RESEARCH PAPER

Taylor & Francis

∂ OPEN ACCESS

Safety of the human papillomavirus (HPV)-16/18 AS04-adjuvanted vaccine in adolescents aged 12–15 years: Interim analysis of a large community-randomized controlled trial

Matti Lehtinen^a, Tiina Eriksson^a, Dan Apter^b, Mari Hokkanen^a, Kari Natunen^a, Jorma Paavonen^c, Eero Pukkala^a, Maria-Genalin Angelo^d, Julia Zima^d, Marie-Pierre David^d, Sanjoy Datta^d, Dan Bi^d, Frank Struyf^d, and Gary Dubin^e

^aUniversity of Tampere, Tampere, Finland; ^bFamily Federation of Finland, Helsinki, Finland; ^cUniversity of Helsinki, Helsinki, Finland; ^dGSK Vaccines, Wavre, Belgium; ^eGSK Vaccines, King of Prussia, PA, USA

ABSTRACT

This community-randomized controlled trial was initiated to assess the overall and herd effects of 2 different human papillomavirus (HPV) immunization strategies in over 80,000 girls and boys aged 12-15 y in 33 communities in Finland (ClinicalTrials.gov NCT00534638). Overall, 14,838 adolescents received HPV-16/18 vaccine (2,440 boys and 12,398 girls) and 17,338 received hepatitis-B virus (HBV) vaccine (9,221 boys and 8,117 girls). In an interim analysis, vaccine safety was assessed by active monitoring and surveillance via health registry linkage. Active monitoring showed that the HPV-16/18 vaccine has acceptable safety and reactogenicity in boys. In all study participants, the observed incidences (per 100,000 person-years) of serious adverse events (SAEs) possibly related to vaccination were 54.3 (95% Confidence Interval [CI]: 34.0-82.1) in the HPV-16/18 group and 64.0 (95% CI: 43.2-91.3) in the HBV group. During the follow-up period for this interim analysis, the most common new-onset autoimmune diseases (NOADs; with incidence rate \geq 15 per 100,000) in any group based on hospital discharge registry (HILMO) download were ulcerative colitis, juvenile arthritis, celiac disease, insulin-dependent diabetes mellitus (IDDM) and Crohn's disease. No increased NOAD incidences were observed in HPV-16/18 vaccine recipients compared to HBV vaccine recipients. In both the SAE possibly related- and HILMO-analyses, a lower incidence of IDDM was observed in HPV-16/18 vaccinees compared to HBV vaccinees (relative risks, 0.26 [95% CI: 0.03-1.24] and 0.16 [95% CI: 0.03-0.55], respectively).

Introduction

Virus-like particle (VLP)-based vaccines against oncogenic, highrisk human papillomavirus (HPV) types 16 and 18 have been licensed in most countries, most recently including a 9-valent HPV vaccine (*Gardasil 9* [Merck & Co. Inc.]).¹⁻⁶ Basis for the licensure of *Cervarix*[®] (GSK Vaccines) and *Gardasil* (Merck & Co., Inc.) was their acceptable safety profiles and vaccine efficacy (VE) against HPV types 16/18- or 6/11/16/18-related persistent genital infections and associated cervical intraepithelial neoplasia grade 2/ 3 (CIN2/3).^{7,8} Phase III trial VE estimates for the 2 vaccines appear similar against HPV types 16 and 18, but differ against CIN3+ irrespective of HPV type.⁹ While follow-up trials involving 22,000 young women (aged 16–19 y at the time of first vaccination) are evaluating the long-term safety and efficacy of the 2 vaccines,^{10,11} recently launched national vaccination programs will provide data on rare safety outcomes albeit gradually.¹²⁻¹⁵

The HPV-16/18 vaccine is formulated with the AS04 Adjuvant System, containing 3-O-desacyl-4'-monophosphoryl lipid A (MPL; 50 μ g) adsorbed on aluminum salt (500 μ g Al³⁺), which has been shown to widely induce T-helper and memory B cell responses,¹⁶ and probably is partially responsible for the wide cross-protectivity of the vaccine.^{17,18} However, a theoretical adverse

event of this enhanced immunogenicity might be the induction (or promotion) of (ongoing) autoimmune processes. The overall and specific, with regard to new-onset autoimmune diseases (NOADs), safety profile of the HPV-16/18 AS04-adjuvanted vaccine has been acceptable in all ages studied and in combination with other routine vaccines.¹⁹⁻²⁴ However, to date, safety data have been collected via conventional reporting of adverse events (AEs) and serious adverse events (SAEs) in clinical trials, and quantitative safety data from large cohorts of HPV-16/18 vaccinated adolescents and population-based health registers are sparse.

We report interim safety results for the HPV-16/18 AS04adjuvanted vaccine administered to a large cohort of adolescents (ClinicalTrials.gov NCT00534638), with special emphasis on unique, quantitative population-based health care registry data on occurrence of NOADs post-vaccination. Study design and population characteristics have been described in a previous publication.²⁵ The primary objective of the study is to demonstrate the effectiveness of the HPV-16/18 vaccine in reducing the prevalence of HPV-16/18 genital infection in females following community-based vaccination of girls and boys 12–15 y old; these results will be reported in a future publication upon study and analysis completion.

ARTICLE HISTORY

Received 16 December 2015 Revised 24 March 2016 Accepted 24 April 2016

KEYWORDS

Human papillomavirus (HPV); HPV-16/18 AS04-adjuvanted vaccine; adolescents; safety; autoimmune disease; insulindependent diabetes mellitus

CONTACT Matti Lehtinen 🖾 Ilmale@uta.fi 🔁 University of Tampere, POB 33014 Tampere, Finland. Supplemental data for this article can be accessed on the publisher's website.

^{© 2016} Matti Lehtinen, Tiina Eriksson, Dan Apter, Mari Hokkanen, Kari Natunen, Jorma Paavonen, Eero Pukkala, Maria-Genalin Angelo, Julia Zima, Marie-Pierre David, Sanjoy Datta, Dan Bi, Frank Struyf, and Gary Dubin. Published with license by Taylor & Francis.

