



# Periodontal Diseases and the Risk of Metabolic Syndrome: An Updated Systematic Review and Meta-Analysis

#### Romila Gobin<sup>1†</sup>, Dan Tian<sup>1†</sup>, Qiao Liu<sup>1,2</sup> and Jianming Wang<sup>1\*</sup>

<sup>1</sup> Department of Epidemiology, Center for Global Health, School of Public Health, Nanjing Medical University, Nanjing, China, <sup>2</sup> Department of Chronic Communicable Disease, Center for Disease Control and Prevention of Jiangsu Province, Nanjing, China

## **OPEN ACCESS**

#### Edited by:

Marco Tatullo, University of Bari Medical School, Italy

#### Reviewed by:

Andrea Ballini, University of Bari Aldo Moro, Italy Omar Etienne, INSERM Délégation Paris 6, France

> \*Correspondence: Jianming Wang jmwang@njmu.edu.cn

<sup>†</sup>These authors have contributed equally to this work

#### Specialty section:

This article was submitted to Translational Endocrinology, a section of the journal Frontiers in Endocrinology

Received: 05 January 2020 Accepted: 29 April 2020 Published: 09 June 2020

#### Citation:

Gobin R, Tian D, Liu Q and Wang J (2020) Periodontal Diseases and the Risk of Metabolic Syndrome: An Updated Systematic Review and Meta-Analysis. Front. Endocrinol. 11:336. doi: 10.3389/fendo.2020.00336 **Background:** Periodontitis and metabolic syndrome (MetS) are two major global health problems that are widely prevalent in the world, although the former is a common infection in developing countries and the latter is a non-infectious but prevalent disease in developed countries. This study aims to provide an updated review on the existence and magnitude of the relationship between periodontal disease and the risk of MetS.

**Methods:** We searched the PubMed, Web of Science, ScienceDirect, Chinese National Knowledge Infrastructure, and Wanfang databases for original studies assessing the association between periodontitis and MetS published before August 2019. We calculated the pooled crude and adjusted odds ratios (ORs) together with the 95% confidence intervals (95% Cls) to estimate the strength of this association. Subgroup analysis was performed by considering the diagnostic method or the country where the studies were performed.

**Results:** We identified 43 potentially eligible articles for this systematic review, including 32 cross-sectional studies, eight case–control studies, and three cohort studies. Among them, 39 articles presented enough information to be included in the meta-analysis. The pooled crude and adjusted ORs were 1.99 (95% Cl: 1.75–2.25) and 1.46 (95% Cl: 1.31–1.61), respectively. Subgroup analysis showed a consistent relation stratified by either the diagnostic method or the country where the studies were performed. The pooled OR was 1.68 (95% Cl: 1.41–2.00) for Japan, 1.75 (95% Cl: 1.31–2.34) for the USA, 1.81 (95% Cl: 1.35–2.42) for Korea, and 2.29 (95% Cl: 1.53–3.41) for China.

**Conclusion:** Our results provide compelling evidence for the association between periodontitis and MetS. Patients with periodontal disease are a critical screening population for MetS. We also recommend that people exhibiting components of MetS should receive a periodontal check-up and pay attention to their oral health.

Keywords: periodontal disease, periodontitis, periodontal pocket, clinical attachment loss, metabolic syndrome, meta-analysis

# INTRODUCTION

Oral health is an undervalued parameter of global health and has been considered inferior on the agendas of policymakers (1). There has been increasing scientific interest regarding the interactions between oral health and systemic diseases. In 2007, the World Health Organization (WHO) called on the integration of health policies regarding oral and general health (1). The European Union and the USA have also emphasized the importance of oral health in overall health (2). Numerous studies have shown a relationship between deficiently poor oral hygiene and different systemic disorders, such as cardiovascular disease (CVD) and metabolic syndrome (MetS). A variety of theories have been proposed, of which a bulk of them hypothesize the mediation of the inflammatory response (3). Periodontitis is one of the most common chronic oral diseases and is characterized by the pathological loss of the periodontal ligament and adjacent supporting alveolar bone. It can also be described as a bacteria-induced complex chronic inflammatory disease (4). It is estimated that approximately 20 to 60% of the world's population may have some degree of periodontal disease (5). Periodontitis is not merely a consequence of plaque accumulation; it is also affected by host factors (6). If discovered in the initial stage, it can be managed successfully without causing much morbidity.

MetS is a prevalent and multifactorial disorder that consists of a cluster of several clinical physical conditions and biological abnormalities that increase the risk of mortality; MetS is affected



#### TABLE 1 | Description of included studies.

Year	Author	Country	Study design	Sample size	Mean age (years)	Male (%)	Female (%)	Current smokers (%)	Criteria for periodontitis diagnosis	Periodontal examination protocol	Subjects with periodontitis (%)	Criteria for MetS diagnosis	Subjects with MetS (%)	Measures of association OR/RR (95% CI)
2007	LI PENG	CHINA	CASE-CONTROL	501	61.4	40.6	59.4	23.2	CAL/PPD	COMPLETE	72.4	IDF (2005)	47.9	Association was not reported
2007	SHIMAZAKI Y.	JAPAN	CROSS- SECTIONAL	584	55.7	NIL	100	6.7	CAL/PPD	PARTIAL	(1) PPD: 17.1 (2) CAL: 6.3	NCEP ATP III	16.8	OR: (1) 6.6 (95% CI: 2.6–16.4); (2) 4.2 (95% CI: 1.2–14.8)
2008	D'AIUTO FRANCESCO	UNITED STATES	CROSS- SECTIONAL	13677	48	50.6	49.4	30.2	CAL/PPD	PARTIAL	14	IDF (2005)	20.3	OR: 1.45 (95% Cl: 0.91–2.33)
2008	KHADER YOUSEF	JORDAN	CASE-CONTROL	156	47.2	35.9	64.1	41	CAL/PPD	COMPLETE	27.6	NCEP ATP III	50	The difference in the adjusted means between subjects with and without MetS was 2.2 mm for PD, 0.99 mm for CAL, 23.2% for the percentage of sites with CAL $\ge$ 3 mm, and 25.6% for the percentage of sites with PD $\ge$ 3 mm.
2009	LI PENG	CHINA	CASE-CONTROL	192	60.8	40.9	59.1	20.2	CAL	COMPLETE	72.4	IDF (2005)	72.9	OR: 15.6 (95% Cl: 2.20–110.43)
2009	MORITA TOYOKO	JAPAN	CROSS- SECTIONAL	2478	43.3	81.8	18.2	32	CPI code 3-4	PARTIAL	25.9	JSIM/IDF (2005, MODIFIED JAPANESE)	8.2	OR: 2.4 (95% Cl: 1.7–2.7)
2009	KUSHIYAMA MITOSHI	JAPAN	CROSS- SECTIONAL	1070	55	26.3	73.7	9.3	CPI code 3–4	COMPLETE	29.5	NCEP ATP III	9.1	OR: 2.13 (95% Cl: 1.22–3.70)
2010	ANDRIANKAJA OM	UNITED STATES	CROSS- SECTIONAL	7431	40.1	47.3	52.7	26.8	PPD	PARTIAL	5.8	NCEP ATP III	19.7	MEN-OR: 1.0 (95% CI: 0.7-1.6) WOMEN-OR: 2.1 (95% CI: 1.2-3.7)
2010	NESBITT MARK J.	UNITED STATES	CROSS- SECTIONAL	200	56.8	57.8	42.5	57.5	Radiographic distance CEJ-crestal bone ≥ 3 mm	COMPLETE	21.5	NCEP ATP III	17.5	OR: 2.61 (95% CI: 1.1–6.1)
2010	BENGUIGUI CATHERINE	FRANCE	CROSS- SECTIONAL	255	58	54.9	45.1	19.2	Page & Eke 2007	COMPLETE	78.8	NCEP ATP III	28.6	OR: 3.97 (95% Cl: 1.22–12.9)
2010	HAN D-H	SOUTH KOREA	CROSS- SECTIONAL	1046	42.3	43.7	56.3	26.5	CPI CODE 3-4	PARTIAL	34	IDF (2009)	22.4	OR: 1.70 (1.22-2.37)
2010	TIMONEN P.	FINLAND	CROSS- SECTIONAL	2050	47	39.3	60.7	NIL	PPD	COMPLETE	3.4	EGIR (2002)	16.4	Pockets ≥ 4 mm—RR: 1.19 (95% Cl: 1.01–1.42) Pockets ≥ 6 mm—RR: 1.50 (95% Cl: 0.96–2.36)
2010	ZHANG JIAN-QUAN	CHINA	CROSS- SECTIONAL	120	53	41.7	58.3	24.2	CAL/PPD	PARTIAL	85.8	CHINESE INTERNAL MEDICINE PROTOCOL	100	Not specified
2011	BENSLEY LILIAN	UNITED STATES	CROSS- SECTIONAL	481	48	41.5	58.5	21.7	SELF-REPORTED	NOT APPLICABLE	45.1	AHA (2009)	35.6	Adjusted complete case analysis, participants with severe periodontal disease were 1.5 times more likely to have metabolic syndrome
2011	KWON YOUNG-EUN	KOREA	CROSS- SECTIONAL	6520	46.4	39.5	60.5	39.1	CPI CODE 3-4	PARTIAL	45.6	NCEP ATP III	28.4	OR: 1.55 (1.32–1.83)

(Continued)

Periodontitis and Metabolic Syndrome

#### TABLE 1 | Continued

Year	Author	Country	Study design	Sample size	Mean age (years)	Male (%)	Female (%)	Current smokers (%)	Criteria for periodontitis diagnosis	Periodontal examination protocol	Subjects with periodontitis (%)	Criteria for MetS diagnosis	Subjects with MetS (%)	Measures of association OR/RR (95% CI)
2011	CHEN LI-PING	TAIWAN	CROSS- SECTIONAL	253	58.8	46.2	53.8	29.6	No periodontitis: PDI score of 0–3, mild periodontitis: 3 < PDI score ≤ 4 moderate-to-severe periodontitis: 4 < PDI score ≤ 6	PARTIAL	58.9	NCEP ATP III	57.3	OR: 2.73 (1.29–5.79)
2012	HAN D-H	KOREA	CASE-CONTROL	332	49.5	56.6	43.4	31.9	CPI CODE 3-4	PARTIAL	41.9	IDF (2009)	50	OR: 1.76 (95% Cl: 1.06–2.93)
2012	FUKUI NAO	JAPAN	CROSS- SECTIONAL	6421	44.5	77	23	25.2	PPD	COMPLETE	25.5	NCEP ATP III	14.9	OR: 1.35 (95% Cl: 1.03–1.77)
2012	YU Z.R.	CHINA	CROSS- SECTIONAL	903	62.6	50.5	49.5	20.2	CAL/PPD	COMPLETE	88.4	JOINT INTERIM STATEMENT/IDF (2009)	69.7	OR: 1.524 (95% Cl: 1.066–2.328)
2013	TU YU-KANG	TAIWAN	CROSS- SECTIONAL	33740	49.8	45.3	54.7	NOT SPECIFIED	At least ONE tooth with periodontitis	PARTIAL	30.8	NCEP ATP III	NOT SPECIFIED	Females—OR: 1.52 (95% Cl: 1.41–1.63) Males—OR: 1.04 (95% Cl: 0.96–1.12)
2013	SORA NICOLETA	USA	CROSS- SECTIONAL	283	55.3	24	76	14.8	CAL/PPD	COMPLETE	10.9	NCEP ATP III	85.9	RR: 2.77 (95% Cl: 1.11–6.93);
2013	FURATA MICHIKO	JAPAN	CROSS- SECTIONAL	2370	59.5	43.9	56.1	26.3	NHANES III	COMPLETE	33.2	JOINT INTERIM STATEMENT/IDF (2009)	35.1	Women—OR: 3.60 (1.30–12.61) Men—OR: 1.21 (0.59–2.49)
2014	LAMONTE MICHAEL J.	UNITED STATES	CROSS- SECTIONAL	657	65.5	NIL	100	2.1	Osteo-periodontitis	COMPLETE	72.6	NCEP ATP III	25.6	OR: 1.11 (95% Cl: 0.71–1.75)
2014	THANAKUN SUPANEE	THAILAND	CROSS- SECTIONAL	125	47	42.4	57.6	8.8	1) AAP, 2) PD ≥4 mm	COMPLETE	46.4	IDF (2009)	64.8	OR: 3.60 (95% Cl: 1.34–9.65)
2015	ALHABASHNEH ROLA	JORDAN	CROSS- SECTIONAL	280	53.8	50.7	49.3	21.8	CAL/PPD	COMPLETE	39.6	IDF (2005)	83.2	OR: 3.28 (95% Cl: 1.30–8.30)
2015	MINAGAWA K.	JAPAN	CROSS- SECTIONAL	234	80	47.4	52.6	5.6	AAP/CDC (MODIFIED)	COMPLETE	77.4	IDF (2005, MODIFIED JAPANESE)	24.4	OR: 2.10 (95% Cl: 1.03–4.28)
2015	IWASAKI MANASORI	JAPAN	COHORT	125	70	44	56	39.2	CAL	COMPLETE	NOT SPECIFIED	NCEP ATP III	21.6	RR: 2.58 (95% Cl: 1.17–5.67)
2016	CHEN X.	CHINA	CROSS- SECTIONAL	303	34.9	100	NIL	31	CPI	COMPLETE	23.1	JOINT INTERIM STATEMENTS/IDF (2009, MODIFIED CHINESE)	38.3	OR: 3.378 (95% CI: 1.889–5.924)
2016	JARAMILLO ADRIANA	COLUMBIA	CASE-CONTROL	651	46.5	36.1	63.9	20.1	CAL/PPD	COMPLETE	66.2	AACE (2003)	5.2	OR: 2.72 (95% CI: 1.09–6.79)
2016	KUMAR NARESH	INDIA	CASE-CONTROL	259	38.5	52.9	47.1	13.1	CAL	COMPLETE	50.2	NCEP ATP III	22	OR: 2.64 (95% Cl: 1.36–5.18)
2016	GOMES-FILHO ISAAC SUZART	BRAZIL	CROSS- SECTIONAL	419	59	38.2	61.8	29.8	CAL/PPD	COMPLETE	34.6	NCEP ATP III/IDF (2005)	NCEP: 60.9 IDF: 67.1	OR: 2.11 (95% CI: 1.01–4.40)

Gobin et al.

(Continued)

## TABLE 1 | Continued

Year	Author	Country	Study design	Sample size	Mean age (years)	Male (%)	Female (%)	Current smokers (%)	Criteria for periodontitis diagnosis	Periodontal examination protocol	Subjects with periodontitis (%)	Criteria for MetS diagnosis	Subjects with MetS (%)	Measures of association OR/RR (95% CI)
2016	KAYE E.K.	UNITED STATES	COHORT	751	61	100	NIL	3	CAL/PPD	COMPLETE	25.4	IDF (2009)/NCEP ATP III	IDF: 44.2 NCEPATP III: 37	PPD ≥ 5 mm−OR: 1.37 (1.14−1.65) CAL ≥5 mm−OR: 1.19 (1.00−1.41)
2016	MUSSKOPF MARTA L.	BRAZIL	CROSS- SECTIONAL	363	47.5	36.1	63.9	44.1	CAL/PPD	COMPLETE	26.9	IDF (2009)	54.8	PREVALENCE RATIO (PR): 1.17 (95% Cl: 0.83–1.65)
2016	WU WEI	CHINA	CROSS- SECTIONAL	1000	28-58	89.5	10.5	60	CAL/PPD	COMPLETE	75.6	CHINESE INTERNAL MEDICINE PROTOCOL	39.7	The risk of periodontitis in obese people is 4.6 times higher than that in normal-weight people of the same age group
2017	ZUK ALEKSANDRA	CANADA	CROSS- SECTIONAL	1383	49	50.5	49.5	18.1	CAL	PARTIAL	16.2	AHA/NHLBI	15.3	OR: 1.28 (95% CI: 0.68–2.40)
2017	KIKUI MIKI	JAPAN	CROSS- SECTIONAL	1856	66.4	41.6	58.4	19.3	CPI	PARTIAL	50.3	JOINT INTERIM STATEMENT/IDF (2009)	36.4	OR: 1.89 (1.31–2.73)
2017	ZHANG LI	CHINA	CROSS- SECTIONAL	1415	39.9	50	50	6.7	CPI	COMPLETE	39.7	IDF (2009)	18.3	OR: 1.263 (95% CI: 1.079–1.479)
2018	KIM O.S	KOREA	CROSS- SECTIONAL	5078	64.7	41.6	58.4	10.7	CDC/AAP	PARTIAL	CDC/AAP: 81.2	IDF (2009)	48.7	MEN—RR: 1.43 (95% Cl: 1.17–1.73)
2018	PHAM ANH VU THUY	VIETNAM	CASE-CONTROL	412	57.8	27.7	72.3	9	CDC/AAP (2012)	COMPLETE	28.6	JOINT INTERIM STATEMENT/IDF (2009)	50	OR: 4.06 (95% Cl: 2.11–7.84)
2018	KOO HO SEOK	KOREA	CASE-CONTROL	10340	57.2	51.8	48.2	21	CPI CODE 3-4	PARTIAL	51.4	NCEP ATP III	33	OR: 1.12 (95% Cl: 1.01–1.24)
2018	NASCIMENTO GUSTAVO G.	BRAZIL	COHORT	539	31	50.6	49.4	NOT SPECIFIED	AAP/CDC	COMPLETE	14.3	NCEP ATP III	13.3	RMSEA: 0.07 (95% Cl: 0.05–0.09)
2019	ABDALLA-ASLAN RAGDA	ISRAEL	CROSS- SECTIONAL	470	55.8	45.8	54.2	38.1	AAP	COMPLETE	75.3	NCEP ATP III	37.4	OR: 14.28 (95% Cl: 6.66–31.25)
2019	KIM JI-SOO	KOREA	CROSS- SECTIONAL	8314	55	46.4	53.6	19.6	CPI	PARTIAL	37.3	NCEP ATP III	34.1	OR: 1.42 (95% CI: 1.26–1.61)

by insulin resistance and promotes cardiovascular diseases (7). These conditions/diseases include glucose intolerance/insulin resistance/hyperglycemia, hypertension, visceral obesity, and dyslipidemia (8). MetS has an estimated prevalence of 17–32% in the general population (9), which suggests that nearly onequarter of adults throughout the world are affected (10).

