# Low-rank inversion reconstruction for through-plane accelerated radial MR fingerprinting applied to relaxometry at 0.35 T

# Nikolai J. Mickevicius<sup>10</sup> | Carri K. Glide-Hurst

Department of Human Oncology, University of Wisconsin-Madison, Madison, Wisconsin USA

#### Correspondence

Nikolai J. Mickevicius, Department of Radiology, Medical College of Wisconsin, 8701 Watertown Plank Rd, Milwaukee, WI 53226, USA. Email: nmickevicius@mcw.edu

#### **Funding information**

University of Wisconsin–Madison Bentson Translational Research Fellowship **Purpose:** To reduce scan time, methods to accelerate phase-encoded/non-Cartesian MR fingerprinting (MRF) acquisitions for variable density spiral acquisitions have recently been developed. These methods are not applicable to MRF acquisitions, wherein a single k-space spoke is acquired per frame. Therefore, we propose a low-rank inversion method to resolve MRF contrast dynamics from through-plane accelerated Cartesian/radial measurements applied to quantitative relaxation-time mapping on a 0.35T system.

**Methods:** An algorithm was implemented to reconstruct through-plane aliased low-rank images describing the contrast dynamics occurring because of the transient-state MRF acquisition.  $T_1$  and  $T_2$  times from accelerated acquisitions were compared with those from unaccelerated linear reconstructions in a standardized system phantom and within in vivo brain and prostate experiments on a hybrid 0.35T MRI/linear accelerator.

**Results:** No significant differences between  $T_1$  and  $T_2$  times for the accelerated reconstructions were observed compared to fully sampled acquisitions (p = 0.41 and p = 0.36, respectively). The mean absolute errors in  $T_1$  and  $T_2$  were 5.6% and 2.9%, respectively, between the full and accelerated acquisitions. The SDs in  $T_1$  and  $T_2$  decreased with the advanced accelerated reconstruction compared with the unaccelerated reconstruction (p = 0.02 and p = 0.03, respectively). The quality of the  $T_1$  and  $T_2$  maps generated with the proposed approach are comparable to those obtained using the unaccelerated data sets.

**Conclusions:** Through-plane accelerated MRF with radial k-space coverage was demonstrated at a low field strength of 0.35 T. This method enabled 3D  $T_1$  and  $T_2$  mapping at 0.35 T with a 3-min scan.

#### K E Y W O R D S

CAIPIRINHA, low-field, MR fingerprinting, non-Cartesian, parallel imaging, quantitative imaging

This is an open access article under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License, which permits use, distribution and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

<sup>© 2022</sup> The Authors. Magnetic Resonance in Medicine published by Wiley Periodicals LLC on behalf of International Society for Magnetic Resonance in Medicine.

# **1** | INTRODUCTION

Quantitative MRI (qMRI), whose rationale and applications are thoroughly reviewed in Gulani and Seiberlich,<sup>1</sup> has been demonstrated to be profoundly useful for the objective identification, characterization, and assessment of disease response to treatment. Quantitative tissue properties such as the longitudinal and transverse magnetization relaxation rates ( $T_1$  and  $T_2$ , respectively) have been used for a variety of applications including assessment of liver cirrhosis severity,<sup>2</sup> classifying pancreatic lesions,<sup>3</sup> assessing the severity of renal and prostate cancers,<sup>4,5</sup> and assessing the response of brain tumors to treatment.<sup>6-9</sup> Unfortunately, quantifying  $T_1$  and  $T_2$  times with high degrees of precision is challenging due to excessively long scan times. Magnetic resonance fingerprinting (MRF)<sup>10,11</sup> is a novel approach to qMRI that allows for the rapid and reliable estimation of  $T_1$  and  $T_2$ . Given its efficiency compared with conventional relaxometry methods,<sup>12</sup> MRF has been proposed for the serial monitoring of response to radiation therapy treatment on integrated MRI/linear accelerator (MR-linac) devices.13,14

