



Next Generation Sequencing for Detecting Somatic FAS Mutations in Patients With Autoimmune Lymphoproliferative Syndrome

Marta López-Nevado^{1,2}, Jorge Docampo-Cordeiro², José T. Ramos³, Rebeca Rodríguez-Pena⁴, Celia Gil-López³, Silvia Sánchez-Ramón⁵, Juana Gil-Herrera⁶, María J. Díaz-Madroñero¹, María A. Delgado-Martín¹, Pablo Morales-Pérez^{1,2}, Estela Paz-Artal^{1,2,7}, Aude Magerus⁸, Frederic Rieux-Laucat⁸ and Luis M. Allende^{1,2,7*}

OPEN ACCESS

Edited by:

Markus G. SEIDEL, Medical University of Graz, Austria

Reviewed by:

V. Koneti Rao, National Institutes of Health (NIH), United States Elisabeth Salzer, St.Anna Kinderspital, Austria

*Correspondence:

Luis M. Allende luis.allende@salud.madrid.org

Specialty section:

This article was submitted to Primary Immunodeficiencies, a section of the journal Frontiers in Immunology

Received: 20 January 2021 Accepted: 07 April 2021 Published: 29 April 2021

Citation:

López-Nevado M, Docampo-Cordeiro J, Ramos JT, Rodríguez-Pena R, Gil-López C, Sánchez-Ramón S, Gil-Herrera J, Díaz-Madroñero MJ, Delgado-Martín MA, Morales-Pérez P, Paz-Artal E, Magerus A, Rieux-Laucat F and Allende LM (2021) Next Generation Sequencing for Detecting Somatic FAS Mutations in Patients With Autoimmune Lymphoproliferative Syndrome. Front. Immunol. 12:656356. doi: 10.3389/fimmu.2021.656356 ¹ Immunology Department, University Hospital 12 de Octubre, Madrid, Spain, ² Instituto de Investigación Sanitaria Hospital 12 de Octubre (imas12), Madrid, Spain, ³ Pediatrics Department, University Hospital Clínico San Carlos, Madrid, Spain, ⁴ Immunology Department, University Hospital La Paz, Madrid, Spain, ⁵ Immunology Department, University Hospital Clínico San Carlos, Madrid, Spain, ⁶ Immunology Department, University Hospital Gregorio Marañón, Madrid, Spain, ⁷ School of Medicine, University Hospital 12 de Octubre, Complutense University of Madrid, Madrid, Spain, ⁸ Université de Paris, Laboratory of Immunogenetics of Pediatric Autoimmune Diseases, Imagine Institute, INSERM UMR 1163, Paris, France

Autoimmune lymphoproliferative syndrome (ALPS) is a primary immune regulatory disorder clinically defined by chronic and benign lymphoproliferation, autoimmunity and an increased risk of lymphoma due to a genetic defect in the FAS-FASL apoptotic pathway. Genetic defects associated with ALPS are germinal and somatic mutations in FAS gene, in addition to germinal mutations in FASLG, FADD, CASP8 and CASP10 genes. The accumulation of CD3+TCR $\alpha\beta$ +CD4-CD8- double negative T-cells (DNT) is a hallmark of the disease and 20-25% of ALPS patients show heterozygous somatic mutations restricted to DNT in the FAS gene (ALPS-sFAS patients). Nowadays, somatic mutations in the FAS gene are detected through Sanger sequencing in isolated DNT. In this study, we report an ALPS-sFAS patient fulfilling clinical and laboratory ALPS criteria, who was diagnosed through NGS with a targeted gene panel using DNA from whole blood. Data analysis was carried out with Torrent Suite Software and variant detection was performed by both germinal and somatic variant caller plugin. The somatic variant caller correctly detected other six ALPS-sFAS patients previously diagnosed in the authors' laboratories. In summary, this approach allows the detection of both germline and somatic mutations related to ALPS by NGS, avoiding the isolation of DNT as the first step. The reads of the somatic variants could be detected even in patients with DNT in the cut off limit. Thus, custom-designed NGS panel testing may be a faster and more reliable method for the diagnosis of new ALPS patients, including those with somatic FAS mutations (ALPS-sFAS).

Keywords: ALPS, ALPSsFAS, autoimmunity, lymphoproliferation, malignancy, somatic, NGS, ALPS-like

INTRODUCTION

Autoimmune lymphoproliferative syndrome (ALPS), initially termed Canale-Smith syndrome (1), is a rare Primary Immune Regulatory Disorder (PIRD) defined by childhood onset chronic and benign lymphoproliferation, autoimmune phenomena mainly in form of multilineage cytopenia and an increased risk of lymphoma due to an impairment of lymphocyte homeostasis (2-4). The accumulation of polyclonal CD3+TCR $\alpha\beta$ +CD4-CD8- double negative T-cells (DNT), elevated serum levels of interleukin-10 (IL-10), soluble FAS ligand (sFASL) and vitamin B12 are considered biomarkers of ALPS (5). Lymphocyte homeostasis is affected by defective FAS-mediated apoptosis and in most ALPS patients the apoptotic defect is consequence of inherited (germline) or acquired (somatic) mutations in the FAS gene (ALPS-FAS and ALPS-sFAS patients, respectively). Less frequently, germinal mutations in the FASLG (ALPS-FASLG), FADD (ALPS-FADD), CASP10 (ALPS-CASP10) and CASP8 (ALPS-CASP8) genes can occur (2, 3, 5-10). Although ALPS is a genetically well-defined syndrome, in approximately 20% of ALPS-suspected cases no mutations have yet been identified and they are classified as ALPS-unknown (ALPS-U) (5). Combination of somatic and germinal FAS mutations, involvement of other ALPS-phenotype-modifiers genes such as UNC13D, XIAP, PRF1, CASP10, as well as numerous genetic defects outside the FAS-FASL pathway leading to an ALPS-like phenotype have been described (10-16).

