



# Article Association between the Dietary Inflammatory Index and Gastric Disease Risk: Findings from a Korean Population-Based Cohort Study

Sundara Raj Sreeja<sup>1</sup>, Trong-Dat Le<sup>2</sup>, Bang Wool Eom<sup>3</sup>, Seung Hyun Oh<sup>4</sup>, Nitin Shivappa<sup>5,6,7</sup>, James R. Hebert<sup>5,6,7</sup> and Mi Kyung Kim<sup>1,2,\*</sup>

- <sup>1</sup> Department of Cancer Epidemiology, National Cancer Center, Ilsandong-gu, Goyang 10408, Korea; ssreejaraj@gmail.com
- <sup>2</sup> Department of Cancer Biomedical Science, Graduate School of Cancer Science and Policy, National Cancer Center, Goyang 10408, Korea; datle90@ncc.re.kr
- <sup>3</sup> Center for Gastric Cancer, National Cancer Center, Ilsandong-gu, Goyang 10408, Korea; kneeling79@ncc.re.kr
- <sup>4</sup> College of Pharmacy, Gachon University, Incheon 21936, Korea; eyeball@hanmail.net
- <sup>5</sup> Cancer Prevention and Control Program, University of South Carolina, Columbia, SC 29208, USA; shivappa@mailbox.sc.edu (N.S.); jhebert@mailbox.sc.edu (J.R.H.)
- <sup>6</sup> Department of Epidemiology and Biostatistics, Arnold School of Public Health, University of South Carolina, Columbia, SC 29208, USA
- <sup>7</sup> Department of Nutrition, Connecting Health Innovations LLC, Columbia, SC 29201, USA
- Correspondence: alrud@ncc.re.kr; Tel.: +82-31-920-2202; Fax: +82-31-920-2006

Abstract: Evidence suggests that diets with high pro-inflammatory potential may play a substantial role in the origin of gastric inflammation. This study aimed to examine the association between the energy-adjusted dietary inflammatory index (E-DII<sup>TM</sup>) and gastric diseases at baseline and after a mean follow-up of 7.4 years in a Korean population. A total of 144,196 participants from the Korean Genome and Epidemiology Study\_Health Examination (KoGES\_HEXA) cohort were included. E-DII scores were computed using a validated semi-quantitative food frequency questionnaire. Multivariate logistic regression and Cox proportional hazards regression were used to assess the association between the E-DII and gastric disease risk. In the prospective analysis, the risk of developing gastric disease was significantly increased among individuals in the highest quartile of E-DII compared to those in the lowest quartile ( $HR_{quartile4vs1} = 1.22$ ; 95% CI = 1.08–1.38). Prospective analysis also showed an increased risk in the incidence of gastritis ( $HR_{quartile4vs1} = 1.19$ ; 95% CI = 1.04–1.37), gastric ulcers (HR<sub>quartile4vs1</sub> = 1.47; 95% CI = 1.16-1.85), and gastric and duodenal ulcers (HR<sub>quartile4vs1</sub> = 1.46; 95% CI = 1.17–1.81) in the highest E-DII quartile compared to the lowest quartile. In the cross-sectional analysis, the E-DII score was not associated with the risk of gastric disease. Our results suggest that a pro-inflammatory diet, indicated by high E-DII scores, is prospectively associated with an increased risk of gastric diseases. These results highlight the significance of an anti-inflammatory diet in lowering the risk of gastric disease risk in the general population.

**Keywords:** dietary inflammatory index; gastric diseases; pro-inflammatory diet; anti-inflammatory diet; gastritis; ulcer

# 1. Introduction

The acute inflammatory response is essential for ensuring that immune cells protect the body from bacteria, viruses, toxins, and spontaneous mutations and for promoting tissue repair and recovery from injury or infection [1,2]. Invasion by microbes and tissue damage due to injury can promote acute inflammation that lasts for a short period [3]. Chronic inflammation can last for several months, years, or even decades, depending on the cause of the injury and its repair mechanism [4]. Gastric diseases associated with the stomach include gastritis and ulcers [5]. Gastritis is a chronic condition characterized by



Citation: Sreeja, S.R.; Le, T.-D.; Eom, B.W.; Oh, S.H.; Shivappa, N.; Hebert, J.R.; Kim, M.K. Association between the Dietary Inflammatory Index and Gastric Disease Risk: Findings from a Korean Population-Based Cohort Study. *Nutrients* **2022**, *14*, 2662. https://doi.org/10.3390/ nu14132662

Received: 20 May 2022 Accepted: 23 June 2022 Published: 27 June 2022

**Publisher's Note:** MDPI stays neutral with regard to jurisdictional claims in published maps and institutional affiliations.



**Copyright:** © 2022 by the authors. Licensee MDPI, Basel, Switzerland. This article is an open access article distributed under the terms and conditions of the Creative Commons Attribution (CC BY) license (https:// creativecommons.org/licenses/by/ 4.0/). inflammation of the mucous membrane in the stomach, where gastric cells are replaced by intestinal-type epithelium and fibrous tissue [6,7]. The common mechanism in gastric disease etiology is the disproportion between mucosal deformation and its repair mechanisms in the stomach [8]. Ulcers, or slow-healing sores, are chronic inflammatory states that develop in the lining of the stomach or upper part of the small intestine [9]. Chronic inflammation is implicated in severe medical conditions such as cancer and other chronic diseases [10–14].

Helicobacter *pylori* is considered a significant causal factor and a class 1 carcinogenic agent in the pathogenesis of gastric diseases [15]. H. *pylori* colonization leads to chronic gastritis, which progresses to atrophic gastritis, intestinal metaplasia, and eventually gastric cancer [16]. Other risk factors associated with gastric inflammation include alcoholism, smoking, non-steroidal anti-inflammatory drug use, tobacco use, stress, surgical procedures, irradiation in the stomach, autoimmune disorders, and systemic viral infections [17–20]. Prolonged use of non-steroidal anti-inflammatory drugs increases mucosal barrier permeability, reduces mucosal blood flow, and causes ulceration and inflammation in the gastric mucosal lining [21].

Previous studies have shown that diet plays an important role in the etiology of chronic inflammation of the gastric mucosa [22–31]. A high-fat diet impairs the gastrointestinal tract by producing bile acids, resulting in the expression of pro-inflammatory enzymes and cytokines that contribute to the development of insulin resistance [22]. Resulting in inflammation, increased oxidative damage due to high levels of reactive oxygen species and increased apoptosis destroys the gastric mucosa [23]. Sugars in carbonated drinks reduce esophageal sphincter pressure and increase gastroesophageal pressure, which causes irritation in the mucosal lining and alters the gut microbiota [24]. Spicy foods provoke irritation of the stomach lining [25]. However, in the long term, these spicy foods reduce chronic, systemic, and tissue-specific simmering inflammation [32]. In addition, excessive salt intake increases epithelial damage, followed by parietal cell loss and severe *H. pylori* colonization, and has been associated with an increased risk of atrophic gastritis and with intestinal metaplasia [26,27].

No previous studies have presented epidemiological evidence on the association between the energy-adjusted dietary inflammatory index (E-DII<sup>TM</sup>) and gastric disease risk. This study aimed to examine the association between the inflammatory potential of diet as estimated by the E-DII, and the incidence of gastric diseases at baseline and after a follow-up period. The study hypothesis was that high E-DII scores corresponding to a pro-inflammatory diet increase the risk of gastric diseases, including gastritis and ulcers.

## 2. Materials and Methods

#### 2.1. Study Population and Data Collection

The Korean Genome and Epidemiology Study\_Health Examination (KoGES\_HEXA) is a large prospective cohort study that explores the relationship between genetic, environmental, and lifestyle factors in chronic diseases such as hypertension, metabolic syndrome, obesity, type 2 diabetes, and cardiovascular disease among Koreans. The present study used data from the KoGES-HEXA study to investigate the association between the energy-adjusted dietary inflammatory index (E-DII<sup>TM</sup>) and gastric diseases. All study subjects deliberately responded to the baseline survey through on-site invitations, letters, telephone calls, campaigns, and community conferences. Detailed information on KoGES can be found elsewhere [33].

