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#### Abstract

Human receptor gC 1 qR is a 32 kD protein that mediates the cytoadherence of Plasmodium falciparum-infected erythrocytes (IEs) to human brain microvascular endothelial cells (HBMEC) and platelets. The cytoadherence of IEs to gC 1 qR has been associated with severe malaria symptoms. The cytoadherence to gC1qR is mediated by the Duffy binding-like $\beta 12$ (DBL $\beta 12$ ) domain of Plasmodium falciparum erythrocyte membrane protein 1 (PfEMP1), PFD0020c. Here, we report the structural insights into the binding of the DBL $\beta 12$ domain of PfEMP1 with the human receptor $\mathrm{gC1qR}$ using computational methods. A molecular model of the DBL $\beta 12$ domain was generated and used for protein-protein docking with the host receptor $g C 1 q R$. The protein-protein docking revealed that the DBL $\beta 12$ asymmetrically interacts with two subunits of the $\mathrm{gC1qR}$ trimer at the solution face of gC1qR. A total of 21 amino acid residues of DBL $\beta 12$ interact with 26 amino acid residues in the gC1qR trimer through 99 nonbonding interactions and 4 hydrogen bonds. Comparative analysis of binding sites on the DBL domain fold for the two receptors $\mathrm{gC1qR}$ and ICAM1 showed that the two sites are distinct. This is the first study that provides structural insights into DBL $\beta 12$ binding with its receptor $\mathrm{gC1qR}$ and may help in designing novel antisevere malaria interventions.
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## 1. Introduction

Malaria is one of the most devastating parasitic diseases. Malaria has caused about 409,000 deaths in 2019. An estimated $67 \%$ of all malaria deaths are among children under 5 years of age [1]. Most of the malaria related deaths are caused by Plasmodium falciparum infections, although 5 species of Plasmodium are known to cause human malaria. The $P$. falciparum infected erythrocytes (IEs) have the unique ability to cytoadhere to host cells and completely sequester in the blood vasculature of the human host. The P. falciparuminfected erythrocytes may form rosettes and clumps when they cytoadhere to uninfected erythrocytes and platelets, respectively. The P. falciparum-infected erythrocytes can also bind and adhere to microvascular endothelial cells and sequester in the blood vasculature. Sequestration of P. falciparum-infected erythrocytes may lead to obstruction in blood flow, elevation in locally released cytokine levels, and local hypoxia. Sequestration in the brain and placenta has been linked to severe malaria. Cytoadherence is thus considered as an important virulence mechanism of $P$. falciparum [2,3]. Blocking or reversing the sequestration in the brain and placenta may provide novel interventions against cerebral- and pregnancy-associated malaria, respectively [4,5]. Therefore, the study of receptor-ligand
interactions involved in the cytoadherence of P. falciparum is important for the research and development of novel malaria vaccines and antimalaria drugs.

Cytoadherence is mediated by specific receptor-ligand interactions. More than a dozen human receptors for cytoadherence have been identified, including CD36 [6], intracellular adhesion molecule-1 (ICAM1/CD54) [7], chondroitin sulphate-A (CSA) [8], endothelial protein C receptor (EPCR) [9], and gC1qR [10]. The parasite partner proteins in the receptor-ligand interaction pair mediating cytoadherence are known to be $P$. falciparum erythrocyte membrane protein 1 (PfEMP1) family members. PfEMP1 proteins are variant surface antigens of P. falciparum encoded by var genes [11]. About 60 var genes exist in a single $P$. falciparum genome, encoding just as many PfEMP1 proteins. Transcriptional switching of var gene expression provides a new cytoadherence phenotype to the parasite. The switching of var gene expression is a tool that allows the parasite to evade the host's immune response through antigenic variation [12]. PfEMP1 family members follow mutually exclusive expression patterns; a single member is expressed on the surface of a mature stage $P$. falciparum-infected erythrocyte [13].

