

Citation: Young CS, Gobler CJ (2016) Ocean Acidification Accelerates the Growth of Two Bloom-Forming Macroalgae. PLoS ONE 11(5): e0155152. doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0155152

Editor: Thiyagarajan Vengatesen, University of Hong Kong, HONG KONG

Received: June 29, 2015

Accepted: April 25, 2016

Published: May 13, 2016

Copyright: © 2016 Young, Gobler. This is an open access article distributed under the terms of the <u>Creative Commons Attribution License</u>, which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original author and source are credited.

Data Availability Statement: DNA sequences have been submitted to Genbank to accession and have been assigned Genbank Accession #KU306346.

Funding: This work was supported by the Laurie Landeau Foundation to CJG, the Simons Foundation to CJG, and NOAA's Ocean Acidification Program through award #NA12NOS4780148 from the National Centers for Coastal Ocean Science to CJG.

Competing Interests: The authors have declared that no competing interests exist.

RESEARCH ARTICLE

Ocean Acidification Accelerates the Growth of Two Bloom-Forming Macroalgae

Craig S. Young, Christopher J. Gobler*

Stony Brook University, School of Marine and Atmospheric Sciences, Southampton, New York, United States of America

* christopher.gobler@stonybrook.edu

Abstract

While there is growing interest in understanding how marine life will respond to future ocean acidification, many coastal ecosystems currently experience intense acidification in response to upwelling, eutrophication, or riverine discharge. Such acidification can be inhibitory to calcifying animals, but less is known regarding how non-calcifying macroalgae may respond to elevated CO₂. Here, we report on experiments performed during summer through fall with North Atlantic populations of Gracilaria and Ulva that were grown in situ within a mesotrophic estuary (Shinnecock Bay, NY, USA) or exposed to normal and elevated, but environmentally realistic, levels of pCO₂ and/or nutrients (nitrogen and phosphorus). In nearly all experiments, the growth rates of Gracilaria were significantly increased by an average of 70% beyond in situ and control conditions when exposed to elevated levels of pCO₂ (p<0.05), but were unaffected by nutrient enrichment. In contrast, the growth response of Ulva was more complex as this alga experienced significantly (p<0.05) increased growth rates in response to both elevated pCO₂ and elevated nutrients and, in two cases, pCO₂ and nutrients interacted to provide a synergistically enhanced growth rate for Ulva. Across all experiments, elevated pCO₂ significantly increased Ulva growth rates by 30% (p<0.05), while the response to nutrients was smaller (p>0.05). The δ^{13} C content of both Gracilaria and Ulva decreased two-to-three fold when grown under elevated pCO₂ (p<0.001) and mixing models demonstrated these macroalgae experienced a physiological shift from near exclusive use of HCO_3^- to primarily CO_2 use when exposed to elevated pCO₂. This shift in carbon use coupled with significantly increased growth in response to elevated pCO₂ suggests that photosynthesis of these algae was limited by their inorganic carbon supply. Given that eutrophication can yield elevated levels of pCO₂, this study suggests that the overgrowth of macroalgae in eutrophic estuaries can be directly promoted by acidification, a process that will intensify in the coming decades.

Introduction

Ocean acidification is changing the chemistry of the ocean. Beyond reducing pH, the anthropogenic delivery of CO_2 into surface oceans this century will differentially effect various pools of inorganic carbon, with CO₂ and HCO₃⁻ expected to increase 260% and 20%, respectively, and CO₃²⁻ levels expected to decrease 60% [1]. As the total dissolved inorganic carbon (DIC) pool shifts towards these predicted values, marine flora and fauna are expected to have a varied response with lower availability of CO₃²⁻ inhibiting the growth of calcifying organisms [2–4] and higher CO₂ levels potentially benefiting some, but not all, photosynthetic organisms [2, 5–6].