The KoGES health examination study included 173,343 participants aged 40–69 years, recruited from 38 health examination centers and hospitals located in 8 regions of Korea [34]. At baseline, the study participants were enrolled based on a standardized study protocol authorized by the Ethics Committee of the Korean Health and Genomic Study of the Korean National Institute of Health and Institutional Review Boards. Informed consent was obtained from all participants prior to their participation in the study. The Institutional

Review Board of the National Cancer Center in Korea approved all methods used in the present study, and all relevant guidelines and regulations were followed (IRB No. NCC2018-0164). Among the original 173,343 participants, we excluded subjects who had a history of gastric diseases (n = 24,954), extreme calorie intake [males <800 Kcal or >4500 Kcal; females <500 Kcal or >4200 Kcal; (n = 1450)], or insufficient data to compute E-DII scores (n = 2743). After exclusion, data from 144,196 study subjects were included in the final analysis. After a mean follow-up of 7.4 years, 1571, 600, and 102 cases of gastritis, gastric ulcers, and duodenal ulcers were identified, respectively. The incidence of inflammatory diseases was self-reported by the study participants after diagnosis by a medical doctor (Figure 1).



Figure 1. Flowchart of study subjects included in this study from the KoGES\_HEXA cohort.

#### 2.2. Statistical Analysis

To examine the association between the E-DII and gastric disease, the E-DII was categorized into quartiles. Continuous variables were expressed as means with standard deviations, and categorical variables were expressed as frequencies with percentages. An analysis of variance was used for continuous variables with p values representing the likelihood of obtaining this result on the assumption that the null hypothesis of no effect was true, while the chi-square test was applied to categorical variables. Logistic regression analysis was performed to analyze the association between the E-DII score and gastric disease at baseline. The association between the E-DII and gastric disease incidence was analyzed using multivariate Cox proportional hazards models. The models were adjusted for sex, age (categorical), educational status (categorical), smoking (categorical), drinking (categorical), physical activity (categorical), energy (continuous), and body mass index (BMI) (continuous). The odds ratios (ORs) and hazard ratios (HRs) were calculated with 95% confidence intervals (CIs) for logistic regression and the Cox proportional hazards model, respectively. The *p*-value for the interaction between E-DII and sex was calculated from the likelihood ratio test comparing the multivariate-adjusted model with and without the product terms of E-DII and sex. The *p*-value for the trend was computed by assigning the median value for each quartile and treating it as a continuous variable. Subgroup analysis was performed by stratifying the total population according to smoking, drinking, physical activity, BMI, age, and menopausal status. Cubic spline regression was performed to assess the dose-response association between the E-DII score and the risk of gastric disease. A Cox proportional hazards model was used to examine the association between each food and nutrient component and gastric diseases. Results with p values of < 0.05were considered statistically significant. All statistical analyses were performed using R version 4.1.1. Additional details regarding the materials and methods can be found in the Supplementary Data.

# 3. Results

## 3.1. Baseline Characteristics of the Study Subjects

Table 1 shows the distribution of the demographic characteristics of the 144,196 study participants according to the E-DII quartiles. As the E-DII score increased, the mean energy intake decreased (p < 0.0001). The higher E-DII groups were more likely to be older and overweight but have a lower level of education, be less physically active, experience menopause, and have a family history of cancer (p < 0.0001). Moreover, the percentage of never smokers and drinkers decreased as the E-DII increased (p < 0.0001). A similar trend was observed in females, while the opposite was observed in males.

**Table 1.** Baseline characteristics of study subjects across quartiles of E-DII in KoGES\_HEXA (n = 144,196).

Charactoristics		<i>p</i> Value <sup>d</sup>			
	Q1 ( $n = 36,049$ )	Q2 $(n = 36,049)$	Q3 ( $n = 36,049$ )	Q4 ( $n = 36,049$ )	
E-DII score	-8.20 to -1.22	-1.22 to 0.23	0.23 to 1.61	1.61 to 6.36	
Energy (kcal/day) <sup>b</sup>	$1805.0 \pm 593.5$	$1802.0 \pm 520.6$	$1751.1 \pm 481.7$	$1644.5 \pm 459.1$	< 0.0001
Age (years) <sup>b</sup>	$52.9 \pm 8.0$	$52.6\pm8.2$	$53.7\pm8.5$	$54.1\pm8.8$	< 0.0001
$BMI (Kg/m^2)^{b}$	$24.3\pm5.8$	$24.1 \pm 5.1$	$23.9 \pm 4.6$	$23.7 \pm 5.2$	< 0.0001
<18.5 °	584 (1.6)	649 (1.8)	687 (1.9)	814 (2.3)	< 0.0001
18.5-<23	13,286 (36.7)	13,506 (37.5)	13,215 (36.7)	12,850 (35.6)	
23–25	9934 (27.6)	10,025 (27.8)	9973 (27.7)	9917 (27.5)	
>25	12,245 (34.1)	11,869 (32.9)	12,174 (33.8)	12,468 (34.6)	
Sex <sup>c</sup> , Female	26,634 (73.9)	24,622 (68.3)	22,785 (63.2)	20,327 (56.4)	< 0.0001
Male	9415 (26.1)	11,427 (31.7)	13,264 (36.8)	15,722 (43.6)	
Marital status <sup>c</sup> , Married	31,851 (88.4)	31,933 (89.6)	31,805 (88.2)	31,010 (86.0)	< 0.0001
Single/divorced/widowed	4198 (11.6)	4116 (11.4)	4244 (11.8)	5039 (14.0)	
Education <sup>c</sup> , <middle school</middle 	5125 (14.2)	5452 (15.1)	6315 (17.5)	7959 (22.1)	<0.0001
Middle~high school	20,494 (56.8)	19,705 (54.7)	19,564 (54.3)	19,409 (53.8)	
≥College	10,430 (29.0)	10,892 (30.2)	10,170 (28.2)	8681 (24.1)	
Smoking <sup>c</sup> , Never	28,587 (79.3)	26,987 (74.9)	25,774 (71.5)	23,496 (65.2)	< 0.0001
Past	4174 (11.6)	5028 (13.9)	5565 (15.4)	6339 (17.6)	
Current	3288 (9.1)	4034 (11.2)	4710 (13.1)	6214 (17.2)	
Drinking <sup>c</sup> , Never	19,511 (54.1)	18,395 (51.0)	17,875 (49.6)	17,189 (47.7)	< 0.0001
Past	1386 (3.8)	1330 (3.7)	1478 (4.1)	1672 (4.6)	
Current	15,152 (42.1)	16,324 (45.3)	16,696 (46.3)	17,188 (47.7)	
Physical activity <sup>e</sup> , Irregular	14,401 (39.9)	16,572 (46.0)	18,143 (50.3)	20,174 (56.0)	< 0.0001
Regular	21,648 (60.1)	19,477 (54.0)	17,906 (49.7)	15,875 (44.0)	
Menopausal status <sup>f</sup> , Post	15,971 (60.1)	14,200 (57.7)	13,109 (57.5)	12,564 (61.8)	< 0.0001
Pre	10,663 (39.9)	10,422 (42.3)	9676 (42.5)	7763 (38.2)	
Family history No	31,396 (87.1)	31,463 (87.3)	30,521 (84.7)	30,295 (84.0)	< 0.0001
of cancer Yes	4673 (12.9)	4586 (12.7)	5528 (15.3)	5754 (16.0)	

<sup>a</sup> E-DII is presented by quartiles at baseline, which divides the E-DII scores into four levels. Q1 represents the anti-inflammatory index, while Q4 represents the maximum pro-inflammatory index. <sup>b</sup> The data for continuous variables are presented as mean and standard deviation. <sup>c</sup> The data for categorical variables are presented as n (%) among all participants. <sup>d</sup> ANOVA and chi-square test was used to calculate p values for continuous and categorical variables, respectively. <sup>e</sup> Physical activity was measured based on whether the study participants regularly engaged in any sports until sweating. <sup>f</sup> The data for menopausal status were calculated only for women.

