Journal of Advanced Research 57 (2024) 15-42



Contents lists available at ScienceDirect

Journal of Advanced Research

journal homepage: www.elsevier.com/locate/jare

Review

Join the green team: Inducers of plant immunity in the plant disease sustainable control toolbox



Feng Zhu^{a,*}, Meng-Yao Cao^a, Qi-Ping Zhang^a, Rajinikanth Mohan^b, Jacob Schar^c, Michaela Mitchell^b, Huan Chen^{c,d}, Fengquan Liu^d, Daowen Wang^e, Zheng Qing Fu^{c,*}

^a College of Plant Protection, Joint International Research Laboratory of Agriculture and Agri-Product Safety, the Ministry of Education of China, Yangzhou University, Yangzhou, Jiangsu 225009, China

^b Department of Biology, Mercyhurst University, Erie, PA 16546, USA

^c Department of Biological Sciences, University of South Carolina, Columbia, SC 29208, USA

^d Institute of Plant Protection, Jiangsu Academy of Agricultural Sciences, Jiangsu Key Laboratory for Food Quality and Safety State Key Laboratory Cultivation Base of Ministry of Science and Technology, Nanjing, Jiangsu 210014, China

e State Key Laboratory of Wheat and Maize Crop Science, College of Agronomy, and Center for Crop Genome Engineering, Henan Agricultural University, Zhengzhou 450002, China

HIGHLIGHTS

- To overcome the major challenges of global food security and environmental and social problems caused by the extensive use of chemical pesticides, developments of modern green sustainable management strategies are urgently needed for the control of crop diseases.
- A deeper understanding of the principles of plant immunity and induced host immunity offers potentials for reducing the use of chemical pesticides and paves the way for sustainable agriculture.
- The sustainable environment-friendly disease prevention and control technologies based on plant immunity inducers are comprehensively summarized in this review.
- The significance of the application of plant immunity inducers for plant disease control is systematically summarized.
- By focusing on research advances of plant immunity inducers, this review offers future perspectives of plant immunity inducers and provides a theoretical reference for researchers.

ARTICLE INFO

G R A P H I C A L A B S T R A C T

Diverse environment-friendly technologies are applied in sustainable prevention and control of crop diseases. Inducing host immunity, ecological regulation, biological control, agricultural control, physical and chemical control, and scientific drug use are utilized to control crop diseases and ensure the safety of agricultural production, agricultural product quality and protect agricultural ecological environment.



ABSTRACT

* Corresponding authors.

E-mail addresses: zhufeng@yzu.edu.cn (F. Zhu), zfu@mailbox.sc.edu (Z.Q. Fu).

https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jare.2023.04.016

2090-1232/© 2024 The Authors. Published by Elsevier B.V. on behalf of Cairo University. This is an open access article under the CC BY-NC-ND license (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-nd/4.0/). F. Zhu, M.-Y. Cao, Q.-P. Zhang et al.

Article history: Received 20 February 2023 Revised 13 April 2023 Accepted 25 April 2023 Available online 02 May 2023

Keywords: Disease sustainable control Food security Inducers of plant immunity Plant immunity Plant diseases Green technologies

Crops are constantly attacked by various pathogens. These pathogenic microorganisms, such as fungi, oomycetes, bacteria, viruses, and nematodes, threaten global food security by causing detrimental crop diseases that generate tremendous quality and yield losses worldwide. Chemical pesticides have undoubtedly reduced crop damage; however, in addition to increasing the cost of agricultural production, the extensive use of chemical pesticides comes with environmental and social costs. Therefore, it is necessary to vigorously develop sustainable disease prevention and control strategies to promote the transition from traditional chemical control to modern green technologies. Plants possess sophisticated and efficient defense mechanisms against a wide range of pathogens naturally. Immune induction technology based on plant immunity inducers can prime plant defense mechanisms and greatly decrease the occurrence and severity of plant diseases. Reducing the use of agrochemicals is an effective way to minimize environmental pollution and promote agricultural safety.

Aim of review: The purpose of this work is to offer valuable insights into the current understanding and future research perspectives of plant immunity inducers and their uses in plant disease control, ecological and environmental protection, and sustainable development of agriculture.

Key scientific concepts of review: In this work, we have introduced the concepts of sustainable and environment-friendly concepts of green disease prevention and control technologies based on plant immunity inducers. This article comprehensively summarizes these recent advances, emphasizes the importance of sustainable disease prevention and control technologies for food security, and highlights the diverse functions of plant immunity inducers-mediated disease resistance. The challenges encountered in the potential applications of plant immunity inducers and future research orientation are also discussed.

© 2024 The Authors. Published by Elsevier B.V. on behalf of Cairo University. This is an open access article under the CC BY-NC-ND license (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-nd/4.0/).

Contents

Introduction
The principles of plant immunity
Strategies for sustainable prevention and control of crop diseases
Mode of action of plant immune inducers
Oligosaccharides (OGAs)
β-glucans
Chitin
Chitosan
Alginates
Oligogalacturonides
Proteins and peptides
Bacterial proteins- harpins
Fungal proteins
Oomycete proteins
Glycoproteins
Peptides
Unsaturated fatty acids
Plant hormones and functional analogs
Salicylic acid (SA)
Benzothiadiazole S-methylester (BTH)
2, 6-dichloroisonicotinic acid and N-cyanomethyl-2-chloro isonicotinic acid
Probenazole (PBZ)
Saccharin
Jasmonic acid (JA)
Brassinosteroids (BRs)
Other types of inducers
Vitamin B1
Inorganic salts
Non-protein amino acids
Azelaic acid, glycerol-3-phosphate, and dehydroabietinal
Characteristics of induced plant immunity
Broad-spectrum property
Hysteresis
Durability
Stability
Safety
Commercialization of plant immunity inducers
Conclusions and perspectives
Declaration of Competing Interest
Acknowledgements
References

Introduction

The world currently faces huge challenges, including rapid population growth, climate change, food security, environmental degradation, and pandemic diseases caused by pathogens [1]. It is expected that the global population will swell to 10 billion in 2050. Global food security will become an important issue for the 21st century because food demand is expected to increase 70%-100% by 2050 [2]. Crops are continuously subjected to all kinds of stresses, which threaten the survival of crops and cause significant yield and quality losses in crops [3,4]. Biotic stresses such as pathogens, pests, and competition, represent the major limiting factors for crop production (Fig. 1). Among them, plant pathogens and pests are responsible for 5 major crop yield losses worldwide (wheat 10.1–28.1% rice 24.6–40.9%, maize 19.5–41.1%, potato 8.1–21.0%, and soybean 11.0–32.4%) [5].

Plant diseases caused by bacterial, fungal, viral, and nematode pathogens frequently result in huge yield and quality declines in crops, especially in developing countries, and cost the global economy more than \$220 billion every year [5,6]. Firstly, plant bacterial disease is a common disease in agricultural production. Huanglongbing (HLB) is a severe citrus bacterial disease that seriously harms citrus production worldwide. The bacterial pathogen Candi*datus* Liberibacter spp. is thought to cause HLB that severely affects tree health, citrus fruits development, ripening, and quality, HLB was responsible for up to 2.22 billion tons of oranges losses in the United States from 2017 to 2018 [7]. Secondly, plant fungal diseases are the largest group of plant diseases. Rice blast caused by the fungal pathogen Magnaporthe oryzae is a destructive disease and is responsible for up to 30% of rice yield losses worldwide. The metric value of these losses is enough to feed 60 million people [8]. Presently, 88% of the global wheat plants are susceptible to stripe rust caused by Puccinia striiformis, and yield losses inflicted by this pathogen are nearly 5.47 million tons annually, which is equivalent to an economic loss of \$979 million [9]. Thirdly, plant viral pathogens are harmful to crops [10], and around 1484 plant virus species are identified by the ICTV (International Committee on Taxonomy of Viruses) in 2019. Viruses cause a major portion of plant diseases and have a global cost of more than \$30 billion



Fig. 1. Crops are subject to all kinds of biotic stresses. Biotic stresses are composed of pathogens (bacteria, fungi, oomycetes, viruses, and nematodes), pests (arthropods, birds, and mammals) and competitive weeds.

annually [1,11]. For example, cassava mosaic begomovirus infection causes cassava crop yield losses of 25 million tons annually [6]. Finally, to date, over 4100 species of plant-parasitic nematodes are described [12]. Plant-parasitic nematodes can be harmful to various crops, such as, soybean, rice, corn, and wheat. Losses caused by plant parasitic nematodes are estimated at \$80 billion annually [12]. Rice is infected by over 200 plant nematodes at various stages of development and the parasitic nematode diseases of rice are becoming more and more serious. They account for an estimated US\$16 billion in the world's rice losses each year [13]. Therefore, in order to decrease the losses caused by diseases, it is important to develop effective disease prevention and control technologies.

Currently, chemical pesticides are the primary means for controlling crop diseases and they are often considered indispensable to secure the global food supply. However, besides increasing the cost of agricultural production, the extensive use of agrochemicals caused many environmental and societal problems. Firstly, pesticide exposure poses a direct threat to human health and the indiscriminate use of agrochemicals caused pesticide residues in food [14], which increase food safety risks. Secondly, the indiscriminate use of agrochemicals kills large numbers of non-target organisms, destroying agricultural biodiversity and the balance of the ecosystem. Thirdly, the indiscriminate use of agrochemicals leads to pesticide residues in soil and water, which affects farmland ecological security. Finally, chemical pesticides have also caused the development of resistant strains of pathogens and pests, which prompts more pesticide utilization, aggravating the problem. Not surprisingly, chemical pesticides have been banned by Europe in postharvest stone fruit [15]. Therefore, research and development of new technologies of plant disease control, while minimizing chemical pesticides, is urgently needed to facilitate the transformation from traditional chemical control to modern green control of plant disease, which is safer for human health and the environment. Therefore, in this study, we outline the various strategies of sustainable prevention and control and future perspectives of this approach with a major focus on the inducers of plant immunity.

The principles of plant immunity

The development of inducers to promote plant protection in agriculture has been facilitated by the remarkable progress that we have made in our understanding of the molecular mechanisms of plant defense. Plants possess a two-tiered immunity defense system [16]. According to the standard Zig-Zag model, the first branch uses cell surface-anchored pattern recognition receptors (PRRs) that identify conserved pathogen-associated molecular patterns (PAMPs), causing PAMP-triggered immunity (PTI) [17,18]. PRRs trigger Ca²⁺ signaling, reactive oxygen species (ROS) production, activation of protein kinases, such as, calcium-dependent protein kinases (CPKs) and mitogen-activated protein kinase (MAPK) cascades, and ultimately transcriptional reprogramming to activate PTI responses. To counteract PTI, pathogens evolved various effector proteins and deliver them into the host to alter plant physiology to suppress PTI, resulting in effector-triggered susceptibility (ETS) [19]. The other branch of plant innate immunity utilizes intracellular immune receptors (nucleotide-binding (NB) leucinerich repeat (LRR) receptor (NLR) proteins) to recognize these effectors, causing effector-triggered immunity (ETI), which is accompanied by rapid cell death termed hypersensitive response (HR), and thus locally restricts pathogens spread [18,20]. Although PTI and ETI have often presented as two distinct immune signaling pathways, recent studies indicate that these pathways share components and work synergistically to resist pathogen infections (Fig. 2) [21–23]. Although antiviral immunity conceptions are gen-



Fig. 2. Diagram of the four modes of plant immune system: PTI, ETI, SAR, and RNAi.

erally excluded from the classic Zig-Zag model of plant innate immunity [24], recent studies suggest that PTI also plays an important role in antiviral defense in plants [25,26]. Viral doublestranded RNAs (dsRNAs) are considered as the PAMPs of viral pathogens. RNA silencing (RNAi) that recognizes dsRNAs may function similarly to PTI and play an important role in immune defense responses against viral pathogens (Fig. 2) [24,27]. Viruses have evolved viral suppressors of RNAi (VSRs), such as coat proteins (CPs) as effector proteins to suppress RNAi-mediated defense responses, effectively triggering ETS [24]. Plant then developed R proteins that recognize VSRs or effectors to confer ETI against viral pathogens. Thus, the RNAi-based PTI and the *R* gene-based ETI form the two layers of immunity that constitute plant antiviral innate immunity.

In addition to local resistance conferred by the plant's innate immunity in local tissue, plants also evolved induced systemic resistance (ISR) and systemic acquired resistance (SAR) that protect systemic or uninfected tissues (Fig. 2). SAR can be activated plant-wide by local pathogen infection. In contrast to ETI, which is often race-specific and confers resistance to avirulent pathogens, SAR results in a long-lasting and broad-spectrum systemic resistance to a wide range of pathogens, making it an attractive candidate for plant protection in agriculture. PTI, ETI, and SAR are all associated with the production of the plant defense hormone salicylic acid (SA) [28]. SA also induces the expression of genes involved in RNAi, thereby activating RNAi [29]. The modes of action of PTI, ETI, SAR, and RNAi are briefly described in Fig. 2. Overall, these four branches of plant immunity partially overlap and work additively or synergistically to protect the host from various pathogens (Fig. 2). For example, SAR and ISR in Arabidopsis had an additive effect on resistance to Pseudomonas syringae pv. tomato DC3000 (Pst DC3000) [30]. Various biomolecules that are capable of priming plant defense can be employed as inducers of plant immunity in agriculture. Thus, understanding the principles of plant immunity and the genetic and molecular mechanisms of disease resistance and induced host immunity offers great potential for reducing the use of agrochemicals and paving the way for sustainable agriculture [31].

Strategies for sustainable prevention and control of crop diseases

The purpose of sustainable prevention and control of crop diseases is to ensure agricultural product quality and environmental safety while maximizing yield primarily by decreasing the use of agrochemicals. It involves prioritization of environment-friendly technologies and approaches such as biological control, agricultural control, physical and chemical control with natural products, activation of host immunity, and scientific drug use to control crop diseases combined with ecological regulations (Fig. 3). These approaches promote standardized crop production and enhance the safety and quality of agricultural products, while reducing the risks of pesticide use and protecting the ecological environment. Among these approaches, disease control through the activation of plant immunity by applying inducers of plant immunity has become popular recently and is a promising sustainable strategy for the future.

Mode of action of plant immune inducers

Plant immunity inducers have negligible direct bactericidal, antifungal, or antiviral activities; however, they can stimulate the plant immune system. We propose new insights on the mode of action of plant immunity inducers (Fig. 4). Our action model schematically illustrates the key points of three components (recognition or detection, signal transduction, or activation, or modulation, and defense response or effective resistance) of inducer-triggered defense. Briefly, the recognition or detection of inducers by plant cell-surface receptors or intracellular receptors



Fig. 3. Diverse environment-friendly technologies are applied in sustainable prevention and control of crop diseases. Inducing host immunity, ecological regulation, biological control, agricultural control, physical and chemical control, and scientific drug use are used to control crop diseases and ensure the safety of agricultural production, agricultural product quality and protect agricultural ecological environment.

Fig. 4. A diagram for the mode of action of plant immunity inducers. Firstly, plant immunity inducers are recognized by the plasma membrane receptors or intracellular receptors, such as pattern-recognition receptors (PRRs) and hormone receptors. Next, the receptors work in conjunction with some proteins to transmit immune signals downstream via the multiple signal transduction pathways, such as, Ca²⁺, MAPK, phytohormones (like ABA, ET, JA, SA), and protein phosphorylation (like phosphorylating RBOHD). Finally, the signal downstream triggers the multiple disease-related immune responses, which result in the increased resistance to various pathogens.

Table 1

Oligosaccharides that show immune inducer activity in various plant species.

Oligosaccharides inducers	Source	Plants	Disease	Pathogen	Application	Defense responses
Chitin [32,33]	Fungal cell wall	Rice, Barley, Strawberry	Rice blast, Gray mold, Fusarium head blight	Magnaporthe oryzae, Fusarium graminearum, Botrytis cinerea	Spray	Induce phytoalexins formation, cell death, accumulation of ROS, MAP kinase activation, PR genes, chitinase activity, PAL activity, callose deposition, stomatal closure, accumulation of NO
Oligogalacturonides [34]	Plant cell wall	Wheat	Powdery mildew, Gray mold	Pectobacterium carotovorum sp. carotovorum SCC1, Botrytis cinerea, Blumeria graminis f. sp. Tritici	Spray	Induce defense-related genes, phosphorylation of MPK3 and MPK6, production of ROS and NO, OXO activity, PO activity, SA signaling pathway
Laminarin [35,36,37]	Brown algae	Tobacco, Grapevine	Bacteriosis, Tobacco mosaic disease, Downy mildew, Olive leaf spot	Erwinia carotovora subsp. Carotovora, Plasmopara viticola, Tobacco mosaic virus, Fusicladium oleagineum	Add elicitor to cell culture, Syringe, Spray	Accumulation of PR proteins, release of H ₂ O ₂ , stimulation of PAL and LOX activity, increase level of SA, plasma membrane depolarization, induce defense-related genes
Curdlan [38,39]	Alcaligenes faecalis var. myxogenes	Potato, Tobacco	Late blight, Tobacco mosaic disease	Tobacco mosaic virus, Phytophthora infestans	Infiltration, Spray	Expression of defense-related proteins, increase accumulation of SA, induce PAL, GLU and CTN activity, extracellular alkalinization response, H ₂ O ₂ and NO burst, induce stomatal closure, inhibition of stomatal opening
Sucrose [40,41]	Plant	Rice, Lupin	Fusarium wilt, Rice blast	Fusarium oxysporum, Magnaporthe oryzae	Spray, Add to the medium	Induce defense-related genes, PAL activity, level of isoflavonoids Anthocyanin accumulation, activation of PR genes
Trehalose [42]	Plant	Wheat	Wheat powdery mildew	Blumeria graminis f.sp. tritici	Spray	Induce defense-related transcription factor, PR protein, PAL activity, PO activity, accumulation of ROS,
Chitosan [43,44]	Crustacea	Rice, Phaseolus Vulgaris, Grapevine	Powdery mildew, Bacterial leaf blight, Bacterial leaf streak	Tobacco necrosis virus, Erysiphe necator, Xanthomonas oryzae pv. Oryzae, Xanthomonas oryzae pv. Oryzicola	Spray, Add to the bacterial solution	Disrupt cell membranes, induce PAL, POX and PPO activity, callose deposition, increase synthesis of polyphenols and abscisic acid content
Cellodextrins [45]	Plant	Grapevine	Gray mold	Botrytis cinerea	Add elicitor to cell culture	Induce defense-related genes, induction of oxidative burst and cytosolic calcium variation, phytoalexin accumulation
Algino- oligosaccharides [46,47]	Seaweed	Soybean, Rice	Rice blast	Pseudomonas aeruginosa, Magnaporthe grisea	Spray	Induce PAL, POD, CAT activity, accumulation of phytoalexin
Sulfated fucan oligosaccharides [48]	Seaweed	Tobacco	Tobacco mosaic disease	Tobacco mosaic virus	Add elicitor to cell culture	Induce PAL activity, PR genes, accumulation of phytoalexin and SA, release of $\rm H_2O_2$
Ulvans [49,50]	Macroalgae	Medicago truncatula, Common bean, Grapevine, Cucumber, Wheat	Bean rust, Angular leaf spot, Septoria tritici blotch	Colletotrichum trifolii, Erysiphe polygoni, Erysiphe necator, Sphareotheca fuliginea, Uromyces appendiculatus, Pseudocercospora griseola, Zymoseptoria tritici	Spray	Induce defense-related genes, ROS metabolism and octadecanoids
Carrageenans [51]	Rodophyta	Tobacco	Tobacco mosaic disease	Tobacco mosaic virus, Botrytis cinerea, Pectobacterium carotovorum	Spray	Induce OXO, PAL activity, jasmonic acid related genes and the accumulation of several phenylpropanoid compounds

is the beginning of the immunity responses. The receptors, together with some proteins such as BAK1 (BRI1-associated receptor kinase 1), transfer signals to downstream players through the multiple signal transduction pathways, including MAPK, phytohormones, and protein phosphorylation. Finally, the downstream signal triggers multiple defense responses such as cellular Ca²⁺ inflow, NO synthesis, ROS production, HR, SAR, defense/pathogenesisrelated (PRs) proteins, callose and phytoalexin accumulation, lignin deposition, stomatal closure, and SA production, enabling plants to enhance resistance against various pathogens. To avoid the excessive accumulation of ROS-caused oxidative stress during infection by pathogenic microorganisms, several inducers also promote the activities of several ROS scavenging related-enzymes and regulate redox balance. A great diversity of compounds and natural products involved in plant immunity are deployed as inducers of plant immunity as discussed below.