The extent to which uncalcified marine macroalgae benefit from anthropogenically-induced changes in carbonate chemistry is complex and not fully understood. While CO₂ is an important carbon source for photosynthesis, the likelihood of elevated CO₂ benefiting autotrophs is partly dependent on photosynthetic pathways utilized by algae. C₃ plants that utilize RuBisCO as their initial carboxylating enzyme experience loss of fixed carbon due to photorespiration and may benefit from increased CO₂ concentrations since RuBisCO is not substrate-saturated at current CO_2 levels [1, 7]. In contrast, C_4 plants that utilize phosphenolpyruvate carboxylase (PEPC) experience little photorespiratory loss due to use of carbon concentrating mechanisms (CCM) and thus may not benefit from increased CO_2 since PEPC is substrate-saturated at current CO_2 levels [1, 7]. Marine macroalgae acquire carbon through direct diffusive uptake of CO_2 as well as active transport of CO_2 and HCO_3^{-1} [8]. Although the majority of macroalgae are C₃ plants, they often make use of CCMs and extracellular carbonic anhydrase (CA) to convert HCO₃⁻ to CO₂ for use by RuBisCO [1, $\underline{8}$ -10]. However, there is significant variation in the photosynthetic strategies employed by different macroalgae regarding the use of extracellular CA as well as the degree to which HCO₃⁻ and/or CO₂ can or cannot be utilized for photosynthesis [8]. Macroalgal growth in response to elevated pCO_2 can also be manifested through non-photosynthetic means. Webber et al. [11] and Roger et al. [12] found that acclimation to elevated CO₂ can result in decreased concentrations of RuBisCO, but results in an increase in soluble carbohydrate content that could enhance growth rates and alter the total carbon content of algal tissues.

Beyond the progressively increasing levels of CO_2 in the world's oceans due to the combustion of fossil fuels, there are strong sources of CO_2 in coastal zones [13]. One of the most prominent CO_2 sources in coastal zones appears to be eutrophication-enhanced microbial respiration [14-16]. The accumulation of respiratory CO₂ from the degradation of excessive organic matter can lower seawater pH and commonly result in CO₂ levels (>1,000 µatm) not predicted to occur in open ocean regions for more than a century [16]. The combination of excessive nutrients and elevated CO₂ could have a variety of impacts on primary producers. It has been well-established that with excessive nutrient loading, dominance among benthic autotrophs can shift from seagrasses to fast-growing, ephemeral macroalgae such as Ulva and Gracilaria [17-18]. Furthermore, some species of Ulva including Ulva. rigida and U. lactuca have been shown to experience increased growth under elevated CO_2 concentrations [19–20], while others have not [21]. Additionally, elevated CO₂ levels could aid in the assimilation of nutrients by Ulva [22]. Ulva is well-known for the formation of green tides along eutrophied coastlines such as Brittany, France, and Qingdao, China [23-25]. Common rhodophytes such as Graci*laria* have been shown to bloom in response to high nutrient concentrations [26] and, like some Ulva, may also benefit from elevated CO₂ concentrations, although this has never been examined. In general, the dynamics of macroalgal communities in response to eutrophication and elevated CO₂ are difficult to generalize, as both the slow- and fast-growing species have been hypothesized to benefit from elevated CO_2 and nutrients and studies assessing the response of macrophytes to elevated CO_2 have been limited [1].

The objective of this study was to assess how elevated concentrations of CO_2 alone, and combined with elevated nutrient levels, affect the growth rates of two common species of temperate, bloom-forming macroalgae; the rhodophyte, *Gracilaria*, and the chlorophyte, *Ulva*. The overabundance of these macroalgae is commonly interpreted as a symptom of eutrophication

and their overgrowth within estuaries can have a series of negative impacts on marine plants and animals [18, 27–28]. Macroalgae were exposed to ambient and elevated concentrations of CO₂ with and without nutrient enrichment during experiments performed throughout their growing season and their growth responses, δ^{13} C signatures, and elemental composition were evaluated.

