





Genome Sequence of the Reuterin-Producing Strain Limosilactobacillus reuteri INIA P572

Eva Rodríguez-Mínguez, a Pol Huedo, b Susana Langa, a Ángela Peirotén, a José M. Landete, a Margarita Medina, a 🕞 Juan L. Arqués a

^aDepartamento Tecnología de Alimentos, INIA-CSIC, Madrid, Spain bR&D Department, AB-Biotics S.A. (Part of Kaneka Corporation), Barcelona, Spain

ABSTRACT Limosilactobacillus reuteri is a beneficial bacterium that inhabits the gastrointestinal tract of different mammals. Diverse beneficial effects have been attributed to specific strains, in part mediated by the production of reuterin. Here, we report the draft genome sequence of L. reuteri INIA P572, a reuterin-producing strain isolated from pig feces.

imosilactobacillus reuteri INIA P572 was isolated from pig feces collected on a farm near La Coruña (Spain). Stool samples were plated onto Rogosa agar and incubated anaerobically at 37°C for 48 h. Colonies were cultured anaerobically in de Man-Rogosa-Sharpe (MRS) broth at 37°C for 24 h, and the CO₂-producing isolates were subsequently tested for reuterin production (1). Further analysis identified this strain as reuterin-producing L. reuteri (2) with technological and probiotic properties (2-4), the capacity to grow and produce reuterin in the colonic environment, and in vivo immunomodulatory and protective effects (5).

In order to get a deeper knowledge of its safety, as well as the probiotic and technological genomic proprieties of this strain, whole-genome sequencing of L. reuteri INIA P572 was performed. Culturing for genomic DNA (gDNA) isolation, extraction, and library preparation was performed by GenProbio srl (Parma, Italy), according to the method of Lugli et al. (6). Briefly, one isolated colony was grown in MRS broth at 37°C in anaerobic atmosphere. A cell pellet obtained by centrifugation of an overnight culture was used for DNA extraction using the GenElute bacterial genomic DNA kit (Sigma-Aldrich) following the manufacturer's guidelines. Quantification of DNA was performed using NanoDrop. A genome library was generated using the TruSeq Nano DNA kit. The genome sequences were retrieved using an Illumina MiSeq platform with 2×250 -bp paired-end reads. Sequencing generated 539,226 reads that were quality controlled using FastQC and assembled using MIRA v4.0.2 software (7), and evaluation of the final assembly was conducted using QUAST v4.3 (8) and CheckM v1.0.7 (9) (with the options lineage_wf and reduced_tree). The genome was annotated using the NCBI Prokaryotic Genome Annotation Pipeline (PGAP) v4.13 (10). The presence of antimicrobial resistance genes was inspected in July 2021 using the last version of the Comprehensive Antimicrobial Resistance Database (CARD) (11) and verified using the ResFinder v4.00 tool (12), with default parameters in both cases.

Genome sequencing and assembly of L. reuteri INIA P572 resulted in 180 contigs, comprising 2,113,987 bp with an N_{50} value of 27,758 bp, a 113.83× coverage, and a G+C content of 39.0%. PGAP annotation predicted 2,200 genes, including 1,948 protein-coding sequences. It also identified 73 tRNA sequences, 6 5S rRNA sequences, 13 16S rRNA sequences, and 10 23S rRNA sequences.

The susceptibility of L. reuteri INIA P572 to a panel of antibiotics was determined by the MICs following the standard ISO 10932:2010 IDF 223:210 (2010) and EFSA guidelines (13). L. reuteri INIA P572 was phenotypically resistant to ampicillin, chloramphenicol, and tetracycline (Table 1). CARD and ResFinder analyses identified the tetracycline resistance gene tetW in its genome. The presence of this gene hampers the use of this strain as food supplement, according to EFSA requirements (13, 14).

Editor David Rasko, University of Maryland School of Medicine

Copyright © 2021 Rodríguez-Mínguez et al. This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International license.

Address correspondence to Juan L. Arqués.

The authors declare no conflict of interest.

Received 5 October 2021 Accepted 17 November 2021 Published 9 December 2021



TABLE 1 MIC values for L. reuteri INIA P572 of the antibiotics included in the EFSA guidelines^a

| Antibiotic | MIC (mg/liter) | EFSA cutoff (mg/liter) | Outcome |
|-----------------|----------------|------------------------|---------|
| Ampicillin | 4 | 2 | R |
| Vancomycin | n.r. | n.r. | n.r. |
| Gentamicin | 2 | 8 | S |
| Kanamycin | 64 | 64 | S |
| Streptomycin | 16 | 64 | S |
| Erythromycin | 0.5 | 1 | S |
| Clindamycin | 1 | 4 | S |
| Tetracycline | >64 | 32 | R |
| Chloramphenicol | 8 | 4 | R |

^a n.r., not required; R, resistant; S, susceptible.

Data availability. The whole-genome sequencing project for *L. reuteri* INIA P572 has been deposited at GenBank under the accession number NZ_CABFNG00000000.1. The raw sequencing data are available in the Sequence Read Archive (SRA) database under accession number SRR16079620. The associated BioProject accession number is PRJNA766497.

ACKNOWLEDGMENT

This work was supported by project RTA2017-00002-00-00 from the Spanish Ministry of Science and Innovation.