Table S1 shows the distribution of food and nutrient parameters across E-DII quartiles in the KoGES\_HEXA cohort. Significant differences were observed for cereals, nuts, eggs, spinach, carrot, pork, chicken, beef, milk, coffee, and carbonated beverages.

#### 3.2. E-DII and Prevalent Gastric Diseases at Baseline

In cross-sectional analyses of baseline data there was no significant association with any gastric diseases found in the highest compared to the lowest E-DII quartile in the total population ( $OR_{quartile4vs1} = 1.09$ ; 95% CI = 0.94–1.26; *p*-trend = 0.20), in males ( $OR_{quartile4vs1} = 1.01$ ; 95% CI = 0.77–1.32; *p*-trend = 0.14), or in females ( $OR_{quartile4vs1} = 1.13$ ; 95% CI = 0.95–1.34; *p*-trend = 0.19) (Table 2). Similar results were observed for gastritis, gastric ulcers, duodenal ulcers, and gastric and duodenal ulcers.

	Cross-Sectional (Logistic Regression) <sup>b</sup>				Prospective (Cox Proportional) <sup>C</sup>			
E-DII Quartiles <sup>a</sup>	Cases/Tetal	Total	Men	Women	Conse/Testal	Total	Men	Women
	Cases/ Iotai	Multivariate OR	Multivariate OR	Multivariate OR	Cases/ Iotal	Multivariate HR	Multivariate HR	Multivariate HR
All Gastric Diseases								
Q1 Q2 Q3 Q4 p for trend d p for interaction <sup>e</sup> Continuous	324/36,049 369/36,049 392/36,049 356/36,049	$\begin{array}{c} 1.00\\ 1.10\ (0.97-1.25)\\ 1.22\ (1.07-1.40)\\ 1.09\ (0.94-1.26)\\ 0.20\\ 1.01\ (0.98-1.04)\\ \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 1.00\\ 1.01(0.79{-}1.28)\\ 1.28(1.00{-}1.65)\\ 1.01(0.77{-}1.32)\\ 0.14\\ 0.23\\ 0.99(0.95{-}1.05)\end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 1.00\\ 1.15 \ (0.99-1.33)\\ 1.20 \ (1.02-1.41)\\ 1.13 \ (0.95-1.34)\\ 0.19\\ \end{array}$	530/35,689 598/35,689 604/35,688 541/35,689	$\begin{array}{c} 1.00\\ 1.19 \ (1.06-1.34)\\ 1.25 \ (1.11-1.41)\\ 1.22 \ (1.08-1.38) \ ^*\\ 0.01\\ 1.02 \ (1.01-1.06) \ ^*\end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 1.00\\ 1.10\ (0.86-1.42)\\ 1.22\ (0.96-1.55)\\ 1.15\ (0.91-1.46)\\ 0.21\\ 0.10\\ 0.99\ (0.93-1.01)\end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 1.00\\ 1.22(1.06-1.39)\\ 1.25(1.09-1.44)\\ 1.27(1.08-1.45)*\\ 0.01\\ 1.03(1.01-1.06)*\end{array}$
Gastritis Q1 Q2 Q3 Q4 p for trend d p for interaction <sup>e</sup> Continuous	210/36,049 218/36,049 244/36,049 184/36,049	1.00 1.06 (0.93-1.22) 1.17 (1.01-1.39) 1.12 (0.94-1.27) 0.13 1.02 (0.99-1.05)	$\begin{array}{c} 1.00\\ 0.99\ (0.74{-}1.34)\\ 1.23\ (0.91{-}1.69)\\ 1.10\ (0.79{-}1.54)\\ 0.16\\ 0.21\\ 1.00\ (0.95{-}1.07)\end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 1.00\\ 1.10\ (0.93-1.31)\\ 1.15\ (0.96-1.39)\\ 1.12\ (0.91-1.36)\\ 0.27\\ 1.02\ (0.98-1.07)\end{array}$	386/35,689 415/35,689 410/35,688 360/35,689	$\begin{array}{c} 1.00\\ 1.14\ (0.99-1.32)\\ 1.16\ (1.01-1.34)\\ 1.19\ (1.04-1.37)\ ^*\\ 0.01\\ 1.02\ (1.01-1.04)\ ^*\end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 1.00\\ 1.06 (0.78{-}1.45)\\ 1.12 (0.84{-}1.50)\\ 1.17 (0.87{-}1.57)\\ 0.29\\ 0.84\\ 0.94 (0.90{-}0.98)\end{array}$	1.00 1.14 (0.96–1.35) 1.19 (1.02–1.39) 1.19 (1.02–1.40) * 0.03 1.03 (1.01–1.06) *
Gastric Ulcer Q1 Q2 Q3 Q4 p for trend $dp$ for interaction $eContinuous$	94/36,049 127/36,049 123/36,049 146/36,049	$\begin{array}{c} 1.00\\ 1.16\ (0.90-1.49)\\ 1.31\ (0.99-1.70)\\ 1.22\ (0.92-1.61)\\ 0.15\\ 1.03\ (0.98-1.10)\end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 1.00\\ 0.88(0.58{-}1.33)\\ 1.27(0.83{-}1.94)\\ 0.98(0.61{-}1.56)\\ 0.32\\ 0.31\\ 1.02(0.93{-}1.11)\end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 1.00\\ 1.36\ (0.99-1.87)\\ 1.35\ (0.96-1.90)\\ 1.38\ (0.97-1.97)\\ 0.14\\ 1.05\ (0.98-1.13)\end{array}$	123/35,689 158/35,689 166/35,688 153/35,689	$\begin{array}{c} 1.00\\ 1.39\ (1.1-1.76)\\ 1.44\ (1.13-1.83)\\ 1.47\ (1.16-1.85)\ ^*\\ 0.01\\ 1.02\ (1.01-1.05)\ ^*\end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 1.00\\ 1.08 \ (0.71-1.63)\\ 1.18 \ (0.77-1.81)\\ 1.23 \ (0.81-1.85)\\ 0.08\\ 0.15\\ 0.96 \ (0.93-1.01)\end{array}$	1.00 1.48 (1.11–1.96) 1.57 (1.18–2.09) 1.68 (1.25–2.25) * 0.01 1.04 (1.01–1.15) *
Duodenal Ulcer Q1 Q2 Q3 Q4 p for trend d p for interaction <sup>e</sup> Continuous	20/36,049 24/36,049 25/36,049 26/36,049	$\begin{array}{c} 1.00\\ 0.86 \ (0.45-1.65)\\ 1.54 \ (0.84-2.90)\\ 0.98 \ (0.50-1.98)\\ 0.27\\ 0.98 \ (0.86-1.12)\end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 1.00\\ 0.90\ (0.31\-2.67)\\ 1.37\ (0.50\-4.06)\\ 0.92\ (0.30\-2.98)\\ 0.41\\ 0.24\\ 0.99\ (0.81\-1.24)\end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 1.00\\ 0.84\ (0.37-1.91)\\ 1.63\ (0.76-3.63)\\ 1.00\ (0.42-2.44)\\ 0.35\\ 0.97\ (0.82-1.15)\end{array}$	21/35,689 25/35,689 28/35,688 28/35,689	$\begin{array}{c} 1.00\\ 1.21 \ (0.68-2.17)\\ 1.36 \ (0.77-2.40)\\ 1.38 \ (0.78-2.44)\\ 0.35\\ 0.94 \ (0.89-0.97)\end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 1.00\\ 1.85\ (0.59{-}5.73)\\ 1.88\ (0.58{-}1.09)\\ 2.30\ (0.75{-}2.06)\\ 0.25\\ 0.07\\ 0.95\ (0.81{-}1.09)\end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 1.00\\ 1.05 \ (0.53-2.08)\\ 1.07 \ (0.54-2.15)\\ 1.31 \ (0.66-2.59)\\ 0.47\\ 0.97 \ (0.72-0.99)\end{array}$
Gastric and Duodenal Ulcer Q1 Q2 Q3 Q4 p for trend d p for interaction <sup>e</sup> Continuous	114/36,049 151/36,049 148/36,049 172/36,049	1.00 1.11 (0.88–1.40) 1.33 (1.05–1.71) 1.19 (0.92–1.54) 0.12 1.03 (0.98–1.09)	$\begin{array}{c} 1.00\\ 0.88(0.591.30)\\ 1.28(0.871.91)\\ 0.98(0.631.51)\\ 0.23\\ 0.26\\ 1.01(0.931.10)\end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 1.00\\ 1.27(0.94{-}1.70)\\ 1.38(1.01{-}1.89)\\ 1.33(0.96{-}1.85)\\ 0.11\\ 1.04(0.98{-}1.11)\end{array}$	144/35,689 183/35,689 194/35,688 181/35,689	$\begin{array}{c} 1.00\\ 1.35 \ (1.08-1.69)\\ 1.45 \ (1.16-1.81)\\ 1.46 \ (1.17-1.81) \\ 0.01\\ 1.05 \ (1.01-1.06) \\ \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 1.00\\ 1.19(0.79\!-\!1.78)\\ 1.32(0.90\!-\!1.94)\\ 1.14(0.78\!-\!1.69)\\ 0.50\\ 0.16\\ 1.01(0.91\!-\!1.08)\end{array}$	1.00 1.42 (1.09-1.85) 1.50 (1.15-1.96) 1.65 (1.26-2.17) * 0.01 1.06 (1.02-1.13) *

