Revised: 8 March 2022

ORIGINAL ARTICLE

WILEY

Venetoclax enhances DNA damage induced by XPO1 inhibitors: A novel mechanism underlying the synergistic antileukaemic effect in acute myeloid leukaemia

Hanxi Yu ¹ Shuangshuang Wu ¹ Shuang Liu ¹ Xinyu Li ¹ Yuqing Gai ¹ Hai Lin ²	
Yue Wang ³ Holly Edwards ⁴ Yubin Ge ⁴	

¹National Engineering Laboratory for AIDS Vaccine, Key Laboratory for Molecular Enzymology and Engineering, the Ministry of Education, School of Life Sciences, Jilin University, Changchun, China

²Department of Hematology and Oncology, the First Hospital of Jilin University, Changchun, China

³Department of Pediatric Hematology and Oncology, the First Hospital of Jilin University, Changchun, China

⁴Department of Oncology and Molecular Therapeutics Program, Barbara Ann Karmanos Cancer Institute, Wayne State University School of Medicine, Detroit, Michigan, USA

Correspondence

Guan Wang, School of Life Sciences, Jilin University, 2699 Qianjin Street, Changchun City, Jilin Province, China. Email: wg10@jlu.edu.cn

Funding information

National Natural Science Foundation of China, Grant/Award Number: 81800154

Abstract

Acute myeloid leukaemia (AML) is a highly heterogeneous haematologic malignancy with poor prognosis. We previously showed synergistic antileukaemic interaction between exportin 1 (XPO1) inhibitor KPT-330 (Selinexor) and Bcl-2 inhibitor venetoclax (ABT-199) in preclinical models of AML, which was partially meditated by Mcl-1, although the full mechanism of action remains unknown. In this study, using real-time RT-PCR and Western blot analysis, we show that inhibition of XPO1 via KPT-330 or KPT-8602 (Eltanexor) decreases the mRNA and protein levels of c-Myc, CHK1, WEE1, RAD51 and RRM2. KPT-330 and KPT-8602 induce DNA damage, as determined by alkaline comet assay. In addition, we demonstrate that venetoclax enhances KPT-330 and KPT-8602-induced DNA damage, likely through inhibition of DNA damage repair. This study provides new insight into the molecular mechanism underlying the synergistic antileukaemic activity between venetoclax and XPO1 inhibitors against AML. Our data support the clinical evaluation of this promising combination therapy for the treatment of AML.

KEYWORDS

acute myeloid leukaemia, combination treatment, DNA damage, venetoclax, XPO1 inhibitor

1 | INTRODUCTION

Acute myeloid leukaemia (AML) is a hematopoietic disorder characterized by numerous cytogenetic and molecular aberrations, representing about 70% of adult acute leukaemia. Since the 1970s, the standard of care therapeutic for AML has been cytarabine and anthracycline based chemotherapy. The five-year overall survival rate of adult patients with AML is only 26%, and less than 10% in patients over 60 years old.^{1.2} Therefore, there is an urgent need for new therapies to improve the survival rate and quality of life for patients with AML. Venetoclax (ABT-199) is a highly potent, orally bioavailable Bcl-2 selective inhibitor. It was approved by the U.S. Food and Drug Administration (FDA) in November 2018 for the treatment of newly diagnosed AML patients at age 75 or elder, or who have comorbidities which preclude the use of intensive induction chemotherapy, in combination with azacitidine, decitabine or low dose cytarabine.³ To further improve the antileukaemic efficacy and applicability of venetoclax, combining it with other targeting inhibitors is a feasible strategy.

by ears old.^{1,2} Therefore, there is an urgent need for new ther-
apies to improve the survival rate and quality of life for patients with
AML. Venetoclax (ABT-199) is a highly potent, orally bioavailableExportin 1 (XPO1), also known as chromosome region main-
tenance 1 (CRM1), is a protein transporter responsible for over
220 cargo proteins including tumour suppressor proteins (TSPs)

This is an open access article under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License, which permits use, distribution and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

© 2022 The Authors. Journal of Cellular and Molecular Medicine published by Foundation for Cellular and Molecular Medicine and John Wiley & Sons Ltd.

and RNAs to across the nuclear envelope to the cytoplasm.^{4,5} Dysregulation of XPO1-mediated nuclear export is evident in several haematologic malignancies and solid tumours, leading to enhanced transport of TSPs out of the nucleus.^{6,7} KPT-330 (Selinexor) is one of the first-generation orally bioavailable selective inhibitors of XPO1,^{8,9} and its combination with dexamethasone was granted accelerated FDA approval for adult patients with relapsed or refractory multiple myeloma in July 2019.¹⁰ KPT-330 is also undergoing clinical development in a wide range of haematologic malignancies and solid tumours.^{11,12} The second-generation XPO1 inhibitor KPT-8602 (Eltanexor) has similar mechanism of action and potency as KPT-330,¹³ but has greater tolerability than KPT-330 due to its lower central nervous system penetration¹⁴ and exhibits promising antileukaemic activity against AML.¹⁵

Our previous study demonstrated that KPT-330 could enhance the antileukaemic activity of venetoclax against AML in a synergistic manner at least partially through downregulation of McI-1. However, overexpression of McI-1 only moderately rescued the AML cells from the combination treatment of KPT-330 and venetoclax.¹⁶ Therefore, additional factors underlying the molecular mechanism remain to be elucidated.

c-Myc is an important transcription factor, regulating about 15% of genes in the whole genome.¹⁷ c-Myc also plays a critical role in the survival of AML cells.^{18,19} Several studies have demonstrated that KPT-330 can downregulate c-Myc.²⁰⁻²² In addition, KPT-330 has been shown to induce DNA damage in cancer cells.²³ CHK1, WEE1 and RAD51 are important components of the DNA damage response (DDR) network.²⁴ In addition, ribonucleotide reductase (RR) catalyses the reduction in ribonucleotides into corresponding deoxyribonucleotides which are critical in DNA replication and DNA damage repair, thus plays a crucial role in maintaining genome stability.²⁵ Previous studies have shown that c-Myc has potential regulatory effects on CHK1, WEE1, RAD51 and RR.^{21,26} Thus, it is conceivable that inhibition of XPO1 induces DNA damage in AML cells through downregulation of c-Myc, CHK1, WEE1, RAD51 and RR.

