



Pigeons (Columba livia domestica) Are Susceptible to Infectious Bursal Disease: A Comparative Study of Their Hematological and Serum Biochemical Alterations

¹ Veterinary Pathology, Ahmadu Bello University, Zaria, Nigeria, ² Veterinary Medicine, Ahmadu Bello University, Zaria, Nigeria,

Ochuko Orakpoghenor^{1*}, Sunday Blessing Oladele¹, Paul Ayuba Abdu², Talatu Patience Markus³, Samson James Enam¹, Aliyu Danlami Andamin², Muhammed Shuaib Muhammed¹, Suleman Geidam Usman¹ and King Akpofure Nelson Esievo¹

³ Veterinary Microbiology, Ahmadu Bello University, Zaria, Nigeria

OPEN ACCESS

Edited by:

Huanmin Zhang, Agricultural Research Service (USDA), United States

Reviewed by:

John Ihedioha, University of Nigeria, Nsukka, Nigeria Sébastien Mathieu Soubies, Agence Nationale de Sécurité Sanitaire de l'Alimentation, de l'Environnement et du Travail (ANSES), France

*Correspondence:

Ochuko Orakpoghenor ochuko.orakpoghenor@gmail.com

Specialty section:

This article was submitted to Veterinary Infectious Diseases, a section of the journal Frontiers in Veterinary Science

Received: 27 February 2021 Accepted: 05 May 2021 Published: 04 June 2021

Citation:

Orakpoghenor O, Oladele SB, Abdu PA, Markus TP, Enam SJ, Andamin AD, Muhammed MS, Usman SG and Esievo KAN (2021) Pigeons (Columba livia domestica) Are Susceptible to Infectious Bursal Disease: A Comparative Study of Their Hematological and Serum Biochemical Alterations. Front. Vet. Sci. 8:673398. doi: 10.3389/fvets.2021.673398 The hematological and serum biochemical alterations following very virulent infectious bursal disease virus (IBDV) infection in pigeons and chickens were evaluated in this study. Sixty IBDV seronegative birds comprising 30 (3-6 weeks old) pigeons and 30 (3 weeks old) chickens were randomly divided as follows: 10 uninoculated pigeons only, 10 inoculated pigeons + 10 sentinel chickens, 10 inoculated chickens + 10 sentinel pigeons, and 10 uninoculated chickens. Inoculated birds were administered 0.20 ml of inoculum containing very virulent IBDV (vvIBDV). Blood was collected postinoculation/exposure (pi/pe) and processed for hematology and biochemistry. The results revealed significantly (P < 0.05) increased packed cell volume, decreased mean corpuscular hemoglobin (MCH), and MCH concentration (MCHC) in inoculated and sentinel chickens. Total leukocyte count (TLC), heterophil, and heterophil/lymphocyte (H/L) ratio were increased from 3 dpi/dpe in inoculated pigeons and from 3 to 14 dpi/dpe in inoculated and sentinel chickens. At 10 and 14 dpi/dpe, there was significantly (P < 0.05) increased serum total protein and globulin concentrations and decreased albumin/globulin ratio in pigeons and chickens. Serum urea concentration showed significant (P < 0.05) increase in inoculated and sentinel chickens at 3 and 4 dpi/dpe. To the authors' knowledge, this is the first report on hematological and serum biochemical alterations due to vvIBDV infection in pigeons.

Keywords: infectious bursal disease, pigeons, sentinel, hematology, serum biochemistry

INTRODUCTION

Infectious bursal disease (IBD) or Gumboro disease (GD) caused by infectious bursal disease virus (IBDV) is characterized by inflammation of the bursa, bursal atrophy, and immunosuppression in chickens between 3 weeks and 3 months of age (1–3). The virus responsible for IBD possesses selective tropism for lymphoid tissue with the bursa of Fabricius (BF) being the primary target organ where it affects immature B lymphocytes (4, 5). Other lymphoid cells in the bone marrow,

1

thymus, spleen, Peyer's patches, cecal tonsils, and Harderian glands have been reported to be also affected by IBDV (6). Chickens and turkeys constitute the natural host of IBDV, and transmission is primarily via fecal–oral route. Two serotypes of IBDV (1 and 2) have been identified, with serotype 1 considered to be pathogenic to chickens and serotype 2 considered avirulent (7, 8). Based on antigenicity and virulence, serotype 1 has been further classified into classical virulent, attenuated, antigenic variant, and very virulent IBDV (5, 9). In free-living wild birds, IBDV has been reported to induce lymphoid depletion with subclinical infection (10). In addition, serological evidence of serotype 1 IBDV infection in free-living wild birds suggests their possible susceptibility and role in the epidemiology of IBDV (7, 11).

Studies have documented hematological alterations in chickens, turkeys, and ducks due to IBDV with no information on the hematological alterations in domestic pigeons (*Columba livia domestica*) (12, 13). Pigeons have close interactions with chickens at backyard and on commercial poultry farms as well as live bird markets. In addition, there is an increase in pigeon production for consumption as meat and for research needs. Information on their susceptibility to various poultry diseases such as IBD would provide the impetus for enhanced production. Hence, in this study, the hematological and serum biochemical alterations following very virulent infectious bursal disease virus (IBDV) infection in pigeons (*C. livia domestica*) and chickens were evaluated.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Ethical Approval

The ethics governing the use and conduct of experiments on animals were strictly observed, and the experimental protocol was approved by the Ahmadu Bello University Committee on Animal Use and Care (ABUCAUC) with approval number ABUCAUC/2021/002.

Experimental Birds

Thirty-day-old Institut de Sélection Animale (ISA) white cockerels were acquired from a reputable hatchery. When the chickens were 3 weeks old, they were used for the experiment. In addition, thirty 3–8-week-old domestic pigeons were acquired from local breeders and used for the experiment.

Experimental Design

The pigeons were divided into three groups (A–C) comprising 10 birds each. In addition, the chickens were divided into three groups (I–III) comprising 10 birds each. Pigeons in group A (uninoculated pigeons) and chickens in group I (uninoculated chickens) were not inoculated with vvIBDV and kept separately; pigeons in group B (inoculated pigeons) and chickens in group II (inoculated chickens) were inoculated with Nigerian strain of vvIBDV orally ($10^{9.76}$ CID₅₀/ml). Pigeons in group C (sentinel pigeons) and chickens in group III (sentinel chickens) were uninoculated and kept together with inoculated chickens and inoculated pigeons, respectively. Hence, the four isolated groups of birds used for the experiment comprised of

the following: 10 uninoculated pigeons only, 10 uninoculated chickens only, 10 inoculated pigeons + 10 sentinel chickens, and 10 inoculated chickens + sentinel pigeons. Birds in each group were kept in four separate pens and provided feed and water *ad libitum*. In addition, the surroundings of each pen were continuously fumigated.

Blood Sample Collection

Prior to inoculation with vvIBDV, blood samples were collected from all pigeons and chickens via brachial veins, and the sera were harvested. The sera were confirmed seronegative for IBDV antibody using enzyme-linked immunosorbent assay (ELISA).

Blood was also collected from pigeons and chickens on days 0, 1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6, 7, 10, and 14 postinoculation and/or exposure (dpi/dpe) and divided into two parts. One part was emptied into a labeled tube containing ethylenediaminetetraacetic acid (EDTA) as anticoagulant for hematological analyses. The other part of blood was poured into a labeled plain tube to harvest serum and used for serum biochemical analyses and IBDV antibody detection using ELISA (ID Screen® IBD VP2, ID.vet, Innovative Diagnostics, Grabels, France).

Hematological Analyses

The packed cell volume (PCV) was determined using a standard technique (14). This involved filling up of a non-heparinized capillary tube with blood to about three-quarters of its length from one end and sealing the other end and centrifugating it at 4,383 × g for 5 min using a Saitexiangyi TG12MX[®] Microhematocrit centrifuge machine (Hunan Saite xiangyi centrifuge instrument Co., Ltd., Changsha, China). After that, the PCV value was measured using the Hawksley[®] Microhematocrit Reader (Hawksley, Lancing, UK) and recorded as percentage of blood volume.

The Natt-Herrick solution (1:200 dilution) and improved Neubauer hemocytometer (15) were used to determine total leukocyte (TLC) or white blood cell (TWBC) counts. The cells were viewed using a light microscope (Olympus XSZ-107BN, Wincom Company Limited, Changsha, China) at a low power magnification (\times 40) and counted by means of a tally counter. Both sides of the hemocytometer were counted, and the average was calculated.

