

Imaging Role in Diagnosis, Prognosis, and Treatment Response Prediction Associated with High-grade Glioma

Abstract

Background: Glioma is one of the most drug and radiation-resistant tumors. Gliomas suffer from inter- and intratumor heterogeneity which makes the outcome of similar treatment protocols vary from patient to patient. This article is aimed to overview the potential imaging markers for individual diagnosis, prognosis, and treatment response prediction in malignant glioma. Furthermore, the correlation between imaging findings and biological and clinical information of glioma patients is reviewed. **Materials and Methods:** The search strategy in this study is to select related studies from scientific websites such as PubMed, Scopus, Google Scholar, and Web of Science published until 2022. It comprised a combination of keywords such as Biomarkers, Diagnosis, Prognosis, Imaging techniques, and malignant glioma, according to Medical Subject Headings. **Results:** Some imaging parameters that are effective in glioma management include: ADC, FA, K^{trans} , regional cerebral blood volume (rCBV), cerebral blood flow (CBF), v_e , Cho/NAA and lactate/lipid ratios, intratumoral uptake of ^{18}F -FET (for diagnostic application), RD, ADC, v_e , v_p , K^{trans} , CBF_{Ti} , rCBV, tumor blood flow, Cho/NAA, lactate/lipid, MI/Cho, uptakes of ^{18}F -FET, ^{11}C -MET, and ^{18}F -FLT (for prognostic and predictive application). Cerebral blood volume and K^{trans} are related to molecular markers such as vascular endothelial growth factor (VEGF). Preoperative ADC_{min} value of GBM tumors is associated with O6-methylguanine-DNA methyltransferase (MGMT) promoter methylation status. 2-hydroxyglutarate metabolite and dynamic ^{18}F -FDOPA positron emission tomography uptake are related to isocitrate dehydrogenase (IDH) mutations. **Conclusion:** Parameters including ADC, RD, FA, rCBV, K^{trans} , v_p , and uptake of ^{18}F -FET are useful for diagnosis, prognosis, and treatment response prediction in glioma. A significant correlation between molecular markers such as VEGF, MGMT, and IDH mutations with some diffusion and perfusion imaging parameters has been identified.

Keywords: Biomarkers, diagnosis, imaging techniques, malignant glioma, prognosis

Submitted: 03-Apr-2022

Revised: 31-Jul-2022

Accepted: 14-Mar-2023

Published: 27-Mar-2024

Introduction

A glioma is a primary central nervous system malignancy in adults with poor prognosis.^[1,2] Grades 1 and 2 are known as low-grade glioma (LGG), and grades 3 and 4 are known as high-grade glioma (HGG). Standard management of malignant glioma usually is surgery followed by concomitant and adjuvant chemotherapy with temozolomide (DNA alkylating agent).^[3] The limitations in developing treatment management for glioblastoma include the presence of blood-brain barrier,^[4] high resistance to radiation,^[5] and abnormality of blood vessels which cause an undesirable and hypoxic microenvironment, thereby increasing radiation resistance and

disrupting chemotherapy. Glioblastoma also comprises distinct cancer cells including stem cells, initiating cells, and propagating cells which are extremely resistant to typical chemo- and radiation therapy and can make severe tumor recurrence.^[6]

GBM tumors suffer from inter- and intratumor heterogeneity.^[4] Intratumor heterogeneity challenges tumor identification and progression of impressive and efficient treatments.^[4]

Furthermore, early treatment evaluation is also tough for glioma patients. After completion of RT, the nontumoral increment in contrast-enhancing lesion extent or pseudoprogression occurs in high-grade brain tumor patients.^[7] To discriminate between pseudoprogression and early progression of the disease by

This is an open access journal, and articles are distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution-NonCommercial-ShareAlike 4.0 License, which allows others to remix, tweak, and build upon the work non-commercially, as long as appropriate credit is given and the new creations are licensed under the identical terms.

For reprints contact: WKHLRPMedknow_reprints@wolterskluwer.com

How to cite this article: Heidari M, Shokrani P. Imaging role in diagnosis, prognosis, and treatment response prediction associated with high-grade glioma. *J Med Sign Sens* 2024;14:7.

Maryam Heidari,
Parvaneh Shokrani

Department of Medical Physics,
School of Medicine, Isfahan
University of Medical Sciences,
Isfahan, Iran

Address for correspondence:

Prof. Parvaneh Shokrani,
Department of Medical
Physics, School of Medicine,
Isfahan University of Medical
Sciences, Isfahan, Iran.
E-mail: shokrani@med.mui.ac.ir

Access this article online

Website: www.jmssjournal.net

DOI: 10.4103/jmss.jmss_30_22

Quick Response Code:



conventional methods, patients should be followed for an extended time or alternative imaging techniques should be applied.^[1] Quantitative evaluation of functional and metabolic alterations in tumor can be obtained using advanced imaging techniques including perfusion-weighted imaging, proton magnetic resonance spectroscopy (1H-MRS), and positron emission tomography (PET).^[8] Using a biomarker, the effectiveness of a treatment protocol and its potential complications for each patient may be assessed. The purpose of this article is to overview the potential imaging markers for individual diagnosis, prognosis, and treatment response prediction in malignant glioma patients and correlation between imaging findings and biological and clinical information of glioma patients. The remainder of this article is organized as follows. After materials and methods section, imaging role in clinical management of glioma including diagnosis, prognosis, and treatment response prediction is given in sections “Diagnostic Imaging Techniques” and “Prognostic and Predictive information.” The advantages and disadvantages of the imaging modalities are summarized in Table 1. Next, in section “Correlation between Imaging Findings and Biological and Clinical Information of Glioma,” a brief overview of the correlation between imaging findings and biological and clinical information of glioma is presented.

Materials and Methods

The search strategy conducted in this study was to select relevant studies from scientific websites such as PubMed, Scopus, Google Scholar, and Web of Science published until 2022. It comprised a combination of main keywords

such as Biomarkers, Diagnosis, Prognosis, Imaging techniques, and malignant glioma which were selected according to Medical Subject Headings.

The inclusion and exclusion criteria in this study were as follows: studies including books, reviews, and original articles investigated the use of imaging markers for diagnosis, prognosis, and treatment response prediction in glioma, as well as studies examined the relationship between these markers and biological markers were included in the study. The use of articles in the languages other than English, abstracts presented in the conferences, articles before final publication, letters, reports, technical reports, and articles related to other brain cancers were considered as the exclusion criteria. Table 2 summarizes some studies about the application of different medical imaging modalities in diagnosis, prognosis, and treatment response prediction of glioma.

