Open Access Full Text Article

REVIEW

Antibiotic susceptibility, heteroresistance, and updated treatment strategies in *Helicobacter pylori* infection

Maria Teresa Mascellino¹ Barbara Porowska² Massimiliano De Angelis¹ Alessandra Oliva¹

¹Department of Public Health and Infectious Diseases, ²Department of Cardio-Thoracic, Vascular, General Surgery and of Organ Transplants, Policlinico Umberto I, Rome, Italy



Correspondence: Maria Teresa Mascellino Department of Public Health and Infectious Diseases, Policlinico Umberto I°Viale del Policlinico 155, 00161 Rome, Italy Tel +39 6 4997 0880 Fax +39 6 4997 2628 Email mariateresa.mascellino@ uniroma I.it



Abstract: In this review, we discuss the problem of antibiotic resistance, heteroresistance, the utility of cultures and antibiotic susceptibility tests in *Helicobacter pylori* (Hp) eradication, as well as the updated treatment strategies for this infection. The prevalence of antibiotic resistance is increasing all over the world, especially for metronidazole and clarithromycin, because of their heavy use in some geographical areas. Heteroresistance (simultaneous presence of both susceptible and resistant strains in different sites of a single stomach) is another important issue, as an isolate could be mistakenly considered susceptible if a single biopsy is used for antimicrobial tests. We also examined literature data regarding eradication success rates of culture-guided and empiric therapies. The empiric therapy and the one based on susceptibility testing, in Hp eradication, may depend on several factors such as concomitant diseases, the number of previous antibiotic treatments, differences in bacterial virulence in individuals with positive or negative cultures, together with local antibiotic resistance patterns in real-world settings. Updated treatment strategies in Hp infection presented in the guidelines of the Toronto Consensus Group (2016) are reported. These suggest to prolong eradication therapy up to 14 days, replacing the old triple therapy with a quadruple therapy based on proton pump inhibitor (PPI), bismuth, metronidazole, and tetracycline for most of the patients, or as an alternative quadruple therapy without bismuth, based on the use of PPI, amoxicillin, metronidazole, and clarithromycin. The new drug vonoprazan, a first-in-class potassium-competitive acid blocker recently approved in Japan, is also considered to be a promising solution for Hp eradication, even for clarithromycinresistant strains. Furthermore, there is growing interest in finding new therapeutic strategies, such as the development of vaccines or the use of natural resources, including probiotics, plants, or nutraceuticals.

Keywords: eradication, biopsies, rescue

Plain language summary

In this review, we discuss the issue of an important gastric pathogen, *Helicobacter pylori (Hp)*, which has been discovered quite recently in 1983. This microorganism is involved in several diseases such as gastritis, peptic ulcer, lymphoma, gastro-oesophageal reflux, and gastric cancer. This infection can be permanent in the absence of an appropriate treatment, and *Hp* intrinsic antibiotic resistance is an important obstacle to eradication.

Hp infection is widespread with about 50% of world population infected. In developing countries, especially in lower socioeconomic classes, the prevalence is higher (about 80%), whereas in the developed areas such as USA, Canada, Japan, and Western Europe, the prevalence is much lower (about 25%–30%). Therefore, it is crucial to identify effective therapies capable of curing Hp infection. We reviewed literature data searching for the best options to eradicate this pathogen that ensure both patients' compliance and the fewest side effects.

Drug Design, Development and Therapy 2017:11 2209-2220

2209

© 2017 Maxellino et al. This work is published and licensed by Dove Medical Press Limited. The full terms of this license are available at https://www.dovepress.com/terms.php hereby accept the Terms. Non-commercial uses of the work are permitted without any further permission from Dove Medical Press Limited, provided the work is properly attributed. For permission for commercial use of this work, please see paragraph 4.2 and 5 of our Terms (http://www.dovepress.com/terms.php).

Introduction

Helicobacter pylori (*Hp*) is a Gram-negative mobile bacillus, difficult to be cultured, which has been reported to be genetically extremely variable, and this heterogeneity is proposed to be involved in its ability to cause different diseases, detrimental and nondetrimental chronic infections.^{1,2} As a matter of fact, *Hp* is involved in a wide variety of infections such as chronic active gastritis, peptic ulcer disease, gastric carcinoma, and mucosa-associated lymphoid tissue (MALT) lymphoma. Furthermore, epidemiological and eradication studies have demonstrated a causal relationship between *Hp* infections and endothelial dysfunction leading to vascular diseases.^{3,4}

Usually, the colonization occurs primarily during childhood, especially in the developing areas, predominantly in the same family as a consequence of cohort effect.⁵ This colonization is widely asymptomatic even if a long-lasting infection can be established in some subjects. Although the prevalence of Hp seems to decrease in some parts of the world, the presence of this infection remains high in some populations ranging from 25% to 86%, depending on the areas under consideration. According to the Center for Diseases Control in USA (www.cdc.gov/ncidod/dbmd/ hpylori.htm), about 50% of the world population is infected with Hp.⁶⁻⁸ Generally, the prevalence of infection is more closely correlated with socioeconomic conditions than with geographic origin. For what concerns industrialized countries, the prevalence is 20% of the population under 40 and 50% of people over 60, whereas it is rare in children. A low socioeconomic status and a large flux of immigrants represent risk factors for the infection in these settings. On the contrary, in developing countries, infection involves the majority of the adult population (about 80%) and 10% of children from 2 to 8 years old. The prevalence of Hp infection in the white population of USA and South Africa is almost comparable to that of Europe, whereas other ethnic groups have double prevalence values.⁹ More virulent strains (CagA + and VacA +) have been found in the general male population in the UK reaching up to 44% of the tested individuals and even more in a previous epidemiological survey in Italy regarding a population of military students.^{10,11}

Infection is virtually lifelong in the absence of treatment, implying that evasion of the host response is efficient.

Hp antibiotic resistance is a primary hurdle to achieving eradication. Treatment regimens that have been used over the past decade are declining in efficacy, and the treatment of this infection is bedeviled by drug-resistant strains. The leading causes of treatment failure are antimicrobial resistance and nonadherence to therapy (poor patient compliance).

Hp is described as a microorganism that can easily acquire resistance to antimicrobial agents leading to increased prevalence of antibiotic-resistant strains, thus reducing success with traditional therapies.¹² The acquired resistance can be due either to antibiotic consumption in individual lifetime or to repeated therapeutic attempts.¹³ Susceptibility testing should be then the method of choice in guiding the most appropriate cure.¹⁴

The goal of Hp therapy should be the achievement of eradication in about 90% of treated patients but this result is hardly reached.¹⁵

The aim of the present review is to discuss the results of the sensitivity in vitro of the most common antibiotics, the presence of heteroresistance (HR) in different infected parts of the single stomach, the value of cultures and antibiotic susceptibility tests in the eradication rate, as well as updated treatment strategies.

Susceptibility to antibiotics

The most common antibiotics used in *Hp* treatment are the following: metronidazole (MZ), clarithromycin (CLA), amoxicillin (AMX), tetracycline (TE), levofloxacin (LEV). The resistance rates vary widely depending on geographical areas, so that therapy should be tailored according to regional antibiotic resistance patterns and individual characteristics. At the beginning of the 1990s, a low prevalence of CLA resistance was detected ranging from 1% to 8%, whereas MZ resistance resulted to be stable over time ranging from 20% to 40%. The resistance to AMX resulted to be very low (1%-3%).¹⁶⁻¹⁸ In the last years the prevalence of CLA and MZ secondary resistance has become very high (67%–82% for CLA and 52%–80% for MZ).¹⁹

The patterns of resistance to antimicrobials may change over time, considering that in countries where CLA resistance is progressively higher, there is a subsequent use of MZ-based therapies, which leads to an increase in MZ resistance. *Hp* may acquire resistance to rescue antibiotics during the course of eradication therapies or treatments of other bacterial infections, thereby becoming multiresistant and difficult to remove.^{15,20}

