



Published in final edited form as:

*Pediatr Res.* 2021 February ; 89(3): 407–408. doi:10.1038/s41390-020-01208-5.

## Neonatal Opioids and Preschool Outcomes

**Kanwaljeet J. S. Anand, MBBS, D.Phil., FAAP, FCCM, FRCPCH [Professor of Pediatrics, Anesthesiology, Perioperative & Pain Medicine]**

Department of Pediatrics Director, Pain/Stress Neurobiology Laboratory Maternal & Child Health Research Institute Stanford University School of Medicine Stanford, California, U.S.A.

The cognitive, behavioral, neuromotor, and developmental outcomes of children born prematurely are worse than those born at term(1–3). Brain abnormalities in prematurity were previously conceptualized as ‘one-hit’ or ‘two-hit’ brain injuries resulting from perinatal or postnatal events. Emerging data, however, suggest widespread brain dysmaturation in prematurity with altered developmental trajectories for several cellular processes and structures, other than the clinical manifestations of white matter injury, intraventricular hemorrhage, or other ‘gross’ findings(4). Repetitive neonatal pain/stress appears to play a central role in promoting brain dysmaturation, altering development in myriad ways, and contributing significantly to the cognitive, perceptual, and behavioral difficulties of preterm-born children and adults(2, 5). Neonatal pain is associated with reduced fractional anisotropy in white matter tracts (e.g., in the long axis of corticospinal tracts), reduced N-acetyl-aspartate/choline ratios in subcortical gray matter, cortical thinning in frontal, parietal, or other regions, lowered functional connectivity in temporal areas, volumetric reductions in the thalamus, limbic system, and basal ganglia, and the altered background cortical rhythmicity associated with visual-perceptual deficits(6).

Because premature neonates have the most prolonged exposures to repetitive pain(7), opioid analgesia was investigated for ventilated preterm neonates(8–10). Concurrently, however, experimental studies showed anesthesia-induced neurotoxicity in 7-day-old rats(11), raising concerns that opioids may produce similar effects in the preterm brain(12). Though the clinical applicability of animal data was refuted(13, 14) and large multicenter studies have dismissed most of the concerns for anesthetic neurotoxicity(15–18), lingering doubts about the long-term effects of early opioid therapy continue to worry some clinicians. Follow-up studies of preterm neonates enrolled in large placebocontrolled multicenter trials of opioid analgesia were never funded, therefore the question of whether prolonged opioid exposures or repetitive unrelieved pain are more damaging to preterm brain development must rely on observational studies with concurrent or historical controls.

Users may view, print, copy, and download text and data-mine the content in such documents, for the purposes of academic research, subject always to the full Conditions of use:[http://www.nature.com/authors/editorial\\_policies/license.html#terms](http://www.nature.com/authors/editorial_policies/license.html#terms)

Address for correspondence: Dr. K. J. S. Anand, 770 Welch Road, Suite 435, Stanford, CA 94304 MC: 5876, anandam@stanford.edu, Phone: (650) 498-6313, Fax: (650) 736-9186.

**Disclosure statement:** The author received no honoraria, grants, or other payments for writing this manuscript. There are no conflicts of interest to disclose regarding this publication.

**Research Ethics:** No patients were studied, IRB approval was not required, and patient consent was not required for writing this editorial.

After implementing a standardized analgesia/sedation protocol in two NICUs, Diendl and colleagues found 6–7-fold increases in opioid exposures but no effects on their in-hospital morbidity or mortality(19), slightly lower behavior rating scores at 1 year(20) and 3 years(21), but no differences in their long-term mental, psychomotor, or behavioral development compared to historical controls(19–21). Steinbauer et al. now report their preschool outcomes from cognitive, neuromotor, and behavioral assessments performed at age 5–6 years in the protocol-treated cohort and historical controls(22). Other than slightly increased autism spectrum features and withdrawn behavior in the protocol-treated group, or oppositional defiant behaviors and sleep problems in the control group, they again found no differences in the cognitive, neuromotor, or behavioral outcomes between the two groups(22). Though reassuring, these results must be interpreted with caution because of substantial weaknesses in their study design.

Results from a single-center, observational, retrospective case-control study with historical controls are highly susceptible to selection bias, temporal trends in patient population, prenatal or perinatal management, personnel or administrative changes, other assessment or management approaches, and a variety of unknown confounding factors.

Additionally, between NICU discharge and the 1-year evaluation, 108 infants were lost to follow-up in the protocol group and 119 infants in the control group, with further attritions occurring at the 3-year and 5-year follow-up evaluations. Thus, only 27% of the 367 VLBW survivors contributed to these results(23). Also missing from prior or current descriptions of this cohort are the clinical indications for using opioids(19–22). This is critically important because opioids given in the presence of pain are much less likely to alter brain development as compared to opioids given in the absence of pain(24).