Results

Diagnostic imaging techniques

Computed tomography

Computed tomography (CT) scan has been the main method of imaging for treatment planning in radiation oncology. However, in brain tissue, where most solid tumors and adjacent organs at risk (OARs) have similar electron densities, insufficient contrast in CT images can confuse the determination of target and OARs.^[48] Therefore, it is necessary to use other imaging modalities and techniques as a complement to CT scan for its defects.^[49]

Table 1: Imaging modalities and techniques used in diagnosis, prognosis, and treatment response prediction associated with glioma with some of their advantages and disadvantages

Imaging modality	Diffusion MRI	Perfusion MRI			MRS	PET
		DSC	DCE	ASL		
Advantages	Widespread availability, fast acquisition time without specialized hardware, detection of some pathological changes in its early stages ^[9]	Short acquisition time, easy analysis, high temporal resolution ^[10,11]	Higher spatial resolution than DSC, absolute measurements of plasma volume and K^{trans} ^[11,12]	Noninvasive ^[13]	Noninvasive, 3D evaluation of tumor heterogeneity (research application) ^[14]	Reproducibility due to the low half-life of radiotracers, accurate quantitative measurements ^[12]
Disadvantages	Low image quality (low SNR, limited spatial resolution, distortion, artifacts), overlap between ADCs of grade II astrocytomas and glioblastomas ^[9,15]	Indirect detection of the injected contrast material, susceptibility artifacts ^[11,12]	Indirect detection of the injected contrast material, needing high temporal resolution, needing an appropriate analysis model, not suitable for glioma with BBB disruption or vessel leakage ^[16,17]	Poor labeling efficiency, low SNR, high sensitivity to patient movement, needing standardization methods ^[12,18]	Technical problems such as differences in: acquisition techniques, calculation of metabolites ratio, and in volume averaging, limited spatial resolution, low SNR ^[19,20]	High costs of imaging, impossibility of using PET imaging in clinical emergencies, lack of anatomic information ^[12,21]

DSC – Dynamic susceptibility contrast; DCE – Dynamic contrast-enhanced; ASL – Arterial spin labeling; MRI – Magnetic resonance imaging; MRS – Magnetic resonance spectroscopy, PET – Positron emission tomography; BBB – Blood–brain barrier; SNR – Signal-to-noise ratio; ADCs – Apparent diffusion coefficients; 3D – Three-dimensional

Table 2: Some imaging modalities and techniques and their assessed parameters used in diagnosis, prognosis, and treatment response prediction of glioma

Application	Modality	Imaging techniques	Assessed parameters	Reference
Diagnostic	Diffusion MRI	DWI	ADC	[22-24]
	Perfusion MRI	DCE	CBV, K^{trans} , v_e	[25-27]
	Perfusion MRI	ASL	CBF	[18,28]
	Perfusion MRI	DSC	CBV, rCBV	[10,18,26-28]
	MRS		Cho/NAA* and lactate/lipid levels	[19,29]
Prognostic and treatment response prediction	PET		Intratumoral uptake of ^{18}F -FET	[30,31]
	Diffusion MRI		RD, ADC value, and longitudinal DTI	[32-34]
	Perfusion MRI	DCE	K^{trans} , v_p , v_e , CBF_{T1}	[35-37]
	Perfusion MRI	DSC	rCBV, CBF, EF	[38,39]
	Perfusion MRI	ASL	TBF	[40]
	MRS		Cho/NAA, lactate/lipids, and MI/Cho ratios	[41,42]
	PET		Intratumoral uptake of ^{18}F -FET, reduced uptake of ^{11}C -MET, ^{18}F FET*, and ^{18}F -FLT	[43-47]

MRI – Magnetic resonance imaging; MRS – Magnetic resonance spectroscopy, PET – Positron emission tomography; DWI – Diffusion-weighted imaging; DCE – Dynamic contrast-enhanced; ASL – Arterial spin labeling; DSC – Dynamic susceptibility contrast; MI – Myo-inositol; TBF – Tumor blood flow; CBF – Cerebral blood flow; CBV – Cerebral blood volume; rCBV – Regional CBV; DTI – Diffusion tensor imaging; ADC – Apparent diffusion coefficient; ^{18}F -FET – ^{18}F -fluor-ethyl-tyrosine; ^{18}F -FLT – ^{18}F -fluorothymidine; ^{11}C -MET – L-[methyl- ^{11}C] methionine; NAA – N-acetylaspartate; Cho – Choline; RD – Radial diffusivity; EF – Extraction fraction

Magnetic resonance imaging

Standard sequences of magnetic resonance imaging

Magnetic resonance imaging (MRI) is used as the primary method of early diagnosis in glioma.^[4] MRI sequences which are essential for glioma tumor visualization and provide important information before and during the tumor resection are pre- and postcontrast T1-weighted and T2-weighted fluid-attenuated inversion recovery (T2-FLAIR) sequences.^[16,50] T1-postcontrast imaging is very useful in detecting HGG.^[16] T2-FLAIR is more suitable for visualizing LGG and areas of edema and tumor spread outside the contrast-enhancing areas on T1 sequences for HGG. Despite the advantage of using standard MRI sequences which has been supported by many studies,^[16,51-54] their use has some limitations in diagnosis of gliomas. For example, in some cases of GBM, T1-postcontrast images show the absence or lack of enhancement.^[16] In addition, T2 and FLAIR sequences are limited in distinguishing LGG from HGG.^[16] Therefore, for characterizing glioma tumor more completely, it is necessary to use other imaging sequences and modalities.

Diffusion magnetic resonance imaging

In diffusion-weighted imaging (DWI), the motion of water molecules and ultimately the magnetic resonance signal is affected by microstructural changes. Thus, using diffusion tensor imaging (DTI) to measure diffusion in several directions, the average molecular motion (ADC criterion) and information about the arrangement and integrity of cellular structures (fractional anisotropy [FA]) are also obtained.^[2] In terms of application to brain tumors, FA

shows the amount of anisotropy in each voxel (anisotropy is high in white matter and low in gray matter)^[55] which can be used as a measure for degradation of healthy white matter.^[2] Sugahara *et al.* evaluated the cellularity and grading of glioma using DW-MRI with echo-planar imaging technique and demonstrated that the minimum ADC of the tumor increases with increasing tumor grade and cellularity.^[56] In diffusion imaging, it is possible to differentiate between the edema and the infiltrative tumor cells, the neoplastic areas from the abscess, and primary central nervous system lymphoma from HGG.^[4,22] Furthermore, advanced sequences such as DTI can be utilized to exhibit the transposition of white matter tracts resulting from the existence of tumor.^[4] Diffusion kurtosis imaging is an emerging diffusion technique that provides more information about tissue microstructural changes with higher sensitivity and accuracy than DWI and DTI.^[57,58]

