protein interaction inhibitors as CNS therapeutic agents: Current progress and future hurdles. *Neuropsychopharmacology* **2009**, *34*, 126–141. [CrossRef]
- 8. Querfurth, H.W.; LaFerla, F.M. Alzheimer's disease. N. Engl. J. Med. 2010, 362, 329–344. [CrossRef]
- 9. Tumiatti, V.; Minarini, A.; Bolognesi, M.L.; Milelli, A.; Rosini, M.; Melchiorre, C. Tacrine derivatives and Alzheimer's disease. *Curr. Med. Chem.* **2010**, *17*, 1825–1838. [CrossRef]
- 10. Gong, C.X.; Liu, F.; Iqbal, K. Multifactorial Hypothesis and Multi-Targets for Alzheimer's Disease. *J. Alzheimers Dis.* **2018**, *64*, S107–S117. [CrossRef]
- 11. Rees, T.M.; Brimijoin, S. The role of acetylcholinesterase in the pathogenesis of Alzheimer's disease. *Drugs Today (Barc.)* 2003, 39, 75–83. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 12. Vassar, R. BACE1: The beta-secretase enzyme in Alzheimer's disease. *J. Mol. Neurosci.* 2004, 23, 105–114. [CrossRef]
- Auffret, G.; Labaied, M.; Frappier, F.; Rasoanaivo, P.; Grellier, P.; Lewin, G. Synthesis and antimalarial evaluation of a series of piperazinyl flavones. *Bioorg. Med. Chem. Lett.* 2007, 17, 959–963. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 14. Naik, K.K.; Thangavel, S.; Alam, A.; Kumar, S. Flavone Analogues as Antimicrobial Agents. *Recent Pat. Inflamm. Allergy Drug Discov.* **2017**, *11*, 53–63. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 15. Xu, D.-P.; Li, Y.; Meng, X.; Zhou, T.; Zhou, Y.; Zheng, J.; Zhang, J.-J.; Li, H.-B. Natural Antioxidants in Foods and Medicinal Plants: Extraction, Assessment and Resources. *Int. J. Mol. Sci.* **2017**, *18*, 96. [CrossRef]

- 16. El-Mostafa, K.; El Kharrassi, Y.; Badreddine, A.; Andreoletti, P.; Vamecq, J.; El Kebbaj, M.H.S.; Latruffe, N.; Lizard, G.; Nasser, B.; Cherkaoui-Malki, M.; et al. Nopal cactus (Opuntia ficus-indica) as a source of bioactive compounds for nutrition, health and disease. *Molecules* **2014**, *19*, 14879–14901. [CrossRef]
- Hämäläinen, M.; Nieminen, R.; Vuorela, P.; Heinonen, M.; Moilanen, E. Anti-inflammatory effects of flavonoids: Genistein, kaempferol, quercetin, and daidzein inhibit STAT-1 and NF-kappaB activations, whereas flavone, isorhamnetin, naringenin, and pelargonidin inhibit only NF-kappaB activation along with their inhibitory effect on iNOS expression and NO production in activated macrophages. *Mediat. Inflamm.* 2007, 2007, 45673. [CrossRef]
- Thirugnanasambantham, P.; Viswanathan, S.; Mythirayee, C.; Krishnamurty, V.; Ramachandran, S.; Kameswaran, L. Analgesic activity of certain flavone derivatives: A structure-activity study. *J. Ethnopharmacol.* 1990, 28, 207–214. [CrossRef]
- Ferraz, C.R.; Carvalho, T.T.; Manchope, M.F.; Artero, N.A.; Rasquel-Oliveira, F.S.; Fattori, V.; Casagrande, R.; Verri, W.A., Jr. Therapeutic Potential of Flavonoids in Pain and Inflammation: Mechanisms of Action, Pre-Clinical and Clinical Data, and Pharmaceutical Development. *Molecules* 2020, 25, 762. [CrossRef]
- Mota, K.S.D.L.; Dias, G.E.N.; Pinto, M.E.F.; Luiz-Ferreira, A.; Souza-Brito, A.R.M.; Hiruma-Lima, C.A.; Barbosa-Filho, J.M.; Batista, L.M. Flavonoids with gastroprotective activity. *Molecules* 2009, 14, 979–1012. [CrossRef]