Differential leukocyte count (DLC) was determined using the Giemsa technique (16, 17). The standard slide-to-slide technique was used to prepare a pair of smears for each blood sample using about 2 μ l of blood on a clean microscope slide. The slide was then labeled, air dried, and fixed for 3 min in methanol followed by another air-drying brief period. The slides were then flooded with Wright–Giemsa stain for 3 min followed by addition of an equal amount of Sørensen's buffer (pH 6.8) and gently mixed until the formation of green metallic sheen is seen on the surface. This was followed by washing the stained slides with the Sørensen's buffer, using tissue paper to wipe off the excess stain from the back of the slides and then air dried. The blood smears were examined using a light microscope (Olympus XSZ-107BN) under high-power magnification with oil immersion (×1,000).

The mean corpuscular hemoglobin (MCH) and mean corpuscular hemoglobin concentrations (MCHCs) were

calculated as described by Coles (1986).

$$MCH (pg) = \frac{Hb (g/dl) \times 10}{RBC (\times 10^{12}/L)}$$
$$MCHC (g/dl) = \frac{Hb (g/dl) \times 100}{PCV (\%)}$$

Serum Biochemical Analyses

The total protein concentration in each serum sample was determined colorimetrically based on the principle of the Biuret reaction (copper salt in an alkaline medium) by following the manufacturer's instruction (AGAPPE—total protein; AGAPPE Diagnostics Switzerland, GmbH, Cham, Switzerland, 51013002). The absorbance of the standard and sample was measured against the reagent blank using a spectrophotometer (S23A, Techmel, New York, NY, USA) at 546 nm.

The albumin concentration in each serum sample was determined by bromocresol green methodology following the manufacturer's instruction (AGAPPE—albumin; AGAPPE Diagnostics Switzerland, GmbH, 51001002). The sample absorbance was measured against that of reagent blank using a spectrophotometer (S23A, Techmel, USA) at 630 nm.

The globulin concentration in each sample was calculated by subtracting the albumin concentration from the total protein concentration. The albumin–globulin (ALB/GLB) in each sample was calculated by dividing the albumin concentration with globulin concentration.

The urea concentration in each serum sample was determined using the modified Berthelot methodology by following the manufacturer's instruction (AGAPPE—urea B; AGAPPE Diagnostics Switzerland, GmbH, 51216001). The absorbance of the standard and sample against the reagent blank was measured using a spectrophotometer (S23A, Techmel, USA) at 600 nm.

The creatinine concentration in each serum sample was determined using the modified Jaffe's method following the manufacturer's instruction (AGAPPE—creatinine; AGAPPE Diagnostics Switzerland, GmbH, 51009001). The principle is based on the reaction of creatinine with picric acid to produce creatinine alkaline picrate (a colored product). The optical density of mixtures was first taken (T₁) 60 s after addition of the standard/sample and then again was taken for the second time (T₂) 60 s after the first reading using a spectrophotometer (S23A, Techmel, USA) at 600 nm.

Data Analyses

Data were presented using tables and charts. Data were expressed as mean \pm SEM and subjected to a two-way analysis of variance (two-way ANOVA) followed by Bonferroni *post-hoc* test (not shown in the tables) using GraphPad Prism version 5.0 (GraphPad, San Diego, CA, USA). Values of $P \leq 0.05$ were considered significant.

RESULTS

Clinical Signs

The incubation period of IBD in pigeons and chickens was 2 days. Anorexia and reduced activity were the clinical signs observed in inoculated pigeons at 2 and 3 dpi, and these signs were absent by 4 dpi. There was no mortality in the pigeons. In inoculated and sentinel chickens, the clinical signs observed included ruffled feathers, anorexia, reduced activity, huddling, somnolence, prostration, and watery diarrhea. Mortalities were 60 and 50% in inoculated and sentinel chickens, respectively.

Antibody Response

Anti-IBDV antibodies were detected in inoculated and sentinel chickens from 3 dpi, in inoculated pigeons from 4 dpi, and in sentinel pigeons from 5 dpi (**Table 1**).

Changes in Hematology

Erythrocytic Parameters

In pigeons, the packed cell volume (PCV) showed no statistical (P > 0.05) difference preinoculation, postinoculation, and/or

TABLE 1 | Mean IBDV ELISA titer (at 1:500) of pigeons and chickens following inoculation with and/or exposure to a very virulent infectious bursal disease virus.

	Uninoculated pigeons	Inoculated pigeons	Sentinel pigeons	Uninoculated chickens	Inoculated chickens	Sentinel chickens
Days postinoculation and/or exposure			Mean ELISA t	liter (at 1:500)		
0	26.00 ± 12.00	21.67 ± 10.81	24.00 ± 6.00	174.50 ± 14.50	167.00 ± 0.00	162.50 ± 30.50
1	22.00 ± 5.00	16.00 ± 2.00	21.00 ± 3.00	136.00 ± 29.50	213.50 ± 29.50	166.00 ± 4.36
2	13.00 ± 2.08	139.67 ± 7.97	28.00 ± 31.12	92.00 ± 0.00	404.33 ± 39.87	278.67 ± 85.87
3	13.00 ± 1.00	327.33 ± 5.24	223.33 ± 13.02	100.50 ± 8.50	512.33 ± 18.02	530.00 ± 25.00
4	8.00 ± 2.00	557.00 ± 2.00	258.67 ± 29.76	83.67 ± 22.26	544.33 ± 7.84	552.00 ± 11.02
5	11.00 ± 3.00	797.00 ± 40.00	715.00 ± 90.37	87.00 ± 51.05	1006.00 ± 16.00	928.50 ± 153.50
6	2.00 ± 2.00	1245.67 ± 110.64	1000.00 ± 68.00	71.67 ± 32.54	1274.00 ± 56.00	1028.50 ± 16.50
7	0.00 ± 0.00	1463.33 ± 66.16	1337.67 ± 67.77	80.67 ± 35.43	1468 ± 6.50	1545.00 ± 136.00
10	0.00 ± 0.00	1840.50 ± 26.50	1525.00 ± 30.00	60.00 ± 9.00	1932.00 ± 44.00	1822.50 ± 52.50
14	0.00 ± 0.00	2016.33 ± 21.84	1594.00 ± 25.89	43.67 ± 10.17	2143.50 ± 20.50	2175.50 ± 6.50

Mean ELISA titer > 875 indicated positive IBDV antibody detection, while ELISA titer ≤ 875 indicated negative IBDV antibody detection according to the manufacturer's instructions.

exposure to 14 dpi/dpe. At 1 dpi, the PCV was significantly (P < 0.05) higher in the inoculated chickens than both the uninoculated and sentinel chickens, but the latter two groups were not different from each other (P > 0.5). The PCVs of both the inoculated and sentinel groups at 2, 3, 4, and 5 dpi/dpe were significantly higher (P < 0.05) than the uninoculated group. From 6 to 14 dpi/dpe, the PCV showed no significant difference (P > 0.05) between the treatment groups (**Figure 1**).

The MCH showed no significant difference (P > 0.05) between the treatment groups of both pigeons and chickens except one time point in the chickens. At 3 dpi/dpe, the average MCH of the inoculated group of chickens was significantly lower (P < 0.05) than both the uninoculated and sentinel groups (**Table 2**).

No significant difference was observed in MCHC between the treatment groups of pigeons from 0 to 14 dpi/dpe. The MCHC of the inoculated group of chickens was significantly lower (P < 0.05) than that of both the sentinel and uninoculated groups of chickens at 2 dpi/dpe, and the MCHCs of both the inoculated and sentinel groups were significantly lower (P < 0.05) than their uninoculated groups at 3 and 4 dpi/dpe (**Table 3**).

Leukocytic Parameters

At 3 dpi/dpe, total leukocyte count (TLC) was significantly (P < 0.05) increased in the inoculated group of pigeons compared to the uninoculated and sentinel groups of pigeons. The TLC was observed significantly lower (P < 0.05) in the inoculated group of chickens than its counterparts of the sentinel and uninoculated groups at 1 dpi/dpe, and both the inoculated and sentinel groups had significantly lower (P < 0.05) TLC than the uninoculated group of chickens at 2 dpi/dpe. From 3 to 14 dpi/dpe, however, the observed TLC of the inoculated and sentinel groups of chickens were significantly higher (P < 0.05)



than their counterpart of the uninoculated groups. The TLC of both the inoculated and the sentinel groups of chickens reached a peak at 5 dpi/dpe, followed with gradual decreases (**Table 4**).

