

# Diarylamine-Guided Carboxamide Derivatives: Synthesis, Biological Evaluation, and Potential Mechanism of Action

Shaoyong Ke\*, Wenbo Huang, Zhigang Zhang, Yueying Wang, Yani Zhang, Zhaoyuan Wu, Wei Fang, Zhongyi Wan, Yan Gong, Jingzhong Yang, Kaimei Wang\* and Liqiao Shi\*

Key Laboratory of Microbial Pesticides, Ministry of Agriculture and Rural Affairs, National Biopesticide Engineering Research Centre, Hubei Biopesticide Engineering Research Centre, Hubei Academy of Agricultural Sciences, Wuhan, China

# **OPEN ACCESS**

#### Edited by:

Marco Tutone, University of Palermo, Italy

### Reviewed by:

Alessandra Montalbano, University of Palermo, Italy Mahmoud A. Al-Sha'er, Zarqa Private University, Jordan

#### \*Correspondence:

Shaoyong Ke shaoyong.ke@nberc.com Kaimei Wang kaimei.wang@nberc.com Liqiao Shi liqiao.shi@nberc.com

#### Specialty section:

This article was submitted to Medicinal and Pharmaceutical Chemistry, a section of the journal Frontiers in Chemistry

> Received: 26 May 2022 Accepted: 23 June 2022 Published: 12 July 2022

#### Citation:

Ke S, Huang W, Zhang Z, Wang Y, Zhang Y, Wu Z, Fang W, Wan Z, Gong Y, Yang J, Wang K and Shi L (2022) Diarylamine-Guided Carboxamide Derivatives: Synthesis, Biological Evaluation, and Potential Mechanism of Action. Front. Chem. 10:953523. doi: 10.3389/fchem.2022.953523 Diarylamines are a class of important skeleton widely existing in drugs or natural products. To discover novel diarylamine analogues as potential drugs, two series of diamide and carboxamide derivatives containing diarylamine scaffold were designed, synthesized and evaluated for their potential cytotoxic activities. The bioassay results indicated that some of the obtained compounds (C5, C6, C7, C11) exhibited good cytotoxic effect on cancer cell lines (SGC-7901, A875, HepG2), especially, compound C11 present significantly selective proliferation inhibition activity on cancer and normal cell lines (MARC145). In addition, the possible apoptosis induction for highly potential molecules was investigated, which present compound C11 could be used as novel lead compound for discovery of promising anticancer agents.

Keywords: diarylamine, diamides, carboxamide, synthesis, bioactivity

# **1 INTRODUCTION**

According to the progress report published by American Association for Cancer Research in 2019, cancer has become the leading cause of morbidity and mortality around the world, accounting for about 16 percent of deaths worldwide (American Cancer Society, 2018; AACR, 2019). Annual cancer cases and deaths have been increasing since 2000, and in 2018, 24% of estimated new cancer cases and 30% of cancer-related deaths globally occurred in China (Li et al., 2019). It is reported that the most common causes of cancer death were lung cancer, liver cancer and stomach cancer in China (Chen et al., 2016). The traditional treatment for cancer includes surgical resection combined with radiotherapy and chemotherapy; however, there are adverse drug reactions or therapeutic resistance. The devastating impact of cancer is predicated to grow significantly in the coming decades unless new and more effective anticancer drugs are developed. From 2009 to 2018, the number of cancer drug trails in China showed remarkable growth, with an average annual growth rate of 33% (Li et al., 2019). The China cancer drugs market size is projected to reach USD 30 billion by the year 2024. Thus, there is an urgent need for developing new anticancer agents with safer toxicological profiles and novel modes of action.

Diarylamines are a class of important scaffold widely existing in drugs and natural products, which can construct diverse molecular structures with extensive pharmacological activity (Kumar and Mishra, 2018; Abduelkarem et al., 2020). Diarylamines have also been used as typical synthons for construction of novel molecules due to their special multifunctional features, and many diarylamines analogues have been demonstrated to exhibit broad range of biological functions

1



(Guan et al., 2013; Wang et al., 2013; Chai et al., 2014; Soussi et al., 2014; Wild et al., 2016; Wang et al., 2017; Zhang et al., 2017). Especially, some important diarylamine derivatives such as selumetinib, imatinib, dasatinib, niflumic acid, and flufenamic acid have been discovered and developed as commercial drugs (Figure 1), which further identify that this special scaffold is an attractive pharmacophore in the discovery of highly potential molecules, and will lead to some promising drug candidates. Therefore, diarylamines as easily available substrates have proven a convenient choice for construction of structural diversity molecules with promising bioactivity. In addition, carboxamides are also a useful class of molecules with potential pharmacological (Odingo et al., 2017; Tang et al., 2018; Barker et al., 2019; Ling et al., 2019; Sethy et al., 2019; Sun et al., 2019; Yang et al., 2019) and agroactive activities (Sartori et al., 2018; Tsikolia et al., 2018; Yu et al., 2018; Liu et al., 2019; Siber et al., 2019; Tsikolia et al., 2019; Liu et al., 2020), and many carboxamides have been developed as clinical drugs or agrochemicals, which demonstrate that amide units will play an important role in the development of drugs and pesticides.

Recently, during the course of our research on discovery of functional molecules, a series of diamides derivatives bearing nicotinamide unit were obtained (Peng et al., 2017), and an interesting phenomenon was found based on the preliminary results. All of the similar diamides without nicotinamide moiety lost the inhibitory activity except the compound bearing diarylamine unit (A2, R = Me), and this compound exhibited good cytotoxic activity against the tested lung cancer cell lines (NCI-H460, A549, and NCI-H1975) with IC50 values of 14.66-46.42 µM (Figure 2). However, the corresponding N-unsubstituted diarylamine-amide derivative A1 (R = H) almost lost activity (IC<sub>50</sub> > 80  $\mu$ M). These interesting findings may provide some useful information for developing diarylamine derivatives as potential anticancer agents, which also urge us to investigate the possible structure and activity relationships (SARs) for these diarylamine derivatives bearing diamide scaffold.

So, a series of extended diarylamine-guided diamides analogues based on the lead compound A2 was constructed for exploring prospective SARs with good cytotoxic activity as shown in **Figure 3**, and a series of diarylamine-guided carboxamide derivatives have also been designed for comparison with that of diarylamine-guided diamides. We report herein the synthesis and characterization of two series of diarylamine derivatives that have flexible substituent patterns, and their *in vitro* cytotoxic activities against SGC-7901 (human gastric cancer cell line), A875 (human melanoma





FIGURE 3 | Design strategies for diarylamine-guided amide derivatives.



TABLE 1 | In vitro cytotoxic activities of the diarylamine guided diamide derivatives B1-13.

| Entry | Compd. No.        | In vitro cytotoxicity $IC_{50}^{a}$ ( $\mu$ M) |                   |                    |                      |  |
|-------|-------------------|--|-------------------|--------------------|----------------------|--|
|       |                   | SGC-7901 <sup>b</sup>                          | А875 <sup>ь</sup> | HepG2 <sup>b</sup> | MARC145 <sup>b</sup> |  |
| 1     | A1                | 52.81 ± 6.59                                   | 56.90 ± 7.54      | >89                | >89                  |  |
| 2     | A2                | $8.50 \pm 2.26$                                | $7.59 \pm 1.82$   | $12.43 \pm 0.39$   | 8.13 ± 2.49          |  |
| 3     | B1                | >100   | >100              | >100               | >100                 |  |
| 4     | B2                | >96  | >96               | >96                | >96                  |  |
| 5     | B3                | $22.54 \pm 4.04$                               | >80               | >80                | 38.02 ± 0.81         |  |
| 6     | B4                | >78  | >78               | >78                | >78                  |  |
| 7     | B5                | >97  | >97               | >97                | >97                  |  |
| 8     | B6                | >94  | >94               | >94                | >94                  |  |
| 9     | B7                | >92  | >92               | >92                | >92                  |  |
| 10    | B8                | >89  | >89               | >89                | >89                  |  |
| 11    | B9                | >92  | >92               | >92                | >92                  |  |
| 12    | B10               | 39.36 ± 8.96                                   | >89               | 49.92 ± 9.26       | 37.98 ± 9.84         |  |
| 13    | B11               | >92  | >92               | >92                | >92                  |  |
| 14    | B12               | >89  | >89               | >89                | >89                  |  |
| 15    | B13               | >83  | >83               | >83                | >83                  |  |
| 16    | 5-FU <sup>c</sup> | 71.06 ± 2.65                                   | 82.38 ± 23.65     | 88.09 ± 17.23      | 153.24 ± 11.01       |  |

<sup>a</sup>IC<sub>50</sub>-Compound concentration required to inhibit tumor cell proliferation by 50%.

<sup>b</sup>Abbreviations: SGC-7901 — Human gastric cancer cell line; A875 — Human melanoma cell line; HepG2 — Human hepatocellular liver carcinoma cell line; MARC145 — A subclone of African green monkey kidney cell line MA-104.

<sup>c</sup>Used as a positive control.

cell line), HepG2 (human hepatocellular liver carcinoma cell line) and MARC145 (A subclone of African green monkey kidney cell line MA-104) cell lines were fully investigated. The

results demonstrated that compound C11 may be a highly potential selective cytotoxic agent between cancer and normal cell lines.