**Table 2.** Association between E-DII and gastric disease risk for all participants in cross-sectional analysis (*n* = 144,196) and prospective analysis (*n* = 142,755) of the KoGES\_HEXA.

<sup>a</sup> E-DII is presented by quartiles at baseline, which divides the E-DII scores into four levels, Q1 represents the anti-inflammatory index, while Q4 represents the maximum proinflammatory index. <sup>b</sup> Odds ratios (ORs) and 95% CI were calculated using a logistic regression model. <sup>c</sup> Hazard ratios (HRs) and 95% CI were calculated using the Cox proportional hazards model. Both models were adjusted for sex, age, education status, smoking, drinking, physical activity, and BMI. <sup>d</sup> *p* for trend was computed by assigning the median value for each quartile and treating it as a continuous variable. <sup>e</sup> *p* for interaction was calculated from the likelihood ratio test comparing the multivariate-adjusted model with and without the product terms of E-DII and sex. \* Significant difference.

#### 3.3. E-DII and Incident Gastric Diseases

During a follow-up of 7.4 years, there were 1571 incident cases of gastritis (412 males and 1159 females), 600 gastric ulcers (207 males and 393 females), and 102 duodenal ulcers (38 males and 64 females). Overall, a higher risk of incident gastric diseases was obtained in the highest E-DII quartile ( $HR_{quartile4vs1} = 1.22$ ; 95% CI = 1.08–1.38; *p*-trend = 0.01) compared to the lowest quartile (Table 2). Females in the highest quartile also showed a 27% higher risk of gastric diseases ( $HR_{quartile4vs1} = 1.27$ ; 95% CI = 1.08–1.45; *p*-trend = 0.01) than those in the lowest quartile. Similar results were observed for gastritis incidence in the total population ( $HR_{quartile4vs1} = 1.19$ ; 95% CI = 1.04–1.37; *p*-trend = 0.01) and in females ( $HR_{quartile4vs1} = 1.19$ ; 95% CI = 1.02–1.40; *p*-trend = 0.03). Moreover, prospective analysis showed a significant association between a pro-inflammatory diet and a higher risk of developing gastric ulcers ( $HR_{quartile4vs1} = 1.47$ ; 95% C I = 1.16–1.85; p-trend = 0.01) and gastric and duodenal ulcers (HR<sub>quartile4vs1</sub> = 1.46; 95% CI = 1.17-1.81; *p*-trend = 0.01) compared to that of an anti-inflammatory diet. Females in the highest E-DII quartile were also observed to have a 68% higher risk of developing gastric ulcers ( $HR_{quartile4vs1} = 1.68$ ; 95% CI = 1.25–2.25; *p*-trend = 0.01) and a 65% higher risk of developing gastric and duodenal ulcers (HR<sub>quartile4vs1</sub> = 1.65; 95% CI = 1.26–2.17; *p*-trend = 0.01) in the highest E-DII quartile compared to the lowest quartile. No significant association was observed with the risk of duodenal ulcers.

Figure 2 shows the subgroup analysis by smoking, drinking, physical activity, BMI, age, and menopausal status for the association between the E-DII and gastric disease risk. In the total population, a significant association with increased risk of gastric diseases was seen in the never-smoker group, never-drinker group, high-BMI group, both physical activity groups, and age groups, while a decreased risk was seen in the low-BMI group. The same findings were reported in females, except for the regular physical activity group, in which the association was not significant. In males, a significant association was observed only in the higher BMI group and older males.



Figure 2. Cont.



**Figure 2.** Subgroup analysis of the KoGES\_HEXA among total (**a**), men (**b**), and women (**c**) by smoking, drinking, physical activity, BMI, age, and menopausal status (only for women) for gastric disease (gastritis, gastric ulcer, and duodenal ulcer) risk. HRs, hazard ratios; 95% CIs, 95% confidence intervals.

As shown in Table S2, the highest quartile of the E-DII in postmenopausal females showed a significantly increased risk of gastritis, gastric ulcers, and gastric and duodenal ulcers compared to the lowest quartile. Premenopausal females showed no significant association between the E-DII and gastric disease. Table S3 shows that study subjects over 65 years of age reported a significant association with incident gastritis ( $HR_{quartile4vs1} = 1.07$ ; 95% CI = 1.03–1.24; *p*-trend = 0.01), gastric ulcers ( $HR_{quartile4vs1} = 1.21$ ; 95% CI = 1.07–1.34; *p*-trend = 0.01), and gastric and duodenal ulcers ( $HR_{quartile4vs1} = 1.10$ ; 95% CI = 1.02–1.09; *p*-trend = 0.01).

As shown in Figure 3, a significant dose–response association was observed for gastric ulcers and gastric and duodenal ulcers in the total cohort (p > 0.05). No significant results were found for gastritis or gastric disease.



**Figure 3.** Dose-response analysis of the KoGES\_HEXA using spline regression for the association between E-DII and gastric disease risk among the total population, men, and women. Solid lines illustrate point estimates and dashed lines represent 95% confidence intervals. Hazard ratios were calculated by the model adjusted for sex, age, education, smoking, drinking, physical activity, and BMI. The histograms show the distribution of E-DII levels in each corresponding group.

# 4. Discussion

The results based on data from the KoGES\_HEXA cohort were consistent with our hypothesis, confirming that higher E-DII scores were associated with higher gastric disease risk after adjusting for potential confounders such as sex, age, smoking, alcohol consumption, and energy. In addition, females showed a stronger and consistently statistically significant association between the E-DII and gastritis and gastric ulcer risk than what was observed in males. Moreover, postmenopausal females showed an increased risk of gastritis and gastric ulcers with increasing consumption of a pro-inflammatory diet.

The DII has evolved as a powerful tool for estimating an individual's diet based on its inflammatory properties [35]. In over 40 construct validation studies conducted to examine associations with inflammatory markers, the DII has successfully predicted the levels of inflammatory markers such as interleukins, CRP, and tumor necrosis factor [36]. Pro-inflammatory diets, consisting largely of ultra-processed and fried foods, red and processed meat, dairy products, sweets and desserts, and refined grains, are associated with high levels of inflammation [37]. In contrast, anti-inflammatory diets rich in fruits and vegetables, flavonoids and antioxidants, whole grains and Omega–3 fats, and olive oil attenuate systemic inflammation [38]. Higher DII scores have been significantly associated with an increased risk of several health conditions, such as cancer, metabolic syndrome, cardiovascular diseases, diabetes, depression, rheumatoid arthritis, osteoarthritis, obesity, frailty, and overall and cause-specific mortality [39–57]. This evidence provides a good background for testing whether a pro-inflammatory diet, measured by the E-DII score, is associated with gastric disease risk.

