ORIGINAL ARTICLE

Anatomical variation in the form of inter‑ and intra‑individual laterality of the calcaneofbular ligament

Hisayoshi Yoshizuka1,[2](http://orcid.org/0000-0002-4979-7327) · Kentaro Shibata1 · Toyoko Asami² · Akio Kuraoka1

Received: 8 December 2017 / Accepted: 10 April 2018 / Published online: 20 April 2018 © The Author(s) 2018, corrected publication 2021

Abstract

The lateral ligament complex of the ankle is involved in a large proportion of ankle sprains. The calcaneofbular ligament (CFL) is often involved in severe injuries. The purpose of this study was to evaluate the anatomical variation and laterality of the CFL to improve our understanding of the mechanisms of CFL-related injuries. This study utilized 110 paired ankles from 55 formalin-fxed Japanese cadavers (33 male and 22 female). The length and width of the CFL and the angle created by the CFL and long axis of the fbula (CF angle) were measured after exposing the CFL by careful dissection from the surrounding tissues. The results revealed that each parameter exhibited a wide range of values and showed unique patterns of frequency distribution, among which only the length was normally distributed. Among the parameters, only the CF angle showed no signifcant correlation with the other parameters. Analysis of laterality revealed that the mean left CF angle was significantly greater than the value on the opposite side $(p < 0.05)$ and that the values of the bilateral CF angle showed no signifcant correlation at the individual level. The present results revealed not only detailed information regarding the CFL morphology, but also inter- and intra-individual laterality regarding the CFL traveling angle. It is likely that the diferences in the quality and quantity of mechanical stress against each leg may have caused this morphologic laterality of the CFL.

Keywords Anatomy · Ankle joint · Calcaneofbular ligament · Laterality · Variation

Introduction

The lateral ligament complex of the ankle is composed of the anterior talofbular ligament (ATFL), posterior talofbular ligament (PTFL), and calcaneofbular ligament (CFL) (Fig. [1](#page-1-0)). Injuries to the complex, accounting for 85% of all ankle sprains (Chorley and Hergenroeder [1997\)](#page-6-0), are the most common sports injury. Van Den Bekerom et al. ([2008\)](#page-6-1) noted that almost 80% of these injuries occur in the ATFL only, whereas the remainder are the result of combined damage of the ATFL and CFL. The authors also indicated that damage to the PTFL infrequently occurs only in cases of frank dislocation and that isolated injury of the CFL is rare. When the degrees of ligamentous damage and morbidity are taken into consideration, the injuries are classifed as follows: grade 1 = partial rupture of the stretched ATFL, grade 2 = moderate injury including a complete tear of the ATFL and partial damage of the CFL, and grade $3 =$ complete disruption of both the ATFL and CFL (Ferran and Mafulli [2006](#page-6-2)). Thus, injuries involving the CFL tend to be severe, although the incidence of such injuries is relatively low. The presence of damage to the CFL affects not only the choice of operative or nonoperative treatment, but also prognosis. Seventy percent of patients with combined damage of the ATFL and CFL continue to complain of symptoms, such as pain and instability, after treatment (Samoto et al. [2007\)](#page-6-3). Taken together, the CFL may be regarded as a clinically problematic ligament.

As reviewed by Matsui et al. [\(2017](#page-6-4)), several cadaveric studies have performed structural characterizations of the CFL using parameters such as the length, width, or size of attachment area. The results were variable even when comparable measuring methods were applied. For example, the length ranges from 19.5 mm (Milner and Soames [1998\)](#page-6-5) to 31.94 mm (Taser et al. [2006](#page-6-6)). Another parameter, the traveling angle, which refects the direction of the CFL, has been introduced in diferent defnitions (Ruth [1961](#page-6-7); Burks and Morgan [1994](#page-6-8);

 \boxtimes Hisayoshi Yoshizuka yoshizukah@gmail.com

¹ Department of Anatomy and Physiology, Faculty of Medicine, Saga University, 5-1-1 Nabeshima, Saga, Saga 849-8501, Japan