TABLE 2 | Cytotoxic activity (% cell growth inhibition) of compounds C1-11 at 100  $\mu M.$ 

| Entry | Compd. No.        |                       | nhibition (%)     | )                  |                      |
|-------|-------------------|-----------------------|-------------------|--------------------|----------------------|
|       |                   | SGC-7901 <sup>a</sup> | A875 <sup>a</sup> | HepG2 <sup>a</sup> | MARC145 <sup>a</sup> |
| 1     | C1                | 72.3 ± 3.1            | 43.1 ± 2.0        | 42.7 ± 3.1         | 7.5 ± 2.3            |
| 2     | C2                | $26.9 \pm 1.8$        | $42.0 \pm 2.5$    | 13.1 ± 2.3         | 15.8 ± 1.9           |
| 3     | C3                | $78.6 \pm 2.9$        | 56.4 ± 2.5        | 88.5 ± 3.2         | $23.5 \pm 2.1$       |
| 4     | C4                | $4.5 \pm 0.4$         | $5.2 \pm 1.5$     | $3.1 \pm 1.2$      | 0 ± 0                |
| 5     | C5                | $89.6 \pm 5.4$        | $93.7 \pm 3.2$    | 88.9 ± 1.9         | $92.3 \pm 4.1$       |
| 6     | C6                | $90.5 \pm 3.8$        | 91.5 ± 2.9        | $91.3 \pm 3.7$     | $89.8 \pm 3.4$       |
| 7     | C7                | $87.0 \pm 2.4$        | $92.6 \pm 4.9$    | $88.5 \pm 3.3$     | $76.1 \pm 3.5$       |
| 8     | C8                | $51.2 \pm 3.5$        | $56.5 \pm 3.1$    | $42.4 \pm 2.6$     | $65.8 \pm 2.6$       |
| 9     | C9                | $80.5 \pm 2.5$        | $30.3 \pm 4.1$    | $75.0 \pm 2.7$     | $56.5 \pm 3.3$       |
| 10    | C10               | 86.8 ± 3.2            | $90.1 \pm 5.4$    | 87.2 ± 4.1         | $80.3 \pm 4.6$       |
| 11    | C11               | $85.6 \pm 4.3$        | $90.5 \pm 3.7$    | $85.7 \pm 2.4$     | $27.1 \pm 3.6$       |
| 12    | 5-FU <sup>b</sup> | $56.8 \pm 2.2$        | $53.2\pm4.5$      | $59.0\pm3.5$       | $60.3\pm3.9$         |

<sup>a</sup>Abbreviations: SGC-7901—Human gastric cancer cell line; A875—Human melanoma cell line; HepG2—Human hepatocellular liver carcinoma cell line; MARC145—A subclone of African green monkey kidney cell line MA-104. <sup>b</sup>Used as a positive control.

# 2 RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

### 2.1 Chemistry

According to the structure of lead molecule A2, various *ortho*amino aryl acid 2 and diverse substituted aryl amines 5 were utilized as the building blocks to construct these diarylamine guided carboxamide or diamide derivatives. The target molecules B1-13 and C1-11 were conveniently prepared as described in Scheme 1.

First, the easily available flufenamic acid 1 (X = CH) was used as starting material for construction of diarylamine-guided diamides **B1-13**, which were reacted with various *ortho*-amino aryl acid 2 to obtain the corresponding oxazinone heterocyclic intermediates. Then these oxazinones **3** were treated with various amines resulting in the target diamides derivatives **B1-13** *via* nucleophilic substitution reaction. Subsequently, diverse substituted arylamines **5** were directly adopted to construction diarylamine guided carboxamides **C1-11** *via* nucleophilic substitution reaction of acids **1** (X = CH or N). The structures of all obtained molecules were characterized on the basis of satisfied spectral analysis, and the substituents of all the compounds were present in **Scheme 1**. All of the newly prepared diamides **B1-13** and carboxamide derivatives **C1-11** were confirmed by IR, <sup>1</sup>H NMR, <sup>13</sup>C NMR and ESI-MS analyses, and their chemical structures and basic physicochemical properties were summarized in *Experimental*. The <sup>1</sup>H NMR of these compounds indicated typical proton signal peaks for different groups, and the ESI-MS spectrum indicated all these diarylamine-guided diamide derivatives **B1-13** displayed an obvious  $[M + Na]^+$  addition ion fragmentation peak, however, the MS for diarylamine-containing carboxamide derivatives **C1-11** exhibited  $[M + H]^+$  or  $[M-H]^+$  ion fragmentation peak.

# 2.2 Biological Evaluation

The lead molecules **A2** and all newly prepared diarylamine derivatives **B1-13** and **C1-11** were evaluated for their *in vitro* cytotoxic effects against SGC-7901, A875, HepG2 and MARC145 cell lines by the classical MTT [3-(4,5-dimethylthiazol-2-yl)-2,5-diphenyl tetrazolium bromide] assay (Alley et al., 1988; Ke et al., 2012; Shi et al., 2012; Yu et al., 2015; Ke et al., 2019; Xu et al., 2019; Huang et al., 2021) using 5-FU (5-Fluorouracil) as a control.

First, the lead molecule A2 exhibit good *in vitro* cytotoxic activity against lung cancer cell lines including NCI-H460, A549, and NCI-H1975 based on our previous investigation (Peng et al., 2017). As far as we know, the substituents attached to aryl ring usually have obvious influence on the activity. So, in order to investigate the possible effect of this unit on the activity of final molecules, a series of diarylamine guided diamide derivatives **B1-13** were extended synthesized, and their cytotoxic activity was tested against SGC-7901, A875, HepG2, and MARC145 cell lines. Unfortunately, all newly prepared diarylamine-guided diamide derivatives **B1-13** present poor effects on these tested cell lines as indicated in the **Table 1**, however, the lead compound **A2** still exhibit very good cytotoxicity with IC<sub>50</sub> values of 7.59–12.43  $\mu$ M. These results demonstrated that the change of an appropriate substituents on the aryl ring could dramatically change the biological activity.

With these results in hand, we think that the part of *ortho*amino aryl acid may be important for the bioactivity, and so the molecular simplification strategy was adopted to investigate the potential active molecules. As shown in **Scheme 1**, a series of



**FIGURE 4** Oytotoxic activities of compounds C1-11 at the concentration of 100 µM. Abbreviations: SGC-7901 – Human gastric cancer cell line; A875 – Human melanoma cell line; HepG2 – Human hepatocellular liver carcinoma cell line; MARC145 – A subclone of African green monkey kidney cell line MA-104; 5-FU – 5-Fluorouracil, used as a positive control.

| Entry | Compd. No.        | In vitro cytotoxicity $IC_{50}^{a}$ ( $\mu$ M) |                   |                    |                      |  |
|-------|-------------------|--|-------------------|--------------------|----------------------|--|
|       |                   | SGC-7901 <sup>b</sup>                          | А875 <sup>ь</sup> | HepG2 <sup>b</sup> | MARC145 <sup>b</sup> |  |
| 1     | C1                | 47.04 ± 9.74                                   | >100              | >100               | >100                 |  |
| 2     | C2                | >100   | >100              | >100               | >100                 |  |
| 3     | C3                | 29.71 ± 4.03                                   | >94               | 24.15 ± 3.21       | >94                  |  |
| 4     | C4                | >94  | >94               | >94                | >94                  |  |
| 5     | C5                | 6.39 ± 1.53                                    | 8.54 ± 2.17       | $10.14 \pm 0.80$   | 9.57 ± 2.15          |  |
| 6     | C6                | $5.53 \pm 0.82$                                | 12.77 ± 1.99      | 9.99 ± 1.58        | 9.72 ± 2.75          |  |
| 7     | C7                | 8.91 ± 2.15                                    | $13.04 \pm 3.39$  | $10.42 \pm 2.17$   | 11.86 ± 1.37         |  |
| 8     | C8                | >94  | 55.61 ± 6.73      | >94                | 57.70 ± 8.87         |  |
| 9     | C9                | 42.03 ± 7.95                                   | >112              | 64.24 ± 3.89       | 64.66 ± 3.02         |  |
| 10    | C10               | 8.31 ± 2.94                                    | $26.34 \pm 7.39$  | 21.91 ± 1.64       | 22.68 ± 5.93         |  |
| 11    | C11               | 9.13 ± 3.52                                    | $12.34 \pm 4.16$  | $10.15 \pm 2.04$   | >102                 |  |
| 12    | 5-FU <sup>c</sup> | 87.68 ± 11.01                                  | 101.14 ± 7.14     | 91.76 ± 16.11      | 99.00 ± 16.42        |  |

<sup>a</sup>IC<sub>50</sub>-Compound concentration required to inhibit tumor cell proliferation by 50%.

<sup>b</sup>Abbreviations: SGC-7901—Human gastric cancer cell line; A875—Human melanoma cell line; HepG2—Human hepatocellular liver carcinoma cell line; MARC145—A subclone of African green monkey kidney cell line MA-104.

<sup>c</sup>Used as a positive control.



diarylamine guided carboxamides **C1-11** were further constructed using diverse substituted aryl amines, and the preliminary screening for these molecules were treated at the concentration of 100  $\mu$ M (**Table 2**). What's interesting is that some of the compounds (**C5**, **C6**, **C7**, **C10**, and **C11**) exhibit good inhibition activity, and especially the inhibition rate is even higher than that of the control 5-FU (**Figure 4**). We also can find from **Figure 4** that compound **C11** indicated good inhibition on all three cancer cell lines (SGC-7901, A875, and HepG2), however, which has low inhibition on normal cell lines (MARC145).

