communications biology

ARTICLE

https://doi.org/10.1038/s42003-021-01889-6

OPEN

A combinatorial action of GmMYB176 and GmbZIP5 controls isoflavonoid biosynthesis in soybean (*Glycine max*)

Arun Kumaran Anguraj Vadivel^{® 1,2}, Tim McDowell^{® 1}, Justin B. Renaud¹ & Sangeeta Dhaubhadel^{® 1,2™}

GmMYB176 is an R1 MYB transcription factor that regulates multiple genes in the isoflavonoid biosynthetic pathway, thereby affecting their levels in soybean roots. While GmMYB176 is important for isoflavonoid synthesis, it is not sufficient for the function and requires additional cofactor(s). The aim of this study was to identify the GmMYB176 interactome for the regulation of isoflavonoid biosynthesis in soybean. Here, we demonstrate that a bZIP transcription factor GmbZIP5 co-immunoprecipitates with GmMYB176 and shows protein-protein interaction *in planta*. RNAi silencing of *GmbZIP5* reduced the isoflavonoid level in soybean hairy roots. Furthermore, co-overexpression of *GmMYB176* and *GmbZIP5* enhanced the level of multiple isoflavonoid phytoallexins including glyceollin, isowighteone and a unique O-methylhydroxy isoflavone in soybean hairy roots. These findings could be utilized to develop biotechnological strategies to manipulate the metabolite levels either to enhance plant defense mechanisms or for human health benefits in soybean or other economically important crops. Check for updates

¹London Research and Development Centre, Agriculture and Agri-Food Canada, London, ON, Canada. ²Department of Biology, University of Western Ontario, London, ON, Canada. ^{Sem}email: sangeeta.dhaubhadel@canada.ca

soflavonoids are biologically active legume-specific specialized metabolites with pharmacological properties¹. They play an important role in the interaction between plants and their environment. Isoflavonoids act as chemoattractants to rhizobia and facilitate their symbiotic relationship with legume plants². In response to pest and pathogen attack, soybean plants produce isoflavonoid phytoalexins that inhibit pathogen growth and provide broad resistance against them^{3–5}.

Soybean seeds contain three main isoflavone aglycones (genistein, daidzein, and glycitein) and their corresponding glycosides and malonylglycosides. Isoflavonoids are derived from the central flavanone intermediates naringenin and liquiritigenin, which in turn are derived from tetrahydroxychalcone (naringenin chalcone) and trihydroxychalcone (isoliquiritigenin chalcone), respectively. The enzyme Chalcone synthase (CHS) is involved in the condensation of p-coumaroyl-CoA with three acetate moieties, derived from malonyl-CoA, to form naringenin chalcone, and is the first step in the branched pathway for the synthesis of flavonoids and isoflavonoids⁶. Soybean contains 14 GmCHS genes (GmCHS1-GmCHS14) that play various roles during plant development or in response to environmental stimuli^{7,8}. The members of GmCHS family show differential temporal and spatial expression. Among them, GmCHS7 and GmCHS8 are widely studied as GmCHS8 transcript abundance is directly associated with isoflavonoid levels in soybean seeds⁹. Furthermore, the transcript level of GmCHS7/GmCHS8 in seed coats determines yellow or black color soybean¹⁰.

In eukaryotes, transcriptional regulation is often mediated by multi-protein complex or the concerted action of several proteins. Such proteins are part of an interactome where members of the complex may bind DNA directly or facilitate the interaction of other proteins within the complex. For example, the interaction of bZIP and Dof transcription factors regulate GST6 and 22-kDa class of zein genes in Arabidopsis¹¹ and maize¹², respectively. The protein complex containing maize C1 and R transcription factors has been shown to regulate anthocyanin biosynthesis in Arabidopsis and tobacco¹³. Genes involved in flavonoid biosynthesis are well conserved in higher plants and are regulated by a combinatorial action of transcriptional regulatory factors expressed in temporal and spatially controlled fashion^{14,15}. The expression of early biosynthetic genes involved in flavonoid biosynthesis, such as Phenylalanine ammonia-lyase, CHS, Chalcone isomerase (CHI), Flavonol 3'-hydroxylase, Flavonol synthase (FLS) is regulated by MYB transcription factors in a coordinated manner¹⁶ while the late biosynthetic genes are regulated by an MBW ternary complex consisting of a R2R3 MYB transcription factor, a basic helix-loophelix (bHLH) transcription factor and a WD repeat protein^{17,18}. Previously we discovered that the expression of GmCHS8 and isoflavonoid biosynthesis is regulated by an R1 MYB transcription factor GmMYB176¹⁹. Using the transcriptomic and metabolomic analysis, we uncovered that GmMYB176 regulates multiple steps in isoflavonoid biosynthesis²⁰. Furthermore, detailed functional analysis of GmMYB176 revealed that it requires additional factor (s) such as another transcription factor or enhancers/repressors or a scaffold protein to activate GmCHS8 gene expression and isoflavonoid biosynthesis¹⁹.

In this study, we identified GmMYB176 interacting factors, validated their protein-protein interaction *in planta*, and determined their DNA binding activity. RNAi silencing of the GmMYB176 interacting candidates, GmbZIP4 and GmbZIP5, and overexpression of the translational fusion of GmMYB176-GmbZIP4 and GmMYB176-GmbZIP5 in soybean hairy roots identified GmbZIP5, a basic leucine zipper family protein, as an interacting partner of GmMYB176 with a role in isoflavonoid biosynthesis. Our results demonstrate that both GmMYB176 and GmbZIP5 are co-expressed in soybean roots and their combined

action is critical to activate isoflavonoid biosynthesis in soybean roots.

Results

Identification of GmMYB176-interacting proteins. To identify the GmMYB176 interactome in soybean, translational fusions of GmMYB176 with a yellow fluorescent protein (YFP) at either the N- or C-terminal (YFP-GmMYB176 or GmMYB176-YFP) were created and overexpressed in soybean hairy roots. The fusion proteins were created to use YFP as a tag in the coimmunoprecipitation (Co-IP) experiments. Despite the YFP tag position, both GmMYB176-YFP and YFP-GmMYB176 were localized to the nucleus and the cytoplasm (Fig. 1a).