REFERENCES

- Smiley KL, Sobolov M. 1962. A cobamide-requiring dehydrase from an acrolein-forming Lactobacillus. Arch Biochem Biophys 97:538–543. https://doi.org/10.1016/0003-9861(62)90118-2.
- Rodríguez E, Arqués JL, Rodríguez R, Nuñez M, Medina M. 2003. Reuterin production by lactobacilli isolated from pig faeces and evaluation of probiotic traits. Lett Appl Microbiol 37:259–263. https://doi.org/10.1046/j .1472-765X.2003.01390.x.
- Langa S, Landete JM, Martín-Cabrejas I, Rodríguez E, Arqués JL, Medina M. 2013. *In situ* reuterin production by Lactobacillus reuteri in dairy products. Food Control 33:200–206. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.foodcont.2013.02.035.
- Langa S, Martín-Cabrejas I, Montiel R, Peirotén Á, Arqués JL, Medina M. 2018. Protective effect of reuterin-producing *Lactobacillus reuteri* against *Listeria monocytogenes* and *Escherichia coli* O157:H7 in semi-hard cheese. Food Control 84:284–289. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.foodcont.2017.08.004.
- Diez-Echave P, Martín-Cabrejas I, Garrido-Mesa J, Langa S, Vezza T, Landete JM, Hidalgo-García L, Algieri F, Mayer MJ, Narbad A, García-Lafuente A, Medina M, Rodríguez-Nogales A, Rodríguez-Cabezas ME, Gálvez J, Arqués JL. 2021. Probiotic and functional properties of *Limosilactobacillus reuteri* INIA P572. Nutrients 13:1860. https://doi.org/10.3390/nu13061860.
- Lugli GA, Duranti S, Albert K, Mancabelli L, Napoli S, Viappiani A, Anzalone R, Longhi G, Milani C, Turroni F, Alessandri G, Sela DA, van Sinderen D, Ventura M. 2019. Unveiling genomic diversity among members of the species *Bifidobacterium pseudolongum*, a widely distributed gut commensal of the animal kingdom. Appl Environ Microbiol 85:e03065-18. https:// doi.org/10.1128/AEM.03065-18.
- Chevreux B, Wetter T, Suhai S. 1999. Genome sequence assembly using trace signals and additional sequence information, p 45–56. *In* Computer science and biology: proceedings of the German Conference on Bioinformatics, GCB '99. GCB, Hannover, Germany.
- Gurevich A, Saveliev V, Vyahhi N, Tesler G. 2013. QUAST: quality assessment tool for genome assemblies. Bioinformatics 29:1072–1075. https://doi.org/10.1093/bioinformatics/btt086.
- Parks DH, Imelfort M, Skennerton CT, Hugenholtz P, Tyson GW. 2015. CheckM: assessing the quality of microbial genomes recovered from isolates, single

- cells, and metagenomes. Genome Res 25:1043–1055. https://doi.org/10.1101/gr.186072.114.
- Tatusova T, DiCuccio M, Badretdin A, Chetvernin V, Nawrocki EP, Zaslavsky L, Lomsadze A, Pruitt KD, Borodovsky M, Ostell J. 2016. NCBI Prokaryotic Genome Annotation Pipeline. Nucleic Acids Res 44:6614–6624. https://doi.org/ 10.1093/nar/gkw569.
- 11. Alcock BP, Raphenya AR, Lau TTY, Tsang KK, Bouchard M, Edalatmand A, Huynh W, Nguyen AV, Cheng AA, Liu S, Min SY, Miroshnichenko A, Tran HK, Werfalli RE, Nasir JA, Oloni M, Speicher DJ, Florescu A, Singh B, Faltyn M, Hernandez-Koutoucheva A, Sharma AN, Bordeleau E, Pawlowski AC, Zubyk HL, Dooley D, Griffiths E, Maguire F, Winsor GL, Beiko RG, Brinkman FSL, Hsiao WWL, Domselaar GV, McArthur AG. 2020. CARD 2020: antibiotic resistome surveillance with the comprehensive antibiotic resistance database. Nucleic Acids Res 48:D517–D525. https://doi.org/10.1093/nar/akz935.
- Bortolaia V, Kaas RS, Ruppe E, Roberts MC, Schwarz S, Cattoir V, Philippon A, Allesoe RL, Rebelo AR, Florensa AF, Fagelhauer L, Chakraborty T, Neumann B, Werner G, Bender JK, Stingl K, Nguyen M, Coppens J, Xavier BB, Malhotra-Kumar S, Westh H, Pinholt M, Anjum MF, Duggett NA, Kempf I, Nykäsenoja S, Olkkola S, Wieczorek K, Amaro A, Clemente L, Mossong J, Losch S, Ragimbeau C, Lund O, Aarestrup FM. 2020. ResFinder 4.0 for predictions of phenotypes from genotypes. J Antimicrob Chemother 75:3491–3500. https://doi.org/10 .1093/jac/dkaa345.
- 13. Rychen G, Aquilina G, Azimonti G, Bampidis V, de Lourdes Bastos M, Bories G, Chesson A, Cocconcelli PS, Flachowsky G, Gropp J, Kolar B, Kouba M, López-Alonso M, López Puente S, Mantovani A, Mayo B, Ramos F, Saarela M, Villa RE, Wallace RJ, Wester P, Glandorf B, Herman L, Kärenlampi S, Aguilera J, Anguita M, Brozzi R, Galobart J, EFSA Panel on Additives and Products or Substances used in Animal Feed (FEEDAP). 2018. Guidance on the characterization of microorganisms used as feed additives or as production organisms. EFSA J 16: e05206. https://doi.org/10.2903/j.efsa.2018.5206.
- European Food Safety Authority (EFSA). 2021. EFSA statement on the requirements for whole genome sequence analysis of microorganisms intentionally used in the food chain. EFSA J 19:e06506. https://doi.org/10 .2903/j.efsa.2021.6506.

Volume 10 lssue 49 e00988-21 mra.asm.org **2**