**a** Open Access Full Text Article

ORIGINAL RESEARCH

# Impact of Therapy & Age in Choroidal Cancers: A Retrospective Cohort Analysis of 7722 Patients from a US National Database

Zain Hussain Jawad Khan Shaheer Ali

Paul L. Foster School of Medicine, Texas Tech University Health Sciences Center, El Paso, TX, USA **Background:** Collectively, choroidal cancers represent a vast array of histopathologically diverse constituencies with profound repercussions related to mortality and metastasis. Prognosticated factors provide utility in determining clinical management and outcome propensities. To date, measures to collectively characterize choroidal cancers as a class are not impressive. This study aims to shed light on the affiliation of age and therapeutic modalities to survival in patients diagnosed with choroidal cancers.

**Methods:** Cohort analysis using the Surveillance, Epidemiology, and End Results (SEER) program, a freely accessible population-based database, was executed from 1975 to 2016, a 41-year time window. Univariable and multivariable Cox regression assessed survival with age and various therapeutic modalities as primary independent variables. Adjustment for several demographical and clinical variables was performed.

**Results:** This cohort consisted of 7722 patients at the time of diagnosis. Multivariable Cox regression demonstrated increased cause-specific hazards of 71.8% for patients aged 75+ years (HR = 1.718, CI 1.155–2.555, p < 0.008) as compared to patients aged 0–24 years. 16.2% and 58.0% increases in cause-specific mortality were showcased in patients diagnosed with 8770/3: mixed epithelioid and spindle cell melanoma (HR = 1.16, p = 0.029) and 8771/3: epithelioid cell melanoma of the choroid (HR = 1.580, p < 0.001) compared to individuals diagnosed with 8720/3: malignant melanoma NOS of the choroid. Chemotherapy significantly influenced survival in patients with choroidal cancers compared to those who did not receive chemotherapy or had an unknown status (HR = 0.377, CI 0.292–0.486, p < 0.001).

**Conclusion:** Like most cancers, choroidal cancers showcase poor clinical trajectory with advanced age. Specific ICD-O-3 histological subtypes predispose to increased mortality. Therapeutic modalities such as radioactive implants and chemotherapeutic agents demonstrate decreased cause-specific mortality compared to alternative treatments. Altogether, nuanced influences of age and therapy are revealed when evaluating choroidal cancers as a class, irrespective of subtype.

Keywords: choroid, cancer, survival, mortality

## Introduction

Choroidal cancers arise in part due to the high vascular supply via the provision of the anterior and posterior ciliary arteries.<sup>1,2,6,7</sup> Collectively, they supply oxygen and nutrients to the retina and surrounding structures.<sup>2,6,7</sup> Interestingly, the choroid is well characterized as the recipient for the highest rate of vascular flow per unit weight compared to any other body tissue.<sup>4,6</sup> In addition, the choroid is associated with the highest frequency of ocular metastatic disease, notably breast (40–47%) and lung cancers (21–29%).<sup>4,6</sup>

Clinical Ophthalmology 2021:15 1763-1774

1763

© 2021 Hussain et al. This work is published and licensed by Dove Medical Press Limited. The full terms of this license are available at https://www.dovepress.com/terms work you hereby accept the Terms. Non-commercial uses of the work are permitted without any further permission from Dove Medical Press Limited, provided the work is properly attributed. For permission for commercial use of this work, please exe paragraphs 4.2 and 5 of our Terms (https://www.dovepress.com/terms.php).

Correspondence: Zain Hussain Paul L. Foster School of Medicine, Texas Tech University Health Sciences Center, 5001 El Paso Dr., El Paso, TX, 79905, USA Email zain.hussain@ttuhsc.edu

Treatment options for choroidal cancers include observation, chemotherapy, immunotherapy, radiotherapy, enucleation, and more.<sup>1–7</sup> The appropriate treatment modality is dependent on various factors including age, laterality, localization, and systemic status of the patient.<sup>1–7</sup> Regardless, prompt initiation of treatment for choroidal cancers should be implemented to secure the preservation of the patient's health.<sup>5,7</sup>

At the present moment, little to no research evaluates the collectivity of known choroidal cancers with respect to survival. Previous studies in patients diagnosed specifically with choroidal melanomas showcase associative increases in mortality, basal tumor diameter, metastatic capability with dissemination to the ciliary body and extraocular areas, with the progression of age.<sup>5-8</sup> Interestingly, a multitude of studies showcase a controversial association of survival with age in patients with uveal melanoma.<sup>9–12</sup> An observational case series of 59 patients with choroidal lymphomas, a true rarity of the intraocular cancers, did not communicate a prognostication of survival by age.<sup>7</sup> While these studies remark on survival in a specific type or subset of choroidal cancer, the authors' claim that this is one of the few studies attempting to characterize survival by age and therapy for choroidal cancers as a comprehensive denomination.

We hereby present a retrospective cohort study utilizing the Surveillance, Epidemiology, and End Results Program (SEER) to characterize the impact of age on survival in patients with choroidal cancers with adjustment for sex, race/ethnicity, marital status, Hispanic/Non-Hispanic origin, laterality, grade, ICD-O-3 Hist/behav, diagnostic confirmation, chemotherapy, radiation, radiation sequence, and type of follow-up expected.