GmMYB176 interacting proteins from soybean hairy roots overexpressing either GmMYB176-YFP or YFP-GmMYB176 were precipitated in two separate Co-IP experiments. The presence of the bait in the crude protein sample and in the eluate was confirmed by Western blot analysis (Fig. 1b). A total of 802 proteins were identified in the eluate of all three replicates for both GmMYB176-YFP and YFP-GmMYB176 fusion protein baits. Previously, we showed that some soybean hairy root proteins interact with YFP, and co-elute with it in Co-IP²¹. Therefore, to remove non-specific YFP interactors and to obtain GmMYB176-specific interacting candidates, YFP-interacting proteins from soybean hairy roots were subtracted from the list containing GmMYB176-YFP and YFP-GmMYB176 interacting proteins (Fig. 1c). This process identified a total of 716 candidate proteins where 105 candidates were common in both GmMYB176-YFP and YFP-GmMYB176 fusion baits (Fig. 1c, Supplementary Data 1). The candidates identified exclusively with GmMYB176-YFP (242 proteins) or YFP-GmMYB176 (369 proteins) were also included in the study as it is possible that some interactors may have been missed in one of the baits due to the position of YFP in the fusion protein. The biological activity and domain enrichment of the 716 candidate proteins were retrieved from GO annotation²², and grouped into the categories based on their biological process, cellular component, and molecular function (Fig. 1d). The biological process-flavonoid biosynthesis included four proteins, GmCHI1A, GmCHI1B1, GmCHI4A, and GmCHS14 (Fig. 1d). Since GmCHI and GmCHS are not transcription factors, we focussed our efforts on the proteins that have putative DNA binding ability. Twenty-nine putative transcription factors belonging to 21 families were retrieved from GO annotation-Biological process-Transcription, DNA-dependent. Additionally, we performed in silico analysis of 30 bp GmCHS8 promoter region (23 bp with 7 bp flanking sequence) for regulatory elements binding sites as this region is critical for GmMYB176-mediated gene expression¹⁹. This process uncovered 23 transcription factors belonging to six families (Supplementary Data 2). Comparison of candidate transcription factors obtained through these two analyses identified two transcription factor families: bZIP [Glyma.04G222200 (GmbZIP4) and Glyma.05G122400 (GmbZIP5)] and R1 MYB (Fig. 2a). As a component of the MBW ternary complex, MYB and bHLH transcription factors have been shown to regulate flavonoid biosynthesis in many plants¹⁸. Therefore, three bHLH proteins [Glyma.05G134400 (GmbHLH5), Glyma.15G005100 (GmbHLH15), and Glyma.07G205800 (GmbHLH7)] were also chosen from the Co-IP list for the validation of their proteinprotein interaction with GmMYB176.

To validate the GmMYB176 interacting candidates, bimolecular fluorescence complementation (BiFC) assay was used²³. The assay was conducted using split YFPs, where translational fusions of Nor C-terminal halves of YFP were fused with the two proteins under investigation and transiently co-expressed in *Nicotiana*



Fig. 1 Co-immunoprecipitation of GmMYB176 interacting proteins from soybean hairy roots. a Subcellular localization of GmMYB176-YFP and YFP-GmMYB176 in hairy roots. Both GmMYB176-YFP and YFP-GmMYB176 fusion proteins were localized in the nucleus and the cytoplasm of soybean hairy root cells as observed by confocal microscopy. Scale bar = 50 μm. b Crude protein extracts were subjected for Co-IP assay using anti-GFP microbeads and μMAC epitope tag protein isolation system. Samples from each step were separated on an SDS-PAGE and visualized by silver staining (top gel). The bottom image shows Western blot analysis using anti-GFP monoclonal antibody. The arrow indicates the estimated size of GmMYB176-YFP protein in the eluate. Crude: crude protein extract from soybean hairy roots; Flow through: crude extract incubated with anti-GFP microbeads and applied to μcolumn, with the flow through collected; Wash: sequential wash steps with lysis buffer; Eluate: elution of bound proteins from the column; -ve control: crude extract from control hairy roots. **c** Venn diagram showing the overlap of GmMYB176-YFP, YFP-GmMYB176, and YFP-only interacting candidate proteins in soybean hairy roots identified by LC-MS/MS analysis. The YFP interacting protein candidates were obtained from our previous study²¹. **d** 'GO' annotations of the 716 candidate GmMYB176-interacting proteins. List of soybean genes encoding the candidate proteins was used in PhytoMine²² to generate annotations regarding the biological process, cellular component, and the molecular function of the candidates.

benthamiana leaves. As shown in Fig. 2b, the BiFC assay confirmed that GmMYB176 interacts with GmbZIP4, GmbZIP5, GmbHLH5, and GmbHLH15 in the nucleus. However, no interaction was observed between GmMYB176 and GmbHLH7 *in planta*. We previously demonstrated that GmMYB176 is a phosphoprotein and its phosphorylation state determines protein–protein interaction²⁴. Therefore, protein–protein interaction using the phospho-site mutant of GmMYB176, GmMYB176S29A, was also performed.

The results demonstrated that GmMYB176S29A interacts with GmbZIP4 and GmbZIP5 in the nucleus. Based on the intensity of fluorescence, the interaction of GmMYB176S29A with GmbZIP4 and GmbZIP5 appeared stronger compared to their interaction with GmMYB176 (Fig. 2b). Furthermore, GmMYB176S29A did not interact with GmbHLH5 and GmbHLH15 *in planta*.

GmMYB176 interactor(s) involved in *GmCHS8* gene activation must possess the ability to bind *GmCHS8* promoter. Therefore,



Fig. 2 GmMYB176-interacting transcription factors and their DNA binding activity. a GmMYB176- transcription factors obtained by co-IP assay GO annotation "Biological process" was retrieved and compared with the list of transcription factors obtained from *in silico* analysis of 30 bp *GmCHS8* promoter fragment (Supplementary Table 2) using a Venn diagram. **b** Protein-protein interactions of GmMYB176 and GmMYB176529A *in planta* with candidate transcription factors obtained by Co-IP. The interaction between the proteins was assayed by co-expression of translational fusions of candidate proteins with N-terminal (YN) and C-terminal (YC) halves of YFP. The proximity of the two fragments results in a functional fluorophore. The fluorescence indicates the presence and location of the interaction between GmMYB176 or GmMYB176S29A with the candidate transcription factors. Fluorescent intensity parameters were kept constant in all images. Scale bars = 50 μm. **c** *GmCHS8* promoter (30 bp fragment) binding activity of GmMYB176 interacting candidates. Yeast cells carrying 30 bp *GmCHS8* tandem repeats (30 bpTR) as a bait, were transformed with prey constructs fused to a GAL4 activation domain. Growth on SD lacking leucine and in the presence of Aureobasidin A (SD/-Leu/AbA) shows the activation of the reporter and indicates DNA binding activity. As a negative control, pGADT7 vector only was used. **d** Tissue-specific expression pattern of *GmMYB176, GmbZIP4,* and *GmbZIP5* in soybean. RNA-seq data across different tissues were extracted from soybean whole genome database in Phytozome (https://phytozome.jgi.doe.gov/pz/ portal.html#linfo?alias=Org_Gmax) and a heatmap was constructed. Numbers to the right indicate the maximum value of fragments per kilobase of million mapped reads (FPKM). The color scale indicates expression values, green indicating low transcript abundance, and red indicating high levels of transcript abundance.