Bacterial antibiotic resistance can be classified as intrinsic or acquired resistance; the first is a genetic property for most bacterial strains and typically evolves regardless of clinical use of antibiotics; the latter implies that a susceptible organism has developed resistance to antimicrobial agents to which it was previously susceptible.^{21,22}

A) Resistance to MZ: MZ resistance is due to point mutations regarding *rdxA* and *frxA* genes that prevent intracellular

activation of the drug by inhibiting the nitroreductases, which activates the prodrug (a synthetic nitroimidazole) in its active form within the cytosol of the bacterial cells.²³ MZ resistance is a frequent issue in various geographical areas because of epidemic diseases (eg, amoebic, gynecological, and anaerobic infections) endemic in different countries. In vitro results often do not correlate with in vivo efficacy. The E-test has been established as a reliable method for measuring antibiotic resistance to all antimicrobials in vitro, except for MZ for which it overestimates the resistance. This discrepancy between in vitro MZ resistance and treatment outcome may partially be explained by changes in oxygen pressure in the gastric environment, as MZ-resistant Hp isolates become MZsusceptible under low oxygen conditions in vitro.²⁴ The role of these inactivating mutations on the reversibility of MZ resistance under low oxygen conditions is well established. In fact, it has been found that under anaerobic as well as microaerophilic conditions, all isolates lose their MZ resistance, while no effect is seen with other antibiotics used in Hp treatment such as AMX, TE, and LEV.²⁴ The resistance to MZ is quite high in almost all studied countries. Li et al²⁵ found 75.2% of resistance rate in a population of children in People's Republic of China. In Morocco, the rate was 40%, in the USA it ranged from 20% to 40%, in central Italy (Abruzzo) it was 34.69% in gastric antrum and 42.16% in fundus.²⁶⁻²⁸ Only a multicenter study conducted in Northeast Italy by Pilotto et al²⁹ found a resistance rate as low as 14.9%. In any case, a higher resistance rate has been detected in developing countries (50%-80%) such as Mexico (about 77%).³⁰ In Japan and in Canada the prevalence was 9%-12% and 18%-22%, respectively.^{31,32} These differences are probably due to an extensive use of MZ in each country. In fact, an earlier use of this drug is associated with an increase in MZ resistance.33

B) Resistance to CLA: CLA resistance is due to point mutations in the 23S rRNA genes (ie, A2143G and A2142G). These mutations prevent the macrolide from binding to the 50S ribosomal subunits.³⁴ Resistance to CLA has been studied on a large scale because of the fact that it has been considered the antibiotic of choice over the last years. The local pattern of *Hp* resistance to CLA results to be crucial in each country, taking into account that in countries where CLA resistance is above 15%–20%, this drug should not be used. CLA-resistant *Hp* has been extensively studied: its prevalence has become increasingly higher in many geographical areas. Resistance to

CLA depends on the local Hp seropositivity rate. This means that in regions with a low prevalence of Hp, antibiotic resistance seems not to increase over time, whereas in regions with a high prevalence of infection, a high increase of antibiotic resistance related to the rise of Hpseropositivity is detected.^{35,36} For instance, in People's Republic of China, an increase of CLA resistance from 15% in 2000 to 53% in 2014 was accompanied by a rise in seropositivity rates from 65% to 83%.^{37–39} In contrast, in a multicenter retrospective study of children population in People's Republic of China, the pattern of Hp antibiotic resistance showed no significant changes in the resistance rates to CLA, AMX, furazolidone, and MZ over 7 years. The age of children slightly affected the resistance rates to CLA and MZ.²⁵

Similarly in Japan, an increase in CLA resistance ranging from 1.8% in 1996 to 27.1% in 2008 has been described.36 In Korea, CLA resistance increased from 11% in 2005 to 60% in 2009.40 In Morocco (Rabat) the prevalence of primary resistance to CLA was 29% with 2% of strains showing double resistance to MZ and CLA at the same time.²⁶ In Central Italy, Di Giulio et al²⁸ performed a study involving nine antibiotics, which showed an overall CLA resistance rate of 72.44% in gastric antrum and 72.8% in fundus, concluding that the high rate of resistance to CLA and also to MZ (34.69% in antrum and 42.16% in fundus) as well as to quinolones (42.85% and 53.01% in antrum and fundus, respectively) might reflect their overuse. In the USA there is an increase of CLA resistance as well.²⁷ In a study on a pediatric population in the USA, the resistance rate was as high as 50%.⁴¹

The varying distribution of CLA resistance in different geographical areas raises the issue of an accurate study of the local situation of antibiotic resistance in order to better guide treatment regimens.

- C) Resistance to AMX: Resistance to AMX is due to alterations of penicillin-binding proteins and to decreased antibiotic membrane permeability in the cytosol of bacterial cells (active efflux pump that excretes drugs).⁴² AMX resistance results to be very low in most countries being <2% in Europe (Germany and the Netherlands) and higher (up to 38%) in Asia and South America.⁴³⁻⁴⁶ In People's Republic of China it has been detected in only 0.06% of the population.²⁵
- D) Resistance to TE: The mechanism of TE resistance is based on the inhibition of protein synthesis through binding to the 30S subunit of ribosomes, thus blocking the synthesis of nascent peptide chains.⁴⁷ Resistance

to TE is very low (0.7%-1%) or even absent in most countries.^{48,49}

E) Resistance to LEV: Point mutations of gyrase A coding for DNA gyrase are involved in LEV resistance.⁵⁰ There is a limited number of studies evaluating susceptibility to LEV. In Italy LEV resistance has been reported to be 22%–24% similarly in Portugal.^{51,52} In People's Republic of China, in a multicenter, retrospective study of Chinese children, the resistance rates were lower, accounting for 6.7% of the study population.²⁵ LEV resistance rate of 11% was detected in Morocco by Bouihat et al²⁶ in a multicenter study of patients referred for gastroduodenal endoscopy who had never been treated previously. Anyway, there is concern that LEV resistance may increase in many countries.^{53–55}

Taken together these data show that resistance to different antimicrobial agents varies greatly all over the world based on comorbidities, previous diseases, age, socioeconomic conditions, the number of eradication therapy cycles, the use and overuse of antibiotics, as well as the period of time in which the study was conducted. It is important to highlight all these variables in order to obtain a general view of the current situation in each country.

In our research conducted at the University Hospital in Rome, 61 strains were isolated from 50 patients affected with pangastritis who underwent at least two or more eradication cycles (up to nine). We have observed a higher resistance rate compared to other national studies performed in patients with different pathologies and no previous therapeutic regimens. As shown in Table 1 (Mascellino, unpublished data, 2013), the values of minimum inhibitory concentrations (MICs) of the tested antibiotics determined by E-test and relative rates of resistance regarding our patients are reported. Resistance to MZ was up to 82% and that to CLA reached 54%. These strains appear to be highly resistant to MZ with three strains showing an MIC value >256 mcg/mL. AMX is the most effective antibiotic as well as TE (5% and 7% of resistance, respectively). Resistance rate to LEV was 25%. Double resistance to MZ and CLA, which can be demonstrated in about half of the strains under study, may lead to a worse course of the disease and poor eradication outcome.