Base on the aforementioned results, some of the diarylamineguided carboxamide derivatives have been demonstrated to present good inhibitory activities against all tested cancer cell lines, so in order to further investigate the potential activities, the  $IC_{50}$  values were tested based on the above cell-based method. The *in vitro* activities described as  $IC_{50}$  values for these compounds were present in **Table 3**.

As shown in **Table 3**, the results further confirmed that some of these diarylamine-guided carboxamide derivatives (**C5**, **C6**, **C7**, **C10**, and **C11**) indicated higher inhibition activities compared to the

control 5-FU. Generally, the compounds bearing *p*-isopropylaniline and o-trifluormethylaniline present poor inhibition activities against all tested cell lines (Entries 1-4). The compounds C6 containing *m*-trifluormethylaniline exhibited significant cytotoxic activities with the IC<sub>50</sub> values of 5.53-12.77 µM (Entry 6), respectively. However, for the compounds containing p-trifluormethylaniline, the compound bearing flufenamic acid (X = CH) present the highest potential activities (Entry 7) than that of the compound containing niflumic acid (X = N). Especially, compound C11 bearing 6chloropyridin-3-amine had a stronger inhibition on cancer cell lines (SGC-7901 IC<sub>50</sub> = 9.13 µM; A875 IC<sub>50</sub> = 12.34 µM; HepG2  $IC_{50} = 10.15 \,\mu\text{M}$ ) than the normal cells line MARC145 (IC<sub>50</sub> > 102 µM), and it displayed a significantly selective proliferation inhibition activity on cancer and normal cell lines, and the selectivity index up to 8.26 (Figure 5). These interesting finds may provide some useful information for developing potential cytotoxicity agents.

In addition, the dose-response analysis of cell growth inhibition for highly potential molecules **C5**, **C6**, **C7**, **C11**, and 5-FU have been displayed in **Figure 6**, which identified that these compounds exhibited obvious cytotoxic effects on SGC-7901, A875, HepG2, and MARC145 cell lines with a significant concentration dependence.

# 2.3 Mechanism of Action

### 2.3.1 Analysis for Cell Apoptosis

Apoptosis induction is one of the important modes of action for antitumor drugs. So, the potential mechanism of highly effective compounds (C6 and C11) on A875 cells were investigated by flow cytometry. The apoptotic effect of compounds C6 and C11 was evaluated and analyzed following 24 h of treatment with  $0.5 \times IC_{50}$ ,  $IC_{50}$  or  $2 \times IC_{50}$  concentrations using Annexin V-FITC/PI dual staining assay.

All data obtained in this work are described in Figure 7, and we can find that compounds C6 and C11 induced apoptotic changes after 24 h treatment, and both compounds initiated apoptosis, in terms of FITC(+)PI(-)











staining, to a larger extent than the control. 12% and 27% apoptotic rates are observed with treatment of compounds C6 and C11 at  $2\times IC_{50}$  concentrations respectively, whereas 2.14% of apoptosis was observed in control (0.1% DMSO), which indicated that these two potential molecules can induce apoptosis in A875 cells at least partly. However, the clearer mechanism of cell death induction by these compounds still remain to be further investigated.

#### 2.3.2 Determination of Lactate Dehydrogenase

From the preliminary analysis of apoptosis, the results encourage us to further investigate the type of cell death induced by the potential compounds (C6 and C11). As we know, the release of LDH is a typical characteristic feature of necrotic cell death, and so which was detected. From the results indicated in **Figure 8**, we can find that the release of LDH was significantly increased at 24 h after treatment with compounds **C6**, C11, and 5-FU (**Figure 8**), which were consistent with those of flow cytometry.

### 2.3.3 Kinase Inhibition Assay

Based on the aforementioned results for the potential mechanism of action, the kinase inhibitory profile of compounds C6 and C11 were screened at two dose concentration of 10 and 1  $\mu$ M over a panel of 15 kinases, and the kinase inhibitory activities are listed in supporting information (Supplementary Table S1). It was found that compound C6 exerted certain inhibitions on the tested MAPK1 and CDK2/A2 protein kinases at 1  $\mu$ M, and compound C11 also indicated some inhibitions on the tested CDK1/A2, CDK2/A2, MAPK1 protein kinases at 1  $\mu$ M, however, the inhibitory activity is not very good. These results will provide some reference for further mechanism research.

# **3 CONCLUSION**

In summary, two series of diarylamine-guided diamide and carboxamide derivatives based on lead molecule have been designed and synthesized, and their potential cytotoxic activities were fully investigated by cell-based assay. The results demonstrated that some of the obtained diarylamineguided carboxamide derivatives (C5, C6, C7, C11) had good cytotoxic effect on cancer cell lines (SGC-7901, A875, HepG2) compared with 5-FU *in vitro*, especially, compound C11 present significantly selective proliferation inhibition activity between cancer and normal cell lines, and the selectivity index was greater than 8.26, and these interesting results might be helpful to develop diarylamine-guided carboxamides as potential anticancer agents.

# **4 EXPERIMENTAL**

# 4.1 Materials and Apparatus

Melting points (m.p.) were measured using a digital model X-5 apparatus (Shanghai Instrument Physical Optics Instrument Co., LTD., Shanghai, China) and were uncorrected. Infrared (IR) Spectra were recorded using Shimadzu FTIR 8400S spectrophotometer. <sup>1</sup>H NMR and <sup>13</sup>C NMR spectra were recorded on a Bruker spectrometer at 600 MHz (Bruker, Bremen, Germany) with CDCl<sub>3</sub>, DMSO-*d*<sub>6</sub> or CD<sub>3</sub>OD as the solvent. Liquid chromatography-tandem mass spectrometry (LC–MS/MS) analysis were performed on a Waters ACQUITY UPLC<sup>®</sup> H-CLASS PDA (Waters<sup>®</sup>, Milford, MA, United States) instrument. Analytical thin-layer chromatography was carried out on precoated plates, and spots were visualized with ultraviolet light. All chemicals or reagents used for syntheses were commercially available.

# 4.2 General Synthesis of Diamides Derivatives Containing Diarylamine Unit B1-13

All the diarylamine-guided diamides derivatives **B1-13** were prepared according to the similar procedures described in our previous reports (Shi et al., 2012; Peng et al., 2017), and were purified by recrystallization with methanol. The structures of all target compounds were confirmed by their spectral analysis, and all data for target molecules **B1-13** are as following:

N-(2-Carbamoylphenyl)-2-((3- (trifluoromethyl)phenyl)amino) benzamide **B1**. Yield: 63%; m. p. 136–137°C; FTIR  $v_{max}$  (cm<sup>-1</sup>): 3,400, 3,260, 1,643, 1,575, 1,528, 1,334, 1,106; <sup>1</sup>H NMR (600 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>):  $\delta$  12.14 (s, 1H), 9.80 (s, 1H), 8.74 (d, *J* = 8.6 Hz, 1H), 7.82 (d, *J* = 7.3 Hz, 1H), 7.61–7.56 (m, 2H), 7.46 (s, 1H), 7.42–7.34 (m, 4H), 7.22 (d, *J* = 5.0 Hz, 1H), 7.15 (t, *J* = 7.2 Hz, 1H), 6.98–6.92 (m, 1H), 6.20 (s, 1H), 5.64 (s, 1H); <sup>13</sup>C NMR (150 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>):  $\delta$  171.32, 168.15, 145.22, 142.29, 140.34, 133.53, 132.79, 129.78, 128.31, 127.39, 123.18, 123.03, 121.78, 119.44, 119.08, 118.93, 118.58, 118.55, 116.74, 115.87, 99.99; MS (ESI) *m/z* 422.34 (M + Na)<sup>+</sup>, calcd. for C<sub>21</sub>H<sub>16</sub>F<sub>3</sub>N<sub>3</sub>O<sub>2</sub> m/z = 399.12.

N-Methyl-2-(2-((3-(trifluoromethyl)phenyl) amino)benzamido) benzamide **B2**. Yield: 67%; m.p. 127–128°C; FTIR  $v_{max}$  (cm<sup>-1</sup>): 3,295, 1,637, 1,596, 1,505, 1,406, 1,280, 1,159; <sup>1</sup>H NMR (600 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>):  $\delta$  12.03 (s, 1H), 9.82 (s, 1H), 8.65 (d, *J* = 8.4 Hz, 1H), 7.84 (d, *J* = 7.9 Hz, 1H), 7.57–7.47 (m, 2H), 7.46 (s, 1H), 7.38 (p, *J* = 8.1 Hz, 4H), 7.22 (t, *J* = 6.7 Hz, 1H), 7.13 (t, *J* = 7.6 Hz, 1H), 6.97 (t, *J* = 7.2 Hz, 1H), 6.29 (s, 1H), 3.03 (d, *J* = 4.7 Hz, 3H); <sup>13</sup>C NMR (150 MHz,

CDCl<sub>3</sub>):  $\delta$  169.74, 168.07, 145.15, 142.35, 139.48, 132.72, 132.61, 131.83, 131.62, 129.78, 128.36, 126.49, 124.96, 123.15, 123.05, 121.86, 121.01, 119.58, 119.16, 118.50, 116.64, 115.86, 100.00, 26.94; MS (ESI) *m/z* 436.38 (M + Na)<sup>+</sup>, calcd. for C<sub>22</sub>H<sub>18</sub>F<sub>3</sub>N<sub>3</sub>O<sub>2</sub> m/z = 413.14.