the GmMYB176 interactors validated *in planta* were assessed for their DNA binding ability using 30 bp tandem repeat of *GmCHS8* promoter (GmCHS8-30bpTR) in a yeast one-hybrid (Y1H) assay. The result revealed that both GmbZIP4 and GmbZIP5 bind with GmCHS8-30bpTR (Fig. 2c, Table 1). Even though GmbHLH5 and GmbHLH15 showed protein–protein interaction with GmMYB176, they lacked GmCHS8-30bpTR binding activity. GmMYB176 and empty prey vector (pGAD7) were used as positive and negative controls, respectively. Since relatively stronger protein–protein interaction was observed between GmMYB176S29A and GmbZIP4/GmbZIP5 compared to GmMYB176 and GmbZIP4/GmbZIP5, we also examined the DNA binding ability of GmMYB176S29A and discovered that the DNA binding activity of GmMYB176 does not depend on its phosphorylation state. Based on the protein–protein and protein–DNA interactions (Fig. 2, Table 1), we conclude that

Candidate	Glyma Id	In planta interaction with GmMYB176	Binding to GmCHS8-30 bp promoter fragment
GmMYB176	Glyma.05G032200.1.p	Yes (homo-dimer)	Yes
GmMYB176S29A	Glyma.05G032200.1.p	Yes (homo-dimer)	Yes
GmbZIP4	Glyma.04G222200.1.p	Yes	Yes
GmbZIP5	Glyma.05G122400.1.p	Yes	Yes
GmbHLH5	Glyma.05G134400.1.p	Yes	No
GmbHLH7	Glyma.07G205800.1.p	No	nd
GmbHLH15	Glyma.15G005100.1.p	Yes	No

Table 1 Protein-protein interaction and protein-DNA binding activities of GmMYB176 interactome

GmMYB176 transcriptional complex contains GmbZIP4 and/or GmbZIP5 for *GmCHS8* gene regulation. Investigation of tissue-specific expression of *GmbZIP4*, *GmbZIP5*, and *GmMYB176* revealed that they are co-expressed in roots (Fig. 2d, Supplementary Fig. 1).

RNAi silencing of GmbZIP5 reduces isoflavonoid accumulation in hairy roots. To determine if GmbZIP4 and/or GmbZIP5 regulate isoflavonoid biosynthesis, RNAi silencing of both the genes was carried out independently in soybean hairy roots. Transgenic hairy roots were collected from multiple independent transgenic events and combined into several different groups. Each replicate was a group of transgenic soybean hairy roots. Silencing of the target genes GmbZIP4 and GmbZIP5 in multiple transgenic hairy roots compared to control tissues were assessed by comparing their transcript levels in GmbZIP4-Si and GmbZIP5-Si, respectively (Fig. 3a). Metabolite extractions were carried out from the same tissues, and total isoflavonoid levels were compared with the control roots. The results revealed that silencing of GmbZIP5 significantly reduced total isoflavonoid level in GmbZIP5-Si roots compared to the controls (Fig. 3b). However, no change in the total isoflavonoid level was observed in GmbZIP4-Si roots.

GmMYB176-GmbZIP5 complex is crucial for isoflavonoid biosynthesis in soybean roots. Previously, we showed that overexpression of GmMYB176 was not able to increase isoflavonoid level in soybean hairy roots¹⁹. Since GmbZIP4 and GmbZIP5 proteins interacted with the 30 bp GmCHS8 promoter fragment, we generated two overexpression constructs where GmMYB176 was translationally fused with GmbZIP4 (GmMYB176-GmbZIP4) or GmbZIP5 (GmMYB176-GmbZIP5) using an 18 bp linker DNA (5'-AGCA-CAACATTTCAACCA-3')²⁵, and expressed them in soybean hairy roots. Overexpression of GmMYB176, GmbZIP4, and GmbZIP5 in GmMYB176-GmbZIP4 and GmMYB176-GmbZIP5 hairy roots were verified by comparing their expression levels with control roots (Fig. 3c, Supplementary Fig. 2). Analysis of isoflavonoid levels in GmMYB176-GmbZIP4 and GmMYB176-GmbZIP5 hairy roots (n = 10) revealed a significant increase in isoflavonoid accumulation in GmMYB176-GmbZIP5 tissues compared to the control hairy roots. No change in isoflavonoid level was observed in GmMYB176-GmbZIP4 hairy roots (Fig. 3d). These results clearly demonstrate that GmbZIP5 is an interacting partner of GmMYB176 for the regulation of isoflavonoid biosynthesis in soybean roots.

To identify metabolites affected by the GmMYB176–GmbZIP5 protein complex, a metabolomic analysis of GmMYB176–GmbZIP5 overexpressing roots were performed, and compared with the control roots using high-resolution mass spectrometry. A total of 8819 and 5508 metabolite features were identified in ESI+ and ESI- modes, respectively (Supplementary Data 3). Of the differentially accumulated metabolite features ($|log_2|$ fold change >1.0; p < 0.01), seven features that corresponded to isoflavonoids were accumulated

at 3 to 20 fold higher levels in GmMYB176-GmbZIP5 roots compared to the control (Table 2). Phytoalexin glyceollin and isowighteone levels were 12.7 and 4.7 times higher in GmMYB176-GmbZIP5 roots compared to control suggesting the role of GmMYB176-GmbZIP5 protein complex in disease resistance. We also observed 20.2× higher accumulation of an unknown O-methylhydroxy isoflavone in GmMYB176-GmbZIP5 roots and 5.1 and 4.6× higher accumulation of its glucosyl and malonlyglucosyl conjugates, respectively. This O-methylhydroxy isoflavone has a m/z that corresponds to a chemical formula of C17H14O5. Additionally, MS/MS of this compound revealed a neutral loss of 15.0235, indicative of an O-methyl group. Both of these characteristics coincide with the known compounds afrormosin and alfalone. Afrormosin is a O-methylhydroxy isoflavone found in soybean leaves that are reported to be involved in insect resistance in soybean²⁶. The retention time of the O-methylhydroxy isoflavone here was similar to that of afrormosin and the major fragmentation pathway of both compounds was the neutral loss of ·CH₃. However, the major difference was the relative product intensity vis-a-vis the precursor ion (Supplementary Fig. 3). When compared to aflalone, the relative product ion intensity was also dissimilar, as was the retention time. Therefore, although structurally similar, the differentially expressed isoflavonoid found in this study is not afrormosin nor aflalone.

Discussion

We previously discovered that GmMYB176 regulates isoflavonoid biosynthesis by activating *GmCHS8* gene expression¹⁹. Furthermore, we demonstrated SGF14 proteins (14-3-3) bind with the phosphorylated GmMYB176 and regulate its shuttling from cytoplasm to the nucleus²⁴. The phospho-mutant GmMYB176S29A was unable to interact with SGF14s and localized to the nucleus. Despite that GmMYB176 is necessary for isoflavonoid biosynthesis in soybean roots, it alone is not sufficient for this function¹⁹. Our main objective in this study was to identify the GmMYB176 interactome and delineate their role in isoflavonoid biosynthesis. Here, we discovered that the unphosphorylated GmMYB176 (GmMYB176S29A) possesses DNA binding activity, interacts with GmbZIP5 in the nucleus (Fig. 2b, c), and that this interaction is critical for isoflavonoid biosynthesis in soybean roots.