Heterozygous acquired or somatic mutations in the *FAS* gene are found in 20-25% of ALPS patients (10, 13, 17). These mutations are mainly restricted to the exons 7, 8 and 9 of *FAS* gene, mostly detectable in DNT-cell population, but also in approximately 10-20% of single-positive mononuclear cells (18). Phenotypically, DNT derives from both CD4 and CD8 effector memory T-cells with CD45RA expression, representing a subset of terminally differentiated T-cells that should have been deleted by apoptosis (19). Although elevated DNT is a required criterion of the disease, its pathologic role in ALPS remains unclear, but as ALPS-FAS and ALPS-sFAS are clinically indistinguishable, these cells could contribute to disease pathogenesis (10, 13).

Decisional trees for the diagnosis of ALPS have been proposed (5, 14, 20). It is established that if the clinical features of ALPS are present, DNT immunophenotyping and ALPS-biomarkers assessment are the first step of the algorithm (14). If DNT and any other ALPS-biomarkers are elevated, ALPS suspicion is confirmed and sequencing of the ALPS-related genes is mandatory. If no germinal mutation is found in these genes, searching for a somatic *FAS* mutation should be performed through Sanger sequencing in isolated DNT. Sanger sequencing can be a useful and fast tool for sequencing of specific genomic regions. However, Next Generation Sequencing (NGS) technologies such as targeted gene panels and whole exome sequencing have become powerful tools that allow simultaneous screening of heterogeneous genetic diseases as ALPS and ALPS-like disorders (14).

Generally, DNT represent less than 3% of total leukocytes (17). The finding of somatic mutations in the *FAS* gene requires the isolation of DNT population, a costly and time-consuming technique that may not be feasible in some routine laboratories. Based on the classical NGS application for detecting a relatively low mutational burden (21–23), we propose the possibility of detecting somatic mutations by DNA sequencing from whole blood using a targeted gene panel. This approach could allow the simultaneous screening of ALPS patients with both germline mutations in the ALPS related genes and somatic mutations in the *FAS* gene.

In this study we report a new case of ALPS-sFAS diagnosed by NGS through a targeted gene panel. The application of the somatic variant caller was validated with the detection of somatic mutations in other ALPS-sFAS patients previously diagnosed in the authors' laboratories (13, 24, 25).

METHODS

DNT Immunophenotyping

For direct immunofluorescence, peripheral whole blood was incubated using the corresponding monoclonal antibodies as previously described (14). Cellular acquisition was performed using a Beckman Coulter Navios cytometer (Beckman Coulter, Miami, FL, USA) and data were analyzed with Kaluza 2.1 software (Beckman Coulter).

Eight ALPS-sFAS patients were included in this study showing different DNT percentages (from 4 to 30.7%) (**Table 1**).

ALPS Biomarkers

Serum levels of IL-10 (Bender MedSystems, Labclinics, Madrid, Spain) and sFASL (R&D, Vitro, Madrid, Spain) were measured in duplicate by enzyme-linked immunosorbent assay. Vitamin B12 were measured by electro-chemiluminescence immunoassay (Beckman Coulter). Serum immunoglobulin concentrations were determined by nephelometry (Beckman Coulter) (14).

Isolation of DNT

DNT were isolated by immunomagnetic cell separation (MACS) using a double-negative T-cell isolation Kit (Miltenyi Biotec GmbH, Germany) according to the manufacturer's instructions as previously described (14).

FAS-Induced Apoptosis

Functional studies for FAS-mediated apoptosis was performed as previously described (14) for screening of ALPS patients.

Abbreviations: ALPS, Autoimmune Lymphoproliferative Syndrome; DNT, Double Negative T-cells; ELISA, Enzyme-Linked Immunosorbent Assay; FADD, FAS-Associated protein with Death Domain; FASL, FAS Ligand; Ig, Immunoglobulin; IGV, Integrative Genomics Viewer; IL-10, Interleukin-10; IUIS, International Union of Immunological Societies; MACS, Magnetic-Activated Cell Sorting; NGS, Next Generation Sequencing; PBMC, Peripheral Blood Mononuclear Cells; PID, Primary Immunodeficiency; PIRD, Primary Immune Regulatory Disorder; sFASL, Soluble FAS Ligand; TMAP, Torrent Mapping Alignment Program; VAF, Variant Allele Frequency; VUS, Variant of Uncertain Significance.

TABLE 1 | ALPS parameters.