## **Methods**

The Surveillance, Epidemiology, and End Results (SEER) Program is a freely available, publicly accessible US national database comprised of patient de-identified population-based registries related to cancer-afflicted patients that are backed by the National Cancer Institute (NCI) and Centers for Disease Control and Prevention (CDC). This retrospective cohort analysis searched over 10 million patients within the "Incidence – SEER 18 Regs Custom Data (with additional treatment fields), Nov 2018 Sub (1975–2016 varying)" for patients diagnosed with choroidal cancers within a 41-year time window. Site and morphology for choroidal cancer patients was selected via the "Site recode ICD-O-3/WHO 2008" variable in search for

"C69.3-Choroid" as the primary labeled site. Inclusivity of all choroidal cancers yielded 9091 cases, including 8000/3: Neoplasm, malignant, 8010/3: Carcinoma, NOS, 8070/3: Squamous cell carcinoma, NOS, 8720/3: Malignant melanoma, NOS, 8721/3: Nodular melanoma, 8722/3: Balloon cell melanoma, 8723/3: Malignant melanoma, regressing, 8730/3: Amelanotic melanoma, 8740/3: Malignant melanoma in junctional nevus, 8743/3: Superficial spreading melanoma, 8745/3: Desmoplastic melanoma, malignant, 8761/3: Malignant melanoma in giant pigmented nevus, 8770/3: Mixed epithelioid and spindle cell melanoma, 8771/3: Epithelioid cell melanoma, 8772/3: Spindle cell melanoma, NOS, 8773/3: Spindle cell melanoma, type A, 8774/3: Spindle cell melanoma, type B, 8801/3: Spindle 9120/3: Hemangiosarcoma, cell sarcoma, 9590/3: Malignant lymphoma, NOS, 9591/3: Non-Hodgkin lymphoma, NOS, 9675/3: Malig lymphoma, mixed small and large cell, diffuse (OBS 2010+) see 9690/3, 9680/3: Diffuse large B-cell lymphoma (DLBCL), NOS, and 9699/3: Extranodal marginal zone lymphoma of mucosalassoc. lymphoid tissue-MALT. Age was stratified into 4 brackets of "0-24 years", "25-49 years", "50-74 years", and "75+ years".

To properly diagnose the relationship between age and survival in patients with choroidal cancers, multivariable analysis was performed with adjustment for sex, race/ ethnicity, marital status, Hispanic/Non-Hispanic origin, laterality, grade, ICD-O-3 Hist/behav, diagnostic confirmation, chemotherapy, radiation, radiation sequence, and type of follow-up expected. In addition, various treatment modalities including chemotherapy and radiation therapy were independently assessed for impact on survival in those with choroidal cancers.

Statistical significance was defined as a p-value  $\leq 0.05$ . All analyses were performed in IBM SPSS Version 26.

## Results

Table 1 shows the descriptive statistics of the N = 7722 patients with a valid cause of death classification. Note that nearly all patients had malignant (99.9%) choroidal cancer and active follow-up (99.9%) was expected.

Cohort stratified by age showcases 4698 patients (60.8%) aged 50–74 years, 1576 (20.4%) aged 25–49 years, 1353 (17.5%) aged 75+ years, and 95 (1.2%) aged 0–24 years at the time of diagnosis. 4104 (53.1%) patients and 3618 (46.9%) patients were male and female, respectively. Upon diagnosis, 99.9% of patients pursued active follow-up with 2 cases (<0.1%) considered autopsy/death

Variable	Value	Frequency	Percent	p-value
Age (years)	0–24	95	1.2	p < 0.001
	25–49	1576	20.4	
	50–74	4698	60.8	
	75+	1353	17.5	
Sex	Male	4104	53.1	p = 0.146
	Female	3618	46.9	
Marital Status	Married	4772	61.8	p = 0.002
	Single	958	12.4	
	Widowed	818	10.6	
	Divorced	551	7.1	
	Other/Unknown	623	8.1	
Race/ethnicity	White	7462	96.6	P < 0.001
	Non-white	260	3.4	
Hispanic Origin	Spanish-Hispanic-Latino	376	4.9	p = 0.008
	Non-Spanish-Hispanic-Latino	7346	95.1	
Laterality Recode	Left	3842	49.8	p = 0.393
	Right	3811	49.4	
	Other/Unknown	69	0.9	
Grade	Well-differentiated; Grade I	60	0.8	p = 0.42
	Moderately differentiated; Grade II	7512	97.3	
	Poorly differentiated; Grade III	94	1.2	
	Undifferentiated; anaplastic	34	0.4	
	Grade IV and B-cell; pre-B; B-precursor	22	0.3	
ICD-10-Behavior	8720/3: Malignant melanoma, NOS	5610	72.6	p < 0.001
	8770/3: Mixed epithelioid and spindle cell melanoma	780	10.1	
	8774/3: Spindle cell melanoma, type B	477	6.2	
	8772/3: Spindle cell melanoma, NOS	467	6	
	8771/3: Epithelioid cell melanoma	261	3.4	
	8730/3: Amelanotic melanoma	58	0.8	
	8773/3: Spindle cell melanoma, Type A	20	0.3	
	Other/Unknown	49	0.6	
Diagnostic confirmation	Positive histology	3993	51.7	p < 0.001
	Radiography without microscopic confirmation	1423	18.4	
	Direct visualization without microscopic confirmation	1184	15.3	
	Positive exfoliative cytology, no positive histology	512	6.6	
	Clinical diagnosis only	481	6.2	
	Other/Unknown	129	1.7	
Chemotherapy	Yes	139	1.8	p < 0.001
	No/Unknown	7583	98.2	
Radiation recode	Radioactive implants	2468	32	
	Beam Radiation	1325	17.2	
	Radioisotopes	671	8.7	p = 0.006
	Other	205	2.7	
	None/Unknown	3053	39.5	

