RHEUMATOLOGY

Concise report

Monocyte Siglec-14 expression is upregulated in patients with systemic lupus erythematosus and correlates with lupus disease activity

Susannah I. Thornhill^{1,*}, Anselm Mak^{2,3,*}, Bernett Lee¹, Hui Yin Lee¹, Michael Poidinger¹, John E. Connolly^{4,5} and Anna-Marie Fairhurst^{1,6}

Abstract

Objective. Siglecs are sialic acid-binding immunoglobulin-like lectins expressed on the surface of immune cells, which participate in the discrimination of self and non-self. We investigated myeloid CD33-related Siglec expression in a cohort of patients with SLE.

Methods. Cell surface expression of Siglec-5/14, Siglec-9 and Siglec-10 on peripheral myeloid subsets were analysed from 39 SLE patients using flow cytometry. Genotyping of the Siglec-5/14 locus was also performed. Clinical markers of SLE disease activity, including SLEDAI, serum complement concentrations and serum autoantibodies, were assessed and correlated with Siglec levels.

Results. Siglec-14 expression on SLE monocytes (median = 518, interquartile range: 411) was significantly higher when compared with healthy controls (median = 427, interquartile range: 289.3; P < 0.05) and correlated positively with SLEDAI scoring and anti-Sm and anti-SSB autoantibodies (P < 0.05). A negative correlation was determined with patient serum C3 concentrations (P < 0.05). Genotyping of the Siglec-5/ 14 locus revealed a high frequency of the Siglec-14 null allele across both groups, reflecting the incidence in Asian populations.

Conclusion. Our data suggest that the Siglec immunomodulatory molecules, in particular Siglec-14 expression on monocytes, may play an important role in the inflammatory events of SLE. No bias was found with regard to *SIGLEC14* genotype in our patient group compared with healthy controls. Larger comparisons of mixed ethnicity might, however, reveal an important role for Siglecs in the pathogenesis of auto-immune disease.

Key words: Siglec, systemic lupus erythematosus, monocytes

Rheumatology key messages

- Siglec-14 expression is increased on SLE patient monocytes.
- Monocyte Siglec-14 expression correlates with SLEDAI and serological disease in SLE.
- No bias in the prevalence of SIGLEC14 genotypes was determined for the SLE patient cohort.

¹Singapore Immunology Network, A*STAR, Singapore, 138648, ²Department of Medicine, Yong Loo Lin School of Medicine, National University of Singapore, Singapore, ³Division of Rheumatology, National University Hospital, Singapore, 119074, ⁴Institute of Molecular and Cell Biology, A*STAR, Singapore, 138673, Singapore, ⁵Institute of Biomedical Studies, Baylor University, Waco and ⁶Department of Immunology, UT Southwestern Medical Center, Dallas, TX, USA

Submitted 21 April 2016; revised version accepted 20 December 2016

*Susannah I. Thornhill and Anselm Mak contributed equally to this study

Correspondence to: Anna-Marie Fairhurst, Singapore Immunology

Network, 8A Biomedical Grove, #04-06 Immunos, Singapore 138648, Singapore.

E-mail: annamarie_fairhurst@immunol.a-star.edu.sg

Introduction

SLE is a complex autoimmune disease of unknown aetiology characterized by the development of autoantibodies to self-antigens. SLE affects predominantly females and has a diverse clinical presentation with multi-organ involvement, which can lead to significant morbidity and mortality [1, 2]. The clinical and serological manifestations of SLE are attributed to both genetic and environmental factors, in which the involvement of the innate immune system, including mediators of apoptotic cell clearance

© The Author 2017. Published by Oxford University Press on behalf of the British Society for Rheumatology.

This is an Open Access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution Non-Commercial License (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc/4.0/), which permits non-commercial re-use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited. For commercial re-use, please contact journals.permissions@oup.com

and the inflammatory response, such as monocytes, is crucial for disease pathogenesis [3, 4].

Sialic acid-binding immunoglobulin-like lectins (Siglecs) are cell surface transmembrane receptors predominantly expressed on immune cells. Siglecs recognize sialic acid moieties present on glycan structures on host proteins and lipids described as self-associated molecular patterns [5]. The CD33-related Siglecs (CD33rSiglecs) represent a rapidly evolving subfamily of these molecules, exhibiting high sequence similarity [6]. Upon recognition of sialoglycans, the majority of CD33rSiglecs signal negatively through immunoreceptor tyrosine-based inhibitory motifs to dampen the innate immune response. Siglec-14, a paired receptor with Siglec-5, serves as an activating signal transduction receptor, however, through association with the DAP12 adapter protein [7].