These hybrid imaging and treatment devices are not always equipped with high-performance hardware seen on diagnostic systems. One such example is the 0.35T MR-linac (MRIdian; ViewRay, Oakwood Village, OH),<sup>15</sup> in which the inherently low SNR and weak gradient system (18-mT/m maximum strength) limits the use of the commonly adopted variable-density spiral readout for MRF. Therefore, radial k-space coverage is used to keep the TR-and thus the scan time-short for a fixed MRF pattern length.<sup>14</sup> Although low-rank inversion methods have proven to be exceptionally useful for recovering MRF contrast dynamics from a single k-space spoke per point along the MRF pattern,<sup>13,16,17</sup> no methods have been proposed to date that recover contrast-resolved images from through-plane accelerated radial MRF acquisitions. Having such a capability would enable substantially shorter scan times, thereby minimizing the chance of patient motion corrupting the multiparametric qMRI measurements, while also enabling more efficient integration into the standard radiation therapy workflow where high-frequency imaging is possible (eg, daily or weekly).

On top of the already highly in-plane accelerated MRF acquisitions (eg, 48 times below the Nyquist limit<sup>10</sup>), several approaches exist to further accelerate MRF along the through-plane direction. For simultaneous multislice (SMS) 2D MRF, the flip-angle pattern of several simultaneously excited slices can be controlled independently, and a pattern-matching algorithm has been used to separate the aliased slices without the use of parallel imaging methods.<sup>18</sup> Another SMS 2D-MRF approach first applies a parallel-imaging algorithm to separate the

841

heavily undersampled images from each slice before performing a conventional MRF dictionary-matching procedure.<sup>19</sup> For 3D-MRF acquisitions using variable-density spiral readouts, controlled aliasing in parallel imaging (CAIPI or CAIPIRINHA) uniform undersampling along the phase-encoded direction can be used.<sup>20</sup> Following an inverse Fourier transform along the undersampled phase-encoded direction, through-plane aliasing is present, although its effects are minimal due to the destructive interference of all but one of the aliased slice locations. In this approach, dictionary matching can accurately recover volumetric quantitative parameter maps despite no parallel-imaging algorithms being used here. For applications in which 3D phase-encoded radial (ie, "stack of stars") k-space coverage is necessary as described previously, this approach will be limited in use due to the extreme in-plane undersampling levels used when only acquiring a single k-space spoke per MRF frame. To reconstruct such an MRF acquisition, one potential solution includes a combination of CAIPIRINHA through-plane sampling and temporal low-rank constraints to adequately resolve the contrast dynamics in each voxel.

In this work, a low-rank inversion method to resolve MRF contrast dynamics from through-plane accelerated acquisitions using the CAIPIRINHA technique will be implemented. The focus will be on uniformly accelerated 3D-MRF acquisitions, although this algorithm will generalize to SMS 2D approaches. The overall goal of this work is to demonstrate that similar  $T_1$  and  $T_2$  times can be obtained from through-plane accelerated MRF with in-plane radial k-space coverage when compared with those that are fully sampled along the through-plane dimension and reconstructed with a previously validated MRF pipeline on a low-field (ie, 0.35 T) MRI-guided radiation therapy system.

# 2 | METHODS

## 2.1 | Reconstruction formulation

A k-t undersampling scheme can be seen for an acceleration factor of two (R = 2) in Figure 1. After performing k-t sampling along the phase-encoding direction of a hybrid Cartesian/radial (ie, stack of stars) MRF acquisition and applying a frame-by-frame inverse Fourier transform along the undersampled dimension, the result is a slice-dependent phase that is modulated throughout the MRF train. For SMS imaging, as demonstrated by Yutzy et al, this phase modulation can be exploited to improve the reconstruction of the aliased slices.<sup>21</sup> When a uniform through-plane acceleration factor *R* is used, the *R* aliased 2D + contrast images that are to be reconstructed are given by  $\mathbf{x} \in \mathbb{C}^{N \cdot N \cdot R \times T}$ , where *N* is the in-plane matrix size and *T* is the number of contrasts. The condition of the inverse problem to recover  $\mathbf{x}$  is improved when constraining the images to span a low-dimensional temporal subspace  $\mathbf{\Phi} \in \mathbb{C}^{T \times K}$  with  $K \ll T$ . Thus, we aim to recover  $\mathbf{\alpha} \in \mathbb{C}^{N \cdot N \cdot R \times K}$  by minimizing the cost function shown in Eq. 1 as follows:

$$\min_{\boldsymbol{\alpha}} \|\mathbf{SOF}\boldsymbol{\Phi}\boldsymbol{C}\boldsymbol{\alpha} - \boldsymbol{y}\|_{2}^{2} + \lambda \sum_{r} \|\mathcal{R}_{r}(\boldsymbol{\alpha})\|_{*}, \qquad (1)$$

where, C represents a multiplication with the coil sensitivities; F is the nonuniform fast Fourier transform operator;  $\Theta$  is the non-Cartesian CAIPIRINHA<sup>21</sup> phase modulation operator that takes into account the frame-by-frame phase differences imparted by the k-t undersampling pattern; and **S** is an operator that sums over the aliased slice locations. In practice, the gridding weights as part of F are combined with the subspace projection matrix  $\Phi$  into a single sparse matrix, as described in detail in Assländer et al.<sup>16</sup> The nuclear norm term ( $\|\cdot\|_*$ ) imposes locally low rank (LLR) regularization as described in detail by Tamir et al, to improve the conditioning of the inverse problem.<sup>22</sup> In this term,  $\mathcal{R}_r$  extracts a patch from each subspace coefficient image at position r and rearranges the patch as a column of a matrix.

# 2.2 | Data collection

All experiments in this work were performed on a clinical low-field (0.35 T) MRI-guided radiation therapy system (MRIdian). An adiabatic inversion-prepared fast imaging with steady-state precession MRF sequence was implemented with stack-of-stars k-space coverage and a 512-point flip-angle pattern shown in our previous work.<sup>14</sup> Coronal stack-of-stars MRF data were acquired in the National Institute of Standards and Technology/International Society for Magnetic Resonance in Medicine system phantom<sup>23</sup> using a 12-channel torso coil array with a matrix size of  $128 \times 128 \times 32$  and spatial resolution of  $1.64 \times 1.64 \times 5$  mm. To match in vivo data, slice oversampling of 25% was used, bringing the total number of slice phase-encoding partitions to 40. The TR was 12 ms, and a 3-s delay was included between subsequent repetitions of the MRF pattern to allow longitudinal magnetization relaxation toward thermal equilibrium. Relaxation occurring during the 3-s delay between repetitions was taken into account during the calculation of the dictionary. A single radial k-space spoke was acquired in each MRF frame. Fully partition-encoded (R = 1) MRF was acquired along with a prospectively 2-times accelerated (ie, R = 2) MRF scan with through-plane reduction factor of R = 2. The scan times for each of these acquisitions were 6 min and 3 min, respectively.

Fully partition-encoded in vivo MRF data sets were acquired in healthy volunteers in the axial orientation in the brain and pelvis of an institutional review board-approved study. The 10-channel head/neck coil was used for signal reception for the brain scan, and the 12-channel torso array was used for the pelvis scan. An in-plane matrix size of 256×256 with FOV of  $300 \times 340$  mm were used for the brain and head/neck scans, respectively. The brain and pelvis data were acquired with 40 phase-encoding partitions and 3-mm slice thickness. This included 25% oversampling to eliminate aliasing from imperfect slab-selective excitation pulses. Timing parameters were the same as in the phantom experiments. A single radial k-space spoke was acquired per frame. The fully sampled data sets were retrospectively undersampled along the phase-encoding dimension to R = 2.