### Table I Baseline Demographical & Clinical Characteristics

(Continued)

#### Table I (Continued).

Variable	Value	Frequency	Percent	p-value
Radiation sequence recode	Radiation prior to surgery Radiation after surgery No radiation and/or cancer-directed surgery Other	7183 271 215 22	93 3.5 2.8 0.3	p = 0.002
Type of follow-up expected	Active follow-up Autopsy/death certificate only cases SF/Oakland only (originally inactive/now active)	7711 2 9	99.9 0 0.1	p = 0.203

**Note:** Statistical significance was achieved at *p*-value < 0.05.

certificate only cases. Chemotherapy was received by 139 patients (1.8%) with 7583 patients (98.2%) not receiving or not known to have received chemotherapy. 2468 (32%) of patients received radioactive implants, 1325 (17.2%) of patients received beam radiation, and 671 (8.7%) of patients received radioisotopes. Radiation sequences prior to surgery were obtained by 7183 (93%) of patients with 271 (3.5%) receiving post-surgery radiation and 215 (2.8%) of patients receiving no radiation and/or cancer-directed surgery. ICD-10 behavior recodes showcased 5610 (72.6%) cases with malignant melanoma NOS, 780 (10.1%) cases with mixed epithelioid and spindle cell melanoma, 477 (6.2%) cases with spindle cell melanoma type B, 467 (6%) cases with spindle cell melanoma NOS, 261 (3.4%) cases with epithelioid cell melanoma, 58 (0.8%) cases with amelanotic melanoma, and 20 (0.3%) cases with spindle cell melanoma type A. 49 cases were grouped together into "Other" due to the remarkably low prevalence and rarity, as mentioned in the methods section. Diagnostic confirmation with positive histology (N=3993, 51.7%), radiography without microscopic confirmation (N=1423, 18.4%), direct visualization without microscopic confirmation (N=1184, 15.3%), positive exfoliative cytology with no positive histology (N=512, 6.6%), and clinical diagnosis only (N=481, 6.2%) were seen.

Table	2	l Inivariable	Cox	Regression	Results
Iable	4	Univariable		Regression	results

Table 2 shows the univariable Cox regression model results of aged groups for both cause-specific survival and other-cause survival models. Univariable results for cause-specific survival show that the hazard of cause-specific death is 58.6% lower for choroidal cancer patients aged 25–49 years as compared to patients aged 75+ (HR = 0.414, CI 0.355–0.483, p < 0.001). The hazard of cause-specific death is 29.9% lower for choroidal cancer patients aged 50–74 years as compared to patients aged 75+ (HR = 0.701, CI 0.622–0.789, p < 0.001). There is not a significant difference in the hazard of cause-specific death between choroidal cancer patients aged 0–24 years as compared to patients aged 75 + (HR = 0.685, 0.469–1.001, p = 0.051).

Univariable results for other cause survival show that the hazard of other cause death is 79.0% lower for choroidal cancer patients aged 50–74 years as compared to patients aged 75+ years (HR = 0.210, CI 0.188–0.235, p < 0.001). The hazard of other cause death is 97.1% lower for choroidal cancer patients aged 25–49 years as compared to patients aged 75+ years (HR = 0.029, CI 0.023–0.038, p < 0.001). The hazard of other cause death is 99.4% lower for choroidal cancer patients aged 0–24 years as compared to patients aged 75+ years (HR = 0.006, CI 0.001–0.043, p < 0.001).

Age	Other-Cause Survival		Cause-Specific Survival		
	HR* (95% CI*)	p-value*	HR* (95% CI*)	p-value*	
75+ years	Ref.	Ref.	Ref.	Ref.	
50–74 years	0.210 (0.188, 0.235)	<0.001	0.701 (0.622, 0.789)	< 0.001	
25–49 years	0.029 (0.023, 0.038)	< 0.001	0.414 (0.355, 0.483)	< 0.001	
0–24 years	0.006 (0.001, 0.043)	< 0.001	0.685 (0.469, 1.001)	0.051	

Notes: \*Hazards ratio, 95% confidence interval. \*Statistical significance achieved at p < 0.05.



Figure 1 Kaplan-Meier plot shows the differences in cumulative cause-specific survival over time for choroidal cancer patients between 25 and 49 years of age. (top, red line) as compared to patients aged 75+ (bottom, orange line). The log-rank (Mantel-Cox) test showed that the cause-specific survival distributions for the different levels of aged groups at diagnosis are significantly different (p < 0.001).



Univariable Other Cause Survival Function (Kaplan-Meier Curves)

Figure 2 Kaplan-Meier plot shows the differences in cumulative other-cause survival over time for choroidal cancer patients aged 25–49 (red line) as compared to aged 75+ patients (bottom, orange line). The log-rank (Mantel-Cox) test showed that the other-cause survival distributions for the different levels of aged groups at diagnosis are significantly different (p < 0.001).

After assessing the log-minus-log plots for the unadjusted models, time-dependent covariate modeling was utilized and determined to reveal no significance, supporting the assumption of proportional hazards (Figures 1 and 2).