In addition, we have previously reported that venetoclax can enhance the antileukaemic activity of DNA damaging drugs via enhancing DNA damage induced by these agents, leading to synergistic antileukaemic activity against AML.²⁷ Based on this, we hypothesized that venetoclax would enhance XPO1 inhibitor-induced DNA damage resulting in synergistic antileukaemic activity against AML cells.

2 | MATERIALS AND METHODS

2.1 | Drugs

KPT-330, KPT-8602, Z-VAD-FMK, 10058-F4, MG-132 and venetoclax were purchased from AbMole Bioscience (Shanghai branch, Shanghai, China).

2.2 | Cell culture

MV4-11 and THP-1 AML cell lines were purchased from the American Type Culture Collection (Manassas, VA, USA). OCI-AML3 was purchased from the German Collection of Microorganisms and Cell Cultures (DSMZ, Braunschweig, Germany). The cell lines were cultured as previously described²⁸ and authenticated by Genetic Testing Biotechnology Corporation (Suzhou, China) in 2021. Mycoplasma testing was performed monthly, by PCR.²⁹

2.3 | Clinical samples

Diagnostic AML patient samples were obtained from the First Hospital of Jilin University following written informed consent was obtained based on the Declaration of Helsinki. This study was approved by and carried out in accordance with the guidelines as set forth by the Human Ethics Committee of the First Hospital of Jilin University. Primary AML patient cells were isolated and screened for the presence of both gene mutations and fusion genes as described previously.³⁰ Samples were chosen based on availability of adequate sample at the time the assay was performed. Patient characteristics are shown in Table S1.

2.4 | Western blot analysis

AML cells were lysed by sonication in 10 mM Tris-Cl, pH 7.0, containing 1% SDS, protease inhibitors and phosphatase inhibitors (Roche Diagnostics, Indianapolis, IN, USA). Whole-cell lysates were subjected to SDS-polyacrylamide gel electrophoresis, electrophoretically transferred onto polyvinylidene difluoride (PVDF) membranes (Thermo Fisher Scientific, Rockford, IL, USA) and immunoblotted with antibodies. Anti-yH2AX, anti-H4 (Millipore, Billerica, MA, USA), anti-WEE1, anti-RAD51, anti-p-CDC25C(S216), anti-cleaved (cf) caspase 3, antic-Myc, anti-Bcl-2, anti-β-actin (Proteintech Group, Chicago, IL, USA), anti-CHK1, anti-CDK2, anti-MEK (Cell Signaling Technology, Danvers, MA, USA), anti-p-CDK1(Y15), anti-CDK1, anti-p-CDK2(Y15), anti-RRM1 and anti-RRM2 (Abcam, Cambridge, MA, USA) antibodies were used for Western blot analysis. Immunoreactive proteins were visualized using the Odyssey Infrared Imaging System (Li-Cor, Lincoln, NE, USA), as described by the manufacturer. The fold changes in protein densitometry measurements were normalized to β -actin and then compared with the vehicle control.

2.5 | Annexin V-FITC/PI staining and flow cytometry analysis

AML cells were treated with vehicle, KPT-330, KPT-8602, venetoclax and venetoclax plus KPT-330 or KPT-8602, and then underwent flow cytometry analysis utilizing the Annexin V-fluorescein isothiocyanate (FITC)/propidium iodide (PI) apoptosis kit (Beckman Coulter; Brea, CA, USA) to determine the extent of drug-induced apoptosis, as previously described.²⁸ Annexin V+/PI- and Annexin V+/PI+cells represent early apoptotic and late apoptotic (dead) cells respectively. Results are shown as mean percentage of Annexin Vpositive cells \pm the standard error of the mean (SEM) of replicates from two independent experiments. Cells treated with an apoptosis inducer purchased from Beyotime Biotechnology (Shanghai, China) were used as the positive control.

2.6 | Real-time RT-PCR

Total RNA was extracted using TRIzol (Life Technologies, Grand Island, NY, USA). cDNAs were prepared from 1 µg total RNA using random hexamer primers and a RT-PCR kit (Thermo Fisher Scientific), and then purified using the QIAquick PCR Purification Kit (Qiagen, Germantown, MD, USA), as described previously.²⁸ CHK1 (Hs00967506_m1), RAD51 (Hs00153418_m1) and WEE1 (Hs01119384 g1) transcripts were quantitated using TagMan probes (Thermo Fisher Scientific). RRM2 transcripts were quantified using forward primer (5'-TGGTCGACAAGGAGAACACG-3'), reverse primer (5'-TTAGTTTTCGGCTCCGTGGG-3') and SYBR green (Thermo Fisher Scientific). c-Myc transcripts were quantified using forward primer (5'-GTGGTCTTCCCCTACCCTCT-3'), reverse primer (5'-CGAGGAGAGCAGAGAATCCG-3') and SYBR green. Analyses were performed by a LightCycler 480 real-time PCR machine (Roche Diagnostics), based on the manufacturer's instructions. Ct values were normalized to GAPDH transcripts measured by either TaqMan probe (Hs02786624_g1) or forward primer (5'-AGCCACATCGCTCAGACA-3'), reverse primer (5'-GCCCAATACGACCAAATCC-3') and SYBR green. Fold changes were calculated using the comparative Ct method.³¹ Data are presented as mean of replicates ±SEM from two independent experiments.