# 2.3 | Reconstruction implementation

The inverse problem in Eq. 1 is solved via an alternating direction method of multipliers<sup>24</sup> algorithm in Python on a standalone laptop. An alternating direction method of multipliers solver with LLR regularization has been studied in the context of MRF.25 The algorithm was implemented using PyTorch functions to facilitate running the reconstructions on a GPU. The subspace-constrained nonuniform Fourier transform was implemented using a modified version of the torchkbnufft library<sup>26</sup> following methodologies by Assländer et al.<sup>16</sup> The source code to perform these reconstructions along with some sample data has been made publicly available at https://github.com/ nmickevicius/mrfCaipiNLM\_MRM. The MRF flip-angle pattern used in these studies has been described in detail previously<sup>14</sup> and is available for download from this repository. Coil sensitivity maps were estimated with ESPIRiT<sup>27</sup> using the SigPy library.<sup>28</sup> The dimensionality of the temporal subspace was experimentally set to K = 5. The R = 1data sets were reconstructed using 20 iterations of a linear conjugate gradient algorithm (ie, without regularization), as this was previously demonstrated to agree well with ground-truth spin-echo measurements at 0.35 T.14 The R = 2 reconstructions were performed using eight alternating direction method of multipliers iterations, five conjugate gradient iterations, LLR patch sizes of  $8 \times 8$  pixels, and  $\lambda = 1 \times 10^{-4}$ . These parameters were all chosen experimentally. To mitigate additional parallel-imaging noise arising from the nondiagnostic quality RF coil arrays on the low-field system, a nonlocal means (NLM) denoising algorithm<sup>29</sup> was applied slice-wise to the R = 2 subspace coefficient images with a patch size of  $3 \times 3$  pixels and neighborhood search size of  $32 \times 32$  pixels. The



**FIGURE 1** k-t undersampling scheme for accelerated stack-of-stars MR fingerprinting (MRF). At each MRF Index, *n*, along the variable flip-angle pattern, a unique spoke angle is acquired by incrementing the angle by a tiny golden-angle increment. For 2-times through-plane accelerated acquisition as shown here, the phase-encoding index  $(k_z)$  alternates between the nearest even and odd sampling index for a given repetition. Within each MRF index, uniform k-space sampling along  $k_z$  is achieved. The shift in  $k_z$  index between repetitions imparts a phase modulation according to the Fourier shift theorem that non-Cartesian controlled aliasing in parallel imaging (CAIPIRINHA) reconstruction methods exploit to improve image quality

NLM was implemented in C with a *MATLAB* (The Math-Works, Natick, MA) mex interface. A dot product-based dictionary-matching procedure was performed to map  $T_1$  and  $T_2$  using the dictionary from Mickevicius et al<sup>14</sup> while ignoring  $B_1^+$  variations. although the objective of this work is to ensure that the proposed accelerated MRF processing pipeline yields the same results as the previously validated linear R = 1 reconstructions, the R = 1 data sets were also reconstructed using LLR regularization and NLM denoising. These data are shown in the Supporting Information. Reconstruction times were recorded for a 2.3-GHz 4-Core i7 CPU (Intel, Santa Clara, CA) and a 12GB K80 GPU (NVIDIA, Santa Clara, CA).

# 2.4 | Data analysis

For each of the phantom reconstructions, the mean and SD of the  $T_1$  and  $T_2$  times estimated in each contrast sphere in the NiCl<sub>2</sub> contrast plate of the phantom. Paired *t*-tests were used to test for significant differences in the mean and SDs of the  $T_1$  and  $T_2$  times between R = 1 and R = 2 MRF data sets following Kolmogorov–Smirnov tests for normality. The mean absolute percent error in  $T_1$  and  $T_2$  was also calculated. Correlation values between the full and accelerated  $T_1$  and  $T_2$  times were calculated. For the in vivo data sets,  $T_1$  and  $T_2$  times were extracted within freely drawn regions of interest (ROIs) containing between 14 and 25 voxels from white matter, gray matter, central zone of the prostate, musculoskeletal tissue, and the bone marrow from the in vivo MRF R = 1 and R = 2 data sets.

 $T_1$  and  $T_2$  times from the R = 1 and R = 2 reconstructions from all anatomical ROIs were pooled for further analysis. Correlation coefficients between R = 1 and R = 2 data sets were calculated, and a paired t-test was used to test for significant differences between the full and accelerated  $T_1$ and  $T_2$  times in vivo following a Kolmogorov–Smirnov test for normality.