Oligosaccharides (OGAs)

The oligosaccharides implicated in plant disease resistance are generated by the enzymatic degradation of polysaccharides. These oligosaccharides normally function as PAMPs or DAMPs, which are recognized by PRRs to activate plant immunity. Their activities are highly dependent on not only their degree of polymerization (DP) but also the dose. As shown in Table 1, various kinds of oligosaccharides, such as chitin and alginates, have been confirmed to act as immune inducers and consequently activate plant defense responses. The chemical structures of various oligosaccharides are shown in Fig. 5.

β-glucans

The first identified active oligosaccharides that functioned as inducers were β -glucans, which triggered defense responses of plants through the activation of phenylalanine ammonia-lyase (PAL) activity. β-glucans are widely found in the cell walls of plants and fungi. B-1.3-/ B-1.6-Glucans have been extensively explored for several decades because of their involvement in plant disease resistance [52]. Laminarin, a β -1,3-glucan, isolated from brown algae, has an average DP of 25-33 glucose units and up to three β-glucose branches in position 6. It can induce multiple defense responses in tobacco plants. However, induced defense by laminarin is slower than other β -1,3-glucans with lower DP (2–10) [38]. Application of laminarin to tobacco plants triggers a strong enhancement of Phe ammonia-lyase, caffeic acid 0methyltransferase, and lipoxygenase activities, as well as accumulation of SA. As a result, PR proteins have been accumulated, which lead to the activation of resistance to Erwinia carotovora [35]. Sul-

Fig. 5. Chemical structures of oligosaccharides with immune inducer activities.

fated β -1,3-glucan, but not β -1,3 glucan, induced the SA-mediated defense pathway against TMV in Arabidopsis and tobacco plants [36].

Chitin

Chitin is also found in the cell walls of fungi and is one of the best characterized PAMPs [53]. The receptors for chitin have also been identified in various plants. The chitin elicitor receptor kinase 1 (CERK1) is crucial for chitin elicitor signaling in Arabidopsis [54]. In rice, fungal chitin can be recognized by the LysM-RLP Chitin elicitor-binding protein (CEBiP) which is a specific chitin receptor [55]. Exogenous application of chitin could trigger typical PTI by binding to the chitin elicitor receptor, which could lead to enhanced resistance against pathogens in various crops, including rice, wheat, cotton, oilseed rape, and strawberry [56].

Chitosan

Chitosan found in zygomycete cell walls is a deacetylated derivative of chitin [57]. Chitosan has been proven to induce strong defense responses against fungal pathogens in various plant species. Chitosan oligomers can induce chitinase activity in melon plants. Exogenous application of chitosan oligosaccharides to wheat plants induces lignin deposition and increases the levels of phenolic acids [58]. Moreover, DP also affects the biological activity of chitosan. Chitosan oligomers with a DP ranging between 7 and 10 are usually the most active plant immunity inducers [59].

Alginates

Alginate oligomers are produced by the depolymerization of alginates. Alginate oligomers with DP between 2 and 10 have been shown to exert PAMP function to induce defense responses in various plant species. The algino-oligosaccharides induced defense responses by stimulating phytoalexin accumulation and antimicrobial activity on *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* [46]. In Arabidopsis, treatment with alginate oligosaccharide triggered a strong resistance against *Pst* DC3000 through the SA signaling pathway [60].

Oligogalacturonides

Some oligosaccharides derived from plant cell walls during a pathogen infection are considered DAMPs and could induce defense responses [61]. Oligogalacturonides (OGs) are examples of DAMPs that stimulate plant immunity and are oligomers of alpha-1,4-linked galacturonosyl residues released from plant cell walls upon partial degradation of homogalacturonan. OGs have been shown to induce resistance against pathogen infections by inducing ROS production, phytoalexins, callose deposition, nitric oxide (NO), and pathogenesis-related proteins [61]. OGs could also trigger nitrate reductase (NR)-dependent fast and long-lasting NO generation together with an increased NR activity and transcript level of NR gene, which lead to the enhanced ROS accumulation and the defense genes *PER4* and a β -1,3-glucanase expression against Botrytis cinerea in Arabidopsis [62]. Moreover, a novel oligosaccharide, mannan oligosaccharide (MOS) hydrolyzed from locust bean gum, has great potential as a plant immunity inducer for managing plant disease. Treatment of tobacco or rice with MOS led to increased intracellular Ca2+, MAPK cascades, ROS, SA and JA-dependent signaling pathways, activation of defenserelated genes, and the accumulation of four phytoalexins. MOS signaling induces multiple defense responses against Phytophthora nicotianae and Xanthomonas oryzae in tobacco and rice, respectively [63]. Recently, Poaceae-specific cell wall-derived oligosaccharides, namely the tetrasaccharide $3^{1}-\beta$ -D-Cellotriosyl-glucose and the trisaccharide 3^{1} - β -D-Cellobiosyl-glucose, have been shown to bind the immune receptor OsCERK1 and lead to the activation of strong immune responses against *M. oryzae* infection in rice [64]. Thus, oligosaccharides are effectively used as inducers of plant immunity in many crops.

Proteins and peptides

Some immune-inducing proteins derived from pathogens (bacteria, fungi, and oomycetes) have been shown to trigger plant immune systems to increase resistance to various pathogens. Here are some examples.

Bacterial proteins- harpins

Plant disease resistance can be induced by a protein from the fire blight bacterial pathogen Erwinia amylovora. This protein was named the hypersensitive protein (harpin) [65]. Harpin is a glycine-rich and heat-stable protein. Harpins can serve as inducers of HR, activate defense responses, and promote plant growth against a broad array of pathogens by regulating multiple signaling pathways in a range of non-host plant species (Fig. 6) [66]. The HrpN of *E. amylovora* is the first identified harpin protein and can trigger resistance. Since then, multiple harpins have been identified from various Gram-negative plant-pathogenic bacteria [67]. Similarly, Hpa1, another harpin protein, is produced by X. oryzae [68]. Exogenous application of Hpa1 increases resistance against bacterial blight, rice blast, sheath blight, and TMV in various plant species and improves plant growth [69-71]. Recently, harpins have been categorized into four major groups (Hpa1, HrpN, HrpW1, and HrpZ1) based on domain structures and protein similarity; A fifth group of harpins includes unclassified harpins [72]. The details of the mechanism of harpins-induced plant immunity against pathogens are shown in Fig. 6.

Fungal proteins

Several immunity-inducing proteins derived from fungi could also trigger plant innate immunity. PevD1, a novel immuneinducing protein isolated from Verticillium dahliae, is a pathogensecreted protein. Treatment of plants with PevD1 induced HR, extracellular-medium alkalization, H₂O₂ production, phenolics metabolism, callose deposition, and lignin synthesis, and caused necrotic lesions, ultimately leading to SAR, and enhanced resistance to a viral pathogen [73]. PevD1 also triggered plant immunity through the up-regulation of PR genes, cell wall modifications, metabolite deposition, JA signaling, and Ca²⁺-responsive pathways, enhancing resistance against Pst DC3000 and B. cinerea [74]. Pathogens secrete a range of cell wall-degrading enzymes (CWDEs) to invade plants, and CWDEs also act as inducers of immune responses. VdPEL1, a novel immune inducing protein isolated from V. dahlia, is a pectate lyase with pectin hydrolytic activity, which has been shown to trigger cell death in plants [75]. Purified VdPEL1 protein induced defense responses and systemic resistance in cotton and tobacco, which resulted in increased resistance to B. cinerea and V. dahliae infection [75]. A secreted protein elicitor MoHrip1 isolated from *M. oryzae* can induce the events of defense responses, including callose deposition, H₂O₂ production, and alkalization of the extracellular medium, and activation of SA and gibberellin (GA) signaling [76,77]. MoHrip1 enhanced systemic resistance to blast fungi in rice and tobacco while promoting plant growth.

Fig. 6. A schematic model of harpin-induced plant immunity. Harpins activate plant immunity and promote plant growth by regulating multiple signaling pathways. The exogenous application of harpins promotes K⁺ efflux, induces extracellular alkalization, and Ca²⁺ influx, and activates the RBOH and NOS, which result in the production of ROS and NO, respectively. NO and ROS promote each other to regulate HR. In addition, harpin treatment interferes with the function of mitochondria and inhibits the respiration of plants, which result in HR. Harpins also enhance plant immunity by promoting MAPK signal cascades, defense-related enzyme activities, and callose deposition. The application of harpins could activate the expression of *NDR1* and *EDS1*, promote SA synthesis, activate SA signal transduction pathway, and induce the expression of *PR* genes and SAR-related genes. Furthermore, harpins can also regulate the expression of ET signaling pathway genes, activating plant immunity, and promoting plant growth. Harpin treatment can induce the synthesis of GA. GA binds its receptor GID1 to promote the binding of GID1 to the DELLA protein, a negative regulator of GA signaling pathway, resulting in the conformational change of the DELLA protein. Then the conformational change of DELLA protein interacts with SCF^{SLY1} and leads to the poly-ubiquitination of DELLA protein. Finally, DELLA is degraded by 26S proteasome. Therefore, the repression by DELLA protein is removed, and then the expression of GA signaling pathway genes and promote plant growth are promoted. In addition, harpins can also increase photosynthetic efficiency and promote plant growth, which enhance plant resistance to pathogens infection.

Oomycete proteins

Immune-inducing proteins secreted by oomycetes have also been shown to trigger plant defense responses. Elicitins are structurally conserved extracellular proteins that are secreted by Pythium and Phytophthora pathogen species and act as oomycete PAMPs [78]. Elicitins induce HR and systemic resistance in various plants [78]. Recent studies suggest that elicitins can be recognized by immune receptors and activate broad-spectrum resistance. The receptor-like protein ELR from the wild potato mediates the perception of the elicitin from Phytophthora, and this receptor-like protein potentially promoted resistance against several oomycete [79]. Moreover, cryptogein secreted by Phytophthora cryptogea could induce an intense defense response in tobacco plants consisting of HR and SAR [80]. In addition, cryptogein also induces multiple signal transduction events in plants, including ROS and NO production, MAPK activation, cell death, lipid peroxidation, and LOX gene transcription [81]. A list of plant diseases managed by applying immune-inducing proteins in various plant species are summarized in Table 2.

Glycoproteins

The application of some pathogen glycoproteins could trigger host immune responses (Table 3). The first reported glycoprotein was identified in *Phytophthora megasperma*. This glycoprotein could trigger defense-related phytoalexin production [107]. Following this discovery, various pathogen glycoproteins have been identified and applied as elicitors that induce host defense responses. BcGs1, a glycoprotein isolated from *B. cinerea*, has been shown to act as an elicitor that activated hormone signal pathways, HR and H_2O_2 production in tomato and tobacco leaves, elevated the expression of the defense genes *PR-1a*, *tomato protein kinase 1* (*TPK1b*) and prosystemin [47]. These events lead to strong resistance against *B. cinerea*, *Pst* DC3000 and TMV in systemic leaves [47]. Moreover, GP-1, a novel glycoprotein has also been demonstrated to induce immunity in tobacco plants. Exogenous application of GP-1 triggered defense responses, including Ca²⁺ influx, oxidative burst, HR, callose apposition, PCD, increase in NO, and stomatal closure as well as the activation of SA and JA defense pathways and the systemic accumulation of PR proteins in *Nicotiana benthamiana*, which led to the enhanced resistance to TMV [108].

Peptides

Several peptides derived from pathogens and plants also act as inducers of plant defense and activate PTI. One of the first identified peptides is Pep13 (13 amino acid residue peptide, VWNQPVRGFKVYE) from *Phytophthora sojae*, which can induce the expression of defense marker genes against potato and parsley late blight diseases [90]. Flg22 (QRLSTGSRINSAKDDAAGLQIA) isolated from *P. aeruginosa* is a conserved 22 amino acid sequence at the *N*-terminal of bacterial flagellin. Exogenous application of Flg22 to tomato, potato, and Arabidopsis plants induced ROS accumulation and biosynthesis of ethylene. At the same time, a higher concentration of Flg22 can elicit necrosis or HR [91]. Flg22 isolated from *Xanthomonas campestris* pv. *campestris* (QQLSSGKRITSASV-

Various proteins and peptides that enhanced resistance to a broad range of pathogens in different plant species.

Proteins	Source	Plants	Disease	Pathogen	Application	Defense responses
HrpN [82]	Erwinia amylovora	Tobacco		Botrytis cinerea	Spray, Transgenic	SAR pathway, promote plant growth, resistance-related genes expression, SA- dependent and JA/ET-dependent defense pathways, enhance superoxide production
Hpa1 [68–71]	Xanthomonas oryzae	Rice, Pinellia ternata	Bacterial blight, Rice blast, Sheath blight, Tobacco mosaic disease	Xanthomonas oryzae, Magnaporthe grisea, Thanatephorus cucumeris, Tobacco mosaic virus	Seed soak, Spray	Promote plant growth, hydrogen peroxide signal transduction, induce an increase in defense-related enzyme activity, increase the expression of disease resistance- related genes, increase the content of hydrogen peroxide, phenolics, and callose, reduce the content of malondialdehyde
PevD1 [73,74]	Verticillium dahlia	Tobacco, Cotton	Verticillium wilt, Tobacco mosaic disease	Botrytis cinerea, Pseudomonas syringae pv. tomato DC3000, Tobacco mosaic virus	Leaf injection	Hypersensitive response (HR), hydrogen peroxide production, extracellular- medium alkalization, callose deposition, phenolics metabolism, and lignin synthesis, cause necrotic lesions, induce SAR; trigger innate immunity and to result in the up-regulation of pathogen-related genes, metabolite deposition, cell wall modifications, IA signaling and Ca ²⁺ -responsive pathways
PEL1 [75]	Verticillium dahlia	Tobacco, Cotton		Botrytis cinerea, Verticillium dahlia	Leaf syringe-infiltration	Cell death, accumulation of ROS, defense-related genes expression, callose deposition
Hrip1 [77,83]	Magnaporthe oryzae, Alternaria tenuissima	Rice, Tobacco	Rice blast, Tobacco mosaic disease	Magnaporthe oryzae, Tobacco mosaic virus	Spray, Leaf infiltration	Hydrogen peroxide production, callose deposition, alkalization of the extracellular medium, activation of SA signaling pathway, the gibberellin (GA) pathway, promote plant growth, enhance systemic resistance, hypersensitive response, NO production, SAR pathway, defense-related genes expression
HpaXpm [84]	Xanthomonas phaseoli pv. manihotis HNHK	Tobacco	Tobacco mosaic disease	Tobacco mosaic virus	Leaf injection, Foliar spray	Hypersensitive response, NPR1 gene expression, promote plant growth
PopW [85]	Ralstonia solanacearum	Tobacco	Tobacco mosaic disease	Tobacco mosaic virus	Spray	Hypersensitive response, SAR, induce expression of PR genes, H_2O_2 burst, activity of defense-related enzymes, increase tobacco yield, improve tobacco foliar quality
ELR [79]	Solanum microdontum	Potato	Potato late blight	Phytophthora infestans, oomycete plant pathogens	Transgenic	
Cryptogein [78,81]	Phytophthora cryptogea	Tobacco		Phytophthora parasitica	Leaf infiltration	Hypersensitive response, SAR, ROS and NO production, mitogen-activated protein kinase (MAPK) activation, cell death, lipid peroxidation and LOX gene transcription, cell wall modifications
BcGs1 [47]	Botrytis cinerea	Tobacco, Tomato	Tobacco mosaic disease, Gray mold	Tobacco mosaic virus, Botrytis cinerea	Injection	Induce hypersensitive response, up-regulation the PR genes, prosystemin elicitor
Gp-1 [86]	Streptomyces	Tobacco	Tobacco mosaic disease	Tobacco mosaic virus	Leaf spray	Induce HR, PCD, H_2O_2 and Ca^{2*} , induce elevated NO levels, stomatal closure, accumulation of callose, activation of defense-related genes
GhGLP2 [87]	Wheat cell wall	Gossypium hirsutum L.	Verticillium wilt, Fusarium wilt	Verticillium dahliae, Fusarium oxysporum.	Root drench	Induce PDF and PR genes expression, up-regulation the antioxidant enzyme. callose deposition, lignin formation
PLCP [88]	Papaya cell	Citrus	Citrus yellow shoot	Liberbacter asianticum jagoueix	Leaf spray	Activate the expression of SA related genes, induce PR genes expression, cell death, conduct MAPK signaling, activation the G-protein coupled receptors
GhLecRK-2 [89]	Fungal cell wall	Cotton	Verticillium wilt	Verticillium dahliae	Spray, Add to the bacterial solution	Activate WRKY gene
Pep13 [90]	Phytophtora sojae	Potato, parsley	late blight disease	Phytophtora infestans	Cell treatment	Function as a PAMP for the activation of innate defense reactions, expression of defense-related genes,
fig22 [91]	Pseudomonas aeruginosa, Xanthomonas campestris pv. campestris	Tomato, tobacco, potato,		Pseudomonas syringae pv. tomato DC3000	Cell treatment, Spray	Induce a visible alkalinization, oxidative burst, elicit necrotic or hypersensitive response, Induction of ethylene biosynthesis
CAPE1 [92]	Tomato	Tomato, Arabidopsis		Pseudomonas syringae pv. tomato DC3000	Spray	Induce H ₂ O ₂ formation; induce the expression of genes involved in the stress response, defense response, innate immune response, bacterial defense, and systemic acquired resistance
LTP4 [93]	Grapevine cell suspension	Grapevine		Botrytis cinerea	Leaf infiltration	
Zea mays immune signaling peptide 1 (Zip1) [94]	Maize	Maize		Ustilago maydis	Leaves soaking	SA accumulation, expression of defense genes,
Phytosulfokines (PSKs) [95]	Solanum lycopersicum	Tomato		Botrytis cinerea	Spray	Promote the binding between calmodulins (CaMs) and the auxin biosynthetic YUCCAs (YUCs), increase cytosolic [Ca2 +] facilitate the auxin accumulation
SAMP [96]	Australian finger lime	Citrus	Huanglongbing	<i>Candidatus</i> Liberibacter asiaticus	Pneumatic trunk injection, spray	Induce the expression of defense response genes, promote plant growth

25

Table 3Unsaturated fatty acids with immune inducer activities in different plant species.