To our knowledge, this is the first attempt to examine the association between the inflammatory potential of diet and the risk of gastric disease in the Korean population. The current study found a statistically significant association between the DII, E-DII, and gastric disease risk. According to a cross-sectional study with 574 participants in the Chinese population, high consumption of alcohol, meat, and coarse cereals was associated with an increased risk of chronic atrophic gastritis without H. pylori infection in males, although the dietary pattern was not associated with atrophic gastritis in females [58]. Similarly, ingestion of fried foods, barbecued foods, snacks, and very sweet, spicy, and salty foods from Western dietary patterns may activate innate immunity by producing excessive amounts of pro-inflammatory cytokines, resulting in chronic systemic or tissuespecific simmering inflammation in the stomach, gastric distension, and chronic or frequent stomach aches [59]. In patients with chronic gastritis, gastrointestinal symptoms were correlated with unhealthy dietary factors and food preferences, among which irregular mealtimes and consumption of salty and sweet foods were found to be the strongest risk factors [25]. From these studies, it is evident that dietary and lifestyle habits influence the risk of the progression of precancerous gastric lesions, leading to disease progression. It is also recommended that anti-inflammatory foods such as whole grains, fruits, vegetables, beans, legumes, and fish and other foods with abundant quantities of folate, flavonoids, and antioxidants be included in the diet [28,60].

Previous studies have shown that both sexes are susceptible to gastritis and ulcers, followed by chronic gastric cancer, but the results are inconsistent [61–64]. In our study, we found a significant association between the inflammatory potential of diet and the risk of gastric disease among females. In a longitudinal study of 54 cases of gastric carcinoma, higher rates of *H. pylori* infection, gastroesophageal reflux, and gastric inflammation were observed in females [64]. In contrast, in a meta-analysis, an elevated risk of gastric inflammation was observed in males compared to females, and long-term exposure to estrogen is considered a protective factor that inhibits gastric inflammation and gastric cancer [65]. Estrogen hormones regulate mucosal secretion, protect the mucosa from acid-induced damage, and regulate the growth and expression of gastric cells, thereby reducing the risk of gastric inflammation [66]. According to the Shanghai Women's Health study of 73,442 study participants, progesterone and estrogen hormones are defensive components, and females with delayed menopause and long fertile years had a low incidence of gastritis

and gastric carcinogenesis [67]. Future epidemiological studies with larger sample sizes are needed to confirm the sex differences in the association between diet-related inflammation and the incidence of gastritis.

In this study, we found a significant association between the E-DII and gastric ulcer risk among females. Based on data derived from the Korean National Health and Nutrition Examination Survey of 12,095 study participants, peptic ulcer disease risk was high in females compared to males after adjusting for potential confounders such as age, sex, BMI, education, aspirin use, stress, and metabolic disease [68]. Dietary patterns rich in fiber, vegetables, fruits, oatmeal, barley, peas, and lentils help to reduce cell damage and protect the mucosal lining of the stomach, thereby reducing the risk of peptic ulcers [69]. Similarly, females that consume a pro-inflammatory diet showed an increased prevalence of peptic ulcer disease with the presence of *H. pylori* infection [70]. Therefore, further large-scale studies are needed to confirm the association between sex differences and dietary patterns in peptic ulcer disease.

Our study observed a significant association between the E-DII and gastric disease risk among postmenopausal females. According to the National Center for Health Statistics in the United States, the hospitalization rate of most gastric ulcer patients aged 65 years has been reported [71]. Estrogen regulates human duodenal bicarbonate secretion and reduces the risk of ulcers and gastric disease in premenopausal females, and a decrease in the level of estrogen induces a depletion in the mucosal defense function [72]. Estrogen modulates vascular protection, accelerates the growth of endothelial cells, and safeguards the gastric mucosa against acid-induced injury [73]. A decrease in estrogen levels may result in ulceration of the gastric mucosa with severe inflammation and symptoms such as mood swings, depression, and irritation [74]. Further studies with larger sizes are needed to confirm the role of estrogens in gastric diseases.

In our study, fiber, green/black tea, flavan-3-ol, flavonols, nuts, spinach, lettuce, cucumber, carrot, and fruit (data not shown) were associated with a reduced risk of gastric disease. Several studies have shown that the intake of certain foods and nutrients is considered an important aspect of the origin and inhibition of gastric diseases [75–78]. Proinflammatory foods stimulate the digestive system and metabolism in the gastric glands to release higher concentrations of hydrochloric acid, which, in turn, increases inflammation of the stomach lining [75,76]. Flavonoids are gastro-protective agents that increase blood flow in the mucosa and stimulate the production of glycoproteins, glycolipids, and mucins in the gastric mucosa [77]. A case-control study with 299 cases and 433 healthy controls conducted in China found that green tea consumption produced a protective effect against chronic gastric disease with a dose-response relationship and reduced the risk of the progression of gastric cancer [78]. Similarly, in a Japanese study with 1696 study participants, the intake of green tea showed an inhibitory effect, and the polyphenols found in green tea acted as scavengers, inhibited the release of pro-inflammatory cytokines, and fought against gastric inflammation [79]. A high-fiber diet serves as an intermediate component, lowers the concentrations of gastric juices in the stomach, prevents erosion of the mucus membrane, and provides nourishment to the mucosal lining [80].

The current study has several strengths. It is the first to investigate the association between the inflammatory potential of diet and gastric disease risk in the Korean population. This cohort study, with large sample size, long-term follow-up, and inclusion of subjects free of gastric diseases at baseline, reduced the chance of recall bias and reverse causation. A third strength is that the population-based nature of the study increases the external validity and provides reliable results. In addition, a large number of Korean adults were included in the KoGES\_HEXA cohort, which had high internal validity. Finally, the study findings can be used as clinical recommendations to address the importance of anti-inflammatory dietary patterns.

Despite its strengths, this study has several limitations that need to be mentioned. First, the study participants were recruited from health examination centers located in urban areas of Korea. Those willing to participate were potentially more health-conscious, leading to selection bias. Second, there were more females than males included; therefore, it might not represent the entire Korean population. Third, the diagnosis of gastritis was self-reported by the study subjects; so, errors may have been incurred. Fourth, although the FFQ is a common dietary assessment method, it contains a defined list of food items, and subjects may not have been able to report their food intake accurately or may have reported biased intake, as seen in the United States [81]. Fifth, the status of *H. pylori* infection, usage of non-steroidal anti-inflammatory drugs, and autoimmune chronic atrophic gastritis information were not included. Finally, the levels of inflammatory biomarkers such as IL-1, IL-4, IL-6, and IL-10 were not measured.

## 5. Conclusions

Diets with high pro-inflammatory properties estimated by the E-DII were associated with a higher risk of gastric disease incidence among Koreans, especially in females. We expect that the current study will contribute to the importance of recommending healthy diet consumption, raise awareness of pro-inflammatory diets, and provide recommendations and guidelines for gastric disease prevention and public health promotion.

**Supplementary Materials:** The following are available online at https://www.mdpi.com/article/10.3 390/nu14132662/s1. Table S1: Distribution of food and nutrient components across quartiles of E-DII score in the KoGES\_HEXA (*n* = 144,196); Table S2: Association between E-DII score and gastric disease risk in women, stratified by menopausal status; Table S3: Association between E-DII score and gastric disease risk, stratified by age category. Dietary assessment using SQ-FFQ and calculation of DII [82,83].

**Author Contributions:** Study design and conduct, M.K.K.; data analysis, S.R.S. and T.-D.L.; data interpretation and drafting manuscript, S.R.S., T.-D.L., M.K.K., B.W.E., S.H.O., N.S. and J.R.H.; primary responsibility for the final version, M.K.K. All authors have read and agreed to the published version of the manuscript.

**Funding:** The authors are thankful to the National Cancer Center (NCC), Korea, for the project (grant no: NCC-2211690).

Institutional Review Board Statement: Not applicable.

Informed Consent Statement: Not applicable.