# 3 | RESULTS

Numerical results from the phantom MRF experiments are shown in Figure 2. The means of the T<sub>1</sub> and T<sub>2</sub> values from the R = 2 reconstructions were found to be very similar to those from R = 1, as evidenced by the proximity of the scatter plot points to the identity line. In general, for R = 2, low  $T_1$  times (eg, < 1000 ms) and low  $T_2$  times (< 500 ms) exhibited better agreements with R = 1 values, with lower variability (reduced within-vial SDs) than higher T<sub>1</sub> and T<sub>2</sub> times. Quantitatively, near-perfect correlations between the fully sampled and accelerated MRF acquisitions were observed for both the  $T_1$  (0.997) and  $T_2$  (0.999) times. The use of a parametric *t*-test was found to be appropriate via the Kolmogorov-Smirnov test, and no significant differences between the R = 1 and R = 2 acquisitions were observed (p = 0.41 for T<sub>1</sub> and p = 0.36 for T<sub>2</sub>). The mean absolute percent error in  $T_1$  and  $T_2$  were 5.6% and 2.9%, respectively, between R = 1 and R = 2 reconstructions. The SDs in  $T_1$  and  $T_2$  time estimates for R = 2 reconstructions were significantly lower than those from the linear R = 1reconstructions (p = 0.02 and p = 0.03, respectively). On



**FIGURE 2** Differences in  $T_1$  and  $T_2$  between the fully partition-encoded (R = 1) stack-of-stars MRF and the two-times accelerated (R = 2) data sets. The mean values are shown along with SD within each sphere of the National Institute of Standards and Technology/International Society for Magnetic Resonance in Medicine system phantom. The identity line is plotted for reference



**FIGURE 3** Brain and pelvis in vivo results for fully sampled (R = 1) linear reconstruction and accelerated (R = 2) locally low rank (LLR) regularized reconstructions with nonlocal means (NLM) denoising. The singular value (SV) images are shown for each data set. Note that each image is windowed individually. T<sub>1</sub> and T<sub>2</sub> maps fit via matching of the SV images and the dictionary are shown on the right

average, the noise within the R = 2 reconstructions for T<sub>1</sub> and T<sub>2</sub> were 4.4 times and 3.4 times lower, respectively, than the noise within the R = 1 reconstructions. It took 58 s (CPU) or 22 s (GPU) to perform a linear reconstruction for a single slice from the R = 1 data sets, and 215 s (CPU) or 50 s (GPU) to perform the alternating direction method of multipliers reconstruction for a pair of slices from the R = 2 data sets.

The singular-value (SV) images from the subspace constrained reconstruction as well as  $T_1$  and  $T_2$  maps for a single slice of the 3D brain and pelvis in vivo experiments are shown in Figure 3. The R = 2 SV images, which incorporated LLR regularization and NLM denoising, are visually comparable to the linear R = 1 reconstructions. The  $T_1$  maps were less noisy for the proposed R = 2reconstruction than the linear R = 1 reconstruction, with

845



**FIGURE 4** Axial, sagittal, and coronal views of the 3D  $T_1$  and  $T_2$  maps from the R = 1 and R = 2 MRF acquisitions. Comparable image quality of the  $T_1$  and  $T_2$  maps can be seen between R = 1 and R = 2 reconstructions

SDs in a white-matter ROI of 59.6 ms and 36.1 ms for R = 1 and R = 2, respectively. Likewise, SDs in T<sub>2</sub> of 25.1 ms and 12.7 ms for R = 1 and R = 2, respectively, demonstrate that the proposed approach produces T<sub>2</sub> maps less noisy than the established linear R = 1 reconstruction. Figure 4 shows a slice of the natively acquired axial plane and reformatted sagittal and coronal planes of the T<sub>1</sub> and T<sub>2</sub> maps for the brain and pelvis data sets. These data demonstrate the capability of the R = 2 reconstruction to yield high-quality T<sub>1</sub> and T<sub>2</sub> maps visually comparable to those from the established linear R = 1 reconstruction.

The quantitative results from the in vivo MRF data sets are shown in Figure 5. The top row of Figure 5A,B shows the T<sub>1</sub> and T<sub>2</sub> values for R = 2 against those from R = 1. Strong correlations between R = 1 and R = 2 relaxometry estimates were observed from these data, with correlation coefficients of 0.83 and 0.85 for T<sub>1</sub> and T<sub>2</sub>, respectively. The mean relaxation times within each ROI were then calculated and used for statistical comparison (Figure 5C,D). No significant differences were observed between R = 1 and R = 2 measurements with p = 0.12 for T<sub>1</sub> and p = 0.58for T<sub>2</sub>.