² Department of Rehabilitation Medicine, Saga University Hospital, 5-1-1 Nabeshima, Saga, Saga 849-8501, Japan

Fig. 1 Schematic drawing of the lateral ligament complex of the ankle (left side). The lateral ligament complex of the ankle is composed of the anterior talofbular ligament (ATFL), posterior talofbular ligament (PTFL), and calcaneofbular ligament (CFL)

Taser et al. [2006](#page-6-6)). The angle formed by the CFL and long axis of the fbula has been reported to range from 0 to 90° (Ruth [1961\)](#page-6-7) and to show diferent distribution trends. Ruth ([1961\)](#page-6-7) reported that the rate of cases with this angle $> 45^{\circ}$ was 4.0%, whereas the same rate in another study was as high as 40.9% (Wiersma and Griffioen [1992](#page-6-9)). Thus, these indefinite trends in the CFL morphology suggest considerable variation in the characteristics of the ligament. However, strategies for assessing the ligament (e.g., the frequency distribution or normality test in larger sample sets) have not yet been developed.

Only Wiersma and Grifoen ([1992](#page-6-9)) have referred to the laterality of the ATFL and CFL at the individual level. Briefy, using paired specimens, the scored fndings of the ligaments were roughly compared between the right and left sides. Consequently, intra-individual variations of the ATFL and CFL were found in 33.3% (5 of 15 cases) and 66.6% (10 of 15 cases) of individuals, respectively. The authors mentioned that the results of the manual or roentgenographic stress examination of the ankle might be misunderstood because of intra-individual diferences in the laterality of the ligaments. At present, however, the systemic or statistical analysis of laterality has not been performed with routinely employed parameters.

The purpose of this study was to evaluate variations of the CFL using an in-depth approach and in view of laterality to further the understanding of the mechanisms of CFL injury.

Materials and methods

Subjects

We assessed 110 paired ankles from 55 formalin-fxed Japanese cadavers (33 men and 22 women) used in a gross

anatomy course conducted in 2014 and 2015 in the Faculty of Medicine, Saga University, Japan. In all cadavers, no traces of prior injury or surgical treatments were observed in the ankle region. The ages at the time of death ranged from 48 to 100 years [mean \pm standard deviation (SD) 78 ± 12 years]. This study was approved by the local ethics committee of Saga University.

Procedure of dissection

During the gross anatomy course, the bilateral lower legs of the cadavers were partly dissected. During this process, the skin was removed and the subcutaneous tissue, deep fascia, and muscles of the lower leg were exposed while the lateral ligaments of the ankle were left intact. First, we removed the peroneus longus and brevis tendons. Then, after observing the fber directions, the CFL was dissected carefully from the surrounding tissues, including the peroneal tendon sheath, lateral talocalcaneal ligament (LTCL), and arch-forming fbers that unite the CFL and ATFL. The CFL was then assessed as follows.

Measuring methods

The free length of the CFL was measured between the fbular origin and the most proximal insertion on the calcaneus (Milner and Soames [1998\)](#page-6-5) (Fig. [2a](#page-2-0)). In this study, the maximum and minimum width (max and min width) was recorded because most CFLs were not constant in width (Fig. [2b](#page-2-0)). As for angular parameters, the angle created by the CFL and long axis of the fbula (CF angle) was measured according to the method of Ruth ([1961](#page-6-7)) (Fig. [2c](#page-2-0)). The fbular long axis was defned by connecting two midpoints set by measuring the width of any region at the diaphysis.

All measurements were performed by a single researcher (H.Y.) by setting both the talocrural and subtalar joints in the 0° position and using a caliper (Fujiwara Sangyo, Hyogo, Japan) or goniometer (OG Wellness Technologies, Okayama, Japan) on each CFL. A minimum unit of records was defned as 0.5 mm for distance or 5° for angle. The accuracy of the measurement technique was determined by repeating all measurements in technical triplicates. The fndings in all specimens were photographed using a NEX-5R digital camera (Sony, Tokyo, Japan) for future reference.