Table 3 shows the multivariable Cox regression results of age for both cause-specific survival and other-cause survival models. Multivariable results for cause-specific survival show that after adjusting for confounding variables, the hazard of cause-specific death for choroidal

Age	Other-Cause Survival		Cause-Specific Survival		
	HR* (95% CI*) p-value*		HR* (95% CI*)	p-value*	
0–24 years	Ref.	Ref.	Ref.	Ref.	
25–49 years	5.275 (0.730, 38.139)	0.099	0.694 (0.469, 1.027)	0.068	
50–74 years	39.066 (5.459, 279.590)	< 0.001	1.173 (0.799, 1.721)	0.415	
75+ years	178.764 (24.908, 1282.957)	< 0.001	1.718 (1.155, 2.555)	0.008	

Table 3 Multivariable Cox Regression Results

**Notes:** \*Hazards ratio, 95% confidence interval. \*Statistical significance achieved at p < 0.05.

cancer patients is significantly (71.8%) higher for patients aged 75+ years compared to patients aged 0–24 years (HR = 1.718, CI 1.155–2.555, p < 0.008). The hazard of causespecific death for choroidal cancer patients is not significantly lower (30.6%) for patients aged 25–49 years as compared to patients aged 0–24 years (HR = 0.694, CI 0.469–1.027, p < 0.068). In addition, the hazard of causespecific death for choroidal cancer patients is not significantly (17.3%) higher for patients aged 50–74 years than patients aged 0–24 years (HR = 1.173, p < 0.415).

Multivariable results for other cause survival show that after adjusting for confounding variables, the hazard of other-cause death in choroidal cancer patients is not significantly higher for patients aged 25–49 years as compared to patients aged 0–24 years (HR = 5.275, p < 0.099).

There are significant increases in the hazard of other-cause death between choroidal cancer patients aged 50–74 years and aged 0–24 years (p < 0.001), as well as patients aged 75+ years and aged 0–24 years (p < 0.001), after adjusting for confounding variables. These results confirm outcomes from univariable analysis (Figures 3 and 4).

In adjusted cause-specific analysis, patients of Spanish-Hispanic-Latino origin received 25.1% decreased hazards compared to patients of Hispanic-Latino origin (HR = 0.749, p < 0.008) in patients between 0 and 24 years of age. Patients between 0 and 24 years of age who do not receive chemotherapy experience increased hazards compared to those who receive chemotherapy (HR = 3.045, p < 0.001). Diagnostic confirmation yielded greater hazards with positive histology and positive exfoliative



Figure 3 Kaplan-Meier plot shows the differences in cumulative cause-specific survival over time for the choroidal cancer patients aged 25–49 years (top, red line) as compared to patients aged 75+ years (bottom, orange line), using Cox-model based estimates after adjusting for confounding variables.



Figure 4 Kaplan-Meier plot shows the differences in cumulative other-cause survival over time for choroidal cancer patients aged 0–24 years (top, blue line) as compared to patients aged 75+ years (bottom, orange line), using Cox-model based estimates after adjusting for confounding variables.

cytology with no positive histology compared to patients with clinical diagnosis only (HR = 2.375, p < 0.001; HR = 1.790, p = 0.001, respectively). For patients between 50 and 74 years of age with amelanotic melanoma and mixed epithelioid and spindle cell melanoma, greater hazards was experienced compared to patients with malignant melanoma NOS in the same age group (HR = 1.162, p = 0.029; HR = 1.580, p < 0.001). In contrast, patients between 50 and 74 years of age diagnosed with epithelioid cell melanoma, spindle cell melanoma NOS, and spindle cell melanoma type A experienced decreased hazards compared to patients with malignant melanoma NOS in the same age group (HR = 0.469, p < 0.001; HR = 0.188, p < 0.018; HR = 0.575, p < 0.001). In addition, patients who were widowed at the time of diagnosis and between the ages of 50 and 74 experienced 20.8% decreased hazards compared to patients who were married within the same age group (HR = 0.792, p = 0.018). In contrast, patients who were divorced at the time of diagnosis and between the ages of 50 and 74 experienced 22.3% increased hazards compared to married patients within the same age group (HR = 1.223, p = 0.009). Table 4 showcases additional information regarding the influence of confounders in multivariable analysis.

Multivariable analysis demonstrated significant influences of ICD-O-3 Histology/behavior on cause-specific survival diagnosed with choroidal malignancies in patients diagnosed between the ages of 0 and 24. More specifically, a 16.2% and 58.0% increase in cause-specific mortality was showcased in patients diagnosed with 8770/3: mixed epithelioid and spindle cell melanoma (HR = 1.16, p = 0.029) and 8771/3: epithelioid cell melanoma of the choroid (HR = 1.580, p < 0.001) compared to individuals diagnosed with 8720/3: malignant melanoma NOS of the choroid.

Various histological subtypes of choroidal cancers including 8772/3: Spindle cell melanoma NOS, 8773/3 Spindle cell melanoma type A, and 8774/3: Spindle cell melanoma type B were highlighted to significantly decrease cause-specific mortality by 53.1% (HR = 0.469, p < 0.001), 81.2% (HR = 0.188, p = 0.018), and 42.5% (HR = 0.575, p < 0.001) as compared to patients diagnosed with 8720/3: malignant melanoma NOS of the choroid. Many ICD-O-3 histological subtypes did not fulfill the minimum criteria for patient case number. Therefore, these subtypes were grouped during analysis to act as a provision for enhanced statistical clarity and discrimination.