$\begin{tabular}{ c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c$										
Age (years) 1 56 47 56 30 26 23 12 - CD3+ TCRαβ+ CD4-CD8- (DNT) (%) 5.2 30.7 6.1 5.5 18 12 27 4 0-4 Serum biomarkers(pg/mL) VitB12 1911 >2000 >2000 1697 ND ND 2098 197-771 sFASL >1000 >1000 682 >1000 >1000 >1000 >1000 800 0-250 IL-10 460 98 66 128 32 62 110 24 0-20 Serum Immunoglobulins (mg/dL) IgG 1510 975 1410 1140 901 2385 ND 1230 600-1230 IgA 255 328 595 454 35 596 ND 300 30-200 IgM 131 45 35 94 <5 72 ND 174 50-200		P1	P2	P3	P4	P5	P6	P7	P8	Reference values
CD3+ TCRαβ+ CD4-CD8- (DNT) (%)5.230.76.15.518122740-4Serum biomarkers(pg/mL)VitB121911>2000>20001697NDND2098197-771SFASL>1000>1000682>1000>1000>1000>10008000-250IL-1046098661283262110240-20Serum Immunoglobulins (mg/dL)I975141011409012385ND1230600-1230IgA25532859545435596ND30030-200IgM131453594<572ND17450-200	Age (years)	1	56	47	56	30	26	23	12	_
Serum biomarkers(pg/mL) Serum biomarkers(pg/mL) VitB12 1911 >2000 >2000 1697 ND ND 2098 197-771 sFASL >1000 >1000 682 >1000 >1000 >1000 800 0-250 IL-10 460 98 66 128 32 62 110 24 0-20 Serum Immunoglobulins (mg/dL) IIGA 1510 975 1410 1140 901 2385 ND 1230 600-1230 IgA 255 328 595 454 35 596 ND 300 30-200 IgM 131 45 35 94 <5	CD3+ TCRαβ+ CD4-CD8- (DNT) (%)	5.2	30.7	6.1	5.5	18	12	27	4	0-4
VitB12 1911 >2000 >2000 1697 ND ND 2098 197-771 sFASL >1000 >1000 682 >1000 >1000 >1000 >1000 >1000 800 0-250 IL-10 460 98 66 128 32 62 110 24 0-20 Serum Immunoglobulins (mg/dL) IgG 1510 975 1410 1140 901 2385 ND 1230 600-1230 IgA 255 328 595 454 35 596 ND 300 30-200 IgM 131 45 35 94 <5 72 ND 174 50-200	Serum biomarkers(pg/mL)									
sFASL >1000 >1000 682 >1000 >1000 >1000 >1000 800 0-250 IL-10 460 98 66 128 32 62 110 24 0-20 Serum Immunoglobulins (mg/dL) IgG 1510 975 1410 1140 901 2385 ND 1230 600-1230 IgA 255 328 595 454 35 596 ND 300 30-200 IgM 131 45 35 94 <5 72 ND 174 50-200	VitB12	1911	>2000	>2000	>2000	1697	ND	ND	2098	197-771
IL-10 460 98 66 128 32 62 110 24 0-20 Serum Immunoglobulins (mg/dL) 0-20 <td< td=""><td>sFASL</td><td>>1000</td><td>>1000</td><td>682</td><td>>1000</td><td>>1000</td><td>>1000</td><td>>1000</td><td>800</td><td>0-250</td></td<>	sFASL	>1000	>1000	682	>1000	>1000	>1000	>1000	800	0-250
Serum Immunoglobulins (mg/dL) IgG 1510 975 1410 1140 901 2385 ND 1230 600-1230 IgA 255 328 595 454 35 596 ND 300 30-200 IgM 131 45 35 94 <5	IL-10	460	98	66	128	32	62	110	24	0-20
IgG 1510 975 1410 1140 901 2385 ND 1230 600-1230 IgA 255 328 595 454 35 596 ND 300 30-200 IgM 131 45 35 94 <5	Serum Immunoglobulins (mg/dL)									
IgA 255 328 595 454 35 596 ND 300 30-200 IgM 131 45 35 94 <5	lgG	1510	975	1410	1140	901	2385	ND	1230	600-1230
IgM 131 45 35 94 <5 72 ND 174 50-200	lgA	255	328	595	454	35	596	ND	300	30-200
	IgM	131	45	35	94	<5	72	ND	174	50-200

ND, not determined.

Targeted Next Generation Sequencing Gene Panel

Genomic DNA was extracted from EDTA blood samples and isolated DNT-cells using a MagNa Pure Compact Nucleic Acid Isolation Kit (Roche, Basel, Switzerland).

NGS was run in an Ion Torrent PGM platform (Thermo Fisher Scientific, Waltham, Massachusetts, USA) using a targeted gene sequencing with an inhouse designed panel of 192 genes involved in primary immunodeficiencies (Ampliseq, Thermo Fisher Scientific) including all the ALPSrelated genes.

Ion Torrent adapter-barcode ligated libraries were generated with 20 ng of DNA using the Ion AmpliSeq Library Kit 2.0 and Ion Xpress Barcode Adapter 1-16 Kit according to the manufacturer's procedures, obtaining 300bp fragments of PCR. Emulsion PCR was done on the Ion OneTouch 2 Instrument with Ion PGM Template OT2 200 Kit and finally the amplicons were sequenced using Ion PGM Sequencing Kit and Ion 318 Chip Kit v2 according to the manufacturer's procedures (all reagents from Thermo Fisher Scientific). Coverage analysis was checked in Torrent Suite (Thermo Fisher Scientific) through the plugin Coverage Analysis.

Variant Caller, Annotation and In Silico Prediction

Data analysis was carried out with Torrent Suite Software (version 5.12). Data produced were aligned and mapped to the human GRCh37 (hg19) genome assembly by Torrent Mapping Alignment Program (TMAP). For germline variants, the variant caller plugin with default setting of "Generic PGM Germ Line Low Stringency" (Thermo Fisher Scientific) was performed.

Somatic variants were detected by customization of the default setting of somatic variant caller plugin from Torrent Suite Software (TVC, v5.12. Thermo Fisher Scientific) considering only the exons 7, 8 and 9 of *FAS* gene. The following parameters were adapted from the somatic variant caller of Torrent Suite server: minimum allele frequency permitted was 0.01, minimum allele coverage was defined as 3 reads, no minimum coverage on either strand was defined but those variants with strand bias above 0.85 and strand bias *pvalue* below 0.05 was filtered out.

Variant annotation was performed with Ion Reporter Software (version 5.10). The software Integrative Genomics Viewer (IGV) (26) was used to inspect the aligned reads (bam files) of each sample in order to check the consistency of nucleotide calls. VarSome (27) was used for *in silico* pathogenicity prediction and for a comprehensive interpretation of the variants.

The somatic *FAS* mutations were detected with this approach in seven out eight patients. It is important to consider that in the patient 8 (P8) which we could not be able to detect the somatic mutation, he had the DNT in the limit for our consideration as an ALPS patient (required criterium). In P1 and P4, the mutations were detected even with slightly high DNT.

Sanger Sequencing

Germline and somatic variants were confirmed by Sanger sequencing using the appropriate specific primers.

Statistics

Sensitivity and specificity of the assay was calculated considering sensitivity as true positive rate (87.5% of ALPS-sFAS patients were correctly identified) and specificity as true negative rate (93.1% of controls were correctly identified).