- Zahran, E.M.; Abdelmohsen, U.R.; Hussein, A.S.; Salem, M.A.; Khalil, H.E.; Yehia Desoukey, S.; Fouad, M.A.; Kamel, M.S. Antiulcer potential and molecular docking of flavonoids from Ocimum forskolei Benth., family Lamiaceae. *Nat. Prod. Res.* 2019, 1–5. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Ngo, T.D.; Tran, T.D.; Le, M.T.; Thai, K.M. Machine learning-, rule- and pharmacophore-based classification on the inhibition of P-glycoprotein and NorA. SAR QSAR Environ. Res. 2016, 27, 747–780. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 23. Ngo, T.-D.; Tran, T.-D.; Le, M.-T.; Thai, K.-M. Computational predictive models for P-glycoprotein inhibition of in-house chalcone derivatives and drug-bank compounds. *Mol. Divers.* **2016**, *20*, 945–961. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Thai, K.M.; Huynh, N.T.; Ngo, T.D.; Mai, T.T.; Nguyen, T.H.; Tran, T.D. Three- and four-class classification models for P-glycoprotein inhibitors using counter-propagation neural networks. *SAR QSAR Environ. Res.* 2015, 26, 139–163. [CrossRef]
- Proença, C.; Freitas, M.; Ribeiro, D.; Oliveira, E.F.T.; Sousa, J.L.C.; Tomé, S.M.; Ramos, M.J.; Silva, A.M.S.; Fernandes, P.A.; Fernandes, E. α-Glucosidase inhibition by flavonoids: An invitro and in silico structure-activity relationship study. *J. Enzym. Inhib. Med. Chem.* 2017, *32*, 1216–1228. [CrossRef]
- 26. Ibrahim, S.R.M.; Mohamed, G.A.; Alshali, K.Z.; Haidari, R.A.A.; El-Kholy, A.A.; Zayed, M.F. Lipoxygenase inhibitors flavonoids from Cyperus rotundus aerial parts. *Rev. Bras. Farm.* **2018**, *28*, 320–324. [CrossRef]
- Proença, C.; Freitas, M.; Ribeiro, D.; Tomé, S.M.; Oliveira, E.F.T.; Viegas, M.F.; Araújo, A.N.; Ramos, M.J.; Silva, A.M.S.; Fernandes, P.A.; et al. Evaluation of a flavonoids library for inhibition of pancreatic α-amylase towards a structure-activity relationship. *J. Enzym. Inhib. Med. Chem.* **2019**, *34*, 577–588. [CrossRef]
- 28. Lin, S.; Zhang, G.; Liao, Y.; Pan, J.; Gong, D. Dietary Flavonoids as Xanthine Oxidase Inhibitors: Structure–Affinity and Structure–Activity Relationships. J. Agric. Food Chem. 2015, 63, 7784–7794. [CrossRef]
- 29. Chimenti, F.; Fioravanti, R.; Bolasco, A.; Chimenti, P.; Secci, D.; Rossi, F.; Yáñez, M.; Orallo, F.; Ortuso, F.; Alcaro, S.; et al. A new series of flavones, thioflavones, and flavanones as selective monoamine oxidase-B inhibitors. *Bioorg. Med. Chem.* **2010**, *18*, 1273–1279. [CrossRef]
- 30. Khan, H.; Marya; Amin, S.; Kamal, M.A.; Patel, S. Flavonoids as acetylcholinesterase inhibitors: Current therapeutic standing and future prospects. *Biomed. Pharmacother.* **2018**, *101*, 860–870. [CrossRef]
- 31. Shimmyo, Y.; Kihara, T.; Akaike, A.; Niidome, T.; Sugimoto, H. Flavonols and flavones as BACE-1 inhibitors: Structure-activity relationship in cell-free, cell-based and in silico studies reveal novel pharmacophore features. *Biochim. Biophys. Acta* **2008**, *1780*, 819–825. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 32. Han, J.; Ji, Y.; Youn, K.; Lim, G.; Lee, J.; Kim, D.H.; Jun, M. Baicalein as a Potential Inhibitor against BACE1 and AChE: Mechanistic Comprehension through In Vitro and Computational Approaches. *Nutrients* **2019**, *11*. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 33. SciFinder. Available online: https://sso.cas.org/as/YpCJE/resume/as/authorization.ping (accessed on 8 July 2020).