We have detected higher resistance rates compared to other studies, especially for CLA and MZ. In fact, in one survey taking into consideration a Polish population studied in 2014 (adult symptomatic patients with primary infection), among 50 Hp strains, 12 (24%) were resistant to CLA, 21 (42%) to MZ, and 4 (8%) to LEV. Examined strains were fully susceptible to AMX and TE. Moreover, the authors found a different sensitivity to CLA and MZ, according to patients' age (the highest CLA resistance was found in younger individuals, whereas the resistance to MZ increased with age).⁵⁶

The discrepancy between the results of our preliminary research and the Polish study may be due to the differences between the study populations. In fact, in our case, patients with previous eradication failures were taken into consideration. In this setting, strain isolates can acquire higher resistance, considering that pangastritis patients are the most difficult to cure. In fact, in this selected population, Hp infection is quite characteristic, as the bacteria are capable of colonizing the entire stomach in the condition of reduced acid secretion. Thus, the mechanisms of virulence and persistence may be different compared with patients with normal acid secretion.⁵⁷

Heteroresistance

HR is defined as the coexistence of susceptible and resistant isolates in the same patient for the same antimicrobial agent. This is a common occurrence in Hp population and can be explained either as the result of multiple infections (unrelated isolates) or as the presence of susceptible and resistant variants of the same strain (related isolates). In the latter case, HR has been described either as intradistrict when susceptible and resistant isolates are present at the same time in the same site of gastric mucosa or as interdistrict when multiple strains colonize different areas of the stomach.^{21,58–60} For this reason,

 Table I Sensitivity testing and MIC values distribution with E-test method for 61 Helicobacter pylori strains isolated in 50 pluri-treated pangastritis patients who underwent two to nine therapy cycles (Mascellino, unpublished data, 2013)

Antimicrobial agents	MIC values							MIC break points		Resistant strains,	Susceptible strains,
	≤0.12	≤0.25	≤	≤8	8–32	64-128	≥256	S≤	R >	N (%)	N (%)
MZ	0	0	5	6	33	14	3	8	8	50 (82)	(18)
CLA	4	24	8	12	7	6	0	0.25	0.5	33 (54)	28 (46)
LEV	8	32	6	10	4	I	0	I	I	15 (25)	46 (75)
TE	25	22	10	3	I	0	0	I	I	4 (7)	57 (93)
AMX	58	2	I	0	0	0	0	0.12	0.12	3 (5)	58 (95)

Notes: Total 61 strains. The antibiotic breakpoints are calculated following EUCAST 2016.

Abbreviations: AMX, amoxicillin; CLA, clarithromycin; EUCAST, The European Committee on Antimicrobial Susceptibility Testing; LEV, levofloxacin; MIC, minimum inhibitory concentration; MZ, metronidazole; R, resistant; S, susceptible; TE, tetracycline.

Helicobacter pylori resistance and updated treatment strategies

data regarding HR indicate that no single biopsy site can be considered representative of antimicrobial susceptibility testing and that this peculiarity can lead to underestimation of antimicrobial resistance as its detection is more difficult when Hp is not uniformly distributed in the stomach.⁶⁰ It should be considered that in patients with pangastritis, biopsies for Hpculture and susceptibility testing should be obtained from all the gastric regions, in order to obtain a full view of the inflammation status and to verify the presence of HR to antibiotics.⁵⁷

Several articles report the phenomenon of HR in Hp infection. Ben Mansour et al⁶¹ have studied this issue on a large scale distinguishing between multiple infections with genetically different isolates based on genotyping of virulence genes (cagA and vacA) and mixed infections where both antibiotic-susceptible and -resistant isolates belonged to the same genotype detected by a random amplified polymorphism DNA (RAPD profile). It has been reported that in mixed infections a resistant clone emerges from the susceptible one under selective pressure because of antibiotic consumption, whereas in multiple infections the individual is infected by two genetically distinct strains. In the first case, discordant results of susceptibility testing were detected in two biopsies from the same patient. The same authors studied Hp infection in populations in two different geographical areas: in Tunis with a prevalence of Hp infections of about 50% and in Poitiers in France with a prevalence of 22%.⁶¹ It has been reported that multiple infections are more frequent in developing areas such as Tunis, whereas mixed infections are more frequently detected in developed countries, probably due to increased consumption of antibiotics in this area.62 Multiple infections facilitate interstrain gene transfer and maintenance of genetic diversity. Instead, in mixed infections (susceptible and resistant strains at the same time), the resistant genes such as rdxA and frxA for MZ, 23S rRNA for CLA, and gyrA for LEV are not detected by RAPD-polymerase chain reaction (PCR). Thereby, both susceptible and resistant strains may express an identical genetic profile mainly in patients with single infection (unique RAPD fingerprint).63

Mixed infections may represent a major challenge, because when only few resistant strains are present in a population of susceptible isolates, the resistant bacteria cultures are difficult to be carried out especially by phenotypic tests.^{64,65} This kind of problem emerges more frequently for MZ, probably due to a higher prevalence of MZ resistance.

Kim et al⁶⁶ examined 220 pairs of isolates obtained from both antrum and corpus and found that 41 out of 109 patients (38%) showed HR in two biopsy sites and that 34% with resistant strains would be misclassified as susceptible if a biopsy of the antrum alone was used for antimicrobial susceptibility testing. It is suggested that the development of resistance is most likely caused by genomic alterations from pre-existing susceptible Hp rather than by a coinfection with a different strain. Furthermore, there is a possibility that genomic DNA from a resistant Hp can transform a susceptible strain into a resistant one.

de la Obra et al²¹ found cultures containing mixed, MZ-susceptible and MZ-resistant isolates in 10% of cases. Considering the genetic relationship of the isolates showing HR, it can be highlighted that MZ resistance can be due to ex novo mutations (acquired resistance) and not to the horizontal transfer of genes among unrelated strains.

The results of our study, as reported in Table 2, showed that in the patients with HR, each pair of isolates in different gastric regions (antrum and corpus) belonged to the same genotype (cagA + s1m2 in one patient and cagA + s1m1 in three patients).⁶⁷ Yet, other authors share the opinion that an individual may have a mixed *Hp* infection, as demonstrated by the presence of different antimicrobial susceptibility in various gastric regions contemporarily harboring the same genotype.⁶⁸

Norazah et al⁶⁹ showed that some isolates with similar PCR-RAPD differed in their antibiotic profiles because of MZ resistance in one of the stomach sites. A large degree of genetic heterogeneity was observed in *H. pylori* strains circulating among Malaysian patients. The phenomenon of HR predominantly involves MZ and CLA.

Table 2 Heteroresistance of Helicobacter	pylori isolates to	CLA, MZ, and AMX in four (patients, in different	districts of the stomach
		, , ,	. ,	

	.,	•		
Antibiotics	Patient I	Patient 2	Patient 3	Patient 4
CLA	S (C) ➡ R (A)			
Both pairs in A–C Genotype	cagA + s1m2	cagA + s1m1	cagA + s1m1	cagA + s1m1
MZ			S (A) ➡ R (C)	
Both pairs in A–C Genotype			cagA + s1m1	
AMX		S (C) ➡ R (A)		
Both pairs in A–C Genotype		cagA + s1m1		

Notes: The strain genotypes, detected on the basis of virulence genes (cagA and vacA) in both pairs of isolates from different parts (antrum and corpus) in a single stomach, are the same in each site (cagA + slm2 in one patient and cagA + slm1 in three patients). The genotype cagA + slm1 results to be more virulent than the genotype cagA + slm2.

Abbreviations: A, antrum; AMX, amoxicillin; C, corpus; CLA, clarithromycin; MZ, metronidazole; R, resistant; S, susceptible.

The resistance studied through a real-time PCR with hybridization probes has been mainly focused on CLA and TE.65,70 Conventional antimicrobial susceptibility testing (E-test) fails in ~10% of attempts because of the overgrowth of contaminating bacteria or to the lack of live microorganisms. As previously demonstrated for CLA, molecular techniques such as a real-time PCR can be used as a diagnostic rescue method.65 Thus, this assay proved to be reliable and quite sensitive in diagnosing resistant bacteria. As a matter of fact, some differences in the detection of antibiotic resistance may be observed in several strains when comparing E-test with PCR, especially if there are only few resistant bacteria among many susceptible ones. In this condition, the resistant bacteria are difficult to detect. Through phenotypic testing (E-test), the susceptible bacteria are identified first leading to a possible misclassification, whereas with real-time PCR a mixed infection with both resistant and susceptible strains is easily detected. In our study, 44 patients (71%) out of 62 with Hp infection were found to yield both wild types and strains carrying the mutation A21444G for CLA. Among these 44 individuals, 34 (77.2%) showed susceptibility and only 10 (28%) resulted to be resistant by E-test, whereas all 44 strains showed resistance to CLA by PCR.57

However, we should consider some issues because of the use of molecular methods. First of all it is necessary to highlight that the Hp culture with antibiotic susceptibility test remains the gold standard in the detection of this microorganism as well as of most of the bacteria in clinical practice.⁷¹ Thus, the importance of *H. pylori* culture remains unaltered both in epidemiological and pharmacological fields. Moreover, the culture is crucial in the identification of new drugs for Hp in different countries as well as in the preparation for a future vaccine. Rather the problem concerns the difficulty of Hp growth that is slow and time consuming and not available for all routine diagnostic laboratories.