This is an Open Access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/3.0/), which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited. The moral rights of the named author(s) have been asserted.

Results

Study population

A total of 80,272 adolescents (40,852 boys and 39,420 girls) in the 1992–1995 birth cohorts were invited to participate in the study between October 2007 and April 2010, of whom 32,176 (11,661 boys and 20,515 girls) were enrolled and received at least one dose of study vaccine (Fig. 1). In all, 14,838 adolescents received the HPV-16/18 vaccine (2,440 boys and 12,398 girls) and 17,338 received the HBV vaccine (9,221 boys and 8,117 girls) at 12–16 y of age. Virtually all subjects were white (Caucasian/European heritage; 99.0%) (Table S1).

Active safety surveillance in males

The male diary card subset comprised 643 subjects who received HPV-16/18 vaccine and 1,047 who received HBV vaccine (Fig. 1). Compliance was high in returning general and local symptoms sheets (83.5% and 83.3% overall per dose, respectively, in the HPV-16/18 group and 88.6% and 88.5%, respectively, in the HBV group). Pain at the injection site was the most frequently solicited local symptom in both groups (reported during the 7-day period following 70.4% and 14.0% of HPV-16/18 and HBV vaccine doses, respectively); fatigue (31.1% and 21.5%), headache (23.9% and 18.8%) and myalgia (33.8% and 12.5%) were the most frequently solicited general symptoms. The rate of local and some general solicited symptoms (arthralgia, fatigue, headache and myalgia) appeared higher among boys who received HPV-16/18 vaccine compared to those who received HBV vaccine (Fig. 2).

Unsolicited AEs reported in the month following any vaccine dose were comparable between HPV-16/18- and HBVvaccinated boys (Table 1). During the 30-day post-vaccination period, 24.4% and 19.3% of boys reported at least one unsolicited symptom after 10.1% and 7.6% of doses in the HPV-16/18 and HBV groups, respectively. Unsolicited AEs considered by the investigator to be possibly related to vaccination were reported by 1.9% and 1.8% of boys in the HPV-16/18 and HBV groups, respectively, after 0.7% and 0.6% of doses.

From Dose 1 to Month 12, in male study participants in the diary card subset, the proportion of boys with SAEs, SAEs possibly related to vaccination, NOAD and medically significant conditions (MSCs) were within the same range in both vaccine groups (Table 1). SAEs were reported for 1.2% and 2.0% of boys who received HPV-16/18 and HBV vaccines, respectively. Two SAEs (IDDM and juvenile arthritis [JA]) were considered possibly related to vaccination by the investigator (blinded to treatment allocation). MSCs were reported by 7.3% of boys for both vaccines. These were considered possibly related to vaccination by the investigator in 3 boys (0.5%) who received HPV-16/18 vaccine and 1 boy (0.1%) who received HBV vaccine (concussion, IDDM, JA and oropharyngeal pain; blinded to treatment allocation). One boy in each group reported an NOAD (IDDM and JA). Both events were reported as SAEs and were considered possibly related to vaccination by the investigator.

From Dose 1 to Month 12, in male study participants with active follow-up, SAEs were reported by 2.4% of boys who received HPV-16/18 vaccine and 2.0% of boys who received HBV vaccine. Possible relationship of SAEs with vaccination could not be excluded in 4 boys (0.2%) who received HPV-16/18 vaccine and 1 boy (0.1%) who received HBV vaccine (abdominal pain, ulcerative colitis, IDDM and JA; blinded to treatment allocation).

SAEs considered possibly related to vaccination in all study participants based on active and passive surveillance

During the follow-up period for this interim analysis, the observed incidence rates (per 100,000 person-years) for SAEs considered possibly related to vaccination according to the investigator in all study participants based on active and passive surveillance were 54.3 (95% confidence interval [CI]: 34.0–82.1) in the HPV-16/18 group and 64.0 (95% CI: 43.2–91.3) in the



Figure 1. Subject disposition. N, number of subjects; SAE, serious adverse event; AE, adverse event.



Figure 2. Incidence of solicited local and general symptoms reported during the 7-day post-vaccination period (Days 0–6) following any vaccine dose (male study participants in the male diary card subset, total vaccinated cohort). *Occurrence of rash and urticaria within 30 minutes following vaccination.

HBV group. The most common SAEs considered possibly related to vaccination by the investigator (with incidence rate \geq 10 per 100,000 person-years in any group) were ulcerative colitis, Crohn's disease, and IDDM. No deaths had been reported at the time of this interim analysis.

The incidence rates of possibly vaccine-related SAEs were 52.8 (95% CI: 31.3–83.4) and 72.3 (95% CI: 41.3–117.3) in the HPV-16/18 and HBV groups, respectively, for female study participants (based on spontaneous reporting and Care Register for Social Welfare and Health Care [HILMO] data) and 62.1 (95% CI: 16.9–158.9) and 56.6 (95% CI: 30.9–94.9), respectively, for male study participants (based on active surveillance in a subset of subjects as well as spontaneous reporting and HILMO data for all subjects).

New-onset autoimmune diseases in all study participants

During the follow-up period for this interim analysis in all study participants, the most common NOADs (with incidence rate \geq 15 per 100,000 in any group based on the HILMO linkage) were

ulcerative colitis (25.0 and 29.0 cases per 100,000 person years in the HPV-16/18 and HBV groups, respectively), juvenile arthritis (22.2 and 26.6 cases per 100,000 person years, respectively), celiac disease (16.7 and 26.6 cases per 100,000 person years, respectively), IDDM (8.3 and 50.7 cases per 100,000 person years, respectively), and Crohn's disease(8.3 and 16.9 cases per 100,000 person years, respectively). Of note, no cases of Guillain-Barré syndrome were observed, and other NOADs were found only occasionally in both vaccine groups. In terms of numbers of cases, IDDM was the most common NOAD diagnosis identified in the HILMO linkage (3 cases in the HPV-16/18 group and 21 in the HBV group). Almost all the 95% CIs of relative risk (RR) estimates for the incident NOAD cases in the HPV-16/18 group as compared to the HBV group included unity (Fig. 3), with the exception of IDDM (RR: 0.16, 95% CI: 0.03-0.55). A decreased relative risk of IDDM was observed both for females (2 vs. 7 cases; RR: 0.19, 95% CI: 0.02-0.97) and males (1 vs. 14 cases; RR: 0.27, 95% CI: 0.01-1.8) (Table S2).