- 34. Balkrishna, A.; Pokhrel, S.; Tomer, M.; Verma, S.; Kumar, A.; Nain, P.; Gupta, A.; Varshney, A. Anti-Acetylcholinesterase Activities of Mono-Herbal Extracts and Exhibited Synergistic Effects of the Phytoconstituents: A Biochemical and Computational Study. *Molecules* **2019**, *24*, 4175. [CrossRef] [PubMed]

- Paudel, P.; Seong, S.H.; Zhou, Y.; Ha, M.T.; Min, B.S.; Jung, H.A.; Choi, J.S. Arylbenzofurans from the Root Bark of Morus alba as Triple Inhibitors of Cholinesterase, β-Site Amyloid Precursor Protein Cleaving Enzyme 1, and Glycogen Synthase Kinase-3β: Relevance to Alzheimer's Disease. ACS Omega 2019, 4, 6283–6294. [CrossRef]
- 36. Jannat, S.; Balupuri, A.; Hong, S.S.; Choi, C.; Choi, Y.-H.; Ku, J.-M.; Kim, W.; Leem, J.; Kim, J.; Shrestha, A.; et al. Inhibition of β-site amyloid precursor protein cleaving enzyme 1 and cholinesterases by pterosins via a specific structure–activity relationship with a strong BBB permeability. *Exp. Mol. Med.* **2019**, *51*. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Tran, T.-S.; Le, M.-T.; Tran, T.-D.; Tran, T.-H.; Thai, K.-M. Design of Curcumin and Flavonoid Derivatives with Acetylcholinesterase and Beta-Secretase Inhibitory Activities Using in Silico Approaches. *Molecules* 2020, 25, 3644. [CrossRef]
- Neumann, U.; Ufer, M.; Jacobson, L.H.; Rouzade-Dominguez, M.-L.; Huledal, G.; Kolly, C.; Lüönd, R.M.; Machauer, R.; Veenstra, S.J.; Hurth, K.; et al. The BACE-1 inhibitor CNP520 for prevention trials in Alzheimer's disease. *EMBO Mol. Med.* 2018, 10, e9316. [CrossRef]
- Ojha, P.K.; Mitra, I.; Das, R.N.; Roy, K. Further exploring rm2 metrics for validation of QSPR models. Chemometr. Intell. Lab. Syst. 2011, 107, 194–205. [CrossRef]
- 40. Enyedy, I.J.; Egan, W.J. Can we use docking and scoring for hit-to-lead optimization? *J. Comput. Aided Mol. Des.* **2008**, 22, 161–168. [CrossRef]
- 41. Sussman, J.L.; Silman, I. Acetylcholinesterase: Structure and use as a model for specific cation—protein interactions. *Curr. Opin. Struct. Biol.* **1992**, *2*, 721–729. [CrossRef]
- 42. Guedes, I.A.; Pereira, F.S.S.; Dardenne, L.E. Empirical Scoring Functions for Structure-Based Virtual Screening: Applications, Critical Aspects, and Challenges. *Front. Pharmacol.* **2018**, *9*. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 43. Burlingham, B.T.; Widlanski, T.S. An Intuitive Look at the Relationship of Ki and IC50: A More General Use for the Dixon Plot. *J. Chem. Educ.* **2003**, *80*, 214. [CrossRef]
- 44. Copeland, R.A. Reversible Modes of Inhibitor Interactions with Enzymes. In *Evaluation of Enzyme Inhibitors in Drug Discovery;* John Wiley & Sons, Inc.: Hoboken, NJ, USA, 2013; pp. 57–121.
- 45. Ellman, G.L.; Courtney, K.D.; Andres, V., Jr.; Feather-Stone, R.M. A new and rapid colorimetric determination of acetylcholinesterase activity. *Biochem. Pharmacol.* **1961**, *7*, 88–95. [CrossRef]
- 46. Tran, T.-D.; Nguyen, T.-C.-V.; Nguyen, N.-S.; Nguyen, D.-M.; Nguyen, T.-T.-H.; Le, M.-T.; Thai, K.-M. Synthesis of Novel Chalcones as Acetylcholinesterase Inhibitors. *Appl. Sci.* **2016**, *6*, 198. [CrossRef]
- 47. Nuthakki, V.K.; Sharma, A.; Kumar, A.; Bharate, S.B. Identification of embelin, a 3-undecyl-1,4-benzoquinone from Embelia ribes as a multitargeted anti-Alzheimer agent. *Drug Dev. Res.* **2019**, *80*, 655–665. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 48. Olasehinde, T.A.; Mabinya, L.V.; Olaniran, A.O.; Okoh, A.I. Chemical characterization, antioxidant properties, cholinesterase inhibitory and anti-amyloidogenic activities of sulfated polysaccharides from some seaweeds. *Bioact. Carbohydr. Diet. Fibre* **2019**, *18*, 100182. [CrossRef]
- 49. Sybyl X 2.0. Available online: https://sybyl-x.software.informer.com/2.0/ (accessed on 20 May 2019).
- 50. Protein Data Bank. Available online: https://www.rcsb.org/ (accessed on 20 May 2019).
- 51. Hevener, K.E.; Zhao, W.; Ball, D.M.; Babaoglu, K.; Qi, J.; White, S.W.; Lee, R.E. Validation of molecular docking programs for virtual screening against dihydropteroate synthase. *J. Chem. Inf. Model.* **2009**, *49*, 444–460. [CrossRef]
- 52. LeadIT 2.0.2. Available online: https://www.biosolveit.de/LeadIT/ (accessed on 20 May 2019).
- 53. Kitchen, D.B.; Decornez, H.; Furr, J.R.; Bajorath, J. Docking and scoring in virtual screening for drug discovery: Methods and applications. *Nat. Rev. Drug Discov.* **2004**, *3*, 935–949. [CrossRef]
- 54. Pagadala, N.S.; Syed, K.; Tuszynski, J. Software for molecular docking: A review. *Biophys. Rev.* 2017, 9, 91–102. [CrossRef]
- 55. MOE. 2008.10 Edition. Chemical Computing Group Inc., 1010 Sherbrooke St. W, Suite 910, Montreal, Quebec, Canada H3A 2R7. Available online: https://www.chemcomp.com/ (accessed on 20 May 2019).

Sample Availability: Samples of the compounds are not available from the authors.



© 2020 by the authors. Licensee MDPI, Basel, Switzerland. This article is an open access article distributed under the terms and conditions of the Creative Commons Attribution (CC BY) license (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/).