Other shortcomings of the PCR assay might be its low specificity for Hp or the presence of false negative even though these problems seem to be overcome by the updated methodologies.^{65,70} In fact, on one hand, Oleastro et al⁶⁵ state that their assay to test CLA resistance compared to the real-time PCR assays previously reported in the literature proved to be able to detect all the common mutations associated with CLA resistance, whereas the assay described in 2001 by Chisholm et al⁷² appeared to be less sensible (four biopsies out of 56 were found to be falsely Hp negative).

On the other hand, Glocker et al⁷⁰ show that, although the PCR primers applied for the detection of TE resistance are not specific for Hp, melting curve analysis allows discrimination between *H. pylori* and other *Helicobacter* species and recommend in order to exclude false positive, that all samples be tested by an *Hp* specific PCR assay (ie one that amplifies the *vacA* or *ureC* gene).

All in all, we can say that the real-time PCR should be used in case of growth failure, contamination, and mixed infections, thus obviating the need of live bacteria other than on gastric biopsy samples. In the latter case it would be possible to detect directly from the biopsy the CLA genotypic resistance that could predict possible therapy failures or cause changes in the previous treatments.^{70,73} The genotypic resistance determined using stomach specimens correlates well with the genotypic and phenotypic resistance determined in *Hp* strains.⁷³ More importantly, this molecular assay can be conducted using stool samples without performing endoscopy.⁷⁴ In these situations PCR proves to be superior to bacterial culture.

To the best of our knowledge, there are not sufficient data in the literature in support of the fact that HR may, on large scale in clinical practice, affect Hp eradication outcomes. The current guidelines for Hp treatment do not issue any statements in this regard.

Value of culture and antibiotic susceptibility testing in the eradication rate

The resistance of Hp to antibiotics has become more prevalent over time so that culture-guided therapies result in a significantly lower risk of treatment failure compared with empiric standard triple therapy. Antimicrobial susceptibility testing has, therefore, been proposed as a logical first step in treatment failure (the goal in fact is to cure the infection after having obtained the result of antibiotic susceptibility test) but controlled trials suggested that it may not always be essential for clinical management.^{75,76}

The question whether susceptibility testing is essential in guiding therapeutic strategies has been debated in many studies. Some data show that successful eradication can be achieved in almost all patients without susceptibility testing, whereas the results of other studies demonstrate that even a first-line therapy should rather be scheduled on the basis of sensibility/resistance of *Hp* to antibiotics.^{73,77–79} Making comments on susceptibility-based therapies, Graham highlights that they will always be superior to empiric therapies in any population with the prevalence of antimicrobial resistance >0%.⁷⁸ The problem of antibiotic susceptibility has mainly economic implications. Susceptibility testing is expensive and time consuming, not available in many hospitals and being mostly reserved to a limited number of selected patients attending dedicated centers. Furthermore, it would not be possible to perform susceptibility tests in many patients who refuse to undergo endoscopy for its invasiveness and costs.

This issue has been studied in our Institution. From 2011 through 2013, we evaluated 100 patients with previous two or more therapeutic treatments, all positive on C¹³ urea breath test (UBT) and histological examination.⁵⁷ Sixtytwo out of 100 subjects showed positive culture and were treated according to antibiotic-susceptibility tests generally with the standard triple therapy containing lansoprazole + AMX and either MZ or CLA (LAC or LAM). The remaining 38 patients showed no microorganism growth and thus underwent tailored empiric therapy using antibiotics not used before and the time of cure was prolonged. We observed that patients treated empirically showed a degree of eradication of 84% and the patients with positive cultures and susceptibility-based treatment, of 77%. This can be due to the fact that bacteria unable to grow on culture media were probably fewer (too low in number to be cultured) and in a less virulent or dormant phase compared to the patients where Hp was isolated.57

Many variables are involved in this issue and for this reason no uniform and homogeneous data can be found in the literature. In fact, on one hand, culture-guided therapy is essential in order to establish a correct and efficacious treatment, and on the other hand it is also reported that empiric therapy based on regional antibiotic resistance patterns achieves high eradication rates.^{78–81} It would be possible to predict the efficacy of any treatment knowing the prevalence of antibiotic resistance for a regimen or even for a specific patient: as a matter of fact empiric therapy that takes into consideration the regional and mostly the local resistance patterns may be superior to predict the efficacy of any regimen.^{23,80} Hence, the regional resistance patterns and the eradication rates in the context of local environment are crucial for a correct establishment of *Hp* cure in real-world settings.

Previous regimens and updated treatment strategies

Previously empirically tailored triple therapy was suggested with the association of two antibiotics: AMX (1 g) due to its low rate of resistance and either MZ (250 mg) or CLA (250 mg) given b.i.d. for 10 days combined with PPI (proton pump inhibitor 40 mg) considering the gastritis pattern.^{82,83} In patients with MZ-resistant strains, this drug was administered at a higher dosage of 500 mg b.i.d. Indeed, there is evidence that the increase in MZ dosage generally improves the results of therapy when treating MZ-resistant Hp strains. It is confirmed that the proportion of eradication rate is significantly lower in resistant compared to susceptible strains especially with triple therapy. The eradication success rate with quadruple therapy including MZ appears to be lower in MZ-resistant versus MZ-sensitive strains (92% versus 80%), p=0.06.^{84,85}

The knowledge of the local antibiotic resistance is crucial in order to establish an appropriate antibiotic therapy. It is reported that the increasing prevalence of CLA resistance is the main factor contributing to Hp treatment failure indicating that in the regions with CLA resistance >15%, a regimen including CLA should not be used.^{84,86,87} The old triple therapy (PPI + AMX and either CLA or MZ) should be considered only in areas where resistance to CLA is low (<15%) or where a high eradication success rate with these regimens (>85%) is well known.⁸⁴ In general, in Western countries, CLA-containing triple and sequential therapies should be considered obsolete as empiric treatment.83 Sequential therapy has been first introduced by Zullo et al and consists of 10-day therapy comprising 5 days of PPI + AMX followed by other 5 days with triple treatment containing PPI + CLA + MZ.88 This regimen uses AMX first as it is able to overcome CLA resistance by destroying Hp cell walls and preventing activation of efflux channels, which is one of the mechanisms for CLA resistance. Unfortunately, this kind of therapy decreases patients' compliance.

Concomitant therapy includes PPI, CLA, AMX, and MZ for at least 10 days. This regimen has shown a better outcome over standard triple therapy especially in cases of CLA resistance. The advantages of concomitant therapy are the efficacy against dual antibiotic-resistant strains and a higher compliance rate compared with the sequential therapy.^{89,90} Anyway the efficacy of concomitant therapy depends on the prevalence of *Hp* antimicrobial resistance, which varies in geographical areas. The updated guidelines for Hp treatment recommend to prolong therapy from 10 to 14 days. For the first-line treatment, a concomitant non-bismuth quadruple therapy (PPI + AMX + MZ + CLA, PAMC) or traditional bismuth quadruple therapy (PPI + bismuth + MZ + TE, PBMT) is recommended. Bismuth quadruple therapy is unaffected by CLA resistance.⁸⁴ In a recent survey conducted in Italy in 2016, Tursi et al⁹¹ described the first Italian experience in clinical practice with this new bismuth-containing quadruple therapy in patients infected with Hp. Both naïve and previously treated dyspeptic patients were enrolled. This new therapy seems to be a more reliable option. Patients were treated with a new formulation of drugs that uses a single pill (three in one capsules containing bismuth subcitrate potassium 140 mg, MZ 125 mg, and TE 125 mg). Three capsules q.i.d. plus omeoprazole 20 mg or esomeprazole 40 mg b.i.d. are given for 10 days.⁹¹ Hp eradication rate assessed with UBT resulted to be very high: 94.7% in intention-to-treat (ITT) analysis, whereas in the per protocol (PP) population this percentage was even higher (97.6%). No difference was seen in the group studied by Tursi et al⁹¹ between naïve population and previously treated patients. This new bismuth-containing quadruple therapy results to be very effective in both situations: as the first-line treatment and as rescue therapy. However, in this survey, some limitations may be present considering the small number of individuals enrolled and the fact that this therapy has become available in Italy only in 2016. No antibiotic tests were performed in the population under study. Nevertheless, the eradication rates were very high.91

Likewise, recently, Delchier et al⁹¹ found that this new regimen used as a rescue therapy reached an eradication rate in ITT and PP populations, of 93% and 95%, respectively, showing comparable results with those obtained by Tursi.⁹² All current guidelines advice to prescribe bismuth-based regimens in areas where resistance to CLA is high.