The heterophil counts of pigeons and chickens pre- and postinoculation/exposure to IBDV are presented in **Table 5**. There was a significant (P < 0.05) increase in heterophil count of inoculated pigeons at 3 dpi compared to the uninoculated and sentinel pigeons. In chickens, from 3 to 14 dpi/dpe, heterophil counts of both the inoculated and sentinel groups of chickens were significantly higher (P < 0.05) than the uninoculated control groups. The heterophil count reached the highest at 5 dpi/dpe in the inoculated and sentinel chickens and then gradually declined all the way to the 14 dpi/dpe.

The lymphocyte counts of pigeons and chickens following inoculation and/or exposure to IBDV are presented in **Table 6**. No difference in lymphocyte count was observed between the treatment groups of pigeons (P > 0.05). The observed lymphocyte counts were significantly lower in the inoculated groups of chickens at 1–4 dpi and in the sentinel groups at 2–4 dpe (P < 0.05). No significant difference in lymphocyte count was observed between the treatment groups of chickens from 5 to 14 dpi/dpe.

Heterophil/lymphocyte ratios (H/L) were calculated. IBDV infection significantly upregulated the H/L in pigeons only at 3 dpi (P < 0.05). Significantly higher H/L (P < 0.05) were observed in the inoculated groups of chickens from 1 to 14 dpi and in the sentinel groups of chickens from 2 to 14 dpe than the uninoculated control group of chickens (**Table 7**).

Changes in Serum Biochemistry Total Protein, Globulin Concentrations, and Albumin/Globulin Ratio

The average serum total protein concentration was significantly upregulated in the treatment groups of pigeons in response to IBDV inoculation or exposure at 10 and 14 dpi/dpe in contrast to the uninoculated control groups (P < 0.05). The significantly upregulated total protein concentration was also observed (P < 0.05) in inoculated and sentinel groups of chickens at 3, 4, and 7–14 dpi/dpe in comparison with the counterpart of uninoculated control groups (**Table 8**).

The average serum globulin concentration of the IBDV inoculated and sentinel groups of both pigeons and chickens was significantly higher (P < 0.05) at 10 and 14 dpi/dpe than the uninoculated control groups (**Table 9**). The average albumin–globulin ratios (A/G) of both the inoculated and sentinel groups of pigeons and chickens were significantly lower (P < 0.05) than their uninoculated control groups at 10 and 14 dpi/dpe (**Table 10**).

Urea and Creatinine Concentrations

The average serum urea concentration was significantly higher (P < 0.05) in the IBDV inoculated ($1.01 \pm 0.05 \text{ mg/dl}$, $1.02 \pm 0.01 \text{ mg/dl}$, $0.92 \pm 0.04 \text{ mg/dl}$) and sentinel ($0.97 \pm 0.05 \text{ mg/dl}$, $0.99 \pm 0.07 \text{ mg/dl}$, $0.85 \pm 0.08 \text{ mg/dl}$) groups of chickens than the corresponding uninoculated control groups at 3, 4, and 5 dpi/dpe (respectively). No significant difference was observed between the treatment groups of chickens at the rest of dpi/dpe,

	Uninoculated pigeons	Inoculated pigeons	Sentinel pigeons	Uninoculated chickens	Inoculated chickens	Sentinel chickens
Days postinoculation and/or exposure			Mean corpuscular	hemoglobin (pg)		
0	37.24 ± 3.98^{a}	38.59 ± 3.87^{a}	38.53 ± 2.02^{a}	29.48 ± 0.73^{a}	$29.52\pm0.38^{\text{a}}$	29.90 ± 0.01^{a}
1	36.39 ± 2.92^{a}	38.60 ± 1.95^{a}	38.35 ± 2.14^{a}	29.72 ± 0.48^{a}	28.38 ± 1.53^{a}	29.89 ± 0.01^{a}
2	37.42 ± 3.33^{a}	37.67 ± 2.03^{a}	37.93 ± 0.61^{a}	$29.54\pm0.23^{\text{a}}$	27.78 ± 1.41^{a}	28.46 ± 1.47^{a}
3	37.61 ± 2.93^{a}	37.47 ± 1.03^{a}	$38.18\pm1.42^{\rm a}$	$29.53\pm0.90^{\rm a}$	$27.05 \pm 1.64^{\rm b}$	27.79 ± 1.39^{a}
4	37.29 ± 1.14^{a}	37.51 ± 1.72^{a}	37.52 ± 1.91^{a}	29.20 ± 2.17^{a}	26.31 ± 1.86^{a}	27.69 ± 1.69^{a}
5	$37.94 \pm 1.43^{\text{a}}$	37.89 ± 1.27^{a}	38.54 ± 1.02^{a}	$29.78\pm0.13^{\text{a}}$	27.36 ± 2.37^{a}	$28.77\pm0.90^{\text{b}}$
6	37.65 ± 1.98^{a}	38.24 ± 1.50^{a}	37.98 ± 1.56^{a}	29.55 ± 1.29^{a}	29.06 ± 0.71^{a}	29.19 ± 0.37^{a}
7	37.70 ± 0.82^{a}	$37.99\pm3.28^{\rm a}$	$37.70\pm0.82^{\text{a}}$	29.70 ± 0.05^{a}	29.74 ± 0.02^{a}	29.90 ± 0.02^{a}
10	37.79 ± 2.24^{a}	$38.86\pm4.25^{\text{a}}$	38.64 ± 3.23^{a}	29.73 ± 0.02^{a}	29.77 ± 0.01^{a}	29.93 ± 0.01^{a}
14	$36.62\pm1.16^{\text{a}}$	37.25 ± 2.00^{a}	$36.29\pm1.18^{\text{a}}$	$29.11\pm0.69^{\rm a}$	29.20 ± 1.06^{a}	$29.15\pm0.81^{\text{a}}$

TABLE 2 | Mean corpuscular hemoglobin of pigeons and chickens following inoculation with and/or exposure to a very virulent infectious bursal disease virus.

Values with different superscript alphabets in the same row for the same species of bird differ significantly at P < 0.05.

TABLE 3 | Mean corpuscular hemoglobin concentration of pigeons and chickens following inoculation with and/or exposure to a very virulent infectious bursal disease virus.

Days post-inoculation and/or exposure	Uninoculated pigeons	Inoculated pigeons	Sentinel pigeons	Uninoculated chickens	Inoculated chickens	Sentinel chickens
		Me	ean corpuscular hemog	lobin concentration (g	g/dl)	
0	28.80 ± 2.55^{a}	29.59 ± 1.71^{a}	29.67 ± 1.00^{a}	27.25 ± 0.00^{a}	27.24 ± 0.01^{a}	27.24 ± 0.01^{a}
1	$28.18\pm2.17^{\text{a}}$	29.33 ± 1.57^{a}	29.53 ± 1.65^{a}	27.25 ± 0.01^{a}	26.03 ± 1.21^{a}	$27.25\pm0.00^{\text{a}}$
2	$29.12\pm1.44^{\rm a}$	$29.03\pm2.48^{\text{a}}$	29.40 ± 1.27^{a}	27.10 ± 0.00^{a}	$25.34\pm1.28^{\rm b}$	$25.93 \pm 1.34^{\rm a}$
3	29.63 ± 1.69^{a}	$29.42 \pm 1.43^{\rm a}$	29.21 ± 1.46^{a}	$27.11\pm0.00^{\rm a}$	24.67 ± 1.49^{b}	$25.32\pm1.26^{\rm b}$
4	$29.07\pm1.60^{\text{a}}$	29.14 ± 1.53^{a}	$28.89 \pm 1.46^{\text{a}}$	$27.10\pm0.01^{\rm a}$	$23.99\pm1.71^{\rm b}$	$25.25\pm1.52^{\rm b}$
5	$29.67\pm1.00^{\text{a}}$	29.26 ± 1.09^{a}	29.67 ± 1.00^{a}	27.10 ± 0.01^{a}	$24.95\pm2.15^{\text{a}}$	$26.22\pm0.83^{\text{a}}$
6	$29.08\pm1.36^{\rm a}$	29.67 ± 1.00^{a}	29.39 ± 1.20^{a}	27.11 ± 0.01^{a}	$26.48\pm0.63^{\rm a}$	$26.62\pm0.32^{\rm a}$
7	29.14 ± 1.44^{a}	28.68 ± 1.78^{a}	$29.03\pm0.62^{\text{a}}$	$27.11\pm0.00^{\text{a}}$	27.10 ± 0.01^{a}	$27.26\pm0.00^{\text{a}}$
10	$28.30\pm1.59^{\rm a}$	28.21 ± 1.46^{a}	$28.65\pm0.63^{\text{a}}$	$27.10\pm0.00^{\text{a}}$	27.11 ± 0.01^{a}	27.24 ± 0.01^{a}
14	$28.35\pm1.27^{\text{a}}$	$29.12\pm1.24^{\text{a}}$	$28.34\pm1.05^{\text{a}}$	$27.14\pm0.80^{\text{a}}$	$27.17\pm4.14^{\text{a}}$	27.19 ± 0.64^{a}

Values with different superscript alphabets in the same row for the same species of bird differ significantly at P < 0.05.

and no difference was observed between the treatment groups of pigeons at any dpi/dpe (**Table 11**). No significant difference was observed in average serum creatinine concentration between the treatment groups of either pigeons or chickens in response to the IBDV inoculation or exposure during the experimental period (**Table 12**).