RESULTS

Patients

Patient 1 (P1) was admitted to the hospital with fever and exanthema at ten months of life. One year later he suffered from another febrile episode with exanthema and ALPSsuspected phenotype: splenomegaly, lymphadenopathies and bicytopenia (thrombocytopenia and neutropenia) and positive anti-smooth muscle antibodies. DNT, vitamin B12, IL-10, sFASL and immunoglobulins G and A were elevated (**Table 1**) confirming the ALPS suspicion. Patients 2-8 (P2-P8) are ALPS-sFAS patients previously diagnosed in the authors' laboratories (**Table 1**) (13, 24, 25). The studies involving human participants were reviewed and approved by Comité Ético de Investigación Clínica, University Hospital 12 de Octubre and by ethic committee of CPP Ile-de-France (CPP IDF2 DC-2014-22722015-03-03 AF).

Identification of Germinal and Somatic Mutations Through Targeted Next Generation Sequencing

NGS using DNA extracted from whole blood of P1 (Figure 1) revealed two germline heterozygous mutations in PRF1 (c.1179C>A; p.Cys393Ter) and UNC13D (c.2411A>G; p.Tyr804Cys) genes (Figures 1A, B), both considered as ALPS-phenotype-modifiers but not ALPS-causing genes (11, 12, 15). Functionally, intracellular perforin staining and degranulation assay in NK cells were similar to the healthy control tested (data not shown). No germline variant was found in the ALPS-related genes. Given the great suspicion of ALPS and since somatic mutations in FAS gene are the second most frequent cause of ALPS, a customized somatic variant calling considering only the exons 7, 8 and 9 of FAS gene was carried out. A splicing variant in intron 7 (c.651+2T>C) previously reported as pathogenic in germinal line was detected in ALPS-FAS patients [referred as p.Pro201fs204Ter in (10, 28)]. The Variant Allele Frequency (VAF) got in the experiment was 4.2%. It was read 10 times out of a total of 243 reads (Figure 1C). For somatic variant confirmation, DNT from P1 were isolated through MACS separation, DNA was extracted

and somatic variant in intron 7 of *FAS* gene was detected by Sanger sequencing (**Figure 1D**).

Customized Somatic Variant Caller Validation

After detecting the somatic variant in P1 (c.651+2T>C) by NGS using DNA from whole blood, it was necessary to validate the somatic variant caller analyzing samples from seven other ALPS-sFAS patients previously diagnosed in the authors' laboratories by Sanger sequencing in isolated DNT (P2-P8) (13, 24, 25).

To ascertain the quality of the somatic variants identified by our method, forty-four samples from non-ALPS patients were also included (C1-C44). In total, nine different variants were called in the 52 samples analyzed (**Table 2**). Strand bias, as the significantly different genotype inferred from the positive and negative strand, was around 0.5 in all cases, ensuring a good reads balance in both strands thus ruling out possible NGS errors. Somatic mutations of P1, P2, P3, P4, P5, P6 and P7 were correctly detected with a VAF of 4.2%, 7.6%, 11.5%, 2.7%, 7.9%, 8.7% and 1.9%, respectively (**Table 2**). P8, with three base-pair deletion (c.812_814del; p.Ala271del) (25) was not detected by the somatic variant caller plugin, although the deletion was observed



from isolated DNT confirming the somatic mutation in the patient.

TABLE 2 | Somatic variant caller report.

Sample	Chrom:position	Ref	Var	VAF	Cov	Allele cov	Allele cov -	Allele cov +	Strand Bias
P1	chr10:90771840	Т	С	4.2	239	10	6	4	0.5318
P2	chr10:90773878	G	-	7.6	394	30	20	10	0.5125
P3	chr10:90773947	G	А	11.5	399	46	21	25	0.5497
P4	chr10:90773876	Т	А	2.7	367	10	5	5	0.6237
P5	chr10:90773125	G	А	7.9	101	8	4	4	0.5148
P6	chr10:90773100	G	Т	8.7	195	17	10	7	0.6249
P7	chr10:90773099	G	Т	1.9	212	4	2	2	0.5279
P1,P4	chr10:90771774	А	G	2.1	141	3	2	1	0.6497
C5	chr10:90773123	Т	С	2.9	104	3	2	1	0.5451
C18*	chr10:90771767	G	А	54.2	172	96	56	40	0.5219
C32*	chr10:90774155	С	Т	48.1	395	190	98	92	0.5337
RV*	chr10:90771829	Т	С	54.1	206	112	51	61	0.5133

Ref, genome reference nucleotide; Var, genome reference substitution; VAF, variant allele frequency; Cov, total coverage in each position; Allele cov, variant reads number; Allele cov -, variant reads in reverse strand; Allele cov, variant reads in forward strand; P, patient; C, control; RV, Recurrent variant presented in fourty-six samples. *Germline heterozygous variants.

once in the IGV browser. Three out of five remaining variants (C18, C32, RV) had frequencies around 50% suggesting being germline heterozygous variants and the other two (P1, P4, C5) were somatic variants with frequencies of 2.1% and 2.9% (**Table 2**). All somatic mutations and variants calls were visually checked with the IGV (**Figure 2**). Based on the experimental data, the minimum depth of coverage of 101 reads allows to detect a VAF of 7.9% (case of P5). When the depth reaches 212 reads, we can detect a minimum VAF of 1.9% (case of P7).