The PfEMP1 proteins are transmembrane proteins that are expressed on the surface of an infected erythrocyte. PfEMP1 proteins are encoded by var genes. The var genes have been classified into 5 groups (A, B, C, AB, and BC groups) based on chromosomal location, gene orientation, and sequence features. Studies have suggested that group A and group B/A var gene expression in the IEs isolated from human hosts may be associated with severe malaria [14]. The large extracellular domain of PfEMP1s have multiple adhesive domains, including Duffy binding-like (DBL) domains and cystine-rich interdomain region (CIDR) domains. Based on the organization of these adhesive domains within a PfEMP1 protein, PfEMP1s have been further classified into different domain cassettes [15].

Earlier, we identified a novel receptor gC1qR that mediates the cytoadherence of P. falciparum-infected erythrocytes to human brain microvascular endothelial cells and platelets [10]. Studies suggest that cytoadherence to gC1qR may play an important role in severe malaria pathogenesis [16,17]. gC1qR protein exists as a homotrimer protein. It has neither a transmembrane domain, nor a predicted Glycosylphosphatidylinositol (GPI) anchor sequence [18]. However, the protein is known to be expressed on the surface of several human cells, including human brain microvascular endothelial cells, dendritic cells, and platelets [10]. The gC1qR trimer has two distinct faces: the membrane face and the solution face [18]. In addition to the surface of human cells, $\mathrm{gC1qR}$ is also present in blood serum in soluble form [19]. Activated and proliferating cells secrete a soluble form of gC 1 qR (sgC1qR), which is similar to gC1qR in structure and function [20]. A conserved motif consisting of 174-180 amino acids of sgC1qR is essential for its binding to endothelial cells through surface bound fibrinogen. Endothelial cells bound sgC1qR can act in an autocrine and paracrine manner to facilitate vasodilation [21]. Interestingly, mature-stage P. falciparum-infected erythrocytes can bind to soluble gC1qR [22].

The malaria parasite ligand that mediates cytoadherence to $\mathrm{gC1qR}$ has been identified as the PFD0020c protein, a member of the PfEMP1 family belonging to group A var gene. The PfD0020c protein has 5 DBL domains and 2 CIDR domains in its extracellular adhesive domains. It is the DBL $\beta 12$ domain of PfD0020c that interacts with $\mathrm{gC1qR}$ [23]. However, the interface region and interacting residues of DBL $\beta 12$ have not been explored yet. Computational methods including sequence information theoretic methods [24,25] and molecular docking simulation methods [26,27] are useful in the study of functionally critical residues and interacting residues in receptor-ligand interactions. Here, we have investigated the interface region and the key interacting residues that mediate the binding of gC 1 qR with DBL $\beta 12$ using computational methods.

## 2. Materials and Methods

Data Retrieval
The sequence of the PfEMP1 protein of Plasmodium falciparum (Strain 3D7) was obtained from the PlasmoDB database [28] by translating the transcript PFD0020c (New Tran-
script ID, PF3D7_0400400). The X-ray crystal structure of human receptor gC1qR in complex with Factor XII and kininogen with PDB Id: 6szw was obtained from the PDB database. This receptor structure was exploited for the protein-protein docking experiment.
Identification of DBL $\beta 12$ Domain in PfEMP1 Protein
For scanning Duffy binding domains, the PfEMP1 protein sequence was fed into the pfam database [29] and searched. The DBL $\beta 12$ domain was identified as ranging from 843-1030 amino acids of the PfEMP1 protein. However, we considered a broader range with additional residues at both ends, i.e., 745-1183 amino acids. This stretch of PfEMP1 was used for modeling of the DBL $\beta 12$ domain as this was the largest stretch encompassing the DBL $\beta 12$ domain matching its template in the PDB. The template was identified by the Blastp option of NCBI Blast.