The association between periodontitis and MetS has gained research interest in the scientific literature. There is variation in the reported degree of association, which may be due to the different definitions of MetS, the methodology used to assess periodontitis, and criteria for subject enrollment (11). In addition, there are several different approaches to determine the association between these two diseases/conditions. The American Academy of Periodontology (AAP) and the European Federation of Periodontology (EFP) have emphasized that more studies are needed to assess the association between periodontitis and various systemic conditions, including MetS (12). This study aims to provide an updated review on the existence and magnitude of the relationship between periodontal disease and the risk of MetS. A better understanding of periodontal diseases in the development of MetS and vice versa is required for medical and dental professionals to provide the general population with appropriate care.

# **METHODS**

### Literature Search and Study Selection

The PRISMA (Preferred Reporting Items for Systematic Reviews and Meta-Analyses) guidelines were used (**Supplementary Table 1**) (13). We searched the PubMed, ScienceDirect, Web of Science (WOS), Chinese National Knowledge Infrastructure (CNKI), and Wanfang databases for studies that reported an association between periodontitis and MetS in adults up to August 2019. Studies were limited to human studies and those published in either English or Chinese. Related keywords used for PubMed were as follows: Periodontal disease OR periodontitis OR periodontal pocket OR clinical



attachment loss AND metabolic syndrome OR metabolic disease OR syndrome X OR Reaven's syndrome OR MetS. PubMed MeSH terms were as follows: Metabolic Syndrome/Syndrome X (MeSH term Metabolic Syndrome X for both) and Periodontal Diseases, Periodontitis, Pocket Depth (No MeSH term), Periodontal Pocket, Periodontal Pocketing (MeSH term Periodontal Pocket), Attachment Loss (MeSH term Periodontal Attachment Loss), and Clinical Attachment Loss (MeSH term Tooth Mobility). Keywords for WOS and ScienceDirect searches were metabolic syndrome/periodontal disease, metabolic syndrome/periodontitis, metabolic syndrome/pocket depth, and metabolic syndrome/periodontal pocket. The terms we used were explored to ensure the retrieval of all the items needed concerning the specific search terms. Titles and abstracts of selected studies were examined for their possible relevance to the association between periodontitis and MetS. Studies were considered eligible using the following criteria: original epidemiological studies; observational studies including a cross-sectional, case-control, or cohort design; adult samples; having at least one diagnostic standard for periodontitis that was clearly defined; and having clear criteria for the diagnosis of MetS. Studies matching the eligibility criteria were considered for this systematic review. Abstracts and reviews were excluded; however, references in reviews were used for a supplementary literature search. In total, 43 studies (38 published in English and five published in Chinese) met the inclusion criteria for the systematic review with a total sample size of 114,181 participants. Among them, 39 studies had enough information to be included in the meta-analysis. A random-effect model was conducted to estimate the pooled odds ratios (ORs) together with 95% confidence intervals (95% CIs) to establish the strength of association.

# **Data Extraction**

Two reviewers independently identified eligible studies on the above topic, assessed the publication validity, and subsequently extracted data. The discrepancies were discussed and resolved, and the studies were concluded after consultation with the supervisor of this research. We collected data on the year of publication, the first author, study country, study design, sample size, mean age, sex, current tobacco smoking status, criteria for periodontitis diagnosis, periodontal examination protocol, the number of subjects diagnosed with periodontitis, criteria for MetS diagnosis, the number of subjects diagnosed with MetS, and the strength of the association [OR, relative risk (RR) and 95% CI].

## **Quality Assessment**

We used the Newcastle–Ottawa scale to evaluate the quality of the studies. A "star system" was used to judge the study in the following three contexts: the selection of the study groups, the comparability of the groups, and the ascertainment of either the exposure or the outcome of interest. The results varied across the selected studies, which is shown in **Supplementary Table 1**. The scale has a score of 0–9 stars for each article. A higher number of stars indicates a higher quality of the study (14).

## **Statistical Analysis**

The associations between periodontitis and MetS were assessed by the pooled ORs with the corresponding 95% CIs. Data from 39 studies were collected individually, and a crude OR was calculated, followed by the random-effect model. Then, the second analysis, consisting of the pooled adjusted OR, was derived from the given adjusted ORs from each study.  $I^2$  was also used to test the heterogeneity among the included studies. Consequently, subgroup analysis was first performed on the method used to diagnose periodontitis, that is, either partialmouth periodontal examination or full-mouth periodontal examination. The second subgroup analysis was on the criteria for the diagnosis of MetS, which are the National Cholesterol Education Program Adult Treatment Panel III (NCEP ATP III) criteria, the 2005 International Diabetes Federation (IDF, 2005) criteria, or the 2009 IDF criteria. Finally, the subgroup analysis was performed by country, namely, China, Japan, the USA, and Korea, the countries where most of the published studies have

Study D	OR (95% CI)	% Weight
LI (2007)	1.69 (1.09, 2.62)	2.61
SHIMAZAKI (2007)	2.62 (1.59, 4.32)	2.38
O'AIUTO (2008)	2 41 (2 17 2 67)	3 75
(HADER (2008)	3 13 (1 48, 6 62)	1 61
1 (2009)	1.59 (0.80, 3.16)	1.77
AORITA (2009)	- 2 34 (1 62 3 40)	2 87
(USHIYAMA (2009)	2.04 (1.34, 3.12)	2.66
NDRIANKAJA (2010)	1.53 (1.23, 1.91)	3.43
IESBITT (2010)	3.13 (1.42, 6.86)	1.52
BENGUIGUI (2010)	2 34 (1 08, 5 07)	1.55
IAN (2010)	2.88 (2.14, 3.89)	3.15
IMONEN (2010)	1 40 (0 78, 2 52)	2 09
ENSLEY (2011)	1 72 (1 18 2 51)	2.85
WON (2011)	2 54 (2 27, 2 84)	3 74
CHEN (2011)	- 2 18 (1 30 3 63)	2.33
IAN (2012)	1 77 (1 14, 2 76)	2.60
	1.51 (1.30, 1.75)	3.65
	1 55 (1 02 2 37)	2.68
SOBA (2013)	2 57 (0 59, 11 24)	0.60
	1.32 (1.10, 1.57)	3.57
AMONTE (2014)	0.96(0.65, 1.42)	2 79
HANAKUN (2014)	2 98 (1 36, 6 52)	1.53
HABASHNEH (2015)	4 61 (1 98, 10 71)	1.39
(INAGAWA (2015)	2 51 (1 06, 5 92)	1.36
CHEN (2016)	9 31 (4 96, 17 46)	1.94
ARAMILLO (2016)	2.03 (0.87, 4.75)	1.38
(UMAR (2016)	2 64 (1 42, 4 93)	1.96
GOMES- FILHO (2016)	0.86 (0.56, 1.31)	2.66
(AYE (2016)	1.69 (1.22, 2.35)	3.03
	1.93 (1.19, 3.12)	2.44
VU (2016)	- 2.47 (1.78, 3.41)	3.05
UK (2017)	2.28 (1.62, 3.22)	2.98
IKUI (2017)	1.38 (1.14, 1.67)	3.53
(IM (2017) +	1.12 (0.97, 1.29)	3.67
HANG (2017)	1.83 (1.40, 2.40)	3.25
PHAM (2018)	2.53 (1.62, 3.95)	2.58
(OO (2018)	1.30 (1.20, 1.41)	3.79
BDALLA-ASLAN (2019)	→ 14.22 (6.44, 31,41)	1.51
(IM (2019)	1.96 (1.79, 2.15)	3.77
Overall (I-squared = 87.7%, p = 0.000)	1.99 (1.75, 2.25)	100.00
IOTE: Weights are from random effects analysis		

FIGURE 3 | Pooled crude odds ratios of the association between periodontitis and metabolic syndrome.

been conducted. The subgroup analysis was performed using both the crude and the adjusted ORs separately. Stata version 15.0 (StataCorp, College Station, Texas, USA) was used to analyze the data.

# RESULTS

## **Description of Studies**

During the literature search, 1,125 articles were selected, and to find other associated studies, articles listed in their references were also screened. Duplicates and other non-related articles were not considered for the systematic review. Then, among these, 86 of the articles were retrieved, and their titles, abstracts, and full texts were scrutinized for possible relevance. Finally, 43 articles were selected for the systematic review, and 39 were enrolled in this meta-analysis where the crude ORs were calculated individually (**Figure 1**). Additionally, 35 studies with adjusted ORs were used from the specified studies (6, 10, 12, 15–54).

The characteristics of each study are listed in **Table 1**. Fortythree studies published from 2007 to 2019 were analyzed. There were studies from eastern Asia as well as western Asia, European countries, and North and South American countries. Thirtyeight were published in English, while 5 of them were published in Chinese. The sample size ranged from 120 (27) to 33,740. (33) Of the studies meeting the inclusion criteria for analysis, three were cohort studies (40, 45, 53), 32 were cross-sectional studies, and 8 were case-control studies. The criteria used for the diagnosis of periodontitis were different in these studies. Some used the CPI index, while others used the CAL or PPD.

Study	<b>OR</b> (95% CI) We	aight
		signi
D'AIUTO (2008)	1.45 (0.91, 2.33) 2.8	37
MORITA (2009)	2.40 (1.70, 2.70) 4.3	86
KUSHIYAMA (2009)	2.13 (1.22, 3.70) 1.2	20
ANDRIANKAJA (Men) (2010)	1.00 (0.70, 1.60) 4.8	32
ANDRIANKAJA (Women) (2010)	2.10 (1.20, 3.70) 1.1	8
NESBITT (2010)	2.61 (1.10, 6.10) 0.3	33
BENGUIGUI (2010)	♦ 3.97 (1.22, 12.90) 0.0	6
HAN (2010)	1.70 (1.22, 2.37) 3.7	4
(WON (2011) +	1.55 (1.32, 1.83) 7.0	00
CHEN (2011)	2.73 (1.29, 5.79) 0.4	0
HAN (2012)	1.76 (1.06, 2.93) 1.9	2
FUKUI (2012)	1.35 (1.03, 1.77) 5.6	67
YU (2012)	1.52 (1.07, 2.33) 3.3	34
TU (Men) (2013) ◆	1.04 (0.96, 1.12) 8.6	67
ΓU (Women) (2013) ◆	1.52 (1.41, 1.63) 8.4	7
FURATA (Men) (2013)	1.21 (0.59, 2.49) 1.8	37
FURATA (Women) (2013)	3.60 (1.30, 12.61) 0.0	)7
_AMONTE (2014) +	1.11 (0.71, 1.75) 4.1	8
ΓΗΑΝΑΚUN (2014)	3.60 (1.34, 9.65) 0.1	2
ALHABASHNEH (2015)	3.28 (1.30, 8.30) 0.1	7
MINAGAWA (2015)	- 2.10 (1.03, 4.28) 0.7	'4
CHEN (2016)	3.38 (1.89, 5.92) 0.5	50
JARAMILLO (2016)	2.72 (1.09, 6.79) 0.2	26
(UMAR (2016)	2.64 (1.36, 5.18) 0.5	55
GOMES- FILHO (2016)	- 2.11 (1.01, 4.40) 0.6	69
(AYE (2016) ♦	1.19 (1.00, 1.41) 7.5	57
ZUK (2017)	1.28 (0.68, 2.40) 2.1	8
KIKUI (2017)	1.89 (1.31, 2.73) 2.8	37
ZHANG (2017)	1.26 (1.08, 1.48) 7.6	33
PHAM (2018)	4.06 (2.11, 7.84) 0.2	25
(OO (2018)	1.12 (1.01, 1.24) 8.4	4
(IM (2019)	1.42 (1.26, 1.61) 7.8	39
Overall (I-squared = 73.5%, p = 0.000)	1.45 (1.31, 1.60) 10	0.00
NOTE: Weights are from random effects analysis		
-12.9 0	12.9	

FIGURE 4 | Pooled adjusted odds ratios of the association between periodontitis and metabolic syndrome.

Twenty-seven studies had complete full-mouth examination, 15 had partial-mouth examination, and one study (28) was self-reported periodontitis. The proportion of periodontitis ranged from 3.4 to 88.4% among the mentioned studies. For the diagnosis of MetS, 21 studies used NCEP ATP III criteria, 5 used the 2005 IDF criteria, 13 used the 2009 IDF criteria, and

the remaining studies used different methods. The percentage range of patients with MetS ranged from 5.2 to 100% among the studies. The funnel plot for the investigation of publication bias was drawn by plotting the standard error of the logOR against the logOR. As shown in the plot, the risk of publication bias was not significant (**Figure 2**).

