Is Hepatocyte Necrosis a Good Marker of Donor Liver Viability During Machine Perfusion?

TO THE EDITOR:

We read with interest the paper by Kesseli et al., (1) which uses a primate nontransplant model to assess markers of nonviability of donation after circulatory death (DCD) livers during normothermic machine perfusion (NMP). They use histological assessment of hepatocyte necrosis as the basis of determining whether a liver is nonviable and conclude that lactate clearance during NMP is not able to differentiate between viable and nonviable livers.

We question this approach based on our clinical experience:

- 1. We have transplanted several donation after brain death (DBD) livers turned down for standard transplantation because of high donor aspartate aminotransferase levels, which underwent NMP and were assessed using viability criteria based on lactate clearance. Two of these cases are published to demonstrate not only that they do function following transplantation, but are suitable for high-risk recipients. Over 50% and 25% hepatocyte necrosis was present in the liver transplanted into the stable and unstable recipient, respectively.
- 2. In the VITTAL clinical trial, (4) 31 livers (14 DCDs and 17 DBDs), turned down by UK units for standard transplantation, were assessed by NMP using lactate clearance-based viability criteria. We found no significant difference in the amount of hepatocyte necrosis after 4 hours on NMP between the seven livers that failed viability criteria median (range) 0% (0%-40%) compared with the 24 that passed viability criteria 1% (0%-30%), with up to 30% hepatocyte necrosis present in livers transplanted successfully. However, within the DCD cohort, which had a median donor warm ischemia time of 21 (11-46) minutes and static cold storage time of 7 hours (5.5-10), the four livers failing viability criteria had significantly more hepatocyte necrosis 20% (5-40) than the 10 DCDs that passed viability criteria and were transplanted 1% (0-5); P < 0.023.

In conclusion, significant hepatocyte necrosis in DBD grafts can be successfully transplanted following NMP, indicating that hepatocyte necrosis *per se* is

not a reliable surrogate for viability of a donor liver. Viability criteria based on lactate clearance can identify hepatocyte necrosis in DCD livers. This suggests that different mechanisms of injury and repair are involved in DBD and DCD grafts.

Desley A.H. Neil, FRCPath 1,2 Hynek Mergental, PhD (D2-4) Angus Hann, MBBS (D2,3) Richard W Laing, FRCS 2,3 Hermien Hartog, PhD 103 Darius F Mirza, FRCS 12-4 M. Thamara P.R. Perera, FRCS 13 ¹Department of Cellular Pathology University Hospitals Birmingham NHS Foundation Trust, Birmingham United Kingdom ²Centre for Liver and Gastrointestinal Research Institute of Immunology and Immunotherapy University of Birmingham Birmingham, United Kingdom ³Liver Unit, Queen Elizabeth Hospital University Hospitals Birmingham NHS Foundation Trust, Birmingham United Kingdom ⁴National Institute for Health Research Birmingham Biomedical Research Centre University of Birmingham and UHBFT Birmingham, United Kingdom

REFERENCES

- Kesseli SJ, Gloria JN, Abraham N, Halpern SE, Cywinska GN, Zhang M, et al. Point-of-care assessment of DCD livers during normothermic machine perfusion in a nonhuman primate model. Hepatol Commun 2021 May 4. https://doi.org/10.1002/hep4.1734. [Epub ahead of print]
- Mergental H, Stephenson BTF, Laing RW, Kirkham AJ, Neil DAH, Wallace LL, et al. Development of clinical criteria for functional assessment to predict primary nonfunction of high-risk livers using normothermic machine perfusion. Liver Transpl 2018;24:1453-1469.
- 3) Hann A, Lembach H, Alzoubi M, McKay SC, Hartog H, Neil DAH, et al. Hepatocyte necrosis on liver allograft biopsy: normothermic machine perfusion is the ideal platform for using these grafts in high-risk recipients. Clin Transplant 2021;35:e14380.
- Mergental H, Laing RW, Kirkham AJ, Perera MTPR, Boteon YL, Attard J, et al. Transplantation of discarded livers following viability testing with normothermic machine perfusion. Nat Commun 2020;11:2939.

Authors names in bold designate shared co-first authorship.

HEPATOLOGY COMMUNICATIONS, February 2022

Supported by the Wellcome Trust (200121/B/15/Z) and Queen Elizabeth Hospital Birmingham Charity (Ann Fox Foundation Award).

© 2021 The Authors. Hepatology Communications published by Wiley Periodicals LLC on behalf of American Association for the Study of Liver Diseases. This is an open access article under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License, which permits use, distribution and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

View this article online at wileyonlinelibrary.com.

DOI 10.1002/hep4.1816

 $Potential\ conflict\ of\ interest:\ Nothing\ to\ report.$