DISCUSSION

The detection of anti-IBDV antibodies in pigeons and chickens in this study indicated serological evidence of IBDV infection. There was no significant alterations in PCV, MCH, and MCHC of inoculated and sentinel pigeons. In inoculated and sentinel chickens, there was significant polycythemia and decreased MCH and MCHC. However, the polycythemia was relative due to insignificant alterations in the red blood cell (RBC). Polycythemia following IBDV infection in chickens has also been reported by Oladele et al. (12), Bello et al. (13), and Chineme and Cho (18). In contrast, anemia due to IBDV infection has been documented in turkeys and guinea fowls (12). The relative polycythemia observed in inoculated and sentinel chickens might be associated with hemoconcentration due to dehydration resulting from anorexia and diarrhea (12, 13). The decrease in MCH and MCHC in this study suggested normocytic hypochromic anemia, and this might have resulted from hemorrhage with subsequent iron deficiency. Thus, the type of anemia encountered in vvIBDV infection in chickens in this study could be considered as normocytic hypochromic anemia.

Leukocytosis due to heterophilia in vvIBDV-inoculated pigeons was short lived and absent in sentinel pigeons. In the chickens, leukocytic response was characterized by initial leukopenia due to lymphopenia followed by leukocytosis due

	Uninoculated pigeons	Inoculated pigeons	Sentinel pigeons	Uninoculated chickens	Inoculated chickens	Sentinel chickens
Days postinoculation and/or exposure			Total leukocytes	s count (×10 ⁹ /I)		
0	22.20 ± 1.18^{a}	21.90 ± 1.13^{a}	21.66 ± 1.19^{a}	25.68 ± 0.66^{a}	25.96 ± 1.53^{a}	25.98 ± 0.89^{a}
1	21.88 ± 0.52^{a}	21.68 ± 0.78^{a}	21.62 ± 1.10^{a}	25.62 ± 1.01^{a}	$22.42\pm1.05^{\rm b}$	$25.72\pm1.02^{\text{a}}$
2	22.12 ± 0.91^{a}	20.92 ± 1.29^{a}	21.10 ± 1.47^{a}	$25.96 \pm 1.03^{\text{a}}$	$23.02\pm0.76^{\text{b}}$	$23.48\pm0.80^{\text{b}}$
3	21.90 ± 0.95^{a}	$23.86 \pm 1.71^{\rm b}$	22.02 ± 1.51^{a}	$25.52\pm1.04^{\rm a}$	$28.64 \pm 1.06^{\text{b}}$	$29.22\pm1.45^{\rm b}$
4	21.80 ± 0.99^{a}	21.42 ± 1.20^{a}	21.58 ± 1.29^{a}	$25.50\pm0.58^{\text{a}}$	36.07 ± 1.67^{b}	$37.63 \pm 1.50^{\text{b}}$
5	21.56 ± 1.01^{a}	21.90 ± 1.43^{a}	20.68 ± 1.50^{a}	$26.18\pm1.02^{\text{a}}$	$48.43\pm2.48^{\rm b}$	$46.30\pm3.04^{\text{b}}$
6	21.76 ± 0.90^{a}	21.70 ± 1.11^{a}	20.72 ± 1.01^{a}	25.96 ± 1.56^{a}	$40.80\pm1.23^{\text{b}}$	$38.83\pm3.64^{\rm b}$
7	22.06 ± 1.26^{a}	21.26 ± 1.04^{a}	$20.72\pm1.03^{\text{a}}$	$26.38\pm1.75^{\text{a}}$	32.77 ± 1.24^{b}	$31.13\pm1.96^{\rm b}$
10	21.84 ± 0.90^{a}	21.36 ± 1.23^{a}	21.58 ± 0.99^{a}	26.60 ± 1.16^{a}	$29.35\pm1.65^{\rm b}$	$29.00\pm0.80^{\text{b}}$
14	21.88 ± 0.61^{a}	21.52 ± 0.78^{a}	$21.80\pm0.64^{\text{a}}$	26.28 ± 1.76^{a}	$28.20\pm1.70^{\text{b}}$	$28.35\pm1.65^{\rm b}$

TABLE 4 | Mean total leukocyte count of pigeons and chickens following inoculation with and/or exposure to a very virulent infectious bursal disease virus.

Values with different superscript alphabets in the same row for the same species of bird differ significantly at P < 0.05.

TABLE 5 | Mean heterophil count of pigeons and chickens following inoculation with and/or exposure to a very virulent infectious bursal disease virus.

	Uninoculated pigeons	Inoculated pigeons	Sentinel pigeons	Uninoculated chickens	Inoculated chickens	Sentinel chickens
Days postinoculation and/or exposure			Heterophil co	ount (×10 ⁹ /I)		
0	$7.70\pm0.29^{\text{a}}$	7.42 ± 0.66^{a}	$7.84\pm0.84^{\text{a}}$	9.99 ± 0.23^{a}	$9.38\pm0.78^{\text{a}}$	9.37 ± 0.89^{a}
1	8.01 ± 0.67^{a}	7.94 ± 0.19^{a}	8.10 ± 0.46^{a}	$9.99\pm0.50^{\rm a}$	$9.11\pm0.60^{\rm a}$	$9.80\pm1.02^{\text{a}}$
2	7.94 ± 0.29^{a}	8.06 ± 0.60^{a}	$8.02\pm0.43^{\text{a}}$	$8.98\pm0.32^{\rm a}$	9.30 ± 0.34^{a}	9.47 ± 0.80^{a}
3	$7.68\pm0.52^{\rm a}$	$8.40\pm0.73^{\text{b}}$	$7.96\pm0.69^{\rm a}$	$8.59\pm0.47^{\rm a}$	$12.43\pm0.71^{\rm b}$	$11.20\pm1.45^{\rm b}$
4	$7.60\pm0.37^{\rm a}$	7.58 ± 0.38^{a}	$7.44\pm0.73^{\rm a}$	9.22 ± 0.40^{a}	17.00 ± 1.57^{b}	$17.88\pm1.50^{\rm b}$
5	$7.62\pm0.71^{\rm a}$	7.72 ± 0.60^{a}	7.52 ± 0.72^{a}	$8.58\pm0.72^{\rm a}$	$28.02\pm0.70^{\rm b}$	$25.98\pm3.04^{\rm b}$
6	$7.42\pm0.84^{\text{a}}$	7.58 ± 0.60^{a}	$7.42\pm0.54^{\text{a}}$	$8.70\pm0.85^{\text{a}}$	$23.02\pm1.61^{\rm b}$	$23.44\pm3.64^{\rm b}$
7	$7.54\pm0.35^{\text{a}}$	7.66 ± 0.60^{a}	7.56 ± 0.46^{a}	$9.23\pm0.72^{\text{a}}$	14.24 ± 1.11^{b}	$15.97 \pm 1.96^{\rm b}$
10	$7.66\pm0.57^{\rm a}$	7.58 ± 0.62^{a}	$7.68\pm0.32^{\rm a}$	$8.57\pm0.45^{\rm a}$	$11.69\pm0.40^{\rm b}$	$11.96\pm1.30^{\rm b}$
14	$7.32\pm0.54^{\text{a}}$	7.64 ± 0.41^{a}	$7.52\pm0.47^{\text{a}}$	$8.95 \pm 1.29^{\text{a}}$	$11.42\pm0.35^{\text{b}}$	$11.48\pm2.15^{\rm b}$

Values with different superscript alphabets in the same row for the same species of bird differ significantly at P < 0.05.

TABLE 6 | Mean lymphocyte count of pigeons and chickens following inoculation with and/or exposure to a very virulent infectious bursal disease virus.

