

Association of oxytocin receptor (*OXTR*) gene variants with multiple phenotype domains of autism spectrum disorder

Daniel B. Campbell · Dibyadeep Datta ·
Shaine T. Jones · Evon Batey Lee · James S. Sutcliffe ·
Elizabeth A. D. Hammock · Pat Levitt

Received: 21 June 2010 / Accepted: 17 December 2010 / Published online: 6 January 2011
© Springer Science+Business Media, LLC 2010

Abstract Autism spectrum disorder (ASD) is characterized by core deficits in social behavior, communication, and behavioral flexibility. Several lines of evidence indicate that oxytocin, signaling through its receptor (*OXTR*), is important in a wide range of social behaviors. In attempts to determine whether genetic variations in the oxytocin

signaling system contribute to ASD susceptibility, seven recent reports indicated association of common genetic polymorphisms in the *OXTR* gene with ASD. Each involved relatively small sample sizes (57 to 436 families) and, where it was examined, failed to identify association of *OXTR* polymorphisms with measures of social behavior in individuals with ASD. We report genetic association analysis of 25 markers spanning the *OXTR* locus in 1,238 pedigrees including 2,333 individuals with ASD. Association of three markers previously implicated in ASD susceptibility, rs2268493 ($P=0.043$), rs1042778 ($P=0.037$), and rs7632287 ($P=0.016$), was observed. Further, these genetic markers were associated with multiple core ASD phenotypes, including social domain dysfunction, measured by standardized instruments used to diagnose and describe ASD. The data suggest association of *OXTR* genetic polymorphisms with ASD, although the results should be interpreted with caution because none of the significant associations would survive appropriate correction for multiple comparisons. However, the current findings of association in a large independent cohort are consistent with previous results, and the biological plausibility of participation of the oxytocin signaling system in modulating social disruptions characteristic of ASD, suggest that functional polymorphisms of *OXTR* may contribute to ASD risk in a subset of families.

D. B. Campbell · P. Levitt
Zilkha Neurogenetic Institute, Keck School of Medicine,
University of Southern California,
Los Angeles, CA 90089, USA

D. B. Campbell (✉)
Department of Psychiatry and the Behavioral Sciences,
Keck School of Medicine, University of Southern California,
213 Zilkha Neurogenetic Institute, 1501 San Pablo Street,
Los Angeles, CA 90089, USA
e-mail: dbcampbe@usc.edu

D. Datta · S. T. Jones
Department of Pharmacology, Vanderbilt University,
Nashville, TN 37232, USA

E. Batey Lee · E. A. D. Hammock
Department of Pediatrics, Vanderbilt University,
Nashville, TN 37232, USA

E. Batey Lee · J. S. Sutcliffe · E. A. D. Hammock
Vanderbilt Kennedy Center for Research on Human Development,
Vanderbilt University,
Nashville, TN 37232, USA

J. S. Sutcliffe
Department of Molecular Physiology and Biophysics,
Vanderbilt University,
Nashville, TN 37232, USA

P. Levitt
Department of Cell and Neurobiology, Keck School of Medicine,
University of Southern California,
Los Angeles, CA 90089, USA

Keywords Oxytocin · Autism · ADI-R · ADOS · SRS · Association

Introduction

Autism spectrum disorder (ASD) is characterized by abnormalities in three domains: social interaction deficits,

language impairments, and repetitive behaviors with restricted interests. Despite a widely varying clinical presentation, ASD is highly heritable, with studies demonstrating a concordance rate of 80–95% in monozygotic twins and 0–31% in dizygotic twins (Folstein and Rutter 1977; Ritvo et al. 1985; Bailey et al. 1995; Le Couteur et al. 1996; Taniai et al. 2008; Rosenberg et al. 2009). However, genetic linkage studies have indicated signals for linkage on nearly every chromosome (International Molecular Genetic Study of Autism Consortium 1998; Philippe et al. 1999; Barrett et al. 1999; Risch et al. 1999; International Molecular Genetic Study of Autism Consortium 2001; Yonan et al. 2003; Ylisaukko-oja et al. 2006), suggesting that multiple genes contribute to ASD susceptibility.

The gene encoding the oxytocin receptor, *OXTR*, is a strong functional ASD candidate gene based on its known role in modulating social behavior (Ebstein et al. 2009; Hammock and Levitt 2006). Pharmacological and genetic manipulations have demonstrated a causal role for oxytocin (OXT) and its receptor (OXTR) in the regulation of species-typical social behavior. OXT facilitates social recognition behavior (Ferguson et al. 2000) and modulates maternal behavior (Pedersen and Prange 1979; Kendrick et al. 1987). Moreover, OXT signaling facilitates social preferences between adult monogamous rodents (Williams et al. 1992; Williams et al. 1994). In humans, there have been a number of recent studies demonstrating enhanced functions relevant to social behavior following oxytocin application in healthy adults (Heinrichs et al. 2003; Kosfeld et al. 2005; Rimmele et al. 2009; Zak et al. 2007; Domes et al. 2007a; Domes et al. 2007b; Guastella et al. 2008a; Guastella et al. 2008b; Hurlmann et al. 2010). Studies probing the relationship between OXT and ASD have generated complex findings. Reported reductions in plasma OXT (Green et al. 2001; Modahl et al. 1998) and increases in unprocessed OXT peptides (Green et al. 2001) in young children with ASD are contrasted by measures of higher baseline levels of serum OXT in young adults with ASD compared to control subjects (Jansen et al. 2006). Intravenous or intranasal applications of OXT in youth (Guastella et al. 2010) and adult (Hollander et al. 2007; Hollander et al. 2003; Andari et al. 2010) cohorts with ASD generally improved various functions relevant to social behavior.