Siglecs play an important role in the regulation of the immune response and as such have been implicated in infectious and inflammatory diseases, autoimmunity and cancer (as reviewed by Macauley et al. [8]). The recognition of host sialic acids by Siglecs and the subsequent dampening of cellular activation has been suggested as a mechanism of preventing self-reactivity; furthermore, in mice, the CD33rSiglec gene cluster is located on chromosome 7, within the well-documented Sle3 lupus-susceptibility locus [9]. In light of this, we set out to determine whether expression of the CD33rSiglecs on circulating innate immune cells might be altered in the context of SLE and thus potentially contribute to autoimmune pathogenesis. We therefore analysed the expression of CD33rSiglecs-5/14, -9 and -10 on the cell surface of peripheral myeloid subsets in a cohort of 39 SLE patients and correlated these with clinical and serological disease measures.

Methods

Patients

Thirty-nine adult patients who attended the Lupus Clinic at the National University Hospital, Singapore and fulfilled the ACR classification criteria for SLE were recruited [1, 10]. Peripheral blood was taken into a Vacutainer containing 3.8% EDTA (10% v/v; Becton Dickinson, Franklin Lakes, NJ, USA). Twenty-nine healthy control donors, matched to SLE patients for ethnicity and gender, were recruited for comparison (control and SLE donors were 19-64 years old). Peripheral blood from healthy control donors was taken within an hour of SLE patient peripheral blood sampling. SLE disease activity was assessed by SLEDAI. All subjects who had acute illness at recruitment were excluded. Demographic and clinical information, such as disease manifestation and drug use, were retrieved from clinical interview and electronic medical records of the hospital. Written informed consent was obtained from the participants before recruitment. The study was approved by the, National Healthcare Group (NHG) Domain Specific Review Board (#DSRB-E/11/061) and the National University of Singapore Institutional Review Board (NUS-IRB-09-256).

Serology

Serum ANAs were assessed by Luminex multiplexing technology (Luminex 200, Luminex Corporation, Austin, Texas, USA) using AtheNA Multi-Lyte ANA-III Test System (Zeus Scientific, Branchburg, NJ, USA) according to the manufacturer's instructions. ELISAs for anti-dsDNA and anti-snRNP autoantibodies were performed as previously described [11] using an alkaline phosphatase goat anti-human secondary antibody (Jackson ImmunoResearch). For anti-snRNP detection, the plates were coated with U1snRNP-68, U1snRNP-C and U1snRNP-A (Diarect AG, Freiburg, Germany). ANAs were measured by IIF staining of HEp-2 cells (positivity at 1:80 dilution). Serum C3 and C4 levels and antidsDNA were assayed by immunoturbidimetry or ELISA (BioRad, Hercules, CA, USA), respectively, at the National University Hospital laboratory.

Flow cytometry

Whole blood was stained with directly conjugated antibodies (supplementary Table S1, available at Rheumatology Online) and incubated for 30 min at 4°C. Following red blood cell lysis (BD FACS Lysing solution, Becton Dickinson, Franklin Lakes, NJ, USA), myeloid subsets were identified using anti-CD45, CD14, CD11b (BD Pharmingen, San Jose, CA, USA), CD16 (eBioscience) and CD62L (Beckman Coulter, Brea, CA, USA). Siglec expression was analysed by cell surface staining with anti-Siglec-9 (RnD Systems, Minneapolis, MN, USA), anti-Siglec-10 (Biolegend, San Diego, CA, USA) and anti-Siglec-5 (RnD Systems, Minneapolis, MN, USA), which recognizes epitopes common to both Siglec-5 and Siglec-14. Acquisition and analysis was completed using a BD LSRFortess and FlowJo v10.1 for Windows (Treestar, Ashland, OR, USA).