	Uninoculated pigeons	Inoculated pigeons	Sentinel pigeons	Uninoculated chickens	Inoculated chickens	Sentinel chickens		
Days postinoculation and/or exposure	Lymphocyte count (×10 ⁹ /I)							
	13.38 ± 0.78^{a}	13.24 ± 0.50^{a}	13.36 ± 0.77^{a}	15.82 ± 0.60^{a}	16.38 ± 0.97^{a}	16.35 ± 0.51^{a}		
1	$12.96\pm0.74^{\text{a}}$	13.04 ± 0.90^{a}	13.34 ± 0.73^{a}	$15.98\pm0.90^{\text{a}}$	$13.03\pm0.73^{\rm b}$	15.99 ± 0.73^{a}		
2	13.22 ± 0.86^{a}	13.18 ± 1.50^{a}	13.14 ± 1.04a	16.78 ± 1.14^{a}	13.20 ± 0.42^{b}	$13.48\pm0.53^{\rm b}$		
3	13.30 ± 0.65^{a}	$12.98\pm0.65^{\text{a}}$	13.04 ± 1.40^{a}	$16.63\pm0.65^{\text{a}}$	$14.34\pm0.45^{\rm b}$	$14.89\pm0.69^{\rm b}$		
4	13.30 ± 0.90^{a}	$12.92\pm0.75^{\text{a}}$	$13.26\pm0.69^{\text{a}}$	16.43 ± 0.66^{a}	$14.53\pm0.68^{\rm b}$	$15.35\pm0.82^{\rm b}$		
5	13.24 ± 0.50^{a}	$13.14\pm1.08^{\rm a}$	$13.18\pm0.65^{\text{a}}$	17.15 ± 1.16^{a}	15.84 ± 0.76^{a}	16.19 ± 0.69^{a}		
6	13.16 ± 0.68^{a}	12.94 ± 0.57^{a}	$12.98\pm0.57^{\text{a}}$	17.01 ± 1.35^{a}	$17.23\pm1.00^{\text{a}}$	$16.86\pm0.61^{\text{a}}$		
7	13.38 ± 0.92^{a}	$13.16\pm0.43^{\rm a}$	$13.30\pm0.58^{\text{a}}$	16.89 ± 1.39^{a}	17.13 ± 0.26^{a}	17.21 ± 0.71^{a}		
10	$13.28\pm0.62^{\text{a}}$	12.98 ± 0.49^{a}	$12.98\pm0.97^{\text{a}}$	17.04 ± 1.04^{a}	$17.22\pm0.31^{\text{a}}$	17.13 ± 0.23^{a}		
14	$13.30\pm0.42^{\text{a}}$	13.00 ± 0.49^{a}	13.10 ± 0.48^{a}	17.19 ± 1.04^{a}	17.16 ± 1.08^{a}	$16.79\pm1.00^{\rm a}$		

Values with different superscript alphabets in the same row for the same species of bird differ significantly at P < 0.05.

BLE 7 Mean heterophil/lymphocyte ratio of pigeons and chickens following inoculation with and/or exposure to a very virulent infectious bursal disease virus.
--

	Uninoculated pigeons	Inoculated pigeons	Sentinel pigeons	Uninoculated chickens	Inoculated chickens	Sentinel chickens
Days postinoculation and/or exposure			Heterophil/lym	phocyte ratio		
0	$0.55\pm0.01^{\mathrm{a}}$	$0.54\pm0.04^{\mathrm{a}}$	$0.52\pm0.04^{\mathrm{a}}$	$0.63\pm0.03^{\mathrm{a}}$	0.57 ± 0.04^{a}	$0.57\pm0.03^{\text{a}}$
1	$0.53\pm0.04^{\text{a}}$	$0.57\pm0.03^{\text{a}}$	$0.55\pm0.04^{\rm a}$	$0.63\pm0.05^{\rm a}$	$0.70\pm0.03^{\rm b}$	$0.62\pm0.03^{\rm a}$
2	$0.55\pm0.03^{\rm a}$	$0.57\pm0.04^{\text{a}}$	$0.56\pm0.06^{\text{a}}$	$0.55\pm0.04^{\rm a}$	$0.70\pm0.01^{\rm b}$	$0.70\pm0.01^{\rm b}$
3	$0.51\pm0.05^{\rm a}$	$0.60\pm0.06^{\rm b}$	0.53 ± 0.07^{ab}	0.52 ± 0.01^{a}	$0.87\pm0.04^{\rm b}$	$0.76\pm0.06^{\rm b}$
4	$0.53\pm0.04^{\text{a}}$	$0.57\pm0.04^{\text{a}}$	$0.56\pm0.05^{\text{a}}$	$0.58\pm0.04^{\rm a}$	$1.17\pm0.05^{\rm b}$	$1.14\pm0.07^{\rm b}$
5	$0.54\pm0.03^{\rm a}$	$0.56\pm0.08^{\text{a}}$	$0.52\pm0.05^{\text{a}}$	$0.51\pm0.06^{\rm a}$	$1.67\pm0.06^{\rm b}$	$1.59\pm0.14^{\rm b}$
6	$0.56\pm0.07^{\text{a}}$	$0.58\pm0.05^{\text{a}}$	$0.57\pm0.03^{\rm a}$	$0.53\pm0.07^{\rm a}$	$1.35\pm0.17^{\rm b}$	$1.34\pm0.06^{\rm b}$
7	$0.56\pm0.04^{\text{a}}$	$0.58\pm0.05^{\text{a}}$	$0.57\pm0.04^{\text{a}}$	$0.56\pm0.07^{\text{a}}$	$0.82\pm0.07^{\rm b}$	0.81 ± 0.10^{b}
10	$0.55\pm0.04^{\text{a}}$	$0.57\pm0.04^{\text{a}}$	$0.56\pm0.02^{\text{a}}$	0.51 ± 0.02^{a}	$0.68\pm0.04^{\rm b}$	$0.66\pm0.06^{\rm b}$
14	$0.50\pm0.04^{\text{a}}$	$0.53\pm0.04^{\text{a}}$	$0.52\pm0.03^{\text{a}}$	$0.52\pm0.08^{\rm a}$	$0.67\pm0.06^{\text{b}}$	$0.65\pm0.05^{\rm b}$

Values with different superscript alphabets in the same row for the same species of bird differ significantly at P < 0.05.

TABLE 8 | Mean serum total protein concentration of pigeons and chickens following inoculation with and/or exposure to a very virulent infectious bursal disease virus.

	Uninoculated pigeons	Inoculated pigeons	Sentinel pigeons	Uninoculated chickens	Inoculated chickens	Sentinel chickens		
Days postinoculation and/or exposure	Serum total protein concentration (g/dl)							
0	$4.05\pm0.25^{\text{a}}$	4.05 ± 0.47^{a}	4.08 ± 0.37^{a}	$3.28\pm0.09^{\rm a}$	3.30 ± 0.12^{a}	3.28 ± 0.11^{a}		
1	4.25 ± 0.23^{a}	4.10 ± 0.15^{a}	$4.13\pm0.11^{\rm a}$	$3.35\pm0.30^{\rm a}$	3.40 ± 0.16^{a}	$3.28\pm0.11^{\rm a}$		
2	4.03 ± 0.40^{a}	4.03 ± 0.20^{a}	4.10 ± 0.41^{a}	$3.38\pm0.06^{\text{a}}$	$3.43\pm0.14^{\text{a}}$	$3.43\pm0.17^{\text{a}}$		
3	4.20 ± 0.27^{a}	4.05 ± 0.06^{a}	4.08 ± 0.32^{a}	$3.35\pm0.09^{\rm a}$	$3.60\pm0.15^{\rm b}$	$3.57\pm0.20^{\rm b}$		
4	4.10 ± 0.45^{a}	4.10 ± 0.27^{a}	4.13 ± 0.38^{a}	$3.40\pm0.15^{\text{a}}$	$3.65\pm0.05^{\rm b}$	$3.63\pm0.12^{\rm b}$		
5	4.28 ± 0.40^{a}	$4.13\pm0.18^{\rm a}$	$4.13\pm0.41^{\rm a}$	$3.50\pm0.20^{\rm a}$	$3.55\pm0.25^{\text{a}}$	3.47 ± 0.35^{a}		
6	$4.10\pm0.34^{\text{a}}$	$4.35\pm0.30^{\text{a}}$	$4.33\pm0.39^{\text{a}}$	$3.50\pm0.20^{\text{a}}$	$3.55\pm0.35^{\text{a}}$	$3.53\pm0.27^{\text{a}}$		
7	4.30 ± 0.31^{a}	$4.50\pm0.51^{\rm a}$	4.48 ± 0.23^{a}	$3.35\pm0.09^{\rm a}$	$3.50\pm0.10^{\rm b}$	$3.53\pm0.15^{\rm b}$		
10	4.18 ± 0.29^{a}	$4.75\pm0.16^{\rm b}$	$4.70\pm0.29^{\rm b}$	$3.38\pm0.09^{\rm a}$	$3.60\pm0.10^{\rm b}$	$3.65\pm0.15^{\rm b}$		
14	$4.33\pm0.30^{\text{a}}$	$4.85\pm0.23^{\rm b}$	$4.78\pm0.24^{\text{b}}$	$3.48\pm0.20^{\text{a}}$	$3.75\pm0.15^{\rm b}$	$3.80\pm0.20^{\text{b}}$		

Values with different superscript alphabets in the same row for the same species of bird differ significantly at P < 0.05.