Beyond the quadruple therapy, recommended rescue therapies also include treatments based on the use of LEV (PPI + AMX + LEV, PAL). An appropriate second-line regimen

should contain a quinolone; however, there is little research to support this, and it should be noted that many regions have high quinolone resistance rates.⁹³ The use of rifabutin (RIB) (usually PPI + AMX + RIB, PAR) should be limited to patients who failed at least three previous regimens as reported by Fallone et al⁸⁴ (Table 3). Moreover, the Toronto guidelines discourage the addition of probiotics for the reduction of side effects of the therapy or for increasing the eradication rates because the evidence in support of this thesis is very limited.⁸⁴ The Toronto Consensus Group for the treatment of Hp infection concluded that the treatment choice must be based on the susceptibility tests but above all, on the prevalence of antibiotic resistance as well as on eradication patterns of specific therapies in the local population. When this information is not available, the empiric alternative for adults includes quadruple therapies PAMC or PBMT for 14 days.⁸⁵ The strategy is directed to various use and consumption of antibiotics in different geographical areas over time. This means that the choice of a second-line treatment depends on previous antibiotic exposure. In fact, the selection pressure exerted at a population level with a high use of antimicrobial agents will be the reason for the emergence and spread of resistance in the population and a high incidence of primary resistance.61

A new drug, vonoprazan (potassium-competitive acid blocker P-CAB), recently approved in Japan, has become available for *Hp* treatment even for CLA-resistant strains.

		,		
Recommendation	Regimen	Definition		
First line				
Recommended option	Bismuth quadruple (PBMT)	PPI + bismuth + metronidazole ^a + tetracycline		
Recommended option	Concomitant non-bismuth quadruple (PAMC)	PPI + amoxicillin + metronidazole ^a + clarithromycin		
Restricted option ^b	PPI triple (PAC, PMC, or PAM)	PPI + amoxicillin + clarithromycin		
		PPI + metronidazole ^a + clarithromycin		
		PPI + amoxicillin + metronidazole ^a		
Not recommended	Levofloxacin triple (PAL)	PPI + amoxicillin + levofloxacin		
Not recommended	Sequential non-bismuth quadruple (PA followed	PPI + amoxicillin followed by PPI + metronidazole ^a +		
	by PMC)	clarithromycin		
Prior treatment failure				
Recommended option Bismuth quadruple (PBMT)		PPI + bismuth + metronidazole ^a + tetracycline		
Recommended option	Levofloxacin-containing therapy (usually PAL)	PPI + amoxicillin + levofloxacin ^c		
Restricted option ^d	Rifabutin-containing therapy (usually PAR)	PPI + amoxicillin + rifabutin		
Not recommended	Sequential non-bismuth quadruple therapy (PA	$\label{eq:PPI} {\sf PPI} + {\sf amoxicillin followed by PPI} + {\sf clarithromycin} + {\sf amoxicillin followed by PPI} + {\sf cl$		
	followed by PMC)	metronidazoleª		
Undetermined	Concomitant non-bismuth quadruple therapy (PAMC)	PPI + amoxicillin + metronidazole ^a + clarithromycin		

Table 3 Recommendations for regimens used for the eradication of Helicobacter pylori

Notes: Reprinted from *Gastroenterology*, 151(1), Fallone et al, The Toronto consensus for treatment of Helicobacter pylori infection in adults, 51–69, Copyright (2016), with permission from Elsevier.⁸⁴ aTinidazole may be substituted for metronidazole. ^bRestricted to areas with known low clarithromycin resistance (<15%) or proven high local eradication rates (>85%). ^cThere is some evidence that adding bismuth to this combination may improve outcomes. ^dRestricted to cases in which at least three recommended options have failed.

Abbreviation: PPI, proton pump inhibitor.

It is used for both, primary and secondary eradication, thereby addressing the health care needs in acid-related disorders.⁹⁴

Vonoprazan could improve eradication rates by raising the intragastric pH and thus increasing bacterial antibiotic susceptibility. Recent studies revealed that P-CAB-based triple therapy was more effective than PPI-based triple therapy (76.1% versus 40.2%) as a first-line *Hp* eradication method.⁹⁵⁻⁹⁷ Furthermore, even in the presence of CLAresistant strains, P-CAB-based triple therapy showed good eradication rates.⁸⁷

Conclusions and future perspectives

Hp antibiotic resistance has been increasing all over the world in the last decade and this phenomenon constitutes an important challenge for the treatment of this fastidious bacterium. Low bacterial growth, presence of coccoid forms in distinct gastric regions, extremely high resistance rates to various antibiotics in local population groups, their inappropriate associations, low dosages, or insufficient administration time may explain poor therapeutic outcomes. Whether HR might affect Hp eradication requires further studies.

Antibiotics once considered a first choice (such as MZ and CLA) and included in all therapeutic regimens are declining in efficacy because of their extensive use in many areas for other infections (eg, MZ in amoebic, gynecological, and anaerobic infections and CLA in respiratory diseases).

This has led to an obstinate search for new solutions such as the vaccine development and new treatments based on the use of natural resources such as plants, probiotics, and nutraceuticals.^{98,99}

The search for new antibiotics has a poor therapeutic future. Other solutions should be pursued in order to increase the cure rate of Hp infection. Nontraditional therapies have been indicated as a means to target this important gastric pathogen. This approach includes the use of antimicrobial peptides (core component of innate immune system of numerous eukaryotes) that interact with the anionic Gram-negative cell wall because of charge electrostatic attractions.¹⁰⁰ It also seems reasonable to investigate other options aimed at reinforcing the immune system of these patients, in order to improve the success rates of Hp infection treatment. In addition, novel alternatives based on microorganisms, polysaccharides, and intragastric violet light irradiation have been proposed.⁹⁸

The problem of the utility of sensitivity tests is still debated. Though undoubtedly useful, sensitivity tests can be a challenge, because to be carried out, they need patients' endoscopy, they are expensive, time consuming, and can only be performed in few well-equipped laboratories. The prevalence of antibiotic resistance for a specific regimen or a patient and local data on antibiotic resistance as well as eradication patterns have proved to be crucial in the management of *Hp* infections.

The Toronto Consensus suggests to prolong eradication therapy up to 14 days replacing the old triple therapy with the quadruple therapy based on PPI, bismuth, MZ, and TE (PBMT) for most of the patients and alternatively the variant quadruple therapy without bismuth: PPI, AMX, MZ, and CLA (PAMC).

The new drug vonoprazan has been associated with satisfactory eradication rates even in CLA-resistant strains.

Disclosure

The authors report no conflicts of interest in this work.