3-Bromo-5-fluoro-2- (2-((3-(trifluoromethyl)phenyl)amino) benzamido)benzamide **B3**. Yield: 81%; m.p. 198–199°C; FTIR  $v_{max}$  (cm<sup>-1</sup>): 3,371, 3,263, 1,650, 1,599, 1,504, 1,454, 1,305, 1,115; <sup>1</sup>H NMR (600 MHz, DMSO- $d_6$ ):  $\delta$  10.21 (s, 1H), 9.37 (s, 1H), 7.85–7.01 (m, 12H); <sup>13</sup>C NMR (150 MHz, DMSO- $d_6$ ):  $\delta$  168.07, 167.53, 143.30, 143.09, 138.54, 132.76, 131.14, 130.95, 130.04, 125.17, 122.49, 121.59, 121.42, 121.24, 120.18, 117.78, 116.49, 115.38, 115.23, 114.90; MS (ESI) *m/z* 518.21 (M + Na)<sup>+</sup>, calcd. for C<sub>21</sub>H<sub>14</sub>BrF<sub>4</sub>N<sub>3</sub>O<sub>2</sub> m/z = 495.02.

3-Bromo-5-fluoro-N-methyl-2-(2-((3- (trifluoromethyl)phenyl) amino)benzamido)benzamide **B4.** Yield: 84%; m.p. 189–190°C; FTIR  $v_{max}$  (cm<sup>-1</sup>): 3,281, 1,628, 1,580, 1,456, 1,325, 1,159, 1,122; <sup>1</sup>H NMR (600 MHz, DMSO- $d_6$ ):  $\delta$  10.26 (s, 1H), 9.14 (s, 1H), 8.39 (s, 1H), 7.84 (d, J = 4.5 Hz, 1H), 7.78 (d, J = 7.1 Hz, 1H), 7.52–7.38 (m, 6H), 7.23 (d, J = 6.4 Hz, 1H), 7.03 (t, J = 6.4 Hz, 1H), 2.61 (d, J = 2.9 Hz, 3H); <sup>13</sup>C NMR (150 MHz, DMSO- $d_6$ ):  $\delta$  168.03, 166.26, 153.12, 143.49, 142.54, 135.27, 132.49, 130.92, 129.83, 123.72, 122.35, 121.98, 121.53, 121.36, 120.42, 117.61, 116.85, 115.17, 114.76, 26.54; MS (ESI) m/z 532.16 (M + Na)<sup>+</sup>, calcd. for C<sub>22</sub>H<sub>16</sub>BrF<sub>4</sub>N<sub>3</sub>O<sub>2</sub> m/z = 509.04.

N-(2-Carbamoyl-6-methylphenyl)-2-((3-(trifluoromethyl)phenyl) amino)benzamide **B5**. Yield: 77%; m.p. 191–192°C; FTIR  $v_{max}$  (cm<sup>-1</sup>): 3,377, 3,276, 1,646, 1,596, 1,507, 1,470, 1,289, 1,157; <sup>1</sup>H NMR (600 MHz, DMSO- $d_6$ ):  $\delta$  10.17 (s, 1H), 9.36 (s, 1H), 7.82–7.80 (m, 2H), 7.48–7.38 (m, 8H), 7.26 (t, *J* = 7.6 Hz, 1H), 7.22 (d, *J* = 7.5 Hz, 1H), 7.02 (t, *J* = 7.8 Hz, 1H), 2.20 (s, 3H); <sup>13</sup>C NMR (150 MHz, DMSO- $d_6$ ):  $\delta$  170.45, 167.46, 143.71, 142.63, 136.42, 134.48, 133.54, 132.61, 132.44, 130.88, 130.65, 130.44, 129.91, 126.59, 126.35, 122.86, 122.12, 120.63, 117.45, 117.11, 114.49, 18.65; MS (ESI) *m/z* 436.38 (M + Na)<sup>+</sup>, calcd. for C<sub>22</sub>H<sub>18</sub>F<sub>3</sub>N<sub>3</sub>O<sub>2</sub> m/z = 413.14.

N,3-Dimethyl-2-(2-((3-(trifluoromethyl)phenyl)amino)benzamido) benzamide **B6**. Yield: 82%; m.p. 195–196°C; FTIR  $v_{max}$  (cm<sup>-1</sup>): 3,317, 1,651, 1,611, 1,529, 1,322, 1,158, 1,114; <sup>1</sup>H NMR (600 MHz, DMSO-*d*<sub>6</sub>):  $\delta$  10.15 (s, 1H), 9.19 (s, 1H), 8.30 (d, *J* = 4.5 Hz, 1H), 7.75 (dd, *J* = 7.7, 1.1 Hz, 1H), 7.54–7.32 (m, 7H), 7.26 (t, *J* = 7.6 Hz, 1H), 7.21 (d, *J* = 7.4 Hz, 1H), 7.03 (t, *J* = 7.0 Hz, 1H), 2.65 (d, *J* = 4.6 Hz, 3H), 2.22 (s, 3H); <sup>13</sup>C NMR (150 MHz, DMSO-*d*<sub>6</sub>):  $\delta$  168.95, 167.47, 143.82, 142.20, 136.38, 134.32, 133.91, 132.47, 132.18, 130.85, 129.73, 126.67, 126.11, 123.69, 121.75, 120.75, 117.23, 26.56, 18.60; MS (ESI) *m/z* 450.33 (M + Na)<sup>+</sup>, calcd. for C<sub>23</sub>H<sub>20</sub>F<sub>3</sub>N<sub>3</sub>O<sub>2</sub> m/z = 427.15.

N-(2-Carbamoyl-5- chlorophenyl)-2-((3-(trifluoromethyl)phenyl)amino)benzamide **B7**. Yield: 64%; m.p. 176–177°C; FTIR  $v_{max}$  (cm<sup>-1</sup>): 3,345, 3,153, 1,668, 1,640, 1,515, 1,389, 1,325, 1,159, 1,117; <sup>1</sup>H NMR (600 MHz, DMSO- $d_6$ ):  $\delta$  12.82 (s, 1H), 9.30 (s, 1H), 8.67 (d, J = 2.2 Hz, 1H), 8.43 (s, 1H), 7.88 (d, J = 8.6 Hz, 2H), 7.74 (dd, J = 7.9, 1.4 Hz, 1H), 7.55–7.33 (m, 5H), 7.26 (dd, J = 8.5, 2.2 Hz, 1H), 7.21 (d, J = 7.6 Hz, 1H), 7.09–7.05 (m, 1H); <sup>13</sup>C NMR (150 MHz, DMSO- $d_6$ ):  $\delta$  170.54, 167.25, 143.67, 143.14, 141.40, 137.19, 133.30, 130.81, 130.78, 129.13, 125.50, 123.70, 122.95, 122.55, 122.33, 121.17, 120.10, 118.64, 118.50, 117.75, 114.96; MS (ESI) m/z 456.27 (M + Na)<sup>+</sup>, calcd. for C<sub>21</sub>H<sub>15</sub>ClF<sub>3</sub>N<sub>3</sub>O<sub>2</sub> m/z = 433.08. 4-Chloro-N-methyl-2-(2-((3-(trifluoromethyl)phenyl)amino) benzamido)benzamide **B8**. Yield: 71%; m.p. 152–153°C; FTIR  $v_{max}$  (cm<sup>-1</sup>): 3,316, 1,633, 1,572, 1,515, 1,330, 1,221, 1,166, 1,117; <sup>1</sup>H NMR (600 MHz, DMSO- $d_6$ ):  $\delta$  12.50 (s, 1H), 9.21 (s, 1H), 8.85 (d, J = 4.2 Hz, 1H), 8.63 (d, J = 2.2 Hz, 1H), 7.77 (d, J = 8.5 Hz, 1H), 7.74 (dd, J = 7.8, 1.4 Hz, 1H), 7.52–7.48 (m, 1H), 7.45 (t, J = 8.2 Hz, 1H), 7.40–7.34 (m, 3H), 7.26 (dd, J = 8.5, 2.2 Hz, 1H), 7.19 (d, J = 7.6 Hz, 1H), 7.15–7.07 (m, 1H), 2.74 (d, J = 4.5 Hz, 3H); <sup>13</sup>C NMR (150 MHz, DMSO- $d_6$ ):  $\delta$  168.36, 167.06, 143.92, 142.69, 140.71, 136.76, 133.25, 130.73, 130.59, 130.39, 130.10, 129.32, 125.52, 123.27, 123.07, 121.96, 121.54, 120.25, 119.64, 118.99, 114.62, 26.70; MS (ESI) m/z 470.32 (M + Na)<sup>+</sup>, calcd. for  $C_{22}H_{17}ClF_3N_3O_2$  m/z = 447.10.