TABLE 9 | Mean serum globulin concentration of pigeons and chickens following inoculation with and/or exposure to a very virulent infectious bursal disease virus.

	Uninoculated pigeons	Inoculated pigeons	Sentinel pigeons	Uninoculated chickens	Inoculated chickens	Sentinel chickens
Days postinoculation and/or exposure			Serum globulin co	ncentration (g/dl)		
0	2.01 ± 0.30^{a}	$2.03\pm0.50^{\rm a}$	2.04 ± 0.30^{a}	$1.83\pm0.08^{\rm a}$	1.87 ± 0.08^{a}	1.84 ± 0.18^{a}
1	2.18 ± 0.15^{a}	2.10 ± 0.22^{a}	2.17 ± 0.25^{a}	$1.86\pm0.32^{\rm a}$	$1.82\pm0.24^{\rm a}$	$1.76\pm0.16^{\text{a}}$
2	2.05 ± 0.22^{a}	$1.94\pm0.11^{\rm a}$	2.14 ± 0.40^{a}	$1.81\pm0.08^{\rm a}$	$1.79\pm0.22^{\text{a}}$	$1.78\pm0.25^{\rm a}$
3	2.12 ± 0.28^{a}	2.18 ± 0.19^{a}	2.19 ± 0.50^{a}	$1.84\pm0.15^{\text{a}}$	$2.05\pm0.19^{\rm a}$	$2.01\pm0.08^{\text{a}}$
4	$2.06\pm0.35^{\text{a}}$	2.16 ± 0.23^{a}	2.09 ± 0.60^{a}	$1.90\pm0.16^{\rm a}$	$2.08\pm0.03^{\text{a}}$	$2.06\pm0.25^{\text{a}}$
5	2.00 ± 0.29^{a}	$2.06\pm0.31^{\rm a}$	2.10 ± 0.45^{a}	$1.90\pm0.18^{\rm a}$	$1.89\pm0.46^{\rm a}$	$1.77\pm0.33^{\mathrm{a}}$
6	$2.10\pm0.34^{\text{a}}$	$2.29\pm0.35^{\text{a}}$	$2.35\pm0.47^{\text{a}}$	$1.87\pm0.33^{\rm a}$	$1.97\pm0.00^{\text{a}}$	$1.94\pm0.40^{\rm a}$
7	$2.11\pm0.32^{\text{a}}$	$2.54\pm0.24^{\text{a}}$	2.56 ± 0.36^{a}	$1.82\pm0.12^{\rm a}$	$2.01\pm0.08^{\text{a}}$	$2.02\pm0.26^{\text{a}}$
10	2.18 ± 0.35^{a}	$2.68\pm0.04^{\text{b}}$	$2.73\pm0.14^{\rm b}$	$1.77\pm0.14^{\rm a}$	$2.17\pm0.04^{\rm b}$	$2.21\pm0.23^{\rm b}$
14	2.21 ± 0.16^{a}	$2.74\pm0.24^{\text{b}}$	$2.65\pm0.26^{\text{b}}$	$1.84\pm0.26^{\text{a}}$	2.25 ± 0.12^{b}	$2.24\pm0.09^{\rm b}$

Values with different superscript alphabets in the same row for the same species of bird differ significantly at P < 0.05.

	Uninoculated pigeons	Inoculated pigeons	Sentinel pigeons	Uninoculated chickens	Inoculated chickens	Sentinel chickens		
Days postinoculation and/or exposure	Albumin/globulin ratio							
0	$1.12\pm0.25^{\rm a}$	1.05 ± 0.24^{a}	$1.10\pm0.30^{\mathrm{a}}$	$0.79\pm0.03^{\mathrm{a}}$	0.77 ± 0.02^{a}	0.79 ± 0.12^{a}		
1	$1.08\pm0.14^{\text{a}}$	$1.02\pm0.19^{\rm a}$	$0.98\pm0.24^{\text{a}}$	$0.82\pm0.13^{\text{a}}$	$0.86\pm0.12^{\text{a}}$	0.85 ± 0.10^{a}		
2	1.09 ± 0.17^{a}	$1.08\pm0.06^{\rm a}$	$1.12\pm0.35^{\rm a}$	0.87 ± 0.10^{a}	$0.88\pm0.12^{\text{a}}$	0.87 ± 0.11^{a}		
3	1.14 ± 0.21^{a}	1.14 ± 0.14^{a}	$1.14\pm0.43^{\mathrm{a}}$	$0.85\pm0.12^{\rm a}$	$0.78\pm0.13^{\rm a}$	$0.77\pm0.05^{\rm a}$		
4	$1.05\pm0.13^{\text{a}}$	$0.93\pm0.11^{\rm a}$	$0.97\pm0.12^{\rm a}$	0.81 ± 0.07^{a}	$0.76\pm0.05^{\text{a}}$	$0.79\pm0.12^{\rm a}$		
5	$1.05\pm0.23^{\text{a}}$	1.11 ± 0.23^{a}	$1.01\pm0.31^{\mathrm{a}}$	$0.86\pm0.07^{\text{a}}$	$0.86\pm0.25^{\text{a}}$	0.85 ± 0.10^{a}		
6	1.04 ± 0.21^{a}	$0.97\pm0.16^{\rm a}$	$1.09\pm0.43^{\rm a}$	0.86 ± 0.11^{a}	$0.81\pm0.18^{\rm a}$	0.82 ± 0.20^{a}		
7	$0.95\pm0.27^{\text{a}}$	$0.87\pm0.23^{\text{a}}$	$0.93\pm0.13^{\text{a}}$	$0.85\pm0.08^{\text{a}}$	0.74 ± 0.11^{a}	$0.75\pm0.15^{\rm a}$		
10	$1.13\pm0.32^{\text{a}}$	$0.77\pm0.04^{\rm b}$	$0.78\pm0.14^{\rm b}$	0.94 ± 0.12^{a}	$0.66\pm0.08^{\rm b}$	0.64 ± 0.11^{b}		
14	$1.06\pm0.17^{\text{a}}$	$0.82\pm0.13^{\rm b}$	$0.83\pm0.12^{\rm b}$	0.92 ± 0.15^{a}	$0.60\pm0.08^{\text{b}}$	$0.65\pm0.12^{\rm b}$		

TABLE 10 | Mean albumin/globulin ratio of pigeons and chickens following inoculation with and/or exposure to a very virulent infectious bursal disease virus.

Values with different superscript alphabets in the same row for the same species of bird differ significantly at P < 0.05.

TABLE 11 | Mean serum urea concentration of pigeons and chickens following inoculation with and/or exposure to a very virulent infectious bursal disease virus.