Genetic analyses of *OXTR* contribution to ASD risk have been inconsistent. Most genome-wide linkage analyses did not find a peak near the *OXTR* gene on chromosome 3p25 (International Molecular Genetic Study of Autism Consortium 1998; Philippe et al. 1999; Barrett et al. 1999; Risch et al. 1999; International Molecular Genetic Study of Autism Consortium 2001). However, one of the largest linkage studies performed to date, including 314 families, highlighted a linkage peak directly over the *OXTR* gene, establishing *OXTR* as a positional candidate gene

(Ylisaukko-oja et al. 2006). Seven genetic association studies of *OXTR* with ASD have been reported, but consistent association of alleles is lacking. A study of 195 Chinese families indicated association of two markers in the third intron of *OXTR*, rs2254298 A allele and rs53576 A allele (Wu et al. 2005). Three family-based studies failed to find association of rs2254298 (Liu et al. 2010; Lerer et al. 2008; Wermter et al. 2010) and another study did not find association of rs53576 (Jacob et al. 2007). However, evidence for association of the rs2254298 A allele was found in a Japanese case-control sample (Liu et al. 2010). In Caucasian families, the opposite allele, the rs2254298 G allele, was associated with ASD risk in one study (Jacob et al. 2007) and contributed to a risk haplotype in another study (Lerer et al. 2008). A third study of Caucasian families did not test rs2254298 directly, but found association of a nearby intron 3 marker, rs2268493 (Yrigollen et al. 2008). In addition to the evidence for association of *OXTR* intron 3 markers, markers near the 3' untranslated region (UTR) have also been implicated in ASD risk (Lerer et al. 2008; Tansey et al. 2010). Lerer et al. (2008) described association of the 3' UTR marker rs1042778 G allele and Tansey et al. (2010) found association of the intergenic marker rs7632287 with ASD risk. While different reports indicate positive association of *OXTR* markers in intron 3 and the 3' UTR, the studies involved small family cohorts of less than 500 families each, increasing the risk for spurious associations (Sullivan 2007). Further, in those studies that included analysis of phenotype data, the *OXTR* markers associated with ASD failed to show association with measures of social behavior in individuals with ASD (Lerer et al. 2008; Yrigollen et al. 2008).

Given the sound biological and mixed genetic evidence in favor of *OXTR* contribution to ASD, we hypothesized that analysis of a large sample would provide greater power to detect association of *OXTR* markers with ASD susceptibility. Here, we report association analysis of 25 genetic markers spanning *OXTR* in 1,238 families, including 2,333 individuals with ASD. In addition to analysis of association with ASD diagnosis, we examined association with quantitative scores derived from three instruments used to diagnose and describe autism phenotypes: the Autism Diagnostic Interview-Revised (ADI-R), the Autism Diagnostic Observation Schedule (ADOS), and the Social Responsiveness Scale (SRS).

Methods and materials

Sample The family-based sample consisted of 5,432 individuals from 1,238 pedigrees and included 2,333 individuals with ASD (Table 1). The majority of the sample (921 pedigrees) was collected by the Autism Genetics Resource

Table 1 Description of ASD family sample

Sample Source	Genotyped by	Number of Samples	Number of Pedigrees	Number of Individuals with ASD
Non-AGRE	In-house	1,285	317	590
AGRE	In-house	2,520	545	1,086
In-house total	In-house	3,805	862	1,676
AGRE	GWAS	3,607	892	1,530
In-house/GWAS overlap	Combined	-1,980	-516	-873
Combined total	Combined	5,432	1,238	2,333

Exchange (AGRE) Consortium. The remaining 317 “non-AGRE” pedigrees were collected at the University of Iowa, Stanford University, Tufts University, and Vanderbilt University. Approximately 91% of the families had more than one child with ASD (multiplex). The genotyped sample is 95% Caucasian by self-report. The sample analyzed here does not overlap any of the previous reports of genetic association studies for *OXTR* in ASD (Wu et al. 2005; Liu et al. 2010; Lerer et al. 2008; Wermter et al. 2010; Jacob et al. 2007; Yrigollen et al. 2008; Tansey et al. 2010). Scores on the ADI-R, ADOS, and SRS were available for 2,000 individuals from AGRE families (Table 2). All research was approved by the Institutional Review Boards of Vanderbilt University and the University of Southern California.

In-house genotyping SNP selection We genotyped nine markers spanning the 19.2 kb *OXTR* gene (Table 3). These included the three intron 3 markers (rs53576, rs2254298, and rs2268493) and the 3' UTR marker (rs1042778) with previous evidence of association with ASD risk (Wu et al. 2005; Lerer et al. 2008; Jacob et al. 2007; Yrigollen et al. 2008). Based on minor allele frequency >0.15, position within the gene and linkage disequilibrium patterns in the HapMap “CEU” Caucasian panel, we also genotyped a promoter SNP (rs401015), two additional intron 3 SNPs (rs237897 and rs237885), and two additional 3' UTR markers (rs6770632 and rs9872310).

Table 2 Description of genotyped sample with available phenotype data

	ADI-R	ADOS	SRS
ADI-R total	1,738	1,348	776
ADI-R verbal	1,160	907	548
ADI-R non-verbal	578	441	228
ADOS	1,348	1,363	688
SRS	776	688	1,029

Shown are the number of individuals with complete scores on the indicated instrument and the number of overlapping individuals with scores on multiple instruments. The ADI-R scores are provided as total and stratified by the number of verbal and non-verbal individuals

In-house SNP genotyping and quality control Genotyping was performed using TaqMan™ SNP genotyping assays on the ABI Prism 7900HT and analyzed with SDS software as previously described (Campbell et al. 2006; Campbell et al. 2008). SNP Genotyping Assays-On-Demand were obtained from Applied Biosystems (Foster City, CA). Genotyping was performed in a 384-well plate format using 3 ng genomic DNA. Quality control measures included seeding of each 384-well plate with eight to ten blank negative control wells and 20–30 duplicated positive control samples. Automated allele calls were made with SDS Data Collection software and reviewed by an experienced operator according to protocol. The overall no-call rate was <5% for each of the assays. All analyzed markers were in Hardy–Weinberg equilibrium (HWE; $P > 0.05$).

GWAS genotyping GWAS of the AGRE Consortium sample was conducted by the Broad Institute on the Affymetrix 5.0 platform, which includes over 500,000 SNPs, and made available publicly. We downloaded the genotype information from the AGRE website (www.agre.org). For analysis purposes, we used Whole-genome Association Study Pipeline. We set the marker genotyping efficiency threshold to a minimum of 95%, the minor allele frequency threshold to a minimum of 0.05, the Hardy–Weinberg equilibrium threshold to a minimum of 0.01, and the marker Mendelian error rate to a maximum threshold of 15 errors. Any marker that did not meet any of the preceding specifications was removed from all further analyses. In addition, all monomorphic markers and all markers composed of only heterozygous genotypes were removed. Following this whole-genome quality control, we selected the markers in the *OXTR* gene plus 10 kb of flanking region on each side (a total of 39.2 kb). From the GWAS genotypes, 17 markers were analyzed. Only the 3' UTR marker rs1042778 overlapped with the markers genotyped in-house. There was >98% concordance of the 1,980 samples genotyped for rs1042778 between the in-house TaqMan™ and GWAS genotyping platforms.