ID     OR (95% Cl)     Weight       Partial Periodontal Examination     241 (217, 257)     3.84       DYILUTO (2008)     2.34 (122, 7.457)     3.84       MORITA (2009)     2.34 (122, 7.457)     3.84       DADILANALAR (2010)     1.53 (123, 110)     3.52       HAN (2011)     2.84 (227, 2.84)     3.83       CHEN (2011)     2.84 (127, 2.67)     2.84 (127, 2.67)     3.84       HAN (2010)     2.84 (127, 2.67)     3.83     2.41       HAN (2010)     2.84 (127, 2.67)     3.83     3.24       HAN (2012)     2.84 (127, 2.67)     3.83     3.83       ZUK (2017)     1.83 (141, 167)     3.63       KIM (2017)     1.38 (141, 167)     3.63       KIM (2017)     1.96 (1.79, 2.15)     3.67       Subtotal (I-squared = 94.0%, p = 0.000)     1.96 (1.79, 2.15)     3.67       Complete Periodontal Examination     1.2007)     1.96 (1.92, 2.62)     2.68       KINADENN (2009)     1.59 (0.80, 3.16)     1.83     1.84, 1.48, 6.22)     1.68       KUSHIYAMA (2009)     1.59 (0.80, 3.16)     1.83     1.84, 1.48, 5.2)     1.68       KUSHIYAMA (2009)     1.59 (0.80, 3.16)     1.83     1.84, 1.48, 5.2)     1.68       FURADER (2012)     1.59 (0.80, 3.16)     1.83     1.84, 1.44, 1.62, 2.2, 2.16 <th>Study</th> <th></th> <th>%</th>	Study		%
Partial Periodontal Examination SHIMA2AI (2007) DVLITO (2008) MORITA (2009) ADDENAIVA(2010) HAN (2010) CHEW (2011) HAN (2012) ZUK (2017) KIKU (2018) LI (2009) LI (2009) LI (2009) LI (2009) LI (2009) LI (2009) LI (2009) LI (2009) LI (2012) TVLV (2013) LAMONTE (2014) ALHABASHNEH (2015) ALHABASHNEH	ID	OR (95% CI)	Weight
SHIMA2AW (2007) DVAIUTO (2008) MORITA (2008) ANDRIANKAWA (2010) HAN (2010) CHEN (2011) HAN (2010) CHEN (2011) HAN (2012) ZUK (2017) KIKUI (2017) KIKUI (2017) KIKUI (2017) KIKUI (2017) Complete Periodontal Examination LI (2007) Hand (2019) Subtral (Hoguared = 94.0%, p = 0.000) LI (2009) HAN (2019) Subtral (Hoguared = 88.0%, p = 0.000) LI (2012) LI (2012) Complete Periodontal Examination LI (2017) HAN (2010) Complete Periodontal Examination LI (2017) HAN (2019) Subtral (Hoguared = 88.0%, p = 0.000) Complete Periodontal Examination LI (2017) HAN (2019) Subtral (Hoguared = 88.0%, p = 0.000) Complete Periodontal Examination LI (2017) Complete Periodontal Examination LI (2017) HAN (2019) Subtral (Hoguared = 88.0%, p = 0.000) Complete Periodontal Examination LI (2017) HAN (2019) Subtral (Hoguared = 88.0%, p = 0.000) LI (2012) THANAKUN (2016) Complete Periodontal Examination LI (2017) HAN (2016) Complete Periodontal Examination LI (2017) HAN (2018) ALABASINNEH (2015) Complete Periodontal Examination LI (2017) HAN (2019) Subtral (Hoguared = 88.0%, p = 0.000) NOTE: Weights are from fandom effects analysis HAN (2018) ADMINACAWA (2019) Subtral (Hoguared = 88.0%, p = 0.000) NOTE: Weights are from fandom effects analysis HAN (2018) ADMINACAWA (2019) Subtral (Hoguared = 88.0%, p = 0.000) NOTE: Weights are from fandom effects analysis HAN (2018) ADMINACAWA (2019) ADMINACAWA (2019)	Partial Periodontal Examination		
D'ALUTO (2008) MORITA (2009) ANDRIANKAJA (2010) LANN (2010) ANDRIANKAJA (2010) LANN (2011) CHEN (2011) LANN (2012) ZUK (2017) KIKU (2017) KIKU (2017) KIKU (2017) KIKU (2017) KIKU (2017) KIKU (2017) Complete Periodontal Examination LI (2009) LI (2009) KHADER (2008) LI (2009) KHADER (2008) LI (2009) KHADER (2008) LI (2009) KHADER (2018) KHADER (2008) LI (2009) KHADER (2010) Complete Periodontal Examination LI (2007) KHADER (2008) LI (2009) KHADER (2019) KHADER (2010) TIMONEN (2010) FUKUI (2010) TIMONEN (2010) FUKUI (2012) YU (2015) KHADER (2015) CAMANTE (2014) ALHABASHINEH (2015) KHADAR (2015) COMES FLIHO (2016) KAYE (2016) Subtotal (I-squared = 88.0%, p = 0.000) NOTE: Weights are from random effects analysis	SHIMAZAKI (2007)	2.62 (1.59, 4.32)	2.46
MORTA, 2009)       2.34 (f 62, 3.40)       2.95         ANDRIANKAJA (2010)       1.53 (1.23, 1.91)       3.52         ANDRIANKAJA (2010)       2.86 (2.14, 3.89)       3.24         KWON (2011)       2.86 (2.14, 3.89)       3.24         CHEN (2011)       2.86 (2.14, 3.89)       3.24         HAN (2012)       1.77 (1.14, 2.76)       2.86         ZUK (2017)       1.38 (1.14, 1.67)       3.83         KIM (2017)       1.38 (1.14, 1.67)       3.83         KIM (2017)       1.12 (0.97, 1.29)       3.76         KIM (2019)       1.96 (1.79, 2.15)       3.87         Subtotal (I-squared = 94.0%, p = 0.000)       1.96 (1.09, 2.62)       2.68         KHADER (2006)       1.96 (1.09, 2.62)       2.68         LI (2007)       1.69 (1.09, 2.62)       2.68         KHADER (2006)       1.57 (0.2, 2.37)       2.76         BENGUIGUI (2010)       2.34 (1.06, 5.07)       1.69         TIMONEN (2010)       2.34 (1.06, 5.07)       1.69         LI (2007)       1.56 (1.02, 2.37)       2.76         UV (2012)       2.56 (1.22, 2.36)       3.15         VU (2012)       2.56 (1.02, 2.37)       2.76         DENGUIGUI (2010)       2.34 (1.06, 5.02)       1.58	D'AIUTO (2008)	2.41 (2.17, 2.67)	3.84
ANDRUANKAJA (2010) HAN (2011) CHEN (2011) CHEN (2011) CHEN (2012) ZUK (2017) KIM (2017) KIM (2017) KIM (2017) Complete Periodontal Examination LI (2007) KIM (2018) KIM (2018) KIM (2018) KIM (2019) Complete Periodontal Examination LI (2009) ENDUEL (2010) TIMONEN (2016) KIM (2019) KIM (2019) KIM (2019) Complete Periodontal Examination LI (2009) LI (2009) LI (2009) KIM (2019) KIM (2019) Complete Periodontal Examination LI (2009) LI (2009) LI (2010) TIMONEN (2010) FUKUI (2012) COMPLEX (2013) CIT (2014) ALTHAAKUN (2014) ALTHAAKUN (2015) CHEN (2015) KIM (2015) KIM (2017) CHEN (2016) KIM (2017) Complete Periodontal Examination LI (2009) KIM (2019) KIM (2019) KIM (2019) CIT (2010) TIMONEN (2010) FUKUI (2012) CIT (2010) TIMONEN (2010) FUKUI (2012) CIT (2014) ALTHAAKUN (2015) CIT (2014) ALTHAAKUN (2015) CIT (2015) KIM (2015) KIM (2015) KIM (2015) KIM (2015) KIM (2017) CIT (17, 2, 255) Set R (2017) CIT (17, 2, 255) CIT (2016) KIM (2017) CIT (17, 2, 255) CIT (	MORITA (2009)	2.34 (1.62, 3.40)	2.95
HAN (2010)       288 (214, 389)       3.24         KWON (2011)       256 (2.27, 2.84)       3.83         CHEN (2011)       2.56 (2.27, 2.84)       3.83         HAN (2012)       1.77 (1.4, 2.76)       2.68         LIK (2017)       1.38 (1.44, 1.67)       3.83         KIKU (2017)       1.38 (1.14, 1.67)       3.83         KIKU (2017)       1.38 (1.14, 1.67)       3.83         KIM (2017)       1.39 (1.20, 1.41)       3.83         KIM (2019)       1.96 (1.79, 2.15)       3.67         Subbalal (Lequared = 94.0%, p = 0.000)       1.96 (1.09, 2.62)       2.68         .       1.96 (1.79, 2.15)       3.67         KIMAC (2016)       1.59 (1.09, 2.62)       2.68         KIMADER (2006)       1.59 (1.09, 2.62)       2.68         LI (2007)       1.69 (1.09, 2.62)       2.68         KIMADER (2016)       3.13 (1.44, 6.62)       1.66         LI (2007)       1.69 (1.09, 2.62)       2.68         KUSHIYAMA (2020)       3.13 (1.44, 6.62)       1.66         NUSHIVAMA (2020)       3.26 (1.42, 6.80)       1.57         ENGUIGUI (2010)       2.36 (1.65, 5.2)       2.68         KUMADER (2016)       2.56 (1.62, 2.27)       2.76         Storal (2		1.53 (1.23, 1.91)	3.52
KNVON (2011)       2.94 (2.27, 2.94)       3.83         CHEN (2011)       2.18 (1.30, 363)       2.41         HAN (2012)       1.77 (1.4, 2.76)       2.88         ZUK (2017)       1.38 (1.41, 1.67)       3.63         KIM (2018)       1.96 (1.09, 2.62)       2.68         KHADER (2008)       1.96 (1.09, 2.62)       2.68         LI (2007)       1.59 (1.09, 2.62)       2.68         KHADER (2009)       3.13 (1.42, 6.86)       1.57         DENGUIGUI (2010)       1.59 (1.00, 2.62)       2.64         TIMONEN (2010)       3.13 (1.42, 6.86)       1.57         DENGUIGUI (2010)       1.40 (0.78, 2.52)       2.16         TU(2012)       2.04 (1.33, 3.12)       2.76         SORA (2013)       2.57 (0.59, 11.24)       0.63         FURKU (2016)       1.40 (0.78, 2.52)       1.85         ALHABASINHER (2015)       1.41 (1.50, 1.77)       3.75         SORA (2013)       2.57 (0.59, 11.24)       0.63         CHEN (2016)       2.81 (1.06, 5.29)       1.41         MIMAGAWA (2015) <td>HAN (2010)</td> <td>▲ 2 88 (2 14 3 89)</td> <td>3 24</td>	HAN (2010)	▲ 2 88 (2 14 3 89)	3 24
CHEN (2011) HAN (2012) ZUK (2017) KIKU (2017) Complete Periodontal Examination L (2007) Complete Periodontal Examination L (2007) Complete Periodontal Examination L (2007) Complete Periodontal Examination L (2007) KHADER (2008) L (2009) KUSHIYAMA (2009) EBNGUIGU (2010) TIMONEN (2010) FUKUI (2010) TIMONEN (2010) FUKUI (2012) YU (2012) YU (2012) YU (2012) THANAKUN (2014) ALHABASHNEH (2015) ALHABASHNEH (2015) ALHABASHNEH (2015) KUSSKOPF (2016) WUSSKOPF (2016) WU	KWON (2011)	2.54 (2.27, 2.84)	3.83
HAN (2012) ZUK (2017) KIW (2017) KIW (2017) KIW (2017) KIW (2017) KIW (2017) KIW (2017) KIW (2017) KIW (2019) Subtal (I-squared = 94.0%, p = 0.000) - Complete Periodontal Examination LI (2009) KHADER (2008) LI (2009) KHADER (2008) LI (2009) KHADER (2008) LI (2009) KHADER (2009) KHADER (2009) KHADER (2009) KHADER (2010) TIMONEN (2010) TIMONEN (2010) TIMONEN (2010) TIMONEN (2010) TIMONEN (2010) TIMONEN (2010) TIMONEN (2010) TIMONEN (2010) TIMONEN (2011) TIMANAKUN (2014) ALHABASHINHE (2015) MINAGAWA (2015) CHEN (2015) KHARABLEN (2016) ALHABASHINHE (2015) KHARAUN (2016) ALHABASHINHE (2015) KHARAUN (2016) CARE (2018) KUMAR (2016) CARE (2018) KUMAR (2016) CARE (2018) KUMAR (2016) CARE (2018) KUMAR (2016) CARE (109, 529) LI (2019) TIMANAKUN (2016) CARE (109, 529) LI (2012) CARE (109, 529) LI (2012) CARE (109, 529) LI (2012) CARE (2014) CARE (2014) CARE (2015) KUMAR (2016) CARE (109, 529) LI (2015) KUMAR (2016) CARE (109, 529) LI (100, 529) LI (119, 512) CARE (129, 236) CARE (119, 512) CARE (119, 512) CARE (119, 512) CARE (119, 512) CARE (129, 236) CARE (129, 236) CARE (119, 512) CARE (119, 512) CARE (129, 236) CARE (129, 23	CHEN (2011)	2 18 (1 30 3 63)	2 41
ZUK (2017)       128 (1.62, 3.22)       3.06         KIKU (2017)       1.38 (1.14, 1.67)       3.63         KIW (2017)       1.12 (0.97, 1.29)       3.76         KOO (2018)       1.30 (1.20, 1.41)       3.88         KIM (2019)       1.96 (1.79, 2.15)       3.87         Subbatal (-squared = 94.0%, p = 0.000)       1.96 (1.09, 2.62)       2.68         .       Complete Periodontal Examination       1.69 (1.09, 2.62)       2.68         LI (2007)       1.69 (0.09, 2.62)       2.68       1.66         KIVAI (2010)       1.59 (0.80, 3.16)       1.83       1.84         KUSHIYAMA (2009)       NESBITT (2010)       2.34 (1.08, 5.07)       1.60         ENERDIGUI (2010)       2.34 (1.08, 5.07)       1.60       1.60         TIMONEN (2010)       2.57 (0.59, 11.24)       0.63       2.57 (0.59, 11.24)       0.63         FURATA (2013)       1.32 (1.10, 1.57)       3.75       71       567       1.56 (1.02, 2.37)       2.67 (0.59, 11.24)       0.63         CHEN (2016)       1.58 (1.08, 5.22)       1.48       1.48       1.49 (0.78, 2.22)       2.61         MINAGAWA (2015)       1.49 (0.78, 2.22)       2.67 (0.59, 11.24)       0.63       2.57 (0.59, 11.24)       0.63         MUARAR (2016)	HAN (2012)	1 77 (1 14 2 76)	2.68
KIKU (2017)       1.38 (1.14, 1.67)       3.63         KIM (2017)       1.38 (1.14, 1.67)       3.63         KIM (2017)       1.12 (0.97), 1.29)       3.76         KUM (2019)       1.96 (1.79, 2.15)       3.87         Subtotal (I-squared = 94.0%, p = 0.000)       1.96 (1.79, 2.15)       3.87         Complete Periodontal Examination       1.12 (0.97)       1.69 (1.09, 2.62)       2.68         LI (2007)       1.56 (0.80, 3.16)       1.33       1.31 (1.48, 6.62)       1.66         LI (2009)       1.56 (0.80, 3.16)       1.33       1.33 (1.42, 6.86)       1.57         BENGUIGUI (2010)       1.56 (0.80, 3.16)       1.33       1.33 (1.42, 6.86)       1.57         DENOSUIGUI (2010)       1.56 (1.02, 2.37)       2.76       2.76       50, 71, 1.24)       0.63         FURAI (2013)       2.57 (0.59, 11.24)       0.63       1.52 (1.10, 1.57)       3.67         LAMONTE (2014)       2.98 (1.36, 6.52)       1.58       1.41       CHEN (2016)       2.86 (1.42, 4.33)       2.02         GOMESE- FUHO (2016)       2.66 (1.42, 4.33)       2.02       2.03 (0.87, 4.75)       1.43         KMMAR (2016)       2.66 (1.42, 4.33)       2.02       2.53 (1.82, 3.55)       2.66         GOMESE- FUHO (2016)       2.66 (1.42,	ZUK (2017)	2 28 (1 62 3 22)	3.06
KIM (2017) KIM (2019) Subtotal (I-squared = 94.0%, p = 0.000) Complete Periodontal Examination LI (2007) KIADER (2008) LI (2009) KISHITYAMA (2009) NESSHIT (2010) BENGUGUI (2010) TIMONEN (2010) FUKUI (2010) FUKUI (2012) YU (2012) SORA (2013) FURATA (2013) FURATA (2013) FURATA (2013) FURATA (2013) FURATA (2015) FURATA (2015) GOMES- FILHO (2016) KAJMAR (2017) FHAM (2014) ARAMILLO (2016) KAJMER (2017) FHAM (2018) ABDALLA-ASLAN (2019) Subtotal (I-squared = 78.3%, p = 0.000) NOTE: Weights are from random effects analysis	KKUI (2017)	1.38 (1.14, 1.67)	3.63
Nink (2017)       1.12 (007, 1.20)       0.13 (1.20)         KIM (2019)       1.30 (12.0, 1.41)       3.88         Subtotal (I-squared = 94.0%, p = 0.000)       1.91 (1.58, 2.31)       43.13         Complete Periodontal Examination       1.20 (2007)       1.99 (1.09, 2.62)       2.68         KHA (2019)       3.13 (1.48, 6.62)       1.66       1.69 (1.09, 2.62)       2.68         KHADER (2008)       1.59 (0.00, 3.16)       1.83       1.274         NESBITT (2010)       3.13 (1.42, 6.86)       1.57         BENGUIGUI (2010)       1.51 (1.03, 1.75)       3.75         VU (2012)       2.34 (1.08, 5.07)       1.60         VU (2012)       1.55 (1.02, 2.37)       2.76         SORA (2013)       2.57 (0.59, 11.24)       0.63         FURAU (2014)       1.52 (1.10, 1.57)       3.67         THANAKUN (2014)       1.52 (1.10, 1.57)       3.67         THANAKUN (2014)       2.88 (1.36, 6.52)       1.58         ALHADASHNEH (2015)       1.41       3.14 (4.96, 17.49)       2.01         JARAMILLO (2016)       2.03 (0.87, 4.75)       1.43         KUMAR (2016)       2.03 (0.87, 4.75)       1.43         GOMES- FULHO (2016)       2.03 (0.87, 4.75)       1.43         KAYE (2016)	KIM (2017)	1 12 (0 97 1 29)	3.76
Note (120)       10.6 (1.72, 1.73)       0.80         Subtotal (I-squared = 94.0%, p = 0.000)       1.96 (1.72, 2.16)       3.87         Subtotal (I-squared = 94.0%, p = 0.000)       1.96 (1.79, 2.16)       3.87         Complete Periodontal Examination       1.69 (1.09, 2.62)       2.68         LI (2007)       1.59 (0.80, 3.16)       1.83         KUSHIYAMA (2009)       NESSHT (2010)       2.04 (1.34, 3.12)       2.74         DESBIT (2010)       2.34 (1.08, 5.07)       1.60         ENSUIGUI (2010)       1.40 (0.78, 2.52)       2.16         FUKUI (2012)       1.55 (1.02, 2.37)       2.76         SORA (2013)       2.57 (0.59, 11.24)       0.63         LAHABASHNEH (2015)       1.55 (1.02, 2.37)       2.76         SORA (2013)       2.86 (1.36, 6.52)       1.58         LAHABASHNEH (2015)       1.51 (1.30, 1.76)       3.75         LAHABASHNEH (2015)       2.51 (1.06, 5.92)       1.41         MINGSKOPF (2016)       2.64 (1.42, 4.93)       2.02         MU (2016)       2.44 (1.42, 4.93)       2.02         JARAMILLO (2016)       2.45 (1.62, 3.95)       2.66         MUSKOPF (2016)       2.45 (1.62, 3.95)       2.66         WU (2016)       2.47 (1.78, 3.41)       3.14 <tr< td=""><td>KOO (2018)</td><td>1.30 (1.20, 1.41)</td><td>3.88</td></tr<>	KOO (2018)	1.30 (1.20, 1.41)	3.88
Image: construction       Image: construction<	KIM (2019)	1.00 (1.20, 1.41)	3.87
Complete Pariodontal Examination       1.69 (1.09, 2.62)       2.68         LI (2007)       1.69 (1.09, 2.62)       2.68         KHADER (2008)       1.59 (0.80, 3.16)       1.83         KUSHIYAMA (2009)       2.04 (1.34, 3.12)       2.74         NESBITT (2010)       2.04 (1.34, 3.12)       2.74         DENGUIGUI (2010)       1.40 (0.78, 2.52)       2.16         FUKUI (2012)       1.55 (1.02, 2.37)       2.76         SORA (2013)       2.57 (0.59, 11.24)       0.63         FURATA (2013)       1.55 (1.02, 2.37)       2.76         SORA (2013)       2.57 (0.59, 11.24)       0.63         FURATA (2013)       2.57 (0.59, 11.24)       0.63         LAMANETE (2014)       9.80 (0.55, 1.42)       2.87         THANAKUN (2014)       2.44 (6.81, 0.71)       1.44         MINAGAWA (2015)       2.51 (1.06, 5.92)       1.41         CHEN (2016)       2.86 (0.56, 1.31)       2.74         MUSSKOPF (2016)       2.86 (0.56, 1.31)       2.74         MUSSKOPF (2016)       2.86 (1.42, 4.39)       2.02         GOMES - FILHO (2016)       2.86 (0.56, 1.31)       2.74         KAYE (2016)       2.86 (0.56, 1.31)       2.74         MUSSKOPF (2016)       2.86 (0.52, 1.35) <td< td=""><td>Subtotal (I-squared = <math>94.0\%</math> p = <math>0.000</math>)</td><td>1 91 (1 58 2 31)</td><td>43 13</td></td<>	Subtotal (I-squared = $94.0\%$ p = $0.000$ )	1 91 (1 58 2 31)	43 13
Complete Periodontal Examination Li (2007) KHADER (2008) Li (2009) KUSHIYAMA (2009) NESBITT (2010) BENGUIGU (2010) TIMONEN (2010) TUMONEN (2010) FUKUI (2012) YU (2012) SORA (2013) FURATA (2013) LAMONTE (2014) THANAKUN (2014) ALHABASHINEH (2015) MINAGAWA (2015) CHEN (2016) COMES- FLIHO (2016) KAYE (2016) MUSSKOPF (2016) KUSHIYAMA (2019) Subtotal (I-squared = 78.3%, p = 0.000) NOTE: Weights are from random effects analysis		1.81 (1.86, 2.81)	45.15