## Molecular Modeling of the DBL $\beta 12$ Domain

Molecular modeling of the DBL $\beta 12$ domain of PfEMP1 was carried out using Modeller [30]. The template used for modeling was an ICAM1 binding DBL $\beta$ from the PDB. We have used an uncomplexed structure of DBL $\beta$ (6s8t) for our modelling to avoid ICAM1 binding-induced conformational changes. A total of 100 models were prepared using Modeller. The five best models were chosen based on either the lower value of the Modeller objective function or a DOPE assessment score with a higher value than the GA341 assessment score. Finally, the single best model was selected by Ramachandran plot analysis of the five best models using Procheck [31].
Protein-Protein Docking
The molecular docking of the DBL $\beta 12$ domain and the human gC 1 qR was carried out using Patchdock [31], and the predicted complexes were further refined using Firedock [32]. The Patchdock algorithm uses shape complementary criteria for protein-protein docking. The two protein molecules were divided into patches (concave, convex, and flat patches) and then the patches of the two proteins were superimposed for possible match. Finally, the obtained protein-protein complexes were scored and ranked using a geometric shape complementarity score.
Analysis of Protein-Protein Complex
The visual analysis of the protein-protein complex was performed using Pymol (DeLano WL: PyMOL. San Carlos, CA. DeLano Scientific, 2002), and illustrations were prepared. The molecular interactions between the DBL $\beta 12$ domain and the human $\mathrm{gC1qR}$ were predicted using the Dimplot option of Ligplot+ [33].
Sequence Alignment Analysis
The analysis and final illustration preparation of sequence alignment was performed using Jalview [34].
Molecular Dynamic Simulation
Molecular dynamic simulation was carried out by Gromacs with a charmm36-feb2021 force field. The proteins were solvated in a cubic box with a simple point-charge water molecule, spc216. The solvation box was generated having proteins at the center with edge distance from the surface of the protein at $10 \AA$. The whole solvated systems were neutralized by addition of counter ions $\left(\mathrm{Na}^{+}\right.$and $\left.\mathrm{Cl}^{-}\right)$followed by energy minimization using the steepest descent method. The periodic boundary conditions were implemented to avoid surface effects. The energy-minimized system was subjected to NVT and then NPT equilibration for 100 ps each. The equilibrated DBL $\beta 12$ protein and DBL $\beta 12-\mathrm{gC} 1 \mathrm{qR}$ complexes were simulated for 100 ns .

## 3. Results

### 3.1. Molecular Model of $D B L \beta 12$ Domain

The gC1qR-binding DBL $\beta 12$ domain had $48 \%$ identity and $63 \%$ similarity with the template DBL $\beta$ that binds ICAM1 (PDB Id: 6 s 8 t ). The alignment of the model and template
is shown in Figure 1, with identical residue columns highlighted in blue color. The structural model of the DBL $\beta 12$ domain is shown in ribbon representation colored rainbow spectrum and as a topology diagram in Figure 2.


Figure 1. Sequence alignment of the model DBL $\beta 12$ with the template $\operatorname{DBL} \beta$ (PDB ID: 6 s 8 t ). The identically conserved columns are highlighted in blue, and the conserved residues are shown in white color. The initial and final amino acid position in a row for the template and model are displayed, respectively. The red triangles mark the amino acid positions of DBL $\beta 12$ residues interacting with $\mathrm{gC1qR}$, while the green triangles mark the positions of DBL $\beta$ residues interacting with ICAM1.


Figure 2. Molecular model of DBL $\beta 12$. (A) Ribbon representation of the DBL $\beta 12$ model colored in spectrum rainbow with helices labeled with helix number. Color is in gradient from the N -terminal to the C-terminal, starting from dark blue, continuing to green and then yellow and finally C-terminal in red. (B) Topology diagram of DBL $\beta 12$ domain with labeled helices. Topology diagram shows sequential secondary structure elements along the whole length of the protein. N and C enclosed in yellow squares represent N - and C - terminals of the protein. The red cylindrical structures marked with initial and final amino acid positions represent alpha helices, pink wide-arrows marked with initial and final amino acid positions represent beta strands, and blue lines marked with direction 'N-terminal to C-terminal' represent random coil or loops.