Acknowledgments: Data in this study were from the Korean Genome and Epidemiology Study (KoGES; 4851-302), National Research Institute of Health, Centers for Disease Control and Prevention, and Ministry for Health and Welfare, Korea.

**Conflicts of Interest:** James R. Hébert owns a controlling interest in Connecting Health Innovations LLC (CHI), a company that has licensed the right to his invention of the dietary inflammatory index (DIITM) from the University of South Carolina in order to develop computer and smartphone applications for patient counseling and dietary intervention in clinical settings. Nitin Shivappa is an employee of CHI. The subject matter of this paper will not have any direct bearing on that work, nor has that activity exerted any influence on this project. The remaining authors declare no conflicts of interest.

## References

- Furman, D.; Campisi, J.; Verdin, E.; Carrera-Bastos, P.; Targ, S.; Franceschi, C.; Ferrucci, L.; Gilroy, D.; Fasano, A.; Miller, G. Chronic inflammation in the etiology of disease across the life span. *Nat. Med.* 2019, 25, 1822–1832. [CrossRef]
- Mendes, A.; Cruz, M.; Gualillo, O. The physiology of inflammation—The final common pathway to disease. *Front. Physiol.* 2018, 9, 1741. [CrossRef]
- 3. Takeuchi, O.; Akira, S. Pattern recognition receptors and inflammation. Cell 2010, 140, 805–820. [CrossRef]
- Schett, G.; Neurath, M.F. Resolution of chronic inflammatory disease: Universal and tissue-specific concepts. *Nat. Commun.* 2018, 9, 3261. [CrossRef]
- 5. Sipponen, P.; Hyvärinen, H. Role of Helicobacter pylori in the pathogenesis of gastritis, peptic ulcer and gastric cancer. *Scand. J. Gastroenterol.* **1993**, *28*, 3–6. [CrossRef]
- 6. Sipponen, P.; Maaroos, H.I. Chronic gastritis. Scand. J. Gastroenterol. 2015, 50, 657–667. [CrossRef]
- Muszyński, J.; Ziółkowski, B.; Kotarski, P.; Niegowski, A.; Górnicka, B.; Bogdańska, M.; Ehrmann-Josko, A.; Zemlak, M.; Bonikowska, B.M.; Sieminska, J. Gastritis–Facts and doubts. *Gastroenterol. Rev.* 2016, 11, 286–295. [CrossRef]

- Moss, S.F.; Blaser, M.J. Mechanisms of Disease: Inflammation and the origins of cancer. *Nat. Clin. Pract. Oncol.* 2005, 2, 90–97. [CrossRef]
- 9. Prabhu, V.; Shivani, A. An overview of history, pathogenesis and treatment of perforated peptic ulcer disease with evaluation of prognostic scoring in adults. *Ann. Med. Health Sci. Res.* **2014**, *4*, 22–29. [CrossRef]
- 10. Multhoff, G.; Molls, M.; Radons, J. Chronic inflammation in cancer development. Front. Immunol. 2012, 2, 98. [CrossRef]
- 11. Straub, R.H.; Cutolo, M.; Pacifici, R. Evolutionary medicine and bone loss in chronic inflammatory diseases—A theory of inflammation-related osteopenia. *Proc. Semin. Arthritis Rheum.* **2015**, *45*, 220–228. [CrossRef]
- 12. Miller, A.H.; Raison, C.L. The role of inflammation in depression: From evolutionary imperative to modern treatment target. *Nat. Rev. Immunol.* **2016**, *16*, 22–34. [CrossRef]
- Ferrucci, L.; Fabbri, E. Inflammageing: Chronic inflammation in ageing, cardiovascular disease, and frailty. *Nat. Rev. Cardiol.* 2018, 15, 505–522. [CrossRef]
- 14. Jin, C.; Henao-Mejia, J.; Flavell, R.A. Innate immune receptors: Key regulators of metabolic disease progression. *Cell Metab.* 2013, 17, 873–882. [CrossRef]
- 15. Ando, T.; Goto, Y.; Maeda, O.; Watanabe, O.; Ishiguro, K.; Goto, H. Causal role of Helicobacter pylori infection in gastric cancer. *World J. Gastroenterol.* **2006**, *12*, 181–186. [CrossRef]
- 16. Bodger, K.; Crabtree, J.E. Helicobacter pylori and gastric inflammation. Br. Med. Bull. 1998, 54, 139–150. [CrossRef]
- 17. Ddine, L.C.; Ddine, C.C.; Rodrigues, C.C.R.; Kirsten, V.R.; Colpo, E. Factors associated with chronic gastritis in patients with presence and absence of Helicobacter pylori. *ABCD Arq. Bras. Cir. Dig.* **2012**, *25*, 96–100. [CrossRef]
- 18. Group, T.E.S. Risk factors for atrophic chronic gastritis in a European population: Results of the Eurohepygast study. *Gut* **2002**, *50*, 779–785.
- 19. Namiot, A.; Kemona, A.; Namiot, Z. Smoking habit and gastritis histology. Adv. Med. Sci. 2007, 52, 191–195.
- Li, G.; Zhu, L.; Cao, Z.; Wang, J.; Zhou, F.; Wang, X.; Li, X.; Nie, G. A new participant in the pathogenesis of alcoholic gastritis: Pyroptosis. *Cell. Physiol. Biochem.* 2018, 49, 406–418. [CrossRef]
- Utzeri, E.; Usai, P. Role of non-steroidal anti-inflammatory drugs on intestinal permeability and nonalcoholic fatty liver disease. World J. Gastroenterol. 2017, 23, 3954–3963. [CrossRef]
- 22. He, C.; Cheng, D.; Peng, C.; Li, Y.; Zhu, Y.; Lu, N. High-fat diet induces dysbiosis of gastric microbiota prior to gut microbiota in association with metabolic disorders in mice. *Front. Microbiol.* **2018**, *9*, 639. [CrossRef]
- Corsello, A.; Pugliese, D.; Gasbarrini, A.; Armuzzi, A. Diet and nutrients in gastrointestinal chronic diseases. *Nutrients* 2020, 12, 2693. [CrossRef]
- 24. Johnson, T.; Gerson, L.; Hershcovici, T.; Stave, C.; Fass, R. Systematic review: The effects of carbonated beverages on gastrooesophageal reflux disease. *Aliment. Pharmacol.* **2010**, *31*, 607–614. [CrossRef]
- Li, Y.; Su, Z.; Li, P.; Li, Y.; Johnson, N.; Zhang, Q.; Du, S.; Zhao, H.; Li, K.; Zhang, C. Association of Symptoms with Eating Habits and Food Preferences in Chronic Gastritis Patients: A Cross-Sectional Study. *Evid.-Based Complement. Altern. Med.* 2020, 2020, 5197201. [CrossRef]
- 26. Dias-Neto, M.; Pintalhao, M.; Ferreira, M.; Lunet, N. Salt intake and risk of gastric intestinal metaplasia: Systematic review and meta-analysis. *Nutr. Cancer* 2010, *62*, 133–147. [CrossRef]
- 27. Song, J.H.; Kim, Y.S.; Heo, N.J.; Lim, J.H.; Yang, S.Y.; Chung, G.E.; Kim, J.S. High salt intake is associated with atrophic gastritis with intestinal metaplasia. *Cancer Epidemiol. Biomark. Prev.* 2017, 26, 1133–1138. [CrossRef]
- Serafini, M.; Bellocco, R.; Wolk, A.; Ekström, A.M. Total antioxidant potential of fruit and vegetables and risk of gastric cancer. *Gastroenterology* 2002, 123, 985–991. [CrossRef]
- 29. Roukos, D.H.; Paraskevaidis, E.; Agnantis, N.J.; Kappas, A.M. Fruits and vegetables: Do they protect from gastric cancer? *Gastroenterology* **2003**, 124, 2006. [CrossRef]
- 30. Choi, M.-K.; Kang, M.-H.; Kim, M.-H. Dietary intake assessment and biochemical characteristics of blood and urine in patients with chronic gastritis. *Clin. Nutr. Res.* **2015**, *4*, 90–96. [CrossRef]
- 31. Vomero, N.D.; Colpo, E. Nutritional care in peptic ulcer. ABCD Arq. Bras. Cir. Dig. 2014, 27, 298–302. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 32. James, R.H.; Shivappa, N. Chapter 2—History of Nutrition and Inflammation. In *Diet, Inflammation, and Health*; Elsevier: Amsterdam, The Netherlands, 2022; pp. 39–83.
- 33. Kim, Y.; Han, B.-G.; KoGES, G. Cohort profile: The Korean genome and epidemiology study (KoGES) consortium. *Int. J. Epidemiol.* **2017**, *46*, e20. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 34. Health Examinees Study Group. The Health Examinees (HEXA) study: Rationale, study design and baseline characteristics. *Asian Pac. J. Cancer Prev.* 2015, *16*, 1591–1597. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 35. Shivappa, N.; Steck, S.E.; Hurley, T.G.; Hussey, J.R.; Hébert, J.R. Designing and developing a literature-derived, population-based dietary inflammatory index. *Public Health Nutr.* **2014**, *17*, 1689–1696. [CrossRef]
- Kanauchi, M.; Shibata, M.; Iwamura, M. A novel dietary inflammatory index reflecting for inflammatory ageing. *Ann. Med. Surg.* 2019, 47, 44–46. [CrossRef]
- Nettleton, J.A.; Steffen, L.M.; Mayer-Davis, E.J.; Jenny, N.S.; Jiang, R.; Herrington, D.M.; Jacobs, D.R., Jr. Dietary patterns are associated with biochemical markers of inflammation and endothelial activation in the Multi-Ethnic Study of Atherosclerosis (MESA). Am. J. Clin. Nutr. 2006, 83, 1369–1379. [CrossRef]