Genotyping

DNA was isolated from whole blood, purified PBMCs or PMNs lysed in TRIzol reagent (ThermoFisher Scientific, Pittsburgh, PA, USA). For TRIzol samples, DNA was extracted according to the manufacturer's instructions, resuspended in 100 μ I DNase-free water and incubated at 65°C for 30 min. DNA was isolated from whole blood or purified PBMCs using a PureLink Genomic DNA Mini kit (ThermoFisher Scientific, Pittsburgh, PA, USA) according to the manufacturer's instructions. PCR primers and conditions have been described previously [12].

PBMC isolation and stimulation

PBMCs were obtained from the blood of healthy donors by Ficoll-Hypaque centrifugation (Amersham Pharmacia Biotech, Little Chalfont, UK). Cells were seeded in 96-well plates at 2.5×10^5 cells/well and stimulated for 18 h with either cRPMI media alone [RPMI 1640 (Gibco, Thermo Fisher Scientific, Pittsburgh, PA, USA) supplemented with 10% FBS (Hyclone, Pittsburgh, PA, USA), 200 μ M L-glutamine, 15 mM HEPES, 100 μ g/ml streptomycin, 100 U/ml penicillin, 10 μ M non-essential amino acids and 100 μ M sodium pyruvate (all Gibco, Thermo Fisher

Scientific, Pittsburgh, PA, USA)] or cRPMI with LPS (Invivogen, San Diego, CA, USA) at 100 ng/ml or prednisolone (Sigma Aldrich, St Louis, MO, USA) at 1 µg/ml.

Statistics

The median fluorescence intensity values are reported for expression values using flow cytometry. Results are expressed as the median and interguartile range (IQR) or arithmetic mean (s.p.) for a given number of values (n). Normality was tested using the D'Agostino and Pearson normality test or Kolmogorov-Smirnov test. Data that did not pass were analysed using a Mann-Whitney U-test or Kruskal-Wallis non-parametric analysis of variance (ANOVA) followed by Dunn's multiple comparison test. Otherwise, a one-way ANOVA was used followed by Tukey's multiple comparisons test to analyse three groups. For correlation analysis, a non-parametric Spearman rank correlation was determined. Statistics and graphs were generated using GraphPad Prism v6.00 for Windows (GraphPad Software, Graphpad, La Jolla, CA, USA). Fisher's exact test was used to test for an association between SIGLEC14-null genotype and patient/control status in the R statistical language version 3.1.2.

Results

Myeloid Siglec expression in SLE patients and control donors

Thirty-nine patients with SLE were studied (see Table 1 for patient demographic and clinical information). Flow cytometry was performed on peripheral whole blood to assess the cell surface expression of Siglecs-5/14, -9 and -10 on monocytes, eosinophils and neutrophils (supplementary Fig. S1, available at *Rheumatology* Online). Siglec-5 and Siglec-14 have high sequence homology and are thus recognized by the same anti-Siglec-5 antibody; however, prior reports have demonstrated that while both of these receptors are expressed on neutrophils, only Siglec-14 is present on monocytes [12].

SLE patients were found to have increased expression of Siglec-14 on monocytes (median = 518, IQR: 411) when compared with healthy controls (median = 427, IQR: 289.3; P < 0.05). In contrast, PMN Siglec-5/14 levels were equivalent between the two populations (Fig. 1A). No differences were observed in monocyte or PMN Siglec-9 or Siglec-10 expression between SLE patients and controls; however, eosinophils from SLE patients had significantly lower surface Siglec-10 expression (supplementary Fig. S1, available at *Rheumatology* Online).

Monocyte Siglec-14 expression and SLE disease measures

Upon further analysis, SLE patient monocyte Siglec-14 expression was correlated positively with increasing SLEDAI score, whereas a negative correlation was determined with patient serum C3 levels (Fig. 1B and C). We did not observe a significant correlation between Siglec-14 expression and serum C4 levels, however, suggesting that increased Siglec-14 expression on SLE patient

TABLE 1 Patient demographic, clinical and treatment characteristics

Characteristic	SLE patient cohort (n = 39)
Age, median (range), years	35 (20-64)
Female, %	88
Ethnicity, %	
Chinese	59
Malay	25.6
Indian	7.7
Filipino	7.7
Glucocorticoid treatment, %	97
Glucocorticoid dose, prednisolone, median (range), mg/day	7 (0–60)
MTX, %	3
HCQ, %	87
AZA, %	28
MMF, %	13
Ciclosporin, %	5
SLEDAI score, median (range)	4 (0–16)
Renal disease, %	13
CNS disease, %	8
Cutaneous disease, %	8
ANA positive, %	100
Serum creatinine, median (range), ^a μ mol/l	52 (40-662)

^aData for 37 patients.

monocytes is associated with activation of the alternative complement pathway (Fig. 1C) [13]. Analysis of serum autoantibodies did not reveal any association of antidsDNA or anti-snRNP levels with monocyte Siglec-14 expression (Fig. 1D and supplementary Fig. S1, available at *Rheumatology* Online). Nevertheless, positive correlations were found between Siglec-14 expression and anti-SSB as well as anti-Sm autoantibodies in our patient cohort (Fig. 1E).