The evaluation of the model using Ramachandran plot analysis is shown in Figure 3. Table 1 shows that a high percentage of residues, $87.3 \%$, fall in the most favorable regions comparable to that of the template $(89.0 \%)$. The low percentage of residues, $0.3 \%$ (only one
residue), fall in disallowed regions, as seen in the template as well [35]. Ramachandran plot analysis establishes that the quality of the model is as good as the template.


Figure 3. Ramachandran plot for (A) the model (DBL $\beta 12$ ) and (B) the template used. All the residues are shown as dots (except Glycine, shown as triangles) in four different regions of the plot: most favorable ( $\mathrm{A}, \mathrm{B}, \mathrm{L}$ ) in red color, additional allowed ( $\mathrm{a}, \mathrm{b}, \mathrm{l}, \mathrm{p}$ ) in yellow, generously allowed ( $\sim \mathrm{a}, \sim \mathrm{b}, \sim 1, \sim \mathrm{p}$ ) in light yellow, and disallowed regions (remaining) in white colors. Glycine residues shown as triangles are special in having no side chain (only H-atom), so restrictions for being in different regions of the plot does not apply on them.

Table 1. Ramachandran plot analysis of the model with respect to its template. The percentage of residues are listed in most favorable, additional allowed, generously allowed, and disallowed regions of the plot.

|  | Status of the Residues in Ramachandran Plot |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Protein | Most <br> Favourable | Additional <br> Allowed | Generously <br> Allowed | Disallowed |
| Template | $89.0 \%$ | $10.3 \%$ | $0.5 \%$ | $0.3 \%$ |
| Model | $87.3 \%$ | $10.4 \%$ | $2.0 \%$ | $0.3 \%$ |

### 3.2. Molecular Recognition of Human Receptor $g C 1 q R$ by the DBL $\beta 12$ Domain

Protein-protein docking analysis shows that the two proteins bind well, and the complementary surfaces, the projections, and the recesses in the surfaces were well interlocked. The docking results revealed that the DBL $\beta 12$ binds to the ligand accessible solution face of gClqR and not to the membrane face. This agrees with what is expected as the solution face is the only face accessible for binding. The absolute value of the binding energy was high ( $-18.69 \mathrm{Kcal} / \mathrm{mol}$ ), thus the complex formed was quite stable. The list of interacting residues for both the proteins and number of molecular interactions is provided in the Table 2. The protein-protein interaction plot for the interacting residues of both the proteins is shown in Figure 4.

### 3.3. Interface Area and Interacting Residues of DBLß12-gC1qR Complex

DBL $\beta 12$ binds the trimeric $\mathrm{gC1qR}$ receptor by making interactions with the two identical monomers in the gC1qR trimer, as shown Figure 4 and Table 2. The three monomers of gClqR in the $\mathrm{gC1qR}$ trimer are referred to as $\mathrm{gC1qR}{ }^{A}, \mathrm{gClqR}^{B}$, and $g C 1 q R^{C}$, as per their subunit/monomer chain identifier (ID) in the PDB file. These monomers were identical, and the analysis was performed with respect to these monomer chain IDs.

The monomer chain IDs were used here as references and showed that the DBL $\beta 12$ bound to the trimeric receptor and interacted differently with the two identical monomers in the trimer. Thus, the predicted DBL $\beta 12$ interaction was asymmetric with respect to the three monomers of human receptor gC 1 qR . The monomer $\mathrm{gC} 1 \mathrm{qR}^{\mathrm{A}}$ contributed most to the binding interactions by forming 62 nonbonded contacts and four hydrogen bonds with its DBL $\beta 12$ ligand. While the $\mathrm{gC1qR}^{\mathrm{B}}$ was forming only 37 nonbonded contacts with its DBL $\beta 12$ ligand.