Statistical analysis

All statistical analyses were performed using IBM SPSS Statistics version 22, and the level of statistical signifcance was set at a *p* value of 0.05. The Shapiro-Wilk test was used to evaluate normality. Laterality between grouped data from

Fig. 2 Measurement of the CFL (left side). The dashed line shows the margin of the attachment site (**a**) and lateral edge of the CFL (**b**, **c**). The perpendicular line indicates the long axis of the fbula (**c**). **a** The distance from the fbular origin to the most proximal insertion on

the calcaneus (CA) defned the length. **b** Width was measured in two ways. **c** An angle was defned between the direction of the CFL and the long axis of the fbula and was designated as the CF angle. LM: lateral malleolus

each side (inter-individual laterality) was examined by a paired *t* test or Wilcoxon signed-rank test. Pearson's correlation coefficient or Spearman's rank correlation coefficient was calculated to investigate laterality at the individual levels (intra-individual laterality) and the relationships among parameters.

Results

During sample preparation, we noticed a cadaver (64 years of age, male) in which the left CFL was deficient and excluded it from the study. Therefore, data from 108 paired ankles from 54 cadavers (32 male and 22 female; mean \pm SD: 78 \pm 12 years of age) were included in the subsequent analysis.

Anatomical variation of the CFL

Table [1](#page-2-1) shows the mean values and range of measured CFL dimensions. All parameters exhibited a wide range, and the ratio of the maximum to minimum value was 2.7, 4.0, and 3.4 for the length, max width, and min width, respectively. In most CFLs, the max and min widths were located near the fbular origin and the calcaneal insertion, respectively (Fig. [2\)](#page-2-0).

The frequency distributions of the CFL dimensions are shown in Fig. [3.](#page-3-0) The length values seemed to be distributed with the characteristics of a relatively low peak, gentle curvature, and a tendency toward lacking values>23 mm (Fig. [3a](#page-3-0)). In contrast, the peak frequency for each width was apparent (Fig. [3b](#page-3-0), c). Most samples were distributed

Table 1 Dimensions of the calcaneofibular ligament $(n=108)$

	$Mean \pm SD$	Range
Length (mm)	17.7 ± 3.5	$11.0 - 30.0$
Max width (mm)	$8.9 + 2.1$	$3.5 - 14.0$
Min width (mm)	$4.9 + 1.0$	$2.5 - 8.5$
CF angle $({}^{\circ})^a$	$24.3 + 15.1$	$0 - 70$

^aCF angle = angle created by the calcaneofibular ligament and long axis of the fbula

within a narrow range (i.e., 8.0–11.5 mm for max width and 4.0–6.5 mm for min width). The frequency distribution of the CF angle appeared to be biased (Fig. [3](#page-3-0)d). The total number of cases with an angle $< 40^\circ$ accounted for as much as 92.6%, whereas the remainder had angles evenly scattered within a range of 45–70°. Examinations for normality revealed that only length was normally distributed $(p=0.08)$.

To examine the relationships among parameters, cor-relation coefficients were calculated (Table [2\)](#page-4-0). Among parameters indicating distance, moderate or weak positive correlations were calculated except for the combination of length and min width. The CF angle was not signifcantly correlated with other parameters.

Analysis of laterality

The collected data from each side were compared to examine inter-individual laterality (Table [3](#page-4-1)). Among the parameters,

Fig. 3 Histogram showing CFL dimensions $(n = 108)$. For convenience, two suc cessive minimum units were combined for length and width and expressed in one bar. For example, a bar showed 11 mm for length, including values of 11.0 and 11.5 mm