The incidences of the most common NOADs identified by the HILMO linkage (i.e., medical history-confirmed) and by

Table 1. Active safety surveillance from Month 0–Month 12 (male dairy card subset, total vaccinated cohort). Incidence of unsolicited symptoms (most frequent symptoms listed), serious adverse events, medically significant conditions and new-onset autoimmune diseases.

	ΗP	V-16/18 vaccine (N = 643)	HBV vaccine (N = 1047)								
	n	% (95% Cl)	n	n % (95% Cl)							
Within 30 d (Days 0–29) following any vaccine dose											
Unsolicited symptoms	157	24.4 (21.1–27.9)	202	19.3 (16.9–21.8)							
Nasopharyngitis	33	5.1 (3.6–7.1)	17	1.6 (0.9–2.6)							
Headache	23	3.6 (2.3–5.3)	16	1.5 (0.9–2.5)							
Oropharyngeal pain	15	2.3 (1.3–3.8)	32	3.1 (2.1–4.3)							
Pyrexia	17	2.6 (1.5–4.2)	21	2.0 (1.2-3.0)							
Upper RTI	13	2.0 (1.1–3.4)	3	0.3 (0.1–0.8)							
Vaccine-related	12	1.9 (1.0–3.2)	19	1.8 (1.1–2.8)							
Up to Month 12 after first vaccine dose											
Serious adverse events	8	1.2 (0.5–2.4)	21	2.0 (1.2-3.0)							
Vaccine-related	1	0.2 (0.0-0.9)	1	0.1 (0.0-0.5)							
Medically significant conditions	47	7.3 (5.4–9.6)	76	7.3 (5.8–9.0)							
New onset autoimmune diseases	1	0.2 (0.0-0.9)	1	0.1 (0.0-0.5)							

N, total number of male subjects; n (%), number (percentage) of male subjects with at least one symptom; HPV vaccine, HPV-16/18 AS04-adjuvanted vaccine; HBV, hepatitis B virus vaccine; RTI, respiratory tract infection.

SAE reporting are shown in Table 2. More cases were recorded in the HILMO registry (Table 2).

Pregnancies in all female study participants

A total of 174 pregnancies had been spontaneously reported at the time of this interim analysis (100 in girls who received HPV-16/18 vaccine and 74 in girls who received HBV vaccine). The majority of pregnancies resulted in elective termination with no apparent congenital anomaly (60 [60.0%; 95% CI: 49.7–69.7] and 52 [70.3%; 95% CI: 58.5–80.3] for the 2 vaccines, respectively) or in a live infant (no premature birth) with no apparent congenital anomaly (30 [30.0%; 95% CI: 21.2–40.0] and 20 [27.0%; 95% CI: 17.4–38.6], respectively). Spontaneous abortions before 22 weeks of gestation (with no apparent congenital anomaly) were reported in 7 girls who received HPV-16/18 vaccine versus 2 girls who received HBV vaccine (7.0% [95% CI: 2.9–13.9] vs. 2.7% [95% CI: 0.3–9.4]; RR: 2.59 [95% CI: 0.55–12.11]). No stillbirths, therapeutic abortions, ectopic pregnancies and molar pregnancies were reported. At the time of the reported analysis, 2 pregnancies were still ongoing in girls who received HPV-16/18 vaccine.

Complete pregnancy data from medical birth registries will be available at the time of final analysis.

Discussion

Sizeable cluster-randomized phase IV trial cohorts, enrolled in a population-based fashion, are now available for studies on the effectiveness and safety of HPV-16/18 vaccination. These population-based cohorts provide unique health registry-based, quantitative data on the safety of the HPV-16/18 AS04-adjuvanted vaccine and the HBV vaccine. This large community-randomized study assessed the safety of HPV-16/18 vaccination in early adolescents, providing relative risk estimates on the occurrence of NOADs in the 2 vaccine arms over 2-3 y of follow-up. We found that the medical record-confirmed IDDM incidence in HPV-16/18 vaccinees was lower than that in HBV vaccinees. The incidence of IDDM seen in HPV-16/18 vaccinees in this study is also lower than that reported in somewhat younger, 10-14 year-old Finns (50.4 per 100,000).²⁶ Our observation, and a significantly decreased incidence rate ratio for IDDM (0.55) in approximately 190,000 females that has also been reported following HPV-6/11/16/18 vaccination,²⁷ suggest that the possible role of HPVs in IDDM warrants further investigation. The possibility, that the HPV VLP vaccines and/or the AS04-adjuvant of the HPV-16/18 vaccine provide unexpected protection against IDDM, e.g., by delaying/re-directing an already ongoing autoimmune process, should also be explored.



Figure 3. Estimated relative risk of the occurrence of new-onset autoimmune disease (NOADs) with 95% confidence interval, classified by MedDRA primary system organ class and preferred term, during the entire study period (all study participants, total vaccinated cohort). Dots represent point estimates. NOADS which occur in only one group remain blinded and are therefore not shown in this figure.