N-(2-Carbamoyl-4-chlorophenyl) -2-((3-(trifluoromethyl) phenyl)amino)benzamide **B9**. Yield: 68%; m.p. 183–184°C; FTIR  $v_{max}$  (cm<sup>-1</sup>): 3,405, 3,197, 1,643, 1,611, 1,518, 1,385, 1,295, 1,112; <sup>1</sup>H NMR (600 MHz, DMSO-*d*<sub>6</sub>):  $\delta$  12.53 (s, 1H), 9.30 (s, 1H), 8.55 (d, *J* = 9.0 Hz, 1H), 8.45 (s, 1H), 7.92 (d, *J* = 2.5 Hz, 1H), 7.90 (s, 1H), 7.73 (dd, *J* = 7.9, 1.4 Hz, 1H), 7.61 (dd, *J* = 9.0, 2.5 Hz, 1H), 7.50–7.45 (m, 2H), 7.41–7.37 (m, 3H), 7.20 (d, *J* = 7.6 Hz, 1H), 7.11–7.04 (m, 1H); <sup>13</sup>C NMR (150 MHz, DMSO-*d*<sub>6</sub>):  $\delta$  170.00, 167.02, 143.72, 143.02, 138.85, 133.18, 132.38, 130.82, 130.64, 129.14, 128.75, 127.10, 123.70, 122.78, 122.65, 122.21, 122.14, 121.22, 118.52, 117.69, 114.77; MS (ESI) *m/z* 456.27 (M + Na)<sup>+</sup>, calcd. for C<sub>21</sub>H<sub>15</sub>ClF<sub>3</sub>N<sub>3</sub>O<sub>2</sub> m/z = 433.08.

5-Chloro-N-methyl-2-(2-((3-(trifluoromethyl)phenyl)amino) benzamido)benzamide **B10**. Yield: 74%; m.p. 190–191°C; FTIR  $v_{max}$  (cm<sup>-1</sup>): 3,300, 1,657, 1,629, 1,515, 1,328, 1,157, 1,110; <sup>1</sup>H NMR (600 MHz, DMSO-*d*<sub>6</sub>):  $\delta$  12.21 (s, 1H), 9.21 (s, 1H), 8.87 (d, J = 4.4 Hz, 1H), 8.51 (d, J = 9.0 Hz, 1H), 7.80 (d, J = 2.5 Hz, 1H), 7.74 (dd, J = 7.8, 1.4 Hz, 1H), 7.59 (dd, J = 9.0, 2.5 Hz, 1H), 7.53–7.47 (m, 1H), 7.45 (t, J = 8.2 Hz, 1H), 7.40–7.34 (m, 3H), 7.18 (d, J = 7.7 Hz, 1H), 7.13–7.08 (m, 1H), 2.73 (d, J = 4.5 Hz, 3H); <sup>13</sup>C NMR (150 MHz, DMSO-*d*<sub>6</sub>):  $\delta$  167.85, 166.83, 143.96, 142.58, 138.16, 133.12, 131.95, 130.76, 130.59, 129.34, 128.16, 127.20, 125.52, 123.51, 123.16, 122.80, 121.83, 121.57, 118.98, 117.43, 114.48, 26.71; MS (ESI) *m/z* 470.32 (M + Na)<sup>+</sup>, calcd. for C<sub>22</sub>H<sub>17</sub>ClF<sub>3</sub>N<sub>3</sub>O<sub>2</sub> m/z = 447.10.

N-(2-Carbamoyl-3-chlorophenyl)-2-((3-(trifluoromethyl)phenyl) amino)benzamide **B11**. Yield: 62%; m.p. 194–195°C; FTIR  $v_{max}$  (cm<sup>-1</sup>): 3,325, 3,171, 1,678, 1,581, 1,510, 1,326, 1,162, 1,111; <sup>1</sup>H NMR (600 MHz, DMSO-*d*<sub>6</sub>):  $\delta$  10.05 (s, 1H), 9.15 (s, 1H), 7.98 (s, 1H), 7.86 (s, 1H), 7.74 (dd, *J* = 7.8, 1.2 Hz, 1H), 7.70 (d, *J* = 8.0 Hz, 1H), 7.52–7.44 (m, 2H), 7.43–7.32 (m, 5H), 7.21 (d, *J* = 7.7 Hz, 1H), 7.10–7.04 (m, 1H); <sup>13</sup>C NMR (150 MHz, DMSO-*d*<sub>6</sub>):  $\delta$  167.26, 166.87, 144.02, 142.67, 136.49, 133.06, 132.01, 130.84, 130.62, 130.39, 129.82, 126.67, 125.52, 124.24, 123.72, 123.04, 122.01, 121.36, 118.75, 117.59, 114.55; MS (ESI) *m/z* 456.27 (M + Na)<sup>+</sup>, calcd. for C<sub>21</sub>H<sub>15</sub>ClF<sub>3</sub>N<sub>3</sub>O<sub>2</sub> m/z = 433.08.

2-Chloro-N-methyl-6-(2-((3-(trifluoromethyl)phenyl)amino) benzamido)benzamide **B12**. Yield: 73%; m.p. 178–179°C; FTIR  $v_{max}$  (cm<sup>-1</sup>): 3,273, 1,667, 1,641, 1,505, 1,331, 1,171, 1,108; <sup>1</sup>H NMR (600 MHz, DMSO- $d_6$ ):  $\delta$  10.14 (s, 1H), 8.95 (s, 1H), 8.44 (d, J = 4.6 Hz, 1H), 7.79 (d, J = 8.2 Hz, 1H), 7.73 (d, J = 7.8 Hz, 1H), 7.50–7.45 (m, 2H), 7.42 (t, J = 8.1 Hz, 1H), 7.36–7.33 (m, 4H), 7.20 (d, J = 7.7 Hz, 1H), 7.14–7.09 (m, 1H), 2.61 (d, J = 4.6 Hz, 3H); <sup>13</sup>C NMR (150 MHz, DMSO- $d_6$ ):  $\delta$  167.03, 165.25, 144.40,

142.27, 136.92, 132.94, 131.52, 130.79, 130.68, 130.59, 130.08, 126.46, 125.54, 124.25, 123.82, 123.74, 121.93, 121.75, 119.47, 117.39, 114.41, 26.26; MS (ESI) *m*/*z* 470.32 (M + Na)<sup>+</sup>, calcd. for  $C_{22}H_{17}ClF_3N_3O_2$  m/*z* = 447.10.

3,5-Dichloro-Nmethyl-2-(2-((3-(trifluoromethyl)phenyl) amino)benzamido)benzamide **B13**. Yield: 76%; m.p. 180–181°C; FTIR v<sub>max</sub> (cm<sup>-1</sup>): 3,287, 1,632, 1,582, 1,524, 1,328, 1,162, 1,115; <sup>1</sup>H NMR (600 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>): δ 9.44 (s, 1H), 9.21 (s, 1H), 7.80 (d, J = 7.8 Hz, 1H), 7.57 (d, J = 2.2 Hz, 1H), 7.44 (s, 1H), 7.40 (dd, J = 5.7, 2.2 Hz, 4H), 7.35 (d, J = 8.3 Hz, 1H), 7.23 (d, J =7.5 Hz, 1H), 6.95-6.91 (m, 1H), 6.29 (s, 1H), 2.90 (d, J = 4.0 Hz, 3H); <sup>13</sup>C NMR (150 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>): δ 168.25, 167.11, 145.16, 141.99, 134.03, 133.46, 133.28, 132.35, 132.00, 131.93, 131.69, 129.88, 129.07, 126.04, 123.19, 119.47, 118.79, 117.66, 116.75, 115.71, 26.97; MS (ESI) m/z 504.25 (M + Na)<sup>+</sup>, calcd. for  $C_{22}H_{16}Cl_2F_3N_3O_2 m/z = 481.06.$ 

# 4.3 General Synthetic Procedures for Diarylamine-Guided Carboxamide Derivatives C1-11

To a solution of diarylamine carboxylic acid 1 (1 mmol) in 8 ml anhydrous acetonitrile was added pyridine (3 mmol), and then the reaction mixture was cooled to 0 C. Whereafter, methanesulfonyl chloride (1.5 mmol) was added dropwise to the reaction mixture over 15-20 min. After addition, the reaction mixture was then allowed to warm to room temperature and stirred for additional hours, the corresponding multi-substituted amines 5 (1.05 mmol) was added, and the reaction mixture was heated to 40-45°C and detected by thin-layer chromatography. After completion of the reaction, the mixture was quenched by the addition of water and was stirred for 20 min. The suspended solid was collected by filtration and washed with water to afford the crude products, which can be purified by silica gel column chromatography (petroleum ether/ethyl acetate) or recrystallization (methanol) to give the target molecules. Their physico-chemical properties and the spectra data are as follows:

N-(4-Isopropylphenyl)-2-((3-(trifluoromethyl)phenyl) amino) benzamide **C1**. Yield: 72%; m.p. 74–75°C; FTIR  $v_{max}$  (cm<sup>-1</sup>): 3,274, 1,633, 1,595, 1,514, 1,323, 1,113; <sup>1</sup>H NMR (600 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>): δ 9.30 (s, 1H), 7.73 (s, 1H), 7.53 (d, J = 7.2 Hz, 1H), 7.41–7.25 (m, 7H), 7.18–7.10 (m, 2H), 6.99 (m, 1H), 6.85 (t, J = 7.2 Hz, 1H), 2.86–2.82 (m, 1H), 1.18 (d, J = 6.6 Hz, 6H); <sup>13</sup>C NMR (150 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>): δ 167.63, 145.89, 144.52, 142.17, 134.97, 132.72, 129.86, 127.61, 127.22, 127.11, 122.93, 121.01, 119.70, 119.32, 118.57, 116.27, 116.15, 33.70, 24.03; MS (ESI) *m/z* 399.55 (M + H)<sup>+</sup>, calcd. for C<sub>23</sub>H<sub>21</sub>F<sub>3</sub>N<sub>2</sub>O m/z = 398.16.