Variant annotation and pathologic interpretations for new variants were performed with Ion Reporter Software and VarSome, respectively (**Table 3**). Somatic mutations previously described in P2-P7 (13, 24, 25) and germline variants were excluded from variant annotation and *in silico* analyses. Somatic variant of

P1 (c.651+2T>C) was predicted as pathogenic and was previously reported as disease causing in ALPS-FAS patients (10, 28). The somatic variant detected in both P1 and P4 (c. 587A>G) was not found in Clinvar and was classified as benign (twenty-one benign versus no pathogenic scores), but it was not detected in Sanger sequencing from their isolated DNT (data not shown). The somatic variant found in C5 (c.675T>C) is probably a benign synonymous variant that not predicted an aberrant splicing (27, 29) (**Table 3**). Although we cannot exclude that these two somatic variants are present in another cell population in the three cases (c. 587A>G in P1 and P4 and c.675T>C in C5), they have been considered as false positive variants. Data show that sensitivity of the assay is 87.5% and the specificity is 93.1%. These results are obtained without annotation and interpretation of the variants. If we consider the no



FIGURE 2 | IGV browser visualization of the somatic variant calls through the customized variant caller plugin in patients 2, 3, 4, 5, 6 and 7.

TABLE 3 Variant annotation of unreported somatic variants.										
Sample	Chrom:position	Ref	Var	Type of variant	Var effect	Coding	Protein	gnomAD (He)	Predictors of Pathogenicity (damaging/benign) *	
P1,P4	chr10:90771774	А	G	Somatic	Missense	c.587A>G	p.Gln196Arg	NR	0/21	
C5	chr10:90773123	Т	С	Somatic	Synonymous	c.675T>C	p.(=)	NR	0/1	

Ref, genome reference nucleotide; Var, genome reference substitution; gnomAD, allele frequency in the gnomAD browser; He, number of heterozygotes reported in gnomAD browser; NR, Not reported.

*Predictors of pathogenicity available from VarSome. The number of damaging versus benign scores are shown.

pathogenic predictors' interpretation for the two somatic variants observed in 3 samples, the specificity would be considered 100%.

apoptosis is overlooked, therefore it's necessary to demonstrate an impaired activation-induced cell death (AICD) (14).

FAS-Mediated Apoptosis

Nowadays, FAS-mediated apoptosis is not considered essential for the diagnosis of ALPS (accessory criterion) (5), as some ALPS patients (ALPS-sFAS or ALPS-FASLG) reported normal values of FAS-mediated apoptosis (14). In the case of ALPS-sFAS patients a small percentage of PBMCs (DNT cells) carry the *FAS* mutation, while in the case of ALPS-FASLG, FAS-mediated Apoptosis testing is an intensive, costly labor, available only in a few specialized centers and not really helpful in routine evaluation of patients. According to the proposed ALPS diagnostic algorithms, the presence of a pathogenic mutation in ALPS-related genes establishes a diagnosis of ALPS. Although in some circumstances, FAS-mediated apoptosis assay can be useful to clarify the pathogenicity of variants of uncertain significance (VUS). Interestingly, FAS-mediated apoptosis induced with anti-FAS



mAb in T-cell blasts was partially reduced in P1 (Figure 3) as previously described in other ALPS-sFAS patients (13).

DISCUSSION

ALPS is mostly caused by *FAS* mutations either germline or somatic, being restricted mainly to DNT in the latter case. Due to both ALPS-FAS and ALPS-sFAS patients cannot be clinically differentiated, sequencing of *FAS* gene in DNA from isolated DNT is recommended for ALPS-suspected patients without molecular diagnosis (30). However, the time, cost and technical requirements makes the DNT sorting a technique not accessible to all laboratories.

NGS has been demonstrated to be a powerful tool that enables to detect very low allele frequency variants. Detecting somatic mutations by NGS is a critical part of cancer research and diagnostics (31-33). Sequencing depth is required since mutations need to be observed on a sufficient number of reads to pass predetermined variant calling threshold (21). Thus, targeted gene panel sequencing is the optimal option to allow very deep coverage in a cost-effective way. Increasingly, new approaches are being developed for the exploration of somatic disease-causing mutations, being more frequent than expected. High-throughput NGS is presented as an important tool for the discovery of new diseases caused by postzygotic mosaicism (34-38). Hence, there is a role for customized targeted NGS approach in some circumstances looking for somatic variants when germline variant calls remain noncontributory and might help correlate clinical and cellular phenotypes with genomic datasets.

In an attempt to facilitate the molecular diagnosis of ALPSsFAS patients, we describe clinical, immunologic and molecular findings of a new ALPS-sFAS patient diagnosed through NGS targeted gene panel. The somatic c.651+2T>C mutation affecting the intracellular domain of the FAS gene was detected with a somatic variant caller plugin as well as six other ALPS-sFAS previously diagnosed (13, 24). The mutation identified in this domain has the highest clinical penetrance (>80%) because it exerts dominant-negative effect on wild-type FAS protein (14). This strategy can be very useful allowing a first screening of possible somatic variants for their subsequent confirmation by Sanger sequencing in isolated DNT. This approximation could guide the next diagnostic steps and help assess whether DNT isolation is necessary, especially in the case of pediatric patients, as P1, where the limitation of the significant amount of blood needed, together with the technical requirements for the isolation of DNT, can lead in many cases to patient mismanagement (39).

As limitations of this study, we could consider that in the case of T-cell lymphopenia of the ALPS patients, the detection of the somatic FAS mutation would be more difficult in the whole blood (P1-P8 did not show T-lymphopenia). This fact must be considered in the case of ALPS patients under treatment when the diagnosis has been delayed for several circumstances. By the contrary, at diagnosis ALPS patients show normal/high numbers of T-lymphocytes.

Other limitation of the study is in case of ALPS patients with DNT percentages in the limit of the normal values (**Table 1**). In the case of P8, an ALPS-sFAS patients with borderline DNT

(**Table 1**) and presenting a complete codon deletion (c.812_814del; p.Ala271del) (25), the mutation was not detected with the variant caller plugin used. However, the mutations of P1 and P4, also presented with borderline DNT (**Table 1**), were correctly detected. Probably a combination of the lowest DNT percentage and the nature of the P8 mutation are the main limitations for detecting the mutation by NGS.