Perfusion magnetic resonance imaging

In perfusion techniques, blood is followed to the target tissue within the vascular system with or without an injected contrast agent.^[12] Then, physiologic and hemodynamic data are measured and their relationship with the tumor biology can be obtained.^[59,60] Perfusion imaging techniques that can be used for brain tumors include dynamic susceptibility contrast (DSC)-MRI, dynamic contrast-enhanced (DCE)-MRI, arterial spin labeling (ASL)-MRI, perfusion computed tomography, PET, and single-photon emission computed tomography.^[12,61,62] Some of the perfusion parameters include cerebral blood volume (CBV), regional CBV (rCBV), cerebral blood flow (CBF), permeability of blood vessels (K^{trans}), volume

fraction of extravascular extracellular space (v_e), and plasma volume per unit volume of tissue (v_p).^[24,60]

Magnetic resonance perfusion imaging techniques including DSC, DCE, and ASL can be used to distinguish between high and low grades of glioma.^[10,16,63] Studies have introduced CBV and rCBV as angiogenesis markers to distinguish HGG from LGG.^[64-66] In a meta-analysis study by examining the performance of DCE and DSC imaging techniques in the diagnosis of glioma grade, it was concluded that these two techniques and their parameters including K^{trans} , v_e , rCBV, and CBF are reliable in differentiation between high- and low-grade gliomas and rCBV is the best parameter for glioma characterization, preoperatively.^[24] K^{trans} is able to distinguish between Grade II, III, and IV gliomas.^[26] HGGs have higher K^{trans} than LGGs.^[67,68] In addition, CBF parameter obtained from ASL technique is able to distinguish between LGG and HGG, if standardization methods are used in postprocessing algorithms to make the data reliable.^[18]

There are more recent MRI techniques that are not widely used clinically and are able to distinguish LGG from HGG, e.g., intravoxel incoherent motion. In this technique, imaging is performed based on the diffusion and perfusion of tissue water molecules without the need to inject exogenous contrast.^[69-71]

Magnetic resonance spectroscopy

MRS offers information about biochemical changes in brain tissue by analyzing the concentration of metabolites. MRS can be used to distinguish normal brain tissue from tumor, glioma from noninfiltrative tumor such as metastases, and also to determine tumor grade.^[49,72,73]

With increasing glioma grade, the amount of Cho and lipid increases, and in cases of metastasis, the amount of lipid is higher than in HGG cases.^[29] MRS proton-detectable metabolites such as Cho and NAA are probable biomarkers for tumor activity. Cho represents the metabolism of cellular membrane turnover function. NAA, as a neuronal density marker, decreases in tumors owing to the lack of neurons. GBM illustrates a growth in the ratio of Cho/NAA.^[19,49,74,75] Furthermore, creatine (Cr) is a marker of normal cellular metabolism. Lactate, lipid, and myo-inositol (MI) reflect hypoxia, necrosis, and astrocyte integrity, respectively.^[19] It has shown a direct relationship between tumor grading and the ratios of Cho/NAA and Cho/Cr.^[76-79] Furthermore, an inverse relationship between the ratio of MI/Cr and tumor grading in cerebral astrocytoma patients has been concluded.^[80] Ratios such as Cho/NAA and lactate/lipid levels can be used to diagnose different intracranial tumor types and grades or distinct tumor recurrence from radiation necrosis.^[4]

Low signal to noise ratio in MRS causes the decrease in the spatial resolution. Therefore, the assessment of intratumoral heterogeneity is limited.^[20] Chemical exchange saturation

transfer is another MRI technique that detects metabolites with a higher spatial resolution than MRS and can be used to investigate intratumoral heterogeneity in glioma.^[81]

Positron emission tomography

PET is another imaging modality widely used for imaging of gliomas using their molecular and biochemical attributes such as glucose, nucleoside, or amino acid metabolism.^[8] The use of PET imaging for the first time in oncology dates back to the early 1980s, when 2-deoxy-2 [¹⁸F] fluoro-D-glucose (FDG), ¹¹C-labeled amino acids, and nitrosourea analogs were used for brain tumors.^[82-84] Since the late 1970s, the clinical use of alternatives to FDG-PET, like radiolabeled amino acids, has been propounded for cancer imaging.^[8] Tracers including ¹¹C-MET and ¹⁸F-FET are more useful than ¹⁸F-FDG and are most widely used.^[49] ¹¹C-MET and ¹⁸F-FET are preferable for diagnosis of glioma in areas of infiltrating tumor cells that are not visualized by MRI.^[4] It has been shown that using ¹⁸F-FET data for RT planning compared to conventional methods increases the treatment volumes.^[85,86] In clinical trials, nucleic acid tracers like ¹⁸F-FLT have been shown to be better than ¹⁸F-FDG in differentiation between LGG and HGG.^[4] The relation between nucleic acid tracers and histological proliferation markers has been well documented.^[87] The most common PET radiotracers for use in brain imaging are amino acid PET radiotracers including MET, FET, ¹⁸F-fluoro-l-dihydroxy-phenylalanine (FDOPA), and AMT.^[8]

Prognostic and predictive information

Magnetic resonance diffusion and perfusion imaging

Predicting the true progression of the tumor can be achieved using diffusion and perfusion parameters such as ADC and rCBV,^[88,89] k^{trans} and v_e values,^[90] extraction fraction (EF),^[39] and FA from longitudinal DTI.^[33] In diffusion imaging, longitudinal variations in water molecules' mobility as an early indicator of treatment response are also correlated with overall progression and survival time.^[4] The correlation between pretreatment DWI-MRI parameters, ADC and diffusion index (RD), of brain tumor patients and response to RT has been indicated.^[34] Minimum ADC value before surgery has a negative association with the Ki-67 labeling index and can be applied to predict progression in malignant astrocytic tumors, including GBM and anaplastic astrocytoma.^[91] Hamstra *et al.* showed that functional diffusion map data have potential to be used as an early predictor of treatment response and overall survival (OS) in HGG.^[92]

The most important prognostic molecular factors in gliomas are isocitrate dehydrogenase (IDH) mutations, which can be detected using DSC-CBV and DSC-CBF parameters. DCE permeability parameters, including K^{trans} , v_p , and v_e , have also shown a decrease in the case of IDH mutant gliomas compared to IDH-wild-type.^[35,36,38] On

the other hand, the results of studies on the usefulness of ASL-CBF in distinguishing these two types of gliomas are not consistent.^[38,93,94] The study by Yamashita *et al.* demonstrated that combination of tumor blood flow obtained from ASL and measurement of necrotic area from routine MRI is a surrogate marker for predicting the IDH1 status in GBM patients.^[40] In addition, Nguyen *et al.* showed that DCE modeling can be used to predict OS in patients with glioma.^[95] In a study carried out by Larsson *et al.*, the prognostic value of DCE parameters including K^{trans} and CBF_{T1} in early prediction of OS was more promising than DSC parameters.^[96]