Table 2. Interacting residues of the PfEMP1 DBL $\beta 12$ domain and homotrimeric receptor $\mathrm{gC1qR}$ at the interface area with number of nonbonded and hydrogen-bonding interactions. The gC1qR residues are marked with the name of monomeric subunit in parentheses (A) and (B).

| DBL $\beta 12$ Residues | gC1qR Residues | Hydrogen <br> Bonds | Non-Bonded <br> Contacts |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Gly-745 | Thr-165 (A) |  | 1 |
| Lys-746 | Glu-190 (A) |  | 1 |
| Leu-747 | Asp-254 (A) |  | 2 |
| Val-748 | Ile-203 (A) |  | 1 |
| Asp-906 | His-238 (A) |  | 3 |
| Gln-907 | His-238 (A) |  | 6 |
| Gln-907 | Asp-241 (A) |  | 2 |
| Asn-908 | Asp-237 (A) |  | 3 |
| Asn-908 | Trp-233 (A) |  | 3 |
| Asn-908 | Ala-234 (A) |  | 5 |
| Lys-1000 | Asp-241 (A) | 1 | 1 |
| Met-1002 | Asp-241 (A) |  | 1 |
| Gly-1149 | Asp-202 (A) |  | 1 |
| Lys-1150 | Asp-202 (A) | 1 | 2 |
| Val-1152 | Trp-233 (A) |  | 5 |
| Val-1152 | Tyr-236 (A) |  | 5 |
| Val-1152 | Asp-237 (A) |  | 3 |
| Gly-1153 | Trp-233 (A) |  | 7 |
| Asn-1155 | Leu-231 (A) | 1 | 2 |
| Lys-1158 | Asp-229 (A) | 1 | 4 |
| Lys-1164 | Glu-190 (A) |  | 4 |
| Asp-906 | Phe-85 (B) |  | 7 |
| Gln-907 | Gly-78 (B) |  | 3 |
| Gln-907 | Ala-81 (B) |  | 2 |
| Lys-1046 | Thr-163 (B) |  | 12 |
| Gly-1047 | Glu-258 (B) |  | 2 |
| Lys-1048 | Glu-258 (B) |  | 8 |
| Lys-1048 | Asp-254 (B) |  | 1 |
| Gln-1049 | Glu-258 (B) |  | 1 |
| Glu-1176 | Ile-139 (B) |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |

A total of 21 DBL $\beta 12$ residues were predicted to interact with $\mathrm{gC1qR}$. These 21 interacting residues were Gly-745, Lys-746, Leu-747, Val-748, Asp-906, Gln-907, Asn-908, Lys-1000, Met-1002, Lys-1046, Gly-1047, Lys-1048, Gln-1049, Gly-1149, Lys-1150, Val-1152, Gly-1153, Asn-1155, Lys-1158, Lys-1164, and Glu-1176. These 21 DBL $\beta 12$ residues contributed to a total of 99 nonbonded contacts and four hydrogen bonds and stabilized the protein-protein complex. Among these 21 interacting residues of DBL $\beta 12$, four residues (Lys-1000, Lys1150, Asn-1155, and Lys-1158) formed one hydrogen bond each. The maximum number of nonbonded interactions were 12, formed by Lys-1046.

The 21 DBL $\beta 12$ residues can be mapped to five continuous peptide stretches to facilitate the identification of targets to disrupt gC1qR-DBL $\beta 12$ interaction. These amino acid stretches include 745-748 (Peptide-1, 4 residues), 906-908 (Peptide-2, 3 residues), 1000-1002 (Peptide-3, 3 residues), 1046-1049 (Peptide-4, 4 residues), and 1149-1176 (Peptide5,28 residues). The peptide-1, peptide-3, and peptide-5 bind subunit $\mathrm{gC} 1 \mathrm{qR}^{\mathrm{A}}$. The Peptide4 binds subunit $g C 1 q R^{B}$. The peptide-2 binds both the subunits $g C 1 q R^{A}$ and $g C 1 q R^{B}$.