# 4 | DISCUSSION

This work describes a method to reconstruct through-plane accelerated MRF quantitative parameter maps. Phantom and in vivo experiments demonstrated that the proposed through-plane accelerated MRF reconstruction with acceleration factors of R = 2 yield similar T<sub>1</sub> and T<sub>2</sub> estimates as linear reconstructions from unaccelerated MRF data sets. The overall image quality of the R = 2reconstructions produced with LLR regularization and NLM denoising were less noisy than those produced using a previously validated linear reconstruction for R = 2 data sets while maintaining mean T<sub>1</sub> and T<sub>2</sub> relaxation times.

The proposed reconstruction pipeline for R = 2 included LLR regularization to improve the conditioning of the inverse problem and the additional NLM denoising step. T<sub>1</sub> and T<sub>2</sub> times mapped from these reconstructions were compared with a simple linear reconstruction for R = 1. This was done because only the linear reconstruction had been previously validated<sup>14</sup> and demonstrated to be accurate relative to ground-truth measurements. The image quality of the R = 1 reconstructions improves if regularization and NLM denoising was used. A demonstration



**FIGURE 5** In vivo  $T_1$  and  $T_2$  time comparison between fully sampled (R = 1) and accelerated (R = 2) data sets within regions of interest (ROIs) in white matter (WM), gray matter (GM), musculoskeletal (MSK) tissue, the central zone (CZ) of the prostate, and in the hip. The top row (A,B) shows the  $T_1$  and  $T_2$  values from every voxel within all ROIs. The bottom row (C,D) shows the mean  $T_1$  and  $T_2$  values along with their SDs within each of these ROIs

of this can be seen in Supporting Information Figures S1 and S2.

In the phantom and in vivo experiments, it was observed that tissues with longer  $T_2$  times exhibit larger SDs. This effect may limit the applicability of MRF—in its present implementation at 0.35 T—for the characterization of long- $T_2$  tissues such as CSF and edema.<sup>10,30</sup> Optimizing the flip-angle pattern and timing of the MRF acquisition to minimize the variance in the estimation of  $T_1$  and  $T_2$  for a range of expected relaxation times may reduce the uncertainty and improve the reliability of MRF.<sup>17,31,32</sup> Although this effect is present in the both the accelerated and unaccelerated acquisitions, improving the optimality of the MRF experiment at 0.35 T remains an active area of investigation to reduce uncertainty in the characterization of long- $T_2$  tissues. Every effort to minimize uncertainty in quantitative parameter mapping with MRF will be made to ensure its reliability when using qMRI to characterize, predict, or assess a tumor's response to treatment on low-field MR-guided radiation therapy systems.

We were successful in reducing the scan time required for MRF by a factor of 2 in this work, resulting in 3-min scans to quantify  $T_1$  and  $T_2$  relaxation times. Although further reducing scan time would have the benefit of minimizing the likelihood of patient motion during the MRF scans, pushing the rates higher (ie, R >=3) results in unusable quantitative maps due excess noise enhancement from the ill-conditioned reconstruction. While the exact source of the noise enhancement is unknown, future will work will investigate whether this failure to generalize to higher acceleration factors is due to (1) the lower SNR caused by the acquisition of fewer data samples, (2) the modest RF coil encoding (ie, 10–12 channels), or (3) the

-Magnetic Resonance in Medicine-

reliance on exact spoke-dependent phase differences in the CAIPIRINHA phase operator. Thoroughly assessing the capabilities of this method for acceleration factors greater than 2 at 0.35 T will be a topic of future investigation. The proposed method, however, may be applicable to scanning with higher acceleration rates on higher-field systems with inherently higher SNR and that are equipped with state-of-the-art RF coil arrays that have a higher channel count.

This initial technical study on a novel algorithm for through-plane accelerated MRF demonstrated for the acquisition of volumetric  $T_1$  and  $T_2$  maps in only 3 min on a 0.35T MR-linac system. The benefits observed at low magnetic field strength with radial k-space coverage here may also extend to MRF acquisitions made with more-common spiral trajectory and higher magnetic field strengths. The technologies proposed here will allow for the acquisition of longitudinal multi-parametric quantitative imaging throughout the course of radiation therapy treatment, while minimizing the burden of excessive scan times.