Unsaturated fatty acid	Chemical structures	Source	Plant	Disease	Pathogen	Application	Defense responses
Palmitoleic acid (16:1) [97,98]	° ∥ OH	Solanum melongena L. Lycopersicon	Eggplant Tomato	<i>Verticillium</i> wilt Powdery	Verticillium dahliae Erysiphe polygoni DC.	Transgenic Transgenic	
		esculentum Mill.		mildew	edmund. Salm.	-	
Oleic Acid (18:1) [99]	O OH	Soybean (<i>Glycine.</i> max (L.) Merr.)	Soybean	Soybean phytophthora root rot	Pseudomonas syringae pv. glycinea, Phytophthora sojae	Transgenic, Glycerol application	NO accumulation, induction of cell death and PR-1 expression, increased GTPase activity, SA accumulation, express pathogenesis-related genes, R gene expression, production of reactive oxygen species (ROS)
Linoleic acid (18:2) [100–102]		Avocado fruits	Avocado	Anthracnose	Colletotrichum gloeosporioides	Ethylene treatment	Production of reactive oxygen species (ROS)
	\$ `	Bean	Bean	Bean gray mold	Botrytis cinerea	Bacterial liquid treatment	
	\rangle						
Linolenic acid (18:3) [101,103]		Rice Bean	Rice Bean	Rice blast Bean gray mold	Magnaporthe grisea Botrytis cinerea	Transgenic Bacterial liquid treatment	Oxidative burst, JA signaling pathway, expression of disease-related genes
		Petroselinum crispum	Parsley		Phytophthora sojae	Elicitor treatment	
Arachidonic acid (20:4) [104–106]	ОН	Mortierella hygrophila	Potato	Late blight, Common scrab, Rhizoctonoise	Phytophthora infestans, Macrosporium solani, Alternaria solani, Rhizoctonia solani, Actinomyces scabes, Pectobacterium phytophthorum	Foliar spray	Reconstruction of the cell ultrastructure, increase the amounts of certain enzymes and protective substances, a decrease in sterol content, redirection of isoprenoid biosynthesis from sterol derivatives toward sesquiterpenoid phytoalexins, appearance of signal molecules, oxidative
		Mortierella hygrophila	Tomato	Macrosporiose of leaves, Septariose of leaves, Black bacterial blight of leaves	Phytophthora infestans, Alternaria solani, Macrosporium solani, Septoria lycopersici, Xanthomonas vesicatoria, Meloidogyne incognita	Foliar spray	burst
		Mortierella hygrophila	Suger beet	Cercosporose		Foliar spray	
		Mortierella hygrophila	Vine plants Laminaria digitata	Powdery mildew	Laminariocolax tomentosoides	Foliar spray	

DAAGLAIS) can also induce plant immunity. Spraying Arabidopsis plants with Flg22 enhanced resistance to *Pst* DC3000 [109].

In addition, peptides isolated from plants can also induce plant immunity. In response to pathogen infection or other stressors, the expression of PR genes encoding proteins or peptides with antimicrobial activities will be induced [110]. Among a total of 17 families of PR proteins or peptides, PR1, PR2, and PR5 are apparently induced by the plant defense hormone SA and are associated with plant defense against biotrophic and abiotic trophic pathogens [111]. A peptide named CAPE1, derived from PR-1b, was discovered in tomato plants after wounding or wounding plus methyl-IA treatment. Exogenous application of its Arabidopsis homolog AtCAPE1 induces resistance to Pst DC3000 in Arabidopsis plants. Non-specific lipid transfer proteins (LTPs) belong to the PR15 family. One of the type I LTPs VvLTP4 was purified from grapevine cell suspension. Exogenous application of VvLTP4 provided better protections to grapevine plants against *B. cinereg* than IA alone, with the VvLTP4-JA providing the best protection [93]. Immune signaling peptide 1 (Zip1) is a 17-aa peptide isolated from maize leaves. Soaking maize leaves with Zip1 can induce SA production in maize, in which case, the resistance of maize to Ustilago maydis was significantly improved [94]. Phytosulfokines (PSKs) are a kind of sulfated tyrosine-containing pentapeptides, which are ubiquitous in higher plants. Spraying PSKs on tomato plants could enhance the binding activity between calmodulins and auxin biosynthetic YUCCAs (YUCs), resulting in auxin accumulation and activating auxinmediated immunity to B. cinerea [95]. Multiple injections of MaSAMP, a heat-stable peptide isolated from Australian finger lime, suppresses the citrus greening disease [96]. MaSAMP exhibited strong bacteria-killing activity, promoted the expression of plant defense genes including *PR* genes, and activated SAR [112]. As shown in Table 2, a list of plant diseases managed by applying proteins and peptides are summarized.

Unsaturated fatty acids

Several unsaturated fatty acids, such as oleic acid (18:1), linoleic acid (18:2), linolenic acid (C18:3), and arachidonic acid (C20:4), serve as signaling molecules. They induce natural, systemic, and durable resistance to diseases in plants [104,113]. Oleic acid and linoleic acid could stimulate protein kinase C-mediated activation of NADPH oxidase, resulting in ROS accumulation [113]. In soybean, oleic acid induces defense responses and enhances resistance to bacterial and oomycete pathogens [99]. Arachidonic acid acts as an inducer to promote defense responses against pathogens [104]. Arachidonic acid was found to strongly trigger oxidative bursts and induced resistance in L. digitata [106]. Future studies on the perception and signaling pathway of these unsaturated fatty acids will help us gain a better understanding on how they activate plant defense. Table 3 shows a comprehensive list of plant diseases managed by the application of unsaturated fatty acids in various plant species.

Plant hormones and functional analogs

Salicylic acid (SA)

SA plays an important role in establishing plant immunity. It could serve as an endogenous plant signal to trigger defense

Fig. 7. Salicylic acid (SA)-mediated systemic acquired resistance (SAR). The level of SA in plants is low without pathogen infections. A local infection by an avirulent pathogen results in an increased level of SA, which will also increase NPR1 protein level. NPR1 targets substrates for ubiquitination and degradation through the formation of SA-induced NPR1 condensates (SINCs). SINCs are enriched with proteins involved in cell death. Therefore, SA activates NPR1 to induce SAR and NPR1 promotes cell survival to prevent the spread of cell death. In response to different levels of SA in the center of the infection zone and neighboring cells, NPR3 and NPR4 function as adaptors for Cullin 3 E3 ligase for the degradation of NPR1 to maintain optimal levels of NPR1 to achieve localized cell death during effector-triggered immunity (ETI). Glyceol-3-phosphate (G-3-P), azelaic acid (AZA), diterpenoid dehydroabietinal (DA), N-hydroxypipecolic acid (NHP) or one or more NHP metabolites, and methyl-SA (MeSA) function as mobile signals for SAR. Activation of SAR provides resistance in the entire plant to a secondary infection by a virulent pathogen in systemic leaves. It was proposed that the binding of SA to NPR3/NPR4 removes its transcriptional repression of defense-related genes. In the meanwhile, it was also proposed that SA binds NPR1 to activate the expression of defense-related genes. NPR1 into its monomers, which then migrate to the nucleus. NPR1 binds to TGAs to promote the expression of defense-related genes and increase resistance against pathogen infections.

responses and establish both local and systemic resistance against various pathogens [114]. The levels of SA increase at local leaves during a primary infection and infected plants generate a transportable signal that is critical for SAR establishment (Fig. 7). NPR1 and NPR3/NPR4 served as SA receptors that play important roles in SA- mediated local and systemic resistance [115,116]. The details of the mechanism of SA-mediated local and SAR against pathogens are shown in Fig. 7. Various defense-related stimuli could induce SA production in both local and systemic tissues, and the application of SA could trigger SAR. SA is synthesized from chorismate through two independent pathways in plants, either via PAL in the cytoplasm or via isochorismate synthase 1 (ICS1) in the chloroplast [117]. Generally, ICS1 is believed to be responsible for most pathogen-induced SA biosynthesis in plants like Arabidopsis [118], as SA induced by pathogen infections is dramatically decreased when the ICS1 pathway is blocked. However, the PAL pathway also contributes to SA biosynthesis and defense response. Knockdown of ZmPAL expression via VIGS led to increased sensibility to sugarcane mosaic virus (SCMV) in maize, symptom severity and virus replication, and reduced SA and PR proteins accumulation. In addition, the increase in endogenous SA production is positively correlated with the resistance to hemibiotrophic and biotrophic plant pathogens [119]. With the knowledge that SA is essential for plant immunity induction, foliar application of SA has been successfully utilized to trigger plant resistance to a broad array of pathogens, such as viruses, bacteria, nematodes, and fungi (Table 4). Exogenous SA treatment in maize reduced SCMV accumulation and enhanced maize's resistance to viral infection [124]. Tomatoes pretreated with SA showed elevated resistance against tomato yellow leaf curl virus (TYLCV) infection by regulation of the expression patterns of ROS-related genes and PR genes [86]. Our study shows that treatment with JA followed by SA in N. benthamiana triggers the strongest systemic resistance to TMV [125]. In addition, our studies suggest that glutathione is required for both local and systemic resistance against viral pathogens through differential regulation of SA signaling and ROS [10]. The exogenous application of SA also enhances plant resistance to fungal infection. Treatment with 200 uM SA increased the endogenous levels of SA, antioxidant activities, and the expression of SA marker genes in soybean seedlings. However, SA treatment did not affect the mycelial growth of Fusarium solani, suggesting that SA induces resistance to F. solani in soybean seedlings [123]. Similarly, SA pretreatment could enhance the resistance of tomato plants to the fungal pathogen Fusarium oxysporum. Foliar treatment with 1.5 mM SA could significantly enhance the activities of defense enzymes, NO production, and the expression of defense genes in tomato plants. However, NO synthase inhibitors or NO scavengers significantly decreased these parameters. Foliar treatment with SA also increased physiological parameters like shoot and root length, etc. [121] The results showed that cross-talk between SA and endogenous NO plays a significant role in improving the resistance against F. oxysporum in tomato plants [121]. Treatment of rice seedlings with 2 mM SA induces resistance to bacterial blight by regulating the activities of antioxidant enzymes and photosystem II [129]. Exogenous SA can activate SA signaling and participate in xa5-mediated resistance to bacterial blight by upregulating OsNPR3.3 and TGAL11 [122]. Exogenous application of SA by soil drench, foliar spray, or trunk injection induced citrus systemic resistance to HLB [128]. SA is also involved in protecting plants against nematode pathogens. SA-deficient Arabidopsis mutants showed increased susceptibility to the beet cyst nematode. Application of SA in wild-type Arabidopsis exhibited enhanced resistance to Heterodera schachtii [126]. In conclusion, exogenous SA treatment induces local and systemic resistance that is a safe and effective means to resist the infection of various pathogens.

Benzothiadiazole S-methylester (BTH)

BTH, is also referred to 1.2.3-benzothiadiazole-7-thiocarboxylic acid-S-methyl-ester (ASM), is a synthetic analog of SA and is one of the most commonly used immunity inducers in crops. BTH does not exhibit a direct suppression effect on plant pathogens tested in vitro; however, it has been found to trigger strong defense responses against a diverse range of pathogens, including TMV, E. carotovora, Cercospora nicotianae, M. oryzae, Phytophthora parasitica, and P. syringae pv. tabaci in various important crops [133,138]. Exogenous application of BTH in common bean plants increased the accumulation of receptor-like kinases and PR proteins, and resistance to common bean rust caused by Uromyces appendiculatus [182]. In addition, treatment with BTH markedly enhanced the H₂O₂ content and total antioxidant capacity, increased the activities of defense-related enzymes, reduced the content of malondialdehvde and delayed fruit ripening, and induced strong resistance against Colletotrichum musae in banana plants [130].

BTH can trigger SAR, induce the expression of PR genes, and enhance resistance against TMV and Peronospora tabacina in salicylic acid-deficient NahG transgenic lines [133]. Also, in Arabidopsis, BTH stimulates the PR gene expression and triggers NPR1dependent SAR. However, in rice, the WRKY family transcription factor OsWRKY45 but not rice ortholog of Arabidopsis NPR1 is required for BTH-induced resistance to rice blast disease [138]. Knockdown of OsWRKY45 in rice significantly reduced the BTHinduced resistance levels against *M. oryzae* and *X. oryzae* pv. *Oryzae* [138]. However, when BTH was sprayed on Arabidopsis seedlings multiple times, the biomass of these plants was reduced [183]. Therefore, precaution should be taken if BTH is repeatedly applied on the same plant with short intervals to prevent detrimental effects on plant growth. In addition to BTH, some other immunity inducers, such as PBZ and Tiadinil, partly triggered strong resistance to rice blast, via the OsWRKY45 signaling pathway [184]. A comprehensive list of diverse plant diseases managed by treatment with BTH in various plant species is summarized. BTH is suitable for agricultural crop protection and has been commercialized as an effective agrochemical (Table 4).

2, 6-dichloroisonicotinic acid and N-cyanomethyl-2-chloro isonicotinic acid

2, 6-dichloroisonicotinic acid (INA) induces similar defense responses as SA, but it does not increase the content of SA and can still induce SAR in *NahG* transgenic plants [185,186]. Therefore, it is regarded as a functional SA analog that works downstream of SA. Like SA, INA has been shown to inhibit ascorbate peroxidase (APX) and catalase activity and also induce ROS accumulation [187]. Exogenous application of INA triggers strong resistance in a large number of plants, such as tobacco, beans, pepper, pear, cucumber, rice, and Arabidopsis against various pathogens [141,144]. INA could enhance defense against bacterial spot disease in tomato plants through regulation of the defense-related genes [145].

N-cyanomethyl-2-chloro isonicotinic acid (NCI) is another potential immunity inducer, which was recognized as a potent immunity inducer for the control of rice blast disease [147]. NCI did not show antifungal activity on *M. oryzae in vitro* even when a high dose (1100 μ M) was employed. However, NCI-induced resistance is long-lasting in rice. NCI also induced a strong resistance against various pathogens in tobacco plants, and increased the expression of *PR* genes [147]. In *NahG* transgenic tobacco plants, NCI also induces the expression of *PR* genes [149]; therefore, NCI-triggered immune responses do not require the accumulation of SA; Moreover, NCI-triggered resistance is independent of the

Table 4

Various plant hormones and functional analogs showing immune inducer activity in different plant species.

Cl

Chemical names	Chemical structures	Plant/Pathogen interaction	Application	Defense responses
Salicylic acid (SA)	ОНОН	Pakchoi/Plasmodiophora brassicae [120] Tomato/Fusarium oxysporum [121] Rice/Xanthomonas oryzae pv. Oryzae [122] Soybean/Fusarium solani [123] Maize/Sugarcane mosaic virus [124] Tomato/Tomato yellow leaf curl virus [86] Nicotiana benthamiana/Tobacco mosaic virus [125] Arabidopsis thaliana/Heterodera schachtii [126] Tomato/Palstonia schanecarum [127]	Application directly to the soil Foliar spray Foliar spray Foliar spray Foliar spray Foliar spray Foliar spray Add to the medium Seed soak	Induce the activities of antioxidant and resistance- related enzymes, abilities of osmotic regulation, and ROS scavenging-related genes, defense-related genes, lignin accumulation, improve photosystem II activity.
		Orange/Candidatus Liberibacter asiaticus [128]	Foliar spray, soil drench, trunk injection	
		Rice/Xanthomonas oryzae pv. oryzae [129]	Soil drench	
Benzothiadiazole S-methylester (BTH)	CH ₃ S	Banana/Colletotrichum musae [130] Tomato/Fusarium oxysporum f.sp. radicis- lycopersici (FORL), Clavibacter michiganensis subcp. Michiganensis Remisir teheci [121122]	Fruit treatment Foliar spray	Enhance the activities of defense-related enzymes, including chitinase, phenylalanine ammonia-lyase, peroxidase, and polyphenol oxidase, increase the content of hydrogen peroxida and total antioxidant
	Js	Subsp. Michiganensis, Bernista tabaci [151,152] Tobacco/Tobacco mosaic virus, Cucumber mosaic virus, Cercospora nicotianae, Erwinia carotovora, Phytophthora parasitica, Pseudomonas syringae	Foliar spray	capacity, reduce malondialdehyde content, SA signaling pathway, trigger NPR1-dependent SAR
	N	pv. Tabaci [119,133,134] Arabidopsis thaliana/Turnip crinkle virus, Pseudomonas syringae pv. tomato DC3000, Hyaloperonospora arabidopsidis, Peronospora parasitica [135 136]	Foliar spray	
		Grapefruit/Xanthomonas citri subsp. citr [137]	Spray	
		Rice/Magnaporthe oryzae, Xanthomonas oryzae	Foliar spray	
2,6-dichloroisonicotinic acid (INA)	O OH	pv. oryzae [138,139] Tobacco/Tobacco mosaic virus, Cercospora nicotianee, Peronspora tabacina, Phytophthora parasitica var. nicotianae, Pseudomonas syringae py. tabaci [140.141]	Injection into leaves	Induce SAR, inhibit catalase and ascorbate peroxidase (APX) activity, induce ROS accumulation, mediate defense-related effects upon interaction with NPR1- related proteins, control several TGA transcription
	\square	Cucumber/Colletorrichum lagenariunm, Cercospora nicotianae, Peronospora tabacina, Phytophthora parasitica var. nicotianae, Pseudomans syringae pv. tabaci [140,142]	Foliar spray	factors, promote NPR1-NPR3 interactions, reduce the binding affinity of SA to NPR3 and NPR4 by competing with SA
	CI N CI	Arabidopsis thaliana (Ler)/Hyaloperonospora arabidopsidis [143,144]	Soil drench	
		Arabidopsis thaliana (Col-0)/Pseudomonas syringae pv. tomato DC3000 [143,144]	Foliar spray	
		Tomato/Xanthomonas perforans [145]	Seed soak	
		Soybean/Phytophthora sojae [146] Hamlin sweet orange/Candidatus Liberibacter asiaticus [128]	Root drench Foliar spray	
N-cyanomethyl-2-chloro isonicotinic acid (NCI)	N	Oryza sativa/Pyricularia oryzae, Xanthomonas oryzae pv. oryzae [147]	Root drench	Induce SAR, enhance the expression of PR genes, induces expression of PR1, PR2 and PR5
		Tobacco/Tobacco mosaic virus, Oidium lycopersici, Pseudomans.syringae pv. tabaci [140,148]	Soil drench	
	0 NH			

Chemical names	Chemical structures	Plant/Pathogen interaction	Application	Defense responses
Probenazole (PBZ)		Tobacco/Tobacco mosaic virus, Pseudomonas syringae pv. tabaci, Oidium sp. [149] Rice/Magnaporthe grisea, Xanthomonas oryzae	Foliar spray Spray	SA accumulation, expression of several pathogenesis related (PR) genes, accumulation of PR proteins, hypersensitive reaction, accumulation of fungicidal
	N S	pv. oryzae [150,151] Arabidopsis/Pseudomonas syringae pv. tomato DC 3000, Peronospora parasitica Emco5 [152]	Spray, Leaf injection	substances, amplification of superoxide production
	0 0			
Saccharin	0	Wheat/Blumeria graminis f.sp. tritici, Zymoseptoria tritici [153,154]	Foliar spray	Expression of pathogenesis-related genes, SA signaling pathway, JA signaling pathway, deposition of callose,
	~ 1	Arabidopsis thaliana/Pseudomonas syringae pv. tomato DC3000 [153]	Foliar spray, Root drench	induce an increase in defense-related enzyme activity
		Soybean/Phakopsora pachyrhizi [155]	Foliar spray, Root drench	
	NH NH	Rice/Magnaporthe grisea, Xanthomonas oryzae [155]	Foliar spray	
	S S	Tobacco/Tobacco mosaic virus [156]	Soil drench	
	~ //~~	Cucumber/Colletotrichum lagenarium [156]	Soil drench	
	0´``0	Green bean/Oromyces appendiculatus [156] Broad bean (Vicia faba)//Iromyces vicine-fabre	Soil drench Foliar paint	
		[157]	Son archen, ronar paint	
		Barley/Blumeria graminis f.sp. hordei [158]	Foliar spray, Soil drench	
Jasmonic acid (JA)		Nicotiana benthamiana/Manduca sexta [159]	Foliar spray	Induce oxidative bursts, phytoalexin accumulation,
	0	Oryza sativa/Magnaporthe oryzae [160]	Root drench	pathogenesis-related proteins accumulation,
		Arabidopsis thaliana/Botrytis cinerea [161]	Foliar spray Fruit infiltration	lignification, cell wall stiffening, decrease
	\sim	Solanum tuberosum/Phytophthora infestans [163]	Root drench	nhandlandenyde, ennance npoxygenase and phenylalanine ammonia-lyase induce resistance-related
		Triticum aestivum/Powdery mildew [164]	Foliar spray	enzymes, defense-related genes, induce alkaloids and
	COOH	Actinidia chinensis/Botryosphaeria dothidea [165]	Fruit infiltration	phenolic acids, induce the production of secondary
	\checkmark \checkmark	Amygdalus persica/Rhizopus stolonifera [166]	Fruit injection	metabolites and volatile compounds, induce the
		Vigna mungo/Mungbean yellow mosaic India virus	Foliar spray	formation of defensive structure, modulate stomatal
		Arabidopsis thaliana/Plasmodiophora brassicae	Root drench	development, fruit ripening, tuber formation, seed
		[168]		germination, senescence, plant responses against
		Arabidopsis thaliana, Nicotiana benthamiana,	Seed spray	wounding.
		Nicotiana glutinosa, Nicotiana tabacum, Capsicum		
		annum, Solanum lycopersicum/Cucumber mosaic		
		[169]		
		Saccharum officinarum/Pratylenchus zeae, Helicotylenchus spp [170]	Root drench	
		Solanum lycopersicum/Root-knot nematodes	Seed spray	
		Phaseolus vulgaris/Sclerotinia sclerotiorum [172]	Foliar sprav	
		Pennisetum glaucum/Sclerospora graminicola	Seed treatment,	
		[173]	Foliar spray	
Brassinosteroids		Tobacco/Tobacco mosaic virus, Pseudomonas	Spray	Induce systemic resistance, BDR-signaling pathway,
		syringae pv. tabaci, Pseudomonas syringae pv.		H_2O_2 production, ROS burst, upregulate nitric oxide (NO)
	\succ	Rice/Magnaporthe grisea Xanthomonas oruzae	Soil drench	production, promote adscisic acid (ABA) prosynthesis, activate pathogenesis-related genes
		pv. oryzae [174]	Son urenen	activate pathogeneois related geneo
	on on	Barley/Fusarium culmorum [177]	Spray	
		Tomato/Botrytis cinerea, Meloidogyne incognita	Leaflet soak	
		[178,179]		
	$\langle \gamma \rangle$	Arabidopsis thaliana/Cucumber mosaic virus	Spray	
	$\mathbf{\cdot}$	C		
	\mathbf{V}			

,Ó

||

HO"

HO"

accumulation of SA but depends on AtNPR1 in Arabidopsis [148]. Treatments with INA and NCI were used to manage plant diseases in various plant species (Table 4).