Ll (2007) KHADER (2008) Ll (2009) KUSHIYAMA (2009) NESBITT (2010) EENGUIGUI (2010) TIMONEN (2010) EUKUI (2012) SORA (2013) LUAMONTE (2014) ALAMONTE (2014) THANAKUN (2015) CHANGNE (2015) CHEN (2015) KUMAR (2016) GOMES-FILHO (2016) KUMAR (2016) GOMES-FILHO (2016) KAYE (2016) MUSSKOPF (2016) KUSKOPF (2016) Correall (I-squared = 88.0%, p = 0.000) NOTE: Weights are from random effects analysis	Complete Periodontal Examination		
KHADER (2008)       3.13 (1.48, 6.62)       1.66         Ll (2009)       1.59 (0.80, 3.16)       1.83         KUSHIYAMA (2009)       2.04 (1.34, 3.12)       2.74         NESBITT (2010)       3.13 (1.42, 6.86)       1.57         BENGUIGUI (2010)       1.40 (0.78, 2.52)       2.16         FUKUI (2012)       1.55 (1.02, 2.37)       2.76         SORA (2013)       2.57 (0.59, 11.24)       0.63         FURATA (2013)       1.32 (1.10, 1.57)       3.67         LAMONTE (2014)       1.32 (1.10, 1.57)       3.67         LAHABASHNEH (2015)       1.32 (1.10, 1.57)       3.67         LAHABASHNEH (2015)       1.32 (1.10, 1.57)       3.67         MINAGAWA (2015)       2.98 (1.38, 6.52)       1.41         OHENES FILHO (2016)       2.98 (1.38, 6.52)       1.41         MINAGAWA (2015)       4.61 (1.98, 10.71)       1.44         MINAGAWA (2016)       2.64 (1.42, 4.93)       2.02         GOMES- FILHO (2016)       2.64 (1.42, 4.93)       2.02         WU (2016)       2.63 (1.62, 3.95)       2.66         MUSSKOPF (2016)       1.83 (1.40, 2.40)       3.41         WU (2016)       2.93 (1.62, 3.95)       2.66         ABDALLA-ASLAN (2019)       2.00 (1.76, 2.27)       10	LI (2007)	1.69 (1.09, 2.62)	2.68
LI (2009) KUSHIYAMA (2009) NESBITI (2010) ENGUIGUI (2010) TIMONEN (2010) EVKUI (2012) YU (2012) SORA (2013) EVRATA (2013) LAMONTE (2014) ALHABASHNEH (2015) KUMAR (2016) GOMES- FILHO (2016) KUMAR (2016) GOMES- FILHO (2016) KUMAR (2016) GOMES- FILHO (2016) KUMAR (2017) PHAM (2017) PHAM (2017) PHAM (2017) PHAM (2018) ABDALLA-ASLAN (2019) Subtotal (I-squared = 88.0%, p = 0.000) NOTE: Weights are from random effects analysis	KHADER (2008)	◆ 3.13 (1.48, 6.62)	1.66
KUSHIYAMA (2009)       2.04 (1.34, 3.12)       2.74         NESBITT (2010)       3.13 (1.42, 6.86)       1.57         BENGUIGUI (2010)       1.40 (0.78, 2.52)       2.16         TIMONEN (2010)       1.55 (1.02, 2.37)       2.76         SORA (2013)       2.57 (0.59, 11.24)       0.63         FURATA (2013)       1.32 (1.10, 1.57)       3.67         LAMONTE (2014)       1.32 (1.10, 1.57)       3.67         LAMANTE (2014)       0.96 (0.65, 1.42)       2.87         THANAKUN (2014)       4.61 (1.98, 10.71)       1.44         MINAGAWA (2015)       2.55 (1.06, 5.92)       1.41         CHEN (2016)       2.56 (1.06, 5.92)       1.41         CHEN (2016)       2.56 (1.42, 4.93)       2.02         GOMES- FILHO (2016)       2.64 (1.42, 4.93)       2.02         GOMES- FILHO (2016)       2.64 (1.42, 4.93)       2.02         MUSSKOPF (2016)       1.93 (1.19, 3.12)       2.51         WU (2016)       2.53 (1.62, 3.95)       2.66         ABDALLA-ASLAN (2019)       2.46 (1.42, 4.93)       2.02         Subtotal (I-squared = 88.0%, p = 0.000)       2.00 (1.76, 2.27)       100.00         NOTE: Weights are from random effects analysis       4.51       4.51       4.52	LI (2009)	1.59 (0.80, 3.16)	1.83
NESBITT (2010) BEINGUIGUI (2010) TIMONEN (2010) FUKUI (2012) FUKUI (2012) FURATA (2013) LAMONTE (2014) THANAKUN (2014) ALHABSHNEH (2015) MINAGAWA (2015) CHEN (2016) SARA (2016) GOMES- FILHO (2016) KAYE (2016) MUSSKOPF (2016) MUSSKOPF (2016) MUSSKOPF (2016) MUSSKOPF (2016) MUSSKOPF (2016) MUSSKOPF (2016) MUSSKOPF (2016) MUSSKOPF (2016) Correlal (L-squared = 78.3%, p = 0.000) NOTE: Weights are from random effects analysis	KUSHIYAMA (2009)	2.04 (1.34, 3.12)	2.74
BENGUIGUI (2010) TIMONEN (2010) FUKUI (2012) SORA (2013) FURATA (2013) SORA (2013) FURATA (2013) LAMONTE (2014) THANAKUN (2014) ALHABASHNEH (2015) ALHABASHNEH (2015) GOMES- FILHO (2016) KUMAR (2016) GOMES- FILHO (2016) KUMAR (2016) GOMES- FILHO (2016) KAYE (2016) HUSSKOPF (2016) WU (2016) THANAKUN (2017) PHAM (2018) ABDALLA-ASLAN (2019) Subtotal (I-squared = 78.3%, p = 0.000) NOTE: Weights are from random effects analysis	NESBITT (2010)	◆ 3.13 (1.42, 6.86)	1.57
TIMONEN (2010)       1.40 (0.78, 2.52)       2.16         FUKUI (2012)       1.51 (1.30, 1.75)       3.75         SURA (2013)       1.55 (1.02, 2.37)       2.76         SURATA (2013)       1.32 (1.10, 1.57)       3.67         FURATA (2013)       1.32 (1.10, 1.57)       3.67         LAMONTE (2014)       1.32 (1.10, 1.57)       3.67         LAMONTE (2014)       2.98 (1.36, 6.52)       1.58         ALHABASHNEH (2015)       4.61 (1.98, 10.71)       1.44         MINAGAWA (2015)       2.51 (1.06, 5.92)       1.41         CHEN (2016)       2.03 (0.87, 4.75)       1.43         KUMAR (2016)       2.03 (0.87, 4.75)       1.43         GOMES- FILHO (2016)       2.64 (1.42, 4.93)       2.02         WU (2016)       2.64 (1.42, 4.93)       2.02         ZHANG (2017)       1.83 (1.40, 2.40)       3.34         PHAM (2018)       2.53 (1.62, 3.95)       2.66         ABDALLA-ASLAN (2019)       2.11 (1.74, 2.55)       56.87         Overall (I-squared = 78.3%, p = 0.000)       2.00 (1.76, 2.27)       100.00         NOTE: Weights are from random effects analysis       0.00       0.176, 2.27)       100.00	BENGUIGUI (2010)	2.34 (1.08, 5.07)	1.60
FUKUI (2012)       1.51 (1.30, 1.75)       3.75         YU (2012)       1.55 (1.02, 2.37)       2.76         SORA (2013)       1.52 (1.10, 1.57)       3.67         LAMONTE (2014)       1.32 (1.10, 1.57)       3.67         LAMONTE (2014)       0.96 (0.65, 1.42)       2.87         THANAKUN (2014)       2.98 (1.36, 6.52)       1.58         ALHABASHNEH (2015)       4.61 (1.98, 10.71)       1.44         MINAGAWA (2015)       2.51 (1.06, 5.92)       1.41         CHEN (2016)       2.03 (0.87, 4.75)       1.43         KUMAR (2016)       2.64 (1.42, 4.93)       2.02         GOMES- FILHO (2016)       2.64 (1.42, 4.93)       2.02         GOMES- FILHO (2016)       2.64 (1.42, 4.93)       2.02         MUSSKOPF (2016)       1.93 (1.19, 3.12)       2.51         MUSSKOPF (2016)       1.93 (1.19, 3.12)       2.51         WU (2018)       2.63 (1.62, 3.95)       2.66         ABDALLA-ASLAN (2019)       3.34       2.53 (1.62, 3.95)       2.66         Subtotal (I-squared = 78.3%, p = 0.000)       2.00 (1.76, 2.27)       100.00         NOTE: Weights are from random effects analysis       2.00 (1.76, 2.27)       100.00	TIMONEN (2010)	1.40 (0.78, 2.52)	2.16
YU (2012) SORA (2013) FURATA (2013) LAMONTE (2014) THANAKUN (2014) ALHABASHNEH (2015) MINAGAWA (2015) CHEN (2016) GOMES- FILHO (2016) KUMAR (2016) GOMES- FILHO (2016) KUMAR (2016) GOMES- FILHO (2016) KUMAR (2016) GOMES- FILHO (2016) KUMAR (2017) PHAM (2018) ABDALLA-ASLAN (2019) Subtotal (I-squared = 78.3%, p = 0.000) NOTE: Weights are from random effects analysis NOTE: Weights are from random effects analysis	FUKUI (2012)	1.51 (1.30, 1.75)	3.75
SORA (2013)       2.57 (0.59, 11.24)       0.63         FURATA (2013)       1.32 (1.10, 1.57)       3.67         LAMONTE (2014)       0.96 (0.65, 14.2)       2.87         ALHABASHNEH (2015)       0.96 (0.65, 14.2)       2.87         MINAGAWA (2015)       2.51 (1.06, 5.92)       1.41         CHEN (2016)       2.03 (0.87, 4.75)       1.43         JARAMILLO (2016)       2.03 (0.87, 4.75)       1.43         GOMES - FILHO (2016)       0.86 (0.56, 1.31)       2.74         KAYE (2016)       1.99 (1.22, 2.35)       3.11         MUSSKOPF (2016)       1.93 (1.49, 2.40)       3.34         WU (2016)       2.47 (1.78, 3.41)       3.14         ZHANG (2017)       1.43 (1.40, 2.40)       3.34         PHAM (2018)       2.53 (1.62, 3.95)       2.66         ABDALLA-ASLAN (2019)       3.41 (1.74, 2.55)       56.87         Subtotal (I-squared = 78.3%, p = 0.000)       2.00 (1.76, 2.27)       100.00         NOTE: Weights are from random effects analysis       2.00 (1.76, 2.27)       100.00	YU (2012)	1.55 (1.02, 2.37)	2.76
FURATA (2013)       1.32 (1.10, 1.57)       3.67         LAMONTE (2014)       0.96 (0.65, 1.42)       2.87         THANAKUN (2014)       2.98 (1.36, 6.52)       1.58         ALHABASHNEH (2015)       4.61 (1.98, 10.71)       1.44         MINAGAWA (2015)       2.51 (1.06, 5.92)       1.41         CHEN (2016)       2.63 (1.62, 3.92)       1.43         JARAMILLO (2016)       2.63 (1.42, 4.93)       2.02         GOMES- FILHO (2016)       0.86 (0.56, 1.31)       2.74         KAYE (2016)       1.69 (1.22, 2.35)       3.11         MUSSKOPF (2016)       1.69 (1.22, 2.35)       3.11         WU (2016)       2.47 (1.78, 3.41)       3.14         ZHANG (2017)       1.83 (1.40, 2.40)       3.34         PHAM (2018)       2.53 (1.62, 3.95)       2.66         ABDALLA-ASLAN (2019)       3.41 (1.74, 2.55)       56.87         Subtotal (I-squared = 78.3%, p = 0.000)       2.00 (1.76, 2.27)       100.00         NOTE: Weights are from random effects analysis       2.00 (1.76, 2.27)       100.00	SORA (2013)	2.57 (0.59, 11.24)	0.63
LAMONTE (2014) THANAKUN (2014) ALHABASHNEH (2015) MINAGAWA (2015) CHEN (2016) KUMAR (2016) GOMES- FILHO (2016) KAYE (2016) MUSSKOPF (2016) WU (2016) WU (2016) CHANG (2017) PHAM (2018) ABDALLA-ASLAN (2019) Subtotal (I-squared = 78.3%, p = 0.000) NOTE: Weights are from random effects analysis	FURATA (2013)	1.32 (1.10, 1.57)	3.67
THANAKUN (2014)       2.98 (1.36, 6.52)       1.58         ALHABASHNEH (2015)       4.61 (1.98, 10.71)       1.44         WINAGAWA (2015)       2.51 (1.06, 5.92)       1.41         CHEN (2016)       9.31 (4.96, 17.46)       2.01         JARAMILLO (2016)       2.03 (0.87, 4.75)       1.43         KUMAR (2016)       2.64 (1.42, 4.93)       2.02         GOMES- FILHO (2016)       0.86 (0.56, 1.31)       2.74         KAYE (2016)       0.86 (0.56, 1.31)       2.74         MUSSKOPF (2016)       1.69 (1.22, 2.35)       3.11         MUSSKOPF (2016)       2.47 (1.78, 3.41)       3.14         ZHANG (2017)       1.43 (1.40, 2.40)       3.34         PHAM (2018)       2.53 (1.62, 3.95)       2.66         ABDALLA-ASLAN (2019)       3.41       1.56         Subtotal (I-squared = 78.3%, p = 0.000)       2.10 (1.76, 2.27)       100.00         NOTE: Weights are from random effects analysis       2.00 (1.76, 2.27)       100.00	LAMONTE (2014)	0.96 (0.65, 1.42)	2.87
ALHABASHNEH (2015) MINAGAWA (2015) CHEN (2016) JARAMILLO (2016) KUMAR (2016) GOMES- FILHO (2016) KUMAR (2016) GOMES- FILHO (2016) WUSSKOPF (2016) WUSSKOPF (2016) WU (2016) ZHANG (2017) PHAM (2018) ABDALLA-ASLAN (2019) Subtotal (I-squared = 78.3%, p = 0.000) NOTE: Weights are from random effects analysis	THANAKUN (2014)	♦ 2.98 (1.36, 6.52)	1.58
MINAGAWA (2015)       2.51 (1.06, 5.92)       1.41         CHEN (2016)       9.31 (4.96, 17.46)       2.01         JARAMILLO (2016)       2.03 (0.87, 4.75)       1.43         KUMAR (2016)       2.64 (1.42, 4.93)       2.02         GOMES- FILHO (2016)       0.86 (0.56, 1.31)       2.74         KAYE (2016)       1.69 (1.22, 2.35)       3.11         MUSSKOPF (2016)       1.93 (1.19, 3.12)       2.51         WU (2016)       2.47 (1.78, 3.41)       3.14         ZHANG (2017)       1.83 (1.40, 2.40)       3.34         PHAM (2018)       2.53 (1.62, 3.95)       2.66         ABDALLA-ASLAN (2019)       14.22 (6.44, 31.41)       1.56         Subtotal (I-squared = 78.3%, p = 0.000)       2.00 (1.76, 2.27)       100.00         NOTE: Weights are from random effects analysis       2.00 (1.76, 2.27)       100.00	ALHABASHNEH (2015)	4.61 (1.98, 10.71)	1.44
CHEN (2016)       9.31 (4.96, 17.46)       2.01         JARAMILLO (2016)       2.03 (0.87, 4.75)       1.43         KUMAR (2016)       2.64 (1.42, 4.93)       2.02         GOMES- FILHO (2016)       0.86 (0.56, 1.31)       2.74         KAYE (2016)       1.69 (1.22, 2.35)       3.11         MUSSKOPF (2016)       1.93 (1.19, 3.12)       2.51         AVU (2016)       2.47 (1.78, 3.41)       3.14         ZHANG (2017)       1.83 (1.40, 2.40)       3.34         PHAM (2018)       2.53 (1.62, 3.95)       2.66         ABDALLA-ASLAN (2019)       14.22 (6.44, 31.41)       1.56         Subtotal (I-squared = 78.3%, p = 0.000)       4       2.00 (1.76, 2.27)       100.00         NOTE: Weights are from random effects analysis       4       4       4       4	MINAGAWA (2015)	2.51 (1.06, 5.92)	1.41
JARAMILLO (2016)       2.03 (0.87, 4.75)       1.43         KUMAR (2016)       2.64 (1.42, 4.93)       2.02         GOMES- FILHO (2016)       0.86 (0.56, 1.31)       2.74         KAYE (2016)       1.69 (1.22, 2.35)       3.11         MUSSKOPF (2016)       1.93 (1.19, 3.12)       2.51         MU (2016)       2.47 (1.78, 3.41)       3.14         ZHANG (2017)       1.83 (1.40, 2.40)       3.34         PHAM (2018)       2.53 (1.62, 3.95)       2.66         ABDALLA-ASLAN (2019)       14.22 (6.44, 31.41)       1.56         Subtotal (I-squared = 78.3%, p = 0.000)       2.00 (1.76, 2.27)       100.00         NOTE: Weights are from random effects analysis       2.00 (1.76, 2.27)       100.00	CHEN (2016)	9.31 (4.96, 17.46)	2.01
KUMAR (2016)       2.64 (1.42, 4.93)       2.02         GOMES- FILHO (2016)       0.86 (0.56, 1.31)       2.74         KAYE (2016)       1.69 (1.22, 2.35)       3.11         MUSSKOPF (2016)       1.93 (1.19, 3.12)       2.51         WU (2016)       2.47 (1.78, 3.41)       3.14         ZHANG (2017)       1.83 (1.40, 2.40)       3.34         PHAM (2018)       2.53 (1.62, 3.95)       2.66         ABDALLA-ASLAN (2019)       14.22 (6.44, 31.41)       1.56         Subtotal (I-squared = 78.3%, p = 0.000)       2.11 (1.74, 2.55)       56.87         Overall (I-squared = 88.0%, p = 0.000)       2.00 (1.76, 2.27)       100.00	JARAMILLO (2016)	2.03 (0.87, 4.75)	1.43
GOMES- FILHO (2016)       0.86 (0.56, 1.31)       2.74         KAYE (2016)       1.69 (1.22, 2.35)       3.11         MUSSKOPF (2016)       1.93 (1.19, 3.12)       2.51         AVU (2016)       2.47 (1.78, 3.41)       3.14         ZHANG (2017)       1.83 (1.40, 2.40)       3.34         PHAM (2018)       2.53 (1.62, 3.95)       2.66         ABDALLA-ASLAN (2019)       14.22 (6.44, 31.41)       1.56         Subtotal (I-squared = 78.3%, p = 0.000)       2.00 (1.76, 2.27)       100.00         NOTE: Weights are from random effects analysis       2.00 (1.76, 2.27)       100.00	KUMAR (2016)	2.64 (1.42, 4.93)	2.02
KAYE (2016)       1.69 (1.22, 2.35)       3.11         MUSSKOPF (2016)       1.93 (1.19, 3.12)       2.51         MU (2016)       2.47 (1.78, 3.41)       3.14         ZHANG (2017)       1.83 (1.40, 2.40)       3.34         PHAM (2018)       2.53 (1.62, 3.95)       2.66         ABDALLA-ASLAN (2019)       14.22 (6.44, 31.41)       1.56         Subtotal (I-squared = 78.3%, p = 0.000)       2.00 (1.76, 2.27)       100.00         NOTE: Weights are from random effects analysis       2.00 (1.76, 2.27)       100.00	GOMES- FILHO (2016)	0.86 (0.56, 1.31)	2.74
MUSSKOPF (2016)       1.93 (1.19, 3.12)       2.51         WU (2016)       2.47 (1.78, 3.41)       3.14         ZHANG (2017)       1.83 (1.40, 2.40)       3.34         PHAM (2018)       2.53 (1.62, 3.95)       2.66         ABDALLA-ASLAN (2019)       14.22 (6.44, 31.41)       1.56         Subtotal (I-squared = 78.3%, p = 0.000)       2.11 (1.74, 2.55)       56.87         Overall (I-squared = 88.0%, p = 0.000)       2.00 (1.76, 2.27)       100.00	KAYE (2016)	1.69 (1.22, 2.35)	3.11
WU (2016)       2.47 (1.78, 3.41)       3.14         ZHANG (2017)       1.83 (1.40, 2.40)       3.34         PHAM (2018)       2.53 (1.62, 3.95)       2.66         ABDALLA-ASLAN (2019)       14.22 (6.44, 31.41)       1.56         Subtotal (I-squared = 78.3%, p = 0.000)       2.11 (1.74, 2.55)       56.87           2.00 (1.76, 2.27)       100.00         NOTE: Weights are from random effects analysis	MUSSKOPF (2016)	- 1.93 (1.19, 3.12)	2.51
ZHANG (2017)       1.83 (1.40, 2.40)       3.34         PHAM (2018)       2.53 (1.62, 3.95)       2.66         ABDALLA-ASLAN (2019)       14.22 (6.44, 31.41)       1.56         Subtotal (I-squared = 78.3%, p = 0.000)       2.11 (1.74, 2.55)       56.87         Overall (I-squared = 88.0%, p = 0.000)       2.00 (1.76, 2.27)       100.00         NOTE: Weights are from random effects analysis       100.00       100.00	WU (2016)	2.47 (1.78, 3.41)	3.14
PHAM (2018)       2.53 (1.62, 3.95)       2.66         ABDALLA-ASLAN (2019)       14.22 (6.44, 31.41)       1.56         Subtotal (I-squared = 78.3%, p = 0.000)       2.11 (1.74, 2.55)       56.87         Overall (I-squared = 88.0%, p = 0.000)       2.00 (1.76, 2.27)       100.00         NOTE: Weights are from random effects analysis       100.00       100.00	ZHANG (2017)	1.83 (1.40, 2.40)	3.34
ABDALLA-ASLAN (2019) Subtotal (I-squared = 78.3%, p = 0.000) Overall (I-squared = 88.0%, p = 0.000) NOTE: Weights are from random effects analysis	PHAM (2018)	2.53 (1.62, 3.95)	2.66
Subtotal (I-squared = 78.3%, p = 0.000) <ul> <li>Qverall (I-squared = 88.0%, p = 0.000)</li> <li>NOTE: Weights are from random effects analysis</li> </ul> 2.00 (1.76, 2.27)          100.00	ABDALLA-ASLAN (2019)	→ 14.22 (6.44, 31.41)	1.56
Overall (I-squared = 88.0%, p = 0.000) NOTE: Weights are from random effects analysis	Subtotal (I-squared = 78.3%, p = 0.000)	2.11 (1.74, 2.55)	56.87
NOTE: Weights are from random effects analysis	Overall (I-squared = 88.0%, p = 0.000)	2.00 (1.76, 2.27)	100.00
	NOTE: Weights are from random effects analysis		