Magnetic resonance spectroscopy

Kumon *et al.* concluded a direct relationship between the ratio of MI/Cho and better prognosis of IDH-wild-type (IDH-wild-type) GBM patients in preoperative MRS analysis.^[42] In another study, after investigation of the recurrence free survival (RFS) and MRS parameters including NAA/Cr, Cho/Cr, Cho/NAA, and MI/Cr ratios in HGG patients, the authors concluded that the Cho/ Cr ratio has a significant correlation with RFS.^[97]

Positron emission tomography

Valuable prognostic and predictive information is obtained using some PET tracers. For example, ^{18}F -FLT was introduced as a predictor of response to bevacizumab treatment in glioblastoma patients, which performed better than MRI in predicting early and late response to treatment and OS.^[46] MET-PET has also been proposed as a predictor of treatment response in malignant glioma.^[45,98] In a prospective phase II study, after using postoperative ^{18}F FET-PET for definition of CTV in treatment planning, Piroth *et al.* concluded that postoperative tumor volume in ^{18}F FET-PET has a significant relationship with progression-free survival and OS in GBM patients.^[99] It is also possible to monitor tumor oxygen deficiency, which is a substantial characteristic of HGGs, using PET imaging.^[4]

All imaging modalities and techniques have certain advantages and disadvantages which some of them are given in Table 1. The physicians can choose the best option based on the available facilities and the patient's condition.

Correlation between Imaging Findings and Biological and Clinical Information of Glioma

Vascular permeability, the presence of vascular endothelial growth factor (VEGF)/VPF, and angiogenesis are important mediators of tumor growth that can be obtained by perfusion and permeability imaging.^[100,101] The amount of vascular proliferation is an important criterion in the histopathological description of tumor biology and prognosis.^[60] CBV measurements have a strong and direct relationship with histopathological grade of cerebral gliomas and may be employed to assess the effect of

treatment or to distinguish between tumor recurrence and the posttreatment radiation effect.^[60,102-104] Mathematical modeling by DCE imaging has shown that K^{trans} is associated with tumor aggressiveness.^[95] CBV and K^{trans} have a direct relationship with molecular markers such as VEGF.^[60,105]

There are also imaging markers related to the O6-methylguanine-DNA methyltransferase (MGMT) status; for example, preoperative minimum ADC value of GBM tumors is associated with MGMT promoter methylation status.^[106] Furthermore, K^{trans} has potential to be used as an imaging marker because of its significantly higher value in the MGMT-methylated group of GBM patients.^[107] Another study has suggested the use of radiomic features extracted from pretreatment ^{18}F FDOPA-PET images to predict the MGMT status in glioblastoma patients.^[108]

MRS can noninvasively detect IDH mutations using the levels of the metabolite 2-hydroxyglutarate (2HG), so that in IDH-mutant tumors, the amount of 2HG metabolite increases, and in the IDH-wild-type, its amount is normal.^[7] 2HG is an oncometabolite that affects the hypoxia-inducible factor-1 α , which is a tumor progression factor in GBM.^[109] It should be noted that accurate diagnosis using MRS has many advantages over biopsy, including low risk, reproducibility, and the possibility of noninvasive examination of different parts of the tumor, but under the appropriate acquisition and quantification techniques to prevent false results.^[109] Using dynamic ^{18}F -FDOPA PET uptake parameters, the presence of IDH mutation in newly diagnosed gliomas can be predicted.^[110] Furthermore, via radiomic analysis of ^{18}F -FDG PET images, the IDH genotype status was effectively and noninvasively predicted in glioma patients.^[111]

Conclusion

Along with challenges involved in development of an effective treatment and early treatment evaluation of glioma, the identification of specific and noninvasive biomarkers will be useful. Prognostic information and predicting individual patient's response to the treatment can be obtained using specific biomarkers. Substantial data on cell proliferation, angiogenesis, hypoxia, and metabolic activity using advanced imaging techniques are provided for better management of glioma. For example, in diffusion imaging, it is possible to distinguish the edema from the infiltrative tumor cells and the neoplastic areas from the abscess. ADC and RD can be related to treatment response in pretreatment DW images of tumor. Tumor physiological parameters obtained in perfusion MRI techniques such as CBV, rCBV, CBF, K^{trans} , and v_p can be correlated with tumor biology. Using appropriate acquisition and quantification techniques to prevent false results, MRS can discriminate between normal tissue and tumor, identify types and grade of tumor, predict survival, or differentiate between tumor recurrence and radiation necrosis. Ratios of

Cho/NAA, Cho/Cr, and MI/Cr have diagnostic information, and Cho/Cr ratio has a significant correlation with RFS. The use of PET as a complementary modality to MRI in the clinical management of brain tumors, including glioma, is increasing because of its accuracy in quantitative measurements. The most common amino acid PET tracers for use in brain cancer including glioma are ^{11}C -MET, ^{18}F FET, FDOPA, and AMT.

Vascular proliferation is an important factor in describing tumor biology and prognosis. For this reason, rCBV is related to tumor grade and histopathology results. K^{trans} is also related to tumor aggressiveness. Moreover, both K^{trans} and CBV have a direct relationship with the molecular markers such as VEGF. Minimum ADC values of GBM tumors are related to MGMT status. IDH mutations can be detected using 2HG MRS metabolite and dynamic ^{18}F -FDOPA PET uptake parameters.

Financial support and sponsorship

None.

Conflicts of interest

There are no conflicts of interest.