Definition of linkage disequilibrium (LD) blocks Haploview (version 3.2) was used to assess HWE and to define

Table 3 Description of markers genotyped

Marker	Chr3 Location	bp from TrxSt	Genotyped by	Location in OXTR
rs9860869	8,795,740	-9,440	GWAS	Promoter
rs75775	8,795,732	-9,432	GWAS	Promoter
rs6443206	8,795,075	-8,775	GWAS	Promoter
rs401015	8,789,012	-2,712	In-house	Promoter
rs6777726	8,788,494	-2,194	GWAS	Promoter
rs2301261	8,785,896	404	GWAS	Intron 1
rs4686302	8,784,222	2,078	GWAS	Exon 3
rs237897	8,783,285	3,015	In-house	Intron 3
rs53576	8,779,371	6,929	In-house	Intron 3
rs237889	8,777,483	8,817	GWAS	Intron 3
rs2254298	8,777,228	9,072	In-house	Intron 3
rs2268493	8,775,840	10,460	In-house	Intron 3
rs2268492	8,775,672	10,628	GWAS	Intron 3
rs2268491	8,775,398	10,902	GWAS	Intron 3
rs237888	8,772,095	14,205	GWAS	Intron 3
rs2268490	8,772,085	14,215	GWAS	Intron 3
rs237887	8,772,042	14,258	GWAS	Intron 3
rs11706648	8,771,547	14,753	GWAS	Intron 3
rs237885	8,770,543	15,757	In-house	Intron 3
rs1042778	8,769,545	16,755	Combined	Exon 4—3' UTR
rs6770632	8,768,724	17,576	In-house	Exon 4—3' UTR
rs9872310	8,768,381	17,919	In-house	Exon 4—3' UTR
rs7632287	8,766,446	19,854	GWAS	Intergenic
rs17297803	8,758,207	28,093	GWAS	CAV3 Intron 2
rs237875	8,757,406	28,894	GWAS	CAV3 Intron 2

For each marker, the location on chromosome 3 and the distance from the transcription start (TrxSt) site of *OXTR* are indicated. Also listed is whether the marker was genotyped by the Levitt lab in-house using Taqman assays or by the Broad Institute using the Affymetrix 5.0 GWAS platform

LD blocks (Fig. 1). A single individual with ASD was randomly selected from each family using a random number generator to build trios suitable for Haploview analysis (Campbell et al. 2006; Campbell et al. 2008).

Analysis of association with ASD diagnosis Family-based single marker and haplotype association analyses were performed using the family-based association test (FBAT; (Horvath et al. 2001)) and haplotype-based association test (HBAT; (Horvath et al. 2004); FBAT version 1.7.2). We analyzed only empirically determined genotypes. All FBAT and HBAT analyses were performed using the empirical variance (“-e” option) because linkage has been reported for the chromosomal region containing these genes and because the empirical variance provides a more conservative estimate of association. FBAT analysis was performed with both the additive model and the recessive model because significant association has been reported with each model (Wu et al. 2005; Yrigollen et al. 2008). HBAT was performed only on defined LD blocks, only with the additive model, and with minimum haplotype frequency set to 0.01.

Analysis of association with narrow autism diagnosis On February 23, 2010, the AGRE pedigree file was down-

loaded from the AGRE website (www.agre.org). We identified 975 individuals from 618 independent families with narrow autism, defined by clinical diagnosis confirmed by both ADI-R and ADOS classification. FBAT and HBAT analyses were repeated, again using the empirical variance (“-e”) option, on this smaller sample of individuals with narrowly defined autism.

Concordance and correlation among phenotype scores On February 23, 2010, six files were downloaded from the AGRE website: the phenotype scores on the SRS, the ADI-R, and each of the four ADOS modules. The total number of individuals with phenotype scores for each instrument and the number of individuals with scores on multiple instruments are present in Table 2. The phenotype scores downloaded from the AGRE website were converted directly to phenotype (.phe) files used for FBAT software analysis. Scores reported here are: (a) quantitative summation scores from individual items on the ADI-R and ADOS, (b) binary cutoff scores from the ADI-R and ADOS, (c) factor scores from a previously published principal components analysis (PCA) of the AGRE sample (Frazier et al. 2008), and (d) T scores derived from total score and subscales of the SRS. For individuals with SRS scores from




Fig. 1 Map of chromosome 3p25 near *OXTR* gene, markers genotyped, and linkage disequilibrium (LD). The 19.2 kb *OXTR* gene includes four exons, the last of which lies just 3.5 kb from exon 3 of

the neighboring *CAV3* gene. LD block 5 includes markers from exon 4 of *OXTR*, the intergenic region, and intron 2 of *CAV3*. LD is displayed in *D'*. LD blocks are defined by the solid spline method

both parent and teacher scales, the SRS T scores were averaged from all available informants (Constantino et al. 2009). We performed PCA of the AGRE ADI-R scores (Campbell et al. 2010) and obtained factor structures that were indistinguishable from those previously reported (Frazier et al. 2008); therefore, we also report association analysis of two-factor scores on the ADI-R. Frazier et al. (2008) report a two-factor solution with high loadings of seven variables on the first factor (SOC1T_CS, SOC2T_CS, SOC3T_CS, SOC4T_CS, COM1T_CS, COM4T_CS, and COM2VTCS) and three variables on the second factor (COM3VTCS, BEH1T_CS, and BEH2T_CS). Four distinct modules of the ADOS are administered, depending upon age and verbal abilities of the subject, and it is not appropriate to collapse quantitative phenotype scores across the four ADOS modules. Further, there was not sufficient power in this sample to compare across ADOS modules as the number of subjects in each group ranged from 101 to 540 (mean±standard deviation=347±192; data not shown). Therefore, we did not analyze quantitative traits on each of the ADOS modules. However, each ADOS module contains a module-

specific algorithm for determining whether an individual meets criteria for autism or ASD and reports a binary cutoff (yes or no) for meeting the criteria for diagnosis. The ADI-R and ADOS provide categorical variables that define thresholds for ASD diagnosis. The concordance among these binary categorical variables was determined by calculating the number of genotyped individuals with matching categorical scores compared to the total number of individuals with genotypes. The ADI-R and SRS provide continuous total scores for each individual that approximate normal distributions. Correlations among the continuous variables were calculated using StatView software.