*First*, cognition was evaluated using the simultaneous processing and sequential processing scales in the Kaufman Assessment Battery for Children (KABC). KABC and other cognitive scales are based on the Cattell-Horn-Carroll dominant structural model of mental abilities, and the validity of using selected subscales appears questionable and incomplete(25). *Second*, neuromotor evaluations were based on Amiel-Tison’s neurological assessment and recorded in the clinic chart; these were simply classified as normal vs. abnormal disregarding the finer details of gross motor skills, fine motor skills, muscle tone, reflexes, or the neurological soft signs(26) that may underlie subtle differences. *Third*, though the parent-reported Child Behavior Checklist (CBCL) is widely used in clinical practice, it does not account for important factors in the social ecology of early childhood. Parents and caregivers, for example, may be more inclined to report “problematic” behaviors in preschool children than to divulge personal difficulties, family dynamics, or household dysfunction.

Given these methodological as well as the other limitations discussed Steinbauer et al., how will busy clinicians interpret the findings from this study? The results of this study are unlikely to dispel the opioid phobia in some NICUs, or excess opioid use in others(27). Clinical use or avoidance of opioid analgesia has achieved a cult-like status in some NICUs, with every new finding interpreted to support strongly held views on opposite sides of this divide. Those who prescribe opioids will suggest that protocol-driven neonatal analgesia/

sedation, with routine assessments of pain/distress using validated scales, will not lead to adverse outcomes even at preschool age, whereas those who avoid opioids may be concerned about their reported association with autism spectrum features and withdrawn behaviors(22). CBCL scores of children in this study were included in 12 logistic regression analyses using a penalized likelihood approach designed for maximum likelihood estimation of rare events, reporting 96 p-values with no correction for multiple testing. The higher odds for autism spectrum and withdrawn behaviors ( $p=0.03$ ) resulted from 4 subjects in the intervention group and none in the historical controls. Even if one child in the control group or one less in the intervention group had a positive screen for these behaviors, then the reported group differences would have disappeared.

Clinical decision-making in medicine rests heavily on weighing trade-offs. For clinicians faced with the decision of treating moderate/severe and/or prolonged neonatal pain, the potential risks for long-term neurodevelopmental sequelae from opioids should not be considered in the risk-benefit equation(28). Just like changes in the gut microbiome or associations with future inflammatory bowel disease do not enter into the decisions for neonatal antibiotic use(29), similarly, concerns about anesthetic-related neurotoxicity in animals(24, 30) or humans(15, 31), or subtle findings from population-based studies of neurodevelopmental outcomes(6, 21, 22, 28, 31) should not interfere with evaluating the clinical need for opioid analgesia in that moment for any individual patient.

### Financial Support:

Grants from the *Eunice Kennedy Shriver* National Institute for Child Health & Human Development (R01 HD099296); and the Maternal & Child Health Research Institute supported this work. Study sponsors had no role in the design and conduct of the study; the collection, management, analysis, or interpretation of the data; the preparation, review, approval, or decision to publish this manuscript.

### References:

1. Serenius F, et al. for the Extremely Preterm Infants in Sweden Study Group 2016 Neurodevelopmental Outcomes Among Extremely Preterm Infants 6.5 Years After Active Perinatal Care in Sweden. *JAMA Pediatr* 170:954–963. [PubMed: 27479919]
2. Dance A 2020 Survival of the littlest: the long-term impacts of being born extremely early. *Nature* 582:20–23. [PubMed: 32488165]
3. Torchin H, Morgan AS, Ancel PY 2020 International comparisons of neurodevelopmental outcomes in infants born very preterm. *Semin Fetal Neonatal Med*:101109. [PubMed: 32354556]
4. Back SA, Miller SP 2014 Brain injury in premature neonates: A primary cerebral dysmaturation disorder? *Ann Neurol* 75:469–486. [PubMed: 24615937]
5. Chung EH, Chou J, Brown KA 2020 Neurodevelopmental outcomes of preterm infants: a recent literature review. *Translational Pediatrics* 9:S3–S8. [PubMed: 32206579]
6. McPherson C, et al. 2020 The influence of pain, agitation, and their management on the immature brain. *Pediatr Res*.
7. Carbajal R, et al. 2008 Epidemiology and treatment of painful procedures in neonates in intensive care units. *JAMA* 300:60–70. [PubMed: 18594041]
8. Anand KJS, et al. for the NEOPAIN Trial Investigators Group 2004 Effects of morphine analgesia in ventilated preterm neonates: primary outcomes from the NEOPAIN randomised trial. *Lancet* 363:1673–1682. [PubMed: 15158628]
9. Simons SH, et al. 2003 Routine morphine infusion in preterm newborns who received ventilatory support: a randomized controlled trial. *JAMA* 290:2419–2427. [PubMed: 14612478]