	Uninoculated pigeons	Inoculated pigeons	Sentinel pigeons	Uninoculated chickens	Inoculated chickens	Sentinel chickens		
Days postinoculation and/or exposure	Serum urea concentration (mg/dl)							
0	$0.30\pm0.06^{\rm a}$	0.30 ± 0.05^{a}	0.29 ± 0.06^{a}	0.86 ± 0.06^{a}	0.89 ± 0.11^{a}	0.90 ± 0.04^{a}		
1	$0.31\pm0.03^{\text{a}}$	0.32 ± 0.06^{a}	0.30 ± 0.04^{a}	$0.88\pm0.05^{\text{a}}$	0.89 ± 0.10^{a}	$0.85\pm0.06^{\text{a}}$		
2	$0.32\pm0.09^{\rm a}$	$0.34\pm0.07^{\rm a}$	$0.34\pm0.09^{\rm a}$	$0.85\pm0.03^{\rm a}$	$0.92\pm0.07^{\rm a}$	$0.88\pm0.01^{\mathrm{a}}$		
3	0.34 ± 0.08^{a}	$0.36\pm0.08^{\text{a}}$	0.33 ± 0.08^{a}	$0.86\pm0.06^{\text{a}}$	$1.01\pm0.05^{\rm b}$	$0.97\pm0.05^{\rm b}$		
4	$0.31\pm0.05^{\text{a}}$	$0.33\pm0.04^{\text{a}}$	0.32 ± 0.12^{a}	0.81 ± 0.05^{a}	$1.02\pm0.01^{\rm b}$	$0.99\pm0.07^{\rm b}$		
5	0.32 ± 0.06^{a}	0.29 ± 0.06^{a}	0.34 ± 0.05^{a}	$0.76\pm0.05^{\text{a}}$	$0.92\pm0.04^{\rm b}$	$0.85\pm0.08^{\text{ab}}$		
6	$0.32\pm0.07^{\text{a}}$	$0.30\pm0.04^{\text{a}}$	$0.31\pm0.03^{\rm a}$	$0.81\pm0.11^{\rm a}$	$0.82\pm0.03^{\text{a}}$	$0.81\pm0.09^{\rm a}$		
7	$0.34\pm0.07^{\text{a}}$	$0.34\pm0.09^{\text{a}}$	$0.34\pm0.05^{\text{a}}$	$0.83\pm0.05^{\text{a}}$	$0.85\pm0.07^{\text{a}}$	$0.84\pm0.04^{\text{a}}$		
10	$0.33\pm0.06^{\text{a}}$	$0.33\pm0.08^{\text{a}}$	0.33 ± 0.10^{a}	$0.82\pm0.08^{\text{a}}$	0.85 ± 0.10^{a}	$0.86\pm0.03^{\text{a}}$		
14	$0.33\pm0.04^{\text{a}}$	0.32 ± 0.12^{a}	0.32 ± 0.06^{a}	0.81 ± 0.11^{a}	$0.84\pm0.03^{\rm a}$	$0.83\pm0.02^{\text{a}}$		

Values with different alphabets in the same row for the same species differ significantly at P < 0.05.

TABLE 12 | Mean serum creatinine concentration of pigeons and chickens following inoculation with and/or exposure to a very virulent infectious bursal disease virus.

Days postinoculation and/or exposure	Uninoculated	Inoculated	Sentinel pigeons	Uninoculated	Inoculated	Sentinel
	Serum creatinine concentration (mg/dl)					
0	0.43 ± 0.08	0.42 ± 0.07	0.43 ± 0.08	0.43 ± 0.02	0.44 ± 0.02	0.43 ± 0.03
1	0.46 ± 0.05	0.47 ± 0.07	0.46 ± 0.04	0.44 ± 0.02	0.44 ± 0.04	0.43 ± 0.02
2	0.46 ± 0.11	0.49 ± 0.10	0.49 ± 0.13	0.46 ± 0.02	0.46 ± 0.02	0.45 ± 0.02
3	0.49 ± 0.11	0.52 ± 0.11	0.49 ± 0.10	0.45 ± 0.02	0.43 ± 0.03	0.44 ± 0.03
4	0.44 ± 0.07	0.47 ± 0.05	0.46 ± 0.17	0.47 ± 0.02	0.44 ± 0.05	0.45 ± 0.02
5	0.46 ± 0.09	0.47 ± 0.06	0.48 ± 0.06	0.46 ± 0.02	0.48 ± 0.00	0.46 ± 0.03
6	0.45 ± 0.09	0.45 ± 0.04	0.45 ± 0.03	0.45 ± 0.02	0.44 ± 0.00	0.45 ± 0.03
7	0.49 ± 0.10	0.49 ± 0.13	0.49 ± 0.05	0.48 ± 0.01	0.47 ± 0.02	0.48 ± 0.01
10	0.47 ± 0.09	0.49 ± 0.11	0.48 ± 0.13	0.47 ± 0.03	0.50 ± 0.02	0.49 ± 0.04
14	0.47 ± 0.06	0.46 ± 0.17	0.47 ± 0.08	0.44 ± 0.01	0.46 ± 0.05	0.44 ± 0.01

to heterophilia. Since heterophils have been known to play critical role in phagocytosis of tissue debris (16), the absence of heterophilic response in sentinel pigeons suggested no tissue destruction. The short-lived heterophilia in inoculated pigeons was suggestive of mild tissue destruction by the vvIBDV. In contrast, the persistence of heterophilia in chickens was indicative of massive tissue destruction (12). Lymphopenia, as observed in this study, has been reported to occur following IBDV infection in chickens, and this was attributed to excess glucocorticoid release (19, 20) and/or specific destruction of lymphocytes by IBDV within the BF (21).

Although heterophil/lymphocyte ratio has been documented to be an indicator of stress in birds, it does not indicate susceptibility or response to disease challenge (20, 22, 23). The transient high heterophil/lymphocyte ratio observed in the inoculated pigeons of this study might be due to the short-lived heterophilic response to vvIBDV infection. Similarly, the persistently high heterophil/lymphocyte ratio observed in the inoculated and sentinel chickens was due to a prolongedly heterophilic response to the IBDV infection. In addition, decreased relative space per bird resulting from competition with the pigeons might have induced further increase in corticosterone levels of the chickens with consequent lymphopenia and heterophilia (20, 24). Species differences and/or differences in susceptibility to vvIBDV might be the possible reason for the variation in erythocytic, heterophilic, and lymphocytic responses of pigeons and chickens observed in this study.

The significant hyperproteinemia, consequent hyperglobulinemia, and decreased albumin/globulin ratio observed in pigeons following infection and/or exposure at 10 and 14 dpi/dpe was possibly due to increase in immunoglobulins in response to vvIBDV infection. In chickens, the initial hyperproteinemia at 3 and 4 dpi/dpe might be due to dehydration (25). This suggestion is justified by the concomitant polycythemia with unaltered erythrocytes count. The later hyperproteinemia and subsequent decreased albumin/globulin ratio might probably have resulted from hyperglobulinemia due to increased immunoglobulins in response to vvIBDV infection and decreased albumin level. However, the absence of significant changes in albumin/globulin ratio at 3 and 4 dpi/dpe in chickens, despite hyperproteinemia, might be due to decreased albumin resulting from hemorrhages and anorexia caused by vvIBDV infection. This is consistent with the report by Lumeij (1987) who suggested possible hyperproteinemia with normal albumin/globulin ratio in dehydrated birds (26). The albumin/globulin ratio has been documented to be a good indicator of health and response to diseases (27, 28); hence, the decreased albumin/globulin ratio in pigeons and chickens postinfection/exposure in this study is indicative of immune response to the vvIBDV infection.

Serum/plasma urea and creatinine concentrations have been suggested to be indicators of the extent of renal damage and muscle destruction, respectively (29). In this study, there were no significant changes in serum urea and creatinine concentrations of inoculated and sentinel pigeons up to 14 dpi/dpe, and this is suggestive of minimal or absence of renal damage and muscle destruction as well as dehydration. The increased serum urea concentrations of inoculated and sentinel chickens might be due to dehydration resulting from anorexia and watery diarrhea caused by the vvIBDV infection (30). Since urea is excreted mainly by glomerular filtration, the rate of tubular reabsorption is dependent on hydration condition such that during dehydration all filtered urea is reabsorbed (31). This constitutes the possible reason for elevated urea concentrations in chickens in this study. However, there were no significant changes in creatinine concentrations of chickens following infection/exposure despite dehydration, and this suggests minimal muscle destruction. Another possible reason is that creatinine excretion occurs mainly by tubular secretion, which is not dependent on rate of urine flow (32) and so was not affected by dehydration.

CONCLUSION

The leukocytosis, heterophilia, hyperproteinemia, and hyperglobulinemia demonstrated in pigeons post-vvIBDV infection/exposure in this study suggest that they are susceptible to IBD. To the authors' knowledge, this is the first report on hematological and serum biochemical alterations in pigeons due to vvIBDV infection.

DATA AVAILABILITY STATEMENT

The original contributions presented in the study are included in the article/supplementary material, further inquiries can be directed to the corresponding author/s.

ETHICS STATEMENT

The animal study was reviewed and approved by Ahmadu Bello University Committee on Animal Use and Care.