Probenazole (PBZ)

PBZ, a benzisothiazole derivative, was first identified as a plant immunity inducer through screening for chemicals that could trigger resistance against *M. oryzae* in rice. PBZ did not show strong inhibition of conidial germination and mycelial growth against *M. grisea*; however, PBZ-induced antifungal resistance in rice is long-lasting. PBZ induced a strong resistance to protect a large number of plants from various pathogens, such as bacteria, viruses, and fungi [149,188].

Treatment with PBZ or its active metabolite. BIT (1, 2benzisothiazol-3 (2H)-one 1.1-dioxide), did not induce the expression of *PR-1* gene in *NahG* transgenic or *npr1* mutant plants. PBZand BIT-mediated defense responses seem to require SA and NPR1. Moreover, further evidence also suggests that PBZ and BIT act as immunity inducers by activating a site upstream of the point of SA accumulation [150]. Other modes of action have been observed for PBZ; for example, probenazole treatment can alter metabolic pathways leading to resistance to M. grisea in rice [151]. Application of PBZ in rice affected metabolic profiles by changing 54 metabolites. SA, shikimate, γ -aminobutyrate, and other resistance-related primary and secondary metabolites were significantly up-regulated [151]. PBZ has been commercially used against rice blast and bacterial leaf blight under the name Oryzemate[®] (developed by Meiji Holdings, CO., Ltd. Japan) [143]. Despite PBZ's extensive use over many years, the development of probenazole resistance in target pathogens has not been promising as of yet [149]. Table 4 shows that the application of PBZ was used to manage plant diseases in various plant species.

Saccharin

Saccharin (1.1-dioxo-1.2-benzothiazol-3-one) is an active metabolite of PBZ. Saccharin has been identified as an inducer of SAR in both dicots and monocots against various pathogens, (Table 4) [155,158]. Saccharin works through the upregulation of SA signaling and activation of the expression of PR genes in tobacco and Arabidopsis [149,152]. Pretreatment with saccharin enhanced the expression of multiple defense-related genes in wheat seedlings and resulted in increased resistance to powdery mildew [153]. Saccharin had no direct inhibitory effect on the hemibiotrophic pathogen Zymoseptoria tritici. However, foliar application of saccharin conferred protection against Z. tritici in wheat plants, through elicitation and induction of LOX and PR genes [154]. Saccharin triggered defense responses in barley, by increasing the activity of cinnamyl alcohol dehydrogenase (CAD), against B. gra*minis* f.sp. *hordei* [158]. In addition, the effectiveness of saccharin as an inducer of SAR against soybean rust (SBR), caused by the fungus Phakopsora pachyrhizi, in soybean plants was also reported [155].

Jasmonic acid (JA)

While SA triggers defense responses against biotrophic pathogens, JAs have long been thought to mainly promote defense responses against necrotrophic pathogens and herbivores. Methyl-jasmonate (MeJA) and isoleucine conjugate (JA-Ile) are derivatives of fatty acids and are collectively called jasmonates (JAs). More recently, evidence indicates that JA-Ile plays a significant role in JA signal transduction in response to environmental stress [189]. JA-Ile can bind to the JA-receptor, coronatine insensitive 1 (COI1), which encodes an F-box protein. The interaction

between JA-Ile and COI mediates the ubiquitin-dependent degradation of Jasmonate Zinc finger Inflorescence Meristem (ZIM)domain (JAZ) repressors, which leads to the activation of JAresponsive gene expression controlled by several transcription factors (TFs) such as MYCs, NAC, WRKY, and ethylene response factor (ERF) [190,191]. A volatile methyl ester of JA, methyl jasmonate, also participates in plant immunity. Exogenous application of MeJA induces oxidative bursts, phytoalexin accumulation, the accumulation of PR proteins, lignification, and cell wall stiffening, which result in a strong resistance against pathogens in various plant species [172,192]. Treatment with MeJA in Arabidopsis increased the transcript levels of defense genes, and contributed to the host resistance against several necrotrophic pathogens [193]. Exogenous application of MeJA in Phaseolus vulgaris increased pathogenesis-related protein activities and induced resistance to the necrotrophic fungus [172]. Recently, several studies suggested that IA also plays an important role in plant defense against biotrophic or hemibiotrophic pathogens [160,194]. In rice, [Amediated defense pathways enhance resistance to the hemibiotrophic rice blast fungus M. oryzae [160]. Application of MeJA in Vigna mungo reduced the levels of malondialdehyde, enhanced the expression of lipoxygenase, phenylalanine ammonia-lyase and defense-related genes, and decreased expression of the coat protein of the Mungbean Yellow Mosaic India Virus (MYMIV), implying that MeJA is also effective against viruses [167].

Interestingly, a bacterial phytotoxin from P. syringae, named coronatine, has also been shown to induce typical jasmonateinduced defense responses. The 6-substituted 1-oxoindanoyl isoleucine conjugates which are the structural mimics of coronatine have been designed and synthesized [195]. Among these conjugates, 1-oxo-indanoyl-L-isoleucine methyl ester has been reported to increase the activity of defense-related enzymes and trigger a strong resistance to downy mildew [143,173]. Another molecule, a 6-ethyl indanoyl isoleucine, named coronalon, is established as an efficient inducer of various jasmonate-induced defense responses in various plant species [195]. Additional synthetic [A mimics also triggered iasmonate pathways and defense responses against pathogen infection in various plants [143]. Thus, IA mimics are also employed to elicit plant resistance against various pathogens in agriculture. Table 4 shows a list of plant diseases managed via the application of JA and JA mimics in various plant species.

Brassinosteroids (BRs)

Increasing evidence implies BRs play important roles in plant defense responses. Perception and signal transduction of BRs are dependent on the plasma membrane receptor kinase BRI1 (BR receptor) and BRI1-ASSOCIATED KINASE 1(BAK1), which is the BR coreceptor. BRI1-EMS-SUPPRESSOR 1 (BES1) and BRASSINAZOLE RESISTANT 1 (BZR1) function as major transcription factors, which trigger BR defense responses and crosstalk with other hormones signals in response to pathogen infections in plants [176,180]. BR-induced resistance to various pathogens was observed in multiple plant species (Table 4) [196]. Wild-type tobacco treated with the most active BR brassinolide (BL) exhibited enhanced resistance to Pseudomonas syringae pv. tabaci and powdery mildew [174]. Further studies indicate that the crosstalk between BR and ethylene (ET) plays a significant role in plant defense responses. Foliar applications of BR and ET in N. benthamiana enhanced resistance to Pst DC3000. At the same time, the applications of BRZ (brassinazole, a specific BR biosynthesis inhibitor) abolished the ET signal and triggered resistance to Pst DC3000, suggesting that BR is connected with ET-induced resistance to Pst DC3000 [176]. In addition, exogenous BL enhanced resistance against rice blast and rice bacterial blight diseases [174].

BR also could induce resistance to viral pathogens in various plant species. Treatment with BL increased N-gene-mediated resistance to tobacco mosaic virus (TMV) [174]. Recent studies also confirmed that exogenous application of BRs increased tobacco resistance to TMV while treatment with BRZ reduced plant resistance [197]. Applications of BR enhance systemic resistance against viral pathogens through regulation of ROS production in N. benthamiana [175]. BR-induced anti-viral defense responses, include increased NO biosynthesis and H₂O₂ accumulation, which are required to regulate the expression of defense-related genes. BR treatment increased NO accumulation and enhanced Arabidopsis resistance against cucumber mosaic virus (CMV) [181,198]. However, pretreatment with NO scavenger (PTIO) or nitrate reductase (NR) inhibitor (tungstate) abolished the production of NO and appeared to compromise plant resistance [180]. Moreover, BRinduced resistance to virus infection involved antioxidant system by increasing the activities of antioxidative enzymes [196,198].

Other types of inducers

Vitamin B1

Increasing evidence indicates that vitamins could act as inducers of resistance and also enhance crop yield [199], which may be the keys to improving agricultural productivity and enhancing food security [200]. Thiamine, also known as vitamin B1, is the first identified B type vitamin. This article will focus on the significance of vitamin B1 in plant disease resistance. Increasing evidence has verified that thiamine could function as an immune inducer of systemic, broad-spectrum, long-lasting resistance to various pathogens in multiple plant species (Table 5). Exogenous application of thiamine increased rice resistance against leaf blight [201,243] and root-knot nematodes by increasing lignification and H₂O₂ generation [203], treatment with thiamine also triggered rice resistance to sheath blight disease in rice by boosting the total accumulation of phenolics accumulation, H₂O₂ content, and the activities of PAL and superoxide dismutase (SOD) [202]. In tobacco plants, thiamine can also induce systemic resistance to viral pathogens, such as pepper mild mottle virus (PMMoV), by increasing the expression of PR genes [201]. In cucumber plants, it also provided resistance to powdery mildew (Sphaerotheca fuliginea) and anthracnose (Colletotrichum lagenarium) [201]. Moreover, thiamine treatment triggered resistance against Pst DC3000 in Arabidopsis [201]. In Capsicum annuum plants, vitamin B1-induced defense responses and systemic resistance against TMV infection were associated with the antioxidant system and pathogenesis-related proteins by increasing the activities of Polyphenol Oxidase (PPO), PAL, and Peroxidase (POD) [207]. In soybean plants, the exogenous thiamine application enhanced resistance to root rot caused by *Macrophomina phaseolina* [244]. In grapevine, thiamine treatment triggered multiple defense responses including callose deposition, H₂O₂ production, upregulation of defense-related genes, phenolic compound accumulation, and HR, which resulted in increased grapevine resistance to downy mildew [206].

Inorganic salts

Spraying of inorganic salts significantly reduced pathogen infection in multiple plant species [245]. Several inorganic salts, such as silicates, bicarbonates, phosphites, chlorides, and phosphates, have been shown to be able to alleviate the severity and symptoms of various fungal diseases in multiple plant species [245]. This paper will focus on the significance of phosphates in plant disease resistance (Table 5). Increasing evidence suggests that phosphates could act as immune inducers of systemic resistance to various

pathogens. The phosphate salts K₂HPO₄, K₃PO₄, NH₄H₂PO₄, and KH₂PO₄ showed antifungal properties [245]. Since dibasic and tribasic phosphate salts play important roles in systemic resistance to anthracnose in greenhouse cucumber [199], the efficacy of phosphates in disease resistance of crops has received attention. Moreover, phosphate salts provided long-lasting protection to cucumber plants because the induced-systemic resistance in newly developed leaves was still effective for five weeks after the exogenous treatment. Further studies also confirmed the exogenous application of K₃PO₄ or K₂HPO₄ in the lower leaf surface induced systemic resistance against the four fungal diseases of cucumber: powdery mildew, anthracnose, gummy stem blight, and scab, in larger greenhouse assays [209]. In addition, foliar sprays of K₂HPO₄ or KH₂PO₄ induced local and systemic resistance to powdery mildew in field-grown nectarine, mango trees, and grapevines [211]. In cucumber plants, foliar application of phosphate can also trigger systemic protection against powdery mildew [246.247]. In bean plants, exogenous application K₂HPO₄ or KH₂PO₄ stimulated the activities of defense-related enzymes and enhanced resistance to Uromyces appendiculatus [248]. Spray application of K₂HPO₄ in cucumber plants resulted in cell death along with a rapid generation of H₂O₂ and superoxide with increased levels of free and conjugated SA, indicating that foliar application of K₂HPO₄ on cucumber plants leads to activation of defense mechanisms similar to those initiated by necrotizing microbes and viruses that trigger SAR [210].

Non-protein amino acids

β-aminobutyric acid (BABA), a non-protein amino acid was recognized as a plant immunity inducer in 1963. Application of BABA was reported to protect about 40 different plant species against various pathogens and pests (Table 5) [249]. However, this broad-spectrum disease resistance is dependent on different defense mechanisms. BABA primes defense reactions modulated by SA and the master regulator of SA signaling NPR1 against the bacterial leaf pathogen *P. svringae*. In addition, BABA primes defense mechanisms that operate independently of SA and NPR1 based on cell-wall-related defense primed by abscisic acid (ABA) against some fungi and oomycetes [219]. Recently a new defense mechanism determined that the iron deficiency induced by BABA could increase plant resistance was reported [250]. More recently, the study provided evidence that the BABA receptor IBI1 which encodes an aspartyl-tRNA synthetase senses BABA in Arabidopsis [251]. The binding of BABA to the L-Asp-binding domain of IBI1 results in priming its alternative defense activity. Although BABA is generally considered as a synthetic plant immune inducer, recent studies have unequivocally recognized BABA as an endogenous plant metabolite synthesized by many different plant species [252].

Pipecolic Acid (Pip), another non-protein amino acid, is a lysine decomposition product, which has been shown to be a critical metabolite in the SAR pathway. After inoculation with pathogenic bacteria, Pip accumulated significantly in the exudates of infected leaves and petioles. Exogenous application of Pip to roots can induce the synthesis of the phytoalexin camalexin, Pip, and SA, initiating the expression of early defense-related genes. Besides, the *ald1* mutants lacking Pip have been shown to be defective in SAR and resistance induced by BABA. These results indicate that Pip ensures effective local resistance and SAR through defense responses amplification, positive regulation, and initiation of SA biosynthesis (Table 5) [239]. The flavin monooxygenase FMO1 converts Pip to N-hydroxypipecolic acid (NHP), which plays an essential role in SAR [253]. A recent study provide evidence that one or more NHP metabolites acts as a mobile signal for SAR [254].

Table 5

Other types of inducers showing immune inducer activity in different plant species.

0

0

|| 0 0H

|| о он

ОН | 0⁻___Р___ОН К⁺ || 0

O-P-OH Na

O'-P-OH Na' Na'

O-P-OH K+ K

Chemical names	Chemical structures	Plant/Pathogen interaction	Application	Defense responses
Vitamin B1 (Thiamine)	NH2	Rice/Magnaporthe grisea, Xanthomonas oryzae, Rhizoctonia solani, Meloidogyne graminicola [201–203] Cucumber/Colletotrichum lagenarium, Sphaerotheca	Spray, Root drench Spray	SAR, expression of pathogenesis-related (PR) genes, ROS, HR, callose, phytoalexins, SA signaling pathway, JA signaling pathway, up-regulation of protein kinase C activity, Ca ²⁺ -
		fuliginea [201] Arabidopsis thaliana/Pseudomonas syringae pv. tomato DC3000 [201]	Spray	dependent signaling pathway, higher hydrogen peroxide content, total phenolics accumulation, phenylalanine ammonia lyase (PAL) activity and superoxide dismutase (SOD)
		Tobacco/Pepper mild mottle virus [201]	Spray	activity
		Soybean/Macrophomina phaseolina [204]	Stem base syringe	
		Barley/Aphids [205]	Add to nutrient	
			solution, Seed soak,	
			Spray, volatile	
		Per/Aphids [205]	Add to putrient	
			solution Seed soak	
			Spray Volatile	
			treatments	
		Grapevine/Plasmopara viticola [206]	Spray	
		Capsicum annuum/Tobacco mosaic virus [207]	Spray	
Phosphate salts	0	Cucumber/Colletotrichum lagenarium, Cladosporium	Spray	Activate phenylalanine ammonia lyase (PAL), peroxidase and
	1	cucumerinum, Dydimella bryoniae, Sphaerotheca fuliginea,		lipoxygenase, cell death, generation of superoxide and
	O'PO' Na ⁺ Na ⁺ Na ⁺	Pseudomonas lachrymans, Erwinia tracheiphila, Tobacco necrosis virus, Cucumber mosaic virus [208–210]		hydrogen, a local and systemic increase in free and conjugated salicylic acid (SA) levels peroxide, trigger the activity of
	0 [.]	Grape/Uncinula necator (Schw.) Burr. [211]	Spray	defense-related enzymes
	Ĭ	Mango/Oidium mangiferae [211]	Foliar spray	
	$O' - P - O' K^+ K^+ K^+$	Nectarine/Sphaerotheca pannosa [211]	Foliar spray	
		KICE/Magnaportne grisea [212]	Follar spray	
	ö	iomatoprosunum oxysporum i. sp. tycopersici (Sacc) [215]	зріау	

Table 5 (continued)

ω

Chemical names	Chemical structures	Plant/Pathogen interaction	Application	Defense responses
β-Aminobutyric acid	NH ₂ O OH	Apple/Alternaria alternate, Penicillium expansum [214,215] Arabidopsis thaliana/Alternaria brassicicola, Botrytis cinerea, Peronospora parasitica, Pseudomonas syringae pv. tomato [216–219] Artichoke/Sclerotinia sclerotiorum [220] Barley/Blumeria graminis f.sp. hordei [221] Basil/Peronospora belbahrii [222] Citrus/Citrus Huanglongbing [223] Chinese cabbage/Alternaria brassicicola [224] Cucumber/Colletotrichum lagenarium [225] Grape/Botrytis cinereal, Penicillium Digitatum, Plasmopara viticola [226–228] Jujube/Alternaria alternata [229] Lettuce/Bremia lactucae [230] Mango/Colletotrichum gloeosporioides [231] Peaches/RhizopusRot [232] Potato/Fusarium sulphureum, Phytophthora infestans [233,234] Brassica napus/Verticillium longisporum [235] Soybean/Aphis glycines [236] Tobacco/Tobacco mosaic virus [237]	Fruit injection Foliar spray Foliar spray	Improve CHT, POD, GLU and PAL activity, accumulation total phenols, flavonoids content, production of phenolics, peroxides, accumulation PR-proteins callose and lignin, induce HR response, improve PPO and POX activity, increase H ₂ O ₂ content, regulate ROS metabolism, accumulation SA content
Pipecolic Acid (Pip)	ОН	Wheat/Brevicoryne brassicae [238] Arabidopsis thaliana/Pseudomonas syringae [239]	Soil drench Root treatment	Synthesize the phytoalexin camalexin, Pip, and salicylic acid and primes plants for early defense gene expression
Azelaic acid		Arabidopsis thaliana/Pseudomonas syringae (PmaDG3) [240]	Spray	SAR, SA signaling pathway
Dehydroabietinal (DA)		Arabidopsis thaliana, tobacco, tomato/Pseudomonas syringae pv. maculicola ES4326 (Pma), Pseudomonas syringae pv. tomato DC3000 [241]	Leaves infiltration	SAR, SA signaling pathway, expression of pathogenesis-related genes
Glycerol-3-phosphate (G3P)	но он	Arabidopsis thaliana, soybean/Pseudomonas syringae pv. tomato DC3000 [242]	Spray	SAR
	но о			

Azelaic acid, glycerol-3-phosphate, and dehydroabietinal

Besides MeSA and NHP, Azelaic acid (AzA), glycerol-3phosphate (G-3-P), and dehydroabietinal (DA) have been shown to serve as a mobile signals in SAR [110]. Bacterial infection can induce the accumulation of AzA in Arabidopsis and enhance local and systemic resistance to P. syringae. Further, exogenous spraying of AzA primes the accumulation of SA and induces local and systemic resistance (Table 5) [240]. In addition, some researchers suggest that SAR induced by AzA requires G3P. AzA acts upstream of G3P in this pathway and can promote G3P accumulation [255]. G3P is a phosphorylated sugar derivative, which is ubiquitously found. As a conserved metabolite, it is an essential precursor for the biosynthesis of glycerides. Increasing intracellular G3P level or exogenous spraying of G3P can stimulate SAR in Arabidopsis and soybean and increase the resistance to Pst DC3000 (Table 5) [242]. DA is a C₂₀ diterpene, is a SAR activator via the SA signaling pathway. Infiltration of purified DA into leaves can systematically induce SA accumulation in Arabidopsis. NPR1, FMO1, and DIR1, are key genes of biologically induced SAR, were also upregulated after being induced by DA. DA-induced SAR can be further enhanced by AzA (Table 5) [241].