Activation of gene transcription by the unphosphorylated transcription factors has been previously reported²⁷. In Arabidopsis, three bHLH transcription factors (AKS1, AKS2, and AKS3) in their unphosphorylated state, activate the genes for stomatal opening²⁸. Similar to GmMYB176, both phosphorylated and unphosphorylated forms of human Forkhead box O3 (FOXO3) transcription factor bind to the target promoter; however, only the unphosphorylated FOXO3 serves as the activator²⁹. Since both GmMYB176 and GmMYB176S29A are able to bind to the *GmCHS8* promoter (Fig. 2b), it is not yet known if the transcriptional complex for its regulation contains phosphorylated or unphosphorylated state of GmMYB176. However, the



Fig. 3 Effect of *GmbZIP* silencing and overexpression of *GmMYB176-GmbZIP* fusion complex on isoflavonoid level in soybean hairy roots. a Accumulation of *GmbZIP4* and *GmbZIP5* transcripts in multiple independent pools of transgenic or control hairy root samples determined by quantitative (q) RT-PCR. Values were normalized against the *CONS4* reference gene. **b** Effect of RNAi silencing of *GmbZIP4* (GmbZIP4-Si) or *GmbZIP5* (GmbZIP5-Si) on isoflavonoid content using hairy roots. Control indicates untransformed hairy roots. Data correspond to mean isoflavonoid levels in ten biological replicates. The asterisk (*) denotes statistically significant expression (one-tail *t* test, p < 0.0001). **c** Schematic diagram showing *GmMYB176-GmbZIP4* and *GmMYB176-GmbZIP5* gene fusion for overexpression in soybean hairy roots. Expression levels of the gene fusion were determined by qRT-PCR in multiple independent pools of control or transgenic roots normalized against the reference gene *CONS4*. Control indicates untransformed hairy roots. **d** Effect of overexpression of GmMYB176-GmbZIP5 or isoflavonoid levels. Data correspond to mean isoflavonoid levels in ten biological replicates. The asterisk (*) denotes statistically significant expression (one-tail *t* test, p < 0.001).

strength of YFP signal in the protein-protein interaction between GmbZIP5 and GmMYB176S29A (Fig. 2c) suggests the possibility of unphosphorylated GmMYB176 as the activator. Among the GmMYB176 interactors obtained from the Co-IP experiment, several protein kinase and phosphatase family members were

detected (Supplementary Data 1) and 29 candidates were categorized as "Transcription, DNA-dependent" by GO annotation. We recently reported that alteration in GmMYB176 expression leads to a substantial alteration in metabolite production stretching beyond the phenylpropanoid pathway in soybean hairy

i able 2 Differentially accumulated isofiavon	ola teatures in GmM1	70m9-9/19	IPS overexpressing soybean hairy roo	its compared to control roots.	
Potential metabolite	z/m	RT	Fold change (GmMYB176-GmbZIP5/ control)	Average intensity in GmMYB176-GmbZIP5	Average intensity in control
Naringenin glucoside (in source fragment)	273.0755075	2.37	3.0	46716022.35	14154732.97
Glyceollin	339.1225584	3.50	12.7	181487856.6	15409426.1
Malonyl glycitin	533.1287637	2.63	3.8	25108921.63	6483821.772
O-methylhydroxy isoflavone	299.0911639	3.33	20.2	192451294.2	8518735.565
O-methylhydroxy isoflavone glucoside	461.1439575	2.52	5.1	14178362.64	2973863.244
O-methylhydroxy isoflavone malonylglucoside	547.1442021	2.96	4.6	58927604.14	13300938.52
^a lsowighteone	337.1081469	3.10	4.7	4811638.39	1029492.57
m/z mass to charge ratio, RT retention time.					

roots²⁰. Therefore, the possibility of multiple GmMYB176 transcriptional complexes for the regulation of isoflavonoid biosynthetic pathway or pathways beyond isoflavonoids cannot be ruled out.

Overexpression of GmMYB176 increases the level of only a single (iso) flavonoid precursor, liquiritigenin, suggesting that other isoflavonoid genes were not activated by GmMYB176 alone²⁰. RNAi silencing of GmbZIP5 and co-overexpression of *GmMYB176–GmbZIP5* altered isoflavonoid levels demonstrating a direct influence of GmbZIP5 on isoflavonoid biosynthesis in soybean hairy roots (Fig. 3b, d). Plant bZIPs bind to specific promoter region that contains an ACGT core, such as A-box, Cbox, G-box, hybrid C/G-box, or C/A-box motifs³⁰⁻³². Genetic and biochemical analyses in multiple plant species have indicated that bZIP transcription factors act predominantly in stimulusdependent gene activation^{33,34}. There are related flavonoid pathways in other plant species that are synergistically regulated by MYB and bZIP partners. Combinatorial action of a bZIP and a R2R3 MYB factors regulates the light-dependent transcription of the early flavonoid biosynthesis genes such as CHS, CHI, and FLS^{33,35}. Both tissue-specific and stress-responsive expression of the French bean CHS15 expression is regulated by MYB and bZIP-type factors^{36,37}. A synergistic regulation of CHS gene by a bZIP factor and an LKDKW type R1 MYB, PcMYB, was reported long ago³⁸, however, GmMYB176 is a SHAQKYF type R1 MYB. Involvement of a transcriptional complex involving and a bZIP and a SHAQKYF type R1 MYB transcription factors has been reported in barley for endosperm-specific gene expression³⁹. Nonetheless, the presence of such a complex and its role in plant specialized metabolism was unknown. This is the first evidence of association of a SHAQKYF type R1 MYB and a bZIP (GmMYB176-GmbZIP5) in plant specialized metabolism. The GmCHS8 promoter contains 12 GmMYB176 binding sites and 5 predicted bZIP binding sites. Despite the presence of multiple GmMYB176 and bZIP binding regions, only the deletion of a 23 bp motif-containing GmMYB176 binding site with a predicted bZIP binding motif within GmCHS8 alters the promoter activity¹⁹. The increase in total isoflavonoid level in the hairy roots overexpressing both GmMYB176 and GmbZIP5 in the present study confirms that GmbZIP5 is the interacting partner of GmMYB176 (Fig. 3d). Furthermore, co-expression of GmMYB176 and GmbZIP5 in soybean roots (Fig. 2d) suggests that GmMYB176-GmbZIP5 complex possibly regulates phytoalexin biosynthesis in soybean roots.