References

- Atherton JC, Peek RM, Tham KT, Cover TL, Blaser MJ. Clinical and pathological importance of heterogeneity in vac*A*, the vacuolating cytotoxin gene of *Helicobacter pylori*. *Gastroenterology*. 1997;112(1): 92–99.
- Logan RPH, Berg DE. Genetic diversity of *Helicobacter pylori*. Lancet. 1996;348(9040):1462–1463.
- Ando T, Minami M, Ishiguro K, et al. Changes in biochemical parameters related to atherosclerosis after *Helicobacter pylori* eradication. *Aliment Pharmacol Ther*. 2006;24(s4):58–64.
- Kanbay M, Gur G, Yucel M, Ylmaz U, Boyacioglu S. Does eradication of *Helicobacter pylori* infection help normalize serum lipid and CRP levels? *Dig Dis Sci.* 2005;5(7):1228–1231.
- Goodman KJ, Correa P. The transmission of *Helicobacter pylori*: a critical review of the evidence. *Int J Epidemiol*. 1995;24(5):875–877.
- Eusebi LH, Zagari RM, Bazzoli F. Epidemiology of *Helicobacter pylori* infection. *Helicobacter*. 2014;19 (Suppl 1):1–5.
- Ford AC, Axon AT. Epidemiology of *Helicobacter pylori* and public health implication. *Helicobacter*. 2010;15(1):1–6.
- Goh KL, Chan WK, Shiota S, Yamaoka Y. Epidemiology of *Helicobacter pylori* infection and public health implication. *Helicobacter*. 2011;16(1):1–9.
- Everhart JE. Recent developments in the epidemiology of *Helicobacter* pylori. Gastroenterol Clin North Am. 2000;29(3):559–578.
- Danesh J. Estimating the contribution of *Helicobacter pylori* to gastric cancer. Br J cancer. 2000;83(7):970–2000.
- Bisselli R, Fortini M, Matricardi PM, Stroffolini T, D'Amelio R. Incidence of *Helicobacter pylori* infection in a cohort of Italian military students. *Infection*. 1999;27(3):187–191.
- Farkheri H, Bari Z, Arabi M, Malekzadeh R. *Helicobacter pylori* eradication in west Asia: a review. *World J Gastroenterol*. 2014;20(30): 10355–10367.
- Yang T, Li H, Chen J, et al. Epidemiological study on antibiotics resistance among *Helicobacter pylori* in Taizhou District. Zhejiang, 2010–2013. Zhonghua Liu Xing Bing Xue Za Zhi. 2014;35(6):704–707.
- Oderda G, Marietti M, Pellicano R. Diagnosis and treatment of *Helicobacter pylori* infection in pediatrics: recommendation for 2014 clinical practice. *Minerva Pediatr.* 2015;67(6):517–524.
- Graham DY, Fishbach L. *Helicobacter pylori* treatment in the era of increasing antibiotic resistance. *Gut.* 2010;59(8):1143–1153.
- Loo Vg, Fallone CA, De Souza E, Lavallée J, Barkun AN. *In-vitro* susceptibility of *Helicobacter pylori* to ampicillin, chlarithromycin, metronidazole and omeprazole. *J Antimicrobial Chemother*. 1997;40(6):881–883.

- Cheung J, Morse AL, Goodman KJ, et al. M1058 Prevalence of *Helicobacter pylori* and antibiotic resistance in an aboriginal population in Canada's Arctic: preliminary results from the Aklavik *H.pylori* Project. *Gastrenterology*. 2009;136(5):A341.
- Yang JC, Lin CJ, Wang HL, et al. High dose dual therapy is superior to standard first line or rescue therapy for *Helicobacter pylori* infection. *Clin Gastronterol Hepatol.* 2015;13(5):895–905.
- van Zanten SV, Desai S, Best L, et al. Rescue therapy using a rifabutin based regimen is effective for cure of *Helicobacter pylori* infection. *Can J Gastroenterol.* 2010;24(5):303–306.
- Lee JY, Kim N, Kim MS, et al. Factors affecting first-line triple therapy of *Helicobacter pylori*, including CYP2C19 genotype and antibiotic resistance. *Dig Dis Sci*. 2014;559(6):1235–1243.
- de la Obra P, Alarcon T, Domingo D, Garcia J, Lopez-Brea M. Heteroresistance to metronidazole and genetic relationship of *Helicobacter pylori* clinical isolates. *Gut.* 2001;49(4):P.A4.
- Yan WH, Chen J, Hu HJ, Yu JD, Huang XL, Li ZY. Preliminary study on "in vitro" induction of antibiotic resistance in *Helicobacter pylori* strains isolated from children. *Zhonghua Er Ke Za Zhi*. 2007;45(9): 708–711.
- Thung I, Aramin H, Vavinskaya V, et al. Review article: the global emergence of Helicobacter pylori antibiotic resistance. *Aliment Pharmacol Ther*. 2016;43(4):514–533.
- Gerrits MM, van der Wouden EJ, Bax DA, et al. Role of the rdxA and frxA genes in oxygen dependent metronidazole resistance of *Helicobacter pylori*. J Med Microbiol. 2004;53(11):1123–1128.
- 25. Li L, Ke Y, Yu C, et al. Antibiotic resistance of *Helicobacter pylori* in Chinese children: a multicenter retrospective study over 7 years. *Helicobacter*. Epub 2017 Jan 18.
- Bouihat N, Burucoa C, Benkirane A, et al. *Helicobacter pylori* primary antibiotic resistance in 2015 in Morocco: a phenotypic and genotypic prospective and multicenter study. *Microb Drug Resist*. Epub 2016 Dec 20.
- Shiota S, Reddy R, Alsarraj A, El-Serag HB, Graham DY. Antibiotic resistance of *Helicobacter pylori* among male United States veterans. *Clin Gastroenterol Hepatol*. 2015;13:1616–1624.
- Di Giulio M, Di Campli E, Di Bartolomeo S, et al. In vitro antimicrobial susceptibility of *Helicobacter pylori* to nine antibiotics currently used in central Italy. *Scand J Gastroenterol.* 2016;51(3): 263–269.
- Pilotto A, Rassu M, Leandro G, Franceschi M, Di Mario F; Interdisciplinary Group for the Study of Ulcer. Prevalence of *Helicobacter pylori* resistance to antibiotics in Northeast Italy: a multicentre study. GISU. Interdisciplinary Group for the study of ulcer. *Dig Liver Dis.* 2000; 32(9):763–768.
- Torres J, Camorlinga-Ponce M, Perez-Perez G, et al. Increasing multidrug resistance in *Helicobacter pylori* strains isolated from children and adults in Mexico. *J Clin Microbiol*. 2001;39(7):2677–2680.
- Kato M, Yamaoka Y, Kim JJ, et al. Regional differences in metronidazole resistance and increasing clarithromycin resistance among *Helicobacter pylori* isolates from Japan. *Antimicrob Agents Chemother*. 2000;44:2214–2216.
- Fallone CA. Epidemiology of the antibiotic resistance of *Helicobacter* pylori in Canada. Can J Gastroenterol. 2000;14(10):879–882.
- Perez Aldana L, Kato M, Nakagawa S, et al. The relationship between consumption of antimicrobial agents and the prevalence of primary *Helicobacter pylori* resistance. *Helicobacter*. 2002;7(5):306–309.
- Megraud F. H. pylori antibiotic resistance: prevalence, importance, and advances in testing. Gut. 2004;53(9):1374–1384.
- Storskrubb T, Aro P, Ronkainen J, et al. Antimicrobial susceptibility of *Helicobacter pylori* strains in a random adult Swedish population. *Helicobacter*. 2006;11(4):224–230.
- Horiki N, Omata F, Uemura M, et al. Annual change of primary resistance to clarithromycin among *Helicobacter pylori* isolates from 1996 through 2008 in Japan. *Helicobacter*. 2009;14(5):86–90.