Characteristics of induced plant immunity

Armed with the knowledge of induced plant immunity, immunity-related compounds and inducers can be employed for local and systemic resistance against various pathogens. As illustrated in Fig. 8, induced immunity in plants has several superior characteristics compared to other modes of resistance [240,256]. The following are some of the characteristics defining these induced plant defenses.

Broad-spectrum property

While ETI triggers pathogen race-specific resistance, immunity inducers that are frequently based on SAR usually trigger broadspectrum resistance against a wide range of pathogens. Different inducers can trigger resistance to the same disease; on the other hand, the same inducing factor can also induce resistance to different diseases. For example, BTH triggers disease resistance in multiple plant species against various pathogens [257]. Therefore, induced plant immunity is generally non-specific and broadspectrum, making it easier to use one inducer to prevent multiple diseases in agricultural applications [256].

Fig. 8. Characteristics of induced plant immunity.

Hysteresis

A lag period is required from inducer treatments to the optimal deployment of immune function. This period of hysteresis is the time required for the plant to mount an "immune response" or gene sensitization to the stimulus. The length of this lag period is related to the type of inducers, the method of induction, and the plant species. It can be as short as a few hours or as long as a few days. For example, brassinosteroids induce the production of ROS and nitric oxide in *N. benthamiana* to enhance their resistance to TMV, and it takes 12 h from the start of the induction treatment to the activation of immune responses [175].

Durability

Once the induced immunity is established, it can be maintained for a sufficient amount of time. The persistence period is dependent on inducing factors and plant species. For instance, pretreatment of potato tubers with arachidonic acid (AA) induced resistance against the potato late blight, and this resistance is retained for 2–3 months [104]. Exogenous application of NCI induced resistance against rice blast disease that lasted for 30 days [147]. Foliar application of the inorganic salt, monopotassium phosphate (KH₂PO₄) induced resistance to *Sphaerotheca fuliginea* for up to 21 days [258]. Application of probenazole (PBZ) resulted in induced rice resistance to leaf blast disease, which completely controlled and blocked this disease for 40–70 days [188].

Stability

Plant immunity inducers provide resistance against pathogen infection by priming plant immunity. Since pathogens are not directly affected by the inducer, there is no risk of pathogens directly developing resistance to the inducers in contrast to pathogens evolving resistance to fungicides and pesticides. Therefore, plant-inducing immunity is a stable means of disease prevention [256,259].

Safety

Most chemical and biological plant immune inducers have no major adverse effects on humans, animals, and the environment. Their mechanisms determine that most inducers will not be metabolized and produce toxic substances in plants. Arysta Life Science (ALS) and the Institute of Plant Protection (IPP) in China launched the Green Tea Project in 2015 and conducted multiple trials of controlling tea plant diseases using plant immune inducers worldwide. The results showed that induced defense proteins of plant immunity could effectively control plant diseases and they do not persist in the environment [259]. In conclusion, controlling plant diseases using plant immunity inducers is an environmentally friendly green disease prevention technology.

Commercialization of plant immunity inducers

Because of the obvious advantages of using plant immunity inducers for pathogen control in crop protection, numerous biological and abiotic agents capable of triggering host defense against various pathogens in different plant species have been commercialized. Many of them can also regulate the physiological processes of plants to stimulate growth and development and strengthen productivity. Inducers such as BTH, PBZ, INA, NCI, MeJA, tiadinil (TDL), and ZhiNengCong (ZNC), as commercialized products, have been successfully used as agrochemicals for controlling plant diseases [260,261]. ZNC is the crude extract of an endophytic

Table 6

Plant immunity inducers that have obtained a pesticide registration certificate worldwide.

Species	Source	Function
Messenger [265]	EDEN Co., Ltd. of USA	Fungicide
Benzothiadiazole (BTH) [130]	Novartis (now Syngenta) Co., Ltd. of Swiss	Fungicide
KeyPlex humic acid [256]	KeyPlex Co., Ltd. of USA	Plant growth regulator
Probenazole (Oryzemate) [149]	Meiji Seika Kaisha Co., Ltd. of Japan	Fungicide
Serenade	AgraQuest Ltd. of USA	Fungicide
Bacillus subtilis [256]		
Laminarin [256]	Goemar Ltd. of France	Fungicide
Oxycom [256]	Redox Chemicals Co., Ltd. of USA	Fungicide
Chitosan [266]	Ukseung Chemical Co., Ltd. of the Republic of Korea	Plant growth regulator, antistaling agent fungicide
Actigard [256]	Syngenta Co., Ltd. of Swish	Fungicide
NCI [147]	Nippon Kayaku Co., Ltd. of Japan	Fungicide
Pyraclostrobin [256]	BASF Chemical Co., Ltd. of Germany	Plant growth regulator, fungicide
Plant activate protein [256]	Beijing Fenghui Huanong Biological Science and Technology	Plant growth regulator
	Co., Ltd. of (Institute of Plant Protection, CAAS)	
Trans-Abscisic Acid (S-ABA) [256]	Chengdu Institute of Biology, Chinese Academy of Sciences	Plant growth regulator
ATaiLing (PeaT1) [267]	Institute of Plant Protection, CAAS	Antiviral agent
Amino oligosaccharide [256]	Hainan Zhengye Zhongnong High-tech Co., Ltd. and	Immunity-inducer, fungicide
	Dalian Kaifei Chemical Co., Ltd.	
Methiadinil (thiazide induced amine) [256]	Nankai University	Activator, antiviral agent
Lentinan [256]	Beijing Yoloo Pesticides Co., Ltd. and Shandong	Plant growth regulator,
	Shengpeng Pesticides Co., Ltd.	antiviral agent
Validamycin [256]	Zhejiang Tonglu Huifeng Bioscience Co., Ltd.	Fungicide
Matrine [256]	Beijing Multigrass Formulation Co., Ltd. and Inner	Insecticide
	Mongolia shuaiqi	
ZhiNengCong (ZNC) [261]	Shandong Pengbo Bio-technology Co., Ltd and	Immunity-inducer
	Shandong Agricultural University	
Tiadinil (TDL) [264,268]	Nihon Nohyaku Co., Ltd.	Immunity-inducer, fungicide
Amistar 250 SC [269]	Syngenta Co., Ltd. of Swish	Fungicide
Biosept 33SL (grapefruit	Cintamani Poland	Fungicide
extract) [269]		
Timorex Gold 24 EC [270]	S.T.K. Stockton Group Ltd. of Israel	Fungicide
Goëmar BM 86 [®] [271]	Goëmar of France	Plant growth regulator, fungicide
Kelpak SL [272]	Kelp Products (Pty) Ltd. of South Africa	Plant growth regulator, fungicide
Serifel (Bacillus amyloliquefaciens MBI600) [273]	BASF SE of Germany	Fungicide

fungus, Paecilomyces variotii, and it has been widely used as an immune inducer on various crops in China. ZNC functions as a new, efficient, environmentally friendly immune inducer, enhancing plant resistance against *Pst* DC3000 [262]. Further studies have shown that ZNC could trigger strong plant defense responses, such as RNA silencing, H₂O₂ and SA accumulation, which enhanced tobacco resistance to Potato X virus (PVX) infection at low concentration by positively regulating RNA silencing via the SA signaling pathway [261]. TDL serves as a rice blast control agent triggering innate defense responses of rice against rice blast [263]. It can also activate the expression of resistance genes in tobacco plants and can be metabolized to produce 4-methyl-1,2,3-thiadiazol-5formic acid, which induces disease resistance [264]. To date, several inducers have obtained a pesticide registration certificate and have also served as agrochemicals for controlling plant diseases in crop protection worldwide (Table 6).

Conclusions and perspectives

This paper provides a comprehensive review on sustainable prevention and control of crop diseases, the principles of plant immunity, inducers of plant immunity for pathogen control and their potential crop protection. Although immune inducers have the advantages mentioned above, they still have some drawbacks. For example, some inducers have high costs, making it difficult to put them into large-scale production. Most of the data on inducers in plant immunity were obtained in small-scale indoor experiments, without considering other factors in the field. In addition, some inducers take a long time to execute their immune function after application. Some outstanding questions need to be addressed despite these exciting advances in the research of immune inducers.

Firstly, novel and better plant immunity inducers still need to be explored. Integration of prior knowledge of inducers will deeply promote the discovery and research of novel and better inducers of plant immunity for pathogens control. Some novel and emerging biotechniques, such as, computer-aided design, molecular modeling and more recently artificial intelligence can create novel and better inducers. The research and development of inducers is becoming important in the development direction of new biological crop protective agents and will rapidly turn into a new and promising industry with remarkable prospects for development.

Secondly, the activation mechanism of several plant immunity inducers in crop disease resistance and their modes of action are unknown. Therefore, further studies must be carried out to clarify the target, receptor recognition, key activation sites, signaling transduction, and the activation mechanism of inducers, because elucidating the activation mechanism of inducers for improving crop disease resistance is the core scientific issue in formulating crop disease control strategies and the molecular basis for the design of plant immunity inducers as pesticides.

Thirdly, because different inducers activate plant defense through different pathways and mechanisms, the feasibilities of combining multiple inducers or elicitors remains to be explored for potential additive synergetic benefits in inducing a broader spectrum of durable resistance. Next, the industry must focus on developing plant immunity inducers that are safe, eco-friendly, and inexpensive for commercial utilization. In particular, the potential residual effects of the elicitors, especially less visible impacts, must be broadly defined and tested to ensure that they are indeed ecologically friendly. For example, it is becoming increasingly clear that soil microbiome is critical to their resilience, and it is a good idea to test whether the inducers impact the microbiome. Inducer-based technologies are especially important for modern agriculture due to recent concerns about food security and the overall wellness of the environment. It is also important to note that governments worldwide are enacting policies that limit the use of agrochemicals, causing a massive gap in the agricultural industry and plant disease management. Both factors have caused the demand of inducers to increase in the comprehensive prevention and control of crop, fruit, and vegetable diseases. There is no doubt that this will expedite the development of safe and efficient plant immunity inducers.

Recently, the research and application of inducers have made major breakthroughs in the green disease prevention and control technologies. Improving crop resistance by immune-inducing technology based on plant immunity inducers is an ideal method for disease green prevention and control and opens new avenues for plant protection. Among the plant immunity inducers, different types of plant hormones and functional analogs provide excellent prospects in the prevention and control of agricultural diseases. Overall, the application of plant immunity inducers for pathogen control plays an important role in the movement to provide ecological and environmental protection, sustainable development of agriculture, as well as food security.

Compliance with ethics requirements:

This article does not contain any studies with human or animal subjects.

Declaration of Competing Interest

The authors declare that they have no known competing financial interests or personal relationships that could have appeared to influence the work reported in this paper.

Acknowledgements

This work was supported by Natural Science Foundation of Jiangsu Province of China, (BK20201431), Agricultural science and technology independent innovation Foundation of Jiangsu Province of China, (CX (20) 3128), and Yangzhou University of "Highend Talent Support Program" to F.Z. and the Erie Community Foundation to R.M. and the grants from National Science Foundation (IOS-1758994 and IOS125 2207677) to Z.Q.F.

References

- Jones RAC, Naidu RA. Global dimensions of plant virus diseases: current status and future perspectives. Annu Rev Virol 2019;6:387–409.
- [2] Godfray HCJ, Beddington JR, Crute IR, Haddad L, Lawrence D, Muir JF, et al. Food security: the challenge of feeding nine billion people. Science 2010;327:812–8.
- [3] Suzuki N, Rivero RM, Shulaev V, Blumwald E, Mittler R. Abiotic and biotic stress combinations. New Phytol 2014;203:32–43.
- [4] Zhu JK. Abiotic stress signaling and responses in plants. Cell 2016;167:313–24.
- [5] Savary S, Willocquet L, Pethybridge SJ, Esker P, McRoberts N, Nelson A. The global burden of pathogens and pests on major food crops. Nat Ecol Evol 2019;3:430–9.
- [6] He S, Krainer KMC. Pandemics of people and plants: which is the greater threat to food security? Mol Plant 2020;13:933–4.
- [7] Dala-Paula BM, Plotto A, Bai J, Manthey JA, Baldwin EA, Ferrarezi RS, et al. Effect of huanglongbing or greening disease on orange juice quality, a review. Front Plant Sci 2019;9:1976.
- [8] Nalley L, Tsiboe F, Durand-Morat A, Shew A, Thoma G. Economic and environmental impact of rice blast pathogen (*Magnaporthe oryzae*) alleviation in the United States. PLoS One 2016;11:e0167295.
- [9] Beddow JM, Pardey PG, Chai Y, Hurley TM, Kriticos DJ, Braun HJ, et al. Research investment implications of shifts in the global geography of wheat stripe rust. Nat Plants 2015;1:15132.
- [10] Zhu F, Zhang QP, Che YP, Zhu PX, Zhang QQ, Ji ZL. Glutathione contributes to resistance responses to TMV through a differential modulation of salicylic acid and reactive oxygen species. Mol Plant Pathol 2021;22:1668–87.

- [11] Scholthof KBG, Adkins S, Czosnek H, Palukaitis P, Jacquot E, Hohn T, et al. Top 10 plant viruses in molecular plant pathology. Mol Plant Pathol 2011;12:938–54.
- [12] Jones JT, Haegema A, Danchin EG, Gaur HS, Helder J, Jones MGK, et al. Top 10 plant-parasitic nematodes in molecular plant pathology. Mol Plant Pathol 2013;14:946–61.
- [13] Jones J, Gheysen G, Fenoll C. Genomics and molecular genetics of plantnematode interactions. Berlin: Springer; 2011. p. 517–41.
- [14] Asghar U, Malik MF, Javed A. Pesticide exposure and human health: a review. J Ecosys Ecograph 2016;S5:005.
- [15] Casals C, Teixidó N, Viñas I, Cambray J, Usall J, Control of Monilinia spp.. On stone fruit by curing treatments. Part II: the effect of host and *Monilinia* spp. Variables on curing efficacy. Postharvest Biol Technol 2010;56:26–30.
- [16] Wang W, Feng B, Zhou JM, Tang D. Plant immune signaling: advancing on two frontiers. J Integr Plant Biol 2020;62:2–24.
- [17] Kanyuka K, Rudd JJ. Cell surface immune receptors: the guardians of the plant's extracellular spaces. Curr Opin Plant Biol 2019;50:1–8.
- [18] Nishad R, Ahmed T, Rahman VJ, Kareem A. Modulation of plant defense system in response to microbial interactions. Front Microbiol 2020;11:1298.
- [19] Varden FA, De la Concepcion JC, Maidment JHR, Banfield MJ. Taking the stage: effectors in the spotlight. Curr Opin Plant Biol 2017;38:25–33.
- [20] van Wersch S, Tian L, Hoy R, Li X. Plant NLRs: the whistleblowers of plant immunity. Plant Commun 2020;1:100016.
- [21] Chang M, Chen H, Liu F, Fu ZQ. PTI and ETI: convergent pathways with diverse elicitors. Trends Plant Sci 2022;27:113–5.
- [22] Ngou BPM, Ahn HK, Ding PT, Jones JDG. Mutual potentiation of plant immunity by cell-surface and intracellular receptors. Nature 2021;592:110–5.
- [23] Yuan M, Jiang Z, Bi G, Nomura K, Liu M, Wang Y, et al. Pattern–recognition receptors are required for NLR–mediated plant immunity. Nature 2021;592:105–9.
- [24] Nakahara KS, Masuta C. Interaction between viral RNA silencing suppressors and host factors in plant immunity. Curr Opin Plant Biol 2014;20:88–95.
- [25] Niehl A, Wyrsch I, Boller T, Heinlein M. Double-stranded RNAs induce a pattern-triggered immune signaling pathway in plants. New Phytol 2016;211:1008–19.
- [26] Nicaise V, Candresse T. Plum pox virus capsid protein suppresses plant pathogen–associated molecular pattern (PAMP)–triggered immunity. Mol Plant Pathol 2017;18:878–86.
- [27] Ding SW. RNA-based antiviral immunity. Nat Rev Immunol 2010;10:632–44.[28] Zhang W, Zhao F, Jiang L, Chen C, Wu L, Liu Z. Different Pathogen Defense
- Strategies in Arabidopsis: More than Pathogen Recognition. Cells 2018;7:252. [29] Alamillo JM, Saénz P, García JA. Salicylic acid-mediated and RNA-silencing
- defense mechanisms cooperate in the restriction of systemic spread of plum pox virus in tobacco. Plant J 2006;48:217–27.
- [30] van Wees SC, de Swart EA, van Pelt JA, van Loon LC, Pieterse CM. Enhancement of induced disease resistance by simultaneous activation of salicylate- and jasmonate dependent defense pathways in *Arabidopsis thaliana*. Proc Natl Acad Sci USA 2000;97:8711–6.
- [31] van Esse HP, Reuber TL, van der Does D. Genetic modification to improve disease resistance in crops. New Phytol 2020;225:70–86.
- [32] Karre S, Kumar A, Dhokane D, Kushalappa AC. Metabolo-transcriptome profiling of barley reveals induction of chitin elicitor receptor kinase gene (HvCERK1) conferring resistance against *Fusarium graminearum*. Plant Mol Biol 2017;93:247–67.
- [33] De Tender C, Vandecasteele B, Verstraeten B, Ommeslag S, De Meyer T, De Visscher J, et al. Chitin in strawberry cultivation: foliar growth and defense response promotion, but reduced fruit yield and disease resistance by nutrient imbalances. Mol Plant Microbe Interact 2021;34:227–39.
- [34] Randoux B, Renard-Merlier D, Mulard G, Rossard S, Duyme F, Sanssené J, et al. Distinct defenses induced in wheat against powdery mildew by acetylated and nonacetylated oligogalacturonides. Phytopathology 2010;100:1352–63.
- [35] Klarzynski O, Plesse B, Joubert JM, Yvin JC, Kopp M, Kloareg B, et al. Linear b-1,3 glucans are elicitors of defense responses in tobacco. Plant Physiol 2000;124:1027–38.
- [36] Menard R, Alban S, de Ruffray P, Jamois F, Franz G, Fritig B, et al. Kauffmann S. β–1,3 glucan sulfate, but not β–1,3 glucan, induces the salicylic acid signaling pathway in Tobacco and Arabidopsis. Plant Cell 2004;1:3020–32.
- [37] Gauthier A, Trouvelot S, Kelloniemi J, Frettinger P, Wendehenne D, Daire X, et al. The sulfated laminarin triggers a stress transcriptome before priming the SA– and ROS–dependent defenses during grapevine's induced resistance against *Plasmopara viticola*. PLoS One 2014;9:e88145.
- [38] Fu Y, Yin H, Wang W, Wang M, Zhang H, Zhao X. Du Y.β–1,3–Glucan with different degree of polymerization induced different defense responses in tobacco. Carbohydr Polym 2011;86:774–82.
- [39] Li J, Zhu L, Lu G, Zhan XB, Lin CC, Zheng ZY. Curdlan β-1, 3glucooligosaccharides induce the defense responses against Phytophthora infestans infection of potato (*Solanum tuberosum* L. cv. McCain G1) leaf cells. PLoS One 2014;9:e97197.
- [40] Morkunas I, Marczak Q, Stachowiak J, Stobiecki M. Sucrose-stimulated accumulation of isoflavonoids as a defence response of lupine to *Fusarium* oxysporum. Plant Physiol Biochem 2005;43:363–73.
- [41] Gómez-Ariza J, Campo S, Rufat M, Estopà M, Messeguer J, San Segundo B, et al. Sucrose-mediated priming of plant defence responses and broad-spectrum disease resistance by overexpression of the maize pathogenesis-related PRms protein in rice plants. Mol Plant Microbe Interact 2007;20:832–42.