		HPV-16/18 vaccine All		HBV vaccine All		Relative risk (HPV/HBV) (95% CI)			
Category	Case identification	No. cases	Incidence (10 ⁵) (95% Cl)	No. cases	Incidence (10 ⁵) (95% Cl)	All	Female ^d	Male ^d	
Henoch-Schonlein purpura	Registry all ^a	2	5.6 (0.7–20.1)	2	4.8 (0.6–17.5)	1.15 (0.08–15.87)	1.3 (0.07–76.47)	na	
	Registry 12 m ^b	2	9.3 (1.1–33.7)	1	4.0 (0.1-22.2)	2.34 (0.12–138.23)	1.31 (0.07–77.42)	na	
	SAE possibly-related ^c	1	2.5 (0.1–13.7)	1	2.1 (0.1–11.9)	1.16 (0.01–90.77)	0.65 (0.01-50.96)	na	
Idiopathic thrombocytopenic	Registry all ^a	3	8.3 (1.7–24.4)	4	9.7 (2.6–24.7)	0.86 (0.13-5.10)	0.97 (0.11–11.64)	na	
purpura	Registry 12 m ^b	1	4.7 (0.1–26.0)	2	8.0 (1.0-28.8)	0.59 (0.01–11.25)	0.33 (0.01-6.3)	na	
	SAE possibly-related ^c	1	2.5 (0.1–13.7)	1	2.1 (0.1–11.9)	1.16 (0.01–90.77)	0.65 (0.01-50.96)	na	
Autoimmune thyroiditis	Registry all ^a	3	8.3 (1.7–24.4)	1	2.4 (0.1–13.5)	3.45 (0.28–181.16)	1.94 (0.16–102.07)	na	
	Registry 12 m ^b	3	14.0 (2.9–40.9)	1	4.0 (0.1-22.2)	3.51 (0.28–184.51)	1.97 (0.16–103.34)	na	
	SAE possibly-related ^c	*1*	na	*1*	na	na	na	na	
Celiac disease	Registry all ^a	6	16.7 (6.1–36.3)	11	26.6 (13.3-47.5)	0.63 (0.19–1.85)	0.56 (0.15–1.93)	na	
	Registry 12 m ^b	3	14.0 (2.9–40.9)	8	31.9 (13.8–62.8)	0.44 (0.08-1.83)	0.39 (0.06-2.02)	na	
	SAE possibly-related ^c	—	na	—	na	na	na	na	
Ulcerative colitis	Registry all ^a	9	25.0 (11.4–47.5)	12	29.0 (15.0–50.6)	0.86 (0.32-2.23)	1.13 (0.29–5.28)	0.96 (0.1-4.8)	
	Registry 12 m ^b	6	28.0 (10.3–61.0)	9	35.9 (16.4–68.1)	0.78 (0.23-2.46)	0.82 (0.18–4.13)	0.77 (0.02–6.86)	
	SAE possibly-related ^c	5	12.3 (4.0–28.8)	3	6.4 (1.3–18.7)	1.93 (0.37–12.41)	1.3 (0.19–14.35)	3.84 (0.05–301.44)	
Crohn's disease	Registry all ^a	3	8.3 (1.7–24.4)	7	16.9 (6.8–34.8)	0.49 (0.08–2.16)	1.94 (0.16–102.07)	na	
	Registry 12 m ^b	2	9.3 (1.1–33.7)	5	19.9 (6.5–46.5)	0.47 (0.04–2.86)	1.31 (0.07–77.42)	na	
	SAE possibly-related ^c	1	2.5 (0.1–13.7)	5	10.7 (3.5–24.9)	0.23 (0.00-2.07)	0.65 (0.01–50.96)	na	
IDDM	Registry all ^a	3	8.3 (1.7–24.4)	21	50.7 (31.4–77.5)	0.16 (0.03–0.55)	0.19 (0.02–0.97)	0.27 (0.01–1.8)	
	Registry 12 m ^b	3	14.0 (2.9–40.9)	8	31.9 (13.8–62.8)	0.44 (0.08–1.83)	0.26 (0.02–1.6)	1.28 (0.02–15.93)	
	SAE possibly-related ^c	2	4.9 (0.6–17.8)	9	19.2 (8.8–36.4)	0.26 (0.03–1.24)	0.16 (0–1.64)	0.77 (0.02–6.86)	
Juvenile arthritis	Registry all ^a	8	22.2 (9.6–43.8)	11	26.6 (13.3–47.5)	0.84 (0.29–2.28)	0.49 (0.14–1.6)	2.56 (0.21–22.31)	
	Registry 12 m ^b	7	32.7 (13.1–67.3)	11	43.8 (21.9–78.4)	0.75 (0.25–2.11)	0.41 (0.11–1.42)	2.56 (0.21–22.33)	
	SAE possibly-related ^c	*3*	na	*3*	na	na	na	na	
Rheumatoid arthritis	Registry all ^a	1	2.8 (0.1–15.5)	2	4.8 (0.6–17.5)	0.58 (0.01–11.05)	0.32 (0.01–6.22)	na	
	Registry 12 m ^b	1	4.7 (0.1–26.0)	1	4.0 (0.1–22.2)	1.17 (0.01–91.96)	0.66 (0.01–51.51)	na	
	SAE possibly-related ^c	*1*	na	*1*	na	na	na	na	

Table 2. Incidence (per 100,000 person years) and relative risk of major NOADs in all study participants (total vaccinated cohort) based on active and passive surveillance and registry analysis.

^ahealth register-identified, medical record-confirmed cases diagnosed post 1st vaccine dose during the entire study period (all study participants, total vaccinated cohort); 35,992 and 41,401 follow-up years in the HPV-16/18 and HBV groups, respectively

^bhealth register-identified, medical record-confirmed cases diagnosed within 12 months after last vaccination (all study participants, total vaccinated cohort); 21,422 and 25,097 follow-up years in the HPV-16/18 and HBV groups, respectively

^cSAE cases considered possibly related to vaccination during the entire study period (all study participants, total vaccinated cohort); 40,552 and 46,890 follow-up years in the HPV-16/18 and HBV groups, respectively.