Univariable analysis of the impact of radiation on survival in patients with choroidal cancers revealed overall significance with cause-specific modeling (p < 0.001) and radioactive implants incurring hazards 30% lower compared to patients receiving beam radiation (HR = 0.700, p < 0.001). In addition, patients receiving radioisotopes have no significant change in survival compared to

Variable Name	Value	Exp (B)	95.0% CI for Hazard Ratios		p-value
			Lower	Upper	
Race/Ethnicity	White	ref	ref	ref	<0.001
	Non-White	0.496	0.346	0.713	<0.001
Hispanic Origin Chemotherapy Laterality	Non-Spanish-Hispanic-Latino Spanish-Hispanic-Latino Yes Left Right other	ref 0.749 0.328 ref 1.033 1.333	ref 0.606 0.252 ref 0.944 0.843	ref 0.926 0.427 ref 1.131 2.108	<0.001 0.008 <0.001 0.393 0.48 0.218
Grade	Unknown Undifferentiated; anaplastic; Grade IV and B-cell; pre-B; B-precursor Poorly differentiated; Grade III Moderately differentiated; Grade II Well differentiated; Grade I	ref 1.343 0.574 1.217 1.642	ref 0.668 0.135 0.484 0.749	ref 2.7 2.439 3.065 3.602	0.42 0.407 0.452 0.676 0.216
Marital Status	Married	ref	ref	ref	0.002
	Widowed	0.792	0.652	0.961	0.018
	Divorced	1.223	1.051	1.423	0.009
	Single	1.153	0.969	1.372	0.108
	Other/Unknown	1.075	0.927	1.246	0.339
Diagnostic Confirmation	Clinical diagnosis only Radiography without microscopic confirmation Positive histology Positive exfoliative cytology, no positive histology Direct visualization without microscopic confirmation	ref 1.41 0.882 2.375 1.79	ref 0.885 0.656 1.804 1.276	ref 2.246 1.187 3.125 2.511	<0.001 0.148 0.408 <0.001 0.001
Icd 10 behavior	8720/3: Malignant melanoma, NOS	ref	ref	ref	<0.001
	8730/3: Amelanotic melanoma	0.509	0.228	1.137	0.1
	8770/3: Mixed epithelioid and spindle cell melanoma	1.162	1.015	1.33	0.029
	8771/3: Epithelioid cell melanoma	1.58	1.304	1.915	<0.001
	8772/3: Spindle cell melanoma, NOS	0.469	0.375	0.586	<0.001
	8773/3: Spindle cell melanoma, type A	0.188	0.047	0.753	0.018
	8774/3: Spindle cell melanoma, type B	0.575	0.474	0.697	<0.001
	Other	0.901	0.384	2.112	0.811
Sex	Female	ref	ref	ref	0.25
	Male	0.933	0.849	1.025	0.146
Radiation	Beam Radiation	ref	ref	ref	0.006
	None/Unknown	1.046	0.78	1.402	0.765
	Radioactive implants	1.043	0.786	1.384	0.771
	Radioisotopes	0.81	0.607	1.08	0.15
	Other	0.952	0.693	1.308	0.76

## Table 4 Multi-Variable Cox Regression Results (Continued)

(Continued)

Table 4 (Continued).

Variable Name	Value	Exp (B)	95.0% CI for Hazard Ratios		p-value
			Lower	Upper	
Radiation sequence recode	Radiation prior to surgery	ref	ref	ref	0.002
	Radiation after surgery	1.31	0.975	1.759	0.073
	No radiation and/or cancer-directed surgery	0.846	0.669	1.069	0.161
	Other	1.212	0.607	2.422	0.586
Type of follow-up expected	I=SF/Oakland only (originally inactive/now active)	ref	ref	ref	0.251
	Active follow-up	0.564	0.234	1.362	0.203

patients receiving beam radiation (HR = 0.856, p = 0.892). Figure 5 provides a visual of Kaplan–Meier curves for survival by radiation treatment group.

Univariable analysis independently assessing chemotherapy on survival in patients with choroidal cancers showcased 62.8% decreased hazards compared to patients who either did not receive chemotherapy or had an unknown status (HR = 0.377, p < 0.001).

# Discussion

## Age

The association between advancing age and mortality in patients diagnosed with ocular cancers is inherently

complex and multi-faceted.<sup>14</sup> Progressive age allows additional time for increased propensities of metastatic spread, direct extension into the ciliary body, tumor growth, and all-cause mortality.<sup>14,15</sup> In addition, a later age at diagnosis likely indicates delayed therapeutic implementation.<sup>4</sup> Chromosomal aberrations contribute to underlying genetic predispositions to malignant behaviors and tend to manifest at later ages when decreased efficacy of cellular repair and genomic stability take greater precedence.<sup>16,17</sup>

This study determined the correlation of advanced age with treatment and intraocular spread; however, no strong association was demonstrated between age at death and relevant survival predictors.<sup>4</sup> Studies describing the





significance of mortality rates with age are few to none; however, this study noted an increase in ophthalmic non-Hodgkin lymphoma (NHL) rates with age as compared to non-ophthalmic extranodal NHL, irrespective of race.<sup>18</sup> This 2014 publication in JAMA Ophthalmology explicitly justified repeated survival studies in patients with choroidal melanomas due to historically low sample sizes with subsequent compromise of statistical validation.<sup>4</sup>

Our study either echoes similar findings or provides expiation for limitations in a multitude of studies describing the influence of age on survival amongst the collectivity of known choroidal cancers with a relatively large sample of 7722 patients. Relevant findings related to age in this study include significantly increased hazard of cause-specific and other cause mortality with advancing age in adjusted and unadjusted models.