The metabolomics analysis of soybean hairy roots overexpressing GmMYB176-GmbZIP5 revealed an increase in the accumulation of multiple isoflavonoids such as glyceollins, isowighteone, and O-methylhydroxy isoflavones with confirmed or possible roles in plant defense (Table 2). Figure 4 illustrates the proposed biosynthetic pathways of these metabolites where substrate flux is tightly controlled to produce the end products in the pathway. Glyceollins are phytoalexins with key established roles in soybean defense mechanism^{40,41} and human health benefits⁴². They are synthesized de novo from the isoflavone daidzein in response to biotic or abiotic stress, and are induced rapidly in the resistant soybean genotypes compared to the susceptible ones^{43,44}. Even though, isowighteone, a 3'-prenylgenistein has been found in some plant species with antimicrobial activity^{45–47}, its presence in soybean was not reported prior to this study. A considerably higher accumulation of O-methylhydroxy isoflavone and its conjugates were also observed in GmMYB176-GmbZIP5 roots. O-methylhydroxy isoflavones such as afrormosin and alfalone have been shown to accumulate in Medicago truncatula cell cultures at increased levels upon elicitation⁴⁸. Accumulation of afrormosin has also been linked with insect resistance in soybean²⁶. The O-methylhydroxy isoflavone found in this study



Fig. 4 Overexpression of *GmMYB176-GmbZIP5* **upregulates isoflavonoid biosynthesis.** Multiple arrows indicate multiple steps in the pathway and dotted arrows indicate speculated steps. PAL phenylalanine ammonia-lyase, C4H cinnamate-4-hydroxylate, 4CL 4-coumarate-CoA-ligase, CHS chalcone synthase, CHR chalcone reductase, CHI chalcone isomerase, IFS 2-hydroxyisoflavanone synthase, 2HID 2-hydroxyisoflavanone dehydratase, IOMT isoflavone O-methyltransferase, UGT uridine diphosphate glycosyltransferase, MT malonyltransferase. This pathway is adopted and modified²⁰. The blue highlighted metabolites are accumulated at higher level in *GmMYB176-GmbZIP5* overexpression lines compared to control.

is a different metabolite and not afrormosin or alfalone (Supplementary Fig. 2). The dominant fragmentation pathway of both afrormosin and aflalone is the neutral loss of ·CH₃. As the only structural difference between these two compounds is relative positions of the hydroxy and O-methyl groups, the energetics of this fragmentation process are also similar, and thus, the intensity of the m/z 284.06 product ion relative to the precursor ion are nearly identical (Supplementary Fig. 2). In contrast to both afrormosin and aflalone, the methylhydroxy isoflavone of this study has a much higher product ion intensity relative to the precursor ion. This was confirmed by carefully performing the MS/MS experiment at multiple collision energies. Relative intensities of product ions are linked to the energetics and entropics of the specific unimolecular dissociation⁴⁹. The increased intensity of the m/z 284.04 product ion of the methylhydroxy isoflavone found in this study suggests that although it is highly structurally similar to afrormosin and aflalone, there is likely a key, positional difference. Its similarity to afrormosin or alfalone suggests its role in plant defense and the fact that it and its conjugates are at substantially higher levels in GmMYB176-GmbZIP5 roots compared to controls necessitates the discovery of the role of this specialized metabolite in the plant.

Taken together, we show here that a combined action of GmMYB176–GmbZIP5 is critical to activate isoflavonoid biosynthesis in soybean roots. This study also reports the identification of the three unique isoflavonoids—O-methylhydroxy isoflavone, glucosyl O-methylhydroxy isoflavone, and malonyl glucosyl O-methylhydroxy isoflavone in soybean roots. Since root isoflavonoids affect nodulation and resistance against diseases due to soil-borne pathogens such as *P. sojae*, identification of the structure and function of the O-methylhydroxy isoflavone identified in this study may provide information on novel biological or biochemical phenomena. Our findings could be utilized to develop biotechnological or traditional breeding strategies to manipulate isoflavonoid levels either for the enhancement of nutritional value or for protection against plant diseases in soybean or other agronomical important crops.

Methods

Plant materials and growth conditions. *Nicotiana benthamiana* plants were grown in Pro-Mix BX MycorrhizaeTM soil (Riviere-du-Loup, Canada) under 16-h light at 25 °C and 8-h dark at 20 °C cycles with 60–70% relative humidity and the light intensity of 80 µmol photons/m²/s⁵⁰.

To obtain soybean cotyledons for hairy root transformation, soybean (*Glycine max* L. Merr.) cv. Harosoy63 seeds were surface sterilized with 70% ethanol (v/v) containing 3% H_2O_2 (v/v) for 2 min and then rinsed with sterile water prior to planting. Seeds were planted in vermiculite and grown for 6 days in a growth chamber under the condition described earlier.

Plasmid construction. All plasmid constructions were performed using Gateway technology (Invitrogen, USA). For the PPI study, genes of interest (GOI) were cloned into Gateway destination vectors, pEarleyGate201-YN and pEarleyGate202-YC⁵¹. For Co-IP, the GmMYB176 was cloned into pEarleyGate101 and pEarleyGate104⁵² to obtain pEG101-GmMYB176-YFP and pEG104-YFP-GmMYB176, respectively. For RNAi, *GmbZIP* genes were cloned into pK7GWIWG2D (II). For overexpression, *GmMYB176-GmbZIP* gene fusion was created using an 18 bp linker (AGCACAA CATTTCAACCA) by fusion PCR using the primers listed in Supplementary Data 4. The PCR products were cloned into the Gateway vector pK7WG2D. All the plasmid constructs were transformed individually into *Agrobacterium tumefaciens* GV3101 by electroporation.

For Y1H assay, 30 bp *GmCHS8* promoter fragments in three tandem repeats (107 bp) were synthesized (Supplementary Table 4) and cloned into a pAbAi vector to obtain p30bpTR-AbAi. The prey GOI were cloned into pGADT7 using Gateway technology (Invitrogen, USA).

Generation of soybean hairy roots. Six-day-old soybean cotyledons were harvested for hairy root generation. *A. rhizogenes* K599 containing GOI in destination vector was inoculated into soybean cotyledons⁵³. Transgenic hairy roots were selected 20–30 days post inoculation, using a Leica MZ FL III fluorescence stereo microscope with a YFP filter (excitation 510/520 nm; barrier filter 560/540 nm) and flash frozen and stored at -80 °C until use.

Subcellular localization and BiFC assay. The leaves of 4–6 week old *N. ben-thamiana* plants were infiltrated with *A. tumefaciens* culture containing GOI in the appropriate destination vectors⁵⁴. To verify PPI, constructs in pEarleyGate201-geneA and pEarleyGate202-geneB were co-transformed in a 1:1 mixture. Confocal microscopy was carried out 48 h post infiltration using a Leica TCS SP2 inverted confocal microscope. The excitation and emission of YFP were 514 nm and 530–560 nm, respectively.

Protein extraction, Co-IP assay, *in-gel* **digestion, and LC-MS/MS**. Proteins were extracted from soybean hairy roots overexpressing GmMYB176-YFP or YFP-GmMYB176, and co-IP was performed as previously described²¹. The GmMYB176-YFP and YFP-GmMYB176 fusion proteins were identified by Western blot analysis after sequential incubation of the blot with the Living Colors® A. v. (anti-GFP) Monoclonal Antibody (Clontech, USA) at a dilution of 1:3000 followed by the HRP-conjugated goat anti-mouse secondary antibody (Pierce, USA) at a dilution of 1:5000. HRP detection was performed using Super Signal West Femto (Thermo Scientific, Canada).