- [42] Reignault PH, Cogan A, Muchembled J, Lounes-Hadj Sahraoui A, Durand R, Sancholle M. Trehalose induces resistance to powdery mildew in wheat. New Phytol 2001;149:519–29.
- [43] Iriti M, Vitalini S, Di Tommaso G, D'Amico S, Borgo M, Faoro F. New chitosan formulation prevents grapevine powdery mildew infection and improves polyphenol content and free radical scavenging activity of grape and wine. Aust J Grape Wine Res 2011;17:263–9.
- [44] Li B, Liu B, Shan C, Ibrahim M, Lou Y, Wang Y, et al. Antibacterial activity of two chitosan solutions and their effect on rice bacterial leaf blight and leaf streak. Pest Manag Sci 2012;69:312–20.
- [45] Aziz A, Gauthier A, Bézier A, Poinssot B, Joubert JM, Pugin A. Elicitor and resistance–inducing activities of β –1,4 cellodextrins in grapevine, comparison with β –1,3 glucans and α –1,4 oligogalacturonides. J Exp Bot 2007;58:1463–72.
- [46] An QD, Zhang GL, Wu HT, Zhang ZC, Zheng GS, Luan L, et al. Alginate–deriving oligosaccharide production by alginase from newly isolated *Flavobacterium* sp. LXA and its potential application in protection against pathogens. J Appl Microbiol 2009;106:161–70.
- [47] Zhang Y, Zhang Y, Qiu D, Zeng H, Guo L, Yang X. BcGs1, a glycoprotein from *Botrytis cinerea*, elicits defence response and improves disease resistance in host plants. Biochem Biophys Res Commun 2015;457:627–34.
- [48] Klarzynski O, Descamps V, Plesse B, Yvin JC, Kloareg B, Fritig B. Sulfated fucan oligosaccharides elicit defense responses in tobacco and local and systemic resistance against tobacco mosaic virus. Mol Plant Microbe Interact 2003;16:115–22.
- [49] Delgado DZ, de Freitas MB, Stadnik MJ. Effectiveness of saccharin and ulvan as resistance inducers against rust and angular leaf spot in bean plants (*Phaseolus vulgaris*). Crop Prot 2013;47:67–73.
- [50] De Borba MC, Velho AC, Maia-Grondard A, Baltenweck R, Magnin-Robert M, Randoux B, et al. The Algal Polysaccharide Ulvan induces resistance in wheat against *Zymoseptoria tritici* without major alteration of leaf metabolome. Front Plant Sci 2021;12:703712.
- [51] Vera J, Castro J, Contreras RA, González A, Moenne A. Oligo-carrageenans induce a long-term and broad-range protection against pathogens in tobacco plants (var. Xanthi). Physiol Mol. Plant Pathol 2012;79:31–9.
- [52] Fesel PH, Zuccaro A. b–glucan: crucial component of the fungal cell wall and elusive MAMP in plants. Fungal Genet Biol 2016;90:53–60.
- [53] Bueter CL, Specht CA, Levitz SM. Innate sensing of chitin and chitosan. PLoS Pathog 2013;9:e1003080.
- [54] Petutschnig EK, Jones AM, Serazetdinova L, Lipka U, Lipka V. The lysin motif receptor–like kinase (LysM–RLK) CERK1 is a major chitin binding protein in *Arabidopsis thaliana* and subject to chitin–induced phosphorylation. J Biol Chem 2010;285:28902–11.
- [55] Hayafune M, Berisio R, Marchetti R, Silipo A, Kayama M, Desaki Y, et al. Chitin-induced activation of immune signaling by the rice receptor CEBiP relies on a unique sandwich-type dimerization. Proc Natl Acad Sci USA 2014;111:E404–13.
- [56] Pusztahelyi T. Chitin and chitin-related compounds in plant-fungal interactions. Mycology 2018;9:189–201.
- [57] Mohammadi M, Zamani A, Karimi K. Determination of gluosamine in fungal cell walls by high-performance liquid chromatography (HPLC). J Agric Food Chem 2012;60:10511–5.
- [58] Burkhanova GF, Yarullina LG, Maksimov IV. The control of wheat defense responses during infection with *Bipolaris sorokiniana* by chitooligosaccharides. Russ J Plant Physiol 2007;54:104–10.
- [59] Hadwiger LA. Multiple effects of chitosan on plant systems: solid science or hype. Plant Sci 2013;208:42–9.
- [60] Zhang C, Howlader P, Liu T, Sun X, Jia X, Zhao X, et al. Alginate Oligosaccharide (AOS) induced resistance to Pst DC3000 via salicylic acid-mediated signaling pathway in *Arabidopsis thaliana*. Carbohydr Polym 2019;225:115221.
- [61] Ferrari S, Savatin DV, Sicilia F, Gramegna G, Cervone F, Lorenzo GD. Oligogalacturonides: plant damage-associated molecular patterns and regulators of growth and development. Front Plant Sci 2013;4:49.
- [62] Rasul S, Dubreuil-Maurizi C, Lamotte O, Koen E, Poinssot B, Alcaraz G, et al. Nitric oxide production mediates oligogalacturonide-triggered immunity and resistance to *Botrytis cinerea* in *Arabidopsis thaliana*. Plant Cell Environ 2012;35:1483–99.
- [63] Zang H, Xie S, Zhu B, Yang X, Gu C, Hu B, et al. Mannan oligosaccharides trigger multiple defence responses in rice and tobacco as a novel dangerassociated molecular pattern. Mol Plant Pathol 2019;20:1067–79.
- [64] Yang C, Liu R, Pang J, Ren B, Zhou H, Wang G, et al. Poaceae–specific cell wallderived oligosaccharides activate plant immunity via OsCERK1 during *Magnaporthe oryzae* infection in rice. Nat Commun 2021;12:2178.
- [65] Wei Z, Laby RJ, Zumoff CH, Bauer DW, He SY, Collmer A, et al. Harpin, elicitor of the hypersensitive response produced by the plant pathogen *Erwinia amylovora*. Science 1992;257:85–8.
- [66] Che YZ, Li YR, Zou HS, Zou LF, Zhang B, Chen GY. A novel antimicrobial protein for plant protection consisting of a *Xanthomonas oryzae* harpin and active domains of cecropin A and melittin. Microb Biotechnol 2011;4:777–93.
- [67] Xie L, Liu Y, Wang H, Liu W, Di R, Miao W, et al. Characterization of harpin Xoo induced hypersensitive responses in non host plant, tobacco. J Plant Biochem Biotechnol 2016;26:73–9.
- [68] Zhu W, MaGbanua MM, White FF. Identification of two novel Hrp–associated genes in the Hrp gene cluster of *Xanthomonas oryzae* pv. *oryzae*. J Bacteriol 2000;182:1844–53.

- [69] Chen L, Qian J, Qu S, Long J, Yin Q, Zhang C, et al. Identification of specific fragments of HpaG_{X000}, a harpin protein from *Xanthomonas oryzae* pv. *oryzicola*, that induce disease resistance and enhanced growth in rice. Phytopathology 2008;98:781–91.
- [70] Sang S, Li X, Gao R, You Z, Lu B, Liu P, et al. Apoplastic and cytoplasmic location of hatpin protein Hpalxoo plays different roles in H₂O₂ generation and pathogen resistance in *Arabidopsis*. Plant Mol Biol 2012;79:375–91.
- [71] Wang D, Wang B, Wang J, Wang S, Wang W, Niu Y. Exogenous application of harpin protein Hpa1 onto *Pinellia ternata* induces systemic resistance against tobacco mosaic virus. Phytopathology 2020;110:1189–98.
- [72] Choi MS, Kim W, Lee C, Oh CS. Harpins, multifunctional proteins secreted by gram-negative plant-pathogenic bacteria. Mol Plant Microbe Interact 2013;26:1115–22.
- [73] Wang B, Yang X, Zeng H, Liu H, Zhou T, Tan B, et al. The purification and characterization of a novel hypersensitive–like response–inducing elicitor from *Verticillium dahliae* that induces resistance responses in tobacco. Appl Microbiol Biotechnol 2012;93:191–201.
- [74] Liu M, Khan NU, Wang N, Yang X, Qiu D. The protein elicitor PevD1 enhances resistance to pathogens and promotes growth in *Arabidopsis*. Int J Biol Sci 2016;12:931–43.
- [75] Yang Y, Zhang Y, Li B, Yang X, Dong Y, Qiu D. A Verticillium dahlia pectate lyase induces plant immune responses and contributes to virulence. Front Plant Sci 2018;9:1271.
- [76] Wang Z, Han Q, Zi Q, Lv S, Qiu D, Zeng H. Enhanced disease resistance and drought tolerance in transgenic rice plants overexpressing protein elicitors from *Magnaporthe oryzae*. PLoS One 2017;12:e0175734.
- [77] Lv S, Wang Z, Yang X, Guo L, Qiu D, Zeng H. Transcriptional profiling of rice treated with MoHrip1 reveal the function of protein elicitor in enhancement of disease resistance and plant growth. Front Plant Sci 2016;7:1–17.
- [78] Uhlíková H, Obořil M, Klempová J, Šedo O, Zdráhal Z, Kašparovský T, et al. Elicitin–induced distal systemic resistance in plants is mediated through the Protein-Protein Interactions influenced by selected Lysine Residues. Front Plant Sci 2016;7:59.
- [79] Du J, Verzaux E, Chaparro-Garcia A, Bijsterbosch G, Keizer LCP, Zhou J, et al. Elicitin recognition confers enhanced resistance to *Phytophthora infestans* in potato. Nat Plants 2015;1:15034.
- [80] Dokládal L, Oboril M, Stejskal K, Zdráhal Z, Ptácková N, Chaloupková R, et al. Physiological and proteomic approaches to evaluate the role of sterol binding in elicitin–induced resistance. J Exp Bot 2012;63:2203–15.
- [81] Ptáčková N, Klempová J, Obořil M, Nedělová S, Lochman J, Kašparovský T. The effect of cryptogein with changed abilities to transfer sterols and altered charge distribution on extracellular alkalinization, ROS and NO generation, lipid peroxidation and LOX gene transcription in *Nicotiana tabacum*. Plant Physiol Biochem 2015;97:82–95.
- [82] Jang YS, Sohn SI, Wang MH. The hrpN gene of *Erwinia amylovora* stimulates tobacco growth and enhances resistance to *Botrytis cinerea*. Planta 2005;223:449–56.
- [83] Kulye M, Liu H, Zhang Y, Zeng H, Yang X, Qiu D. Hrip1, a novel protein elicitor from necrotrophic fungus, *Alternaria tenuissima*, elicits cell death, expression of defence–related genes and systemic acquired resistance in tobacco. Plant Cell Environ 2012;35:2104–20.
- [84] Liu Y, Zhou X, Liu W, Huang J, Liu Q, Sun J, et al. HpaXpm, a novel harpin of Xanthomonas phaseoli pv. manihotis, acts as an elicitor with high thermal stability, reduces disease, and promotes plant growth. BMC Microbiol 2020;20:4.
- [85] Li JG, Cao J, Sun FF, Niu DD, Yan F, Liu HX, et al. Control of *Tobacco mosaic virus* by PopW as a result of induced resistance in tobacco under greenhouse and field conditions. Phytopathology 2011;101:1202–8.
- [86] Li T, Huang Y, Xu ZS, Wang F, Xiong AS. Salicylic acid-induced differential resistance to the *Tomato yellow leaf curl virus* among resistant and susceptible tomato cultivars. BMC Plant Biol 2019;19:173.
- [87] Pei Y, Zhu Y, Jia Y, Ge X, Li X, Li F, et al. Molecular evidence for the involvement of cotton GhGLP2, in enhanced resistance to *Verticillium* and *Fusarium* Wilts and oxidative stress. Sci Rep 2020;10:12510.
 [88] Clark K, Franco JY, Schwizer S, Pang Z, Hawara E, Liebrand TWH, et al. An
- [88] Clark K, Franco JY, Schwizer S, Pang Z, Hawara E, Liebrand TWH, et al. An effector from the Huanglongbing-associated pathogen targets citrus proteases. Nat Commun 2018;9:1718.
- [89] Phillips SM, Dubery IA, van Heerden H. Identification and molecular characterisation of a Lectin Receptor–like Kinase (GhLecRK–2) from cotton. Plant Mol Biol Rep 2012;3:9–20.
- [90] Brunner F. Pep-13, a plant defense-inducing pathogen-associated pattern from *Phytophthora transglutaminases*. EMBO J 2002;21:6681–8.
- [91] Felix G, Volko DJD, S., Boller, T., Plants have a sensitive perception system for the most conserved domain of bacterial flagellin. Plant J 1999;18:265–76.
- [92] Chen YL, Lee CY, Cheng KT, Chang WH, Huang RN, Nam HG, et al. Quantitative peptidomics study reveals that a wound-induced peptide from PR-1 regulates immune signaling in tomato. Plant Cell 2014;26:4135–48.
- [93] Girault T, François J, Rogniaux H, Pascal S, Delrot S, Coutos-Thévenot P, et al. Exogenous application of a lipid transfer protein-jasmonic acid complex induces protection of grapevine towards infection by Botrytis cinerea. Plant Physiol Biochem 2008;46:140–9.
- [94] Ziemann S, van der Linde K, Lahrmann U, Acar B, Kaschani F, Colby T, et al. An apoplastic peptide activates salicylic acid signalling in maize. Nat Plants 2018;4:172–80.

- [95] Zhang H, Hu Z, Lei C, Zheng C, Wang J, Shao S, et al. Plant phytosulfokine peptide initiates auxin-dependent immunity through cytosolic Ca2+ signaling in tomato. Plant Cell 2018;30:652–67.
- [96] Huang CY, Araujo K, Sánchez JN, Kund G, Trumble J, Roper C, Godfrey KE, Jin H. A stable antimicrobial peptide with dual functions of treating and preventing citrus Huanglongbing. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A. 2021; 118: e2019628118.
- [97] Xing J, Chin CK. Modification of fatty acids in eggplant affects its resistance to *Verticillium dahliae*. Physiol Mol Plant Pathol 2000;56:217–25.
- [98] Wang C, Chin CK, Chen A. Expression of the yeast Δ–9 desaturase gene in tomato enhances its resistance to powdery mildew. Physiol Mol Plant Pathol 1998;52:371–83.
- [99] Kachroo A, Fu DQ, Havens W, Navarre D, Kachroo P, Ghabrial SA. An oleic acid-mediated pathway induces constitutive defense signaling and enhanced resistance to multiple pathogens in soybean. Mol Plant Microbe Interact 2008;21:564-75.
- [100] Madi L, Wang X, Kobiler I, Lichter A, Prusky D. Stress on avocado fruits regulates Δ9-stearoyl ACP desaturase expression, fatty acid composition, antifungal diene level and resistance to *Colletotrichum gloeosporioides* attack. Physiol Mol Plant Pathol 2003;62:277–83.
- [101] Ongena M, Duby F, Rossignol F, Fauconnier ML, Dommes J, Thonart P. Stimulation of the lipoxygenase pathway is associated with systemic resistance induced in bean by a nonpathogenic *Pseudomonas* Strain. Mol Plant Microbe Interact 2004;17:1009–18.
- [102] Yara A, Yaeno T, Hasegaw M, Seto H, Montillet JL, Kusumi K, et al. Disease resistance against *Magnaporthe grisea* is enhanced in transgenic rice with suppression of ω -3 fatty acid desaturases. Plant Cell Physiol 2007;48:1263–74.
- [103] Kirsch C, Takamiya-Wik M, Reinold S, Hahlbrock K, Somssich IE. Rapid, transient, and highly localized induction of plastidial ω -3 fatty acid desaturase mRNA at fungal infection sites in *Petroselinum crispum*. Proc Natl Acad Sci USA 1997;94:2079–84.
- [104] Dedyukhina EG, Kamzolova SV, Vainshtein MB. Arachidonic acid as an elicitor of the plant defense response to phytopathogens. Chem Biol Technol Ag 2014;1:18.
- [105] Eroshin VK, Dedyukhina EG. Effect of lipids from Mortierella hygrophilaon plant resistance to phytopathogens. World J Microbiol Biotechnol 2002;18:165–7.
- [106] Küpper FC, Gaquerel E, Cosse A, Adas F, Peters AF, Müller DG, et al. Free fatty acids and methyl jasmonate trigger defense reactions in *Laminaria digitata*. Plant Cell Physiol 2009;50:789–800.
- [107] Nurnberger T, Nennstiel D, Jabs T, Sacks WR, Hahlbrock K, Scheel D. High affinity binding of a fungal oligopeptide elicitor to parsley plasma membranes triggers multiple defense responses. Cell 1994;78:449–60.
- [108] Han L, Sun Y, Zhou X, Hao X, Wu M, Zhang X, et al. A novel glycoprotein from *Streptomyces* sp. triggers early responses of plant defense. Pestic Biochem Physiol 2021;171:104719.
- [109] Sun W. Within-species flagellin polymorphism in Xanthomonas campestris pv campestris and its impact on elicitation of Arabidopsis FLAGELLIN SENSING2dependent defenses. Plant Cell 2006;18:764–79.
- [110] Fu ZQ, Dong X. Systemic acquired resistance: turning local infection into global defense. Annu Rev Plant Biol 2013;64:839–63.
- [111] Sels J, Mathys J, De Coninck BM, Cammue BP, De Bolle MF. Plant pathogenesis-related (PR) proteins: a focus on PR peptides. Plant Physiol Biochem 2008;46:941–50.
- [112] Wang X, Chen J, Liu N, Fu ZQ. Dual Functions of a Stable Peptide against Citrus Huanglongbing Disease. Trends Plant Sci 2021;26:668–70.
- [113] Kachroo A, Kachroo P. Fatty acid-derived signals in plant defense. Annu Rev Phytopathol 2009;47:153–76.
- [114] Chen H, Li M, Qi G, Zhao M, Liu L, Zhang J, et al. Two interacting transcriptional coactivators cooperatively control plant immune responses. Sci Adv 2021;7:eabl7173.
- [115] Ding Y, Sun T, Ao K, Peng Y, Zhang Y, Li X, et al. Opposite roles of salicylic acid receptors NPR1 and NPR3/NPR4 in transcriptional regulation of plant immunity. Cell 2018;173:1454–67.
- [116] Zavaliev R, Mohan R, Chen T, Dong X. Formation of NPR1 condensates promotes cell survival during the plant immune response. Cell 2020;182:1093–108.
- [117] Peng Y, Yang J, Li X, Zhang Y. Salicylic acid: biosynthesis and signaling. Annu Rev Plant Biol 2021;72:761–91.
- [118] Hao Q, Wang W, Han X, Wu J, Lyu B, Chen F, et al. Isochorismate-based salicylic acid biosynthesis confers basal resistance to Fusarium graminearum in barley. Mol Plant Pathol 2018;19:1995–2010.
- [119] Kobayashi Y, Fukuzawa N, Hyodo A, Kim H, Mashiyama S, Ogihara T, et al. Role of salicylic acid glucosyltransferase in balancing growth and defence for optimum plant fitness. Mol Plant Pathol 2020;21:429–42.
- [120] Xi D, Li X, Gao L, Zhang Z, Zhu Y, Zhu H. Application of exogenous salicylic acid reduces disease severity of *Plasmodiophora brassicae* in pakchoi (*Brassica campestris* ssp. chinensis Makino). PLoS One 2021;16:e0248648.
- [121] Chakraborty N. Salicylic acid and nitric oxide cross-talks to improve innate immunity and plant vigor in tomato against *Fusarium oxysporum* stress. Plant Cell Rep 2021;40:1415–27.
- [122] Jiang G, Yin D, Shi Y, Zhou Z, Li C, Liu P, et al. OsNPR3.3-dependent salicylic acid signaling is involved in recessive gene xa5-mediated immunity to rice bacterial blight. Sci Rep 2020;10:6313.