References

- Hygino da Cruz LC Jr., Rodriguez I, Domingues RC, Gasparetto EL, Sorensen AG. Pseudoprogression and pseudoresponse: Imaging challenges in the assessment of posttreatment glioma. *AJNR Am J Neuroradiol* 2011;32:1978-85.
- Nelson SJ. Assessment of therapeutic response and treatment planning for brain tumors using metabolic and physiological MRI. *NMR Biomed* 2011;24:734-49.
- Stupp R, Mason WP, van den Bent MJ, Weller M, Fisher B, Taphoorn MJ, *et al.* Radiotherapy plus concomitant and adjuvant temozolomide for glioblastoma. *N Engl J Med* 2005;352:987-96.
- Keunen O, Taxt T, Grüner R, Lund-Johansen M, Tonn JC, Pavlin T, *et al.* Multimodal imaging of gliomas in the context of evolving cellular and molecular therapies. *Adv Drug Deliv Rev* 2014;76:98-115.
- Han X, Xue X, Zhou H, Zhang G. A molecular view of the radioresistance of gliomas. *Oncotarget* 2017;8:100931-41.
- Noch EK, Ramakrishna R, Magge R. Challenges in the treatment of glioblastoma: Multisystem mechanisms of therapeutic resistance. *World Neurosurg* 2018;116:505-17.
- Parvez K, Parvez A, Zadeh G. The diagnosis and treatment of pseudoprogression, radiation necrosis and brain tumor recurrence. *Int J Mol Sci* 2014;15:11832-46.
- Juhász C, Dwivedi S, Kamson DO, Michelhaugh SK, Mittal S. Comparison of amino acid positron emission tomographic radiotracers for molecular imaging of primary and metastatic brain tumors. *Mol Imaging*. 2014;13:10.2310/7290.2014.00015.
- Chilla GS, Tan CH, Xu C, Poh CL. Diffusion weighted magnetic resonance imaging and its recent trend-a survey. *Quant Imaging Med Surg* 2015;5:407-22.
- Barajas RF Jr., Cha S. Benefits of dynamic susceptibility-weighted contrast-enhanced perfusion MRI for glioma diagnosis and therapy. *CNS Oncol* 2014;3:407-19.
- Nguyen TB, Cron GO, Perdrizet K, Bezzina K, Torres CH, Chakraborty S, *et al.* Comparison of the diagnostic accuracy of DSC- and dynamic contrast-enhanced MRI in the preoperative grading of astrocytomas. *AJNR Am J Neuroradiol* 2015;36:2017-22.
- Guida L, Stumpo V, Bellomo J, van Niftrik CH, Sebök M, Berhouma M, *et al.* Hemodynamic imaging in cerebral diffuse glioma-part A: Concept, differential diagnosis and tumor grading. *Cancers (Basel)* 2022;14:1432.
- ElBeheiry AA, Emara DM, Abdel-Latif AA, Abbas M, Ismail AS. Arterial spin labeling in the grading of brain gliomas: Could it help? *Egypt J Radiol Nucl Med* 2020;51:235.
- Weinberg BD, Kuruva M, Shim H, Mullins ME. Clinical applications of magnetic resonance spectroscopy in brain tumors: From diagnosis to treatment. *Radiol Clin North Am* 2021;59:349-62.
- Drake-Pérez M, Delattre BM, Boto J, Fitsiori A, Lovblad KO, Boudabbous S, *et al.* Normal values of magnetic relaxation parameters of spine components with the synthetic MRI sequence. *AJNR Am J Neuroradiol* 2018;39:788-95.
- Carrete LR, Young JS, Cha S. Advanced imaging techniques for newly diagnosed and recurrent gliomas. *Front Neurosci* 2022;16:787755.
- Sourbron SP, Buckley DL. Classic models for dynamic contrast-enhanced MRI. *NMR Biomed* 2013;26:1004-27.
- Delgado AF, De Luca F, Hanagandi P, van Westen D, Delgado AF. Arterial spin-labeling in children with brain tumor: A meta-analysis. *AJNR Am J Neuroradiol* 2018;39:1536-42.
- Villanueva-Meyer JE, Mabray MC, Cha S. Current clinical brain tumor imaging. *Neurosurgery* 2017;81:397-415.
- Hu LS, Hawkins-Daarud A, Wang L, Li J, Swanson KR. Imaging of intratumoral heterogeneity in high-grade glioma. *Cancer Lett* 2020;477:97-106.
- Zhang J, Traylor KS, Mountz JM. PET and SPECT imaging of brain tumors. *Semin Ultrasound CT MR* 2020;41:530-40.
- Du X, He Y, Lin W. Diagnostic accuracy of the diffusion-weighted imaging method used in association with the apparent diffusion coefficient for differentiating between primary central nervous system lymphoma and high-grade glioma: Systematic review and meta-analysis. *Front Neurol* 2022;13:882334.
- Wang QP, Lei DQ, Yuan Y, Xiong NX. Accuracy of ADC derived from DWI for differentiating high-grade from low-grade gliomas: Systematic review and meta-analysis. *Medicine (Baltimore)* 2020;99:e19254.
- Momeni F, Abedi-Firouzjah R, Farshidfar Z, Taleinezhad N, Ansari L, Razmkon A, *et al.* Differentiating between low- and high-grade glioma tumors measuring apparent diffusion coefficient values in various regions of the brain. *Oman Med J* 2021;36:e251.
- Liang J, Liu D, Gao P, Zhang D, Chen H, Shi C, *et al.* Diagnostic values of DCE-MRI and DSC-MRI for differentiation between high-grade and low-grade gliomas: A comprehensive meta-analysis. *Acad Radiol* 2018;25:338-48.
- Santarosa C, Castellano A, Conte GM, Cadioli M, Iadanza A, Terreni MR, *et al.* Dynamic contrast-enhanced and dynamic susceptibility contrast perfusion MR imaging for glioma grading: Preliminary comparison of vessel compartment and permeability parameters using hotspot and histogram analysis. *Eur J Radiol* 2016;85:1147-56.
- Li X, Zhu Y, Kang H, Zhang Y, Liang H, Wang S, *et al.* Glioma grading by microvascular permeability parameters derived from dynamic contrast-enhanced MRI and intratumoral susceptibility signal on susceptibility weighted imaging. *Cancer Imaging* 2015;15:4.
- Falk Delgado A, De Luca F, van Westen D, Falk Delgado A.