 $\mathbf a$

 $\mathbf b$

Table 2 Correlation coefficients of calcaneofibular ligament (CFL) morphology

	Length	Max width	Min width	CF angle ^a
Length		$0.23*$	n.s.	n.s.
Max width			$0.51***$	n.s.
Min width				n.s.
CF angle				

n.s. not signifcant

p*<0.05, **p*<0.001

^aCF angle = angle created by the CFL and long axis of the fibula

Table 3 Statistical analysis of inter-individual laterality

	Right $(n=54)$ $Mean \pm SD$	Left $(n=54)$ $Mean + SD$	<i>p</i> value
Length (mm)	17.5 ± 3.5	17.9 ± 3.6	0.34
Max width (mm)	$8.6 + 2.1$	$9.2 + 2.1$	0.06
Min width (mm)	$4.9 + 1.2$	5.0 ± 0.9	0.36
CF angle $(^\circ)^a$	$20.9 + 13.8$	$27.6 + 15.7$	< 0.05

^aCF angle = angle created by the calcaneofibular ligament and long axis of the fbula

only the left CF angle was signifcantly greater than that on the right side $(p < 0.05)$.

To visualize and examine intra-individual laterality for each parameter, we prepared scatter plot diagrams and calcu-lated correlation coefficients between the two sides (Fig. [4](#page-5-0)). There was a moderate positive correlation for length, max width, and min width. In contrast, only the CF angle showed no signifcant correlation.

Discussion

The mean CFL length obtained in this study was similar to the value reported by Milner and Soames ([1998\)](#page-6-5) $(17.7 \pm 3.5 \text{ vs. } 19.5 \pm 3.9 \text{ mm})$. The mean maximum width $(8.9 \pm 2.1 \text{ mm})$ and minimum width $(4.9 \pm 1.0 \text{ mm})$ were also close to values corresponding to the distal end of the calcaneal insertion $(9.68 \pm 1.73 \text{ mm})$ (Taser et al. [2006\)](#page-6-6) and midpoint (4.68–6.7 mm) (Ruth [1961;](#page-6-7) Buzzi et al. [1993;](#page-6-10) Taser et al. [2006](#page-6-6); Yildiz and Yalcin [2013](#page-6-11)), respectively. However, the CF angle showed not only a somewhat limited range (0° –70° vs. 0–90°) (Ruth [1961](#page-6-7)), but also a smaller mean value $(24.3^{\circ} \pm 15.1^{\circ} \text{ vs. } 43^{\circ} - 47^{\circ})$ (Burks and Morgan [1994](#page-6-8); Yildiz and Yalcin [2013\)](#page-6-11). Thus, for the CF angle only, diferences were noted when comparing the value with the results of previous studies. Furthermore, the mean left CF angle was signifcantly greater than the angle on the opposite side $(p < 0.05)$ (Table [3](#page-4-1)), and the scatter plot diagram demonstrated that the values of the bilateral CF angle showed no signifcant correlation at the individual level (Fig. [4\)](#page-5-0). These results suggest the presence of inter- and intra-individual laterality of the CFL traveling angle. The characteristics of the angle may be caused by ethnic diferences. However, laterality may be related to handedness and footedness, which are not restricted to Japanese people. Reportedly, right-handers clearly show a right-foot bias for activities requiring fne manipulation and focused attention (Peters [1988](#page-6-12)). In addition, from a developmental perspective, only 5% of juveniles indicate a left-foot preference (Gentry and Gabbard [1995\)](#page-6-13). Thus, it is reasonable to suggest that the left leg exerts a supportive role in many people. A tendency to have a longer and heavier left leg in adult right-handers has also been demonstrated (Peters [1988\)](#page-6-12). Considering normal activities of daily life and sports activities, the CFL of the supporting leg should have more opportunities to brake the motion of the lower leg when the foot is under load. Furthermore, the mean left maximum width was also greater than that on the right side $(9.2 \pm 2.1 \text{ vs. } 8.6 \pm 2.1 \text{ mm})$, and this difference approached significance in a paired *t* test ($p = 0.06$) (Table [3](#page-4-1)). As the maximum width refects the size of the calcaneal insertion, it is logical to suppose that the quality and quantity of mechanical stress difer between the two sides. Such diferences may have afected the onset of morphologic laterality of the CFL observed in this study.