- [123] Bawa G, Feng L, Yan L, Du Y, Shang J, Sun X, et al. Pre-treatment of salicylic acid enhances resistance of soybean seedlings to *Fusarium solani*. Plant Mol Biol 2019;101:315–23.
- [124] Yuan W, Jiang T, Du K, Chen H, Cao Y, Xie J, et al. Maize phenylalanine ammonia–lyases contribute to resistance to Sugarcane mosaic virus infection, most likely through positive regulation of salicylic acid accumulation. Mol Plant Pathol 2019;20:1365–78.
- [125] Zhu F, Xi DH, Yuan S, Xu F, Zhang DW, Lin HH. Salicylic acid and jasmonic acid are essential for systemic resistance against tobacco mosaic virus in *Nicotiana benthamiana*. Mol Plant Microbe Interact 2014;27:567–77.
- [126] Wubben MJE, Jin J, Baum TJ. Cyst nematode parasitism of Arabidopsis thaliana is inhibited by salicylic acid (SA) and elicits uncoupled SA-independent pathogenesis-related gene expression in roots. Mol Plant Microbe Interact 2008;21:424–32.
- [127] Narasimhamurthy K, Soumya K, Udayashankar AC, Srinivas C, Niranjana SR. Elicitation of innate immunity in tomato by salicylic acid and Amomum nilgiricum against *Ralstonia solanacearum*. Biocatal Agric Biotechnol 2019;22:101414.
- [128] Li J, Kolbasov VG, Pang Z, Duan S, Lee D, Huang Y, et al. Evaluation of the control effect of SAR inducers against citrus Huanglongbing applied by foliar spray, soil drench or trunk injection. Phytopathology Research 2021;3:2.
- [129] Shasmita MD, Mohapatra PK, Naik SK, Mukherjee AK. Priming with salicylic acid induces defense against bacterial blight disease by modulating rice plant photosystem ii and antioxidant enzymes activity. Physiol Mol Plant Pathol 2019;108;101427.
- [130] Zhu X, Lin H, Si Z, Xia Y, Chen W, Li X. Benzothiadiazole-mediated induced resistance to *Colletotrichum musae* and delayed ripening of harvested banana fruit. J Agric Food Chem 2016;64:1494–502.
- [131] Soylu S, Baysal Ö, Soylu EM. Induction of disease resistance by the plant activator, acibenzolar–S–methyl (ASM), against bacterial canker (*Clavibacter michiganensis* subsp. *michiganensis*) in tomato seedlings. Plant Sci 2003;165:1069–75.
- [132] Nombela G, Pascual S, Aviles M, Guillard E, Muniz M. Benzothiadiazole induces local resistance to *Bemisia tabaci* (Hemiptera: Aleyrodidae) in tomato plants. J Econ Entomol 2005;98:2266–71.
- [133] Friedrich L, Lawton K, Ruess W, Masner P, Specker N, Rella MG, et al. A benzothiadiazole derivative induces systemic acquired resistance in tobacco. Plant J 1996;10:61–70.
- [134] Trejo-Saavedra DL, García-Neria MA, Rivera-Bustamante RF. Benzothiadiazole (BTH) induces resistance to Pepper golden mosaic virus (PepGMV) in pepper (Capsicum annuum L.). Biol Res 2013;46:333–40.
- [135] Lawton KA, Friedrich L, Hunt M, Weymann K, Delaney T, Kessmann H, et al. Benzothiadiazole induces disease resistance in *Arabidopsis* by activation of the systemic acquired resistance signal transduction pathway. Plant J 1996;10:71–82.
- [136] Kohler A, Schwindling S, Conrath U. Benzothiadiazole-induced priming for potentiated responses to pathogen infection, wounding, and onfiltration of water into leaves requires the NPR1/NIM1 gene in *Arabidopsis*. Plant Physiol 2002;128:1046–56.
- [137] Graham JH, Myers ME. Soil application of SAR inducers imidacloprid, thiamethoxam, and acibenzolar–S–Methyl for citrus canker control in young grapefruit trees. Plant Dis 2011;95:725–8.
- [138] Shimono M, Sugano S, Nakayama A, Jiang CJ, Ono K, Toki S. Rice WRKY45 plays a crucial role in benzothiadiazole-inducible blast resistance. Plant Cell 2007;19:2064–76.
- [139] Kouzai Y, Noutoshi Y, Inoue K, Shimizu M, Onda Y, Mochida KM. Benzothiadiazole, a plant defense inducer, negatively regulates sheath blight resistance in *Brachypodium distachyon*. Sci Rep 2018;8:17358.
- [140] Faize L, Faize M. Functional analogues of salicylic acid and their use in crop protection. Agronomy 2018;8:5.
- [141] Ward ER, Uknes SJ, Williams SC, Dincher SS, Wiederhold DL, Alexander DC, et al. Coordinate gene activity in response to agents that induce systemic acquired resistance. Plant Cell 1991;3:1085–94.
- [142] Metraux JP, Signer H, Ryals J, Ward E, Wyss-Benz M, Gaudin J, et al. Increase in salicylic acid at the onset of systemic acquired resistance in cucumber. Science 1990;250:1004–6.
- [143] Bektas Y, Eulgem T. Synthetic plant defense elicitors. Front Plant Sci 2015;5:804.
- [144] Uknes S, Mauch-Mani B, Moyer M, Potter S, Williams S, Dincher S, et al. Acquired resistance in Arabidopsis. Plant Cell 1992;4:645–56.
- [145] Chandrashekar S, Umesha S. 2,6–Dichloroisonicotinic acid enhances the expression of defense genes in tomato seedlings against *Xanthomonas perforans*. Physiol Mol Plant Pathol 2014;86:49–56.
- [146] Sandhu D, Tasma IM, Frasch R, Bhattacharyya MK. Systemic acquired resistance in soybean is regulated by two proteins, Orthologous to Arabidopsis NPR1. BMC Plant Biol 2009;9:105.
- [147] Yoshida H, Konishi K, Koike K, Nakagawa T, Sekido S, Yamaguchi I. Effect of N-cyanomethyl-2-chloroisonicotinamide for control of rice blast. J Pestic Sci 1990;15:413-7.
- [148] Yasuda M. Regulation mechanisms of systemic acquired resistance induced by plant activators. J Pestic Sci 2007;32:281–2.
- [149] Nakashita H, Yoshioka K, Yasuda M, Nitta T, Arai Y, Yoshida S, et al. Probenazole induces systemic acquired resistance in tobacco through salicylic acid accumulation. Physiol Mol Plant Pathol 2002;61:197–203.

- [150] Iwai T, Seo S, Mitsuhara I, Ohashz Y. Probenazole-induced accumulation of salicylic acid confers resistance to *Magnaporthe grisea* in adult rice plants. Plant Cell Physiol 2007;48:915–24.
- [151] Wu Z, Wang G, Zhang B, Dai T, Gu A, Li X, et al. Metabolic mechanism of plant defense against rice blast induced by probenazole. Metabolites 2021;11:246.
- [152] Yoshioka K, Nakashita H, Klessig DF, Yamaguchi I. Probenazole induces systemic acquired resistance in *Arabidopsis* with a novel type of action. Plant J 2001;25:149–57.
- [153] Phuong LT, Zhao L, Fitrianti AN, Matsui H, Noutoshi Y, Yamamoto M, et al. The plant activator saccharin induces resistance to wheat powdery mildew by activating multiple defense-related genes. J Gen Plant Pathol 2020;86:107–13.
- [154] Mejri S, Magnin-Robert M, Randoux B, Ghinet A, Halama P, Siah A, et al. Saccharin provides protection and activates defense mechanisms in wheat against the hemibiotrophic pathogen *Zymoseptoria tritici*. Plant Dis 2021;105:780–6.
- [155] Srivastava P, George S, Marois JJ, Walker WDL, D.r.. Saccharin-induced systemic acquired resistance against rust (*Phakopsora pachyrhizi*) infection in soybean: Effects on growth and development. Crop Prot 2011;30:726–32.
- [156] Siegrist J, Miihlenbeck S, Buchenauer H. Cultured parsley cells, a model system for the rapid testing of abiotic and natural substances as inducers of systemic acquired resistance. Physiol Mol Plant Pathol 1998;53:223–38.
- [157] Boyle C, Walters D. Induction of systemic protection against rust infection in broad bean by saccharin: effects on plant growth and development. New Phytol 2005;167:607–12.
- [158] Boyle C, Walters DR. Saccharin-induced protection against powdery mildew in barley: effects on growth and phenylpropanoid metabolism. Plant Pathol 2006;55:82–91.
- [159] Kang JH, Wang L, Giri A, Baldwin IT. Silencing threonine deaminase and JAR4 in *Nicotiana* attenuate impairs jasmonic acid-isoleucine-mediated defenses against *Manduca sexta*. Plant Cell 2006;18:3303–20.
- [160] Riemann M, Haga K, Shimizu T, Okada K, Ando S, Mochizuki S, et al. Identification of rice allene oxide cyclase mutants and the function of jasmonate for defence against Magnaporthe oryzae. Plant J 2013;74:226–38.
- [161] Aubert Y, Widemann E, Miesch L, Pinot F, Heitz T. CYP94-mediated jasmonoyl isoleucine hormone oxidation shapes jasmonate profiles and attenuates defence responses to *Botrytis cinerea* infection. J Exp Bot 2015;66:3879–92.
- [162] Li TG, Chen GX, Zhang QQ, VvXYLP02 confers gray mold resistance by amplifying jasmonate signaling pathway in *Vitis vinifera*. Plant Signal Behav 2021;16:1940019.
- [163] Arévalo-Marín DF, Briceño-Robles DM, Mosquera T, Melgarejo LM, Sarmiento F.. Jasmonic acid priming of potato uses hypersensitive response-dependent defense and delays necrotrophic phase change against *Phytophthora infestans*. Physiol Mol. Plant Pathol 2021;115:101680.
- [164] Li YH, Qiu LN, Zhang Q, Zhuansun XX, Li HF, Chen X, et al. Exogenous sodium diethyldithiocarbamate, a Jasmonic acid biosynthesis inhibitor, induced resistance to powdery mildew in wheat. Plant Direct 2020;4:e00212.
- [165] Pan LY, Zhao XY, Chen M, Fu YQ, Xiang ML, Chen JY. Effect of exogenous methyl jasmonate treatment on disease resistance of postharvest kiwifruit. Food Chem 2020;305:125483.
- [166] Ji NN, Wang J, Zuo XX, Li YF, Li ML, Wang KT, et al. *PpWRKY45* is involved in methyl jasmonate primed disease resistance by enhancing the expression of jasmonate acid biosynthetic and pathogenesis-related genes of peach fruit. Postharvest Biol Technol 2021;172:111390.
- [167] Chakraborty N, Basak J. Exogenous application of methyl jasmonate induces defense response and develops tolerance against mungbean yellow mosaic India virus in *Vigna mungo*. Funct Plant Biol 2018;46:69–81.
- [168] Lemarie S, Robert-Seilaniantz A, Lariagon C, Lemoine J, Marnet N, Jubault M, et al. Both the jasmonic acid and the salicylic acid pathways contribute to resistance to the biotrophic clubroot agent *Plasmodiophora brassicae* in *Arabidopsis*. Plant Cell Physiol 2015;56:2158–68.
- [169] Hu J, Huang J, Xu H, Wang Y, Li C, Wen P, et al. Rice stripe virus suppresses jasmonic acid-mediated resistance by hijacking brassinosteroid signaling pathway in rice. PLoS Pathog 2020;31:e1008801.
- [170] Gavin AS, Faggion SA, Hernandes C, Lourenço MV, França Sde C, Beleboni RO. Nematocidal effects of natural phytoregulators jasmonic acid and methyljasmonate against *Pratylenchus zeae* and *Helicotylenchus* spp. Nat Prod Res 2013:27:1041-8.
- [171] Bali S, Kaur P, Jamwal VL, Gandhi SG, Sharma A, Ohri P, et al. Seed priming with jasmonic acid counteracts root knot nematode infection in tomato by modulating the activity and expression of antioxidative enzymes. Biomolecules 2020;10:98.
- [172] Oliveira MB, Junior ML, Grossi-de-Sá MF, Petrofeza S.. Exogenous application of methyl jasmonate induces a defense response and resistance against *Sclerotinia sclerotiorum* in dry bean plants. J Plant Physiol 2015;182:13–22.
- [173] Deepak S, Niranjan-Raj S, Shailasree S, Kini RK, Boland W, Shetty HS, et al. Induction of resistance against downy mildew pathogen in pearl millet by a synthetic jasmonate analogon. Physiol Mol Plant Pathol 2007;71:96–105.
- [174] Nakashita H, Yasuda M, Nitta T, Asami T, Fujioka S, Arai Y, et al. Brassinosteroid functions in a broad range of disease resistance in tobacco and rice. Plant J 2003;33:887–98.
- [175] Deng XG, Zhu T, Zou LJ, Han XY, Zhou X, Xi DH, et al. Orchestration of hydrogen peroxide and nitric oxide in brassinosteroid-mediated systemic virus resistance in Nicotiana benthamiana. Plant J 2016;85:478–93.

- [176] Xiong J, He R, Yang F, Zou L, Yi K, Lin H, et al. Brassinosteroids are involved in ethylene-induced Pst DC3000 resistance in *Nicotiana benthamiana*. Plant Biol 2020;22:309–16.
- [177] Ali SS, Kumar GB, Khan M, Doohan FM. Brassinosteroid enhances resistance to fusarium diseases of barley. Phytopathology 2013;103:1260–7.
- [178] Roth U, Friebe A, Schnabl H. Resistance induction in plants by a brassinosteroid-containing extract of *lychnis viscaria* L. J Biosciences 2000;55:552–9.
- [179] Song LX, Xu XC, Wang FN, Wang Y, Xia XJ, Shi K, et al. Brassinosteroids act as a positive regulator for resistance against root-knot nematode involving RESPIRATORY BURST OXIDASE HOMOLOG-dependent activation of MAPKs in tomato. Plant Cell Environ 2017;41:1113–25.
- [180] Zhang D, Ye H, Guo H, Johnson A, Zhang M, Lin H, et al. Transcription factor HAT1 is phosphorylated by BIN2 kinase and mediates brassinosteroid repressed gene expression in *Arabidopsis*. Plant J 2014;77:59–70.
- [181] Zou LJ, Deng XG, Zhang LE, Zhu T, Tan WR, Muhammad A, et al. Nitric oxide as a signaling molecule in brassinosteroid-mediated virus resistance to *Cucumber mosaic virus* in *Arabidopsis thaliana*. Physiol Plant 2018;163:196–210.
- [182] Cooper B, Beard HS, Garrett WM, Campbell KB. Benzothiadiazole conditions the bean proteome for immunity to bean rust. Mol Plant Microbe Interact 2020;33:600–11.
- [183] Canet JV, Dobón A, Ibáñez F, Perales L, Tornero P. Resistance and biomass in Arabidopsis: a new model for salicylic acid perception. Plant Biotechnol J 2010 Feb;8(2):126–41.
- [184] Shimono M, Koga H, Akagi A, Hayashi N, Goto S, Sawada M, et al. Rice WRKY45 plays important roles in fungal and bacterial disease resistance. Mol Plant Pathol 2012;13:83–94.
- [185] Delaney TP, Uknes S, Vernooij B, Friedrich L, Weymann K, Negrotto D, et al. A central role of salicylic acid in plant disease resistance. Science 1994;266:1247–50.
- [186] Vernooij B, Friedrich L, Goy PA, Staub T, Kessmann H, Ryals J. 2,6-dicholoroisonicotinic acid-induced resistance to pathogens without the accumulation of saliciylic acid. Mol Plant Microbe Interact 1995;8:228-34.
- [187] Durner J, Klessig DF. Inhibition of ascorbate peroxidase by salicylic acid and 2,6-dichloroisonicotinic acid, two inducers of plant defense responses. Proc Natl Acad Sci 1995;92:11312-6.
- [188] Iwata M, Umemura K, Midoh N. Probenazole (Oryzemate[®]) A plant defense activator. In: Kawasaki S, editor. Rice Blast: Interaction with Rice and Control. Springer: Dordrecht; 2004. p. 163–71.
- [189] Wasternack C, Song S. Jasmonates: Biosynthesis, metabolism, and signaling by proteins activating and repressing transcription. J Exp Bot 2017;68:1303–21.
- [190] Kazan K, Manners JM. JAZ repressors and the orchestration of phytohormone crosstalk. Trends Plant Sci 2012;17:22–31.
- [191] Ruan J, Zhou Y, Zhou M, Yan J, Khurshid M, Weng W, et al. Jasmonic acid signaling pathway in plants. Int J Mol Sci 2019;20:2479.
- [192] Wang Z, Tan X, Zhang Z, Gu S, Li G, Shi H. Defense to Sclerotinia sclerotiorum in oilseed rape is associated with the sequential activations of salicylic acid signaling and jasmonic acid signaling. Plant Sci 2012;184:75–82.
- [193] Rowe HC, Walley JW, Corwin J, Chan EK, Dehesh K, Kliebenstein DJ. Deficiencies in jasmonate-mediated plant defense reveal quantitative variation in *Botrytis cinerea* pathogenesis. PLoS Pathog 2010;6:e1000861.
- [194] Han X, Kahmann R. Manipulation of phytohormone pathways by effectors of filamentous plant pathogens. Front Plant Sci 2019;10:822.
- [195] Scholz SS, Reichelt M, Boland W, Mithöfer A. Additional evidence against jasmonate-induced jasmonate induction hypothesis. Plant Sci 2015;239:9–14.
- [196] Yu MH, Zhao ZZ, He JX. Brassinosteroid signaling in plant-microbe interactions. Int J Mol Sci 2018;19:4091.
- [197] Deng XG, Zhu T, Zhang DW, Lin HH. The alternative respiratory pathway is involved in brassinosteroid-induced environmental stress tolerance in *Nicotiana benthamiana*. J Exp Bot 2015;66:6219–32.
- [198] Zhang DW, Deng XG, Fu FQ. Lin HH. Induction of plant virus defense response by brassinosteroids and brassinosteroid signaling in *Arabidopsis thaliana*. Planta 2015;241:875–85.
- [199] Boubakri H, Gargouri M, Mliki A, Brini F, Chong J, Jbara M. Vitamins for enhancing plant resistance. Planta 2016;244:529–43.
- [200] Fitzpatrick TB, Chapman LM. The importance of thiamine (vitamin B1) in plant health: From crop yield to biofortification. J Biol Chem 2020;295:12002–13.
- [201] Ahn IP, Kim S, Lee YH. Vitamin B1 functions as an activator of plant disease resistance. Plant Physiol 2005;138:1505–15.
- [202] Bahuguna RN, Joshi R, Shukla A, Pandey M, Kumar J. Thiamine primed defense provides reliable alternative to systemic fungicide carbendazim against sheath blight disease in rice (*Oryza sativa* L). Plant Physiol Biochem 2012;57:159–67.
- [203] Huang WK, Ji HL, Gheysen G, Kyndt T. Thiamine–induced priming against root–knot nematode infection in rice involves lignification and hydrogen peroxide generation. Mol Plant Pathol 2016;17:614–24.
- [204] Montaser FAM. Role of riboflavin and thiamine in induced resistance against charcoal rot disease of soybean. Afr J Biotechnol 2011;10:10842–55.
- [205] Hamada AM, Jonsson LMV. Thiamine treatments alleviate aphid infestations in barley and pea. Phytochemistry 2013;94:135–41.