- Arterial spin labeling MR imaging for differentiation between high- and low-grade glioma—a meta-analysis. *Neuro Oncol* 2018;20:1450-61.
29. Möller-Hartmann W, Herminghaus S, Krings T, Marquardt G, Lanfermann H, Pilatus U, *et al.* Clinical application of proton magnetic resonance spectroscopy in the diagnosis of intracranial mass lesions. *Neuroradiology* 2002;44:371-81.
 30. Puranik AD, Boon M, Purandare N, Rangarajan V, Gupta T, Moiyadi A, *et al.* Utility of FET-PET in detecting high-grade gliomas presenting with equivocal MR imaging features. *World J Nucl Med* 2019;18:266-72.
 31. Rapp M, Heinzel A, Galldiks N, Stoffels G, Felsberg J, Ewelt C, *et al.* Diagnostic performance of 18F-FET PET in newly diagnosed cerebral lesions suggestive of glioma. *J Nucl Med* 2013;54:229-35.
 32. Elson A, Bovi J, Siker M, Schultz C, Paulson E. Evaluation of absolute and normalized apparent diffusion coefficient (ADC) values within the post-operative T2/FLAIR volume as adverse prognostic indicators in glioblastoma. *J Neurooncol* 2015;122:549-58.
 33. Qian X, Tan H, Zhang J, Zhao W, Chan MD, Zhou X. Stratification of pseudoprogression and true progression of glioblastoma multiforme based on longitudinal diffusion tensor imaging without segmentation. *Med Phys* 2016;43:5889.
 34. Mardor Y, Roth Y, Ochershvilli A, Spiegelmann R, Tichler T, Daniels D, *et al.* Pretreatment prediction of brain tumor's response to radiation therapy using high b-value diffusion-weighted MRI. *Neoplasia* 2004;6:136-42.
 35. Zhang HW, Lyu GW, He WJ, Lei Y, Lin F, Wang MZ, *et al.* DSC and DCE histogram analyses of glioma biomarkers, including IDH, MGMT, and TERT, on differentiation and survival. *Acad Radiol* 2020;27:e263-71.
 36. Li Z, Zhao W, He B, Koh TS, Li Y, Zeng Y, *et al.* Application of distributed parameter model to assessment of glioma IDH mutation status by dynamic contrast-enhanced magnetic resonance imaging. *Contrast Media Mol Imaging* 2020;2020:8843084.
 37. Mills SJ, Patankar TA, Haroon HA, Balériaux D, Swindell R, Jackson A. Do cerebral blood volume and contrast transfer coefficient predict prognosis in human glioma? *AJNR Am J Neuroradiol* 2006;27:853-8.
 38. Stumpo V, Guida L, Bellomo J, Van Niftrik CH, Sebök M, Berhouma M, *et al.* Hemodynamic imaging in cerebral diffuse glioma—part B: Molecular correlates, treatment effect monitoring, prognosis, and future directions. *Cancers (Basel)* 2022;14:1342.
 39. Kim SH, Cho KH, Choi SH, Kim TM, Park CK, Park SH, *et al.* Prognostic predictions for patients with glioblastoma after standard treatment: Application of contrast leakage information from DSC-MRI within nonenhancing FLAIR high-signal-intensity lesions. *AJNR Am J Neuroradiol* 2019;40:2052-8.
 40. Yamashita K, Hiwatashi A, Togao O, Kikuchi K, Hatae R, Yoshimoto K, *et al.* MR imaging-based analysis of glioblastoma multiforme: Estimation of IDH1 mutation status. *AJNR Am J Neuroradiol* 2016;37:58-65.
 41. Li Y, Lupo JM, Parvataneni R, Lamborn KR, Cha S, Chang SM, *et al.* Survival analysis in patients with newly diagnosed glioblastoma using pre- and postradiotherapy MR spectroscopic imaging. *Neuro Oncol* 2013;15:607-17.
 42. Kumon M, Nakae S, Murayama K, Kato T, Ohba S, Inamasu J, *et al.* Myoinositol to total choline ratio in glioblastomas as a potential prognostic factor in preoperative magnetic resonance spectroscopy. *Neurol Med Chir (Tokyo)* 2021;61:453-60.
 43. Jansen NL, Suchorska B, Wenter V, Eigenbrod S, Schmid-Tannwald C, Zwergal A, *et al.* Dynamic 18F-FET PET in newly diagnosed astrocytic low-grade glioma identifies high-risk patients. *J Nucl Med* 2014;55:198-203.
 44. Schwarzenberg J, Czernin J, Cloughesy TF, Ellingson BM, Pope WB, Geist C, *et al.* 3'-deoxy-3'-18F-fluorothymidine PET and MRI for early survival predictions in patients with recurrent malignant glioma treated with bevacizumab. *J Nucl Med* 2012;53:29-36.
 45. Galldiks N, Kracht LW, Burghaus L, Thomas A, Jacobs AH, Heiss WD, *et al.* Use of 11C-methionine PET to monitor the effects of temozolomide chemotherapy in malignant gliomas. *Eur J Nucl Med Mol Imaging* 2006;33:516-24.
 46. Chen W, Delaloye S, Silverman DH, Geist C, Czernin J, Sayre J, *et al.* Predicting treatment response of malignant gliomas to bevacizumab and irinotecan by imaging proliferation with [18F] fluorothymidine positron emission tomography: A pilot study. *J Clin Oncol* 2007;25:4714-21.
 47. Galldiks N, Langen KJ, Holy R, Pinkawa M, Stoffels G, Nolte KW, *et al.* Assessment of treatment response in patients with glioblastoma using O-(2-18F-fluoroethyl)-L-tyrosine PET in comparison to MRI. *J Nucl Med* 2012;53:1048-57.
 48. Paulson ES, Erickson B, Schultz C, Allen Li X. Comprehensive MRI simulation methodology using a dedicated MRI scanner in radiation oncology for external beam radiation treatment planning. *Med Phys* 2015;42:28-39.
 49. Pirtoli L, Gravina GL, Giordano A, editors. *Radiobiology of Glioblastoma: Recent Advances and Related Pathobiology*. 2016.
 50. Verburg N, de Witt Hamer PC. State-of-the-art imaging for glioma surgery. *Neurosurg Rev* 2021;44:1331-43.
 51. Stummer W, Pichlmeier U, Meinel T, Wiestler OD, Zanella F, Reulen HJ, *et al.* Fluorescence-guided surgery with 5-aminolevulinic acid for resection of malignant glioma: A randomised controlled multicentre phase III trial. *Lancet Oncol* 2006;7:392-401.
 52. Pichlmeier U, Bink A, Schackert G, Stummer W, ALA Glioma Study Group. Resection and survival in glioblastoma multiforme: An RTOG recursive partitioning analysis of ALA study patients. *Neuro Oncol* 2008;10:1025-34.
 53. Smith JS, Chang EF, Lamborn KR, Chang SM, Prados MD, Cha S, *et al.* Role of extent of resection in the long-term outcome of low-grade hemispheric gliomas. *J Clin Oncol* 2008;26:1338-45.
 54. Ius T, Isola M, Budai R, Pauletto G, Tomasino B, Fadiga L, *et al.* Low-grade glioma surgery in eloquent areas: Volumetric analysis of extent of resection and its impact on overall survival. A single-institution experience in 190 patients: Clinical article. *J Neurosurg* 2012;117:1039-52.
 55. Melhem ER, Mori S, Mukundan G, Kraut MA, Pomper MG, van Zijl PC. Diffusion tensor MR imaging of the brain and white matter tractography. *AJR Am J Roentgenol* 2002;178:3-16.
 56. Sugahara T, Korogi Y, Kochi M, Ikushima I, Shigematu Y, Hirai T, *et al.* Usefulness of diffusion-weighted MRI with echo-planar technique in the evaluation of cellularity in gliomas. *J Magn Reson Imaging* 1999;9:53-60.
 57. Xu C, Li C, Xing C, Li J, Jiang X. Efficacy of MR diffusion kurtosis imaging for differentiating low-grade from high-grade glioma before surgery: A systematic review and meta-analysis. *Clin Neurol Neurosurg* 2022;220:107373.
 58. Raja R, Sinha N, Saini J, Mahadevan A, Rao KN, Swaminathan A. Assessment of tissue heterogeneity using diffusion tensor and diffusion kurtosis imaging for grading gliomas. *Neuroradiology* 2016;58:1217-31.