AUTHOR CONTRIBUTIONS

SO, PA, and KE conceptualized and design the experiment, edited, and review the manuscript. OO, TM, SE, AA, MM, and SU performed the experiment and analyzed the data. OO and TM drafted the manuscript. All authors have read and agreed to the published version of the manuscript.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

The authors are grateful to the Clinical Pathology Laboratory, Department of Veterinary Pathology and Mr. David Leo, Department of Veterinary Medicine, Ahmadu Bello University, Zaria, Nigeria.

REFERENCES

- Fatima T, Benaissa A, Driss B. Comparison of cell culture, integrated cell culture-PCR, and direct PCR methods for detection of infectious bursal disease virus. *Int J Med Health Sci.* (2015) 4:12–9.
- Wahome MW, Njagi LW, Nyaga PN, Mbuthia PG, Bebora LC, Bwana MO. Occurrence of antibodies to infectious bursal disease virus in non-vaccinated indigenous chickens, ducks and turkeys in Kenya. *Int J Vet Sci.* (2017) 6:1 59–62.
- Orakpoghenor O, Oladele SB, Abdu PA. Infectious bursal disease: transmission, pathogenesis, pathology and prevention - An overview. *Worlds Poult Sci J.* (2020) 76:292–303. doi: 10.1080/00439339.2020.171 6652
- Lukert PD, Saif YM. Infectious Bursal Disease. Diseases of Poultry. 11th ed. Saif YM, Barnes HJ, Glisson JR, McDougald LR, Swayne DE, editors. Ames, IA: Iowa State University Press (2003). p. 161–79.
- Magwod SA, Arafa AS, Hussein HA. Molecular genotyping of the infectious bursal disease virus (IBDV) isolated from broiler flocks in Egypt. *Int J Vet Sci Med.* (2014) 2:46–52. doi: 10.1016/j.ijvsm.2014.02.004
- Eterradossi N, Saif YM. Infectious Bursal Disease. Diseases of Poultry. 12th ed. Saif YM, Fadly AM, Glisson JR, McDougald LR, Nolan LK, Swayne DE, editors. Ames, IA: Iowa State University Press (2008). p. 211–35.
- Motohiko O, Takashi W, Tsuyoshi Y. Seroprevalence of infectious bursal disease in free-living wild birds in Japan. J Vet Med Sci. (1998) 60:1277– 9. doi: 10.1292/jvms.60.1277
- Swai ES, Kessy MJ, Sanka PN, Mtui PF. A serological survey of village chickens in Northern Tanzania. J South Afr Vet Assoc. (2011) 82:32– 5. doi: 10.4102/jsava.v82i1.30
- Wang YS, Wang ZC, Tang YD, Shi ZL, He KW, Li Y, et al. Comparison of four infectious bursal disease viruses isolated from different bird species. *Arch Virol.* (2007) 152:1787–97. doi: 10.1007/s00705-007-1022-1
- Animal Health Australia (AHA). Disease Strategy: Infectious Bursal Disease Caused by Very Virulent IBD Virus or Exotic Antigenic VARIANT strains of IBD Virus (version 3.0). Canberra, ACT: Australian Veterinary Emergency Plan (AUSVETPLAN), Edition 3, Primary Industries Ministerial Council (2009). Available online at: http://www.animalhealthaustralia.com.au/fms/ Animal%20Health%20Australia/AUSVETPLAN/IBD22PROOF
- Gilchrist P. Involvement of free-flying wild birds in the spread of the viruses of avian influenza, newcastle disease and infectious bursal disease from poultry products to commercial poultry. *Worlds Poult Sci J.* (2005) 61:198– 210. doi: 10.1079/WPS200451
- Oladele OA, Adene DF, Obi TU, Nottidge HO, Aiyedun AI. Sequential hematological study of experimental infectious bursal disease virus infection in chickens, turkeys and ducks. *Rev Med Vet Tropicaux*. (2005) 58:211– 5. doi: 10.19182/remvt.9914
- Bello II, Oladele OA, Bakre AA, Akpan I. Haematology of infectious bursal disease virus infected chickens on garlic supplemented diet. *Trop Vet.* (2015) 33:72–9.
- Rehman H, Abbas S, Lohahet N. Laboratory Manual of Physiology. Vol. 1. Lahore: Society of Veterinary Physiology (2003). p. 57–64.
- Campbell TW, Ellis CK. Haematology of birds. In: Campbell TW, Ellis CK, editors. Avian and Exotic Animal Haematology and Cytology. 3rd ed. Ames, IA: Blackwell Publishing Professional (2007). p. 3–50.
- Coles EH. Veterinary Clinical Pathology. 4th ed. Philadelphia, PA: Harcourt Brace Jovanovidi; W.B. Sunders Company (1986). p. 17–39. doi: 10.1136/jcp.39.12.1365
- Weiss DJ, Wardrop KJ. Schalm's Veterinary Haematology. 6th ed. Iowa, IA: Wiley-Blackwell (2010). p. 67.

- 18. Chineme CN, Cho Y. Clinicopathological and morphological changes in chickens experimentally infected with infectious bursal (Gumboro) disease virus. *Trop Vet.* (1984) 2:218–24.
- Campbell TW, Coles EH. Avian Clinical Pathology. Veterinary Clinical Pathology. Coles EH, editor. Philadelphia, PA: W.B. Saunders Company (1986). p. 289–90.
- Cotter PF. An examination of the utility of heterophil/lymphocyte ratios in assessing stress of caged hens. *Poult Sci.* (2015) 94:512– 7. doi: 10.3382/ps/peu009
- Weiss E, Kaufer-Weiss I. Pathology and pathogenesis of infectious bursal disease. In: Proceedings of International Symposium on Infectious Bursal Disease and Chicken Infectious Anaemia. Rauischholzhausen (1994).
- Gross WB, Siegel HS. Evaluation of the heterophil/lymphocyte ratio as a measure of stress in chickens. *Avian Dis.* (1983) 27:972–9. doi: 10.2307/1590198
- 23. Siegel HS. Stress, strains and resistance. Br Poult Sci. (1995) 36:3– 22. doi: 10.1080/00071669508417748
- Davis AK, Maney DL, Maerz JC. The use of leukocyte profiles to measure stress in vertebrates: a review for ecologists. *Funct Ecol.* (2008) 22:760– 72. doi: 10.1111/j.1365-2435.2008.01467.x
- Horowitz M, Borut A. Blood volume regulation in dehydrated rodents: plasma protein turnover and sedimentation coefficients. *Comp Biochem Physiol Part A Physiol.* (1975) 51:827–31. doi: 10.1016/0300-9629(75)90062-6
- Lumeij JT. The diagnostic value of plasma proteins and nonprotein nitrogen substances in birds. Vet Q. (1987) 9:262– 8. doi: 10.1080/01652176.1987.9694111
- Harr KE. Diagnostic Value of Biochemistry. Clinical Avian Medicine. Harrison GJ, Lightfoot TL, editors. Ithaca, NY: International Veterinary Information Service (2009).
- Igwe AO, Eze DC. Leucogram, serum protein parameters and histopathology of broiler chickens (*Gallus domesticus*) treated with cyclophosphamide and infected with velogenic newcastle disease virus. *Sokoto J Vet Sci.* (2018) 16:63–74. doi: 10.4314/sokjvs.v16i2.9
- Wimsatt J, Canon N, Pearce RD, Vap LM, Getzy DM. Assessment of novel avian renal disease markers for the detection of experimental nephrotoxicosis in pigeons (*Columba livia*). J Zoo Wildlife Med. (2009) 40:487–94. doi: 10.1638/2008-0169.1
- Lumeij JT. Plasma urea, creatinine and uric acid concentrations in response to dehydration in racing pigeons (*Columba livia domestica*). Avian Pathol. (1987) 16:377–82. doi: 10.1080/030794587084 36388
- Skadhauge E. Osmoregulation in Birds. Berlin: Springer Verlag (1981). p. 84–91. doi: 10.1007/978-3-642-81585-0
- Sturkie PD. Kidneys, Extrarenal Salt Excretion and Urine. Avian Physiology. Sturkie PD, editor. New York, NY: Springer Verlag (1976).p. 263– 85. doi: 10.1007/978-3-642-96274-5_14

Conflict of Interest: The authors declare that the research was conducted in the absence of any commercial or financial relationships that could be construed as a potential conflict of interest.

Copyright © 2021 Orakpoghenor, Oladele, Abdu, Markus, Enam, Andamin, Muhammed, Usman and Esievo. This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (CC BY). The use, distribution or reproduction in other forums is permitted, provided the original author(s) and the copyright owner(s) are credited and that the original publication in this journal is cited, in accordance with accepted academic practice. No use, distribution or reproduction is permitted which does not comply with these terms.