The protein eluates (1 µg) were separated by SDS-PAGE followed by silver staining using ProteoSilver kit (Sigma, USA). Protein bands were excised from gels and destained with 30 mM K₃[Fe(CN)₆] and 100 mM Na₂S₂O₃ solution followed by in-gel trypsin digestion using a MassPREP automated digester station (PerkinElmer, USA). Peptides were extracted using a buffer containing 20 mM Tris-HCl (pH 7.5), 150 mM NaCl, and analyzed by LC–MS/MS (Waters NanoAcquity UPLC coupled with Thermo Orbitrap Elite ETD) in Biological Mass Spectrometry Laboratory (London Regional Proteomics Centre, Canada). MS/MS data were analyzed by MassLynx 4.1 software with Mascot (http://www.matrixscience.com) and compared against the soybean protein database in Phytozome^{22,55} with parameters of monoisotopic peptide mass adopted, mass window ranged from 1 kDa to 100 kDa, mass tolerance set as 50 ppm and allowance of one missed cleavage. Proteomics data were deposited to the ProteomeXchange Consortium via the PRIDE⁵⁶ partner repository with the dataset identifier PXD023931.

Y1H assay. Y1H assays were carried out by following the Matchmaker* Gold Yeast One-Hybrid Library Screening System User Manual (Clontech, USA). The recombinant plasmid p30bpTR-AbAi was transformed into yeast (Y1H Gold strain) using the Yeastmaker[™] Yeast Transformation System 2 (Clontech, USA) and grown on SD/-Ura media at 30 °C for 3 days. The transformed colonies were screened by colony PCR using Matchmaker Insert Check PCR Mix 1 (Clontech, USA). Y1H assays were performed by following the Matchmaker Y1H user manual (Clontech, USA). The minimum inhibitory concentration of aeurobasidin A (AbAi) for yeasts carrying 30bpTR promoter bait was 150 ng/mL. The prey constructs (pGADT7-GOI) were transformed into yeast carrying a promoter bait fragment, plated on SD/-Leu/AbAi150, and incubated at 30 °C for 3–5 days.

Quantitative RT-PCR analysis. RNA was isolated from soybean hairy roots using RNeasy Plant Mini Kit (Qiagen, USA). Total RNA (1 μ g) was used for cDNA synthesis using the ThermoScriptTM RT-PCR Systems (Invitrogen, USA). Gene-specific primer sequences for qPCR are listed in Supplementary Data 4. All reactions were performed in three technical replicates, and the expression was normalized to the reference gene $CONS4^{57}$. The data were analyzed using Bio-Rad CFX Maestro (Bio-Rad, USA) (Supplementary Data 5).

Metabolite extraction, HPLC, and LC-MS/MS analysis. Total isoflavonoid extraction and HPLC analysis from soybean hairy roots were performed as previously described⁹. For metabolomics analysis, frozen hairy roots were ground with liquid nitrogen and extracted in methanol:water (80:20, v/v). The samples were sonicated on an ice water bath for 15 min followed by centrifugation at 11,000 × *g* for 10 min at ambient temperature. The supernatant (350 µL) was dried under nitrogen gas. The dried pellet was dissolved in 200 µL of 50% methanol containing 10 µg caffeine as an internal standard and filtered through a 0.45 µm syringe filter (Millipore, United States).

Samples (5 µL) were injected to an Agilent 1290 HPLC coupled to a Q-Exactive Quadrupole Orbitrap mass spectrometer (ThermoFisher Scientific, United States) for high-resolution LC-MS analysis as described previously with some modification²⁰. Heated electrospray ionization (HESI) conditions used are as follows; spray voltage, 3.9 kV (HESI+), -3.5 kV (HESI-); capillary temperature, 400 °C; probe heater temperature, 450 °C; sheath gas, 17 arbitrary units; auxiliary gas, eight arbitrary units; and S-Lens RF level, 45. Single stage, full MS at 140,000 resolutions, full mass scans between the range of m/z 100 to 1000 in both positive and negation ionization were used for differential analysis. Automatic gain control (AGC) target and maximum injection time (IT) were 5 × 10⁵ and 512 ms, respectively. For metabolite feature identification, datadependent acquisition (DDA) mode experiments were used for a representative sample from each treatment. The DDA methods used identical HESI conditions and comprised of a full MS scan at 17,500 resolution between m/z range of 100 to 1000, AGC target of 1×10^6 and maximum IT of 64 ms. The top 15 most intense ions above a threshold of 1×10^4 were sequentially selected for MS/MS using a 1.2 m/z isolation window, normalized collision energy (NCE) of 35, and excluded from MS/MS for 5 s. Compounds were identified as previously described²⁰. For the metabolomics analysis and alignment of the detected peaks, the XCMS package in R was used as described by Gracia et al.⁵⁸ with the addition of diffreport-method to create a summary report. Compounds that showed differential accumulation were chosen for further identification through Xcalibur software.

Statistics and reproducibility. Statistical analyses were performed using Microsoft Office Excel. Values were expressed as means \pm standard error (SE). Statistically significant between two samples were determined by comparing means using Student's *t* test (one-tail, unpaired) with *P* < 0.01. All experiments were performed at least four times with similar results.

Reporting summary. Further information on research design is available in the Nature Research Reporting Summary linked to this article.

Data availability

All data generated or analyzed during this study are included in this published article either in the Source Data file, via respective repository entry, or Supplementary Information files and are available from the corresponding author on reasonable request and are available from the corresponding author on reasonable request. The metabolomics LC–MS data can be accessed from Metabolomics workbench study ST001634 (https://www.metabolomicsworkbench.org/data/DRCCMetadata.php? Mode=Study&StudyID=ST001634&StudyType=MS&ResultType=5). The Co-IP mass spectrometry (MS) proteomics data have been deposited to the ProteomeXchange Consortium via the PRIDE partner repository (Identifier PXD023931).