2.7 | Alkaline comet assay

AML cells were subjected to alkaline comet assay, as previously described.²⁸ Slides were stained using SYBR Gold (Life Technologies) and then imaged by an Olympus BX-40 microscope equipped with a DP72 microscope camera and Olympus CellSens Dimension software (Olympus America Inc., Center Valley, PA, USA). Approximately 50 comets were scored per gel, using CometScore (TriTek Corp, Sumerduck, VA, USA). Median per cent DNA in the tail from three gels was calculated and graphed as mean ± the standard error.

2.8 | Nucleus and cytoplasm fractionation

Nucleus and cytoplasm fractionation was carried out as described by Buisson and colleagues.³² 3×10^6 cells were washed with PBS

and resuspended in solution A (10 mM HEPES, pH 7.9, 10 mM KCl, 1.5 mM MgCl₂, 0.34 M sucrose, 10% glycerol, 1 mM DTT, 10 mM NaF, 1 mM Na₂VO₃ and protease inhibitors purchased from Roche Diagnostics). Triton X-100 was added (final concentration of 0.1%), and then, the cells were incubated on ice for 4~5 min. Nuclei were separated from cytoplasmic proteins by centrifugation at 1400×g for 4 min and then washed with solution A three times. Nuclei were resuspended and sonicated, and then subjected to Western blot analysis. Experiments were repeated three times independently. Representative blots are shown. The fold changes in protein densitometry measurements were compared with histone H4 in nuclear fraction or MEK in cytoplasmic fraction and then normalized to the vehicle control. Data are presented as mean \pm SEM.

2.9 | Statistical analysis

Differences in cellular apoptosis (the sum of Annexin V+ cells), the fold change in transcript and the per cent DNA present in the tail were compared by an unpaired two-sample t-test. Statistical analyses were performed with GraphPad Prism 5.0 (GraphPad Software, LaJolla, CA, USA). Error bars represent \pm standard error of the mean. The significance level was set at p < 0.05.

3 | RESULTS

3.1 | The effect of XPO1 inhibition on c-Myc and DDR proteins in AML cell lines

KPT-330 has been reported to downregulate DNA repair proteins leading to DNA damage in tumour cells, including AML cells.²³ Based on reports that KPT-330 can downregulate c-Myc²⁰⁻²² and c-Myc has potential regulatory effects on CHK1, WEE1, RAD51 and RR,^{21,26} we suspected that these may be downregulated by XPO1 inhibition. RR is composed of a regulatory subunit RRM1 and a catalytic subunit RRM2.³³ Thus, we investigated the effect of XPO1 inhibition on γ H2AX (an established biomarker for DNA double-strand breaks³⁴), c-Myc, CHK1, WEE1, RAD51, RRM1 and RRM2 protein levels. We treated MV4-11, OCI-AML3 and THP-1 cells with various concentrations of KPT-330 or KPT-8602 for 24 h. Whole-cell lysates were subjected to Western blot analysis. KPT-330 and KPT-8602 treatment increased yH2AX levels, indicating increased DNA double-strand breaks (Figure 1A). In addition, KPT-330 and KPT-8602 treatment caused downregulation of c-Myc, CHK1, WEE1, RAD51, RRM1 and RRM2 (Figure 1A). Consistent with the decrease in CHK1 and WEE1, decreased phosphorylation of CDC25C (Ser216), CDK1 (Tyr15) and CDK2 (Tyr15) in MV4-11 and OCI-AML3 cells and downregulation of p-CDK1 and p-CDK2 in THP-1 cells were detected post KPT-330 and KPT-8602 treatment (Figure 1B). Previously, we demonstrated that KPT-330 and KPT-8602 induce apoptosis under these treatment conditions¹⁶; thus, the downregulation of these proteins might be

1.0

10

1.0





FIGURE 1 Inhibition of XPO1 downregulates DDR pathway proteins in AML cells. (A and B) MV4-11, OCI-AML3 and THP-1 cells were treated with KPT-330 or KPT-8602 for 24 h. Whole-cell lysates were subjected to Western blotting and probed with the indicated antibodies. The fold changes for the densitometry measurements, normalized to β -actin and then compared with vehicle control, are graphed below the corresponding blot. (C and D) MV4-11 and OCI-AML3 cells were treated with KPT-330, KPT-8602 and Z-VAD-FMK (Z-VAD) alone or in combination, for 24 h. Whole-cell lysates were subjected to Western blot analysis and probed with the indicated antibodies. The fold changes for the densitometry measurements, normalized to β-actin and then compared with vehicle control, are graphed below the corresponding blot

WILEY

due to caspase cleavage. To rule out caspase-dependent cleavage, we treated MV4-11 and OCI-AML3 cells with KPT-330 or KPT-8602 alone or in combination with the pan-caspase inhibitor Z-VAD-FMK for 24 h. Cleavage of caspase 3 induced by KPT-330 and KPT-8602 treatment was completely abolished by Z-VAD-FMK. Although KPT-330- and KPT-8602-induced-yH2AX was unaffected by Z-VAD-FMK in MV4-11 cells, Z-VAD-FMK eliminated induction of yH2AX by KPT-330 and KPT-8602 in OCI-AML3 cells, suggesting that the increase in γ H2AX was indicative of cell death in OCI-AML3 cells. However, Z-VAD-FMK had little effect on KPT-330- and KPT-8602-induced downregulation of c-Myc, CHK1, WEE1, RRM1, RRM2, p-CDC25C, p-CDK1 and p-CDK2 in MV4-11 and OCI-AML3 cells (Figure 1C,D). RAD51 downregulation was partially rescued by the addition of Z-VAD-FMK in both MV4-11 and OCI-AML3 cells. Taken together, these results demonstrate that inhibition of XPO1 results in decrease in c-Myc and some DDR proteins, independent of caspase activation.