## ACKNOWLEDGMENT

The authors thank the Bentson Foundation and the University of Wisconsin–Madison Bentson Translational Research Fellowship.

#### ORCID

Nikolai J. Mickevicius D https://orcid.org/0000-0003-3826-6667

### REFERENCES

- 1. Gulani V, Seiberlich N. *Quantitative MRI: Rationale and Challenges.* Vol 1. Academic Press; 2020, pp. xxxvii-li.
- 2. Cassinotto C, Feldis M, Vergniol J, et al. MR relaxometry in chronic liver diseases: comparison of T1 mapping, T2 mapping, and diffusion-weighted imaging for assessing cirrhosis diagnosis and severity. *Eur J Radiol.* 2015;84:1459-1465.
- 3. Wang L, Gaddam S, Wang N, et al. Multiparametric mapping magnetic resonance imaging of pancreatic disease. *Front Physiol.* 2020;11:8.
- 4. Yu AC, Badve C, Ponsky LE, et al. Development of a combined MR fingerprinting and diffusion examination for prostate cancer. *Radiology*. 2017;283:729-738.
- 5. Adams LC, Bressem KK, Jurmeister P, et al. Use of quantitative T2 mapping for the assessment of renal cell carcinomas: first results. *Cancer Imaging*. 2019;19:1-11.
- Hattingen E, Jurcoane A, Daneshvar K, et al. Quantitative T2 mapping of recurrent glioblastoma under bevacizumab improves monitoring for non-enhancing tumor progression and predicts overall survival. *Neuro Oncol.* 2013;15:1395-1404.
- Lescher S, Jurcoane A, Veit A, Bähr O, Deichmann R, Hattingen E. Quantitative T1 and T2 mapping in recurrent glioblastomas under bevacizumab: earlier detection of tumor progression compared to conventional MRI. *Neuroradiology*. 2015;57:11-20.

- Haslbauer JD, Lindner S, Valbuena-Lopez S, et al. CMR imaging biosignature of cardiac involvement due to cancer-related treatment by T1 and T2 mapping. *Int J Cardiol.* 2019;275:179-186.
- Nejad-Davarani SP, Zakariaei N, Chen Y, et al. Rapid multicontrast brain imaging on a 0.35T MR-linac. *Med Phys.* 2020;47:4064-4076.
- Ma D, Gulani V, Seiberlich N, et al. Magnetic resonance fingerprinting. *Nature*. 2013;495:187-192.
- 11. Jiang Y, Ma D, Seiberlich N, Gulani V, Griswold MA. MR fingerprinting using fast imaging with steady state precession (FISP) with spiral readout. *Magn Reson Med.* 2015;74:1621-1631.
- 12. Leitão D, Teixeira RPAG, Price A, Uus A, Hajnal JV, Malik SJ. Efficiency analysis for quantitative MRI of T1 and T2 relaxometry methods. *Phys Med Biol.* 2021;66:15NT02.
- Bruijnen T, van der Heide O, Intven MPW, et al. Technical feasibility of magnetic resonance fingerprinting on a 1.5T MRI-linac. *Phys Med Biol.* 2020;65:22-23.
- Mickevicius NJ, Kim JP, Zhao J, Morris ZS, Hurst NJ, Hurst CKG. Toward magnetic resonance fingerprinting for low-field MR-guided radiation therapy. *Med Phys.* 2021;48:6930-6940.
- 15. Mutic S, Dempsey JF. The ViewRay system: magnetic resonance-guided and controlled radiotherapy. *Semin Radiat Oncol.* 2014;24:196-199.
- Assländer J, Cloos MA, Knoll F, Sodickson DK, Hennig J, Lattanzi R. Low rank alternating direction method of multipliers reconstruction for MR fingerprinting. *Magn Reson Med.* 2017;79:83-96.
- Assländer J, Lattanzi R, Sodickson DK, Cloos MA. Optimized quantification of spin relaxation times in the hybrid state. *Magn Reson Med.* 2019;82:1385-1397.
- Jiang Y, Ma D, Bhat H, et al. Use of pattern recognition for unaliasing simultaneously acquired slices in simultaneous multislice MR fingerprinting. *Magn Reson Med.* 2016;78: 1870-1876.
- Ye H, Cauley SF, Gagoski B, et al. Simultaneous multislice magnetic resonance fingerprinting (SMS-MRF) with direct-spiral slice-GRAPPA (ds-SG) reconstruction. *Magn Reson Med.* 2017;77:1966-1974.
- Ma D, Jiang Y, Chen Y, et al. Fast 3D magnetic resonance fingerprinting for a whole-brain coverage. *Magn Reson Med.* 2018;79:2190-2197.
- Yutzy SR, Seiberlich N, Duerk JL, Griswold MA. Improvements in multislice parallel imaging using radial CAIPIRINHA. *Magn Reson Med.* 2011;65:1630-1637.
- Tamir JI, Uecker M, Chen W, et al. T<sub>2</sub> shuffling: sharp, multicontrast, volumetric fast spin-echo imaging. *Magn Reson Med.* 2017;77:180-195.
- 23. Stupic KF, Ainslie M, Boss MA, et al. A standard system phantom for magnetic resonance imaging. *Magn Reson Med.* 2021;86:1194-1211.
- Boyd S, Parikh N, Chu E, Peleato B, Eckstein J. Distributed optimization and statistical learning via the alternating direction method of multipliers. *Found Trends Mach Learn*. 2010;3:1-122.
- Lima da Cruz G, Bustin A, Jaubert O, Schneider T, Botnar RM, Prieto C. Sparsity and locally low rank regularization for MR fingerprinting. *Magn Reson Med.* 2019;81:3530-3543.
- Muckley MJ, Stern R, Murrell T, Knoll F. TorchKbNufft: a high-level, hardware-agnostic non-uniform fast Fourier transform. Proceedings of the ISMRM Workshop on Data Sampling & Image Reconstruction; 2020.