N-(4-Isopropylphenyl)-2-((3-(trifluoromethyl) phenyl)amino) nicotinamide **C2**. Yield: 76%; m.p. 161–162°C; FTIR  $v_{max}$ (cm<sup>-1</sup>): 3,318, 1,634, 1,588, 1,507, 1,443, 1,331, 1,114; <sup>1</sup>H NMR (600 MHz, DMSO- $d_6$ ):  $\delta$  10.98 (s, 1H), 9.36 (bs, 1H), 8.43–7.34 (m, 7H), 7.18 (d, J = 7.2 Hz, 2H), 6.82 (s, 1H), 2.87–2.82 (m, 1H), 1.19 (d, J = 6.6 Hz, 6H); <sup>13</sup>C NMR (150 MHz, CD<sub>3</sub>OD):  $\delta$  168.58, 156.04, 151.88, 146.91, 142.49, 138.54, 137.18, 132.19, 131.98, 130.51, 127.74, 124.07, 122.84, 119.20, 119.18, 117.07, 115.44, 114.14, 35.02, 24.52; MS (ESI) *m/z* 400.63 (M + H)<sup>+</sup>, calcd. for C<sub>22</sub>H<sub>20</sub>F<sub>3</sub>N<sub>3</sub>O m/z = 399.16. N-(2-(Trifluoromethyl)phenyl)-2-((3-(trifluoromethyl) phenyl) amino)benzamide **C3.** Yield: 78%; m.p. 82–83°C; FTIR υ<sub>max</sub> (cm<sup>-1</sup>): 3,286, 1,636, 1,580, 1,518, 1,314, 1,100; <sup>1</sup>H NMR (600 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>): δ 9.33 (s, 1H), 8.20 (d, J = 8.4 Hz, 1H), 8.16 (s, 1H), 7.62–7.52 (m, 3H), 7.39 (s, 1H), 7.35–7.29 (m, 4H), 7.23 (t, J = 7.2 Hz, 1H), 7.17 (s, 1H), 6.89–6.87 (m, 1H); <sup>13</sup>C NMR (150 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>): δ 167.51, 145.15, 141.95, 135.04, 133.33, 132.94, 129.91, 127.48, 126.34, 126.30, 125.01, 124.85, 123.34, 119.57, 118.95, 118.53, 116.82, 116.79, 116.22; MS (ESI) m/z 425.47 (M + H)<sup>+</sup>, calcd. for  $C_{21}H_{14}F_6N_2O$  m/z = 424.10.

N-(2-(Trifluoromethyl)phenyl)-2-((3-(trifluoromethyl) phenyl) amino)nicotinamide C4. Yield: 62%; m.p. 139–140°C; FTIR  $v_{max}$  (cm<sup>-1</sup>): 3,292, 1,638, 1,599, 1,517, 1,442, 1,330, 1,109; <sup>1</sup>H NMR (400 MHz, DMSO- $d_6$ ):  $\delta$  10.79 (s, 1H), 10.54 (s, 1H), 8.47 (dd, J = 7.2 Hz, 1H), 8.34 (dd, J = 7.2 Hz, 1H), 8.28 (s, 1H), 7.86–7.77 (m, 3H), 7.61 (q, J = 12 Hz, 2H), 7.52 (t, J = 12 Hz, 1H), 7.31 (d, J = 12 Hz, 1H); <sup>13</sup>C NMR (100 MHz, DMSO- $d_6$ )  $\delta$  168.14, 154.73, 151.69, 141.26, 138.26, 135.49, 133.76, 132.01, 130.26, 130.14, 129.83, 128.47, 127.09, 127.04, 126.09, 125.41, 123.49, 122.69, 118.32, 115.69, 115.65, 115.03, 111.66; MS (ESI) m/z 426.55 (M + H)<sup>+</sup>, calcd. for C<sub>20</sub>H<sub>13</sub>F<sub>6</sub>N<sub>3</sub>O m/z = 425.10.

N-(3-(Trifluoromethyl)phenyl)-2-((3-(trifluoromethyl) phenyl) amino)benzamide **C5**. Yield: 70%; m.p. 75–76°C; FTIR υ<sub>max</sub> (cm<sup>-1</sup>): 3,292, 1,638, 1,519, 1,443, 1,325, 1,154, 1,118; <sup>1</sup>H NMR (600 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>): δ 9.19 (s, 1H), 7.91 (s, 1H), 7.84 (s, 1H), 7.69 (d, J = 7.8 Hz, 1H), 7.54 (d, J = 7.8 Hz, 1H), 7.43 (t, J = 7.8 Hz, 1H), 7.7-7.26 (m, 6H), 7.18–7.16 (m, 1H), 6.87–6.85 (m, 1H); <sup>13</sup>C NMR (150 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>): δ 167.66, 144.83, 141.95, 138.07, 133.22, 131.90, 131.70, 129.94, 129.71, 127.61, 124.89, 124.71, 123.59, 123.31, 121.41, 119.41, 118.93, 117.32, 116.62, 116.36; MS (ESI) *m/z* 425.56 (M + H)<sup>+</sup>, calcd. for C<sub>21</sub>H<sub>14</sub>F<sub>6</sub>N<sub>2</sub>O m/z = 424.10.

N-(3-(Trifluoromethyl)phenyl)-2-((3-(trifluoromethyl) phenyl) amino)nicotinamide **C6**. Yield: 73%; m.p. 130–131°C; FTIR  $v_{max}$  (cm<sup>-1</sup>): 3,293, 1,638, 1,599, 1,517, 1,462, 1,330, 1,156, 1,109; <sup>1</sup>H NMR (600 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>):  $\delta$  10.35 (s, 1H), 8.36 (t, *J* = 4.8 Hz, 1H), 8.02 (s, 1H), 7.90 (s, 1H), 7.83–7.71 (m, 4H), 7.48–7.34 (m, 3H), 7.21 (d, *J* = 7.8 Hz, 1H), 6.78 (s, 1H); <sup>13</sup>C NMR (150 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>):  $\delta$  166.48, 155.14, 153.22, 152.20, 140.22, 137.68, 135.68, 131.61, 129.83, 129.57, 129.23, 123.93, 123.46, 121.86, 120.70, 119.08, 117.63, 117.09, 113.86, 110.94; MS (ESI) *m/z* 426.55 (M + H)<sup>+</sup>, calcd. for C<sub>20</sub>H<sub>13</sub>F<sub>6</sub>N<sub>3</sub>O m/z = 425.10.

N-(4-(Trifluoromethyl)phenyl)-2-((3-(trifluoromethyl) phenyl) amino)benzamide **C7**. Yield: 76%; m.p. 102–103°C; FTIR υ<sub>max</sub> (cm<sup>-1</sup>): 3,332, 1,644, 1,594, 1,505, 1,325, 1,157, 1,108; <sup>1</sup>H NMR (600 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>): δ 9.18 (s, 1H), 7.94 (s, 1H), 7.65–7.54 (m, 5H), 7.37–7.32 (m, 4H), 7.27 (d, *J* = 8.4 Hz, 1H), 7.18 (d, *J* = 7.8 Hz, 1H), 6.88–6.85 (m, 1H); <sup>13</sup>C NMR (150 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>): δ 167.67, 144.86, 141.93, 140.63, 133.29, 131.92, 131.71, 129.96, 127.64, 126.44, 126.42, 123.29, 120.11, 119.43, 119.00, 118.98, 118.93, 116.63, 116.60, 116.38; MS (ESI) *m/z* 425.65 (M + H)<sup>+</sup>, calcd. for C<sub>21</sub>H<sub>14</sub>F<sub>6</sub>N<sub>2</sub>O m/z = 424.10.

N-(4-(Trifluoromethyl)phenyl)-2-((3-(trifluoromethyl) phenyl) amino)nicotinamide **C8**. Yield: 73%; m.p. 171–172°C; FTIR  $v_{max}$  (cm<sup>-1</sup>): 3,319, 1,645, 1,585, 1,508, 1,442, 1,309, 1,119; <sup>1</sup>H NMR (600 MHz, DMSO-*d*<sub>6</sub>): <sup>1</sup>H NMR (600 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>):  $\delta$  10.81 (s, 1H), 10.30 (s, 1H), 8.43 (dd, *J* = 4.2 Hz, 1H), 8.29–8.25 (m, 2H), 7.98 (d, *J* = 8.4 Hz, 2H), 7.87 (d, *J* = 8.4 Hz, 1H), 7.76 (d, *J* = 8.4 Hz, 2H), 7.52 (t, *J* = 8.4 Hz, 1H), 7.31 (d, *J* = 7.2 Hz, 1H), 7.04 (dd, *J* = 4.8 Hz,

1H); <sup>13</sup>C NMR (150 MHz, DMSO- $d_6$ ):  $\delta$  153.68, 151.36, 145.14, 141.78, 137.92, 130.20, 126.92, 124.13, 121.28, 118.98, 115.87, 115.00, 114.14; MS (ESI) m/z 426.55 (M + H)<sup>+</sup>, calcd. for  $C_{20}H_{13}F_6N_{3}O$  m/z = 425.10.