To further determine whether the downregulation of c-Myc and these DDR proteins occurs prior to induction of apoptosis, MV4-11 cells were treated with KPT-330 and KPT-8602 for 4 and 8 hours. Increased Annexin V positivity was detected after 8 h of treatment, although the magnitude for the lower concentrations was minimal (Figure 2A). Downregulation of c-Myc was detected at 4 h, while downregulation of CHK1, WEE1, RAD51 and RRM2 was detected at 8 h (Figure 2B). Consistent with the decrease in CHK1 and WEE1, decreased phosphorylation of CDC25C (Ser216), CDK1 (Tyr15) and CDK2 (Tyr15) in MV4-11 cells was detected post 8-h KPT-330 and KPT-8602 treatment (Figure 2C). RRM1 protein levels remained relatively unchanged. In THP-1 cells, 8-h KPT-330 and KPT-8602 treatment had no obvious effect on apoptosis (Figure S1). Consistent with MV4-11 cells, c-Myc downregulation was detected at 4 h, and downregulation of CHK1, WEE1, RRM2, p-CDK1 and p-CDK2 was detected at 8 h in THP-1 cells (Figure 2D and E). RRM1 downregulation was detected at 8 h in THP-1 cells. Phosphorylation of CDC25C remained unchanged after treatment with either XPO1 inhibitor. These results show that inhibition of XPO1 downregulates c-Myc prior to induction of apoptosis, and that downregulation of CHK1, WEE1 and RRM2 occurs at least prior to mass apoptosis. Due to the lack of obvious decrease post KPT-330 and KPT-8602 treatment, RRM1 was excluded for the rest of this study.

3.2 | Inhibition of XPO1 decreases the mRNA levels of c-Myc, CHK1, WEE1, RAD51 and RRM2

Since c-Myc downregulation occurs prior to downregulation of CHK1, WEE1, RAD51 and RRM2 and it transcriptionally regulates CHK1, WEE1, RAD51 and RRM2,^{21,26} we measured their mRNA levels following 8-h treatment with KPT-330 or KPT-8602. We found that *c-Myc*, *CHK1*, *WEE1*, *RAD51* and *RRM2* mRNA levels were significantly decreased by KPT-330 and KPT-8602 treatment in both cell lines, although the lowest concentration of KPT-8602 upregulated *c-Myc* mRNA for unknown reasons in MV4-11 cells (Figure 2F). These results indicate that decreased transcripts play a role in the

downregulation of the corresponding proteins. To determine whether the proteasome pathway plays a role in KPT-330 and KPT-8602 downregulation of c-Myc, CHK1, WEE1, RAD51 and RRM2, MV4-11 and THP-1 cells were treated with KPT-330, KPT-8602 and proteasome inhibitor MG-132, alone or in combination for 8 h. Western blot analysis revealed that MG-132 had little-to-no effect on KPT-330 and KPT-8602 downregulation of CHK1, WEE1, RAD51 and RRM2 in MV4-11 cells and c-Myc in THP-1 cells (Figure 2G). Otherwise, c-Myc protein level in MV4-11 cells and CHK1, WEE1, RAD51 and RRM2 protein levels in THP-1 cells were slightly increased by the addition of MG-132 to the XPO1 inhibitors. These results suggest that KPT-330 and KPT-8602 downregulate *c-Myc, CHK1, WEE1, RAD51* and *RRM2* transcripts, although proteasome degradation may also play a role.

3.3 | Inhibition of XPO1 induces DNA damage

Given the reported role in DNA repair,^{24,35} downregulation of c-Myc and DDR proteins likely induces DNA damage. To test this possibility, MV4-11 and THP-1 cells were treated with KPT-330 and KPT-8602 for 4 and 8 h, and then subjected to alkaline comet assay. KPT-330 and KPT-8602 treatment induced DNA damage, as measured by the per cent DNA in the comet tails, in a concentration-dependent manner (Figure 3Aa,B and Figure S1B and C). Importantly, increased DNA damage was detected prior to cell apoptosis (Figure 2A and Figure S1A). These results demonstrate that inhibition of XPO1 induces DNA damage in AML cells.

3.4 | Inhibition of c-Myc induces DNA damage without downregulating CHK1, WEE1, RAD51 and RRM2

Since inhibition of XPO1 for 4 h downregulated c-Myc and induced DNA damage, but had little effect on CHK1, WEE1, RAD51 and RRM2 (Figure 2B,D, Figure 3A,B and Figure S1B and C), we suspected that downregulation of c-Myc induced DNA damage. To test this, we investigated the effect of c-Myc inhibition on DNA damage. MV4-11 and THP-1 cells were treated with c-Myc inhibitor 10058-F4³⁶ for 4 h and then subjected to Western blotting analysis, real-time RT-PCR and alkaline comet assay respectively. We found that pharmacologically inhibiting c-Myc induced significant DNA damage but had little effect on the protein levels of CHK1, WEE1, RAD51 and RRM2 (Figure 3C-E and Figure S1D-F). These results suggest that decrease in c-Myc plays an important role in the DNA damage induced by XPO1 inhibition.