# -Magnetic Resonance in Medicine-

848

- 27. Uecker M, Lai P, Murphy MJ, et al. ESPIRiT-an eigenvalue approach to autocalibrating parallel MRI: where SENSE meets GRAPPA. *Magn Reson Med.* 2014;71:990-1001.
- 28. Ong F, Lustig M. SigPy: a python package for high performance iterative reconstruction. Proceedings of the 27th Annual Meeting of ISMRM; 2019, p. 4819.
- 29. Manjón JV, Carbonell-Caballero J, Lull JJ, García-Martí G, Martí-Bonmatí L, Robles M. MRI denoising using non-local means. *Med Image Anal*. 2008;12:514-523.
- Panda A, Mehta BB, Coppo S, et al. Magnetic resonance fingerprinting—an overview. *Curr Opin Biomed Eng.* 2017;3:56-66.
- Zhao B, Haldar JP, Liao C, et al. Optimal experiment design for magnetic resonance fingerprinting: Cramér-Rao bound meets spin dynamics. *IEEE Trans Med Imaging*. 2019;38:844-861.
- Jordan SP, Hu S, Rozada I, et al. Automated design of pulse sequences for magnetic resonance fingerprinting using physics-inspired optimization. *Proc Natl Acad Sci.* 2021;118:e2020516118.

# SUPPORTING INFORMATION

Additional supporting information may be found in the online version of the article at the publisher's website.

**Figure S1**. Brain and pelvis in vivo results for fully sampled (R = 1) reconstruction and accelerated (R = 2) recon-

struction. Both reconstructions use locally low rank (LLR) regularization with non-local means (NLM) denoising. The singular value (SV) images are shown for each dataset. Note that each image is windowed individually.  $T_1$  and  $T_2$  maps fit via matching of the SV images and the dictionary are shown on the right

**Figure S2**. Axial, sagittal, and coronal views of the 3D  $T_1$  and  $T_2$  maps from the R = 1 and R = 2 MR fingerprinting (MRF) acquisitions. Comparable image quality of the  $T_1$  and  $T_2$  maps can be seen between R = 1 and R = 2 reconstructions. Both reconstructions used LLR regularization and NLM denoising

**How to cite this article:** Mickevicius NJ, Glide-Hurst CK. Low-rank inversion reconstruction for through-plane accelerated radial MR fingerprinting applied to relaxometry at 0.35 T. *Magn Reson Med.* 2022;88:840-848. doi: 10.1002/mrm.29244