Analysis of association with phenotype scores FBAT analysis of the phenotype scores was performed using the empirical variance (“-e” option) with no offset. Phenotype analysis was restricted to three markers, and all analyses performed are reported in the “Results” section. FBAT analysis was used for ADI-R total scores, Frazier factor combined scores, and SRS total scores, all of which approach normal distributions in this sample. FBAT was

also used to analyze cutoff scores on the ADI-R and ADOS, all of which are binary (yes/no). We did not attempt analysis of individual questions on the three phenotypic instruments as the scores on individual items often fail to be normally distributed. The reported two-sided P values represent only those with positive association of the indicated allele; the indicated allele is positively associated with ASD diagnosis or phenotype.

Corrections for multiple comparisons We report in the text uncorrected P values. These results should therefore be interpreted with great caution. None of the reported associations would survive Bonferroni correction for the 25 SNPs analyzed in this study. We note, however, that this is an attempt to find associations consistent with previously reported associations of common *OXTR* markers with ASD risk.

Results

Linkage disequilibrium (LD) structure Analysis of LD structure indicated five LD blocks in the 39 kb that includes the *OXTR* gene and 10 kb of flanking sequence (Fig. 1). One LD block contains considerable overlap with the neighboring *CAV3* gene, which maps 3.5 kb downstream of *OXTR* in a tail-to-tail arrangement. Interpretation of markers with LD overlap between the *OXTR* and *CAV3* genes must include the possibility that genetic variants in *CAV3* may contribute to ASD risk.

Association of *OXTR* markers with ASD diagnosis In the entire data set of 25 markers genotyped in 1,238 families including 2,333 individuals with ASD, two markers had significant association with ASD diagnosis (Fig. 2). First,




Fig. 2 Association of *OXTR* markers with ASD diagnosis in the entire sample of 1,238 families. Markers are numbered as in Fig. 1. The 3' UTR marker rs1042778 G allele (marker 20) is associated with ASD diagnosis by the recessive model ($P=0.037$). The intergenic marker rs7632287 (marker 23) G allele is associated with ASD diagnosis by both the recessive model ($P=0.016$) and the additive model ($P=0.016$)

the 3' UTR marker rs1042778 G allele was associated with ASD risk using the FBAT recessive model ($P=0.037$). Association of the rs1042778 G allele is consistent with two previous reports of positive association (Lerer et al. 2008; Jacob et al. 2007) and further implicates a putative functional variant near the 3' UTR of *OXTR* in ASD risk. Second, the rs7632287 G allele was associated with ASD using both the recessive ($P=0.016$) and additive ($P=0.016$) models, consistent with the recent report of Tansey et al. (2010). The rs7632287 marker is intergenic between *OXTR* and the neighboring *CAV3* gene and shares LD with markers in both genes. Therefore, association of rs7632287 suggests the presence of a functional variant that affects *OXTR* and/or *CAV3*. HBAT did not reveal significant global association of any of the five LD blocks.

Association of *OXTR* markers with narrow autism diagnosis Family-based analysis of the 975 AGRE individuals with narrow autism (clinical diagnosis confirmed by both ADI-R and ADOS) revealed association of three markers (Fig. 3). Both variants that were associated with ASD also were associated with narrow autism diagnosis. The 3' UTR marker rs1042778 G allele was associated with narrow autism using the recessive model ($P=0.041$) and the intergenic rs7632287 G allele was associated with narrow autism using both the additive model ($P=0.031$) and the recessive model ($P=0.004$). In addition, the *OXTR* intron 3 marker rs2268493 T allele was associated with narrow autism diagnosis using the recessive model ($P=0.043$), consistent with a previous positive association (Yrigollen et al. 2008). HBAT analysis did not reveal significant global association with risk for narrowly defined autism.




Fig. 3 Association of *OXTR* markers with narrow autism diagnosis in the AGRE sample. Markers are numbered as in Fig. 1. The *OXTR* intron 3 marker rs2268493 (marker 12) T allele was associated with narrow autism diagnosis using the recessive model ($P=0.043$). The 3' UTR marker rs1042778 G allele (marker 20) was associated with narrow autism using the recessive model ($P=0.041$). The intergenic marker rs7632287 (marker 23) G allele was associated with narrow autism diagnosis using both the recessive model ($P=0.004$) and the additive model ($P=0.031$)

Analysis of phenotype data The ADI-R, ADOS, and SRS provide two distinct types of variables. First, the ADI-R and ADOS provide categorical variables that define binary (yes/no) thresholds for diagnosis or a phenotype domain cutoff. In our sample, the concordance (rate of agreement on an individual eclipsing the threshold) among the 15 categorical variables was high, ranging from 0.732 to 1.000 (Table 4). High concordance rates among these categorical variables should be expected for a sample selected for an ASD diagnosis. Second, the ADI-R and SRS provide continuous total scores that give a more complete description of the phenotype domains. In our sample, the correlation among these continuous variables ranges from 0.012 to 0.983, with some correlations being negative (Table 5). The broad range of correlations among the continuous variables reflects the phenotypic heterogeneity of ASD.

Analysis of phenotype data The number of individuals with available phenotype data with each of the three instruments for measurement of ASD traits is detailed in Table 2. Phenotype analysis was restricted to the three *OXTR* markers that showed significant association with ASD and narrow autism risk: intron 3 marker rs2268493, 3' UTR marker rs1042778, and intergenic marker rs7632287. Table 6 describes association of these *OXTR* markers with categorical variables measured on the ADI-R and ADOS. Table 7 describes association of the three *OXTR* markers with continuous variables on the ADI-R and SRS. Association of a genetic marker with two highly correlated phenotype measures may reflect an inability to dissect the phenotypes, rather than a contribution of the genetic variant to each phenotype independently.

Association of *OXTR* markers with categorical cutoff scores on the ADI-R and ADOS The intron 3 marker rs2268493 T allele was associated with 14 of the 15 categorical cutoff scores on the ADI-R and ADOS using the FBAT recessive model (Table 6). Using the FBAT additive model, the intron 3 marker rs2268493 T allele was associated only with the non-verbal communication cutoff (Table 6). The 3' UTR marker rs1042778 G allele was not associated with any cutoff on the ADI-R (Table 6), but was associated with autism diagnosis ($P=0.013$), ASD diagnosis ($P=0.043$), autism social cutoff ($P=0.036$), and the autism communication plus social cutoff ($P=0.021$) on the ADOS using the FBAT recessive model. The intergenic marker rs7632287 G allele was associated with 14 of 15 categorical cutoff scores on the ADI-R and ADOS (Table 6). In contrast to the intron 3 marker rs2268493 T allele, the association of the intergenic marker rs7632287 G allele was independent of FBAT model used (Table 6). The only categorical variable with which the rs7632287 G allele was not significantly associated was the non-verbal communication cutoff

(Table 6), the phenotype measure with the least power in our sample (Table 2).