Methods

Macroalgae Collection and Preparation

Macroalgae used for this study were collected from shallow regions of eastern Shinnecock Bay, NY, USA (40.85° N, 72.50° W; Fig 1) during low tide. Permission to access the water and collect the water and macroalgae was received from the Southampton Town Trustees, Southampton, NY, USA, who hold jurisdiction over Shinnecock Bay. Collections targeted large, well-pigmented, robust fronds of *Ulva* and *Gracilaria* that were transferred to dark, temperature-controlled containers filled with seawater and returned to the Stony Brook Southampton Marine Science Center within 15 minutes of collection. Individual thalli of *Gracilaria* approximately 5 cm in length were cut from the main plant and spun in a salad spinner to remove debris and epiphytes. Samples were then extensively rinsed with filtered (0.2 µm) seawater and placed into





doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0155152.g001

the salad spinner a second time to further remove debris, epiphytes, and excess seawater. *Ulva* samples were prepared by use of a small brass ring to cut circular sections approximately 3 cm in diameter from a singular, large sheet of *Ulva* with care taken to avoid the outer, potentially reproductive region of the plant [29]. *Ulva* circles were brought through the same cleaning procedures described for *Gracilaria*. Five additional circular samples of *Ulva* were created from the same vegetative plant and were placed between two transparency films and frozen for future analysis described below. All samples were weighed on an A&D EJ300 digital scale (± 0.01 g) to obtain initial wet weights in grams. To prevent desiccation, all samples were kept in individual, 100 mL filtered (0.2 µm) seawater-filled containers after spinning prior to use in experiments.

In situ growth experiments

In situ growth experiments with Gracilaria and Ulva were performed to assess the rate at which the macroalgae grew within the region of Shinnecock Bay from which they were collected. Experiments were performed monthly from June through November with two experiments performed September and October, for a total of eight experiments. Quadruplet, 0.25 m² incubation cages constructed from ~1 cm² wire mesh were attached to a four-armed (25 cm) umbrella fishing apparatus on a line with surface flotation and a bottom weight that kept cages suspended at 0.2 m [29]. Discrete and continuous measurements of light and temperature present during experiments were made using a LI-COR LI-1500 light sensor logger and HOBO pendant temperature and light loggers, respectively. Quadruplet thalli of each macroalgae species were placed in each cage for ~7 days after which the samples were recovered, brought to the lab, and rinsed, spun, re-rinsed, re-spun, and weighed as described above. Gracilaria samples were placed into small freezer bags for further analysis, whereas Ulva samples were placed between two transparency films and flattened with care to minimize folds. The surface areas of the experimental Ulva samples, in addition to the five initial Ulva samples were analyzed using SigmaScan Pro 5 [29]. Weight-based growth rates for both species were determined using the relative growth rate formula (growth d^{-1}) = (ln W_{final}-ln W_{initial}) / (Δt) where W_{final} and W_{initial} are the final and initial weights in grams and Δt is the duration of the experiment in days.

Assessing the effects of elevated nutrients and pCO₂

Parallel experiments were established to assess the effects of elevated nutrients and pCO_2 on the growth of Gracilaria and Ulva. Thirty-six, 2.5 L polycarbonate bottles were acid-washed (10% HCl), liberally rinsed with deionized water before use, and rinsed and filled with 0.2 µm filtered seawater from eastern Shinnecock Bay. Experimental bottles were placed in an environmental control chamber set to approximate the temperature (16-21°C) and light intensity (~450–500 μ mol s⁻¹ m⁻² on a 14 h: 10 h light dark cycle) present during in situ experiments and were randomly assigned, in triplicate (n = 3), to one of four treatments for each species: a control with ambient levels of pCO₂ (~400 μ atm) and no nutrients added, a treatment of enhanced nutrient levels (50µM nitrate, 3 µM phosphate), a treatment of elevated pCO₂ (~2000 μ atm), and a treatment of elevated pCO₂ and nutrient levels (~2000 μ atm, 50 μ M nitrate, 3 μ M phosphate). These nutrient and pCO₂ levels were higher than levels present at the collection site, but consistent with concentrations present in eutrophic US East Coast estuaries [16, 29]. Each bottle was aerated via a 1 mL, polystyrene serological pipette inserted to the bottom of each experimental bottle and via tygon tubing to an air source. Bottles were subjected to the control level of pCO₂ (~400 µatm) and elevated (~2000 µatm) via use of a gas proportionator system (Cole Parmer[®] Flowmeter system, multitube frame) that mixed ambient air with