10. Bellu R, de Waal KA, Zanini R 2008 Opioids for neonates receiving mechanical ventilation. *Cochrane Database Syst Rev*:CD004212. [PubMed: 18254040]
11. Ikonomidou C, et al. 1999 Blockade of NMDA receptors and apoptotic neurodegeneration in the developing brain. *Science* 283:70–74. [PubMed: 9872743]
12. Istaphanous GK, Ward CG, Loepke AW 2010 The impact of the perioperative period on neurocognitive development, with a focus on pharmacological concerns. *Best Pract Res Clin Anaesthesiol* 24:433–449. [PubMed: 21033018]
13. Soriano SG, Anand KJS, Rovnaghi CR, Hickey PR 2005 Of mice and men: should we extrapolate rodent experimental data to the care of human neonates? *Anesthesiology* 102:866–868; author reply 868–869. [PubMed: 15791124]
14. Anand KJS, Soriano SG 2004 Anesthetic agents and the immature brain: are these toxic or therapeutic? *Anesthesiology* 101:527–530. [PubMed: 15277935]
15. Davidson AJ, et al. for the GAS Study Consortium 2016 Neurodevelopmental outcome at 2 years of age after general anaesthesia and awake-regional anaesthesia in infancy (GAS): an international multicentre, randomised controlled trial. *Lancet* 387:239–250. [PubMed: 26507180]
16. McCann ME, et al. for the GAS Study Consortium 2019 Neurodevelopmental outcome at 5 years of age after general anaesthesia or awake-regional anaesthesia in infancy (GAS): an international, multicentre, randomised, controlled equivalence trial. *Lancet* 393:664–677. [PubMed: 30782342]
17. Sun LS, et al. 2016 Association Between a Single General Anesthesia Exposure Before Age 36 Months and Neurocognitive Outcomes in Later Childhood. *JAMA* 315:2312–2320. [PubMed: 27272582]
18. O’Leary JD, et al. 2019 Influence of Surgical Procedures and General Anesthesia on Child Development Before Primary School Entry Among Matched Sibling Pairs. *JAMA Pediatr* 173:29–36. [PubMed: 30398535]
19. Deindl P, et al. 2013 Successful implementation of a neonatal pain and sedation protocol at 2 NICUs. *Pediatrics* 132:e211–218. [PubMed: 23733799]
20. Deindl P, et al. 2016 The implementation of systematic pain and sedation management has no impact on outcome in extremely preterm infants. *Acta Paediatr* 105:798–805. [PubMed: 26792117]
21. Giordano V, et al. 2018 Effect of increased opiate exposure on three years neurodevelopmental outcome in extremely preterm infants. *Early Hum Dev* 123:1–5. [PubMed: 29935388]
22. Steinbauer P, et al. 2020 Long-term impact of systematic pain and sedation management on cognitive, motor and behavioral outcomes of extremely preterm infants at preschool age. *Pediatric Research* 88:???
23. Deindl P, et al. 2016 The implementation of systematic pain and sedation management has no impact on outcome in extremely preterm infants. *Acta Paediatr* (in press).
24. Bhutta AT, et al. 2001 Interactions of inflammatory pain and morphine in infant rats: long-term behavioral effects. *Physiol Behav* 73:51–58. [PubMed: 11399294]
25. Cucina JM, Howardson GN 2017 Woodcock-Johnson-III, Kaufman Adolescent and Adult Intelligence Test (KAIT), Kaufman Assessment Battery for Children (KABC), and Differential Ability Scales (DAS) support Carroll but not Cattell-Horn. *Psychol Assess* 29:1001–1015. [PubMed: 27831726]
26. Hertzog ME 1981 Neurological ‘soft’ signs in low-birthweight children. *Dev Med Child Neurol* 23:778–791. [PubMed: 7198596]
27. Carbajal R, et al. for the EUROPAIN Study Working Group 2015 Sedation and analgesia practices in neonatal intensive care units (EUROPAIN): results from a prospective cohort study. *Lancet Respir Med* 3:796–812. [PubMed: 26420017]
28. van den Anker JN, van Dijk M, Tibboel D 2016 Impaired Neurodevelopmental Outcomes in Very Preterm Infants: Much Too Easy to Blame It Just on Morphine! *J Pediatr* 172:7–8. [PubMed: 26896158]
29. Li M, Wang M, Donovan SM 2014 Early development of the gut microbiome and immune-mediated childhood disorders. *Semin Reprod Med* 32:74–86. [PubMed: 24390924]
30. Duhresen L, et al. 2013 Effects of repetitive exposure to pain and morphine treatment on the neonatal rat brain. *Neonatology* 103:35–43. [PubMed: 23037996]

31. Schuurmans J, Benders M, Lemmers P, van Bel F 2015 Neonatal morphine in extremely and very preterm neonates: its effect on the developing brain - a review. *J Matern Fetal Neonatal Med* 28:222–228. [PubMed: 24670240]

Author Manuscript

Author Manuscript

Author Manuscript

Author Manuscript