Association of *OXTR* markers with continuous scores on the ADI-R and SRS The intron 3 marker rs2268493 T allele was associated with the social total score ($P=0.011$), the non-verbal communication total score ($P=0.026$), the development total score ($P=0.022$), and Frazier Factor 1 ($P=0.011$) using the FBAT recessive model (Table 7). The 3' UTR marker rs1042778 G allele was not associated with any continuous variable score (Table 7). The rs7632287 G allele was associated with 12 of 14 continuous phenotype scores (Table 7). The rs7632287 G allele was associated with the social total ($P=0.022$), the verbal communication total ($P=0.010$), the behavior total ($P=0.002$), the development total ($P=0.029$), Frazier Factor 1 ($P=0.018$), and Frazier Factor 2 ($P=0.001$) on the ADI-R using the FBAT recessive model (Table 7). Consistent with the categorical cutoff scores for the ADI-R, the rs7632287 G allele was not associated with the non-verbal communication total or the communication total scores on the ADI-R (Table 7) but was associated with the other six variables independent of the FBAT model used (Table 7). The rs7632287 G allele was associated with the SRS total score ($P=0.029$) and each of the SRS sub-scale scores using the FBAT recessive model (Table 7).

Discussion

The present study represents the largest family-based analysis to date of association of common *OXTR* genetic variants with ASD risk. As in previous reports of genetic association for *OXTR*, we found evidence of association in two distinct regions of the gene: intron 3 and the 3' UTR. Associations of markers in intron 3 implicate the *OXTR* gene specifically in ASD susceptibility. The genetic associations in the 3' UTR region, however, could influence either *OXTR* or *CAV3* because the LD block overlaps regions of both genes. Our results are consistent with (Sullivan 2007) previous reports of association of the intron 3 marker rs2268493, the 3' UTR marker rs1042778, and the intergenic marker rs7632287. These data also indicate, for the first time, association of *OXTR* genetic polymorphisms with social aspects of ASD. None of the reported nominally significant associations would survive appropriate correction for multiple comparisons, so these data should be interpreted cautiously. However, the additional suggestive evidence in support of previous genetic association data from smaller, independent family cohorts, as well as the biological plausibility, suggest that polymorphisms of *OXTR* may contribute to ASD risk in subsets of families that may exhibit other unique features

Table 4 Concordance of categorical phenotypic variables

	ADI-R				ADOS								
	Verbal Comm	Non-verbal Comm	Comm Total	Beh	Dev	Autism Diag	ASD Diag	Autism Comm	ASD Comm	Autism Social	ASD Social	Autism Comm + Soc	ASD Comm + Soc
ADI-R Social	0.926	0.983	0.945	.907	0.931	0.932	0.909	0.830	0.917	0.874	0.927	0.815	0.915
ADI-R Verbal Comm	No Overlap		1.000	0.900	0.934	0.891	0.912	0.792	0.926	0.837	0.927	0.759	0.915
ADI-R Non-verbal Comm			1.000	0.946	0.988	0.952	0.968	0.948	0.977	0.957	0.977	0.941	0.971
ADI-R Comm Total				0.915	0.952	0.911	0.930	0.843	0.943	0.876	0.944	0.818	0.933
ADI-R Beh					0.917	0.943	0.901	0.819	0.913	0.842	0.917	0.792	0.907
ADI-R Dev					0.876	0.876	0.929	0.825	0.953	0.870	0.953	0.800	0.938
ADI-R Autism Diag						0.791	0.877	0.820	0.881	0.846	0.886	0.806	0.880
ADOS Autism Diag							0.838	0.946	0.814	0.905	0.811	0.974	0.829
ADOS ASD Diag								0.883	0.976	0.919	0.974	0.864	0.991
ADOS Autism Comm									0.868	0.878	0.857	0.924	0.879
ADOS ASD Comm										0.894	0.961	0.840	0.971
ADOS Autism Social											0.907	0.927	0.923
ADOS ASD Social												0.838	0.978
ADOS Autism Comm + Soc													0.855

Categorical variables are those that are binary (yes/no) for diagnosis or a cutoff threshold

ADI-R Autism Diagnostic Interview-Revised, ADOS Autism Diagnostic Observation Schedule, Comm communication, Beh behavior, Dev development, Diag diagnosis, Soc social

Table 5 Correlation (*r*) of continuous variables

	ADI-R						SRS					
	Verbal Comm	Non-verbal Comm	Beh	Dev	Frazier Factor 1	Frazier Factor 2	Aware	Cog	Comm	Motiv	Manner	Total
ADI-R Social	0.727	0.564	0.304	0.407	0.958	0.014	0.471	0.452	0.492	0.441	0.426	0.502
ADI-R Verbal Comm		No Overlap	0.420	0.353	0.860	0.497	0.359	0.409	0.400	0.344	0.375	0.420
ADI-R Non-verbal Comm			0.144	0.106	0.751	0.056	0.303	0.224	0.267	0.177	0.183	0.253
ADI-R Beh				0.087	0.314	0.502	0.167	0.196	0.169	0.173	0.246	0.208
ADI-R Dev					0.417	-0.175	0.196	0.200	0.200	0.150	0.162	0.199
ADI-R Frazier Factor 1						0.070	0.454	0.455	0.484	0.423	0.416	0.492
ADI-R Frazier Factor 2							-0.132	0.012	-0.045	-0.007	0.013	-0.020
SRS Aware								0.867	0.896	0.758	0.845	0.915
SRS Cog									0.934	0.831	0.891	0.962
SRS Comm										0.849	0.912	0.983
SRS Motiv											0.788	0.891
SRS Manner												0.946

Continuous variables are those with a range of possible scores

ADI-R Autism Diagnostic Interview-Revised, SRS Social Responsiveness Scale, Comm communication, Beh behavior, Dev development, Aware awareness, Cog cognition, Motiv motivation, Manner mannerisms

that correlate with this risk gene (Sullivan 2007; Lucht et al. 2009). The additional genetic evidence highlights two specific regions, intron 3 and the 3' UTR, of *OXTR* that warrant further research.

Three recent genome-wide association studies have each identified genome-wide significant association signals at single loci on chromosomes 5p14.1 (Wang et al. 2009), 5p15 (Weiss et al. 2009), and 20p13 (Anney et al. 2010).