Somatic mutation in *FAS* or in combination with germline mutation in genes other than *FAS* as *XIAP*, *PRF1*, *UNC13D* or *CASP10* have been reported (11–13, 15, 40). The second mutation is not an ALPS-causative hit and the codified proteins are not involved directly in FAS-FASL pathway but have been proposed as ALPS-phenotype modifiers (11, 12, 15).

In this work, in addition to the somatic *FAS* mutation causing ALPS-sFAS detected in P1, a germline variant caller plugin revealed two heterozygous mutations in *PRF1* and *UNC13D* genes predicted as possibly pathogenic, but not sufficient to cause hemophagocytic lymphohistiocytosis (41, 42) due to the autosomal recessive form of the disease. Furthermore, the expression of perforin and degranulation in NK cells were preserved in the patient, and probably these variants at best contribute marginally to clinical phenotype.

In summary, we report the first ALPS patient with a somatic *FAS* mutation detected through NGS targeted gene panel. Identification of somatic *FAS* mutations with this novel strategy, even in the cases of borderline DNT, is a cost and time-effective option to expedite the diagnosis and treatment of these patients avoiding further complications due to a delayed diagnosis.

DATA AVAILABILITY STATEMENT

The datasets presented in this study can be found in online repositories. The name of the repository and accession numbers can be found below: National Center for Biotechnology Information (NCBI) GenBank, https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/genbank/, MW674786, MW674787, MW674788 and MW674789.

ETHICS STATEMENT

The studies involving human participants were reviewed and approved by Comité Ético de Investigación Clínica, University Hospital 12 de Octubre and by ethic committee of CPP Ile-de-France (CPP IDF2 DC-2014-22722015-03-03 AF). Written informed consent to participate in this study was provided by the participants' legal guardian/next of kin.

AUTHOR CONTRIBUTIONS

ML-N designed the pipeline, analyzed the results and drafted the manuscript, tables, and figures. JD-C designed the bioinformatics algorithm. JR, RR-P, CG-L, SS-R, JGH, AM and FR-L conducted the clinical and immunological follow-up of the patient. MJD-M, MAD-M, PMP, EP-A, AM and FR-L did the molecular (NGS and Sanger sequencing) and functional studies (immunophenotyping, biomarkers and functional studies of apoptosis). LA conceived the idea for the manuscript and drafted the manuscript, tables, and figures. All authors contributed to the article and approved the submitted version.

FUNDING

This work was supported by grants from Fondo de Investigación Sanitaria (FIS-PI16/2053) to LA. The project has

REFERENCES

- Canale VC, Smith CH. Chronic Lymphadenopathy Simulating Malignant Lymphoma. J Pediatr (1967) 70(6):891–9. doi: 10.1016/S0022-3476(67) 80262-2
- Rieux-Laucat F, Le Deist F, Hivroz C, Roberts IAG, Debatin KM, Fischer A, et al. Mutations in Fas Associated With Human Lymphoproliferative Syndrome and Autoimmunity. *Sci* (80-) (1995) 268(5215):1347–9. doi: 10.1126/science.7539157
- Fisher GH, Rosenberg FJ, Straus SE, Dale JK, Middelton LA, Lin AY, et al. Dominant Interfering Fas Gene Mutations Impair Apoptosis in a Human Autoimmune Lymphoproliferative Syndrome. *Cell* (1995) 81(6):935–46. doi: 10.1016/0092-8674(95)90013-6
- Drappa J, Vaishnaw AK, Sullivan KE, Chu JL, Elkon KB. Fas Gene Mutations in the Canale-Smith Syndrome, an Inherited Lymphoproliferative Disorder Associated With Autoimmunity. N Engl J Med (1996) 335(22):1643–9. doi: 10.1056/NEJM199611283352204
- Oliveira JB, Bleesing JJ, Dianzani U, Fleisher TA, Jaffe ES, Lenardo MJ, et al. Revised Diagnostic Criteria and Classification for the Autoimmune Lymphoproliferative Syndrome (ALPS): Report From the 2009 NIH International Workshop. In: Blood [Internet]. *Blood* (2010) 116(14):e35–40. . doi: 10.1182/blood-2010-04-280347
- Del-Rey M, Ruiz-Contreras J, Bosque A, Calleja S, Gomez-Rial J, Roldan E, et al. A Homozygous Fas Ligand Gene Mutation in a Patient Causes a New Type of Autoimmune Lymphoproliferative Syndrome. *Blood* (2006) 108 (4):1306–12. doi: 10.1182/blood-2006-04-015776
- Bolze A, Byun M, McDonald D, Morgan NV, Abhyankar A, Premkumar L, et al. Whole-Exome-Sequencing-Based Discovery of Human FADD Deficiency. Am J Hum Genet (2010) 87(6):873-81. doi: 10.1016/ j.ajhg.2010.10.028
- Chun HJ, Zheng L, Ahmad M, Wang J, Speirs CK, Siegel RM, et al. Pleiotropic Defects in Lymphocyte Activation Caused by Caspase-8 Mutations Lead to Human Immunodeficiency. *Nature* (2002) 419(6905):395–9. doi: 10.1038/ nature01063
- Wang J, Zheng L, Lobito A, Chan FKM, Dale J, Sneller M, et al. Inherited Human Caspase 10 Mutations Underlie Defective Lymphocyte and Dendritic Cell Apoptosis in Autoimmune Lymphoproliferative Syndrome Type II. *Cell* (1999) 98(1):47–58. doi: 10.1016/S0092-8674(00)80605-4
- Holzelova E, Vonarbourg C, Stolzenberg MC, Arkwright PD, Selz F, Prieur AM, et al. Autoimmune Lymphoproliferative Syndrome With Somatic Fas Mutations. N Engl J Med (2004) 351(14):1409–18. doi: 10.1056/ NEJMoa040036
- 11. Clementi R, Dagna L, Dianzani U, Dupré L, Dianzani I, Ponzoni M, et al. Inherited Perforin and *Fas* Mutations in a Patient With Autoimmune Lymphoproliferative Syndrome and Lymphoma. *N Engl J Med* (2004) 351 (14):1419–24. doi: 10.1056/NEJMoa041432
- Boggio E, Aricò M, Melensi M, Dianzani I, Ramenghi U, Dianzani U, et al. Mutation of FAS, XIAP, and UNC13D Genes in a Patient With a Complex Lymphoproliferative Phenotype. *Pediatrics* (2013) 132(4):e1052–8. doi: 10.1542/peds.2012-1838