- Gao W, Cheng H, Hu F, et al. The evolution of *Helicobacter pylori* antibiotics resistance over 10 years in Beijing, China. *Helicobacter*. 2010; 15(5):460–466.
- Zhang YX, Zhou LY, Song ZQ, Zhang JZ, He LH, Ding Y. Primary antibiotic resistance of *Helicobacter pylori* strains isolated from patients with dyspeptic symptoms in Beijing: a prospective serial study. *World* J Gastroenterol. 2015;21(9):2786–2792.
- De Francesco V, Giorgio F, Hassan C, et al. Worldwide *H. pylori* antibiotic resistance: a systematic review. *J Gastrointestin Liver Dis.* 2010;19(4):409–414.
- Kim JJ, Reddy R, Lee M, et al. Analysis of metronidazole, clarithromycin and tetracycline resistance of *Helicobacter pylori* isolates from Korea. *J Antimicrob Chemother*. 2001;47(4):459–461.
- Mitui M, Patel A, Leos NK, Doern CD, Park JY. Novel *Helicobacter* pylori sequencing test identifies high rate of clarithromycin resistance. *J Pediatr Gastroenterol Nutr.* 2014;59(1):6–9.
- Qureshi NN, Gallaher B, Schiller NL. Evolution of amoxicillin resistance of *Helicobacter pylori* in vitro: characterization of resistance mechanisms. *Microb Drug Resist.* 2014;20(6):509–516.
- Debets-Ossenkopp YJ, Herscheid AJ, Pot RG, Kuipers EJ, Kusters JG, Vandenbroucke-Grauls CM. Prevalence of *Helicobacter pylori* resistance to metronidazole, clarithromycin, amoxycillin, tetracycline and trovafloxacin in The Netherlands. *J Antimicrob Chemother*. 1999;43(4): 511–515.
- 44. Selgrad M, Tammer I, Langner C, et al. Different antibiotic susceptibility between antrum and corpus of the stomach, a possible reason for treatment failure of *Helicobacter pylori* infection. *World J Gastroenterol*. 2014;20:16245–16251.
- 45. Yoon KH, Park SW, Lee SW, Kim BJ, Kim JG. Clarithromycin-based standard triple therapy can still be effective for *Helicobacter pylori* eradication in some parts of the Korea. *J Korean Med Sci.* 2014;29(9): 1240–1246.
- Godoy AP, Ribeiro ML, Benvengo YH, et al. Analysis of antimicrobial susceptibility and virulence factors in *Helicobacter pylori* clinical isolates. *BMC Gastroenterol*. 2003;3:20.
- Gerrits MM, de Zoete MR, Arents NL, Kuipers EJ, Kusters JG. 16S rRNA mutation-mediated tetracycline resistance in *Helicobacter pylori*. *Antimicrob Agents Chemother*. 2002;46(9):2996–3000.
- 48. Megraud F, Coenen S, Versporten A, et al. *Helicobacter pylori* resistance to antibiotics in Europe and its relationship to antibiotic consumption. *Gut*. 2013;62(1):34–42.
- 49. Cuch Burgos E, Fornè Bardera M, Quintana Riera S, Lite Lite J, Garau Alemany J. Evolution of the sensitivity of 235 strains of *Helicobacter pylori* from 1995 to 1998 and impact of antibiotic treatment. *Enferm Infecc Microbiol Clin*. 2002;20(4):157–160.
- Cattoir V, Nectoux J, Lascols C, et al. Update on fluoroquinolone resistance in *Helicobacter pylori*: new mutations leading to resistance and first description of a gyrA polymorphism associated with hypersusceptibility. *Int J Antimicrob Agents*. 2007;29:389–396.
- Saracino IM, Zullo A, Holton J, et al. High prevalence of primary antibiotic resistance in *Helicobacter pylori* isolates in Italy. *J Gastrointestin Liver Dis.* 2012;21(4):363–365.
- Cabrita J, Oleastro M, Matos R, et al. Features and trends in *Helicobacter pylori* antibiotic resistance in Lisbon area, Portugal (1990–1999). *J Antimicrob Chemother*. 2000;46(6):1029–1031.
- 53. Chang WL, Sheu BS, Cheng HC, Yang YJ, Yang HB, Wu JJ. Resistance to metronidazole, clarithromycin and levofloxacin of *Helicobacter pylori* before and after clarithromycin-based therapy in Taiwan. *J Gastroenterol Hepatol*. 2009;24(7):1230–1235.
- Cuadrado-Lavin A, Salcines-Caviedes JR, Carrascosa MF, et al. Antimicrobial susceptibility of *Helicobacter pylori* to six antibiotics currently used in Spain. *J Antimicrob Chemother*. 2011;67(1):170–173.
- Kim N, Kim JM, Kim CH, et al. Institutional difference of antibiotic resistance of *Helicobacter pylori* strains in Korea. *J Clin Gastroenterol*. 2006;40(8):683–687.

- Biernat MM, Poniewierka E, Blaszcuk J, et al. Antimicrobial susceptibility of *Helicobacter pylori* isolates from lower Silesia, Poland. *Arch Med Sci.* 2014;10(3):505–509.
- Mascellino MT, Oliva A, De Angelis M, Porowska B. *Helicobacter* pylori infection: susceptibility to antimicrobials and eradication rate in pluri treated pangastritis patients. *Indian J Appl Res.* 2015;5(4):30–32.
- Amitrano M, Spezzaferro M, Sacco F, et al. M1087 *H. pylori* isolates from proximal and distal stomach of patients with *H. pylori* infection exhibit resistance and sensitivity to the same antibiotic. *Gastroenterology*. 2008;134(4):A335.
- Ikezawa K, Kashimura H, Kojima M, et al. Pretreatment antimicrobial susceptibilities of paired gastric *Helicobacter pylori* isolates: antrum versus corpus. *Helicobacter*. 1999;4(4):218–221.
- Matteo MJ, Granados G, Olmos M, Wonoga A, Catalano M. *Helicobacter* pylori amoxicillin heteroresistance due to point mutation in PBP-1A in esogenic isolates. J Antimicrob Chemoter. 2008;61(3):474–477.
- Ben Mansour K, Fendri C, Battikh H, et al. Multiple and mixed *Helicobacter pylori* infections: comparison of two epidemiological situations in Tunisia and France. *Infect Genet Evol*. 2016;37:43–48.
- 62. Raymond J, Lamarque D, Kalach N, Chaussade S, Burucoa C. High level of antimicrobial resistance in French *Helicobacter pylori* isolates. *Helicobacter*. 2010;15(1):21–27.
- Garcia M, Raymond J, Garnier M, Cremniter J, Burucoa C. Distribution of spontaneous gyrA mutations in 97 fluoroquinolone-resistant Helicobacter pylori isolates collected in France. Antimicrob Agents Chemother. 2012;56(1):550–551.
- Kao CY, Lee AY, Huang AH, et al. Heteroresistance of *Helicobacter* pylori from the same patient prior to antibiotic treatment. *Infect Genet* Evol. 2014;23:196–202.
- Oleastro M, Menard A, Santos A, et al. Real-time PCR assay for rapid and accurate detection of point mutations conferring resistance to clarithromycin in *Helicobacter pylori*. J Clin Microbiol. 2003;41(1): 397–402.
- Kim JJ, Kim JG, Known DH. Mixed-infection of antibiotics susceptible and resistant *Helicobacter pylori* isolates in a single patient and underestimation of antimicrobial susceptibility testing. *Helicobacter*. 2003;8(3):202–206.
- Mascellino MT, Porowska B, Nicosia R, Oliva A, Boccia P, Severi C. Impact of *Helicobacter pylori* in unsuccessfully pluritreated patients in a department of infectious disease in Rome. *Microbiol Res.* 2010; 2:9–14.
- Van der Ende A, van Doorn LJ, Rooijakkers S, Feller M, Tytgat GNJ, Dankert J. Clarithromycin-susceptible and –resistant *Helicobacter pylori* isolates with identical randomly amplified polymorphic DNA-PCR genotypes cultured from single gastric biopsy specimens prior to antibiotic therapy. *J Clin Microbiol*. 2001;39(7):2648–2651.
- Norazah A, Rasinah WZ, Zaili Z, Aminuddin A, Ramelah M. Analysis of PCR-Rapid DNA and antibiotic susceptibility profiles of antrum and corpus of the same isolates of *Helicobacter pylori* from Malaysian patients. *Malays J Pathol*. 2009;31(1):29–34.
- Glocker E, Berning M, Gerrits MM, Kusters JG, Kist M. Real time PCR screening for 16 S rRna mutations associated with resistance to tetracycline in *Helicobacter pylori. Antimicrob Agents Chemoter.* 2005;49(8):3166–3170.
- Heep M, Kist M, Strobel S, Beck D, Lehn N. Secondary resistance among 554 isolates of *Helicobacter pylori* after failure of therapy. *Eur J Clin Microbiol Infect Dis.* 2000;19(7):538–541.
- Chisholm SA, Owen RJ, Teare EL, Saverymuttu S. PCR-based diagnosis of *Helicobacter pylori* infection and real-time determination of clarithromycin resistance directly from human gastric biopsy samples. *J Clin Microbiol*. 2001;39(4):1217–1220.
- Liou JM, Chen CC, Chang CY, et al. Efficacy of genotypic resistanceguided sequential therapy in the third-line treatment of refractory *Helicobacter pylori* infection: a multicentre clinical trial. *J Antimicrob Chemother*. 2013;68(2):450–456.