FIGURE 5 | Subgroup analysis of pooled crude odds ratios of the association between periodontitis and metabolic syndrome by the method of examination used to diagnose periodontitis.

ID	OR (95% CI)	% Weight
		4.04
		1.21
	2.61 (1.10, 6.10)	0.33
FUKUI (2012)	1.35 (1.03, 1.77)	5.67
YU (2012)	1.52 (1.07, 2.33)	3.36
FURATA (Men) (2013)	1.21 (0.59, 2.49)	1.88
LAMONTE (2014)	1.11 (0.71, 1.75)	4.19
THANAKUN (2014)	<b>3</b> .60 (1.34, 9.65)	0.12
ALHABASHNEH (2015)	<b>3.28 (1.30, 8.30)</b>	0.17
MINAGAWA (2015)	2.10 (1.03, 4.28)	0.74
CHEN (2016)	▲ 3.38 (1.89, 5.92)	0.50
JARAMILLO (2016)	2.72 (1.09, 6.79)	0.26
KUMAR (2016)	2.64 (1.36, 5.18)	0.55
GOMES- FILHO (2016)	2.11 (1.01, 4.40)	0.69
KAYE (2016)	1.19 (1.00, 1.41)	7.57
ZHANG (2017)	1.26 (1.08, 1.48)	7.63
PHAM (2018) -	4.06 (2.11, 7.84)	0.25
Subtotal (I-squared = 24.3%, p = 0.180)	1.38 (1.18, 1.57)	35.12
Partial Periodontal Examination		
D'AIUTO (2008)	1.45 (0.91, 2.33)	2.88
MORITA (2009)	2.40 (1.70, 2.70)	4.37
ANDRIANKAJÁ (Men) (2010)	1.00 (0.70, 1.60)	4.83
ANDRIANKAJA (Women) (2010)	2.10 (1.20, 3.70)	1.19
HAN (2010)	1.70 (1.22, 2.37)	3.75
(WON (2011)	1 55 (1 32, 1 83)	7 01
CHEN (2011)	2 73 (1 29 5 79)	0.40
HAN (2012)		1 92
TLI (Men) (2013)	1.04 (0.96, 1.12)	8.66
TLI (Women) (2013)	1.52 (1.41, 1.63)	8.47
	1.32 (1.41, 1.03)	2 10
	1.20 (0.00, 2.40)	2.13
	1.09 (1.31, 2.73)	2.00
	1.12 (1.01, 1.24)	0.43
$\frac{1}{2}$	1.42 (1.26, 1.61)	1.09
Sublotal (I-squared = $80.4\%$ , p = $0.000$ )	1.47 (1.27, 1.66)	04.88
Overall (I-squared = 74.9%, p = 0.000)	1.45 (1.31, 1.60)	100.00
NOTE: Weights are from random effects analysis		

FIGURE 6 | Subgroup analysis of pooled adjusted odds ratios of the association between periodontitis and metabolic syndrome by the method of examination used to diagnose periodontitis.

# **Meta-Analysis**

From the data extracted, 39 of the studies showed an association of MetS and periodontitis, with a crude pooled OR of 1.99 (95% CI: 1.75–2.25). The heterogeneity test showed that  $I^2 =$  87.7% and P < 0.001 (**Figure 3**). We further summarized the adjusted ORs, which were mentioned in 32 studies, and showed a pooled adjusted OR of 1.45 (CI: 1.31–1.60). The heterogeneity test showed that  $I^2 =$  73.5% and P < 0.001 (**Figure 4**).

# **Subgroup Analysis**

Subgroup analysis on the tooth examination used to diagnose periodontitis showed a crude OR of 1.91 (95% CI: 1.58–2.31,  $I^2$ 

= 94.0%, P < 0.001) for partial periodontal examination and a crude OR of 2.11 (95% CI: 1.74–2.55,  $I^2 = 78.3\%$ , P < 0.001) for complete periodontal examination (**Figure 5**). The pooled adjusted OR was 1.38 (95% CI: 1.18, 1.57),  $I^2 = 24.3\%$ , P = 0.180) for the complete periodontal examination and 1.47 (95% CI: 1.27–1.66,  $I^2 = 86.4\%$ , P < 0.001) for the partial periodontal examination (**Figure 6**).

Subgroup analysis by the diagnostic criteria of MetS showed that the crude OR was 1.83 (95%: 1.45–2.30,  $I^2 = 86.6\%$ , P < 0.001) for the 2009 IDF criteria, 2.08 (95% CI: 1.69-2.55,  $I^2 = 90.7\%$ , P < 0.001) for the NCEP ATP III criteria, and 2.18 (95% CI: 1.62–2.93,  $I^2 = 25.9\%$ , P = 0.249) for 2005 IDF criteria

D	OR (95% CI)	% Weight
DF 2009		
HAN (2010)	2.88 (2.14, 3.89)	3.75
HAN (2012)	1.77 (1.14, 2.76)	3.10
/U (2012)	1.55 (1.02, 2.37)	3.19
-URATA (2013)	1.32 (1.10, 1.57)	4.23
HANAKUN (2014)	2.98 (1.36, 6.52)	1.84
CHEN (2016)	9.31 (4.96, 17.46)	2.33
OMES- FILHO (2016)	0.86 (0.56, 1.31)	3.17
CAYE (2016)	1.69 (1.22, 2.35)	3.60
/USSKOPF (2016)	1.93 (1.19, 3.12)	2.91
IKUI (2017)	1.38 (1.14, 1.67)	4.19
IM (2017) 🔶 📕	1.12 (0.97, 1.29)	4.34
(HANG (2017)	1.83 (1.40, 2.40)	3.87
PHAM (2018)	2.53 (1.62, 3.95)	3.08
Subtotal (I-squared = 86.6%, p = 0.000)	1.83 (1.45, 2.30)	43.61
	2.62 (1.59, 4.32)	2.85
(HADER (2008)	3.13 (1.48, 6.62)	1.94
USHIYAMA (2009)	2.04 (1.34, 3.12)	3.18
NDRIANKAJA (2010)	1.53 (1.23, 1.91)	4.07
ESBITT (2010)	3.13 (1.42, 6.86)	1.83
BENGUIGUI (2010)	2.34 (1.08, 5.07)	1.86
(WON (2011)	2.54 (2.27, 2.84)	4.42
CHEN (2011)	2.18 (1.30, 3.63)	2.79
TUKUI (2012)	1.51 (1.30, 1.75)	4.32
ORA (2013)	2.57 (0.59, 11.24)	0.73
AMONTE (2014)	0.96 (0.65, 1.42)	3.33
(UMAR (2016)	2.64 (1.42, 4.93)	2.35
(OO (2018) • I	1.30 (1.20, 1.41)	4.48
BDALLA-ASLAN (2019)	◆ 14.22 (6.44, 31.41)	1.82
(IM (2019)	1.96 (1.79, 2.15)	4.46
subtotal (I-squared = 90.7%, p = 0.000)	2.08 (1.69, 2.55)	44.41
DF 2005	1 60 (1 00 2 62)	2 11
	1.09 (1.09, 2.02)	J.11 2.12
	2.34 (1.62, 2.40)	2.10
	2.34 (1.02, 3.40) 1.61 (1.02, 10.71)	1.69
	4.01 (1.90, 10.71)	1.00
ubtotal (I-squared = 25.9%, p = 0.249)	2.18 (1.62, 2.93)	11.98
Diverall (I-squared = $87.8\%$ , p = 0.000)	1.97 (1.72, 2.27)	100.00
IOTE: Weights are from random effects analysis		

FIGURE 7 | Subgroup analysis of pooled crude odds ratios of the association between periodontitis and metabolic syndrome by diagnostic criteria for metabolic syndrome.

(**Figure 7**). The pooled adjusted OR was 1.34 (95% CI: 1.16, 1.52,  $I^2 = 83.5\%$ , P < 0.001) for the NCEP ATP III criteria, 1.48 (95% CI: 1.24–1.72,  $I^2 = 38.0\%$ , P = 0.088) for the 2009 IDF criteria, and 2.39 (95% CI: 1.92–2.86,  $I^2 = 0.0\%$ , P = 0.830) for the 2005 IDF criteria (**Figure 8**).

Subgroup analysis by country showed crude ORs of 1.68 (95% CI: 1.41–2.00,  $I^2 = 63.5\%$ , P = 0.011) for Japan, 1.75

(95% CI: 1.31–2.34,  $l^2 = 81.9\%$ , P < 0.001) for the USA, 1.81 (95% CI: 1.35–2.42,  $l^2 = 96.6\%$ , P < 0.001) for Korea, and 2.29 (95% CI: 1.53–3.41,  $l^2 = 81.5\%$ , P < 0.001) for China (**Figure 9**). The adjusted OR was 1.19 (95% CI: 1.02– 1.36,  $l^2 = 0.0\%$ , P = 0.471) for the USA, 1.41 (95% CI: 1.17–1.66,  $l^2 = 76.7\%$ , P = 0.002) for Korea, 1.51 (95% CI: 0.93–2.08,  $l^2 = 57.3\%$ , P = 0.096) for China, and 1.81 (95%