The present results revealed a wide range and unique pattern of frequency distribution for each parameter (Fig. [3](#page-3-0)), among which only length showed a normal distribution. In particular, the CF angle showed no signifcant correlation with other parameters (Table [2\)](#page-4-0). Sarrafan and Kelikian ([2011\)](#page-6-14) mentioned that the position in which the CFL is tight difers among individuals in cadaveric studies. These results indicate that not only morphologic variation of the CFL, but also the possibility that the variation may infuence susceptibility to or severity of injury and represent one cause of an isolated injury of the CFL. In addition, the CFL and ATFL are often united by arch-forming fbers and work as a functional unit to stabilize the ankle (Yildiz and Yalcin [2013](#page-6-11)). In some cases, even deficits of the ATFL have been noted (Kitsoulis et al. [2011](#page-6-15); Raheem and O'Brien [2011](#page-6-16)). These factors further complicate the situation. However, as the ATFL, PTFL, and CFL function synergistically to stabilize the foot, variation in any ligament impacts the other ligaments. Thus, we hypothesized that such variations may represent the complementary function of the ligaments. Although no research has examined the components of the lateral ligament complex simultaneously in each ankle, this approach might be useful for identifying the signifcance of CF angular variation.

It has been previously documented that the CFL crosses over the deep side of the peroneus longus and

Fig. 4 Scatter plot of morphologic parameters within individuals (*n*=108). All approximately straight lines are shown as the eye guide. Only the CF angle exhibited no signifcant correlation, whereas the rest had moderate positive correlation

brevis tendons. In this study, we confrmed this structural relationship in all 108 samples. Considering this positional proximity, Shinohara et al. ([2007](#page-6-17)) speculated that both tendons were lifted by the tensed CFL during ankle inversion. Although this phenomenon has not been demonstrated experimentally in living bodies or cadavers, it suggests that the CFL works as a tensioner of the tendons to control ankle joint stability by enhancing muscle contraction. In general, braking lower leg movement by eccentric or isometric contractions of the peroneus longus and brevis muscles is indispensable in the weight-bearing position. According to this hypothesis, the tensed CFL will maximize the braking effect in response to occasional weight shifting, which forces the foot from the neutral to inverted position. Furthermore, variation of the CFL may cause differences in the efficiency of the effect at the individual level and excessive lift-up may cause peroneal tendon dislocation. Thus, this hypothesis is quite attractive from both the functional and clinical perspectives. Further biomechanical studies are required to test this hypothesis.

Burks and Morgan ([1994](#page-6-8)) described a type of LTCL that is barely distinguishable from the CFL, although the incidence of this entity has not been confrmed. We encountered several cases in which the LTCL ran parallel to and was

fused with the CFL while dissecting our 108 specimens, which rendered such dissections quite challenging. Thus, considerable attention will be required during the morphometric evaluation of the CFL using magnetic resonance imaging or other diagnostic imaging modalities.

In the present study, as information on handedness of the cadavers was unavailable, we could not analyze the laterality of the CFL by separating them into right- and left-handed individuals. This is a limitation of this study.

In conclusion, the present results revealed not only detailed information regarding CFL morphology, but also inter- and intra-individual laterality regarding the CFL traveling angle. It is likely that the diferences in the quality and quantity of mechanical stress against each leg may have caused this morphologic laterality of the CFL.

Acknowledgements We greatly appreciate Dr. Akira Iwaki (Department of Orthopedics, Keimei Memorial Hospital, Miyazaki, Japan) for helping conceptualize the research questions in this study, Mr. Atsunobu Fuchigami (Saga University) for his continuous support during the execution of this research, and Editage [\(http://www.editage.jp\)](http://www.editage.jp) for English language editing. We also would like to honor the donors and thank their families. Without their dedication, our work would not be possible.

Compliance with ethical standards

Conflict of interest The authors declare that they have no confict of interest.