^{*}n^{*}, total number of cases reported, information is blinded since the study is ongoing

HPV-16/18 vaccine, HPV-16/18 AS04-adjuvanted vaccine; HBV, hepatitis B virus vaccine; IDDM, insulin-dependent diabetes mellitus; na, not available; 12 m, 12 months; 95% CI, 95% confidence interval

As for other NOADs, except those that remained blinded at the time of this analysis, we observed no apparent difference in the incidence of overall medical record-confirmed or possibly related occurrence estimates between the 2 vaccination arms. This is in line with comparable health registry-based findings on the HPV-6/11/16/18 vaccine.²⁸ The health registry-based surveillance was more sensitive than the conventional SAE surveillance. This kind of health registry-based quantitative analysis is an important adjunct to the safety data on the HPV-16/18 vaccine and will in the future continue to be most appealing to health authorities in the context of newly licensed vaccines for suspected or alleged vaccine-associated adverse effects (e.g., autism, narcolepsy) or in the case of HPV vaccination, primary ovarian failure syndrome²⁹ or miscarriage.^{23,30-32}

Both active and passive safety surveillance in this trial showed that the HPV-16/18 vaccine has an acceptable safety and reactogenicity profile in boys, which is similar to the results of other studies of this vaccine in adolescent girls^{24,33} and boys.³⁴

Strengths of our study were uniform enrolment of the early adolescents by school year and birth cohort and community, retaining the participants in the follow-up phase, and population-based health registry follow-up. Analysis of questionnaire data for the study participants showed no differences in behavioral or health conscience characteristics between the 2 vaccine groups.²⁵ The differential blinding used in the study is a potential limitation, mainly for the active surveillance. Other limitations include the imbalance in gender distribution between the 2 vaccine groups (83.6% of vaccinees were female and 16.4% were male in the HPV-16/18 vaccine group compared with 46.8% and 53.2%, respectively, in the HBV vaccine group). In addition, safety data were collected via different methods of surveillance, including active surveillance in a subset of male study participants (using diary cards and phone calls) and surveillance of NOADs in all study participants based on download from the national heath registry (HILMO). The follow-up time for safety endpoints varied for the different surveillance methods and also within subjects for surveillance based on registry download. The HILMO database is one of the most important registers used in healthcare research in Finland.³⁵ This database receives annually (by law) discharge information from all hospitals in the country, including both inpatient and outpatient services. However, it should be noted that the case assessment of HILMO-retrieved NOADs was based on the review of medical records which did not always provide all sufficient information for assessment of autoimmune diseases. Finally, analysis of safety was planned to be descriptive and no correction for multiplicity was applied. Study findings should therefore be interpreted with caution.

With the current follow-up mode, our community-randomized phase IV trial will continue to provide population-based safety data (e.g. on chronic diseases, pregnancy outcomes) for the HPV-16/18 vaccine.

Materials and methods

Study design

This study was conducted in 33 major, non-adjacent Finnish communities as previously described.²⁵ All 80,272 Finnish or Swedish speaking boys and girls in the 1992-1995 birth cohorts in these communities were invited by letter to participate in the trial. The communities were stratified into 3 groups according to HPV-16/18 seroprevalence (low, <20.5%; intermediate, 20.5–24.0%; high, >24.0%). Within each seroprevalence group, communities were randomly assigned in equal numbers to one of 3 intervention arms using a random number generator. In Arm A communities, 90% of participating girls and boys received HPV-16/18 vaccine and 10% received hepatitis B virus (HBV) vaccine (EngerixTM B, GSK Vaccines); in Arm B communities, 90% of girls received HPV-16/18 vaccine and 10% of girls and 100% of boys received HBV vaccine; in Arm C communities, 100% of girls and boys received HBV vaccine. The 9:1 ratio used to allocate study participants from Arms A (males and females) and B (females only) was determined to target an HPV vaccination coverage of approximately 70% within adolescent resident in these communities, considering that 85-90% of study participants would meet admission criteria and that approximately 90% of them would agree to

participate in the immunization phase. Treatment allocation at investigator sites was performed using a central internet-based randomization system. Vaccine doses were administered at Months 0, 1 and 6 at schools by the same study nurses during school years 2007–2008, 2008–2009 and 2009–2010. Although the study was open at community level because different vaccination strategies were used between Arms (for primary objectives), blinding was maintained for all subjects in Arm A and for girls in Arm B in order to reduce bias for both safety and effectiveness analysis.

The study was approved by a regional independent ethics committee and conducted in accordance with good clinical practice (GCP) and all applicable regulatory requirements, including the Declaration of Helsinki. Subjects aged <15 y provided written informed assent prior to the performance of any study-specific procedures; written informed consent was also obtained from participants' parents or legal representatives. Written informed consent was obtained from study participants aged ≥ 15 years.

Safety reporting

A combination of active and passive safety surveillance was performed according to intervention arm (Fig. 4). As the study was conducted while the HPV-16/18 vaccine was not licensed for use in males, it was designed to provide important safety information in males in order to complement the already substantial safety data available in women. Therefore, a subset of boys from Arm A and Arm C communities was selected for active assessment of safety using diary cards (male diary card



Figure 4. Overview of safety assessments within intervention arms. In Arm A, 90% of boys and girls received HPV-16/18 vaccine and 10% received HBV vaccine. In Arm B, 90% of girls received HPV-16/18 vaccine and 10% of girls and all boys received HBV vaccine. In Arm C, all girls and boys received HBV vaccine. DC, diary card; M, month; MSC, medically significant conditions; NOAD, new-onset autoimmune diseases; PS, passive surveillance including reporting of possibly vaccine-related SAEs and NOADs based on registry download; SAEs, serious adverse events.

subset). The size of the eligible population was the main consideration for the selection of the communities for the diary card subset. These subjects were to record solicited local and general symptoms within 7 d (Days 0-6) and unsolicited AEs within 30 d (Days 0-29) after each vaccination. The investigator recorded any occurrence of rash and/or urticaria within 30 minutes following each vaccination. These subjects were also actively questioned at Months 7 and 12 about any MSCs (including NOADs) and SAEs that might have occurred after the first vaccination. Active safety surveillance for the occurrence of SAEs was also performed in boys from Arm A communities who were not included in the diary card subset at Months 7 and 12. A SAE was any untoward medical occurrence that resulted in death, was life-threatening, required hospitalization or prolongation of existing hospitalization, resulted in disability or incapacity, or was a congenital anomaly or birth defect in the offspring of a study participant.