3.5 | The effect of XPO1 inhibition on the DDR proteins and DNA damage in AML patient samples

To determine whether the effects of KPT-330 and KPT-8602 on DNA damage, c-Myc and DDR proteins also occur in primary AML patient samples, we treated primary AML patient samples AML#237



2651

indicated antibodies. The fold changes for the densitometry measurements, normalized to β-actin and then compared with vehicle control,



FIGURE 3 Inhibition of XPO1 or c-Myc could induce DNA damage in AML cells. (A) MV4-11 cells were treated with KPT-330 or KPT-8602, for 4 or 8 h, and then subjected to alkaline comet assay. Representative images from alkaline comet assay are shown in panel A. Alkaline comet assay results are graphed as median per cent DNA in the tail from three replicate gels \pm SEM (panel B). (C) MV4-11 cells were treated with 10058-F4 for 4 h, and then subjected to Western blot analysis or alkaline comet assay. Whole-cell lysates were subjected to Western blot analysis and probed with the indicated antibodies. The fold changes for the densitometry measurements, normalized to β -actin and then compared with vehicle control, are graphed below the corresponding blot (panel C). Representative images from the alkaline comet assay are shown in panel D. Alkaline comet assay results are graphed as median per cent DNA in the tail from three replicate gels \pm SEM (panel E). *** indicates p < 0.001 compared with vehicle control

and AML#213 with KPT-330 and KPT-8602 for 8 h. Consistent with the AML cell lines, downregulation of CHK1, WEE1, RAD51, c-Myc, RRM2, p-CDC25C, p-CDK1 and p-CDK2 was detected in both patient samples (Figure 4A and Figure 52A). KPT-330 and KPT-8602 treatment for 8 h induced DNA damage (Figure 4B and C and S2B and C). Annexin V/PI staining and flow cytometry analysis revealed that 8-h treatment with KPT-330 or KPT-8602 did not significantly induce apoptosis in AML#237. Due to the limited cell number, apoptosis analysis was not performed in AML#213. These data confirm the results obtained in AML cell lines and demonstrate that

2652

WILEY

are graphed below the corresponding blot

inhibition of XPO1 can induce downregulation of c-Myc, the DDR proteins and DNA damage in primary AML patient samples.

3.6 | Venetoclax enhances DNA damage induced by inhibition of XPO1

We previously demonstrated that venetoclax impairs the repair of DNA damage induced by DNA damaging agents.^{27,37} Thus, we hypothesized that venetoclax would enhance the DNA damage



FIGURE 4 Effect of XPO1 inhibition on c-Myc, DDR pathway proteins and DNA damage in AML patient samples. AML patient sample cells were treated with KPT-330 or KPT-8602 for 8 h and then subjected to Western blot analysis, alkaline comet assay or flow cytometry analysis. (A) The levels of the indicated proteins were analysed by Western blot analysis. The fold changes for the densitometry measurements, normalized to β -actin and then compared with vehicle control, are graphed below the corresponding blot. (B) Representative images from alkaline comet assay are shown. (C) Alkaline comet assay results are graphed as median per cent DNA in the tail from three replicate gels \pm SEM. *** indicates p < 0.001 compared with vehicle control. (D) Annexin V-FITC/PI staining was assessed by flow cytometry analysis. Mean per cent Annexin V+ cells \pm SEM are shown. Cells treated with an apoptosis inducer purchased from the Beyotime Biotechnology (Shanghai, China) were used as the positive control

induced by XPO1 inhibition. To begin to test this hypothesis, we treated MV4-11 and THP-1 cells with KPT-330, KPT-8602, venetoclax, KPT-330 + venetoclax or KPT-8602 + venetoclax. Combination treatment induced significantly more DNA damage compared with single agent treatment and was accompanied by a low level of apoptosis (Figure 5A,B,D,E). Treatment with venetoclax had limited effect on the protein level of c-Myc, CHK1, WEE1, RAD51 and RRM2. KPT-330 or KPT-8602 combined with venetoclax decreased c-Myc, CHK1, WEE1, RAD51 and RRM2, similar to that of KPT-330 and KPT-8602 treatment alone (Figure 5C,F). The results show that venetoclax enhances DNA damage induced by XPO1 inhibition without causing further downregulation of c-Myc, CHK1, WEE1, RAD51 and RRM2 induced by XPO1 inhibition.

Next, we investigated the effect of venetoclax on the repair of DNA damage induced by KPT-330 and KPT-8602. Following exposure to KPT-330 or KPT-8602 for 8 h, MV4-11 cells were washed and cultured in fresh media (KPT-330 and KPT-8602 free) with or without venetoclax for up to 12 h, and then subjected to alkaline comet assay (Figure 6A). The level of DNA damage decreased after removal of KPT-330 and KPT-8602, suggesting that DNA repair

progressed after removal of KPT-330 and KPT-8602. Venetoclax treatment resulted in time-dependent induction of DNA damage. Furthermore, 8 and 12 h after addition of venetoclax, significantly more DNA damage was detected in cells pretreated with KPT-330 or KPT-8602 in the presence of venetoclax compared with those with-out venetoclax (Figure 6B,C), indicating that venetoclax interfered with repair of DNA damage induced by XPO1 inhibition.

2653

Regulating the nucleo-cytoplasmic localization of proteins is the fundamental function of XPO1. In addition, it is worth noting that several studies have shown that Bcl-2 can enter the nucleus and inhibit DNA repair.³⁸⁻⁴⁰ Thus, we examined the effects of XPO1 inhibition alone and in combination with venetoclax on the levels of c-Myc, CHK1, WEE1, RAD51, RRM2 and Bcl-2 in nucleus and cytoplasm. In MV4-11 and THP-1 cells, venetoclax alone and in combination with KPT-330 or KPT-8602 significantly increased the amount of Bcl-2 in the nucleus, but had no obvious effect on the intracellular localization of c-Myc, CHK1, WEE1, RAD51 and RRM2 (Figure 6D,E and Figure S3). These results suggest that venetoclax can impair DNA repair induced by XPO1 inhibition by enhancing Bcl-2 nuclear localization in AML cells.