NCEP ATP III         KUSHIYAMA (2009)         ANDRIANKAJA (Men) (2010)         NRSBITT (2010)         KWON (2011)         CHEN (2011)         FUKUI (2012)         FUKUI (2013)         LAMONTE (2014)         KUO (2016)         KUMONTE (2014)         KUMONTE (2014)         KUO (2018)         KIM (2019)         Subtotal (I-squared = 83.5%, p = 0.000)         IDF 2009         HAN (2010)         HAN (2012)         YU (2012)         PURATA (Men) (2013)         THANAKUN (2014)         CHEN (2016)         KKUI (2017)         THANAKUN (2014)         CHEN (2016)         KKUI (2017)         PHAM (2016)         Subtotal (I-squared = 38.0%, p = 0.088)         IDF 2005         MORTIA (2017)         PHAM (2016)         Subtotal (I-squared = 38.0%, p = 0.088)         IDF 2005         MORTIA (2017)         PHAM (2016)         Subtotal (I-squared = 38.0%, p = 0.088)         IDF 2005         MORTIA (2009)         ALHABASHNEH (2015)         MINAGAWA (2015)         Subtotal (I-squa	% OR (95% CI) Weig
NCEP ATP III VUSHTYAMA (2009) ANDRIANKAJA (Men) (2010) ANDRIANKAJA (Men) (2010) NCESBITT (2010) KWON (2011) CHEN (2011) CHEN (2011) CHEN (2012) TU (Men) (2013) TU (Wen) (2013) LAMONTE (2014) KUMAR (2016) KCO (2018) KIM (2019) Subtoal (I-squared = 83.5%, p = 0.000) LARAMILLO (2016) KAYE (2016) KOO (2018) KIM (2019) Subtoal (I-squared = 38.0%, p = 0.088) DF 2009 HAN (2010) HAN (2012) THANAKUN (2014) CHEN (2016) KKU (2017) THANAKUN (2014) CHEN (2016) KKU (2017) PHAM (2016) Subtoal (I-squared = 38.0%, p = 0.088) DF 2005 MORITA (2009) ALRABSHIEH (2015) MINGAGWA (2015) Subtoal (I-squared = 38.0%, p = 0.088) Overall (I-squared = 76.6%, p = 0.000) NOTE: Weights are from random effects analysis	
KUSHIYAMA (2009)       2.13 (1.22, 3.70)         ANDRIANKAJA (Women) (2010)       1.00 (0.70, 1.60)         ANDRIANKAJA (Women) (2010)       2.61 (1.10, 6.10)         NESBITT (2010)       2.61 (1.10, 6.10)         KWON (2011)       2.73 (1.29, 5.79)         CHEN (2011)       2.73 (1.29, 5.79)         FUKUI (2012)       1.34 (0.96, 1.12)         TU (Memen) (2013)       1.52 (1.41, 1.63)         LAMONTE (2014)       1.11 (0.7, 1.75)         KUMAR (2019)       2.64 (1.36, 5.18)         Subbotal (I-squared = 83.5%, p = 0.000)       1.34 (1.61, 1.52)         .       IDF 2009         HAN (2012)       1.70 (1.22, 2.37)         FURATA (Men) (2013)       1.52 (1.41, 1.63)         LIDF 2009       1.42 (1.26, 1.61)         HAN (2010)       1.70 (1.22, 2.37)         HAN (2012)       1.52 (1.07, 2.33)         FURATA (Men) (2013)       1.52 (1.07, 2.33)         THANAKUN (2014)       1.58 (1.34, 9.65)         CHEN (2016)       3.38 (1.38, 9.592)         JARAMILLO (2016)       2.72 (1.09, 6.79)         CHEN (2017)       1.89 (1.31, 2.73)         PHAM (2017)       1.89 (1.31, 2.73)         .       1.99 (1.31, 2.73)         .       2.40 (1.70, 2.70)	
ANDRIANKAJA (Wen) (2010) ANDRIANKAJA (Women) (2010) NESBITT (2010) KWON (2011) CHEN (2011) CHEN (2011) CHEN (2012) TU (Women) (2013) TU (Women) (2013) TABOR (2014) KIMA (2016) KOO (2018) THAN (2010) HAN (2012) THANA (2010) HAN (2012) THANA (2016) COMES- FILLHO (2016) CHEN (2016) CHEN (2016) CHEN (2016) CHEN (2017) THANAKUN (2014) COMES- FILLHO (2016) KIKUI (2017) THANAKUN (2015) Subtotal (I-squared = 38.0%, p = 0.088) Overall (I-squared = 0.0%, p = 0.830) Overall (I-squared = 76.6%, p = 0.000) NOTE: Weights are from random effects analysis	<b>2.13 (1.22, 3.70) 1.29</b>
ANDRIANKAJA (Women) (2010) NESBITT (2010) KWON (2011) CHEN (2011) CHEN (2012) TU (Men) (2013) TU (Men) (2013) TU (Momen) (2013) LAMONTE (2014) KUM (2016) KUM (2016) LAMONTE (2014) LAMONTE Weights are from random effects analysis ANDRAKAVA (2015) Subtotal (I-squared = 76.6%, p = 0.000) NOTE: Weights are from random effects analysis ANDRAKAVA (2015) Subtotal (I-squared = 76.6%, p = 0.000) NOTE: Weights are from random effects analysis ANDRA (2016) Carte (1.20, 37.0) 2.10 (1.20, 57.0) 2.10 (1.20, 57.0) 2.10 (1.20, 57.0) 2.10 (1.20, 37.0) 2.10 (1.20, 57.0) 2.10 (1.20, 57.0) 2.10 (1.20, 57.0) 2.10 (1.20, 27.0) 2.10 (1.20, 37.0) 2.10 (1.20, 57.0) 2.10 (1.20, 57.0) 2.10 (1.20, 37.0) 2.10 (1.20, 57.0) 2.10 (1.20, 57.0) 2.10 (1.20, 27.0) 2.10 (1.20, 27.0) 2.10 (1.20, 27.0) 2.10 (1.20, 27.0) 2.10 (1.20, 42.80) 2.10 (1.	← 1.00 (0.70, 1.60) 5.12
NESBIT (2010) KWON (2011) CHEN (2011) CHEN (2011) CHEN (2012) TU (Wonnen) (2013) TU (Wonnen) (2013) TU (Wonnen) (2013) TU (Wonnen) (2013) TU (Wonnen) (2013) TU (Wonnen) (2013) TU (Wonnen) (2013) TL2 (1.41, 1.63) KIM (2019) Subtotal (I-squared = 83.5%, p = 0.000) HAN (2010) HAN (2010) HAN (2010) HAN (2010) HAN (2012) YU (2012) FURATA (Men) (2013) THANAKUN (2014) CHEN (2016) GOMES-FILHO (2016) KAYE (2016) KAYE (2016) KAYE (2016) THANAKUN (2014) CHEN (2016) Subtotal (I-squared = 38.0%, p = 0.088) DF 2005 MINAGAWA (2015) Subtotal (I-squared = 0.0%, p = 0.830) Overall (I-squared = 76.6%, p = 0.000) NOTE: Weights are from random effects analysis	) 2.10 (1.20, 3.70) 1.27
KWON (2011)       1.55 (1.32, 1.83)         CHEN (2011)       1.35 (1.03, 1.77)         FUKU (2012)       1.35 (1.03, 1.77)         TU (Women) (2013)       1.64 (0.96, 1.12)         LAMONTE (2014)       1.11 (0.71, 1.75)         KUMAR (2016)       2.64 (1.36, 5.18)         KOO (2018)       1.12 (1.01, 1.24)         Subtotal (I-squared = 83.5%, p = 0.000)       1.34 (1.16, 1.52)         .       1.70 (1.22, 2.37)         .       1.70 (1.22, 2.37)         .       1.76 (1.06, 2.93)         .       1.52 (1.07, 2.33)         .       1.52 (1.07, 2.33)         .       1.52 (1.07, 2.33)         .       1.52 (1.07, 2.33)         .       1.52 (1.07, 2.33)         .       1.52 (1.07, 2.33)         .       1.52 (1.07, 2.33)         .       1.52 (1.07, 2.33)         .       1.52 (1.07, 2.33)         .       1.11 (0.14, 4.0)         .       2.72 (1.09, 6.79)         .       3.86 (1.34, 9.65)         .       1.19 (1.00, 1.41)         .       1.48 (1.24, 1.72)         .       1.48 (1.24, 1.72)         .       1.48 (1.24, 1.72)         .       1.48 (1.24, 1.72) </td <td>2.61 (1.10, 6.10) 0.36</td>	2.61 (1.10, 6.10) 0.36
CHEN (2011) CHKUI (2012) TU (Men) (2013) TU (Women) (2013) LAMONTE (2014) KUMAR (2016) KOO (2018) KIM (2019) Subtotal (I-squared = 83.5%, p = 0.000) IDF 2009 HAN (2010) HAN (2010) HAN (2010) HAN (2012) THANAKUN (2014) CHRATA (Men) (2013) THANAKUN (2014) CHRATA (Men) (2013) THANAKUN (2014) CHRATA (Men) (2013) THANAKUN (2014) CHRATA (Men) (2016) GOMES- FILHO (2016) KAYE (2016) KIKUI (2017) ZHANG (2017) THANAKUN (2014) CHEN (2016) KIKUI (2017) ZHANG (2017) THANAKUN (2016) GOMES- FILHO (2016) KIKUI (2017) ZHANG (2017) THANAKUN (2018) Subtotal (I-squared = 38.0%, p = 0.088) Overall (I-squared = 0.0%, p = 0.830) Overall (I-squared = 76.6%, p = 0.000) NOTE: Weights are from random effects analysis	◆ 1.55 (1.32, 1.83) 7.36
FUKU (2012)       1.35 (1.03, 1.77)         TU (Men) (2013)       1.04 (0.96, 1.12)         TU (Women) (2013)       1.52 (1.41, 1.63)         LAMONTE (2014)       1.11 (0.71, 1.75)         KUMAR (2016)       2.64 (1.36, 5.18)         KOO (2018)       1.42 (1.26, 1.61)         Subtotal (I-squared = 83.5%, p = 0.000)       1.42 (1.26, 1.61)         .       1.70 (1.22, 2.37)         JDF 2009       1.76 (1.06, 2.93)         HAN (2010)       1.76 (1.06, 2.93)         HAN (2012)       1.76 (1.06, 2.93)         YU (2012)       1.52 (1.07, 2.33)         FURATA (Men) (2013)       1.21 (0.59, 2.49)         THANAKUN (2014)       3.60 (1.34, 9.65)         CHEN (2016)       3.38 (1.89, 5.92)         JARAMILLO (2016)       2.72 (1.09, 6.79)         GOMES- FILHO (2016)       1.19 (1.00, 1.41)         KIKUI (2017)       1.26 (1.08, 1.48)         ZHANG (2017)       1.26 (1.08, 1.48)         PHAM (2018)       4.06 (2.11, 7.84)         Subtotal (I-squared = 38.0%, p = 0.088)       1.42 (1.24, 1.72)         .       .       2.39 (1.92, 2.86)         .       .       .       .         .       .       .       .         . <td< td=""><td>2.73 (1.29, 5.79) 0.43</td></td<>	2.73 (1.29, 5.79) 0.43
TU (Men) (2013) TU (Women) (2013) LAMONTE (2014) KUMAR (2016) KUO (2018) KIM (2019) Subtotal (I-squared = 83.5%, p = 0.000) IDF 2009 HAN (2010) HAN (2010) HAN (2010) HAN (2012) YU (2012) FURATA (Men) (2013) THANAKUN (2014) CHEN (2016) GOMES- FILHO (2016) KIKUI (2017) ZHANG (2017) PHAM (2018) Subtotal (I-squared = 38.0%, p = 0.088) IDF 2005 MORITA (2009) ALHABASHNEH (2015) MINAGAWA (2015) Subtotal (I-squared = 76.6%, p = 0.000) NOTE: Weights are from random effects analysis	✤ 1.35 (1.03, 1.77) 5.99
TU (Women) (2013)       1.52 (1.41, 1.63)         LAMONTE (2014)       1.11 (0.71, 1.75)         KUMAR (2016)       2.64 (1.36, 5.18)         KIO (2018)       1.42 (1.26, 1.61)         KIO (2018)       1.42 (1.26, 1.61)         Subtotal (I-squared = 83.5%, p = 0.000)       1.34 (1.16, 1.52)         IDF 2009       1.76 (1.06, 2.83)         HAN (2010)       1.76 (1.06, 2.83)         HAN (2012)       1.52 (1.07, 2.33)         YU (2012)       1.52 (1.07, 2.33)         FURATA (Men) (2013)       1.21 (0.59, 2.49)         THANAKUN (2014)       3.36 (1.34, 9.65)         CHEN (2016)       3.38 (1.89, 5.92)         JARAMILLO (2016)       2.72 (1.09, 6.79)         GOMES- FILHO (2016)       2.111 (1.01, 4.40)         KAYE (2016)       1.19 (1.00, 1.41)         KIKUI (2017)       1.89 (1.31, 2.73)         ZHANG (2017)       1.89 (1.31, 2.73)         PHAM (2018)       4.06 (2.11, 7.84)          1.48 (1.24, 1.72)              2.40 (1.70, 2.70)         ALHABASHNEH (2015)       3.28 (1.30, 8.30)              2.10 (1.03, 4.28)          2.10 (1.03, 4.28)	<ul> <li>◆ 1.04 (0.96, 1.12) 9.05</li> </ul>
LAMONTE (2014) KUMAR (2016) KUMAR (2018) Subtotal (I-squared = 83.5%, p = 0.000) LGF 2009 HAN (2010) HAN (2012) YU (2012) THANAKUN (2014) CHEN (2016) ALHABASHNEH (2016) Subtotal (I-squared = 38.0%, p = 0.088) LGF 2005 MORITA (2009) ALHABASHNEH (2015) Subtotal (I-squared = 0.0%, p = 0.830) Coreall (I-squared = 76.6%, p = 0.000) NOTE: Weights are from random effects analysis	<ul> <li>◆ 1.52 (1.41, 1.63) 8.85</li> </ul>
KUMAR (2016)       2.64 (1.36, 5.18)         KOO (2018)       1.12 (1.01, 1.24)         KIM (2019)       1.42 (1.26, 1.61)         Subtotal (I-squared = 83.5%, p = 0.000)       1.34 (1.16, 1.52)         .       1.70 (1.22, 2.37)         IDF 2009       1.76 (1.06, 2.93)         HAN (2010)       1.76 (1.06, 2.93)         YU (2012)       1.52 (1.07, 2.33)         FURATA (Men) (2013)       1.21 (0.59, 2.49)         THANAKUN (2014)       3.60 (1.34, 9.65)         CHEN (2016)       3.38 (1.89, 5.92)         JARAMILLO (2016)       2.72 (1.09, 6.79)         GOMES- FILHO (2016)       2.111 (1.01, 4.40)         KIKUI (2017)       1.86 (1.34, 1.61)         ZHANG (2017)       1.86 (1.38, 1.89, 5.92)         PHAM (2018)          Subtotal (I-squared = 38.0%, p = 0.088)              IDF 2005          MORITA (2009)       2.40 (1.70, 2.70)         ALHABASHNEH (2015)       3.28 (1.30, 8.30)         MINAGAWA (2015)       2.39 (1.92, 2.86)         Subtotal (I-squared = 76.6%, p = 0.000)       1.46 (1.31, 1.61)	I
KOO (2018)       1.12 (1.01, 1.24)         KIM (2019)       1.42 (1.26, 1.61)         Subtotal (I-squared = 83.5%, p = 0.000)       1.34 (1.16, 1.52)         IDF 2009       1.70 (1.22, 2.37)         HAN (2010)       1.76 (1.06, 2.93)         YU (2012)       1.76 (1.06, 2.93)         FURATA (Men) (2013)       1.21 (0.59, 2.49)         THANAKUN (2014)       1.21 (0.59, 2.49)         JARAMILLO (2016)       2.72 (1.09, 6.59)         GOMES- FILHO (2016)       2.72 (1.09, 6.79)         KIKUI (2017)       2.11 (1.01, 4.40)         PHAM (2018)       4.06 (2.11, 7.84)         Subtotal (I-squared = 38.0%, p = 0.088)       1.48 (1.24, 1.72)         .       .       .         .       .       .         .       .       .         .       .       .         .       .       .         .       .       .         .       .       .         .       .       .         .       .       .         .       .       .         .       .       .         .       .       .         .       .       .         . </td <td><b>2.64</b> (1.36, 5.18) 0.59</td>	<b>2.64</b> (1.36, 5.18) 0.59
KIM (2019) $1.42 (1.26, 1.61)$ Subtotal (I-squared = 83.5%, p = 0.000) $1.34 (1.16, 1.52)$ . $1.34 (1.16, 1.52)$ . $1.70 (1.22, 2.37)$ HAN (2012) $1.6 (1.66, 2.93)$ YU (2012) $1.52 (1.07, 2.33)$ FURATA (Men) (2013) $1.21 (0.59, 2.49)$ THANAKUN (2014) $3.60 (1.34, 9.65)$ CHEN (2016) $3.38 (1.89, 5.92)$ GOMES- FILHO (2016) $3.38 (1.89, 5.92)$ KAYE (2016) $1.19 (1.00, 1.41)$ KIKUI (2017) $1.89 (1.31, 2.73)$ ZHANG (2017) $1.26 (1.08, 1.48)$ PHAM (2018) $1.48 (1.24, 1.72)$ Subtotal (I-squared = 38.0%, p = 0.088) $1.48 (1.24, 1.72)$ . $2.40 (1.70, 2.70)$ ALHABASHNEH (2015) $3.28 (1.30, 8.30)$ MINAGAWA (2015) $2.39 (1.92, 2.86)$ Subtotal (I-squared = 0.0%, p = 0.830) $2.39 (1.92, 2.86)$ . $2.39 (1.92, 2.86)$ $2.39 (1.92, 2.86)$	<ul> <li>◆ 1.12 (1.01, 1.24)</li> <li>8.81</li> </ul>
Subtotal (I-squared = 83.5%, p = 0.000) IDF 2009 HAN (2010) HAN (2012) YU (2012) THANAKUN (2013) THANAKUN (2014) GOMES- FILHO (2016) GOMES- FILHO (2016) KIKUI (2017) ZHANG (2017) PHAM (2018) Subtotal (I-squared = 38.0%, p = 0.088) IDF 2005 MORITA (2009) ALHABASHNEH (2015) MINAGAWA (2015) Subtotal (I-squared = 76.6%, p = 0.000) NOTE: Weights are from random effects analysis	<ul> <li>◆ 1.42 (1.26, 1.61) 8.26</li> </ul>
IDF 2009       1.70 (1.22, 2.37)         HAN (2010)       1.76 (1.06, 2.93)         YU (2012)       1.52 (1.07, 2.33)         FURATA (Men) (2013)       1.21 (0.59, 2.49)         THANAKUN (2014)       3.60 (1.34, 9.65)         CHEN (2016)       3.38 (1.89, 5.92)         JARAMILLO (2016)       2.72 (1.09, 6.79)         GOMES- FILHO (2016)       2.11 (1.01, 4.40)         KIKUI (2017)       1.89 (1.31, 2.73)         ZHANG (2017)       1.89 (1.34, 2.73)         PHAM (2018)       4.06 (2.11, 7.84)         Subtotal (I-squared = 38.0%, p = 0.088)       1.48 (1.24, 1.72)         .       2.40 (1.70, 2.70)         ALHABASHNEH (2015)       3.28 (1.30, 8.30)         MINAGAWA (2015)       3.28 (1.30, 8.30)         Subtotal (I-squared = 76.6%, p = 0.000)       1.46 (1.31, 1.61)         NOTE: Weights are from random effects analysis       1.46 (1.31, 1.61)	= 0.000) 1.34 (1.16, 1.52) 61.82
HAN (2010) HAN (2012) HAN (2012) FURATA (Men) (2013) THANAKUN (2014) CHEN (2016) GOMES- FILHO (2016) GOMES- FILHO (2016) KIKUI (2017) ZHANG (2017) PHAM (2018) Subtotal (I-squared = 38.0%, p = 0.088) Overall (I-squared = 76.6%, p = 0.000) NOTE: Weights are from random effects analysis	
HAN (2012) YU (2012) FURATA (Men) (2013) THANAKUN (2014) CHEN (2016) GOMES- FILHO (2016) GOMES- FILHO (2016) KAYE (2016) KIKUI (2017) ZHANG (2017) PHAM (2018) Subtotal (I-squared = 38.0%, p = 0.088) Overall (I-squared = 76.6%, p = 0.000) NOTE: Weights are from random effects analysis	<b>→</b> 1.70 (1.22, 2.37) 3.98
YU (2012)       1.52 (1.07, 2.33)         FURATA (Men) (2013)       1.21 (0.59, 2.49)         THANAKUN (2014)       3.60 (1.34, 9.65)         CHEN (2016)       3.38 (1.89, 5.92)         JARAMILLO (2016)       2.72 (1.09, 6.79)         GOMES- FILHO (2016)       2.11 (1.01, 4.40)         KIKUI (2017)       1.19 (1.00, 1.41)         ZHANG (2017)       1.26 (1.08, 1.48)         PHAM (2018)       1.40 (2.11, 7.84)         Subtotal (I-squared = 38.0%, p = 0.088)       1.48 (1.24, 1.72)         .       1.19 (1.03, 4.28)         .       2.40 (1.70, 2.70)         ALHABASHNEH (2015)       3.28 (1.30, 8.30)         MINAGAWA (2015)       2.39 (1.92, 2.86)         .       2.39 (1.92, 2.86)         .       .         Overall (I-squared = 76.6%, p = 0.000)       1.46 (1.31, 1.61)	1.76 (1.06, 2.93) 2.06
FURATA (Men) (2013)       1.21 ( $0.59, 2.49$ )         THANAKUN (2014)       3.60 ( $1.34, 9.65$ )         CHEN (2016)       3.38 ( $1.89, 5.92$ )         JARAMILLO (2016)       2.72 ( $1.09, 6.79$ )         GOMES- FILHO (2016)       2.11 ( $1.01, 4.40$ )         KAYE (2016)       1.19 ( $1.00, 1.41$ )         KIKUI (2017)       1.89 ( $1.31, 2.73$ )         ZHANG (2017)       1.26 ( $1.08, 1.48$ )         PHAM (2018)       4.06 ( $2.11, 7.84$ )         Subtotal (I-squared = $38.0\%, p = 0.088$ )       1.48 ( $1.24, 1.72$ )         .       .       .         IDF 2005       2.40 ( $1.70, 2.70$ )         MORITA (2009)       2.40 ( $1.03, 4.28$ )         ALHABASHNEH (2015)       3.28 ( $1.30, 8.30$ )         MINAGAWA (2015)       2.39 ( $1.92, 2.86$ )         Subtotal (I-squared = $76.6\%, p = 0.000$ )       1.46 ( $1.31, 1.61$ )         .       .       .         .       .       .         .       .       .         .       .       .         .       .       .         .       .       .         .       .       .         .       .       .         .       .       .         .<	1.52 (1.07, 2.33) 3.57
THANAKUN (2014)       3.60 (1.34, 9.65)         CHEN (2016)       3.38 (1.89, 5.92)         JARAMILLO (2016)       2.72 (1.09, 6.79)         GOMES- FILHO (2016)       2.11 (1.01, 4.40)         KAYE (2016)       1.19 (1.00, 1.41)         KIKUI (2017)       1.89 (1.31, 2.73)         ZHANG (2017)       1.26 (1.08, 1.48)         PHAM (2018)       4.06 (2.11, 7.84)         Subtotal (I-squared = 38.0%, p = 0.088)       1.48 (1.24, 1.72)         .       2.40 (1.70, 2.70)         MORITA (2009)       2.40 (1.70, 2.70)         ALHABASHNEH (2015)       3.28 (1.30, 8.30)         Subtotal (I-squared = 0.0%, p = 0.830)       2.39 (1.92, 2.86)         .       .         Overall (I-squared = 76.6%, p = 0.000)       1.46 (1.31, 1.61)	1.21 (0.59, 2.49) 2.00
CHEN (2016)       3.38 (1.89, 5.92)         JARAMILLO (2016)       2.72 (1.09, 6.79)         GOMES- FILHO (2016)       2.11 (1.01, 4.40)         KAYE (2016)       1.19 (1.00, 1.41)         KIKUI (2017)       1.89 (1.31, 2.73)         ZHANG (2017)       1.26 (1.08, 1.48)         PHAM (2018)       4.06 (2.11, 7.84)         Subtotal (I-squared = 38.0%, p = 0.088)       1.48 (1.24, 1.72)         .       .         IDF 2005       2.40 (1.70, 2.70)         MORITA (2009)       3.28 (1.30, 8.30)         ALHABASHNEH (2015)       3.28 (1.30, 8.30)         MINAGAWA (2015)       2.10 (1.03, 4.28)         .       .         Overall (I-squared = 76.6%, p = 0.000)       1.46 (1.31, 1.61)         NOTE: Weights are from random effects analysis       .	3.60 (1.34, 9.65) 0.13
JARAMILLO (2016) GOMES- FILHO (2016) KAYE (2016) KIKUI (2017) ZHANG (2017) PHAM (2018) Subtotal (I-squared = 38.0%, p = 0.088) IDF 2005 MORITA (2009) ALHABASHNEH (2015) Subtotal (I-squared = 0.0%, p = 0.830) Overall (I-squared = 76.6%, p = 0.000) NOTE: Weights are from random effects analysis	3.38 (1.89, 5.92) 0.53
GOMES- FILHO (2016)       2.11 (1.01, 4.40)         KAYE (2016)       1.19 (1.00, 1.41)         KIKUI (2017)       1.89 (1.31, 2.73)         ZHANG (2017)       1.26 (1.08, 1.48)         PHAM (2018)       4.06 (2.11, 7.84)         Subtotal (I-squared = 38.0%, p = 0.088)       1.48 (1.24, 1.72)         .       IDF 2005         MORITA (2009)       2.40 (1.70, 2.70)         ALHABASHNEH (2015)       3.28 (1.30, 8.30)         Subtotal (I-squared = 0.0%, p = 0.830)       2.39 (1.92, 2.86)         .       Overall (I-squared = 76.6%, p = 0.000)         NOTE: Weights are from random effects analysis       1.46 (1.31, 1.61)	2.72 (1.09, 6.79) 0.28
KAYE (2016)       1.19 (1.00, 1.41)         KIKUI (2017)       1.89 (1.31, 2.73)         ZHANG (2017)       1.26 (1.08, 1.48)         PHAM (2018)       4.06 (2.11, 7.84)         Subtotal (I-squared = 38.0%, p = 0.088)       1.48 (1.24, 1.72)         .       .         IDF 2005       2.40 (1.70, 2.70)         MORITA (2009)       3.28 (1.30, 8.30)         ALHABASHNEH (2015)       3.28 (1.30, 8.30)         Subtotal (I-squared = 0.0%, p = 0.830)       2.39 (1.92, 2.86)         .       Overall (I-squared = 76.6%, p = 0.000)         NOTE: Weights are from random effects analysis       1.46 (1.31, 1.61)	2.11 (1.01, 4.40) 0.74
KIKUI (2017)       1.89 (1.31, 2.73)         ZHANG (2017)       1.26 (1.08, 1.48)         PHAM (2018)       4.06 (2.11, 7.84)         Subtotal (I-squared = 38.0%, p = 0.088)       1.48 (1.24, 1.72)         .       .         IDF 2005       2.40 (1.70, 2.70)         MORITA (2009)       3.28 (1.30, 8.30)         ALHABASHNEH (2015)       3.28 (1.30, 8.30)         Subtotal (I-squared = 0.0%, p = 0.830)       2.39 (1.92, 2.86)         .       Overall (I-squared = 76.6%, p = 0.000)         NOTE: Weights are from random effects analysis       1.46 (1.31, 1.61)	<ul> <li>♦</li> <li>1.19 (1.00, 1.41) 7.94</li> </ul>
ZHANG (2017)       1.26 (1.08, 1.48)         PHAM (2018)       4.06 (2.11, 7.84)         Subtotal (I-squared = 38.0%, p = 0.088)       1.48 (1.24, 1.72)         .       .         IDF 2005       2.40 (1.70, 2.70)         MORITA (2009)       3.28 (1.30, 8.30)         ALHABASHNEH (2015)       3.28 (1.30, 8.30)         Subtotal (I-squared = 0.0%, p = 0.830)       2.39 (1.92, 2.86)         .       Overall (I-squared = 76.6%, p = 0.000)         NOTE: Weights are from random effects analysis       1.46 (1.31, 1.61)	<b>→</b> 1.89 (1.31, 2.73) 3.07
PHAM (2018)       4.06 (2.11, 7.84)         Subtotal (I-squared = 38.0%, p = 0.088)       1.48 (1.24, 1.72)         .       IDF 2005         MORITA (2009)       2.40 (1.70, 2.70)         ALHABASHNEH (2015)       3.28 (1.30, 8.30)         MINAGAWA (2015)       2.10 (1.03, 4.28)         Subtotal (I-squared = 0.0%, p = 0.830)       2.39 (1.92, 2.86)         .       Overall (I-squared = 76.6%, p = 0.000)         NOTE: Weights are from random effects analysis       1.46 (1.31, 1.61)	◆ 1.26 (1.08, 1.48) 7.99
Subtotal (I-squared = 38.0%, p = 0.088)       1.48 (1.24, 1.72)         .          IDF 2005       MORITA (2009)         ALHABASHNEH (2015)       3.28 (1.30, 8.30)         MINAGAWA (2015)       2.10 (1.03, 4.28)         Subtotal (I-squared = 0.0%, p = 0.830)       2.39 (1.92, 2.86)             Overall (I-squared = 76.6%, p = 0.000)       1.46 (1.31, 1.61)	4.06 (2.11, 7.84) 0.27
IDF 2005 MORITA (2009) ALHABASHNEH (2015) MINAGAWA (2015) Subtotal (I-squared = 0.0%, p = 0.830) Overall (I-squared = 76.6%, p = 0.000) NOTE: Weights are from random effects analysis	= 0.088)
MORITA (2009)       2.40 (1.70, 2.70)       4         ALHABASHNEH (2015)       3.28 (1.30, 8.30)       3.28 (1.30, 8.30)       3.28 (1.30, 8.30)         MINAGAWA (2015)       2.10 (1.03, 4.28)       2.39 (1.92, 2.86)       3.29 (1.92, 2.86)         Subtotal (I-squared = 76.6%, p = 0.000)       1.46 (1.31, 1.61)       1.46 (1.31, 1.61)       1.46 (1.31, 1.61)	
ALHABASHNEH (2015)       3.28 (1.30, 8.30)         MINAGAWA (2015)       2.10 (1.03, 4.28)         Subtotal (I-squared = 0.0%, p = 0.830)       2.39 (1.92, 2.86)         Overall (I-squared = 76.6%, p = 0.000)       1.46 (1.31, 1.61)         NOTE: Weights are from random effects analysis       1.46 (1.31, 1.61)	<b>→</b> 2.40 (1.70, 2,70) 4,63
MINAGAWA (2015)       2.10 (1.03, 4.28)         Subtotal (I-squared = 0.0%, p = 0.830)       2.39 (1.92, 2.86)         Overall (I-squared = 76.6%, p = 0.000)       1.46 (1.31, 1.61)         NOTE: Weights are from random effects analysis       1.46 (1.31, 1.61)	3.28 (1.30, 8.30) 0.18
Subtotal (I-squared = 0.0%, p = 0.830)       2.39 (1.92, 2.86)         Overall (I-squared = 76.6%, p = 0.000)       1.46 (1.31, 1.61)         NOTE: Weights are from random effects analysis       1.46 (1.31, 1.61)	
Overall (I-squared = 76.6%, p = 0.000)     1.46 (1.31, 1.61)       NOTE: Weights are from random effects analysis	0.830) 2.39 (1.92, 2.86) 5.61
NOTE: Weights are from random effects analysis	0.000) 1.46 (1.31, 1.61) 100.0
	n effects analysis