Table 6 Association of *OXTR* markers with categorical phenotype cutoff scores on the ADI-R and ADOS (*P* values)

	rs2268493 T allele		rs1042778 G allele		rs7632287 G allele	
	Additive model	Recessive model	Additive model	Recessive model	Additive model	Recessive model
ADI-R						
Autism		0.034			0.043	0.014
Social		0.025			0.034	0.021
Verbal Comm					0.047	0.030
Non-verbal Comm	0.012	0.033				
Comm		0.025			0.038	0.033
Behavior		0.036			0.037	0.022
Development		0.043			0.019	0.019
ADOS						
Autism diagnosis		0.022	0.048	0.013	0.040	0.006
ASD diagnosis		0.037		0.043	0.021	0.007
Autism Comm Cutoff		0.037			0.054	0.010
ASD Comm Cutoff		0.038			0.030	0.011
Autism Social Cutoff		0.025		0.036	0.019	0.004
ASD Social Cutoff		0.021			0.013	0.004
Autism Comm+Social Cutoff		0.032		0.021	0.028	0.005
ASD Comm+Social Cutoff		0.033			0.023	0.008

Table 7 Association of *OXTR* markers with continuous phenotype scores on the ADI-R and SRS (*P* values)

	rs2268493 T allele		rs1042778 G allele		rs7632287 G allele	
	Additive model	Recessive model	Additive model	Recessive model	Additive model	Recessive model
ADI-R						
Social Total	0.038	0.011			0.037	0.022
Verbal Comm Total					0.022	0.010
Non-verbal Comm Total	0.010	0.026				
Comm Total						
Behavior Total					0.008	0.002
Development Total		0.022			0.029	0.029
Frazier Factor 1	0.046	0.011			0.029	0.018
Frazier Factor 2					0.003	0.001
SRS						
SRS total						0.029
Social awareness						0.025
Social cognition						0.025
Social communication						0.028
Social motivation						0.035
Autistic mannerisms	0.046					0.037

The functional significance of these findings is yet to be determined. Although none of the genome-wide association studies highlighted the *OXTR* gene at chromosome 3p25, two described suggestive evidence for association of *OXTR* markers. Wang et al. (2009) described association of *OXTR* marker rs75775 ($P=0.003$) and Anney et al. (2010) described association of *OXTR* marker rs2301261 ($P=0.016$). Neither of these markers showed nominally significant association in our analyses (Fig. 2; rs75775: marker 2, $P=0.677$; rs2301261: marker 6, $P=0.926$). Similarly, although genome-wide copy number variation analyses have not highlighted *OXTR* (Glessner et al. 2009), a recent report described deletion of the region including *OXTR*, *CAV3*, and three neighboring genes in a single proband in one of 119 families (Gregory et al. 2009). The same report also described altered patterns of methylation in the *OXTR* promoter in individuals with ASD and a decreased expression of *OXTR* in postmortem brains of individuals with ASD (Gregory et al. 2009). Therefore, there may be multiple modes of disrupting *OXTR* that result in decreased expression of the oxytocin receptor and an increased risk for ASD.

A bioinformatics analysis indicated that the G allele of rs7632287 may alter transcription factor binding. Consite (<http://asp.ii.uib.no:8090/cgi-bin/CONSITE/consite/>) predicts that COUP-TF is the only transcription factor that will bind the A allele but that the G allele will also be bound by the N-MYC, ARNT, and USF transcription factors. Experimental evidence will be necessary to determine if any of these transcription factors alter expression. A

meta-analysis of *OXTR* association in all published data will provide a definitive answer to the association of common variants in *OXTR* with ASD. Our data set is publicly available at www.agre.org.

Our data suggest that the rs7632287 variant contributes to multiple domains of ASD. It should be expected that a genetic marker associated with one phenotype score will also be associated with a second highly correlated phenotype score. However, the rs7632287 G allele was associated with both Frazier Factor 1 and Frazier Factor 2 scores, even though these two phenotypic variables are only 0.070 correlated. Similarly, the rs7632287 G allele was associated with both ADI-R development total score and the SRS total score, despite the two variables being only 0.199 correlated. These data suggest that, rather than association due to highly correlated scores, the rs7632287 G allele is associated with each phenotypic domain. We were somewhat surprised by the data indicating that all domains of autism were correlated with genotype, rather than the expected statistical enrichment for *OXTR* relationship with specific social endophenotypes. One possible explanation for this broad association may lie in the robust developmental expression of *OXTR* in many more brain areas than in the adult (Shapiro and Insel 1989; Snijdwint et al. 1989; Wang et al. 1997). Thus, there could be an early role for OXT in developing neural circuitry that underlies behaviors beyond those of social interactions. As has been reported for many genes, early perturbation can lead to later onset brain dysfunctions that would not be reflected in adult expression patterns (Thompson and Levitt 2010). This also

suggests that the broader functions influenced through developmental mechanisms would not be captured by intranasal OXT in healthy adults, in which functions associated with social domains show improvement. Relevant to the present study, the more widespread developmental expression of OXTR may contribute to broad domains of social phenotypes, underlying the broad association of *OXTR* genotypes with behavioral traits in ASD. Our data also suggest that clinical trials with OXT in young children should examine functional domains beyond those related to social behavior.

Acknowledgments The Vanderbilt University Center for Human Genetics Research, Computational Genomics Core provided computational and/or analytical support for this work. The Vanderbilt University Center for Human Genetics DNA Resources Core provided technical assistance for this work. This work was funded by National Institutes of Mental Health grant MH080759.

Conflict of interest The authors report no potential conflicts of interest.