SIGLEC14 genotyping of SLE patients and control donors

A polymorphism in the gene encoding Siglec-14 (SIGLEC14-null) has been reported to have high prevalence in Asian populations and has been linked to preterm labour following Group B Streptococcus infection and decreased exacerbations in patients with chronic obstructive pulmonary disease (COPD) [12, 14, 15]. The SIGLEC14-null allele encodes an identical protein to Siglec-5 with an inhibitory immunoreceptor tyrosinebased inhibitory motif. Given the increased incidence and severity of SLE in Asian populations, we therefore sought to determine the Siglec-5/14 genotypes within our SLE patient cohort. We grouped patients and controls into those with the wild-type alleles, null individuals and heterozygous individuals (supplementary Table S2, available at Rheumatology Online). Our SLE patient and healthy donor control cohorts exhibited a similar distribution of Siglec-5/14 alleles, and we found no genotype bias associated with disease.

Fig. 1 Increased expression of Siglec-14 on SLE patient monocytes correlates with SLEDAI and serological disease



(A) Siglec-14 expression on monocytes and PMNs from SLE patients and controls was analysed by flow cytometry. (B and C) Correlation plots of Siglec-14 expression on patient monocytes with patient SLEDAI scoring or levels of complement activation (C3 and C4); dotted line shows no correlations were observed when staining with an isotype control antibody. (D and E) Correlation between patient autoantibody levels and Siglec-14 expression on monocytes. Bars represent mean (s.D.). *P < 0.05, **P < 0.01 (values were computed by non-parametric Spearman rank correlation (for P and r) or Mann-Whitney *U*-test (P only).

Prednisolone treatment does not upregulate monocyte Siglec-14 expression

It has been reported that inhaled CSs increase Siglec-5/14 expression in the sputum of patients with COPD [16]. Given that CSs are a mainstay of treatment for patients with SLE (Table 1), we sought to determine the effects of overnight prednisolone treatment on donor PBMC Siglec-14 expression. Treatment with prednisolone at a dose range comparable to therapeutic levels [17] did not affect monocyte Siglec-14 expression, despite upregulating CD62L and the CS-inducible CD163 (supplementary Fig. S2, available at *Rheumatology* Online). In contrast, LPS stimulation resulted in upregulation of Siglec-14 and the expected loss of surface CD62L (supplementary Fig. S2, available at *Rheumatology* Online). *SIGLEC14* genotype was found to have no effect on PBMC responses to treatment with either prednisolone or LPS.

Discussion

The CD33rSiglecs are a family of predominantly inhibitory transmembrane receptors that recognize host sialic acids and transmit signals to dampen the innate immune response. This study is the first to investigate the expression of these receptors on myeloid lineages in patients with SLE. We determined an increase in the expression of Siglec-14 on patient monocytes. Furthermore, we demonstrated an association between Siglec-14 expression on lupus monocytes and increasing SLE disease activity in terms of higher SLEDAI, lower serum C3 concentrations and positivity of anti-Sm and anti-SSB autoantibodies.

The increase in Siglec-14 is correlated with a decrease in serum C3 but not C4 concentrations, indicating that upregulation is associated with the inflammatory events of the alternative complement pathway rather than the classical or lectin pathways (in which antigen-antibody complexes or microbial particles lead to decreased C4 concentrations) [13]. The alternative pathway is stimulated by spontaneous and foreign particulate, such as LPS. This is congruent to our *in vitro* data showing that Siglec-14 is upregulated on the cell surface of monocytes in response to LPS. Alternatively, it is possible that some individuals may carry a C4 null mutation or have low C4 copy number, which would affect serum concentrations [13].