## Therapy

In the setting of choroidal malignancies, therapeutic implementation can involve the use of external beam brachytherapy,<sup>19</sup> radiotherapy,<sup>19</sup> gamma knife radiosurgery,<sup>20</sup> proton beam radiotherapy,<sup>21</sup> plaque radiotherapy,<sup>22</sup> transpupillary thermotherapy,<sup>23</sup> photodynamic therapy,<sup>24</sup> intravitreal anti-vascular endothelial growth factor injection,<sup>25</sup> systemic chemotherapy,<sup>26</sup> immunotherapy,<sup>26</sup> and/or hormone therapy.<sup>27</sup> The strategic employment of these modalities depends on various factors including histological subtype, laterality, number of choroidal tumors, systemic status, and characterization of metastatic propensity. Enucleation can be utilized for patients with advanced disease, blindness, and/or orbital pain.<sup>4</sup> A study highlights a lack of statistical influence on cause-specific and overall survival between brachytherapy and external beam radiotherapy in patients diagnosed with choroidal melanomas.<sup>19</sup> On the contrary, our univariable analysis concerning the totality of choroidal cancers showcases significantly decreased cause-specific mortality (HR = 0.700, p < 0.001) in patients receiving radioactive implants as compared to patients receiving beam radiation, as seen via Kaplan-Meier curves in Figure 5. Despite these findings, beam radiotherapy allows for concentrated irradiation, reduced scattering, and supporting evidence of treatment efficacy via tumor regression of choroidal metastases.<sup>21,28</sup> Bilateral, multifocal choroidal metastases with concurrent presentation of poor systemic status are preferably managed with systemic chemotherapy, immunotherapy, and/or hormonal therapy.<sup>27</sup> Tumor recession was observed in 58.82% of patients with metastatic breast cancer to the choroid via aromatase therapy.<sup>27</sup> Indeed, our findings mirror these outcomes with chemotherapy significantly influencing survival via a hazard reduction of 62.3% (HR = 0.377, p < 0.001).

## Histological Subtype

The authors believe this study provides insight into the controversial nature of the influence of age on survival in patients with uveal melanomas.9-12 The SEER database allows for significant stratification of choroidal cancers as a class into the variety of histological subtypes.<sup>13</sup> In particular, our study highlights differential influences of histological subtypes on survival specifically within the constituencies of choroidal melanomas. For example, while spindle cell melanoma type A significantly reduces adjusted cause-specific hazards by 81.2% as compared to patients with malignant melanoma, a diagnosis of epithelioid cell melanoma increases hazards by 58% as compared to patients with malignant melanoma in patients diagnosed between 50 and 74 years of age. Based on these results, it is clear that specific histological subtypes within the class of choroidal melanomas can significantly impact survival in opposing directions. Further research is required to assess the totality of uveal (choroid, iris, and ciliary body in tandem) melanomas in their survival by age with stratification by histological subtypes.

Interestingly, pathognomonic features of balloon cell melanoma of the choroid histology have been identified in roughly 10% of malignant melanoma of the choroid and ciliary bodies, indicating a significant overlap in histological examination.<sup>29</sup> Epidemiological analysis of uveal melanomas shows that while the median age at diagnosis for spindle cell tumors was significantly less than epithelioid and mixed tumors, spindle cell tumors demonstrated significantly greater cause-specific survival (p < 0.0001).<sup>30</sup> These findings parallel our results as spindle cell tumors (NOS, type A, type B) significantly decrease adjusted cause-specific hazards as compared to individuals diagnosed with malignant melanoma in patients between the ages of 0 and 24 (HR = 0.469, p < 0.001; HR = 0.188, p = 0.018; HR = 0.575, p < 0.001, respectively). Also, our findings corroborate enhanced lethality of mixed epithelioid and spindle cell melanoma (HR = 1.160, p = 0.029) and epithelioid cell melanoma of the choroid (HR = 1.580, p < 0.001).

While hemangiosarcoma of the choroid is partially characterized in dogs and horses, case reports in humans remain a largely uncharacterized territory.<sup>31</sup> Due to the

rarity of certain choroidal cancers such as choroidal non-Hodgkin lymphoma  $(NHL)^{32-36}$  and choroidal diffuse large B-cell lymphoma (DLBCL),<sup>37</sup> statistical evidence with clear objectivity cannot be provided. When these rare cancers with limited sample sizes were grouped together in our analysis, the statistical significance of influence on cause-specific mortality was not achieved (HR = 0.933, p = 0.811). These results are also corroborated by an observation case series (N=59) of patients diagnosed with choroidal lymphomas in lack of influence of survival by age.<sup>7</sup>

The outcomes of this survival analysis are strengthened by a relatively large sample size (N = 7722) and clear extraction of clinical trajectories with survival endpoints by virtue of the SEER Program. Conversely, stratification of this cohort by age, grade, and receipt of chemotherapy revealed substantial differences in sample size. While these are inherent characteristics of the database and disease process under question, differential sample sizes during analysis do not create optimal circumstances for true statistical discrimination of survival. Of note, nuanced limitations to the SEER database involve sensitivity of treatment data. Patients who receive cancer diagnosis within public hospital systems are reported within the SEER database. However, if the patient decides to seek the care of cancer with privatecare physicians, then treatment data remain listed as unknown. For these reasons, while the specificity of treatment data within the SEER software is appreciable, sensitivity is not.