Passive safety surveillance was performed for all subjects in all intervention arms throughout the study period up to the cut-off date for this interim analysis. Any SAEs reported to the investigator considered possibly related to vaccination and pregnancy outcomes were reported using a remote data entry system at the study sites and/or at the junior high-schools. For the HILMO-based analysis (Fig. S1), the study participants had consented for personal identity code (PIC)-based linkages of the Registry of Vaccinated Individuals (RVI) and the HILMO. The RVI and HILMO registry linkage for predefined NOADs (Table S3) was performed after the HILMO registry had been completed for the 2010 diagnostic (ICD-10 encoded) data on all outpatient and inpatient visits in Finland. Thus, depending on the time of enrolment, there were approximately 1-3 y of registry-based follow-up data available for the different birth cohorts for the predefined NOADs after administration of the first vaccine dose (from October 2007 to December 2010 for the 1992-1993 birth cohorts and from August 2008 to December 2010 for the 1994–1995 birth cohorts). The HILMO register is virtually complete for all the outpatient and inpatient ICD-10 diagnoses made by Finnish healthcare since 2000 and 1996, respectively.³⁵ The cases identified in the register linkage were confirmed by medical history data, which were obtained based on the informed consent from the hospitals and hospital municipal health centers. The most important consideration for not excluding possible causality was temporal association, namely onset within 18 months from the first vaccine dose (i.e., approximately 12 months from the last vaccine dose). For the NOAD assessment, the investigator was blinded to both vaccination and community status.

Statistical analyses

This was a planned interim analysis, which was initiated after completion of the Month 12 active surveillance, and was conducted when Month 12 passive surveillance data for subjects enrolled by the end of 2009 were available. The current report presents the safety data recorded up to April 2011 in all male and female study participants. The primary population for the analysis of safety was the total vaccinated cohort. For the male diary card subset, the overall percentage of doses with any and grade 3 solicited local and general symptoms were calculated. The percentage of subjects with unsolicited AEs (within 30 d following any vaccine dose) and with SAEs, MSCs and NOADs (up to Month 12 after first vaccine dose) were also calculated. For all study participants (active and passive surveillance combined), the incidence rates (per 100,000 person-years) of subjects with at least one report of SAE with relationship to vaccination over the duration of follow-up for this interim analysis, was calculated with exact 95% CI, together with RR (and 95% CI). The incidence rate (per 100,000 person-years) of study participants with at least one report of NOAD classified by MedDRA was calculated with exact 95% CI, together with RR (and 95% CI), both for the entire duration of follow-up for this interim analysis and for the 12-month period after administration of the last vaccine dose. Relative risks (and 95% CI) as well as 95% CIs around frequency of pregnancy outcomes were calculated in a *post-hoc* analysis.

Trademark statement

Cervarix is a registered trademark of the GSK group of companies. *Engerix-B* is a trademark of the GSK group of companies.

Highlights

- As seen in girls, the HPV-16/18 vaccine has acceptable safety/reactogenicity in boys
- Similar rates of possibly related serious adverse events for HBV and HPV-16/18 vaccines
- Low incidence of insulin-dependent diabetes mellitus seen in HPV-16/18 vaccinees
- Small number of cases observed for most other new-onset autoimmune diseases
- No apparent differences in incidences of other autoimmune diseases between vaccines

Abbreviations

- AE adverse event
- CI confidence interval
- CIN cervical intraepithelial neoplasia
- HBV hepatitis B virus
- HILMOCare Register for Social Welfare and Health CareHPVhuman papillomavirusICD-10International Classification of Diseases-10
- IDDMinsulin-dependent diabetes mellitusJAjuvenile arthritis
- MPL 3-O-desacyl-4'-monophosphoryl lipid A
- MSC medically significant condition
- NOAD new-onset autoimmune disease
- pIMD potential immune-mediated disease
- RR relative risk
- SAE serious adverse event
- VE vaccine efficacy
- VLP virus-like particle

Disclosure of potential conflicts of interest

Matti Lehtinen, Dan Apter and Jorma Paavonen have received grants from Merck & Co. Inc., and from the GSK group of companies for their HPV vaccination studies through their employers: University of Tampere, Family Federation of Finland and University of Helsinki, respectively. Maria-Genalin Angelo, Julia Zima, Marie-Pierre David, Sanjoy Datta, Dan Bi and Frank Struyf are employees of the GSK group of companies. Gary Dubin was employed by the GSK group of companies at the time of the study and has several relevant patents and stock shares from the GSK group of companies. He is currently a full time employee of Takeda Pharmaceuticals (Deerfield, Illinois) and receives salary and stock shares. Maria-Genalin Angelo, Julia Zima, Marie-Pierre David, Sanjoy Datta, Dan Bi and Frank Struyf receive stock options/shares from the GSK group of companies. Tiina Eriksson, Kari Natunen, Eero Pukkala and Mari Hokkanen have no potential conflicts of interest to declare.

Acknowledgments

The devoted, high-quality work of the 85 study nurses responsible for the trial recruitment and follow-up has been invaluable. The authors acknowledge the principal investigators for their contribution to the study, the contributors at the University of Tampere, the support of the Finnish GSK Vaccines team headed by Ulpu Andersson, Maaria Soila and Markku Pulkkinen, as well as Dominique Descamps, Kurt Dobbelaere, Annamarie Camier and Nele Martens at GSK Vaccines, and Issam Jamiai (4Clinics on behalf of GSK Vaccines). Jennifer Coward (independent medical writer) provided editorial assistance and Stephanie Delval (XPE Pharma & Science) provided publication coordination on behalf of GSK Vaccines.

Funding

GlaxoSmithKline Biologicals SA was the funding source and was involved in all stages of the study conduct and analysis. GlaxoSmithKline Biologicals SA also took responsibility for all costs associated with the development and publishing of the present manuscript. All authors participated in the design or implementation or analysis, and interpretation of the study and in the development of this manuscript. All authors had full access to the data and gave final approval before submission. The corresponding author was responsible for submission of the publication.