References

- Folstein S, Rutter M. Genetic influences and infantile autism. *Nature*. 1977;265(5596):726–8.
- Ritvo ER, Freeman BJ, Mason-Brothers A, Mo A, Ritvo AM. Concordance for the syndrome of autism in 40 pairs of afflicted twins. *Am J Psychiatry*. 1985;142(1):74–7.
- Bailey A, Le Couteur A, Gottesman I, Bolton P, Simonoff E, Yuzda E, et al. Autism as a strongly genetic disorder: evidence from a British twin study. *Psychol Med*. 1995;25(1):63–77.
- Le Couteur A, Bailey A, Goode S, Pickles A, Robertson S, Gottesman I, et al. A broader phenotype of autism: the clinical spectrum in twins. *J Child Psychol Psychiatry*. 1996;37(7):785–801.
- Taniai H, Nishiyama T, Miyachi T, Imaeda M, Sumi S. Genetic influences on the broad spectrum of autism: study of proband-ascertained twins. *Am J Med Genet B Neuropsychiatr Genet*. 2008;147B(6):844–9.
- Rosenberg RE, Law JK, Yenokyan G, McGready J, Kaufmann WE, Law PA. Characteristics and concordance of autism spectrum disorders among 277 twin pairs. *Arch Pediatr Adolesc Med*. 2009;163(10):907–14.
- International Molecular Genetic Study of Autism Consortium. A full genome screen for autism with evidence for linkage to a region on chromosome 7q. *Hum Mol Genet*. 1998;7(3):571–8.
- Philippe A, Martinez M, Guilloud-Bataille M, Gillberg C, Rastam M, Sponheim E, et al. Genome-wide scan for autism susceptibility genes. Paris Autism Research International Sibpair Study. *Hum Mol Genet*. 1999;8(5):805–12.
- Barrett S, Beck JC, Bernier R, Bisson E, Braun TA, Casavant TL, et al. An autosomal genomic screen for autism. Collaborative linkage study of autism. *Am J Med Genet*. 1999;88(6):609–15.
- Risch N, Spiker D, Lotspeich L, Nouri N, Hinds D, Hallmayer J, et al. A genomic screen of autism: evidence for a multilocus etiology. *Am J Hum Genet*. 1999;65(2):493–507.
- International Molecular Genetic Study of Autism Consortium. A genomewide screen for autism: strong evidence for linkage to chromosomes 2q, 7q, and 16p. *Am J Hum Genet*. 2001;69(3):570–81.
- Yonan AL, Alarcon M, Cheng R, Magnusson PK, Spence SJ, Palmer AA, et al. A genomewide screen of 345 families for autism-susceptibility loci. *Am J Hum Genet*. 2003;73(4):886–97.
- Ylisaakko-oja T, Alarcon M, Cantor RM, Auranen M, Vanhala R, Kempas E, et al. Search for autism loci by combined analysis of Autism Genetic Resource Exchange and Finnish families. *Ann Neurol*. 2006;59(1):145–55.
- Ebstein RP, Israel S, Lerer E, Uzefovsky F, Shalev I, Gritsenko I, et al. Arginine vasopressin and oxytocin modulate human social behavior. *Ann NY Acad Sci*. 2009;1167:87–102.
- Hammock EAD, Levitt P. The discipline of neurobehavioral development: the emerging interface that builds processes and skills. *Hum Dev*. 2006;49:294–309.
- Ferguson JN, Young LJ, Hearn EF, Matzuk MM, Insel TR, Winslow JT. Social amnesia in mice lacking the oxytocin gene. *Nat Genet*. 2000;25(3):284–8.
- Pedersen CA, Prange Jr AJ. Induction of maternal behavior in virgin rats after intracerebroventricular administration of oxytocin. *Proc Natl Acad Sci USA*. 1979;76(12):6661–5.
- Kendrick KM, Keverne EB, Baldwin BA. Intracerebroventricular oxytocin stimulates maternal behaviour in the sheep. *Neuroendocrinology*. 1987;46(1):56–61.
- Williams JR, Carter CS, Insel T. Partner preference development in female prairie voles is facilitated by mating or the central infusion of oxytocin. *Ann NY Acad Sci*. 1992;652:487–9.
- Williams JR, Insel TR, Harbaugh CR, Carter CS. Oxytocin administered centrally facilitates formation of a partner preference in female prairie voles (*Microtus ochrogaster*). *J Neuroendocrinol*. 1994;6(3):247–50.
- Heinrichs M, Baumgartner T, Kirschbaum C, Ehlert U. Social support and oxytocin interact to suppress cortisol and subjective responses to psychosocial stress. *Biol Psychiatry*. 2003;54(12):1389–98.
- Kosfeld M, Heinrichs M, Zak PJ, Fischbacher U, Fehr E. Oxytocin increases trust in humans. *Nature*. 2005;435(7042):673–6.
- Rimmele U, Hediger K, Heinrichs M, Klaver P. Oxytocin makes a face in memory familiar. *J Neurosci*. 2009;29(1):38–42.
- Zak PJ, Stanton AA, Ahmadi S. Oxytocin increases generosity in humans. *PLoS ONE*. 2007;2(11):e1128.
- Domes G, Heinrichs M, Glascher J, Buchel C, Braus DF, Herpertz SC. Oxytocin attenuates amygdala responses to emotional faces regardless of valence. *Biol Psychiatry*. 2007a;62(10):1187–90.
- Domes G, Heinrichs M, Michel A, Berger C, Herpertz SC. Oxytocin improves “mind-reading” in humans. *Biol Psychiatry*. 2007b;61(6):731–3.
- Guastella AJ, Mitchell PB, Dadds MR. Oxytocin increases gaze to the eye region of human faces. *Biol Psychiatry*. 2008a;63(1):3–5.
- Guastella AJ, Mitchell PB, Mathews F. Oxytocin enhances the encoding of positive social memories in humans. *Biol Psychiatry*. 2008b;64(3):256–8.
- Hurlemann R, Patin A, Onur OA, Cohen MX, Baumgartner T, Metzler S, et al. Oxytocin enhances amygdala-dependent, socially reinforced learning and emotional empathy in humans. *J Neurosci*. 2010;30(14):4999–5007.
- Green L, Fein D, Modahl C, Feinstein C, Waterhouse L, Morris M. Oxytocin and autistic disorder: alterations in peptide forms. *Biol Psychiatry*. 2001;50(8):609–13.
- Modahl C, Green L, Fein D, Morris M, Waterhouse L, Feinstein C, et al. Plasma oxytocin levels in autistic children. *Biol Psychiatry*. 1998;43(4):270–7.
- Jansen LM, Gispens-de Wied CC, Wiegant VM, Westenberg HG, Lahuis BE, van Engeland H. Autonomic and neuroendocrine responses to a psychosocial stressor in adults with autistic spectrum disorder. *J Autism Dev Disord*. 2006;36(7):891–9.
- Guastella AJ, Einfeld SL, Gray KM, Rinehart NJ, Tonge BJ, Lambert TJ, et al. Intranasal oxytocin improves emotion recognition for