- Silveira, B.K.S.; Oliveira, T.M.S.; Andrade, P.A.; Hermsdorff, H.H.M.; Rosa, C.d.O.B.; Franceschini, S.d.C.C. Dietary pattern and macronutrients profile on the variation of inflammatory biomarkers: Scientific update. *Cardiol. Res. Pract.* 2018, 2018, 4762575.
- Shivappa, N.; Hébert, J.R.; Rashidkhani, B. Dietary inflammatory index and risk of esophageal squamous cell cancer in a case-control study from Iran. *Nutr. Cancer* 2015, 67, 1255–1261. [CrossRef]
- 40. Shivappa, N.; Sandin, S.; Löf, M.; Hébert, J.R.; Adami, H.-O.; Weiderpass, E. Prospective study of dietary inflammatory index and risk of breast cancer in Swedish women. *Br. J. Cancer* **2015**, *113*, 1099–1103. [CrossRef]
- 41. Cho, Y.; Lee, J.; Oh, J.H.; Shin, A.; Kim, J. Dietary inflammatory index and risk of colorectal cancer: A case-control study in Korea. *Nutrients* **2016**, *8*, 469. [CrossRef]
- 42. Shivappa, N.; Bosetti, C.; Zucchetto, A.; Serraino, D.; La Vecchia, C.; Hébert, J.R. Dietary inflammatory index and risk of pancreatic cancer in an Italian case–control study. *Br. J. Nutr.* 2015, *113*, 292–298. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Sreeja, S.R.; Lee, H.Y.; Kwon, M.; Shivappa, N.; Hebert, J.R.; Kim, M.K. Dietary inflammatory index and its relationship with cervical carcinogenesis risk in Korean women: A case-control study. *Cancers* 2019, 11, 1108. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Luo, J.; Shivappa, N.; Hébert, J.R.; Xu, X. Dietary inflammatory index and bladder cancer risk: A prospective study. *Eur. J. Clin. Nutr.* 2020, 74, 1428–1433. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 45. Zhu, Y.; Li, Q.; Xu, X. Dietary inflammatory index and the risk of prostate cancer: A dose-response meta-analysis. *Eur. J. Clin. Nutr.* **2020**, *74*, 1001–1008. [CrossRef]
- Ryu, I.; Kwon, M.; Sohn, C.; Shivappa, N.; Hébert, J.R.; Na, W.; Kim, M.K. The association between dietary inflammatory index (DII) and cancer risk in Korea: A prospective cohort study within the KoGES-HEXA study. *Nutrients* 2019, 11, 2560. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Ariya, M.; Shahraki, H.R.; Farjam, M.; Ehrampoush, E.; Bahramali, E.; Homayounfar, R.; Shivappa, N.; Hebert, J.R. Dietary inflammatory index and metabolic syndrome in Iranian population (Fasa Persian Cohort Study). *Sci. Rep.* 2020, 10, 16762. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Canto-Osorio, F.; Denova-Gutierrez, E.; Sánchez-Romero, L.M.; Salmerón, J.; Barrientos-Gutierrez, T. Dietary inflammatory index and metabolic syndrome in Mexican adult population. *Am. J. Clin. Nutr.* 2020, 112, 373–380. [CrossRef]
- Asadi, Z.; Yaghooti-Khorasani, M.; Ghazizadeh, H.; Sadabadi, F.; Mosa-Farkhany, E.; Darroudi, S.; Shabani, N.; Kamelkhodabandeh, A.; Bahrami, A.; Khorrami-Mohebbseraj, M.S.; et al. Association between dietary inflammatory index and risk of cardiovascular disease in the Mashhad stroke and heart atherosclerotic disorder study population. *Am. J. Clin. Nutr.* 2020, 72, 706–715. [CrossRef]
- Ji, M.; Hong, X.; Chen, M.; Chen, T.; Wang, J.; Zhang, N. Dietary inflammatory index and cardiovascular risk and mortality: A meta-analysis of cohort studies. *Medicine* 2020, 99, e20303. [CrossRef]
- King, D.E.; Xiang, J. The dietary inflammatory index is associated with diabetes severity. J. Am. Board Fam. Med. 2019, 32, 801–806. [CrossRef]
- Laouali, N.; Mancini, F.R.; Hajji-Louati, M.; El Fatouhi, D.; Balkau, B.; Boutron-Ruault, M.-C.; Bonnet, F.; Fagherazzi, G. Dietary inflammatory index and type 2 diabetes risk in a prospective cohort of 70,991 women followed for 20 years: The mediating role of BMI. *Diabetologia* 2019, 62, 2222–2232. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 53. Wang, J.; Zhou, Y.; Chen, K.; Jing, Y.; He, J.; Sun, H.; Hu, X. Dietary inflammatory index and depression: A meta-analysis. *Public Health Nutr.* **2019**, 22, 654–660. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 54. Jandari, S.; Mosalmanzadeh, N.; Moghadam, M.R.S.F.; Soleimani, D.; Shivappa, N.; Hébert, J.R.; Jokar, M.; Karamati, M.; Abedi, S.S.; Malek, N.; et al. Dietary inflammatory index and healthy eating index-2015 are associated with rheumatoid arthritis. *Public Health Nutr.* 2021, 24, 6007–6014. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Veronese, N.; Shivappa, N.; Stubbs, B.; Smith, T.; Hébert, J.R.; Cooper, C.; Guglielmi, G.; Reginster, J.-Y.; Rizzoli, R.; Maggi, S. The relationship between the dietary inflammatory index and prevalence of radiographic symptomatic osteoarthritis: Data from the Osteoarthritis Initiative. *Eur. J. Nutr.* 2019, *58*, 253–260. [CrossRef]
- 56. Varkaneh, H.K.; Fatahi, S.; Tajik, S.; Rahmani, J.; Zarezadeh, M.; Shab-Bidar, S. Dietary inflammatory index in relation to obesity and body mass index: A meta-analysis. *Nutr. Food Sci.* 2018, 48, 702–721. [CrossRef]
- Millar, C.L.; Dufour, A.B.; Shivappa, N.; Habtemariam, D.; Murabito, J.M.; Benjamin, E.J.; Hebert, J.R.; Kiel, D.P.; Hannan, M.T.; Sahni, S.J.T.A.J.o.C.N. A pro-inflammatory diet is associated with increased odds of frailty after 12-year follow-up in a cohort of adults. *Am. J. Clin. Nutr.* 2021, *115*, 334–343. [CrossRef]
- Lin, S.; Gao, T.; Sun, C.; Jia, M.; Liu, C.; Ma, X.; Ma, A. Association of dietary patterns and endoscopic gastric mucosal atrophy in an adult Chinese population. *Sci. Rep.* 2019, *9*, 16567. [CrossRef]
- 59. Casas, R.; Estruch, R. Dietary patterns, foods, nutrients and chronic inflammatory disorders. *Immunome Res.* **2016**, *12*, 1–10. [CrossRef]
- 60. Holt, E.M.; Steffen, L.M.; Moran, A.; Basu, S.; Steinberger, J.; Ross, J.A.; Hong, C.-P.; Sinaiko, A.R. Fruit and vegetable consumption and its relation to markers of inflammation and oxidative stress in adolescents. J. Am. Diet. Assoc. 2009, 109, 414–421. [CrossRef]
- 61. Feyisa, Z.T.; Woldeamanuel, B.T. Prevalence and associated risk factors of gastritis among patients visiting Saint Paul Hospital Millennium Medical College, Addis Ababa, Ethiopia. *PLoS ONE* **2021**, *16*, e0246619. [CrossRef]
- Firdous, J.; Hidayah, N.; Latif, N.; Muhamad, N.; Nurhidayah, N.; Syaz, D. A descriptive study on lifestyle factors influencing gastritis among university students of UniKL RCMP in Malaysia. *Indian, J. Nat. Sci.* 2016, 6, 10753–10756.