## Conclusion

Age, treatment modality, and ICD-O-3 histology/behavior significantly influence cause-specific and other cause mortality in patients diagnosed with choroidal cancers. Unique patterns of influence on survival are revealed when assessing the collectivity of these malignancies irrespective of subtype. Clinicians should continue to implement real-time standard risk management in patients diagnosed with choroidal malignancies with age and strategic therapy in mind.

## Acknowledgment

Jawad Khan and Shaheer Ali should be considered joint second authors.

## Disclosure

The authors report no conflicts of interest in this work.

# References

- Zloto O, Pe'er J, Frenkel S. Gender differences in clinical presentation and prognosis of uveal melanoma. *Invest Ophthalmol Vis Sci.* 2013;54(1):652–656. doi:10.1167/iovs.12-10365
- Urs R, Ketterling JA, Yu ACH, Lloyd HO, Yiu BYS, Silverman RH. Ultrasound imaging and measurement of choroidal blood flow. *Transl Vis Sci Technol.* 2018;7(5):5. doi:10.1167/tvst.7.5.5
- 3. Singh N, Kulkarni P, Aggarwal AN, et al. Choroidal metastasis as a presenting manifestation of lung cancer: a report of 3 cases and systematic review of the literature. *Medicine*. 2012;91(4):179–194. doi:10.1097/MD.0b013e3182574a0b
- Shields C, Arepalli S, Kaliki S. Choroidal metastases: origin, features, and therapy. *Indian J Ophthalmol.* 2015;63(2):122–127. doi:10.4103/0301-4738.154380
- Damato BE, Heimann H, Kalirai H, Coupland SE. Age, survival predictors, and metastatic death in patients with choroidal melanoma: tentative evidence of a therapeutic effect on survival. *JAMA Ophthalmol.* 2014;132 (5):605–613. doi:10.1001/jamaophthalmol.2014.77
- 6. Singh P, Singh A. Choroidal melanoma. *Oman J Ophthalmol.* 2012;5 (1):3–9. doi:10.4103/0974-620X.94718
- Mashayekhi A, Shukla SY, Shields JA, Shields CL. Choroidal lymphoma: clinical features and association with systemic lymphoma. *Ophthalmology*. 2014;121(1):342–351. doi:10.1016/j. ophtha.2013.06.046
- Kaliki S, Shields CL, Shields JA. Uveal melanoma: estimating prognosis. *Indian J Ophthalmol.* 2015;63(2):93–102. doi:10.4103/ 0301-4738.154367
- Shields CL, Kaliki S, Arepalli S, et al. Uveal melanoma in children and teenagers. Saudi J Ophthalmol. 2013;27(3):197–201. doi:10.1016/j.sjopt.2013.06.013
- Shammas HF, Blodi FC. Prognostic Factors in Choroidal and Ciliary Body Melanomas. Arch Ophthalmol. 1977;95(1):63–69. doi:10.1001/ archopht.1977.0445001006500
- Barr CC, McLean IW, Zimmerman LE. Uveal melanoma in children and adolescents. *Arch Ophthalmol.* 1981;99(12):2133–2136. doi:10.1001/archopht.1981.03930021009003
- Pogrzebielski A, Orlowska-Heitzman J, Romanowska-Dixon B. Uveal melanoma in young patients. *Graefes Arch Clin Exp Ophthalmol.* 2006;244(12):1646–1649. doi:10.1007/s00417-006-0347-x
- Surveillance, Epidemiology, and End Results (SEER) Program. SEER\*Stat Database: incidence – SEER 18 Regs Custom Data (with additional treatment fields), Nov 2018 Sub (1975–2016 varying) – Linked To County Attributes – Total U.S., 1969–2016 Counties, National Cancer Institute, DCCPS, Surveillance Research Program, based on the November 2018 submission. Available from: www.seer.cancer.gov. Accessed November 16, 2020.
- Stalhammar G. Forty-year prognosis after plaque brachytherapy of uveal melanoma. Sci Rep. 2020;10(1):11297. doi:10.1038/s41598-020-68232-7
- Damato B, Eleuteri A, Hussain R, et al. Parsimonious models for predicting mortality from choroidal melanoma. *Invest Ophthalmol Vis Sci.* 2020;61(4):35. doi:10.1167/iovs.61.4.35
- Damato B, Dopierala JA, Coupland SE. Genotypic profiling of 452 choroidal melanomas with multiplex ligation-dependent probe amplification. *Clin Cancer Res.* 2010;16(24):6083–6092. doi:10.1158/1078-0432.CCR-10-2076
- Negrini S, Gorgoulis VG, Halazonetis TD. Genomic instability–an evolving hallmark of cancer. *Nat Rev Mol Cell Biol.* 2010;11 (3):220–228. doi:10.1038/nrm2858
- Moslehi R, Schymura MJ, Nayak S, Coles FB. Ocular adnexal non-Hodgkin's lymphoma: a review of epidemiology and risk factors. *Expert Rev Ophthalmol.* 2011;6(2):181–193. doi:10.1586/eop.11.15
- Abrams MJ, Gagne NL, Melhus CS, Mignano JE. Brachytherapy vs. external beam radiotherapy for choroidal melanoma: survival and patterns-of-care analyses. *Brachytherapy*. 2016;15(2):216–223. doi:10.1016/j.brachy.2015.12.001