References

- EMA. Gardasil European Public Assessment Report (EMEA/H/C/ 000703); September 2006. Available at: http://www.ema.europa.eu/ ema/index.jsp?curl=pages/medicines/human/medicines/000703/ human_med_000805.jsp
- [2] EMA. Cervarix European Public Assessment Report (EMEA/H/C/ 000721); September 2007. Available at: http://www.ema.europa.eu/ ema/index.jsp?curl=pages/medicines/human/medicines/000721/ human_med_000694.jsp&mid=WC0b01ac058001d124
- [3] Markowitz L, Dunne EF, Saraiya M, Lawson HW, Chesson H, Unger ER; Centers for Disease Control and Prevention (CDC); Advisory Committee on Immunization Practices (ACIP). Quadrivalent human papillomavirus vaccine: Recommendations of the Advisory Committee on Immunization Practices. MMWR Recomm Rep 2007; 56:1-24; PMID:17380109
- [4] FDA. FDA approves new vaccine for prevention of cervical cancer; October 2009. Available at: http://www.fda.gov/NewsEvents/ Newsroom/PressAnnouncements/ucm187048.htm
- [5] FDA. FDA approves Gardasil 9 for prevention of certain cancers caused by five additional types of HPV; December 2014. Available at: http://www.fda. gov/NewsEvents/Newsroom/PressAnnouncements/ucm426485.htm
- [6] Petrosky E, Bocchini JA Jr, Hariri S, Chesson H, Curtis CR, Saraiya M, Unger ER, Markowitz LE; Centers for Disease Control and Prevention (CDC). Use of 9-valent human papillomavirus (HPV) vaccine: updated HPV vaccination recommendations of the advisory committee on immunization practices. MMWR Morb Mortal Wkly Rep 2015; 64:300-4; PMID:25811679
- [7] Paavonen J, Jenkins D, Bosch X, Naud P, Salmeron J, Wheeler C, Chow SN, Apter DL, Kitchener HC, Castellsague X, et al. Efficacy of a prophylactic adjuvanted L1 VLP vaccine against infection with

HPV16 and 18 in young women: an interim analysis of a phase III double-blind, randomized controlled trial. Lancet 2007; 369:2161-70; PMID:17602732; http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/S0140-6736(07)60946-5

- [8] The Future II Study Group. Quadrivalent vaccine against human papillomavirus to prevent high-grade cervical lesions. NEJM 2007; 356:1915-27; PMID:17494925; http://dx.doi.org/10.1056/ NEJMoa061741
- [9] Lehtinen M, Dillner J. Clinical trials of human papillomavirus vaccines and beyond. Nat Rev Clin Oncol 2013; 10:400-10; PMID:23736648; http://dx.doi.org/10.1038/nrclinonc.2013.84
- [10] Lehtinen M, Herrero R, Mayaud P, Barnabas R, Dillner J, Paavonen J, Smith PG. Studies to assess long-term efficacy and effectiveness of HPV vaccination in developed and developing countries. Vaccine 2006; 24(Suppl 3):S3/233-41; PMID:16950012; http://dx.doi.org/ 10.1016/j.vaccine.2006.05.109
- [11] Rana M, Huhtala H, Apter D, Eriksson T, Luostarinen T, Natunen K, Paavonen J, Pukkala E, Lehtinen M. Understanding of long-term protection of human papillomavirus vaccination against cervical carcinoma. Int J Cancer 2013; 132:2833-8; PMID:23180157; http://dx. doi.org/10.1002/ijc.27971
- [12] European Cervical Cancer Association. HPV vaccination across Europe; 2009. Available at: http://www.ecca.info/fileadmin/user_ upload/Reports/HPV_Vaccination_across_Europe.pdf
- [13] Markowitz LE, Tsu V, Deeks SL, Cubie H, Wang SA, Vicari AS, Brotherton JM. Human papillomavirus vaccine introduction – the five first years. Vaccine 2012; 30(suppl 5):F139-48; PMID: 23199957; http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.vaccine.2012.05.039
- [14] Brotherton J, Fridman JM, May CL, Chapell G, Saville AM, Gertig DM, et al. Early effect of HPV vaccination programme on cervical abnormalities in Victoria, Australia: an ecological study. Lancet 2011; 377:2085-92; PMID:21684381; http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/S0140-6736(11)60551-5
- [15] Herrero R, González P, Markowitz LE. Present status of human papillomavirus vaccine development and implementation. Lancet Oncol 2015; 16:e206-16; PMID:25943065; http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/S1470-2045(14)70481-4
- [16] Garçon N, Morel S, Didierlaurent A, Descamps D, Wettendorff M, Van Mechelen M. Development of an AS04-adjuvanted HPV vaccine with the adjuvant system approach. BioDrugs 2011; 25:217-26; PMID:21815697; http://dx.doi.org/10.2165/11591760-000000000-00000
- [17] Wheeler CM, Castellsague X, Garland S, Szarewski A, Paavonen J, Naud P, Salmerón J, Chow SN, Apter D, Kitchener H, et al. Crossprotective efficacy of the HPV-16/18 AS04-adjuvanted vaccine against cervical infection and precancer caused by non-vaccine oncogenic HPV types: 4-year end-of-study analysis of the randomised, double-blind PATRICIA trial. Lancet Oncol 2012; 13:100-10; PMID:22075170; http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/S1470-2045(11)70287-X
- [18] Malagón T, Drolet M, Boily MC, FrancoEL, Jit M, Brisson J, Brisson M. Cross-protective efficacy of two human papillomavirus vaccines: a systematic review and meta-analysis. Lancet Infect Dis 2012; 12:781-9; PMID:22920953; http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/S1473-3099(12)70187-1
- [19] Schwarz TF, Huang LM, Medina DM, Valencia A, Lin TY, Behre U, Catteau G, Thomas F, Descamps D. Four-year follow-up of the immunogenicity and safety of the HPV-16/18 AS04-adjuvanted vaccine when administered to adolescent girls aged 10-14 years. J Adolesc Health 2012; 50:187-94; PMID:22265115; http://dx.doi.org/ 10.1016/j.jadohealth.2011.11.004
- [20] Schmeink CE, Bekkers RL, Josefsson A, Richardus JH, Berndtsson Blom K, David MP, Dobbelaere K, Descamps D. Co-administration of human papillomavirus-16/18 AS04-adjuvanted vaccine with hepatitis B vaccine: randomized study in healthy girls. Vaccine 2011; 29:9276-83; PMID:21856349; http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.vaccine.2011.08.037
- [21] Verstraeten T, Descamps D, David MP, Zahaf T, Hardt K, Izurieta P, Dubin G, Breuer T. Analysis of adverse events of potential autoimmune aetiology in a large integrated safety database of AS04 adjuvanted vaccines. Vaccine 2008; 26:6630-8; PMID:18845199; http:// dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.vaccine.2008.09.049
- [22] Descamps D, Hardt K, Spiessens B, Izurieta P, Verstraeten T, Breuer T, Dubin G. Safety of human papillomavirus (HPV)-16/18 AS04adjuvanted vaccine for cervical cancer prevention. A pooled analysis