FIGURE 8 | Subgroup analysis of pooled adjusted odds ratios of the association between periodontitis and metabolic syndrome by diagnostic criteria for metabolic syndrome.

CI: 1.33–2.29,  $I^2 = 61.2\%$ , P = 0.024) for Japan, respectively (**Figure 10**).

# DISCUSSION

There is undoubtedly a positive association between periodontitis and MetS based upon the studies that were reviewed in this meta-analysis. There are a multitude of variables that can have ramifications in the association between periodontitis and MetS, including study population/subjects as well as ethnicity/geography, which have not been studied. Studies involved in this systematic review were conducted in 15 different countries worldwide, and the majority of the studies demonstrated a higher risk of MetS for patients with periodontal diseases.

The human body has multiple organ systems, and these systems are intimately and mutually dependent on each other. As a result, ailments in some organs or their components may play a contributing factor in the occurrence and evolution of a particular disease in other body locations (55, 56). The influence of oral health on one's general health has been scrutinized for the last decennium by a multitude of surveys (57). A systematic

Study ID	OR (95% CI)	% Weight
JAPAN		
SHIMAZAKI (2007)	2.62 (1.59, 4.32)	3.16
MORITA (2009)	2.34 (1.62, 3.40)	3.85
KUSHIYAMA (2009)	2.04 (1.34, 3.12)	3.56
FUKUI (2012)	<b>→</b> <sup>1</sup> 1.51 (1.30, 1.75)	5.01
FURATA (2013)	1.32 (1.10, 1.57)	4.89
MINAGAWA (2015)	2.51 (1.06, 5.92)	1.76
KIKUI (2017)	1.38 (1.14, 1.67)	4.83
Subtotal (I-squared = $63.5\%$ , p = $0.011$ )	1.68 (1.41, 2.00)	27.05
		E 1E
		0.10
		4.00
NESBITT (2010)	3.13 (1.42, 6.86)	1.97
BENSLEY (2011)		3.82
SORA (2013)		0.76
		3.74
KAYE (2016)		4.08
Subtotal (I-squared = $81.9\%$ , p = $0.000$ )	1.75 (1.31, 2.34)	24.21
KOREA		
HAN (2010)	2.88 (2.14, 3.89)	4.27
KWON (2011)	<b>!</b>	5.13
HAN (2012)	1.77 (1.14, 2.76)	3.46
KIM (2017)		5.03
KOO (2018)	<b>↓ ↓</b> 1.30 (1.20, 1.41)	5.21
KIM (2019)		5.18
Subtotal (I-squared = 96.6%, p = 0.000)	1.81 (1.35, 2.42)	28.29
CHINA		
LI (2007)		3 4 8
LI (2009)	1.55 (1.05, 2.02)	2.31
YU (2012)		3.58
CHEN (2016)		2.55
W/L (2016)		4 12
7HANG (2017)		4.12
Subtotal (Leavared = $81.5\%$ n = 0.000)		7.42 20.45
$\frac{3}{3}$	2.29 (1.55, 5.41)	20.40
Overall (I-squared = 90.2%, p = 0.000)	Image: 1.86 (1.62, 2.14)	100.00
NOTE: Weights are from random effects analysis		
	I I	

FIGURE 9 | Subgroup analysis of pooled crude odds ratios of the association between periodontitis and metabolic syndrome by country.

review including 72 studies and data from 291,170 individuals in 37 countries estimated that the global prevalence of severe periodontitis in 2010 was 10.8% (95% CI: 10.1–11.6%), thus making severe periodontitis rank 6th in the Global Burden of Disease (58).

The observation of a relationship between periodontitis and systemic diseases may significantly expand the scope and appreciation of oral health and dental practice. The general population, as well as non-dental medical professionals, should be informed that periodontal diseases play an important role in overall health. Due to a possible etiopathogenic relationship between periodontitis and various systemic diseases, patients with severe periodontal disease should be referred to screen for CVD, diabetes, MetS, and other systemic diseases. Patients prone to or diagnosed with certain systemic diseases may also be referred to dentists to check for and treat periodontal

Study D	OR (95% CI)	% Weight
JSA _!		
D'AIUTO (2008)	1.45 (0.91, 2.33)	3.47
ANDRIANKAJA (Men) (2010)	1.00 (0.70, 1.60)	6.06
ANDRIANKAJA (Women) (2010)	• 2.10 (1.20, 3.70)	1.39
NESBITT (2010)	2.61 (1.10, 6.10)	0.38
AMONTE (2014)	1.11 (0.71, 1.75)	5.19
(AYE (2016)	1.19 (1.00, 1.41)	10.05
Subtotal (I-squared = 0.0%, p = 0.471)	1.19 (1.02, 1.36)	26.55
KOREA	_	
HAN (2010)	1.70 (1.22, 2.37)	4.61
(WON (2011)	- 1.55 (1.32, 1.83)	9.19
HAN (2012)	1.76 (1.06, 2.93)	2.28
(OO (2018) +	1.12 (1.01, 1.24)	11.40
KIM (2019)	1.42 (1.26, 1.61)	10.54
Subtotal (I-squared = 76.7%, p = 0.002)	1.41 (1.17, 1.66)	38.01
CHINA	_	
/U (2012)	1.52 (1.07, 2.33)	4.09
CHEN (2016)	<b>3.38 (1.89, 5.92)</b>	0.57
(HANG (2017) +	1.26 (1.08, 1.48)	10.14
Subtotal (I-squared = 57.3%, p = 0.096)	1.51 (0.93, 2.08)	14.80
IAPAN		
IORITA (2009)	2.40 (1.70, 2.70)	5.43
	2.13 (1.22, 3.70)	1.41
FUKUI (2012)	1.35 (1.03, 1.77)	7.24
URATA (Men) (2013)	1.21 (0.59, 2.49)	2.22
/INAGAWA (2015) —	2.10 (1.03, 4.28)	0.86
(IKUI (2017)		3.47
Subtotal (I-squared = 61.2%, p = 0.024)	> 1.81 (1.33, 2.29)	20.64
Overall (I-squared = 63.8%, p = 0.000)	1.44 (1.29, 1.60)	100.00
NOTE: Weights are from random effects analysis		
-61	61	

FIGURE 10 | Subgroup analysis of pooled adjusted odds ratios of the association between periodontitis and metabolic syndrome by country.

disease. Oral inflammatory lesions have different mechanisms concerning the possible association with systemic diseases (59–62). The oral cavity hosts several cell populations expressing mesenchymal stem cell like-features (63). The human periapical cyst mesenchymal stem cells (hPCy-MSCs) collected from the surgically removed periapical cysts exhibit interesting and valuable potentialities that could be of high impact in the future regenerative medicine applications (63). It is necessary to strengthen the cooperation between different clinical departments and treat the disease with a holistic view.

The main limitation of this particular review is that the analysis and comparison of ORs using the data available may be debatable, as the percentage of patients considered to have been diagnosed with periodontitis and MetS was determined with different diagnostic criteria. The fact that there is no global consensus on one specific set of diagnostic criteria for these two diseases is an enigma. Different researchers use different criteria depending upon the country where the study is being conducted, availability, and the accessibility of resources as well as specialized human resources to conduct the examination part of the survey, and this may affect the results of each study. Furthermore, most of the studies reviewed on this related issue are crosssectional studies, and they do demonstrate an association of periodontal disease with MetS, but the prevalence ferreted at a single point thereby gives only a transient excerpt or cause– effect cannot be determined. An additional consideration is that many of the definitions of MetS allow the inclusion of subjects who have already been on medication for the treatment of some components of MetS, for instance, hypertension, diabetes, or dyslipidemia. The consequence of these medications on systemic inflammation is concealed, and some might have anti-inflammatory actions; thus, patients might have different periodontal statuses. One study reported by Shimazaki et al. showed that MetS increased the risk of periodontitis, with ORs for greater pocket depth and clinical attachment loss exhibited in 4 or 5 components of 6.6 (95% CI: 2.6–16.4) and 4.2 (95% CI: 1.2–14.8), respectively (16). Due to the lack of longitudinal studies, it is difficult to determine the relative contribution of periodontitis to MetS and the contribution of MetS to periodontitis. However, this does not affect our suggestion that clinicians and the general public should pay attention to the relationship between oral health and systemic disease.