Figure 4. Protein-protein interaction plot of DBL $\beta 12$ and human receptor $g C 1 q R$. (A). The interacting proteins, DBL $\beta 12$ and $\mathrm{gC1qR}$, are marked at the top, and their amino acid residues are shown in columns. The amino acids are shown in elliptical shapes and colored based on their physicochemical properties. The nonbonded contacts between two amino acids are shown as dashed lines in light orange color. The thickness of the dashed lines corresponds to the number of the interactions between the two residues. The hydrogen bonds between two residues are shown as blue lines. (B-E). The hydrogen bonds between DBL $\beta 12$ and the A subunit of gC1qR are shown in ball and stick representations. The balls represent atoms, and the lines joining two atoms represent bonds. The various atom types are distinguished based on color (C-atoms, black; N-atoms, blue; O-atoms, red). The hydrogen bonds are shown as green, thick lines labeled with bond length ( $\AA$ ). The residues with a pink backbone shown on the top of the hydrogen bond belong to DBL $\beta 12$, and the residues with a light orange backbone shown below the hydrogen bonds belong to A subunit of gC1qR.

### 3.4. Comparative Analysis of $g C 1 q R$-Binding Site and ICAM1 Binding Sites in DBL Domains

The structural superposition of DBL $\beta$ bound with ICAM1 and DBL $\beta 12$ bound with $g C 1 q R$ shows that the ICAM1 and gC1qR-binding site regions fell at two separate locations of the structural fold of the DBL $\beta$ domain, as shown in Figure 5. Thus, the interaction occurs at two distinct sites in the DBL domain with no overlapping regions.

The DBL $\beta$ domain that specifically binds ICAM1 and the DBL $\beta 12$ domain that binds gC1qR share $48 \%$ sequence identity. However, the sequence identity at the interacting sites of these two domains with their respective receptors was low, suggesting binding specificity induced selection pressure at these amino acid locations. Among the $21 \mathrm{DBL} \beta 12$ residues that interact $g C 1 q R$, only four residues ( $19 \%$ ) were conserved in the alignment
of DBL $\beta 12$ and DBL $\beta$ (red marked in Figure 1). Similarly, among 19 residues that interact ICAM1, only two residues (10\%) were conserved in the alignment of DBL $\beta 12$ and DBL $\beta$ (green marked in Figure 1). These observations suggest that the receptor binding specificity is determined by the variations in the interacting residues of the DBL $\beta$ and DBL $\beta 12$ domains.


Figure 5. Structural superposition of DBL domains with bound respective receptors. All the protein subunits are shown in ribbon representation. The two DBL domains DBL $\beta 12$ and DBL $\beta$ are superimposed and colored green and light orange, respectively. The DBL $\beta$ receptor ICAM1 is shown in purple color, while the DBL $\beta 12$ receptor gC 1 qR is shown in blue color. The binding sites for the two receptors are located at different regions on the surface of the DBL domain.

### 3.5. Molecular Dynamic Simulation

The molecular dynamic simulation was performed on the DBL $\beta 12$ model and the DBL $\beta 12-\mathrm{gC} 1 \mathrm{qR}$ complex to evaluate the stability of the protein model and the complex, respectively. The DBL $\beta 12$ model was subjected to 100 ns simulation, and the RMSD plot showed the initial increase in RMSD (till approx. 16 ns ), and then the plateau was reached. The low deviation in RMSD values during 100 ns simulation indicate the good stability of the DBL $\beta 12$ model. The complex of the DBL $\beta 12-\mathrm{gC} 1 \mathrm{qR}$ proteins was also subjected to 100 ns simulation, and the RMSD plot showed initial increase till 40ns, and then the plateau was reached. This observation of low deviations in RMSD values of the complex during the simulation also suggests that the protein complex is stable.