N-(Pyridin-2-yl)-2-((3-(trifluoromethyl)phenyl)amino)benzamide **C9**. Yield: 55%; m.p. 65–66°C; FTIR  $v_{max}$  (cm<sup>-1</sup>): 2,958, 1,663, 1,575, 1,493, 1,435, 1,340, 1,298, 1,109; <sup>1</sup>H NMR (600 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>):  $\delta$  9.40 (s, 1H), 9.25 (br, 1H), 8.30 (d, J = 8.4Hz, 1H), 8.19 (d, J = 4.2Hz, 1H), 7.76–7.68 (m, 3H), 7.47–7.31 (m, 3H), 7.18 (t, J = 6.6 Hz, 1H), 7.04 (t, J = 6.6 Hz, 1H), 6.85–6.83 (m, 1H); <sup>13</sup>C NMR (150 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>):  $\delta$  167.92, 151.22, 146.76, 145.20, 141.96, 139.37, 133.39, 131.91, 131.70, 129.90, 128.35, 123.39, 119.97, 119.32, 118.96, 118.23, 116.93, 116.01, 114.72; MS (ESI) *m/z* 358.60 (M + H)<sup>+</sup>, calcd. for C<sub>19</sub>H<sub>14</sub>F<sub>3</sub>N<sub>3</sub>O m/z = 357.11.

N-(2-Chloropyridin-4-yl)-2-((3-(trifluoromethyl)phenyl)amino) benzamide **C10**. Yield: 68%; m.p. 97–98°C; FTIR  $v_{max}$  (cm<sup>-1</sup>): 2,959, 1,663, 1,575, 1,525, 1,493, 1,435, 1,340, 1,298, 1,109; <sup>1</sup>H NMR (600 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>): δ 9.19 (s, 1H), 8.31 (d, *J* = 5.4Hz, 1H), 8.17 (s, 1H), 7.76 (d, *J* = 1.8 Hz, 1H), 7.60 (d, J = 7.8 Hz, 1H), 7.45–7.35 (m, 6H), 7.28 (d, *J* = 7.2 Hz, 1H), 6.93 (t, *J* = 7.8 Hz, 1H); <sup>13</sup>C NMR (150 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>): δ 167.76, 152.64, 150.31, 146.93, 145.29, 141.70, 133.84, 130.04, 127.71, 123.69, 119.44, 118.00, 117.00, 116.53, 113.86, 112.79; MS (ESI) *m/z* 392.26 (M + H)<sup>+</sup>, calcd. for C<sub>19</sub>H<sub>13</sub>ClF<sub>3</sub>N<sub>3</sub>O m/z = 391.07.

N-(6-Chloropyridin-3-yl)-2-((3-(trifluoromethyl)phenyl) amino)nicotinamide **C11**. Yield: 74%; m.p. 166–167°C; FTIR  $v_{max}$  (cm<sup>-1</sup>): 3,316, 1,643, 1,605, 1,581, 1,505, 1,443, 1,282, 1,110; <sup>1</sup>H NMR (600 MHz, DMSO-*d*<sub>6</sub>):  $\delta$  10.81 (s, 1H), 10.36 (s, 1H), 8.76 (d, *J* = 2.7 Hz, 1H), 8.44 (dd, *J* = 4.8, 1.8 Hz, 1H), 8.29–8.21 (m, 3H), 7.87 (dd, *J* = 8.2, 1.4 Hz, 1H), 7.57–7.51 (m, 2H), 7.31 (d, *J* = 7.7 Hz, 1H), 7.04 (dd, *J* = 7.7, 4.8 Hz, 1H); <sup>13</sup>C NMR (150 MHz, DMSO-*d*<sub>6</sub>):  $\delta$  167.22, 154.25, 151.49, 144.99, 142.61, 141.34, 138.63, 135.46, 132.13, 130.00, 125.67, 124.59, 123.86, 123.70, 118.38, 115.91, 114.97, 113.07; MS (ESI) *m/z* 393.34 (M + H)<sup>+</sup>, calcd. for C<sub>18</sub>H<sub>12</sub>ClF<sub>3</sub>N<sub>4</sub>O m/z = 392.07.

# 4.4 In vitro Cytotoxicity Assay

The cytotoxicity of target compounds was determined with MTT assay (Alley et al., 1988; Ke et al., 2012; Shi et al., 2012; Yu et al., 2015; Ke et al., 2019; Xu et al., 2019; Huang et al., 2021). SGC-7901, A875, HepG2 and MARC145 cell lines were obtained from Hubei Biopesticide Engineering Research Centre. All data of the experiment were analyzed with SPSS software, and all assay were performed in triplicate on three independent experiments, and measurement data were expressed as the mean  $\pm$  S.D.

# 4.5 Flow Cytometric Analysis

Quantitative analysis of apoptotic and necrotic cell death induced by potential molecules was investigated using Annexin V-FITC/ PI dual staining assay (Yu et al., 2015; Huang et al., 2021).

# REFERENCES

AACR (2019). AACR Cancer Progress Report. Available at: https://www.cancerprogressreport.org/Pages/cpr19-cancer-in-2019.

# 4.6 Measurement of Lactate Dehydrogenase

A875 cells grown in 96-well plates were treated with serial dilutions of each tested compound for 24 h. The culture media were collected, and the concentrations of LDH were determined based on our previous research (Huang et al., 2021).

# 4.7 Kinase Inhibitory Assay

The kinase inhibitory profile of compounds C6 and C11 were screened at two dose concentration of 10 and 1  $\mu$ M over a panel of 15 kinases, and each assay was repeated twice. All the inhibitory assays were carried out through kinase profiling services provided by HY Biotech (Chinese), in which ADP-GLO kinase assays were used.

# DATA AVAILABILITY STATEMENT

The original contributions presented in the study are included in the article/**Supplementary Material**, further inquiries can be directed to the corresponding authors.

# AUTHOR CONTRIBUTIONS

All authors listed have made a substantial, direct, and intellectual contribution to the work and approved it for publication.

# ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

The authors are grateful to the Program for Leading Talents of Hubei Academy of Agricultural Sciences (L2018031), the Youth Science Foundation of Hubei Academy of Agricultural Sciences (2021NKYJJ17), the Innovation and Application of Key Technologies of Quality-improving and Efficiency-increasing of Fengtou Ginger Industry (2020-620-002-06) and Hubei Biopesticide Engineering Research Centre (HBERC-RC-202002, HBERC-RC-202104) for their financial support.

# SUPPLEMENTARY MATERIAL

The Supplementary Material for this article can be found online at: https://www.frontiersin.org/articles/10.3389/fchem.2022.953523/ full#supplementary-material

Abduelkarem, A. R., Anbar, H. S., Zaraei, S. O., Alfar, A. A., Al-Zoubi, O. S., Abdelkarem, E. G., et al. (2020). Diarylamides in Anticancer Drug Discovery: A Review of Pre-clinical and Clinical Investigations. *Eur. J. Med. Chem.* 188, 112029. doi:10.1016/j.ejmech.2019.112029

Alley, M. C., Scudiero, D. A., Monks, A., Hursey, M. L., Czerwinski, M. J., Fine, D. L., et al. (1988). Feasibility of Drug Screening with Panels of Human Tumor Cell Lines Using a Microculture Tetrazolium Assay. *Cancer Res.* 48, 589–601.