been co-financed with FEDER funds. ML-N was co-financed by "Fondo Social Europeo, Programa Operativo de empleo juvenil (YEI)". The study was also supported by the Institut National de la Santé et de la Recherche Médicale (INSERM) and by government grants managed by the Agence National de la Recherche as part of the "Investment for the Future" program (ANR-10-IAHU-01 and ANR-18-RHUS-0010), the Ligue Contre le Cancer—Comité de Paris, Fondation ARC pour la recherche sur le CANCER, the Centre de Référence Déficits Immunitaires Héréditaires (CEREDIH), the Agence National de la Recherche (ANR-14-CE14-0026-01 "Lumugene"; ANR-18-CE17-0001 "Action").

- Martínez-Feito A, Melero J, Mora-Díaz S, Rodríguez-Vigil C, Elduayen R, González-Granado LI, et al. Autoimmune Lymphoproliferative Syndrome Due to Somatic FAS Mutation (ALPS-sFAS) Combined With a Germline caspase-10 (CASP10) Variation. *Immunobiology* (2016) 221(1):40–7. doi: 10.1016/j.imbio.2015.08.004
- Casamayor-Polo L, López-Nevado M, Paz-Artal E, Anel A, Rieux-Laucat F, Allende LM. Immunologic Evaluation and Genetic Defects of Apoptosis in Patients With Autoimmune Lymphoproliferative Syndrome (ALPS) [Internet]. Crit Rev Clin Lab Sci (2020) 1–30. doi: 10.1080/10408363. 2020.1855623
- Aricò M, Boggio E, Cetica V, Melensi M, Orilieri E, Clemente N, et al. Variations of the UNC13D Gene in Patients With Autoimmune Lymphoproliferative Syndrome. *PloS One* (2013) 8(7):e68045. doi: 10.1371/ journal.pone.0068045
- Magerus-Chatinet A, Neven B, Stolzenberg MC, Daussy C, Arkwright PD, Lanzarotti N, et al. Onset of Autoimmune Lymphoproliferative Syndrome (ALPS) in Humans as a Consequence of Genetic Defect Accumulation. J Clin Invest (2011) 121(1):106–12. doi: 10.1172/JCI43752
- Dowdell KC, Niemela JE, Price S, Davis J, Hornung RL, Oliveira JB, et al. Somatic FAS Mutations are Common in Patients With Genetically Undefined Autoimmune Lymphoproliferative Syndrome. *Blood* (2010) 115(25):5164–9. doi: 10.1182/blood-2010-01-263145
- Lo B, Ramaswamy M, Davis J, Price S, Rao VK, Siegel RM, et al. A Rapid Ex Vivo Clinical Diagnostic Assay for Fas Receptor-Induced T Lymphocyte Apoptosis. J Clin Immunol (2013) 33(2):479–88. doi: 10.1007/s10875-012-9811-z
- Rensing-Ehl A, Völkl S, Speckmann C, Lorenz MR, Ritter J, Janda A, et al. Abnormally Differentiated CD4+ or CD8+ T Cells With Phenotypic and Genetic Features of Double Negative T Cells in Human Fas Deficiency. *Blood* (2014) 124(6):851–60. doi: 10.1182/blood-2014-03-564286
- Rieux-Laucat F, Magérus-Chatinet A, Neven B. The Autoimmune Lymphoproliferative Syndrome With Defective FAS or FAS-ligand Functions. J Clin Immunol (2018) 38:558-68. doi: 10.1007/s10875-018-0523-x
- Xu C, Nezami Ranjbar MR, Wu Z, DiCarlo J, Wang Y. Detecting Very Low Allele Fraction Variants Using Targeted DNA Sequencing and a Novel Molecular Barcode-Aware Variant Caller. *BMC Genomics* (2017) 18(1):5. doi: 10.1186/s12864-016-3425-4
- Schmitt MW, Kennedy SR, Salk JJ, Fox EJ, Hiatt JB, Loeb LA. Detection of Ultra-Rare Mutations by Next-Generation Sequencing. *Proc Natl Acad Sci* U.S.A. (2012) 109(36):14508–13. doi: 10.1073/pnas.1208715109
- Spencer DH, Tyagi M, Vallania F, Bredemeyer AJ, Pfeifer JD, Mitra RD, et al. Performance of Common Analysis Methods for Detecting Low-Frequency Single Nucleotide Variants in Targeted Next-Generation Sequence Data. J Mol Diagnos (2014) 16(1):75-88. doi: 10.1016/ j.jmoldx.2013.09.003
- Neven B, Magerus-Chatinet A, Florkin B, Gobert D, Lambotte O, De Somer L, et al. Asurvey of 90 Patients With Autoimmune Lymphoproliferative Syndrome Related to TNFRSF6 Mutation. *Blood* (2011) 118:4798–807. doi: 10.1182/blood-2011-04-347641