Received: 3 September 2020; Accepted: 19 February 2021; Published online: 19 March 2021

References

- Lapcik, O. Isoflavonoids in non-leguminous taxa: a rarity or a rule? *Phytochemistry* 68, 2909–2916 (2007).
- Ferguson, J. A. & Mathesius, U. Signalling interactions during nodule development. J. Plant Growth Regul. 22, 47–72 (2003).
- Aoki, T., Akashi, T. & Ayabe, S. Flavonoids of leguminous plants: structure, biological activity, and biosynthesis. J. Plant Res. 113, 475–488 (2000).
- Dixon, R. A. et al. The phenylpropanoid pathway and plant defence—a genomics perspective. *Mol. Plant Pathol.* 3, 371–390 (2002).
- Graham, M. Y. & Graham, T. L. Wound associated competency factors are required for the proximal cell responses of soybean to the *Phytophthora sojae* wall glucan elicitor. *Plant Physiol.* **105**, 571–578 (1994).
- Hahlbrock, K. & Scheel, D. Physiology and molecular biology of phenylpropanoid metabolism. Annu. Rev. Plant Biol. 40, 347–369 (1989).
- Anguraj Vadivel, A. K., Krysiak, K., Tian, G. & Dhaubhadel, S. Genome-wide identification and localization of chalcone synthase family in soybean (Glycine max [L]Merr). *BMC Plant Biol.* 18, 325 (2018).
- Yi, J., Derynck, M. R., Chen, L. & Dhaubhadel, S. Differential expression of CHS7 and CHS8 genes in soybean. Planta 231, 741–753 (2010).
- Dhaubhadel, S., Gijzen, M., Moy, P. & Farhangkhoee, M. Transcriptome analysis reveals a critical role of CHS7 and CHS8 genes for isoflavonoid synthesis in soybean seeds. *Plant Physiol.* 143, 326–338 (2007).
- Tuteja, J. H., Clough, S. J., Chan, W.-C. & Vodkin, L. O. Tissue-specific gene silencing mediated by a naturally occurring chalcone synthase gene cluster in *Glycine max. Plant Cell* 16, 819–835 (2004).
- Chen, W., Chao, G. & Singh, K. B. The promoter of a H₂O₂-inducible, Arabidopsis glutathione S-transferase gene contains closely linked OBF- and OBP1-binding sites. *Plant J.* 10, 955–966 (1996).
- Vicente-Carbajosa, J., Moose, S. P., Parsons, R. L. & Schmidt, R. J. A maize zinc-finger protein binds the prolamin box in *zein* gene promoters and interacts with the basic leucine zipper transcriptional activator Opaque2. *Proc. Natl Acad. Sci. USA* 94, 7685–7690 (1997).
- Lloyd, A., Walbot, V. & Davis, R. Arabidopsis and Nicotiana anthocyanin production activated by maize regulators R and C1. *Science* 258, 1773–1775 (1992).
- Lepiniec, L. et al. Genetics and biochemistry of seed flavonoids. Annu. Rev. Plant Biol. https://doi.org/10.1146/annurev.arplant.57.032905.105252 (2006).
- Xu, W., Dubos, C. & Lepiniec, L. Transcriptional control of flavonoid biosynthesis by MYB-bHLH-WDR complexes. *Trends Plant Sci.* 20, 176–185 (2015).
- Stracke, R. et al. Differential regulation of closely related R2R3-MYB transcription factors controls flavonol accumulation in different parts of the *Arabidopsis thaliana* seedling. *Plant J.* 50, 660–677 (2007).
- Xu, W. et al. Complexity and robustness of the flavonoid transcriptional regulatory network revealed by comprehensive analyses of MYB-bHLH-WDR complexes and their targets in Arabidopsis seed. *New Phytol.* 202, 132–144 (2014).
- Ramsay, N. A. & Glover, B. J. MYB-bHLH-WD40 protein complex and the evolution of cellular diversity. *Trends Plant Sci.* 10, 63–70 (2005).
- Yi, J. et al. A single-repeat MYB transcription factor, GmMYB176, regulates CHS8 gene expression and affects isoflavonoid biosynthesis in soybean. *Plant* J. 62, 1019–1034 (2010).
- Anguraj Vadivel, A. K., Renaud, J., Kagale, S. & Dhaubhadel, S. GmMYB176 regulates multiple steps in isoflavonoid biosynthesis in soybean. *Front. Plant Sci.* https://doi.org/10.3389/fpls.2019.00562 (2019).
- Dastmalchi, M., Bernards, M. A. & Dhaubhadel, S. Twin anchors of the soybean isoflavonoid metabolon: evidence for tethering of the complex to the endoplasmic reticulum by IFS and C4H. *Plant J.* 85, 689–706 (2016).
- Goodstein, D. M. et al. Phytozome: a comparative platform for green plant genomics. Nucleic Acids Res. 40, D1178–D1186 (2012).
- Bracha-Drori, K. et al. Detection of protein-protein interactions in plants using bimolecular fluorescence complementation. *Plant J.* 40, 419–427 (2004).
- Li, X., Chen, L. & Dhaubhadel, S. 14-3-3 proteins regulate the intracellular localization of the transcriptional activator GmMYB176 and affect isoflavonoid synthesis in soybean. *Plant J.* 71, 239–250 (2012).
- Jimenez-Gonzalez, A. S., Fernandez, N., Martinez-Salas, E. & Sanchez de Jimenez, E. Functional and structural analysis of maize hsp101 IRES. *PLoS* ONE 9, e107459 (2014).
- Caballero, P., Smith, C. M., Fronczek, F. R. & Fischer, N. H. Isoflavones from an insect-resistant variety of soybean and the molecular structure of afrormosin. J. Nat. Prod. 49, 1126–1129 (1986).
- Kim, T. W. et al. Brassinosteroid signal transduction from cell-surface receptor kinases to nuclear transcription factors. *Nat. Cell Biol.* 11, 1254–1260 (2009).
- Takahashi, Y. et al. bHLH transcription factors that facilitate K(+) uptake during stomatal opening are repressed by abscisic acid through phosphorylation. *Sci. Signal.* 6, ra48 (2013).