## 4. Discussion

This study has identified and characterized molecular interaction between $\mathrm{gC1qR}$ and DBL $\beta 12$ of PfEMP1 PFD0020c of P. falciparum 3D7 using computational methods. This is the first study to characterize the structural details of $\mathrm{gC} 1 \mathrm{qR}-\mathrm{DBL} \beta 12$ interaction in malaria. Our study has used several rational approaches that have made our computational
characterization more realistic. Firstly, to build the model of DBL $\beta 12$, we have used a template of another PfEMP1 DBL $\beta$ crystal structure in an unbound state to its receptor, and thus we have removed the influence of the bound receptor on the ligand in our model. Secondly, the identity and similarity between our model and the template protein sequence was $48 \%$ and $63 \%$, respectively, giving us a fair amount of confidence in our predicted structure. Thirdly, the length of the amino acid sequence of the model was chosen to match the length of the template sequence, meaning we have included adjacent stretches of protein that may have influence on the folding of the DBL $\beta 12$ domain in the template while retaining all the conserved residues that are hallmarks of DBL domain. Fourthly, the amino acid residues that interact with gC1qR were not much conserved between the DBL $\beta 12$ and DBL $\beta$ despite a $48 \%$ sequence identity in the two ligands. The amino acid residues in the two DBL domains ought to be different since the structure of their receptors are different. And finally, while modeling the interaction, we have not given any constrains to the computer program to choose any portion or face of the receptor or ligand. Despite using such criteria, our model showed that the DBL $\beta 12$ binds to the solution face of $\mathrm{gC1qR}$. The solution face is the only face of membrane attached $\mathrm{gC1qR}$ that is available for interaction to ligands.

Interestingly, we found that the two monomers, $g C 1 q^{A}$ and $g C 1 q R^{B}$, of the $g C 1 q R$ trimer interact with the DBL $\beta 12$ domain. This interaction is asymmetric with respect to the $\mathrm{gC1qR}$ trimer (Figure A1A) in Appendix A. This type of asymmetric interaction is expected when the receptor is multimeric and the ligand is a monomer. In fact, the interaction between $\mathrm{gC1qR}$ with factor XII and kininogen (PDB Id: 6 szw ) is asymmetric as well, involving only one subunit of gC1qR [36].

Protein-protein docking results showed that 21 of the $\mathrm{DB} \beta 12$ residues interacted with 26 residues of the gC1qR trimer through 99 nonbonding interactions and 4 hydrogen bonds. Of the 21 interacting residues of DBL $\beta 12$, five residues, Lys-1000, Lys-1150, Asn-1155, Lys-1158, and Lys-1046, were proposed as key interacting residues since they formed the major part of the molecular interactions. We have identified 5 stretches of peptides in DBL $\beta 12$ that can be targeted for the disruption of $\mathrm{gC} 1 \mathrm{qR}-\mathrm{DBL} \beta 12$ interactions. We have named these peptide stretches as peptide-1 to peptide- 5 to facilitate identification. While peptide- 1 to peptide- 4 are three to four amino acid long, the peptide- 5 is the longest, comprising 28 amino acids. Peptide- 5 can be divided into two, since synthesis of smaller peptides is less error prone. These peptide regions can be targeted for disrupting gC1qRDBL $\beta 12$ interactions. The monomer A of the $\mathrm{gC1qR}$ trimer makes most of the contacts with DBL $\beta 12$, including all 4 hydrogen bonds. Therefore, we anticipate that disrupting the interactions between $\operatorname{DBL} \beta 12$ and $g C 1 q R^{\mathrm{A}}$ will have stronger disruptive effect on the receptor-ligand interactions as compared to targeting the interactions between DBL $\beta 12$ and $\mathrm{gC} 1 \mathrm{qR}^{\mathrm{B}}$. Disruption of the interactions between $\mathrm{DBL} \beta 12$ and both $\mathrm{gC} 1 \mathrm{qR}^{\mathrm{A}} \& \mathrm{gCl}^{2} \mathrm{qR}^{B}$ may have synergistic effects. Further studies are needed to test these predictions. The information of key interacting residues and the proposed amino acid stretches may help in research on the development of an anti-severe malaria vaccine as well as the rational designing of novel therapeutics to treat and manage severe malaria.

The DBL $\beta$ domain that binds ICAM1 and the DBL $\beta 12$ domain that binds gC 1 qR share sequence homology and belong to same domain class. It is intriguing how P. falciparum employs the different DBL domains to bind a variety of host receptors [37]. We attempted to understand the binding specificity of these two DBL domains. The structural superposition of the DBL domains and amino acid conservation analysis of the binding sites of ICAM1 and gC 1 qR for DBL domains (DBL $\beta$ and DBL $\beta 12$ ) revealed that the ICAM1 and gC1qR bind at distinct locations on the DBL domain surface, and the binding specificity may be attributed to variations in the amino acid positions found in the binding site regions for the two receptors despite them having a similar structure fold of the DBL domain.