MV4-11 (8 h) MV4-11 (4 h) 4P18620.25 1M 200 U.L. UM 25 UM VPT-3300.25 IM VEN* HPT 8602 VEN* KET 230 VEN* VET 8602 VEN* VET 230 VEN0.025 JM ← СНК1 1.0 1.1 1.0 1.0 0.9 0.9 1.0 0.9 0.7 0.7 0.6 0.7 WEE1 0.8 0.5 0.4 0.8 0.7 1.0 0.4 0.4 RAD51 0.8 0.6 0.6 0.4 0.5 1.0 08 08 c-Mvc 0.1 0.1 1.0 0.2 0.2 1.0 0.3 0.3 RRM2 0.8 0.8 1.0 0.7 0.4 0.4 0.4 0.4 **B-actin**

FIGURE 5 Venetoclax enhances DNA damage induced by XPO1 inhibition in AML cells. MV4-11 and THP-1 cells were treated with KPT-330, KPT-8602 and venetoclax (VEN), alone or in combination for 4, 8 or 12 h, and then subjected to alkaline comet assay, flow cytometry analysis or Western blot analysis. (A and D) Alkaline comet assay results are graphed as median per cent DNA in the tail from three replicate gels \pm SEM. ** indicates p < 0.01 and *** indicates p < 0.001 compared with single agent treatment. (B and E) Annexin V-FITC/PI staining was assessed by flow cytometry analysis. Mean per cent Annexin V+ cells \pm SEM are shown. * indicates p < 0.05, ** indicates p < 0.01, and *** indicates p < 0.001 compared with single agent treatment. (C and F) Western blots of whole cell lysates were probed with the indicated antibodies. The fold changes for the densitometry measurements, normalized to β -actin and then compared with vehicle control, are graphed below the corresponding blot

4 | DISCUSSION

We previously reported that McI-1 plays an important role in the antileukaemic activity of combined inhibition of XPO1 and BcI-2.¹⁶ In this study, we add further insight into the mechanism of action, the cooperative induction of DNA damage. Consistent with Ranganathan et al.,²¹ we found that KPT-8602 downregulates c-Myc, CHK1 and RAD51. They also found that KPT-330 treatment decreases c-Myc protein level and reduces c-Myc binding to the promoters of CHK1 and RAD51 in AML cells.²¹ Furthermore, they reported that KPT-330 induces DNA damage in cancer cells.^{21.23} In contrast, we found that downregulation of c-Myc and increased

DNA strand breaks occurred prior to downregulation of CHK1 and RAD51. Additionally, we found that XPO1 inhibition also downregulated WEE1 and RRM2, which also occurred after detection of increased DNA damage. Interestingly, we found that c-Myc inhibition significantly induced DNA damage (Figure 3D,E). These results suggest that c-Myc plays an important role in DNA damage induced by XPO1 inhibition, while downregulation of CHK1, WEE1, RAD51 and RRM2 likely contributes at later time points. c-Myc has been reported to be required for activation of checkpoints in response to DNA damage³⁵ and evidence of transcription-independent control of DNA replication.⁴¹ These mechanisms could contribute to the increase in DNA damage accompanied by downregulation of c-Myc,



FIGURE 6 Venetoclax interferes with the repair of XPO1 inhibition-induced DNA damage. (A-C) MV4-11 cells were treated with vehicle control, KPT-330 or KPT-8602 for 8 h. The cells were washed with PBS three times and then split, half receiving fresh media and the other half receiving fresh media plus venetoclax. Cells were collected at 0, 4, 8 and 12 h after addition of venetoclax. Alkaline comet assay results are shown as median per cent DNA in tail from three replicate gels \pm SEM. * indicates p < 0.05 and ** indicates p < 0.01 compared with single agent treatment. (D and E) MV4-11 cells were treated with KPT-330, KPT-8602 and venetoclax, alone or in combination for 8 h. Nuclear and cytoplasmic fractions were extracted and subjected to Western blotting. The fold changes for the Bcl-2 densitometry measurements, normalized to H4 or MEK and then compared with vehicle control, are graphed as median per cent \pm SEM in panel E. ** indicates p < 0.01 and *** indicates p < 0.001 compared with vehicle control

although the exact mechanism remains unknown and beyond the scope of this study. Furthermore, WEE1 is a reported cargo protein of XPO1,^{42,43} although we did not detect an increase in nuclear localized WEE1 following XPO1 inhibition. However, substantial downregulation of WEE1 protein following XPO1 inhibition may interfere with detecting a potential increase in the protein in the nucleus; thus, further studies are warranted. Our previous study showed that MV4-11 cells are more sensitive to XPO1 inhibitors than THP-1 cells.¹⁶ In this study, we found that treatment of THP-1 cells with higher concentrations of XPO1 inhibitors induced much lesser DNA damage at a later time compared with that in MV4-11 cells, indicating that the level and time of DNA damage induction by XPO1 inhibitors are potential determinants of their antileukaemic activity against AML. In this study, we also found that XPO1 inhibition resulted in an increase in Bcl-2 in the nuclear fraction (Figure 6D,E and Figure S3). Venetoclax is designed to target the hydrophobic cleft of Bcl-2 composed of BH1, BH2 and BH3 domains.⁴⁴ However, Bcl-2 affects DNA repair via its BH4 domain.^{39,40} Thus, our findings suggest that Bcl-2 inhibition causes increased nuclear localization of the protein, resulting in inhibition of DNA repair. These results are consistent with our previous studies demonstrating that venetoclax impairs the repair of DNA damage induced by DNA damaging agents.^{27,37}

2655

In conclusion, we demonstrate that inhibition of XPO1 causes downregulation of c-Myc, CHK1, WEE1, RAD51 and RRM2 resulting in DNA damage and venetoclax can enhance DNA damage induced by XPO1 inhibition. Our findings further elucidate the antileukaemic mechanism of XPO1 inhibitors and venetoclax. Fischer et al. <u>2656 |</u>₩ILEY

demonstrated that the combination of KPT-8602 and venetoclax shows promising antileukaemic activity in both MV4-11 cells and AML patient-derived xenograft mouse models.⁴⁵ Therefore, our current and past findings¹⁶ as well as those of other investigators⁴⁵ provide preclinical support for further investigations into the clinical efficacy of this promising therapy for AML.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

This study was supported by the National Natural Science Foundation of China (NSFC 81800154; for Guan Wang) and the School of Life Sciences of Jilin University. The funders had no role in study design, data collection, analysis and interpretation of data, decision to publish or preparation of the manuscript.