Although it has been reported that Siglec-5/14 is increased in the sputum of COPD patients as a result of inhaled CSs [16], our *in vitro* data indicate that monocyte Siglec-14 expression is unaffected by treatment with prednisolone. This would need to be confirmed using patient samples, however, by measuring monocyte Siglec-

14 expression levels before and after glucocorticoid treatment.

Alterations in the relative proportions of circulating monocyte subsets have been reported in SLE [18]. We find Siglec-14 expression to be significantly decreased in nonclassical monocyte subsets from healthy donor controls (supplementary Fig. S3, available at *Rheumatology* Online). Although our whole blood analysis did not enable monocyte subpopulation analysis of Siglec expression, we did analyse subpopulation frequency in a number of individuals. These data showed a non-significant increase in non-classical CD14^{lo}CD16⁺ monocytes in the patient cohort, eliminating this as a reason for the increased expression (supplementary Table S3, available at *Rheumatology* Online).

Siglec-14 is an activating receptor which, upon glycan ligand binding, sends activatory immune signals through association with DAP12 activator protein. A result of gene conversion of SIGLEC5, Siglec-14 may have evolved in response to pathogens evading immune surveillance [6, 7]. In agreement with this, it has been reported that SIGLEC14-null fetuses are more susceptible to prematurity following Group B Streptococcus infection than wildtype carriers [19]. Concurrent with published reports, we determined the SIGLEC14-null genotype to be highly prevalent in our SLE patient and control cohorts of Asian ethnicity [12, 14]. However, in contrast to a study investigating COPD in a cohort of Japanese patients, we observed no bias with regard to SIGLEC14 genotype and SLE disease [14]. Genotyping of larger patient cohorts of mixed ethnicity would provide further insight into any potential associations between SIGLEC14 genotype and autoimmunity.

Immune complex formation, resulting from ANAs in SLE patients, may be taken up by $Fc\gamma$ receptors on monocytes, resulting in the release of pro-inflammatory cytokines and, ultimately, leading to tissue destruction in active disease. Therefore, it is possible that monocyte Siglec-14 expression is upregulated in active SLE in response to these inflammatory cytokines. Supporting this hypothesis, we found a non-significant increase in monocyte Siglec14 expression after $Fc\gamma$ receptor ligation in healthy control donors (supplementary Fig. S4 and supplementary methods, available at *Rheumatology* Online).

Although no further correlations were found in this study with regard to Siglec-5/14 expression on other myeloid subsets from patients with SLE, it is possible that the results are confounding for cell types that express the highly homologous Siglec-5 and Siglec-14, such as PMNs, because both are recognized by the antibody used. There is currently no commercially available antibody that is specific for Siglec-14 alone, however.

A significant decrease in Siglec-10 expression was detected on SLE patient eosinophils. Although the mouse orthologue of Siglec-10, Siglec-G, has been implicated in B-cell tolerance [20] little is known regarding the role of this Siglec on this particular cell type, and additional studies are therefore required.

In summary, we have shown that in our cohort of SLE patients, monocyte Siglec-14 expression is upregulated

and is correlated with clinical and serological disease. Furthermore, owing to Asian ethnicity, the majority of patients express the immunosuppressive Siglec-14/5 molecule. The biological functions of the CD33rSiglec family are still emerging, and further investigations are necessary to elucidate the full impact of the immunomodulatory Siglec-14 in autoimmunity.

Acknowledgements

This work was supported by core funding from the Singapore Immunology Network (SIgN) to A.M.F. and the Institute for Molecular and Cell Biology to J.E.C. at the Agency for Science, Technology and Research (A*STAR), Singapore. We thank the clinical liaison team at the National University Hospital, Singapore and the flow cytometry core at SIgN, A*STAR. Contributors: A.M. and A.M.F. designed the study; A.M. performed and supervised the clinical analysis of patients; H.Y.L. and A.M.F. performed the flow cytometric experiments on patient and donor samples; S.T. and H.Y.L. performed the genotyping and PBMC stimulations; B.L. and M.P. performed genomics and statistical analysis; A.M., J.E.C. and A.M.F. provided materials and protocols for the study; S.T., B.L. and A.M.F. analysed and interpreted the data; S.T. and A.M.F. drafted the manuscript with feedback and approval from co-authors.

Funding: No specific funding was received from any bodies in the public, commercial or not-for-profit sectors to carry out the work described in this manuscript.