- Arnett ALH, Reynolds MM, Pulido JS, Parney IF, Laack NN. Gamma knife stereotactic radiosurgery for the treatment of primary and metastatic ocular malignancies. *Stereotact Funct Neurosurg*. 2017;95(6):363–368. doi:10.1159/000478271
- Tsina EK, Lane AM, Zacks DN, Munzenrider JE, Collier JM, Gragoudas ES. Treatment of metastatic tumors of the choroid with proton beam irradiation. *Ophthalmology*. 2005;112(2):337–343. doi:10.1016/j.ophtha.2004.09.013
- 22. Hegde JV, McCannel TA, McCannel CA, et al. Juxtapapillary and circumpapillary choroidal melanoma: globe-sparing treatment outcomes with iodine-125 notched plaque brachytherapy. *Graefes Arch Clin Exp Ophthalmol.* 2017;255(9):1843–1850. doi:10.1007/s00417-017-3703-0
- Shields CL, Shields JA. Transpupillary thermotherapy for choroidal melanoma. *Curr Opin Ophthalmol.* 1999;10(3):197–203. doi:10.1097/00055735-199906000-00008
- Harbour JW. Photodynamic therapy for choroidal metastasis from carcinoid tumor. *Am J Ophthalmol.* 2004;137(6):1143–1145. doi:10.1016/j.ajo.2004.01.009
- 25. Detorakis ET, Agorogiannis G, Drakonaki EE, Tsilimbaris MK, Pallikaris IG. Successful management of choroidal metastasis with intravitreal ranibizumab injections. *Ophthalmic Surg Lasers Imaging*. 2012;43:e47–e51. doi:10.3928/15428877-20120517-03
- 26. George B, Wirostko WJ, Connor TB, Choong NW. Complete and durable response of choroid metastasis from non-small cell lung cancer with systemic bevacizumab and chemotherapy. J Thorac Oncol. 2009;4(5):661–662. doi:10.1097/JTO.0b013e31819c9a73
- Manquez ME, Brown MM, Shields CL, Shields JA. Management of choroidal metastases from breast carcinomas using aromatase inhibitors. *Curr Opin Ophthalmol.* 2006;17(3):251–256. doi:10.1097/01.icu.0000193105.22960.f6
- Rudoler SB, Corn BW, Shields CL, et al. External beam irradiation for choroid metastases: identification of factors predisposing to long-term sequelae. *Int J Radiat Oncol Biol Phys.* 1997;38 (2):251–256. doi:10.1016/s0360-3016(97)00050-3

- Riley FC. Balloon cell melanoma of the choroid. Arch Ophthalmol. 1974;92(2):131–133. doi:10.1001/archopht.1974.01010010137011
- Andreoli MT, Mieler WF, Leiderman YI. Epidemiological trends in uveal melanoma. *Br J Ophthalmol.* 2015;99(11):1550–1553. doi:10.1136/bjophthalmol-2015-306810
- Gabor LJ, Vanderstichel RV. Primary cerebral hemangiosarcoma in a 6-week-old dog. *Vet Pathol*. 2006;43(5):782–784. doi:10.1354/vp.43-5-782
- Ramulu P, Iliff NT, Green WR, Kuo IC. Asymptomatic conjunctival mucosa-associated lymphoid tissue-type lymphoma with presumed intraocular involvement. *Cornea*. 2007;26(4):484–486. doi:10.1097/ ICO.0b013e3180307667
- Lee HB, Pulido JS, Buettner H, Salomao D, Zent CS, Link TP. Intravascular B-cell lymphoma (angiotropic lymphoma) with choroidal involvement. *Arch Ophthalmol.* 2006;124(9):1357–1359. doi:10.1001/archopht.124.9.1357
- 34. Tavallali A, Shields CL, Bianciotto C, Shields JA. Choroidal lymphoma masquerading as anterior ischemic optic neuropathy. *Eur J Ophthalmol.* 2010;20(5):959–962. doi:10.1177/11206721100 2000525
- Mudhar HS, Sethuraman C, Khan MD, Jan SU. Intracular, pan-uveal intravascular large B-cell lymphoma associated with choroidal infarction and choroidal tri-lineage extramedullary haemtopoiesis. *Histopathology*. 2007;51(2):275–279. doi:10.1111/j.1365-2559.20 07.02750.x
- 36. Apte RS, Al-Abdulla NA, Green WR, et al. Systemic non-Hodgkin B-cell lymphoma encountered as a vanishing choroidal mass. *Arch Ophthalmol.* 2005;123(1):105–109. doi:10.1001/archopht.123.1.105
- Chi M, Bucher N, Gabrielian A, MacCumber MW, Zdunek T. Subcutaneous diffuse large B-cell lymphoma with intraocular involvement. *Future Oncol.* 2012;8(6):757–760. doi:10.2217/ fon.12.40

Central and CAS, and is the official journal of The Society of Clinical Ophthalmology (SCO). The manuscript management system

is completely online and includes a very quick and fair peer-review system, which is all easy to use. Visit http://www.dovepress.com/

testimonials.php to read real quotes from published authors.

#### **Clinical Ophthalmology**

**Dove**press

Publish your work in this journal

Clinical Ophthalmology is an international, peer-reviewed journal covering all subspecialties within ophthalmology. Key topics include: Optometry; Visual science; Pharmacology and drug therapy in eye diseases; Basic Sciences; Primary and Secondary eye care; Patient Safety and Quality of Care Improvements. This journal is indexed on PubMed

Submit your manuscript here: https://www.dovepress.com/clinical-ophthalmology-journal