59. Jain R. Perfusion CT imaging of brain tumors: An overview. *AJNR Am J Neuroradiol* 2011;32:1570-7.
60. Lacerda S, Law M. Magnetic resonance perfusion and permeability imaging in brain tumors. *Neuroimaging Clin N Am* 2009;19:527-57.
61. Wintermark M, Sesay M, Barbier E, Borbély K, Dillon WP, Eastwood JD, *et al.* Comparative overview of brain perfusion imaging techniques. *Stroke* 2005;36:e83-99.
62. Hoeffner EG. Cerebral perfusion imaging. *J Neuroophthalmol* 2005;25:313-20.
63. Aydin S, Fathioglu E, Koşar PN, Ergün E. Perfusion and permeability MRI in glioma grading. *Egypt J Radiol Nucl Med* 2020;51:2.
64. Danchaivijitr N, Waldman AD, Tozer DJ, Benton CE, Brasil Caseiras G, Tofts PS, *et al.* Low-grade gliomas: Do changes in rCBV measurements at longitudinal perfusion-weighted MR imaging predict malignant transformation? *Radiology* 2008;247:170-8.
65. Xiao HF, Chen ZY, Lou X, Wang YL, Gui QP, Wang Y, *et al.* Astrocytic tumour grading: A comparative study of three-dimensional pseudocontinuous arterial spin labelling, dynamic susceptibility contrast-enhanced perfusion-weighted imaging, and diffusion-weighted imaging. *Eur Radiol* 2015;25:3423-30.
66. Aronen HJ, Gazit IE, Louis DN, Buchbinder BR, Pardo FS, Weisskoff RM, *et al.* Cerebral blood volume maps of gliomas: Comparison with tumor grade and histologic findings. *Radiology* 1994;191:41-51.
67. Patankar TF, Haroon HA, Mills SJ, Balériaux D, Buckley DL, Parker GJ, *et al.* Is volume transfer coefficient (K (trans)) related to histologic grade in human gliomas? *AJNR Am J Neuroradiol* 2005;26:2455-65.
68. Roberts HC, Roberts TP, Brasch RC, Dillon WP. Quantitative measurement of microvascular permeability in human brain tumors achieved using dynamic contrast-enhanced MR imaging: Correlation with histologic grade. *AJNR Am J Neuroradiol* 2000;21:891-9.
69. Zou T, Yu H, Jiang C, Wang X, Jiang S, Rui Q, *et al.* Differentiating the histologic grades of gliomas preoperatively using amide proton transfer-weighted (APTW) and intravoxel incoherent motion MRI. *NMR Biomed* 2018;31:10.1002/nbm. 3850.
70. Togao O, Hiwatashi A, Yamashita K, Kikuchi K, Mizoguchi M, Yoshimoto K, *et al.* Differentiation of high-grade and low-grade diffuse gliomas by intravoxel incoherent motion MR imaging. *Neuro Oncol* 2016;18:132-41.
71. Shen N, Zhao L, Jiang J, Jiang R, Su C, Zhang S, *et al.* Intravoxel incoherent motion diffusion-weighted imaging analysis of diffusion and microperfusion in grading gliomas and comparison with arterial spin labeling for evaluation of tumor perfusion. *J Magn Reson Imaging* 2016;44:620-32.
72. Law M, Cha S, Knopp EA, Johnson G, Arnett J, Litt AW. High-grade gliomas and solitary metastases: Differentiation by using perfusion and proton spectroscopic MR imaging. *Radiology* 2002;222:715-21.
73. Server A, Josefsen R, Kulle B, Maehlen J, Schellhorn T, Gadmar Ø, *et al.* Proton magnetic resonance spectroscopy in the distinction of high-grade cerebral gliomas from single metastatic brain tumors. *Acta Radiol* 2010;51:316-25.
74. Soares DP, Law M. Magnetic resonance spectroscopy of the brain: Review of metabolites and clinical applications. *Clin Radiol* 2009;64:12-21.
75. Horská A, Barker PB. Imaging of brain tumors: MR spectroscopy and metabolic imaging. *Neuroimaging Clin N Am* 2010;20:293-310.
76. McKnight TR, von dem Bussche MH, Vigneron DB, Lu Y, Berger MS, McDermott MW, *et al.* Histopathological validation of a three-dimensional magnetic resonance spectroscopy index as a predictor of tumor presence. *J Neurosurg* 2002;97:794-802.
77. Fountas KN, Kapsalaki EZ, Vogel RL, Fezoulidis I, Robinson JS, Gotsis ED. Noninvasive histologic grading of solid astrocytomas using proton magnetic resonance spectroscopy. *Stereotact Funct Neurosurg* 2004;82:90-7.
78. Huang Y, Lisboa PJ, El-Deredey W. Tumour grading from magnetic resonance spectroscopy: A comparison of feature extraction with variable selection. *Stat Med* 2003;22:147-64.
79. Hourani R, Brant LJ, Rizk T, Weingart JD, Barker PB, Horská A. Can proton MR spectroscopic and perfusion imaging differentiate between neoplastic and nonneoplastic brain lesions in adults? *AJNR Am J Neuroradiol* 2008;29:366-72.
80. Castillo M, Smith JK, Kwock L. Correlation of myo-inositol levels and grading of cerebral astrocytomas. *AJNR Am J Neuroradiol* 2000;21:1645-9.
81. Warnert EA, Wood TC, Incekara F, Barker GJ, Vincent AJ, Schouten J, *et al.* Mapping tumour heterogeneity with pulsed 3D CEST MRI in non-enhancing glioma at 3 T. *MAGMA* 2022;35:53-62.
82. Di Chiro G, DeLaPaz RL, Brooks RA, Sokoloff L, Kornblith PL, Smith BH, *et al.* Glucose utilization of cerebral gliomas measured by [18F] fluorodeoxyglucose and positron emission tomography. *Neurology* 1982;32:1323-9.
83. Hübner KF, Purvis JT, Mahaley SM Jr., Robertson JT, Rogers S, Gibbs WD, *et al.* Brain tumor imaging by positron emission computed tomography using 11C-labeled amino acids. *J Comput Assist Tomogr* 1982;6:544-50.
84. Diksic M, Sako K, Feindel W, Kato A, Yamamoto YL, Farrokhzad S, *et al.* Pharmacokinetics of positron-labeled 1,3-bis(2-chloroethyl) nitrosourea in human brain tumors using positron emission tomography. *Cancer Res* 1984;44:3120-4.
85. Niyazi M, Geisler J, Siefert A, Schwarz SB, Ganswindt U, Garry S, *et al.* FET-PET for malignant glioma treatment planning. *Radiother Oncol* 2011;99:44-8.
86. Niyazi M, Schnell O, Suchorska B, Schwarz SB, Ganswindt U, Geisler J, *et al.* FET-PET assessed recurrence pattern after radio-chemotherapy in newly diagnosed patients with glioblastoma is influenced by MGMT methylation status. *Radiother Oncol* 2012;104:78-82.
87. Miyake K, Shinomiya A, Okada M, Hatakeyama T, Kawai N, Tamiya T. Usefulness of FDG, MET and FLT-PET studies for the management of human gliomas. *J Biomed Biotechnol* 2012;2012:205818.
88. Hirai T, Murakami R, Nakamura H, Kitajima M, Fukuoka H, Sasao A, *et al.* Prognostic value of perfusion MR imaging of high-grade astrocytomas: Long-term follow-up study. *AJNR Am J Neuroradiol* 2008;29:1505-10.
89. Cha J, Kim ST, Kim HJ, Kim BJ, Kim YK, Lee JY, *et al.* Differentiation of tumor progression from pseudoprogression in patients with posttreatment glioblastoma using multiparametric histogram analysis. *AJNR Am J Neuroradiol* 2014;35:1309-17.
90. Yun TJ, Park CK, Kim TM, Lee SH, Kim JH, Sohn CH, *et al.* Glioblastoma treated with concurrent radiation therapy and temozolomide chemotherapy: Differentiation of true progression from pseudoprogression with quantitative dynamic contrast-enhanced MR imaging. *Radiology* 2015;274:830-40.
91. Higano S, Yun X, Kumabe T, Watanabe M, Mugikura S, Umetsu A, *et al.* Malignant astrocytic tumors: Clinical importance of apparent diffusion coefficient in prediction of grade and prognosis. *Radiology* 2006;241:839-46.
92. Hamstra DA, Chenevert TL, Moffat BA, Johnson TD,