of 11 clinical trials. Hum Vaccin 2009; 5:332-40; PMID:19022320; http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.vaccine.2008.10.088

- [23] Angelo MG, David MP, Zima J, Baril L, Dubin G, Arellano F, Struyf F. Pooled analysis of large and long-term safety data from the human papillomavirus-16/18-AS04-adjuvanted vaccine clinical trial programme. Pharmacoepidemiol Drug Saf 2014; 23:466-79: PMID:24644063; http:// dx.doi.org/10.1002/pds.3554
- [24] Angelo MG, Zima J, Tavares Da Silva F, Baril L, Arellano F. Post-licensure safety surveillance for human papillomavirus-16/18-AS04-adjuvanted vaccine: more than 4 years of experience. Pharmacoepidemiol Drug Saf 2014; 23:456-65; PMID:24644078; http://dx.doi.org/10.1002/pds.3593
- [25] Lehtinen M, Apter D, Baussano I, Eriksson T, Natunen K, Paavonen J, Vänskä S, Bi D, David MP, Datta S, et al. Characteristics of a cluster-randomized phase IV human papillomavirus vaccination effectiveness trial. Vaccine 2015; 33:1284-90; PMID:25593103; http://dx. doi.org/10.1016/j.vaccine.2014.12.019
- [26] Harjutsalo V, Sjöberg L, Tuomilehto J. Time trends in the incidence of type 1 diabetes in Finnish children: a cohort study. Lancet 2008; 371:1777-82; PMID:8502302; http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/S0140-6736(08) 60765-5
- [27] Chao C, Klein NP, Velicer CM, Sy LS, Slezak JM, Takhar H, Ackerson B, Cheetham TC, Hansen J, Deosaransingh K, et al. Surveillance of autoimmune conditions following routine use of quadrivalent papillomavirus vaccine. J Intern Med 2012; 271:193-203; PMID:21973261; http://dx.doi.org/10.1111/j.1365-2796.2011.02467.x
- [28] Arnheim-Dahlström L, Pasternak B, Svanström H, Sparén P, Hviid A. Autoimmune, neurological, and venous thromboembolic adverse events after immunisation of adolescent girls with quadrivalent human papillomavirus vaccine in Denmark and Sweden: cohort study. BMJ 2013; 347: f5906; PMID:24108159; http://dx.doi.org/10.1136/bmj.f5906
- [29] Gruber N, Shoenfeld Y. A link between human papilloma virus vaccination and primary ovarian insufficiency: current analysis. Curr

Opin Obstet Gynecol 2015; 27:265-70; PMID:26125978; http://dx. doi.org/10.1097/GCO.00000000000183

- [30] Wacholder S, Chen BE, Wilcox A, Macones G, Gonzalez P, Befano B, Hildesheim A, Rodríguez AC, Solomon D, Herrero R, et al. Risk of miscarriage with bivalent vaccine against human papillomavirus (HPV) types 16 and 18: pooled analysis of two randomised controlled trials. BMJ 2010; 340:c712; PMID:20197322
- [31] Panagiotou OA, Befano BL, Gonzalez P, Rodríguez AC, Herrero R, Schiller JT, Kreimer AR, Schiffman M, Hildesheim A, Wilcox AJ, et al. Effect of bivalent human papillomavirus vaccination on pregnancy outcomes: long term observational follow-up in the Costa Rica HPV Vaccine Trial. BMJ 2015; 351:h4358; PMID:26346155; http://dx.doi.org/10.1136/bmj.h4358
- [32] Baril L, Rosillon D, Willame C, Angelo MG, Zima J, van den Bosch JH, Van Staa T, Boggon R, Bunge EM, Hernandez-Diaz S, et al. Risk of spontaneous abortion and other pregnancy outcomes in 15-25 year old women exposed to human papillomavirus-16/18 AS04-adjuvanted vaccine in the United Kingdom. Vaccine 2015; 33:6884-91; PMID:26206268; http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.vaccine.2015.07.024
- [33] Medina DM, Valencia A, de Velasquez A, Huang LM, Prymula R, García-Sicilia J, Rombo L, David MP, Descamps D, Hardt K, et al. Safety and immunogenicity of the HPV-16/18 AS04-adjuvanted vaccine: a randomized, controlled trial in adolescent girls. J Adolesc Health 2010; 46:414-21; PMID:20413076; http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j. jadohealth.2010.02.006
- [34] Petäjä T, Keränen H, Karppa T, Kawa A, Lantela S, Siitari-Mattila M, Levänen H, Tocklin T, Godeaux O, Lehtinen M, et al. Immunogenicity and safety of human papillomavirus (HPV)-16/18 AS04-adjuvanted vaccine in healthy boys aged 10-18 years. J Adolesc Health 2009; 44:33-40; PMID:19101456; http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.jadohealth.2008.10.002
- [35] HILMO. Sosiaalihuollon- ja terveydenhuollon hoitoilmoitus. Ohjeita ja luokituksia. Stakes 2006; 2:1-75; [Article in Finnish].