- American Cancer Society (2018). *Global Cancer Facts & Figures*. 4th Edition. Atlanta: American Cancer Society.
- Barker, W. T., Chandler, C. E., Melander, R. J., Ernst, R. K., and Melander, C. (2019). Tryptamine Derivatives Disarm Colistin Resistance in Polymyxin-Resistant Gram-Negative Bacteria. *Bioorg. Med. Chem.* 27, 1776–1788. doi:10.1016/j.bmc.2019.03.019
- Chai, B., Liu, C., Li, H., Liu, S., Xu, Y., Song, Y., et al. (2014). Synthesis and Acaricidal Activity of Strobilurin-Pyrimidine Derivatives. *Chin. Chem. Lett.* 25, 137–140. doi:10.1016/j.cclet.2013.10.006
- Chen, W., Zheng, R., Baade, P. D., Zhang, S., Zeng, H., Bray, F., et al. (2016). Cancer Statistics in China, 2015. CA A Cancer J. Clin. 66, 115–132. doi:10.3322/caac.21338
- Guan, A., Liu, C., Huang, G., Li, H., Hao, S., Xu, Y., et al. (2013). Design, Synthesis, and Structure-Activity Relationship of Novel Aniline Derivatives of Chlorothalonil. J. Agric. Food Chem. 61, 11929–11936. doi:10.1021/jf403739e
- Huang, W., Gao, Z., Zhang, Z., Fang, W., Wang, Z., Wan, Z., et al. (2021). Selective and Effective Anticancer Agents: Synthesis, Biological Evaluation and Structure-Activity Relationships of Novel Carbazole Derivatives. *Bioorg. Chem.* 113, 104991. doi:10.1016/j.bioorg.2021.104991
- Ke, S., Shi, L., Cao, X., Yang, Q., Liang, Y., and Yang, Z. (2012). Heterocyclefunctional Gramine Analogues: Solvent- and Catalyst-free Synthesis and Their Inhibition Activities against Cell Proliferation. *Eur. J. Med. Chem.* 54, 248–254. doi:10.1016/j.ejmech.2012.05.003
- Ke, S., Zhang, Z., Liu, M., Fang, W., Huang, D., Wan, Z., et al. (2019). Synthesis and Bioevaluation of Novel Steroidal Isatin Conjugates Derived from Epiandrosterone/androsterone. J. Enzyme Inhibition Med. Chem. 34, 1607–1614. doi:10.1080/14756366.2019.1659790
- Kumar, A., and Mishra, A. K. (2018). Pharmacological Applications of Diphenylamine and its Derivative as Potent Bioactive Compound: A Review. Cbc 14, 217–233. doi:10.2174/1573407213666170301155550
- Li, N., Huang, H. Y., Wu, D. W., Yang, Z. M., Wang, J., Wang, J. S., et al. (2019). Changes in Clinical Trials of Cancer Drugs in Mainland China over the Decade 2009-18: a Systematic Review. *Lancet Oncol.* 20, e619–e626. doi:10.1016/s1470-2045(19)30491-7
- Ling, Y., Gao, W., Ling, C., Liu, J., Meng, C., Qian, J., et al. (2019). β-Carboline and N-Hydroxycinnamamide Hybrids as Anticancer Agents for Drug-Resistant Hepatocellular Carcinoma. *Eur. J. Med. Chem.* 168, 515–526. doi:10.1016/j. ejmech.2019.02.054
- Liu, C., Tian, J., An, T., Lyu, F., Jia, P., Zhou, M., et al. (2020). Secondary Metabolites from Solanum Rostratum and Their Antifeedant Defense Mechanisms against Helicoverpa Armigera. J. Agric. Food Chem. 68, 88–96. doi:10.1021/acs.jafc.9b06768
- Liu, J., Li, F., Li, Y., Zhang, X., Hua, X., Xiong, L., et al. (2019). Synthesis, Insecticidal Evaluation and 3D-QSAR Study of Novel Anthranilic Diamide Derivatives as Potential Ryanodine Receptor Modulators. *Pest. Manag. Sci.* 75, 1034–1044. doi:10.1002/ps.5213
- Odingo, J., Bailey, M. A., Files, M., Early, J. V., Alling, T., Dennison, D., et al. (2017). *In Vitro* evaluation of Novel Nitazoxanide Derivatives against mycobacterium Tuberculosis. *ACS Omega* 2, 5873–5890. doi:10.1021/acsomega.7b00892
- Peng, M., Shi, L., and Ke, S. (2017). Nicotinamide-based Diamides Derivatives as Potential Cytotoxic Agents: Synthesis and Biological Evaluation. *Chem. Central* J. 11, 109. doi:10.1186/s13065-017-0338-5
- Sartori, S. K., Alvarenga, E. S., Franco, C. A., Ramos, D. S., and Oliveira, D. F. (2018). One-pot Synthesis of Anilides, Herbicidal Activity and Molecular Docking Study. *Pest. Manag. Sci.* 74, 1637–1645. doi:10.1002/ps.4855
- Sethy, B., Hsieh, C. F., Lin, T. J., Hu, P. Y., Chen, Y. L., Lin, C. Y., et al. (2019). Design, Synthesis, and Biological Evaluation of Itaconic Acid Derivatives as Potential Anti-influenza Agents. J. Med. Chem. 62, 2390–2403. doi:10.1021/acs. jmedchem.8b01683
- Shi, L., Hu, R., Wei, Y., Liang, Y., Yang, Z., and Ke, S. (2012). Anthranilic Acid-Based Diamides Derivatives Incorporating Aryl-Isoxazoline Pharmacophore as Potential Anticancer Agents: Design, Synthesis and Biological Evaluation. *Eur. J. Med. Chem.* 54, 549–556. doi:10.1016/j.ejmech.2012.06.001
- Siber, T., Bušić, V., Zobundžija, D., Roca, S., Vikić-Topić, D., Vrandečić, K., et al. (2019). An Improved Method for the Quaternization of Nicotinamide and

Antifungal Activities of its Derivatives. *Molecules* 24, 1001. doi:10.3390/molecules24061001

- Soussi, M. A., Provot, O., Bernadat, G., Bignon, J., Wdzieczak-Bakala, J., Desravines, D., et al. (2014). Discovery of Azaisoerianin Derivatives as Potential Antitumors Agents. *Eur. J. Med. Chem.* 78, 178–189. doi:10.1016/j.ejmech.2014.03.032
- Sun, S., Jia, Q., and Zhang, Z. (2019). Applications of Amide Isosteres in Medicinal Chemistry. *Bioorg. Med. Chem. Lett.* 29, 2535–2550. doi:10.1016/j.bmcl.2019.07.033
- Tang, Y., Zhang, X., Chen, Z., Yin, W., Nan, G., Tian, J., et al. (2018). Novel Benzamido Derivatives as PTP1B Inhibitors with Anti-hyperglycemic and Lipid-Lowering Efficacy. Acta Pharm. Sin. B 8, 919–932. doi:10.1016/j.apsb.2018.05.001
- Tsikolia, M., Bernier, U. R., Agramonte, N. M., Estep, A. S., Becnel, J. J., Linthicum, K. J., et al. (2019). Insecticidal and Repellent Properties of Novel Trifluoromethylphenyl Amides III. *Pesticide Biochem. Physiology* 161, 5–11. doi:10.1016/j.pestbp.2019.06.012
- Tsikolia, M., Bernier, U. R., Agramonte, N. M., Estep, A. S., Becnel, J. J., Tabanca, N., et al. (2018). Insecticidal and Repellent Properties of Novel Trifluoromethylphenyl Amides II. *Pesticide Biochem. Physiology* 151, 40–46. doi:10.1016/j.pestbp.2018.08.006
- Wang, H., Gao, X., Zhang, X., Jin, H., Tao, K., and Hou, T. (2017). Design, Synthesis and Antifungal Activity of Novel Fenfuram-Diarylamine Hybrids. *Bioorg. Med. Chem. Lett.* 27, 90–93. doi:10.1016/j.bmcl.2016. 11.026
- Wang, S., Wang, X., and Xie, L. (2013). Synthesis and Biological Evaluation of Diarylamines with Antitumor Activity. Yao Xue Xue Bao 48, 1273–1280.
- Wild, C. T., Zhu, Y., Na, Y., Mei, F., Ynalvez, M. A., Chen, H., et al. (2016). Functionalized N,N-diphenylamines as Potent and Selective EPAC2 Inhibitors. ACS Med. Chem. Lett. 7, 460–464. doi:10.1021/ acsmedchemlett.5b00477
- Xu, T., Shi, L., Zhang, Y., Wang, K., Yang, Z., and Ke, S. (2019). Synthesis and Biological Evaluation of Marine Alkaloid-Oriented β-carboline Analogues. *Eur. J. Med. Chem.* 168, 293–300. doi:10.1016/j.ejmech.2019.02.060
- Yang, W., Li, Y., Ai, Y., Obianom, O. N., Guo, D., Yang, H., et al. (2019). Pyrazole-4-Carboxamide (YW2065): A Therapeutic Candidate for Colorectal Cancer via Dual Activities of Wnt/β-Catenin Signaling Inhibition and AMP-Activated Protein Kinase (AMPK) Activation. *J. Med. Chem.* 62, 11151–11164. doi:10. 1021/acs.jmedchem.9b01252
- Yu, X., Shi, L., and Ke, S. (2015). Acylhydrazone Derivatives as Potential Anticancer Agents: Synthesis, Bio-Evaluation and Mechanism of Action. *Bioorg. Med. Chem. Lett.* 25, 5772–5776. doi:10.1016/j.bmcl.2015.10.069
- Yu, X., Teng, P., Zhang, Y., Xu, Z., Zhang, M., and Zhang, W. (2018). Design, Synthesis and Antifungal Activity Evaluation of Coumarin-3-Carboxamide Derivatives. *Fitoterapia* 127, 387–395. doi:10.1016/j.fitote.2018.03.013
- Zhang, X., Jin, H., Deng, Y., Gao, X., Li, Y., Zhao, Y., et al. (2017). Synthesis and Biological Evaluation of Novel Pyrazole Carboxamide with Diarylamine-Modified Scaffold as Potent Antifungal Agents. *Chin. Chem. Lett.* 28, 1731–1736. doi:10.1016/j.cclet.2017.04.021

**Conflict of Interest:** The authors declare that the research was conducted in the absence of any commercial or financial relationships that could be construed as a potential conflict of interest.

**Publisher's Note:** All claims expressed in this article are solely those of the authors and do not necessarily represent those of their affiliated organizations, or those of the publisher, the editors and the reviewers. Any product that may be evaluated in this article, or claim that may be made by its manufacturer, is not guaranteed or endorsed by the publisher.

Copyright © 2022 Ke, Huang, Zhang, Wang, Zhang, Wu, Fang, Wan, Gong, Yang, Wang and Shi. This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (CC BY). The use, distribution or reproduction in other forums is permitted, provided the original author(s) and the copyright owner(s) are credited and that the original publication in this journal is cited, in accordance with accepted academic practice. No use, distribution or reproduction is permitted which does not comply with these terms.