- Meyer CR, Mukherji SK, *et al.* Evaluation of the functional diffusion map as an early biomarker of time-to-progression and overall survival in high-grade glioma. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A* 2005;102:16759-64.
93. Wang N, Xie SY, Liu HM, Chen GQ, Zhang WD. Arterial spin labeling for glioma grade discrimination: Correlations with IDH1 genotype and 1p/19q status. *Transl Oncol* 2019;12:749-56.
 94. Yoo RE, Yun TJ, Hwang I, Hong EK, Kang KM, Choi SH, *et al.* Arterial spin labeling perfusion-weighted imaging aids in prediction of molecular biomarkers and survival in glioblastomas. *Eur Radiol* 2020;30:1202-11.
 95. Nguyen TB, Cron GO, Mercier JF, Footitt C, Torres CH, Chakraborty S, *et al.* Preoperative prognostic value of dynamic contrast-enhanced MRI-derived contrast transfer coefficient and plasma volume in patients with cerebral gliomas. *AJNR Am J Neuroradiol* 2015;36:63-9.
 96. Larsson C, Groote I, Vardal J, Kleppestø M, Odland A, Brandal P, *et al.* Prediction of survival and progression in glioblastoma patients using temporal perfusion changes during radiochemotherapy. *Magn Reson Imaging* 2020;68:106-12.
 97. Tolia M, Verganelakis D, Tsoukalas N, Kyrgias G, Papanthasiou M, Mosa E, *et al.* Prognostic value of MRS metabolites in postoperative irradiated high grade gliomas. *Biomed Res Int* 2015;2015:341042.
 98. Kong DS, Song SY, Kim DH, Joo KM, Yoo JS, Koh JS, *et al.* Prognostic significance of c-Met expression in glioblastomas. *Cancer* 2009;115:140-8.
 99. Piroth MD, Pinkawa M, Holy R, Klotz J, Schaar S, Stoffels G, *et al.* Integrated boost IMRT with FET-PET-adapted local dose escalation in glioblastomas. Results of a prospective phase II study. *Strahlenther Onkol* 2012;188:334-9.
 100. Dvorak HF, Nagy JA, Feng D, Brown LF, Dvorak AM. Vascular permeability factor/vascular endothelial growth factor and the significance of microvascular hyperpermeability in angiogenesis. *Curr Top Microbiol Immunol* 1999;237:97-132.
 101. Vajkoczy P, Menger MD. Vascular microenvironment in gliomas. *J Neurooncol* 2000;50:99-108.
 102. Shin JH, Lee HK, Kwun BD, Kim JS, Kang W, Choi CG, *et al.* Using relative cerebral blood flow and volume to evaluate the histopathologic grade of cerebral gliomas: Preliminary results. *AJR Am J Roentgenol* 2002;179:783-9.
 103. Sugahara T, Korogi Y, Kochi M, Ikushima I, Hirai T, Okuda T, *et al.* Correlation of MR imaging-determined cerebral blood volume maps with histologic and angiographic determination of vascularity of gliomas. *AJR Am J Roentgenol* 1998;171:1479-86.
 104. Hu LS, Baxter LC, Smith KA, Feuerstein BG, Karis JP, Eschbacher JM, *et al.* Relative cerebral blood volume values to differentiate high-grade glioma recurrence from posttreatment radiation effect: Direct correlation between image-guided tissue histopathology and localized dynamic susceptibility-weighted contrast-enhanced perfusion MR imaging measurements. *AJNR Am J Neuroradiol* 2009;30:552-8.
 105. Maia AC Jr., Malheiros SM, da Rocha AJ, da Silva CJ, Gabbai AA, Ferraz FA, *et al.* MR cerebral blood volume maps correlated with vascular endothelial growth factor expression and tumor grade in nonenhancing gliomas. *AJNR Am J Neuroradiol* 2005;26:777-83.
 106. Rundle-Thiele D, Day B, Stringer B, Fay M, Martin J, Jeffree RL, *et al.* Using the apparent diffusion coefficient to identifying MGMT promoter methylation status early in glioblastoma: Importance of analytical method. *J Med Radiat Sci* 2015;62:92-8.
 107. Ahn SS, Shin NY, Chang JH, Kim SH, Kim EH, Kim DW, *et al.* Prediction of methylguanine methyltransferase promoter methylation in glioblastoma using dynamic contrast-enhanced magnetic resonance and diffusion tensor imaging. *J Neurosurg* 2014;121:367-73.
 108. Qian J, Herman MG, Brinkmann DH, Laack NN, Kemp BJ, Hunt CH, *et al.* Prediction of MGMT Status for Glioblastoma Patients Using Radiomics Feature Extraction From (18)F-DOPA-PET Imaging. *Int J Radiat Oncol Biol Phys* 2020;108:1339-46.
 109. Fathi Kazerooni A, Bakas S, Saligheh Rad H, Davatzikos C. Imaging signatures of glioblastoma molecular characteristics: A radiogenomics review. *J Magn Reson Imaging* 2020;52:54-69.
 110. Ginet M, Zaragori T, Marie PY, Roch V, Gauchotte G, Rech F, *et al.* Integration of dynamic parameters in the analysis of (18)F-FDopa PET imaging improves the prediction of molecular features of gliomas. *Eur J Nucl Med Mol Imaging* 2020;47:1381-90.
 111. Li L, Mu W, Wang Y, Liu Z, Liu Z, Wang Y, *et al.* A non-invasive radiomic method using (18)F-FDG PET predicts isocitrate dehydrogenase genotype and prognosis in patients with glioma. *Front Oncol* 2019;9:1183.