# CONCLUSION

Our results provide compelling evidence for the association between periodontitis and MetS. Patients with periodontal disease are a critical screening population for MetS. We also recommend that people exhibiting components of MetS should receive a periodontal check-up and pay attention to their oral health. Among the golden rules for maintaining good oral hygiene is that one should visit a dentist at least twice a year, brush at least twice daily, floss regularly, and have a healthy diet, thereby avoiding too many sweet and sticky foodstuffs. Particular attention should be given to children, and oral hygiene, as well as healthy lifestyle habits, should be implemented in the educational

## REFERENCES

- Vieira CL, Caramelli B. The history of dentistry and medicine relationship: could the mouth finally return to the body? *Oral Dis.* (2009) 15:538–46. doi: 10.1111/j.1601-0825.2009.01589.x
- Chan S. Pasternak GM, West MJ. The place of periodontal examination and referral in general medicine. *Periodontol.* (2017) 74:194–9. doi: 10.1111/prd.12199
- 3. Gurav AN. The association of periodontitis and metabolic syndrome. *Dent Res J.* (2014) 11:1-10
- Slots J. Periodontitis: facts, fallacies and the future. *Periodontol.* (2000) 75:7– 23. doi: 10.1111/prd.12221
- Daudt LD, Musskopf ML, Mendez M, Remonti LLR, Leitao CB, Gross JL, et al. Association between metabolic syndrome and periodontitis: a systematic review and meta-analysis. *Braz Oral Res.* (2018) 32:e35. doi: 10.1590/1807-3107bor-2018.vol32.0035
- Abdalla-Aslan R, Findler M, Levin L, Zini A, Shay B, Twig G, et al. Where periodontitis meets metabolic syndrome-the role of common healthrelated risk factors. *J Oral Rehabil.* (2019) 46:647–56. doi: 10.1111/joor. 12798
- Cameron AJ, Shaw JE, Zimmet PZ. The metabolic syndrome: prevalence in worldwide populations. *Endocrinol Metab Clin North Am.* (2004) 33:351–75. doi: 10.1016/j.ecl.2004.03.005
- Watanabe K, Cho YD. Periodontal disease and metabolic syndrome: a qualitative critical review of their association. *Arch Oral Biol.* (2014) 59:855– 70. doi: 10.1016/j.archoralbio.2014.05.003
- Nibali L, Tatarakis N, Needleman I, Tu YK, D'Aiuto F, Rizzo M, et al. Clinical review: association between metabolic syndrome and periodontitis: a systematic review and meta-analysis. *J Clin Endocrinol Metab*. (2013) 98:913– 20. doi: 10.1210/jc.2012-3552

curriculum so that from an early age, one is made aware of these basics to have a healthier and brighter future generation. Policymakers should invest in the promotion and prevention of these issues so that the financial impact due to the treatment and rehabilitation of these diseases is less.

# **AUTHOR CONTRIBUTIONS**

RG, DT, and JW conceived the study, analyzed the data, and drafted the manuscript. QL participated in the study design and helped refine the manuscript. All authors contributed to the study and have read and approved the final version.

# FUNDING

This study was supported by the National Nature Science Foundation of China (81973103), the National Key R&D Program of China (2017YFC0907000), the Qinglan Project of Jiangsu Province (2019), and the Priority Academic Program Development of Jiangsu Higher Education Institutions (PAPD). The funders had no role in the study design, data collection and analysis, decision to publish, or preparation of the manuscript.

# SUPPLEMENTARY MATERIAL

The Supplementary Material for this article can be found online at: https://www.frontiersin.org/articles/10.3389/fendo. 2020.00336/full#supplementary-material

Supplementary Table 1 | Newcastle-Ottawa quality assessment scale.

- Han DH, Lim S, Paek D, Kim HD. Periodontitis could be related factors on metabolic syndrome among Koreans: a case-control study. *J Clin Periodontol.* (2012) 39:30–7. doi: 10.1111/j.1600-051X.2011.01806.x
- Hirotomi T, Kocher T, Yoshihara A, Biffar R, Micheelis W, Hoffmann T, et al. Comparison of periodontal conditions among three elderly populations in Japan and Germany. J Clin Periodontol. (2014) 41:633–42. doi: 10.1111/jcpe.12267
- Zuk A, Quinonez C, Lebenbaum M, Rosella LC. The association between undiagnosed glycaemic abnormalities and cardiometabolic risk factors with periodontitis: results from. 2007-2009 canadian health measures survey. J Clin Periodontol. (2017) 44:132–41. doi: 10.1111/jcpe.12684
- Moher D, Liberati A, Tetzlaff J, Altman DG, Group P. Preferred reporting items for systematic reviews and meta-analyses: the PRISMA statement. *PLoS Med.* (2009) 6:e1000097. doi: 10.1371/journal.pmed.1000097
- 14. Wells G, Shea BJ, O'Connell D, Peterson J, Welch V, Loses M, et al. The Newcastle-Ottawa Scale (NOS) for Assessing the Quality of Nonrandomized Studies in Meta-Analyses. Ottawa: The Ottawa Hospital Research Institute (2013). Available online at: http://www.ohri.ca/programs/ clinical\_epidemiology/oxford.asp (accessed May 28, 2020).
- Li P, Lu YS, Qingxian HE. A survey on periodontal status of patients with metabolic syndrome in a Beijing community. *J Pract Stomatol.* (2007) 23:434– 8. doi: 10.3969/j.issn.1001-3733.2007.03.032
- Shimazaki Y, Saito T, Yonemoto K, Kiyohara Y, Iida M, Yamashita Y. Relationship of metabolic syndrome to periodontal disease in Japanese women: the Hisayama Study. J Dent Res. (2007) 86:271–5. doi: 10.1177/154405910708600314
- D'Aiuto F, Sabbah W, Netuveli G, Donos N, Hingorani AD, Deanfield J, et al. Association of the metabolic syndrome with severe periodontitis in a large US. population-based survey. J Clin Endocrinol Metab. (2008) 93:3989–94. doi: 10.1210/jc.2007-2522

- Khader Y, Khassawneh B, Obeidat B, Hammad M, El-Salem K, Bawadi H, et al. Periodontal status of patients with metabolic syndrome compared to those without metabolic syndrome. *J Periodontol.* (2008) 79:2048–53. doi: 10.1902/jop.2008.080022
- Li P, He L, Sha YQ, Luan QX. Relationship of metabolic syndrome to chronic periodontitis. J Periodontol. (2009) 80:541–9. doi: 10.1902/jop.2009.080387
- Morita T, Ogawa Y, Takada K, Nishinoue N, Sasaki Y, Motohashi M, et al. Association between periodontal disease and metabolic syndrome. J Public Health Dent. (2009) 69:248–53. doi: 10.1111/j.1752-7325.2009.00130.x
- Kushiyama M, Shimazaki Y, Yamashita Y. Relationship between metabolic syndrome and periodontal disease in Japanese adults. J Periodontol. (2009) 80:1610–5. doi: 10.1902/jop.2009.090218
- 22. Andriankaja OM, Sreenivasa S, Dunford R, DeNardin E. Association between metabolic syndrome and periodontal disease. *Aust Dent J.* (2010) 55:252–9. doi: 10.1111/j.1834-7819.2010.01231.x
- Nesbitt MJ, Reynolds MA, Shiau H, Choe K, Simonsick EM, Ferrucci L. Association of periodontitis and metabolic syndrome in the Baltimore longitudinal study of aging. *Aging Clin Exp Res.* (2010) 22:238–42. doi: 10.1007/BF03324802
- Benguigui C, Bongard V, Ruidavets JB, Chamontin B, Sixou M, Ferrieres J, et al. Metabolic syndrome, insulin resistance, and periodontitis: a cross-sectional study in a middle-aged French population. J Clin Periodontol. (2010) 37:601–8. doi: 10.1111/j.1600-051X.2010.01571.x
- Han DH, Lim SY, Sun BC, Paek D, Kim HD. The association of metabolic syndrome with periodontal disease is confounded by age and smoking in a Korean population: the Shiwha-Banwol environmental health study. J Clin Periodontol. (2010) 37:609–16. doi: 10.1111/j.1600-051X.2010.01580.x
- Timonen P, Niskanen M, Suominen-Taipale L, Jula A, Knuuttila M, et al. Metabolic syndrome, periodontal infection, and dental caries. J Dent Res. (2010) 89:1068–73. doi: 10.1177/0022034510376542
- Zhang J, Zhao X, Zhong H, Pan Y, Zhang L, Li M, et al. Survey on periodontal status in metabolic syndrome patients. J Oral Sci Res. (2010) 26:126–9. doi: 10.13701/j.cnki.kqyxyj.2010.01.045
- Bensley L, vanEenwyk J, Ossiander EM. Associations of self-reported periodontal disease with metabolic syndrome and number of self-reported chronic conditions. *Prev Chronic Dis.* (2011) 8:A50.
- Kwon YE, Ha JE, Paik DI, Jin BH, Bae KH. The relationship between periodontitis and metabolic syndrome among a Korean nationally representative sample of adults. *J Clin Periodontol.* (2011) 38:781–6. doi: 10.1111/j.1600-051X.2011.01756.x
- Chen LP, Hsu SP, Peng YS, Chiang CK, Hung KY. Periodontal disease is associated with metabolic syndrome in hemodialysis patients. *Nephrol Dial Transplant*. (2011) 26:4068–73. doi: 10.1093/ndt/gfr209
- Fukui N, Shimazaki Y, Shinagawa T, Yamashita Y. Periodontal status and metabolic syndrome in middle-aged Japanese. J Periodontol. (2012) 83:1363– 71. doi: 10.1902/jop.2012.110605
- 32. Yu ZR, Liu LS, Luan QX, Wang XY, Li P, Sha YQ, et al. [Correlation between periodontitis and metabolic syndrome of the middle-aged and aged population in Shijingshan community of Beijing]. *Beijing Da Xue Xue Bao Yi Xue Ban*. (2012) 44:633–8. doi: 10.3969/j.issn.1671-167X.2012.04.031
- 33. Tu YK, D'Aiuto F, Lin HJ, Chen YW, Chien KL. Relationship between metabolic syndrome and diagnoses of periodontal diseases among participants in a large Taiwanese cohort. J Clin Periodontol. (2013) 40:994–1000. doi: 10.1111/jcpe.12157
- 34. Sora ND, Marlow NM, Bandyopadhyay D, Leite RS, Slate EH, Fernandes JK. Metabolic syndrome and periodontitis in Gullah African Americans with type 2 diabetes mellitus. J Clin Periodontol. (2013) 40:599–606. doi: 10.1111/jcpe.12104
- 35. Furuta M, Shimazaki Y, Takeshita T, Shibata Y, Akifusa S, Eshima N, et al. Gender differences in the association between metabolic syndrome and periodontal disease: the Hisayama Study. J Clin Periodontol. (2013) 40:743–52. doi: 10.1111/jcpe.12119
- LaMonte MJ, Williams AM, Genco RJ, Andrews CA, Hovey KM, Millen AE, et al. Association between metabolic syndrome and periodontal disease measures in postmenopausal women: the Buffalo OsteoPerio study. J Periodontol. (2014) 85:1489–501. doi: 10.1902/jop.2014. 140185

- Thanakun S, Watanabe H, Thaweboon S, Izumi Y. Association of untreated metabolic syndrome with moderate to severe periodontitis in Thai population. *J Periodontol.* (2014) 85:1502–14. doi: 10.1902/jop.2014.140105
- Alhabashneh R, Khader Y, Herra Z, Asa'ad F, Assad F. The association between periodontal disease and metabolic syndrome among outpatients with diabetes in Jordan. J Diabetes Metab Disord. (2015) 14:67. doi: 10.1186/s40200-015-0192-8
- Minagawa K, Iwasaki M, Ogawa H, Yoshihara A, Miyazaki H. Relationship between metabolic syndrome and periodontitis in 80-year-old Japanese subjects. J Periodontal Res. (2015) 50:173–9. doi: 10.1111/jre.12190
- Iwasaki M, Sato M, Minagawa K, Manz MC, Yoshihara A, Miyazaki H. Longitudinal relationship between metabolic syndrome and periodontal disease among Japanese adults aged ≥70 years: the Niigata Study. J Periodontol. (2015) 86:491–8. doi: 10.1902/jop.2015.140398
- Chen X, Xie L, Liu Y, Chen D, Yu Q, Gan X, et al. Metabolic syndrome and periodontal disease among civilian pilots. *Aerosp Med Hum Perform*. (2016) 87:1016–020. doi: 10.3357/AMHP.4654.2016
- Jaramillo A, Contreras A, Lafaurie GI, Duque A, Ardila CM, Duarte S, et al. Association of metabolic syndrome and chronic periodontitis in Colombians. *Clin Oral Investig.* (2017) 21:1537–44. doi: 10.1007/s00784-016-1942-9
- Kumar N, Bhardwaj A, Negi PC, Jhingta PK, Sharma D, Bhardwaj VK. Association of chronic periodontitis with metabolic syndrome: a cross-sectional study. *J Indian Soc Periodontol.* (2016) 20:324–9. doi: 10.4103/0972-124X.183096
- Gomes-Filho IS, das Merces MC, de Santana Passos-Soares J, Seixas da Cruz S, Teixeira Ladeia AM, Trindade SC, et al. Severity of periodontitis and metabolic syndrome: is there an association? *J Periodontol*. (2016) 87:357–66. doi: 10.1902/jop.2015.150367
- Kaye EK, Chen N, Cabral HJ, Vokonas P, Garcia RI. Metabolic syndrome and periodontal disease progression in men. J Dent Res. (2016) 95:822–8. doi: 10.1177/0022034516641053
- Musskopf ML, Daudt LD, Weidlich P, Gerchman F, Gross JL, Oppermann RI. Metabolic syndrome as a risk indicator for periodontal disease and tooth loss. *Clin Oral Investig.* (2017) 21:675–83. doi: 10.1007/s00784-016-1935-8
- Wu W. Study on the correlation between periodontal disease and metabolic syndrome. *Chin J Clin.* (2016) 44:71–2. doi: 10.3969/j.issn.2095-8552.2016.01.026
- Kikui M, Kokubo Y, Ono T, Kida M, Kosaka T, Yamamoto M, et al. Relationship between metabolic syndrome components and periodontal disease in a Japanese general population: the suita study. *J Atheroscler Thromb*. (2017) 24:495–507. doi: 10.5551/jat.33761
- Zhang L, Li Y, Sang X, Li S, Hu X, Liu J. Epidemiological survey and risk factors analysis of periodontitis in rural Uygur in Moyu County. J Xinjiang Med University. (2017) 40:557–63. doi: 10.3969/j.issn.1009-5551.2017.05.001
- Kim OS, Shin MH, Kweon SS, Lee YH, Kim OJ, Kim YJ, et al. Chung: The severity of periodontitis and metabolic syndrome in Korean population: The Dong-gu study. J Periodontal Res. (2018) 53:362–368. doi: 10.1111/jre.12521
- Pham T. The association between periodontal disease severity and metabolic syndrome in Vietnamese patients. *Int J Dent Hyg.* (2018) 16:484–91. doi: 10.1111/idh.12350
- Koo HS, Hong SM. Prevalence and risk factors for periodontitis among patients with metabolic syndrome. *Metab Syndr Relat Disord*. (2018) 16:375– 81. doi: 10.1089/met.2018.0003
- Nascimento GG, Leite FRM, Peres KG, Demarco FF, Correa MB, Peres MA. Metabolic syndrome and periodontitis: a structural equation modeling approach. J Periodontol. (2019) 90:655–62. doi: 10.1002/JPER.18-0483
- Kim JS, Kim SY, Byon MJ, Lee JH, Jeong SH, Kim JB. Association between periodontitis and metabolic syndrome in a korean nationally representative sample of adults aged. 35-79 years. *Int J Environ Res Public Health*. (2019) 16:2930. doi: 10.3390/ijerph16162930
- 55. Friedewald VE, Kornman KS, Beck JD, Genco R, Goldfine A, Libby P, et al. American Journal of Cardiology and Journal of Periodontology Editors' Consensus: periodontitis and atherosclerotic cardiovascular disease. Am J Cardiol. (2009) 104:59–68. doi: 10.1016/j.amjcard.2009.05.002
- Barry M, Pearce H, Cross L, Tatullo M, Gaharwar AK. Advances in nanotechnology for the treatment of osteoporosis. *Curr Osteoporos Rep.* (2016) 14:87–94. doi: 10.1007/s11914-016-0306-3

- Carramolino-Cuellar E, Tomas I, Jimenez-Soriano Y. Relationship between the oral cavity and cardiovascular diseases and metabolic syndrome. *Med Oral Patol Oral Cir Bucal.* (2014) 19:e289–94. doi: 10.4317/medoral. 19563
- Papapanou PN, Susin C. Periodontitis epidemiology: is periodontitis under-recognized, over-diagnosed, or both? *Periodontol.* (2017) 75:45–51. doi: 10.1111/prd.12200
- 59. Ballini A, Tete S, Scattarella A, Cantore S, Mastrangelo F, Papa F, et al. The role of anti-cyclic citrullinated peptide antibody in periodontal disease. *Int J Immunopathol Pharmacol.* (2010) 23:677–81. doi: 10.1177/039463201002300234
- Mori G, Brunetti G, Colucci S, Oranger A, Ciccolella F, Sardone F, et al. Osteoblast apoptosis in periodontal disease: role of TNF-related apoptosis-inducing ligand. *Int J Immunopathol Pharmacol.* (2009) 22:95–103. doi: 10.1177/039463200902200111
- 61. Ballini A, Cantore S, Farronato D, Cirulli N, Inchingolo F, Papa F, et al. Periodontal disease and bone pathogenesis: the crosstalk between cytokines and porphyromonas gingivalis. *J Biol Regul Homeost Agents*. (2015) 29:273–81. doi: 10.1177/0394632015590949

- 62. Cantore S, Ballini A, Mori G, Dibello V, Marrelli M, Mirgaldi R, et al. Antiplaque and antimicrobial efficiency of different oral rinses in a 3-day plaque accumulation model. *J Biol Regul Homeost Agents*. (2016) 30:1173–8.
- 63. Tatullo M, Codispoti B, Pacifici A, Palmieri F, Marrelli M, Pacifici L,et al. Potential use of human periapical cyst-mesenchymal stem cells (hPCy-MSCs) as a novel stem cell source for regenerative medicine applications. *Front Cell Dev Biol.* (2017) 5:103. doi: 10.3389/fcell.2017.00103

**Conflict of Interest:** The authors declare that the research was conducted in the absence of any commercial or financial relationships that could be construed as a potential conflict of interest.

Copyright © 2020 Gobin, Tian, Liu and Wang. This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (CC BY). The use, distribution or reproduction in other forums is permitted, provided the original author(s) and the copyright owner(s) are credited and that the original publication in this journal is cited, in accordance with accepted academic practice. No use, distribution or reproduction is permitted which does not comply with these terms.