Disclosure statement: The authors have declared no conflicts of interest.

Supplementary data

Supplementary data are available at *Rheumatology* Online.

References

- 1 Tan EM, Cohen AS, Fries JF *et al.* The 1982 revised criteria for the classification of systemic lupus erythematosus. Arthritis Rheum 1982;25:1271–7.
- 2 Mak A, Cheung MW, Chiew HJ, Liu Y, Ho RC. Global trend of survival and damage of systemic lupus erythematosus: meta-analysis and meta-regression of observational studies from the 1950s to 2000s. Semin Arthritis Rheum 2012;41:830-9.
- 3 Fairhurst AM, Wandstrat AE, Wakeland EK. Systemic lupus erythematosus: multiple immunological phenotypes in a complex genetic disease. Adv Immunol 2006;92:1–69.
- 4 Herrmann M, Voll RE, Zoller OM et al. Impaired phagocytosis of apoptotic cell material by monocyte-derived macrophages from patients with systemic lupus erythematosus. Arthritis Rheum 1998;41:1241–50.
- 5 Crocker PR, Paulson JC, Varki A. Siglecs and their roles in the immune system. Nat Rev Immunol 2007;7:255-66.

- 6 Cao H, Crocker PR. Evolution of CD33-related Siglecs: regulating host immune functions and escaping pathogen exploitation? Immunology 2011;132:18-26.
- 7 Angata T, Hayakawa T, Yamanaka M, Varki A, Nakamura M. Discovery of Siglec-14, a novel sialic acid receptor undergoing concerted evolution with Siglec-5 in primates. FASEB J 2006;20:1964–73.
- 8 Macauley MS, Crocker PR, Paulson JC. Siglec-mediated regulation of immune cell function in disease. Nat Rev Immunol 2014;14:653-66.
- 9 Mohan C, Yu Y, Morel L, Yang P, Wakeland EK. Genetic dissection of Sle pathogenesis: Sle3 on murine chromosome 7 impacts T cell activation, differentiation, and cell death. J Immunol 1999;162:6492–502.
- 10 Hochberg MC. Updating the American College of Rheumatology revised criteria for the classification of systemic lupus erythematosus. Arthritis Rheum 1997;40:1725.
- 11 Mohan C, Morel L, Yang P et al. Genetic dissection of lupus pathogenesis: a recipe for nephrophilic autoantibodies. J Clin Invest 1999;103:1685-95.
- 12 Yamanaka M, Kato Y, Angata T, Narimatsu H. Deletion polymorphism of *SIGLEC14* and its functional implications. Glycobiology 2009;19:841–6.
- 13 Lintner KE, Wu YL, Yang Y et al. Early components of the complement classical activation pathway in human systemic autoimmune diseases. Front Immunol 2016;7:36.

- 14 Angata T, Ishii T, Motegi T *et al*. Loss of Siglec-14 reduces the risk of chronic obstructive pulmonary disease exacerbation. Cell Mol Life Sci 2013;70:3199–210.
- 15 Ali SR, Fong JJ, Carlin AF *et al*. Siglec-5 and Siglec-14 are polymorphic paired receptors that modulate neutrophil and amnion signaling responses to group B *Streptococcus*. J Exp Med 2014;211:1231-42.
- 16 Wielgat P, Mroz RM, Stasiak-Barmuta A et al. Inhaled corticosteroids increase siglec-5/14 expression in sputum cells of COPD patients. Adv Exp Med Biol 2015;839:1–5.
- 17 Boor PP, Metselaar HJ, Mancham S et al. Prednisolone suppresses the function and promotes apoptosis of plasmacytoid dendritic cells. Am J Transplant 2006;6:2332-41.
- 18 Mukherjee R, Kanti Barman P, Kumar Thatoi P et al. Nonclassical monocytes display inflammatory features: validation in sepsis and systemic lupus erythematous. Sci Rep 2015;5:13886.
- 19 Chang YC, Olson J, Beasley FC et al. Group B Streptococcus engages an inhibitory Siglec through sialic acid mimicry to blunt innate immune and inflammatory responses in vivo. PLoS Pathogens 2014;10:e1003846.
- 20 Pfrengle F, Macauley MS, Kawasaki N, Paulson JC. Copresentation of antigen and ligands of Siglec-G induces B cell tolerance independent of CD22. J Immunol 2013;191:1724–31.