CONFLICT OF INTEREST

The authors declare that they have no competing interests.

AUTHOR CONTRIBUTIONS

Hanxi Yu: Formal analysis (equal); Investigation (lead); Validation (equal); Visualization (equal); Writing - original draft (equal); Writing - review & editing (equal). Shuangshuang Wu: Formal analysis (supporting); Investigation (supporting); Validation (supporting); Writing - review & editing (supporting). Shuang Liu: Formal analysis (supporting); Investigation (supporting); Validation (supporting); Writing - review & editing (supporting). Xinyu Li: Formal analysis (supporting); Investigation (supporting); Validation (supporting); Writing - review & editing (supporting). Yuqing Gai: Formal analysis (supporting); Investigation (supporting); Validation (supporting); Writing - review & editing (supporting). Hai Lin: Formal analysis (supporting); Resources (equal); Validation (supporting); Writing - review & editing (supporting). Yue Wang: Formal analysis (supporting); Resources (equal); Validation (supporting); Writing - review & editing (supporting). Holly Edwards: Formal analysis (supporting); Validation (supporting); Writing original draft (equal); Writing - review & editing (equal). Yubin Ge: Formal analysis (supporting); Validation (supporting); Writing - review & editing (supporting). Guan Wang: Conceptualization (lead); Formal analysis (equal); Funding acquisition (lead); Methodology (lead); Project administration (lead); Supervision (lead); Validation (equal); Visualization (equal); Writing - original draft (equal); Writing - review & editing (equal).

DATA AVAILABILITY STATEMENT

All data generated or analysed during this study are included in this published article and in the additional files.

ORCID

Yubin Ge 🖻 https://orcid.org/0000-0002-8748-716X Guan Wang 🖻 https://orcid.org/0000-0003-1407-5778

REFERENCES

 Medinger M, Passweg JR. Acute myeloid leukaemia genomics. Br J Haematol. 2017;179:530-542.

- 2. Carter JL, Hege K, Yang J, et al. Targeting multiple signaling pathways: the new approach to acute myeloid leukemia therapy. *Signal Transduct Target Ther.* 2020;5:288.
- Guerra VA, DiNardo C, Konopleva M. Venetoclax-based therapies for acute myeloid leukemia. *Best Pract Res Clin Haematol*. 2019;32:145-153.
- Xu D, Farmer A, Chook YM. Recognition of nuclear targeting signals by Karyopherin-β proteins. Curr Opin Struct Biol. 2010;20:782-790.
- Ranganathan P, Yu X, Na C, et al. Preclinical activity of a novel CRM1 inhibitor in acute myeloid leukemia. *Blood*. 2012;120:1765-1773.
- 6. van der Watt PJ, Maske CP, Hendricks DT, et al. The Karyopherin proteins, Crm1 and Karyopherin beta1, are overexpressed in cervical cancer and are critical for cancer cell survival and proliferation. *Int J Cancer.* 2009;124:1829-1840.
- Noske A, Weichert W, Niesporek S, et al. Expression of the nuclear export protein chromosomal region maintenance/exportin 1/Xpo1 is a prognostic factor in human ovarian cancer. *Cancer.* 2008;112:1733-1743.
- Azmi AS, Al-Katib A, Aboukameel A, et al. Selective inhibitors of nuclear export for the treatment of non-Hodgkin's lymphomas. *Haematologica*. 2013;98:1098-1106.
- Etchin J, Sun Q, Kentsis A, et al. Antileukemic activity of nuclear export inhibitors that spare normal hematopoietic cells. *Leukemia*. 2013;27:66-74.
- 10. Syed YY. Selinexor: first global approval. Drugs. 2019;79:1485-1494.
- 11. Podar K, Shah J, Chari A, Richardson PG, Jagannath S. Selinexor for the treatment of multiple myeloma. *Expert Opin Pharmacother*. 2020;21:399-408.
- Chen C, Siegel D, Gutierrez M, et al. Safety and efficacy of selinexor in relapsed or refractory multiple myeloma and Waldenstrom macroglobulinemia. *Blood*. 2018;131:855-863.
- Vercruysse T, De Bie J, Neggers JE, et al. The second-generation exportin-1 inhibitor KPT-8602 demonstrates potent activity against acute lymphoblastic leukemia. *Clin Cancer Res.* 2017;23:2528-2541.
- Hing ZA, Fung HY, Ranganathan P, et al. Next-generation XPO1 inhibitor shows improved efficacy and in vivo tolerability in hematological malignancies. *Leukemia*. 2016;30:2364-2372.
- Etchin J, Berezovskaya A, Conway AS, et al. KPT-8602, a secondgeneration inhibitor of XPO1-mediated nuclear export, is well tolerated and highly active against AML blasts and leukemia-initiating cells. *Leukemia*. 2017;31:143-150.
- Luedtke DA, Su Y, Liu S, et al. Inhibition of XPO1 enhances cell death induced by ABT-199 in acute myeloid leukaemia via Mcl-1. J Cell Mol Med. 2018;22:6099-6111.
- Dang CV, O'Donnell KA, Zeller KI, Nguyen T, Osthus RC, Li F. The c-Myc target gene network. *Semin Cancer Biol.* 2006;16:253-264.
- Delgado MD, Albajar M, Gomez-Casares MT, Batlle A, León J. MYC oncogene in myeloid neoplasias. *Clin Transl Oncol.* 2013;15:87-94.
- Ohanian M, Rozovski U, Kanagal-Shamanna R, et al. MYC protein expression is an important prognostic factor in acute myeloid leukemia. *Leukemia Lymphoma*. 2019;60:37-48.
- Zheng Y, Gery S, Sun H, Shacham S, Kauffman M, Koeffler HP. KPT-330 inhibitor of XPO1-mediated nuclear export has antiproliferative activity in hepatocellular carcinoma. *Cancer Chemother Pharmacol.* 2014;74:487-495.
- 21. Ranganathan P, Kashyap T, Yu X, et al. XPO1 Inhibition using selinexor synergizes with chemotherapy in acute myeloid leukemia by targeting DNA repair and restoring topoisomerase iiα to the nucleus. *Clin Cancer Res.* 2016;22:6142-6152.
- Kuruvilla J, Savona M, Baz R, et al. Selective inhibition of nuclear export with selinexor in patients with non-Hodgkin lymphoma. *Blood.* 2017;129:3175-3183.
- 23. Kashyap T, Argueta C, Unger T, et al. Selinexor reduces the expression of DNA damage repair proteins and sensitizes cancer cells to DNA damaging agents. *Oncotarget*. 2018;9:30773-30786.