ARTICLE

- Li, Z. et al. Serine 574 phosphorylation alters transcriptional programming of FOXO3 by selectively enhancing apoptotic gene expression. *Cell Death Differ.* 23, 583–595 (2016).
- Song, Y. H. et al. DNA-binding study identifies C-box and hybrid C/G-box or C/A-box motifs as high-affinity binding sites for STF1 and LONG HYPOCOTYL5 proteins. *Plant Physiol.* 146, 1862–1877 (2008).
- 31. Jakoby, M. et al. bZIP transcription factors in Arabidopsis. *Trends Plant Sci.* 7, 106–111 (2002).
- 32. Izawa, T., Foster, R. & Chua, N. H. Plant bZIP protein DNA binding specificity. J. Mol. Biol. 230, 1131–1144 (1993).
- Hartmann, U., Sagasser, M., Mehrtens, F., Stracke, R. & Weisshaar, B. Differential combinatorial interactions of cis-acting elements recognized by R2R3-MYB, BZIP, and BHLH factors control light-responsive and tissuespecific activation of phenylpropanoid biosynthesis genes. *Plant Mol. Biol.* 57, 155–171 (2005).
- 34. Weisshaar, B. & Jenkins, G. I. Phenylpropanoid biosynthesis and its regulation. *Curr. Opin. Plant Biol.* 1, 251–257 (1998).
- Stracke, R. et al. The Arabidopsis bZIP transcription factor HY5 regulates expression of the *PFG1/MYB12* gene in response to light and ultraviolet-B radiation. *Plant Cell Environ.* 33, 88–103 (2010).
- Faktor, O., Loake, G., Dixon, R. A. & Lamb, C. J. The G-box and H-box in a 39 bp region of a French bean *Chalcone synthase* promoter constitute a tissuespecific regulatory element. *Plant J.* 11, 1105–1113 (1997).
- Hartmann, U. et al. Identification of UV/blue light-response elements in the Arabidopsis thaliana Chalcone synthase promoter using a homologous protoplast transient expression system. Plant Mol. Biol. 36, 741–754 (1998).
- Feldbrugge, M., Sprenger, M., Hahlbrock, K. & Weisshaar, B. PcMYB1, a novel plant protein containing a DNA-binding domain with one MYB repeat, interacts in vivo with a light-regulatory promoter unit. *Plant J.* 11, 1079–1093 (1997).
- Rubio-Somoza, I., Martinez, M., Abraham, Z., Diaz, I. & Carbonero, P. Ternary complex formation between HvMYBS3 and other factors involved in transcriptional control in barley seeds. *Plant J.* 47, 269–281 (2006).
- Lygin, A. V. et al. Response of soybean pathogens to glyceollin. *Phytopathology* 100, 897–903 (2010).
- Lygin, A. V. et al. Glyceollin is an important component of soybean plant defense against *Phytophthora sojae* and *Macrophomina phaseolina*. *Phytopathology* 103, 984–994 (2013).
- Pham, T. H., Lecomte, S., Efstathiou, T., Ferriere, F. & Pakdel, F. An update on the effects of glyceollins on human health: possible anticancer effects and underlying mechanisms. *Nutrients* https://doi.org/10.3390/nu11010079 (2019).
- Yoshikawa, M., Yamauchi, K. & Masago, H. Glyceollin: its role in restricting fungal growth in resistant soybean hypocotyls infected with *Phytophthora megasperma* var. sojae. *Physiol. Plant Pathol.* **12**, 73–82 (1978).
- Hahn, M. G., Bonhoff, A. & Grisebach, H. Quantitative localization of the Phytoalexin glyceollin I in relation to fungal hyphae in soybean roots infected with *Phytophthora megasperma* f. sp. glycinea. *Plant Physiol.* 77, 591–601 (1985).
- Shen, G. et al. Characterization of an isoflavonoid-specific prenyltransferase from Lupinus albus. Plant Physiol. 159, 70–80 (2012).
- Hatano, T. et al. Phenolic constituents of licorice. VIII. Structures of glicophenone and glicoisoflavanone, and effects of licorice phenolics on methicillin-resistant *Staphylococcus aureus*. *Chem. Pharm. Bull.* 48, 1286–1292 (2000).
- Dahiya, J. S., Strange, R. N., Bilyard, K. G., Cooksey, C. J. & Garratt, P. J. Two isoprenylated isoflavone phytoalexins from *Cajanus cajan. Phytochemistry* 23, 871–873 (1984).
- Farag, M. A., Huhman, D. V., Dixon, R. A. & Sumner, L. W. Metabolomics reveals novel pathways and differential mechanistic and elicitor-specific responses in phenylpropanoid and isoflavonoid biosynthesis in *Medicago truncatula* cell cultures. *Plant Physiol.* 146, 387–402 (2008).
- Renaud, J. B., Overton, S. & Mayer, P. M. Energy and entropy at play in competitive dissociations: The case of uneven positional dissociation of ionized triacylglycerides. *Int. J. Mass Spec.* 352, 77–86 (2013).
- Sukumaran, A., McDowell, T., Chen, L., Renaud, J. & Dhaubhadel, S. Isoflavonoid-specific prenyltransferase gene family in soybean: GmPT01, a pterocarpan 2-dimethylallyltransferase involved in glyceollin biosynthesis. *Plant J.* 96, 966–981 (2018).

- Lu, Q. et al. Arabidopsis homolog of the yeast TREX-2 mRNA export complex: components and anchoring nucleoporin. *Plant J.* 61, 259–270 (2010).
- 52. Earley, K. W. et al. Gateway-compatible vectors for plant functional genomics and proteomics. *Plant J.* 45, 616–629 (2006).
- Subramanian, S., Graham, M. A., Yu, O. & Graham, T. L. RNA interference of soybean isoflavone synthase genes leads to silencing in tissues distal to the transformation site and to enhanced susceptibility to *Phytophthora sojae*. *Plant Physiol.* 137, 1345–1353 (2005).
- Sparkes, I. A., Runions, J., Kearns, A. & Hawes, C. Rapid, transient expression of fluorescent fusion proteins in tobacco plants and generation of stably transformed plants. *Nat. Protoc.* 1, 2019–2025 (2006).
- Schmutz, J. et al. Genome sequence of the palaeopolyploid soybean. Nature 463, 178-183 (2010).
- Perez-Riverol, Y. et al. The PRIDE database and related tools and resources in 2019: improving support for quantification data. *Nucleic Acids Res.* 47, D442–D450 (2018).
- 57. Libault, M. et al. Identification of four soybean reference genes for gene expression normalization. *Plant Genome* **1**, 44–54 (2008).
- Garcia, E. J. et al. Metabolomics reveals chemical changes in *Acer saccharum* sap over a maple syrup production season. *PLoS ONE* 15, e0235787 (2020).

Acknowledgements

We thank Ling Chen, Nishat Shayala Islam, Alex Molnar, and Michelle Bargel (London Research and Development Centre, AAFC) for technical assistance. Kristina Jurcic (MALDI Mass Spectrometry Facility, UWO, Canada) and Paula Pittock (Biological Mass Spectrometry Laboratory, UWO, Canada) for help with proteomic work. This work was supported by the Natural Sciences and Engineering Research Council of Canada's Discovery Grant (385922-2011RGPIN) and Agriculture and Agri-Food Canada's Abase Grant (J-000151) to S.D.

Author contributions

A.K.A.V. performed all the experiments, collected and analyzed data, and prepared draft manuscript. T.M. and J.B.R. performed metabolomics experiment, analyzed data, and contributed to manuscript preparation. S.D. conceived and designed experiments, supervised all aspects of the project, and prepared the final draft manuscript.

Competing interests

The authors declare no competing interests.

Additional information

Supplementary information The online version contains supplementary material available at https://doi.org/10.1038/s42003-021-01889-6.

Correspondence and requests for materials should be addressed to S.D.

Reprints and permission information is available at http://www.nature.com/reprints

Publisher's note Springer Nature remains neutral with regard to jurisdictional claims in published maps and institutional affiliations.

Open Access This article is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License, which permits use, sharing, adaptation, distribution and reproduction in any medium or format, as long as you give appropriate credit to the original author(s) and the source, provide a link to the Creative Commons license, and indicate if changes were made. The images or other third party material in this article are included in the article's Creative Commons license, unless indicated otherwise in a credit line to the material. If material is not included in the article's Creative Commons license and your intended use is not permitted by statutory regulation or exceeds the permitted use, you will need to obtain permission directly from the copyright holder. To view a copy of this license, visit http://creativecommons.org/ licenses/by/4.0/.

© Her Majesty the Queen in Right of Canada 2021