Multiligand receptors like gC1qR generally employ certain residues more frequently to interact with their ligands. For instance, the hepatitis $C$ virus core protein interacts with gC1qR at residues 188-259 [38], a site that overlaps with the DBL $\beta 12$ interacting
site identified in this study. Similarly, we have found that $\operatorname{Trp}-233$ is a very prominent amino acid that makes 17 nonbonded interactions with the DBL $\beta 12$. The Trp-233 has a conspicuous position on the solution face of the gC 1 qR trimer (Figure A1B), and it has also been reported to be involved in the interaction of $\mathrm{gC1qR}$ with kininogen and the generation of bradykinin as well [39]. It will be interesting to see if the binding of DBL $\beta 12$ to gC 1 qR can inhibit kininogen binding and bradykinin production.

The complement component C1q binds at residues 76-93 of gC1qR [40], a site containing three residues (Gly-78, Ala-81, and Phe-85) which interact with DBL $\beta 12$. Further, C1q and PfEMP1 proteins are very large in size. Therefore, the binding of one of the proteins to $\mathrm{gC1qR}$ is likely to hinder the binding of the other protein. If this happens, the cytoadherence of $P$. falciparum-infected erythrocytes to gClqR may affect the localized complement activation.

To our knowledge, this is the first study that reports the interaction between $\mathrm{gC1qR}$ and its pathogen ligand involving two of its three subunits. This asymmetric interaction between $\mathrm{gC1qR}$ and the DBL $\beta 12$ domain employs several amino acid residues that gC1qR uses to interact with its other ligands as well [38,40]. However, Ile-139 and Thr-163 seems to be the $\mathrm{gC1qR}$ residues that are unique to its interaction with the malaria ligand DBL $\beta 12$. $\mathrm{gC1qR}$ is also secreted from human cells and is also present as a soluble receptor in the blood. Serum levels of soluble gC1qR get upregulated during inflammation and certain cancers. gC1qr has been implicated in cancer progression as an inducer of angiogenesis and facilitator of metastasis, as well as through the inhibition of innate immune response against cancerous cells through free C 1 q depletion [41]. Thus, $\mathrm{gC1qR}$ is considered as a potential therapeutic target against certain cancers [42]. Our previous works have shown that P. falciparum-infected erythrocytes bind to cell surface $\mathrm{gC1qR}$ as well as soluble $\mathrm{gC} 1 \mathrm{qR}[10,16,22]$. It will be interesting to see if during falciparum infection P. falciparum-infected erythrocytes bind to soluble $\mathrm{gC1qR}$ present in blood and modulate its local concentration and function. We hypothesize that gC1qR ligands of P. falciparum may provide valuable intervention targets, not only against severe malaria, but also against certain cancers. In fact, evidence suggests that another P. falciparum cytoadherence receptor, VAR2CSA, is useful for targeting human cancers [43]. Further studies are needed to explore the role of this important cytoadherence receptor in severe malaria and other diseases.
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## Appendix A



Figure A1. The complex of DBL $\beta 12$ and human receptor $\mathrm{gC1qR}$ in surface representation. (A). One view of the complex showing labeled DBL $\beta 12$ in green color and the trimeric receptor with each subunit colored differently. (B). Another view of the complex with interlocked surfaces between the DBL $\beta 12$ and the subunits of $\mathrm{gC1qR}$. The position of conspicuous residue Trp-233 that forms the maximum nonbonding interactions with the ligand is shown.


Figure A2. The molecular dynamic simulations of the DBL $\beta 12$ model and DBL $\beta 12-\mathrm{gC1qR}$ complex. (A) Root mean square deviation (RMSD) variation with respect to time for simulation of DBL $\beta 12$. (B). RMSD variation with respect to time for simulation of DBL $\beta 12-\mathrm{gC} 1 \mathrm{qR}$ complex.
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