- 63. Agbor, N.E.; Esemu, S.N.; Ndip, L.M.; Tanih, N.F.; Smith, S.I.; Ndip, R.N. Helicobacter pylori in patients with gastritis in West Cameroon: Prevalence and risk factors for infection. *BMC Res. Notes* **2018**, *11*, 559. [CrossRef]
- 64. Agah, S.; Khedmat, H.; Ghamar-Chehred, M.E.; Hadi, R.; Aghaei, A. Female gender and Helicobacter pylori infection, the most important predisposition factors in a cohort of gastric cancer: A longitudinal study. *Casp. J. Intern. Med.* **2016**, *7*, 136–141.
- 65. Camargo, M.C.; Goto, Y.; Zabaleta, J.; Morgan, D.R.; Correa, P.; Rabkin, C.S. Sex hormones, hormonal interventions, and gastric cancer risk: A meta-analysis. *Cancer Epidemiol. Prev. Biomark.* **2012**, *21*, 20–38. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 66. Matsuyama, S.; Ohkura, Y.; Eguchi, H.; Kobayashi, Y.; Akagi, K.; Uchida, K.; Nakachi, K.; Gustafsson, J.-Å.; Hayashi, S.-I. Estrogen receptor β is expressed in human stomach adenocarcinoma. *J. Cancer Res. Cinical Oncol.* **2002**, 128, 319–324. [CrossRef]
- 67. Freedman, N.D.; Chow, W.-H.; Gao, Y.-T.; Shu, X.-O.; Ji, B.-T.; Yang, G.; Lubin, J.H.; Li, H.-L.; Rothman, N.; Zheng, W. Menstrual and reproductive factors and gastric cancer risk in a large prospective study of women. *Gut* 2007, *56*, 1671–1677. [CrossRef]
- 68. Paik, K.Y.; Seok, H.E.; Chung, J.H. The analysis of risk for peptic ulcer disease using Korean national health and nutrition examination survey: A cross-sectional analysis of a national survey sample. *Ann. Transl. Med.* **2020**, *8*, 460. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 69. Lunn, J.; Buttriss, J. Carbohydrates and dietary fibre. *Nutr. Bull.* **2007**, *32*, 21–64. [CrossRef]
- Mhaskar, R.S.; Ricardo, I.; Azliyati, A.; Laxminarayan, R.; Amol, B.; Santosh, W.; Boo, K. Assessment of risk factors of Helicobacter pylori infection and peptic ulcer disease. J. Glob. Infect. Dis. 2013, 5, 60–67. [CrossRef]
- Feinstein, L.B.; Holman, R.C.; Christensen, K.L.Y.; Steiner, C.A.; Swerdlow, D.L. Trends in hospitalizations for peptic ulcer disease, United States, 1998–2005. *Emerg. Infect. Dis.* 2010, 16, 1410. [CrossRef]
- 72. Tuo, B.; Wen, G.; Wei, J.; Liu, X.; Wang, X.; Zhang, Y.; Wu, H.; Dong, X.; Chow, J.Y.; Vallon, V. Estrogen regulation of duodenal bicarbonate secretion and sex-specific protection of human duodenum. *Gastroenterology* **2011**, *141*, 854–863. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Smith, A.; Contreras, C.; Ko, K.H.; Chow, J.; Dong, X.; Tuo, B.; Zhang, H.-h.; Chen, D.-b.; Dong, H. Gender-specific protection of estrogen against gastric acid-induced duodenal injury: Stimulation of duodenal mucosal bicarbonate secretion. *Endocrinology* 2008, 149, 4554–4566. [CrossRef]
- Hogan, A.M.; Collins, D.; Baird, A.W.; Winter, D.C. Estrogen and its role in gastrointestinal health and disease. *Int. J. Colorectal Dis.* 2009, 24, 1367–1375. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Kato, I.; Tominaga, S.; Ito, Y.; Kobayashi, S.; Yoshii, Y.; Matsuura, A.; Kameya, A.; Kano, T. A comparative case-control analysis of stomach cancer and atrophic gastritis. *Cancer Res.* 1990, 50, 6559–6564. [PubMed]
- 76. Dorant, E.; van den Brandt, P.A.; Goldbohm, R.A.; Sturmans, F. Consumption of onions and a reduced risk of stomach carcinoma. *Gastroenterology* **1996**, *110*, 12–20. [CrossRef]
- 77. de Lira Mota, K.S.; Dias, G.E.N.; Pinto, M.E.F.; Luiz-Ferreira, Â.; Monteiro Souza-Brito, A.R.; Hiruma-Lima, C.A.; Barbosa-Filho, J.M.; Batista, L.M. Flavonoids with gastroprotective activity. *Molecules* 2009, 14, 979–1012. [CrossRef]
- Setiawan, V.W.; Zhang, Z.F.; Yu, G.P.; Lu, Q.Y.; Li, Y.L.; Lu, M.L.; Wang, M.R.; Guo, C.H.; Yu, S.Z.; Kurtz, R.C. Protective effect of green tea on the risks of chronic gastritis and stomach cancer. *Int. J. Cancer* 2001, 92, 600–604. [CrossRef]
- 79. Shibata, K.; Moriyama, M.; Fukushima, T.; Kaetsu, A.; Miyazaki, M.; Une, H. Green tea consumption and chronic atrophic gastritis: A cross-sectional study in a green tea production village. *J. Epidemiol.* **2000**, *10*, 310–316. [CrossRef]
- 80. Rydning, A.; Berstad, A. Dietary fibre and peptic ulcer. *Scand. J. Gastroenterol.* **1987**, *22*, 232–240. [CrossRef]
- Hebert, J.R.; Ma, Y.; Clemow, L.; Ockene, I.S.; Saperia, G.; Stanek, E.J., 3rd; Merriam, P.A.; Ockene, J.K. Gender differences in social desirability and social approval bias in dietary self-report. *Am. J. Epidemiol.* 1997, 146, 1046–1055. [CrossRef]
- Ahn Y.; Kwon, E.; Shim, J.E.; Park, M.K.; Joo, Y.; Kimm, K. Validation and reproducibility of food frequency questionnaire for Korean genome epidemiologic study. *Eur. J. Clin. Nutr.* 2007, *61*, 1435–1441.
- 83. Institute. NRRD. Food Composition Table, 9th ed.; Rural Development Administration. National Rural Resources Department Institute: Suwon, Korea. Available online: http://koreanfoodrdagokr/eng/fctFoodSrchEng/engMain (accessed on 17 March 2021)