5% CO₂ gas [3]. The δ^{13} C of this tanked CO₂ gas was determined to be -27.7‰ by syringe injection into a split/splitless inlet of a continuous flow gas chromatograph isotope ratio mass spectrometer (cf-GCIRMS, Finnegan MAT 253) using a 0.25µm x 30m poraplot column and a secondary standard referenced to V-PDB in the laboratory of Dr. John Mak (Stony Brook University). The mixtures of air and CO_2 gas were delivered at a net flow rate of $500 \pm 5 \text{ mL min}^{-1}$ through an 18-way gang valve into the serological pipettes that fit through an opening drilled into the closed cap to the bottom of polycarbonate bottles. This delivery rate turned over the volume of experimental bottles >100 times daily, ensuring that desired pCO₂ concentrations and pH levels were generally maintained [3]. Bubbling was established two days before the beginning of each experiment to ensure that pCO₂ concentrations and pH levels had reached a state of equilibrium and experiments persisted for ~ one week. Measurements of pH within bottles were made throughout each experiment using an Orion Star A321 Plus electrode (± 0.001) calibrated prior to each use using National Institute of Standards and Technology (NIST) traceable standards. Measurements using this pH meter were highly similar to and never significantly different from scale-adjusted spectrophotometric pH measurements made using *m*-cresol purple as described by Dickson et al. [30]. DIC concentrations in bottles were measured using an EGM-4 Environmental Gas Analyzer (PP Systems) system that quantifies DIC levels after separating the gas phase from seawater via acidification using a Liqui-Cel Membrane (Membrana) [3]. This instrument provided a methodological precision better than \pm 1% for replicated measurements of total dissolved inorganic carbon. The levels of DIC and pH within Dr. Andrew Dickson's (University of California, San Diego, Scripps Institution of Oceanography) certified reference material (Batch 138, 141) were measured during every analytical run as a quality assurance measure; analysis of samples proceeded only after complete recovery of certified reference material was attained. pCO_2 levels (mean of t = initial and t = final, Table 1) were calculated using measured levels of DIC, pH (NIST), temperature, and salinity, as well as the first and second dissociation constants of carbonic acid in seawater according to Roy et al. [31] using the program CO2SYS (http://cdiac.ornl.gov/ftp/co2sys/). The targeted levels of pCO₂ resulted in actual pCO₂ and pH values of $441 \pm 72 \mu$ atm and 7.9 ± 0.1 , respectively, for ambient conditions and $1941 \pm 141 \mu$ atm and 7.3 ± 0.1 , respectively, for the elevated CO_2 conditions (Table 1).

Table 1. Mean pH, temperature, salinity, pCO₂, DIC, and alkalinity present during experiments and starting dissolved inorganic nitrogen (DIN), and dissolved inorganic phosphorus (DIP) concentrations during experiments.

Gracilaria									
Treatment	рН	Temperature	Salinity	pCO ₂	DIC	HCO3 ⁻	Alkalinity	DIN	DIP
Control	8.23±0.02	18.4±0.1	29.5±0.8	327±58	1520±73	1380±73	1790±76	5.42±0.87	0.72±0.11
Nutrients	8.29±0.03	18.5±0.1	29.5±0.7	314±61	1400±68	1310±93	1720±71	55.42±8.86	3.72±0.58
CO ₂	7.37±0.01	18.6±0.1	29.8±0.6	2530±108	1760±60	1660±48	1710±59	5.42±0.87	0.72±0.11
CO ₂ /Nutrients	7.38±0.01	18.6±0.1	29.7±0.7	2380±114	1710±61	1630±49	1670±58	55.42±8.86	3.72±0.53
Ulva									
Treatment	рН	Temperature	Salinity	pCO ₂	DIC	HCO3 ⁻	Alkalinity	DIN	DIP
Control	8.27±0.02	18.5±0.1	29.3±0.8	329±55	1540±72	1380±70	1780±76	5.42±0.87	0.72±0.11
Nutrients	8.35±0.03	18.5±0.1	29.6±0.7	328±56	1440±57	1330±63	1750±89	55.42±8.86	3.72±0.58
CO ₂	7.37±0.01	18.6±0.1	29.7±0.6	2510±102	1770±70	1650±56	1720±70	5.42±0.87	0.72±0.11
CO ₂ /Nutrients	7.40±0.01	18.6±0.1	29.8±0.6	2300±163	1740±55	1650±46	1700±58	55.42±8.86	3.72±0.53

Mean values of pH (NBS scale), temperature (°C), salinity (g kg⁻¹), pCO₂ (μ atm), DIC (μ mol kgSW⁻¹), HCO₃⁻ (μ mol kgSW⁻¹), alkalinity (μ mol kgSW⁻¹), DIN (μ M), and DIP (μ M) for *Gracilaria* and *Ulva* for June through November experiments.