- 74. Schabereiter-Gurtner C, Hirschl AM, Dragosics B, et al. Novel realtime PCR assay for detection of *Helicobacter pylori* infection and simultaneous clarithromycin susceptibility testing of stool and biopsy specimens. *J Clin Microbiol*. 2004;42:4512–4518.
- Miwa H, Nagahara A, Kurosawa A, et al. Is antimicrobial susceptibility testing necessary before second-line treatment for *Helicobacter pylori* infection? *Aliment Pharmacol Ther*. 2003;17(12): 1545–1551.
- Wenzhen Y, Yumin L, Quanlin G, et al. Is antimicrobial susceptibility testing necessary before first-line treatment for *Helicobacter pylori* infection? Meta – analysis of randomized controlled trials. *Intern Med.* 2010;49(12):1103–1109.
- Gomollon F, Sicilia B, Ducóns JA, Sierra E, Revillo MJ, Ferrero M. Third line treatment for *Helicobacter pylori*: a prospective, culturedguided study in peptic ulcer patients. *Aliment Pharmacol Ther*. 2000; 14(10):1335–1338.
- Graham DY. Editorial: avoiding unethical *Helicobacter pylori* clinical trials: susceptibility-based studies and probiotics as adjuvants. *Helicobacter*. 2015;20(5):321–325.
- Zullo A, Hassan C, Lorenzetti R, Winn S, Morini S. A clinical practice viewpoint: to culture or not to culture *Helicobacter pylori? Dig Liver Dis.* 2003;35(5):357–361.
- Wu JY, Liou JM, Graham DY. Evidence based recommendations for successful *Helicobacter pylori* treatment. *Expert Rev Gastroenterol Hepatol*. 2014;8(1):21–28.
- Jenks PJ. Causes of failure of eradication of *Helicobacter pylori*. Antibiotic resistance is the major cause and susceptibility testing may help. *BMJ*. 2002;325(7354):3–4.
- Dixon MF, Genta RM, Yardley JH, Correa P. Classification and grading of gastritis. The updated Sydney System. International Workshop on the Histopathology of Gastritis, Houston 1994. *Am J Surg Pathol.* 1996; 20(10):1161–1181.
- Gisbert JP, Gonzalez L, Calvet X, et al. Proton pump inhibitor, clarithromycin and either amoxicillin or nitroimidazole: a meta-analysis of eradication of *Helicobacter pylori*. *Aliment Pharmacol Ther*. 2000; 14(10):1319–1328.
- Fallone CA, Chiba N, van Zanten SV, et al. The Toronto consensus for treatment of *Helicobacter pylori* infection in adults. *Gastroenterology*. 2016;151(1):51–69.
- 85. Laine L, Hunt R, El-Zimaity H, Nguyen B, Osato M, Spénard J. Bismuth-based quadruple therapy using a single capsule of bismuth biskalcitrate, metronidazole and tetracycline given with omeprazole versus omeprazole, amoxicillin, and clarithromycin for eradication of *Helicobacter pylori* in duodenal ulcer patients: randomized, multicenter North American trial. *Am J Gastroenterol.* 2003;98(3): 562–567.
- Venerito M, Krieger T, Echer T, Leandro G, Malfertheiner P. Metaanalysis of bismuth quadruple therapy versus clarithromycin triple therapy for empiric primary treatment of *Helicobacter pylori* infection. *Digestion*. 2013;88(1):33–45.
- Matsumoto H, Shiotani A, Katsumata R, et al. *Helicobacter pylori* eradication with proton pump inhibitors or potassium-competitive acid blockers: the effect of clarithromycin resistance. *Dig Dis Sci.* 2016; 61(11):3215–3220.
- Zullo A, Gatta L, De Francesco V, et al. High rate of *Helicobacter* pylori eradication with sequential therapy in elderly patients with peptic ulcer: a Prospective Controlled Study. *Aliment Pharmacoy Ther*. 2005;21(12):1419–1424.
- Wu DC, Hsu PI, Wu JY, et al. Sequential and concomitant therapy with four drugs is equally effective for eradication of *H. pylori* infection. *Clin Gastroenterol Hepatol*. 2010;8(1):36–41.
- Essa AS, Kramer JR, Graham DY, Treiber G. Meta-analysis: fourdrug, three-antibiotic, non-bismuth containing "concomitant therapy" versus triple therapy for *Helicobacter pylori* eradication. *Helicobacter*. 2009;14(2):109–118.

- Tursi A, Di Mario F, Franceschi M, et al. New bismuth-containing quadruple therapy in patients infected with *Helicobacter pylori*: a first Italian experience in clinical practice. *Helicobacter*. Epub 2017 Jan 26.
- 92. Delchier JC, Malfertheiner P, Thieroff-Ekerdt R. Use of combination formulation of bismuth,metronidazole and tetracycline with omeprazole as a rescue therapy for eradication of *Helicobacter pylori*. *Aliment Pharmacol Ther*. 2014;40(2):171–177.
- Lee YJ, Park KS. Optimal first-line treatment for *Helicobacter pylori* infection: recent strategies. *Gastroenterol Res Pract*. 2016;2016: 9086581.
- Akazawa Y, Fukuda D, Fukuda Y. Vonoprazan-based therapy for *Heli-cobacter pylori* eradication: experience and clinical evidence. *Therap Adv Gastroenterol*. 2016;9(6):845–852.
- Murakami K, Sakurai Y, Shiino M, Funao N, Nishimura A, Asaka M. Vonoprazan a novel potassium-competitive acid blocker, as a component of first-line and second-line triple therapy for *Helicobacter pylori* eradication: a phase III, randomized, double-blind study. *Gut.* 2016; 65(9):1439–1446.

- 96. Suzuki S, Gotoda T, Kusano C, Iwatsuka K, Moriyama M. The efficacy and tolerability of a triple therapy containing a potassium-competitive acid blocker compared with a 7-day, PPI-based low-dose clarithromycin triple therapy. *Am J Gastroenterol*. 2016;111(7):949–956.
- Noda H, Noguchi S, Yoshimine T, et al. A novel potassium-competitive acid blocker improves the efficacy of clarithromycin-containing 7-day triple therapy against *Helicobacter pylori*. J Gastrointestin Liver Dis. 2016;25(3):283–288.
- Ayala G, Escobedo-Hinojosa WI, de la Cruz-Herrera CF, Herrera C, Romer I. Exploring alternative treatment for *Helicobacter pylori* infection. *World J Gastroenterol*. 2014;20(6):1450–1469.
- Ruggiero P. Use of probiotics in the fight against *Helicobacter pylori*. World J Gastrointest Pathophysiol. 2014;5(4):384–391.
- Gopal R, Jeong E, Seo CH, Park Y. Role of antimicrobical peptides expressed by host cells upon infection by *Helicobacter pylori*. Protein Pept Lett. 2014;21(10):1057–1064.

Drug Design, Development and Therapy

Publish your work in this journal

Drug Design, Development and Therapy is an international, peerreviewed open-access journal that spans the spectrum of drug design and development through to clinical applications. Clinical outcomes, patient safety, and programs for the development and effective, safe, and sustained use of medicines are the features of the journal, which has also been accepted for indexing on PubMed Central. The manuscript management system is completely online and includes a very quick and fair peer-review system, which is all easy to use. Visit http://www.dovepress.com/testimonials.php to read real quotes from published authors.

Submit your manuscript here: http://www.dovepress.com/drug-design-development-and-therapy-journal

Dovepress