- Klemann C, Esquivel M, Magerus-Chatinet A, Lorenz MR, Fuchs I, Neveux N, et al. Evolution of Disease Activity and Biomarkers on and Off Rapamycin in 28 Patients With Autoimmune Lymphoproliferative Syndrome. *Haematologica* (2017) 102:e52–6. doi: 10.3324/haematol.2016.153411
- Thorvaldsdottir H, Robinson JT, Mesirov JP. Integrative Genomics Viewer (IGV): High-Performance Genomics Data Visualization and Exploration. *Brief Bioinform* (2013) 14(2):178–92. doi: 10.1093/bib/bbs017
- Kopanos C, Tsiolkas V, Kouris A, Chapple CE, Albarca Aguilera M, Meyer R, et al. VarSome: The Human Genomic Variant Search Engine. *Bioinformatics* (2019) 35(11):1978–80. doi: 10.1093/bioinformatics/bty897
- Dé F, Rieux-Laucat R, Verine Blachè S, Danielan S, De Villartay JP, Oleastro M, et al. Lymphoproliferative Syndrome With Autoimmunity: A Possible Genetic Basis for Dominant Expression of the Clinical Manifestations. *Blood* (1999) 94(8):2575–82. doi: 10.1182/blood.V94.8.2575.420k17_2575_2582
- Gallego-Bustos F, Gotea V, Ramos-Amador JT, Rodríguez-Pena R, Gil-Herrera J, Sastre A, et al. A Case of IL-7R Deficiency Caused by a Novel Synonymous Mutation and Implications for Mutation Screening in SCID Diagnosis. *Front Immunol* (2016) 7:443. doi: 10.3389/fimmu.2016.00443
- 30. Rössler J, Enders A, Lahr G, Heitger A, Winkler K, Fuchs H, et al. Identical Phenotype in Patients With Somatic and Germline CD95 Mutations Requires a New Diagnostic Approach to Autoimmune Lymphoproliferative Syndrome. *J Pediatr* (2005) 147(5):691–4. doi: 10.1016/j.jpeds.2005.07.027
- Meldrum C, Doyle MA, Tothill RW. Next-Generation Sequencing for Cancer Diagnostics: A Practical Perspective. *Clin Biochem Rev* (2011) 32(4):177–95.
- 32. Morganti S, Tarantino P, Ferraro E, D'Amico P, Viale G, Trapani D, et al. Complexity of Genome Sequencing and Reporting: Next Generation Sequencing (NGS) Technologies and Implementation of Precision Medicine in Real Life. Crit Rev Oncol Hematol (2019) 133:171-82. doi: 10.1016/ j.critrevonc.2018.11.008
- Kim S, Lee JW, Park YS. The Application of Next-Generation Sequencing to Define Factors Related to Oral Cancer and Discover Novel Biomarkers. *Life* (2020) 10(10):1–21. doi: 10.3390/life10100228
- Beck DB, Ferrada MA, Sikora KA, Ombrello AK, Collins JC, Pei W, et al. Somatic Mutations in UBA1 and Severe Adult-Onset Autoinflammatory Disease. N Engl J Med (2020) 383(27):2628–38. doi: 10.1056/NEJMoa2026834
- 35. Aluri J, Bach A, Kaviany S, Chiquetto Paracatu L, Kitcharoensakkul M, Walkiewicz MA, et al. Immunodeficiency and Bone Marrow Failure With Mosaic and Germline TLR8 Gain-of-Function. *Blood* (2020) blood.2020009620. doi: 10.1182/blood.2020009620

- 36. Materna-Kiryluk A, Pollak A, Gawalski K, Szczawinska-Poplonyk A, Rydzynska Z, Sosnowska A, et al. Mosaic IL6ST Variant Inducing Constitutive GP130 Cytokine Receptor Signaling as a Cause of Neonatal Onset Immunodeficiency With Autoinflammation and Dysmorphy. *Hum Mol Genet* (2021) ddab035. doi: 10.1093/hmg/ddab035
- Dou Y, Gold HD, Luquette LJ, Park PJ. Detecting Somatic Mutations in Normal Cells. Trends Genet (2018) 34:545–57. doi: 10.1016/j.tig.2018.04.003
- Levy-Lahad E, King M-C. Hiding in Plain Sight Somatic Mutation in Human Disease. N Engl J Med (2020) 383(27):2680–2. doi: 10.1056/ NEJMe2030754
- Spergel AR, Walkovich K, Price S, Niemela JE, Wright D, Fleisher TA, et al. Autoimmune Lymphoproliferative Syndrome Misdiagnosed as Hemophagocytic Lymphohistiocytosis. *Pediatrics* (2013) 132(5):e1440–4. doi: 10.1542/peds.2012-2748
- Cerutti E, Campagnoli MF, Ferretti M, Garelli E, Crescenzio N, Rosolen A, et al. Co-Inherited Mutations of Fas and caspase-10 in Development of the Autoimmune Lymphoproliferative Syndrome. *BMC Immunol* (2007) 8:1–9. doi: 10.1186/1471-2172-8-28
- Stepp SE, Dufourcq-Lagelouse R, Le Deist F, Bhawan S, Certain S, Mathew PA, et al. Perforin gene defects in familial hemophagocytic lymphohistiocytosis. *Sci (80-)* (1999) 286(5446):1957–9. doi: 10.1126/science.286.5446.1957
- 42. Feldmann J, Callebaut I, Raposo G, Certain S, Bacq D, Dumont C, et al. Munc13-4 Is Essential for Cytolytic Granules Fusion and Is Mutated in a Form of Familial Hemophagocytic Lymphohistiocytosis (Fhl3). *Cell* (2003) 115(4):461–73. doi: 10.1016/S0092-8674(03)00855-9

Conflict of Interest: The authors declare that the research was conducted in the absence of any commercial or financial relationships that could be construed as a potential conflict of interest.

Copyright © 2021 López-Nevado, Docampo-Cordeiro, Ramos, Rodríguez-Pena, Gil-López, Sánchez-Ramón, Gil-Herrera, Díaz-Madroñero, Delgado-Martín, Morales-Pérez, Paz-Artal, Magerus, Rieux-Laucat and Allende. This is an openaccess article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (CC BY). The use, distribution or reproduction in other forums is permitted, provided the original author(s) and the copyright owner(s) are credited and that the original publication in this journal is cited, in accordance with accepted academic practice. No use, distribution or reproduction is permitted which does not comply with these terms.