Open Access This article is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License, which permits use, sharing, adaptation, distribution and reproduction in any medium or format, as long as you give appropriate credit to the original author(s) and the source, provide a link to the Creative Commons licence, and indicate if changes were made. The images or other third party material in this article are included in the article's Creative Commons licence, unless indicated otherwise in a credit line to the material. If material is not included in the article's Creative Commons licence and your intended use is not permitted by statutory regulation or exceeds the permitted use, you will need to obtain permission directly from the copyright holder. To view a copy of this licence, visit [http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/.](http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/)

References

- Burks RT, Morgan J (1994) Anatomy of the lateral ankle ligaments. Am J Sports Med 22:72–77
- Buzzi R, Todescan G, Brenner E, Segoni F, Inderster A, Aglietti P (1993) Reconstruction of the lateral ligaments of the ankle: an anatomic study with evaluation of isometry. J Sports Traumatol Relat Res 15:55–74
- Chorley JN, Hergenroeder AC (1997) Management of ankle sprains. Pediatr Ann 26:56–64
- Ferran NA, Mafulli N (2006) Epidemiology of sprains of the lateral ankle ligament complex. Foot Ankle Clin 11:659–662
- Gentry V, Gabbard C (1995) Foot-preference behavior: a developmental perspective. J Gen Psychol 122:37–45
- Kitsoulis P, Marini A, Pseftinakou A, Iliou K, Galani V, Paraskevas G (2011) Morphological study of the calcaneofbular ligament in cadavers. Folia Morphol (Warsz) 70:180–184
- Matsui K, Takao M, Tochigi Y, Ozeki S, Glazebrook M (2017) Anatomy of anterior talofbular ligament and calcaneofbular ligament for minimally invasive surgery: a systematic review. Knee Surg Sports Traumatol Arthrosc 25:1892–1902
- Milner CE, Soames RW (1998) Anatomy of the collateral ligaments of the human ankle joint. Foot Ankle Int 19:757–760
- Peters M (1988) Footedness: asymmetries in foot preference and skill and neuropsychological assessment of foot movement. Psychol Bull 103:179–192
- Raheem OA, O'Brien M (2011) Anatomical review of the lateral collateral ligaments of the ankle: a cadaveric study. Anat Sci Int 86:189–193
- Ruth CJ (1961) The surgical treatment of injuries of the fbular collateral ligaments of the ankle. J Bone Jt Surg Am 43:229–239
- Samoto N, Sugimoto K, Takaoka T, Fujita T, Kitada C, Takakura Y (2007) Comparative results of conservative treatments for isolated anterior talofbular ligament (ATFL) injury and injury to both the ATFL and calcaneofbular ligament of the ankle as assessed by subtalar arthrography. J Orthop Sci 12:49–54
- Sarrafan SK, Kelikian AS (2011) Sarrafan's anatomy of the foot and ankle third ed.: descriptive, topographic, functional. Wolters Kluwer Health, Lippincott Williams & Wilkins, Philadelphia, pp 507–643
- Shinohara Y, Kumai T, Higashiyama I, Hayashi K, Takakura Y, Benjamin M (2007) Functional anatomy of calcaneofibular ligament of the ankle. J Jpn Soc Surg Foot 28:71–75 **(in Japanese with English summary)**
- Taser F, Shafq Q, Ebraheim NA (2006) Anatomy of lateral ankle ligaments and their relationship to bony landmarks. Surg Radio Anat 28:391–397
- Van Den Bekerom MPJ, Oostra RJ, Golano G, Van Dijk CN (2008) The anatomy in relation to injury of the lateral collateral ligaments of the ankle: a current concepts review. Clin Anat 21:619–626
- Wiersma PH, Grifoen FMM (1992) Variations of three lateral ligaments of the ankle. A descriptive anatomical study. The Foot 2:218–224
- Yildiz S, Yalcin B (2013) The anterior talofbular and calcaneofbular ligaments: an anatomic study. Surg Radiol Anat 35:511–516