- [206] Boubakri H, Wahab MA, Chong J, Bertsch C, Mliki A, Soustre-Gacougnolle I. Thiamine induced resistance to *Plasmopara viticola* in grapevine and elicited host-defense responses, including HR like-cell death. Plant Physiol Biochem 2012;57:120-33.
- [207] Torky AZ. Vitamin B mediated priming of disease resistance and defense responses to tobacco mosaic virus in Capsicum annuum L. Plants J Antivir Antiretrovir 2016;8:35–53.
- [208] Gottstein HD, Kuc JA. Induction of systemic resistance to anthracnose in cucumber by phosphates. Phytopathology 1989;79:176–9.
- [209] Mucharromah E, Kuc J. Oxalate and phosphates induce systemic resistance against diseases caused by fungi, bacteria and viruses in cucumber. Crop Prot 1991;10:265–70.
- [210] Orober M, Siegrist J, Buchenauer H. Mechanisms of phosphate-induced disease resistance in cucumber. Eur J Plant Pathol 2002;108:345–53.
- [211] Reuveni M, Reuveni R. Efficacy of foliar sprays of phosphates in controlling powdery mildews in field-grown nectarine, mango trees and grapevines. Crop Prot 1995;14:311–4.
- [212] Manandhar HK, Jørgensen HJL, Mathur SB, Smedegaard-Petersen V. Resistance to rice blast induced by ferric chloride, di-potassium hydrogen phosphate and salicylic acid. Crop Prot 1998;17:323–9.
- [213] Biswas SK, Pandey NK, Rajik M. Inductions of defense response in tomato against Fusarium Wilt through inorganic chemicals as inducers. J Plant Pathol 2012;3:4.
- [214] Reuveni M, Sheglov D, Cohen Y. Control of moldy-core decay in apple fruits by β-Aminobutyric Acids and Potassium Phosphites. Plant Dis 2003;87:933-6.
- [215] Zhang W, Zhou H, Yuan Z, Zhang C, Wen X. Control effects and mechanisms of β-aminobutyric acid and chitosan on postharvest blue mold of red Fuji apple. Journal of Northwest A&F University (NatSciEd) 2013;41:149–56.
- [216] Flors V, Ton J, van Doorn R, Jakab G, García-Agustín P, Mauch-Mani B. Interplay between JA, SA and ABA signalling during basal and induced resistance against *Pseudomonas syringae* and *Alternaria brassicicola*. Plant J 2008;54:81–92.
- [217] Zimmerli L, Metraux JP, Mauch-Mani B. β-aminobutyric acid-induced protection of *Arabidopsis* against the necrotrophic fungus *Botrytis cinerea*. Plant Physiol 2001;126:517–23.
- [218] Zimmerli L, Jakab G, Metraux JP, Mauch-Mani B. Potentiation of pathogenspecific defense mechanisms in *Arabidopsis* by β-aminobutyric acid. Proc Natl Acad Sci USA 2000;97:12920–5.
- [219] Ton J, Jakab G, Toquin V, Flors V, Iavicoli A, Maeder MN, et al. Dissecting the beta-aminobutyric acid-induced priming phenomenon in *Arabidopsis*. Plant Cell 2005;17:987–99.
- [220] Marcucci E, Aleandri MP, Chilosi G, Magro P. Induced resistance by β-Aminobutyric acid in Artichoke against white mould caused by *Sclerotinia sclerotiorum*. J Phytopathol 2010;158:659–67.
- [221] Walters DR, Havis ND, Paterson L, Taylor J, Walsh DJ, Sablou C. Control of foliar pathogens of spring barley using a combination of resistance elicitors. Front Plant Sci 2014;5:241.
- [222] Mersha Z, Zhang S, Fu Y, Mo X, Raid RN, Hau B. Efficacy of Acibenzolar–S– Methyl and β–Aminobutyric Acid for control of Downy Mildew in greenhouse grown Basil and Peroxidase activity in response to treatment with these compounds. J Phytopathol 2012;161:154–64.
- [223] Li J, Trivedi P, Wang N. Field evaluation of plant defense inducers for the control of citrus Huanglongbing. Phytopathology 2016;106:3746.
- [224] Kim YC, Kim YH, Lee YH, Lee SW, Chae YS, Kang HK, et al. β-Amino-n-butyric Acid regulates seedling growth and disease resistance of Kimchi Cabbage. Plant Pathol J 2013;29:305-16.
- [225] Walz A. Simon O.β-Aminobutyric acid-induced resistance in cucumber against biotrophic and necrotrophic pathogens. J Phytopathol 2009;157:356-61.
- [226] Fischer MJC, Farine S, Chong J, Guerlain P, Bertsch C. The direct toxicity of BABA against grapevine ecosystem organisms. Crop Prot 2009;28:710–2.
- [227] Porat R, Vinokur V, Weiss B, Cohen L, Daus A, Goldschmidt EE, et al. Induction of resistance to *Penicillium digitatumin* grapefruit by β-Aminobutyric Acid. Eur J Plant Pathol 2003;109:901–7.
- [228] Harm A, Kassemeyer HH, Seibicke T, Regner F. Evaluation of chemical and natural resistance inducers against Downy Mildew (*Plasmopara viticola*) in grapevine. Am J Enol Vitic 2011;62:184–92.
- [229] Cao JK, Yan JQ, Zhao YM, Jiang WB. Effects of four pre–harvest foliar sprays with β–aminobutyric acid or salicylic acid on the incidence of post–harvest disease and induced defence responses in jujube (*Zizyphus jujuba* Mill.) fruit after storage. J Hortic Sci Biotechnol 2013;88:338–44.
- [230] Cohen Y, Rubin AE, Vaknin M. Post infection application of DL-3-aminobutyric acid (BABA) induces multiple forms of resistance against *Bremia lactucae* in lettuce. Eur J Plant Pathol 2011;130:13–27.
- [231] Zhang Z, Yang D, Yang B, Gao Z, Li M, Jiang Y. Hu M.β–Aminobutyric acid induces resistance of mango fruit to postharvest anthracnose caused by *Colletotrichum gloeosporioides* and enhances activity of fruit defense mechanisms. Sci Hortic 2013;160:78–84.
- [232] Wang J, Cao S, Wang L, Wang X, Jin P, Zheng Y. Effect of β-Aminobutyric Acid on disease resistance against Rhizopus rot in harvested peaches. Front Microbiol 2018;9:1505.
- [233] Yin Y, Li Y, Bi Y, Chen S, Li Y, Yuan L, et al. Postharvest treatment with β -Aminobutyric Acid induces resistance against dry rot caused by *Fusarium sulphureum* in potato tuber. Agr Sci China 2010;9:1372–80.

- [234] Bengtsson T, Weighill D, Proux-Wéra E, Levander F, Resjö S, Burra DD, et al. Proteomics and transcriptomics of the BABA--induced resistance response in potato using a novel functional annotation approach. BMC Genomics 2014;15:315.
- [235] Kamble A, Koopmann B, von Tiedemann A. Induced resistance to Verticillium longisporum in Brassica napus by β-aminobutyric acid. Plant Pathol 2012;62:552–61.
- [236] Zhong Y, Wang B, Yan J, Cheng L, Yao L, Xiao L, et al. DL-β-Aminobutyric Acid-induced resistance in soybean against *Aphis glycines* Matsumura (Hemiptera: Aphididae). PLoS One 2014;9:e85142.
- [237] Siegrist J, Orober M. Buchenauer H.β-Aminobutyric acid-mediated enhancement of resistance in tobacco to tobacco mosaic virus depends on the accumulation of salicylic acid. Physiol Mol. Plant Pathol 2000;56:95–106.
- [238] Hodge S, Pope TW, Holaschke M, Powell G. The effect of beta-aminobutyric acid on the growth of herbivorous insects feeding on Brassicaceae. Ann Appl Biol 2006;148:223–9.
- [239] Navarova H, Bernsdorff F, Doring AC, Zeier J. Pipecolic Acid, an endogenous mediator of defense amplification and priming, is a critical regulator of inducible plant immunity. Plant Cell 2012;24:5123–41.
- [240] Jung HW, Tschaplinski TJ, Wang L, Glazebrook J, Greenberg JT. Priming in systemic plant immunity. Science 2009;324:89–91.
- [241] Chaturvedi R, Venables B, Petros RA, Nalam V, Li M, Wang X, et al. An abietane diterpenoid is a potent activator of systemic acquired resistance. Plant J 2012;71:161–72.
- [242] Chanda B, Xia Y, Mandal MK, Yu K, Sekine KT, Gao QM, et al. Glycerol-3phosphate is a critical mobile inducer of systemic immunity in plants. Nat Genet 2011;43:421–7.
- [243] Wang GN, Ding XH, Yuan M, Qiu DY, Li XH, Xu CG, et al. Dual function of rice OsDR8 gene in disease resistance and thiamine accumulation. Plant Mol Biol 2006;60:437–49.
- [244] Abdel-Monaim MF. Role of riboflavin and thiamine in induced resistance against charcoal rot disease of soybean. Afr J Biotechnol 2011;10:10842–55.
- [245] Deliopoulos T, Kettlewell PS, Hare MC. Fungal disease suppression by inorganic salts: a review. Crop Prot 2010;29:1059–75.
- [246] Reuveni M, Agapov V, Reuveni R. Induced systemic protection to powdery mildew in cucumber by phosphate and potassium fertilizers: effects of inoculum concentration and post-inoculation treatment. Can J Plant Pathol 1995;17:247–51.
- [247] Reuveni M, Agapov V, Reuveni R. Suppression of cucumber powdery mildew (Sphaerotheca fuliginea) by foliar sprays of phosphate and potassium salts. Plant Pathol 1995;44:31–9.
- [248] Devi B, Singh G, Dash AK, Gupta SK. Chemically induced systemic acquired resistance in the inhibition of French bean rust. Curr Plant Biol 2020;23:100151.
- [249] Cohen Y, Vaknin M, Mauch-Mani B. BABA-induced resistance: milestones along a 55-year journey. Phytoparasitica 2016;44:513–38.
- [250] Koen E, Trapet P, Brulé D, Kulik A, Klinguer A, Atauri-Miranda L, et al. Besson-Bard A,β-Aminobutyric acid (BABA)-induced resistance in Arabidopsis thaliana: link with iron homeostasis. Mol Plant Microbe Interact 2014;27:1226-40.
- [251] Luna E, Van Hulten M, Zhang Y, Berkowitz O, López A, Pétriacq P, et al. Plant perception of β-aminobutyric acid is mediated by an aspartyl-tRNA synthetase. Nat Chem Biol 2014;10:450–6.
- [252] Thevenet D, Pastor V, Baccelli I, Balmer A, Vallat A, Neier R, et al. The priming molecule b-aminobutyric acid is naturally present in plants and is induced by stress. New Phytol 2017;213:552–9.
- [253] Hartmann M, Zeier T, Bernsdorff F, Reichel-Deland V, Kim D, Hohmann M, et al. Flavin monooxygenase-generated N-hydroxypipecolic acid is a critical element of plant systemic immunity. Cell 2018;173:456–69.
- [254] Chen YC, Holmes EC, Rajniak J, Kim JG, Tang S, Fischer CR, et al. N-hydroxypipecolic acid is a mobile metabolite that induces systemic disease resistance in Arabidopsis. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A 2018;115:E4920–9.
- [255] Yu K, Soares JM, Mandal MK, Wang C, Chanda B, Gifford AN, et al. A feedback regulatory loop between G3P and lipid transfer proteins DIR1 and AZI1 mediates azelaic-acid-induced systemic immunity. Cell Rep 2013;25:1266–78.
- [256] Qiu DW, Zeng HM. Plant immunity inducing technology. Beijing: Science Press; 2021.
- [257] Zhou M, Wang W. Recent advances in synthetic chemical inducers of plant immunity. Front Plant Sci 2018;9:1613.
- [258] Tripathi D, Raikhy G, Kumar D. Chemical elicitors of systemic acquired resistance–Salicylic acid and its functional analogs. Curr Plant Biol 2019;17:48–59.
- [259] Qiu DW, Dong YJ, Zhang Y, Li SP, Shi FC. Plant immunity inducer development and application. Mol Plant Microbe Interact 2017;30:355–60.
- [260] Bai W, Chern M, Ruan D, Canlas PE, Sze-To WH, Ronald PC.. Enhanced disease resistance and hypersensitivity to BTH by introduction of an NH1/OsNPR1 paralog. Plant Biotechnol J 2011;9:205–15.
- [261] Peng CE, Zhang AL, Wang QB, Song YZ, Zhang M, Ding XH, et al. Ultrahighactivity immune inducer from endophytic fungi induces tobacco resistance to virus by SA pathway and RNA silencing. BMC Plant Biol 2020;20:169.
- [262] Lu C, Liu H, Jiang D, Wang L, Jiang Y, Tang S, et al. *Paecilomyces variotii* extracts ZNC enhance plant immunity and promote plant growth. Plant Soil 2019;441:383–97.

F. Zhu, M.-Y. Cao, Q.-P. Zhang et al.

- [263] Miedema H, Henriksen GH, Assmann SM. A laser microsurgical method of cell wall removal allows detection of large–conductance ion channels in the guard cell plasma membrane. Protoplasma 1999;209:58–67.
- [264] Pye MF, Hakuno F, MacDonald JD, Bostock RM. Induced resistance in tomato by SAR activators during predisposing salinity stress. Front Plant Sci 2013;4:116.
- [265] Grisham J. Protein biopesticide may be next wave in pest control. Nat Biotechnol 2000;18:595.
- [266] Pichyangkura R, Chadchawan S. Biostimulant activity of chitosan in horticulture. Sci Hortic 2015;196:49–65.
- [267] Zhang W, Yang X, Qiu D, Guo L, Zeng H, Mao J, et al. PeaT1-induced systemic acquired resistance in tobacco follows salicylic acid-dependent pathway. Mol Biol Rep 2010;38:2549–56.
- [268] Maeda T, İshiwari H. Tiadinil, a plant activator of systemic acquired resistance, boosts the production of herbivore–induced plant volatiles that attract the predatory mite *Neoseiulus womersleyi* in the tea plant *Camellia sinensis*. Exp Appl Acarol 2012;58:247–58.
- [269] Fritz J, Athmann M, Kautz T, Köpke U. Grouping and classification of wheat from organic and conventional production systems by combining three image forming methods. Biol Agric Hortic 2011;27:320–36.
- [270] Terzi V, Morcia C, Faccioli P, Valè G, Tacconi G, Malnati M. In vitro antifungal activity of the tea tree (*Melaleuca alternifolia*) essential oil and its major components against plant pathogens. Lett Appl Microbiol 2007;44:613–8.
- [271] Rethwisch MD, Cox T, Ramos DM, Luna M, Wellman J. Effects of Goëmar[®] BM86 and Mepiquat Chloride on DPL 449BR/DPL 494R Cotton. Cotton: a college of agriculture & life sciences report 2021;145:179–93.
- [272] Craigie JS. Seaweed extract stimuli in plant science and agriculture. J Appl Phycol 2010;23:371–93.
- [273] Dimopoulou A, Theologidis I, Liebmann B, Kalantidis K, Vassilakos N, Skandalis N. Bacillus amyloliquefaciens MBI600 differentially induces tomato defense signaling pathways depending on plant part and dose of application. Sci Rep 2019;9:19120.

Feng Zhu received his Ph.D. degree in Botany in 2014 from Sichuan University. He subsequently joined Yangzhou University, where he is currently an associate professor in the College of Plant Protection. He has coauthored over 40 publications including Plant Cell & Environment, Molecular Plant Pathology, Food Microbiology, International Journal of Biological Macromolecules, Molecular Plant-Microbe Interactions, and Plant Molecular Biology. His current research interests include plant disease resistance.

Rajinikanth Mohan is an assistant professor at Mercyhurst University in the USA. He has coauthored over 25 publications, including *Cell, Nature, Trends in Plant Science,* and *Plant Physiology.* His research interests include mechanisms of stress tolerance in plants and microbes.

Jacob Schar is a Bachelor of Science student in Biological Sciences, at the University of South Carolina in the USA. His research with Dr. Fu is focused on protein structures and functions in plant immune responses.

Michaela Mitchell is a bachelor's student in Forensic Science at Mercyhurst University in the USA. Her research with Dr. Mohan is focused on molecular microbiology.

Meng-Yao Cao received her B.Sc. degree in ecology from Yangzhou University in 2021. Now she is a master's student in Yangzhou University. Her research with Dr. Zhu is focused on plant systemic resistance.

Huan Chen received his Ph.D. Degree from Gyeongsang National University in 2011. He is currently an associate professor at Shanghai Jiao Tong University. His research focuses on the interaction between plants and pathogens and the regulation of plant immunity by hormones. He has authored over 30 publications including *Cell Host & Microbe, Science Advances,* and *New Phytolo*gist.

Qi-Ping Zhang received his M.Sc. degree in plant pathology from Yangzhou University in 2022. His research interests mainly include plant-virus interaction mechanisms.

Fengquan Liu is a professor at Jiangsu Academy of Agricultural Sciences. He has published more than 100 papers in scientific journals such as *Cell Host & Microbe*, *Molecular Plant, Trends in Microbiology, Science Advances*, and *Food Chemistry*. His research interests include pathogenic mechanism of rice bacterial pathogens and green prevention and control technology of plant diseases.

Journal of Advanced Research 57 (2024) 15-42

F. Zhu, M.-Y. Cao, Q.-P. Zhang et al.

Daowen Wang received his Ph.D. Degree from University of East Anglia/John Innes Center in 1993. He is the academic vice president of Henan Agricultural University and the dean of College of Agronomy. He has published papers in many advanced academic journals including Nature, Science, Nature Genetics, Science Advances, PNAS, Plant Cell, New Phytologist, Plant Biotechnology Journal, Plant Journal, Journal of Integrative Plant Biology. His research focuses on genomics and genetic improvement of wheat and plant molecular biology.

Journal of Advanced Research 57 (2024) 15-42

Zheng Qing Fu is an associate professor at the University of South Carolina, Columbia in the USA. He has published papers in many advanced academic journals including Nature, Cell Host & Microbe, Science Advances, Trends in Plant Science, Molecular Plant, New Phytologist. His studies focus on the plant hormone salicylic acid signaling in plant-pathogen interactions.