- youth with autism spectrum disorders. *Biol Psychiatry*. 2010;67(7):692–4.
- Hollander E, Bartz J, Chaplin W, Phillips A, Sumner J, Soorya L, et al. Oxytocin increases retention of social cognition in autism. *Biol Psychiatry*. 2007;61(4):498–503.
- Hollander E, Novotny S, Hanratty M, Yaffe R, DeCaria CM, Aronowitz BR, et al. Oxytocin infusion reduces repetitive behaviors in adults with autistic and Asperger's disorders. *Neuropsychopharmacology*. 2003;28(1):193–8.
- Andari E, Duhamel JR, Zalla T, Herbrecht E, Leboyer M, Sirigu A. Promoting social behavior with oxytocin in high-functioning autism spectrum disorders. *Proc Natl Acad Sci USA*. 2010;107(9):4389–94.
- Wu S, Jia M, Ruan Y, Liu J, Guo Y, Shuang M, et al. Positive association of the oxytocin receptor gene (OXTR) with autism in the Chinese Han population. *Biol Psychiatry*. 2005;58(1):74–7.
- Liu X, Kawamura Y, Shimada T, Otowa T, Koishi S, Sugiyama T, et al. Association of the oxytocin receptor (OXTR) gene polymorphisms with autism spectrum disorder (ASD) in the Japanese population. *J Hum Genet*. 2010;55(3):137–41.
- Lerer E, Levi S, Salomon S, Darvasi A, Yirmiya N, Ebstein RP. Association between the oxytocin receptor (OXTR) gene and autism: relationship to Vineland Adaptive Behavior Scales and cognition. *Mol Psychiatry*. 2008;13(10):980–8.
- Wermer AK, Kamp-Becker I, Hesse P, Schulte-Korne G, Strauch K, Remschmidt H. Evidence for the involvement of genetic variation in the oxytocin receptor gene (OXTR) in the etiology of autistic disorders on high-functioning level. *Am J Med Genet B Neuropsychiatr Genet*. 2010;153B(2):629–39.
- Jacob S, Brune CW, Carter CS, Leventhal BL, Lord C, Cook Jr EH. Association of the oxytocin receptor gene (OXTR) in Caucasian children and adolescents with autism. *Neurosci Lett*. 2007;417(1):6–9.
- Yrigollen CM, Han SS, Kochetkova A, Babitz T, Chang JT, Volkmar FR, et al. Genes controlling affiliative behavior as candidate genes for autism. *Biol Psychiatry*. 2008;63(10):911–6.
- Tansey KE, Brookes KJ, Hill MJ, Cochrane LE, Gill M, Skuse D, et al. Oxytocin receptor (OXTR) does not play a major role in the aetiology of autism: Genetic and molecular studies. *Neurosci Lett*. 2010;474(3):163–7.
- Sullivan PF. Spurious genetic associations. *Biol Psychiatry*. 2007;61(10):1121–6.
- Campbell DB, Sutcliffe JS, Ebert PJ, Militeri R, Bravaccio C, Trillo S, et al. A genetic variant that disrupts MET transcription is associated with autism. *Proc Natl Acad Sci USA*. 2006;103(45):16834–9.
- Campbell DB, Li C, Sutcliffe JS, Persico AM, Levitt P. Genetic evidence implicating multiple genes in the MET receptor tyrosine kinase pathway in autism spectrum disorder. *Autism Res*. 2008;1(3):159–68.
- Horvath S, Xu X, Laird NM. The family based association test method: strategies for studying general genotype–phenotype associations. *Eur J Hum Genet*. 2001;9(4):301–6.
- Horvath S, Xu X, Lake SL, Silverman EK, Weiss ST, Laird NM. Family-based tests for associating haplotypes with general phenotype data: application to asthma genetics. *Genet Epidemiol*. 2004;26(1):61–9.
- Frazier TW, Youngstrom EA, Kubu CS, Sinclair L, Rezai A. Exploratory and confirmatory factor analysis of the Autism Diagnostic Interview-Revised. *J Autism Dev Disord*. 2008;38(3):474–80.
- Constantino JN, Abbacchi AM, Lavesser PD, Reed H, Givens L, Chiang L, et al. Developmental course of autistic social impairment in males. *Dev Psychopathol*. 2009;21(1):127–38.
- Campbell DB, Warren D, Sutcliffe JS, Lee EB, Levitt P. Association of MET with social and communication phenotypes in individuals with autism spectrum disorder. *Am J Med Genet B Neuropsychiatr Genet*. 2010;153B(2):438–46.
- Lucht MJ, Barnow S, Sonnenfeld C, Rosenberger A, Grabe HJ, Schroeder W, et al. Associations between the oxytocin receptor gene (OXTR) and affect, loneliness and intelligence in normal subjects. *Prog Neuropsychopharmacol Biol Psychiatry*. 2009;33(5):860–6.
- Wang K, Zhang H, Ma D, Bucan M, Glessner JT, Abrahams BS, et al. Common genetic variants on 5p14.1 associate with autism spectrum disorders. *Nature*. 2009;459(7246):528–33.
- Weiss LA, Arking DE, Daly MJ, Chakravarti A. A genome-wide linkage and association scan reveals novel loci for autism. *Nature*. 2009;461(7265):802–8.
- Anney R, Klei L, Pinto D, Regan R, Conroy J, Magalhaes TR, et al. A genome-wide scan for common alleles affection risk for autism. *Hum Mol Genet*. 2010;19(20):4072–82. doi:10.1093/hmg/ddq307.
- Glessner JT, Wang K, Cai G, Korvatska O, Kim CE, Wood S, et al. Autism genome-wide copy number variation reveals ubiquitin and neuronal genes. *Nature*. 2009;459(7246):569–73.
- Gregory SG, Connelly JJ, Towers AJ, Johnson J, Biscocho D, Markunas CA, et al. Genomic and epigenetic evidence for oxytocin receptor deficiency in autism. *BMC Med*. 2009;7:62.
- Shapiro LE, Insel TR. Ontogeny of oxytocin receptors in rat forebrain: a quantitative study. *Synapse*. 1989;4(3):259–66.
- Snijdewint FG, Van Leeuwen FW, Boer GJ. Ontogeny of vasopressin and oxytocin binding sites in the brain of Wistar and Brattleboro rats as demonstrated by lightmicroscopical autoradiography. *J Chem Neuroanat*. 1989;2(1):3–17.
- Wang Z, Moody K, Newman JD, Insel TR. Vasopressin and oxytocin immunoreactive neurons and fibers in the forebrain of male and female common marmosets (*Callithrix jacchus*). *Synapse*. 1997;27(1):14–25.
- Thompson BL, Levitt P. Now you see it, now you don't—closing in on allostasis and developmental basis of psychiatric disorders. *Neuron*. 2010;65(4):437–9.