- 25. Pai C-C, Kearsey SE. A critical balance: dNTPs and the maintenance of genome stability. *Genes (Basel)*. 2017;8:57.
- Hu C, Xia H, Bai S, et al. CUDC-907, a novel dual PI3K and HDAC inhibitor, in prostate cancer: antitumour activity and molecular mechanism of action. J Cell Mol Med. 2020;24:7239-7253.
- 27. Liu F, Knight T, Su Y, et al. Venetoclax synergistically enhances the anti-leukemic activity of vosaroxin against acute myeloid leukemia cells ex vivo. *Targeted Oncol.* 2019;14:351-364.
- Li X, Su Y, Madlambayan G, et al. Antileukemic activity and mechanism of action of the novel PI3K and histone deacetylase dual inhibitor CUDC-907 in acute myeloid leukemia. *Haematologica*. 2019;104:2225-2240.
- Uphoff CC, Drexler HG. Detection of mycoplasma contaminations. Methods Mol Biol. 2005;290:13-23.
- Qiao X, Ma J, Knight T, et al. The combination of CUDC-907 and gilteritinib shows promising in vitro and in vivo antileukemic activity against FLT3-ITD AML. *Blood Cancer J*. 2021;11:111.
- Livak KJ, Schmittgen TD. Analysis of relative gene expression data using real-time quantitative PCR and the 2(-Delta Delta C(T)) Method. *Methods (San Diego, Calif)*. 2001;25:402-408.
- Buisson R, Boisvert JL, Benes CH, Zou L. Distinct but Concerted Roles of ATR, DNA-PK, and Chk1 in Countering Replication Stress during S Phase. *Mol Cell*. 2015;59:1011-1024.
- Altinkilic EM, Isbir S, Gormus U, et al. RRM1, RRM2 and ERCC2 Gene Polymorphisms in Coronary Artery Disease. In Vivo (Athens, Greece). 2016;30:611-615.
- Redon CE, Nakamura AJ, Zhang YW, et al. Histone gammaH2AX and poly(ADP-ribose) as clinical pharmacodynamic biomarkers. *Clin Cancer Res.* 2010;16:4532-4542.
- Guerra L, Albihn A, Tronnersjö S, et al. Myc is required for activation of the ATM-dependent checkpoints in response to DNA damage. *PLoS One.* 2010;5:e8924.
- Yin X, Giap C, Lazo JS, Prochownik EV. Low molecular weight inhibitors of Myc-Max interaction and function. Oncogene. 2003;22:6151-6159.
- Li X, Su Y, Hege K, et al. The HDAC and PI3K dual inhibitor CUDC-907 synergistically enhances the antileukemic activity of venetoclax in preclinical models of acute myeloid leukemia. *Haematologica*. 2021;106:1262-1277.

- Xie C, Edwards H, Caldwell JT, Wang G, Taub JW, Ge Y. Obatoclax potentiates the cytotoxic effect of cytarabine on acute myeloid leukemia cells by enhancing DNA damage. *Mol Oncol.* 2015;9:409-421.
- Gabellini C, Trisciuoglio D, Del Bufalo D. Non-canonical roles of Bcl-2 and Bcl-xL proteins: relevance of BH4 domain. *Carcinogenesis*. 2017;38:579-587.
- Kumar TS, Kari V, Choudhary B, Nambiar M, Akila TS, Raghavan SC. Anti-apoptotic protein BCL2 down-regulates DNA end joining in cancer cells. *J Biol Chem.* 2010;285:32657-32670.
- Cole MD, Cowling VH. Transcription-independent functions of MYC: regulation of translation and DNA replication. *Nat Rev Mol Cell Biol.* 2008;9:810-815.
- 42. Xu D, Grishin NV, Chook YM. NESdb: a database of NES-containing CRM1 cargoes. *Mol Biol Cell*. 2012;23:3673-3676.
- Li C, Andrake M, Dunbrack R, Enders GH. A bifunctional regulatory element in human somatic Wee1 mediates cyclin A/ Cdk2 binding and Crm1-dependent nuclear export. *Mol Cell Biol.* 2010;30:116-130.
- Souers AJ, Leverson JD, Boghaert ER, et al. ABT-199, a potent and selective BCL-2 inhibitor, achieves antitumor activity while sparing platelets. *Nat Med.* 2013;19:202-208.
- 45. Fischer MA, Friedlander SY, Arrate MP, et al. Venetoclax response is enhanced by selective inhibitor of nuclear export compounds in hematologic malignancies. *Blood Adv.* 2020;4:586-598.

SUPPORTING INFORMATION

Additional supporting information may be found in the online version of the article at the publisher's website.

How to cite this article: Yu H, Wu S, Liu S, et al. Venetoclax enhances DNA damage induced by XPO1 inhibitors: A novel mechanism underlying the synergistic antileukaemic effect in acute myeloid leukaemia. *J Cell Mol Med*. 2022;26:2646– 2657. doi:10.1111/jcmm.17274