Values represent means ± SE. Data from individual experiments appear within S1 Table.

doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0155152.t001

Experiments began with the addition of nutrients and introduction of macroalgae into experimental bottles. Experiments were maintained for seven days, during which daily pH and temperature measurements of each individual bottle were made with the Orion Star A321. Continuous measurements of light and temperature present during experiments were made using a LI-COR LI-1500 light sensor logger and HOBO pendant temperature and light data loggers and continuous pH measurements were made within selected bottles using the Orion Star A321 pH meter. At the termination of experiments, final pH and temperature measurements were made and a final DIC sample from each bottle was analyzed as described above. After measuring DIC, each macroalgae sample was removed from their respective bottles and rinsed, spun, re-rinsed, re-spun, and weighed as described above. Gracilaria samples were placed into small freezer bags for further analysis, whereas Ulva samples were placed between two transparency films and flattened with care to minimize folds. The surface areas of the samples were analyzed using SigmaScan Pro 5. Weight-based growth rates for both species were determined as described above. Significant differences in growth rates during experiments were assessed using a three-way ANOVA within SigmaPlot 11.0 where the main treatment effects were pCO₂ treatment (ambient or elevated), nutrients (none or enhanced), and date of experiment.

Tissue analyses

Identification of macroalgae was based on morphology, microscopy, known biogeography, and DNA sequencing. Gracilaria tikvahiae is one of the most common species of red algae along the North American east coast, is the only *Gracilaria* species native to the Northeast US [32-34], and displays a distinct, continuous, phylogenic lineage across the Canadian-Northeast-Mid-Atlantic US region [35]. The morphology and pigmentation of *Gracilaria* fronds used in this study were fully consistent with prior descriptions of Gracilaria tikvahiae in the region [32-33, 35] and this was considered to be the species of *Gracilaria* used during this study. In contrast to Gracilaria, identifying Ulva spp. across the Northeast US is more challenging due to the cooccurrence of multiple, morphologically similar species [36]. For this study, selected frozen Ulva samples were dried at 55°C and then homogenized into a fine powder using a mortar and pestle. DNA from selected samples were extracted using the CTAB method and the quality and quantity of nucleic acids were assessed by use of a Nanodrop 2000 spectrophotometer [29]. Nextgeneration DNA sequencing of ITS1 and ITS2 regions of the ribosome of samples [29, 36] was performed on extracted samples using an Illumina MiSeq at the Molecular Research Laboratory (Shallowater, TX, USA). Forward primer 18S1763 (5 ~-GGTGAACCTGCGGAGGGATCATT-3 `) and reverse primer 5.8S142 (5 `-TATTCCGACGCTGAGGCAG-3 `) were used for amplification of ITS1 whereas for ITS2, forward primer 5.8S30 (5 `-GCAACGATGAAGAACGCAGC-3 `) and reverse primer ENT26S (5 `-GCTTATTGATATGCTTAAGTTCAGCGGGT-3`) were used [29]. The sequences in samples (Genbank Accession #KU306346) had the greatest similarity with Ulva rigida which has been previously identified in NY estuaries [29] and the US Northeast [36] and are synonymous with other Ulva spp. (Ulva lactuca var. rigida). Due to the plastic nature of macroalgal taxonomic nomenclature as well as the high similarity in ITS sequences among Ulva species [36-37] for the purposes of this study, we refer to these algae simply as *Ulva* and for consistency, refer to Gracilaria tikvahiae as Gracilaria.

For carbon (C) and nitrogen (N) analyses, frozen samples were dried at 55°C, and then homogenized into a fine powder using a mortar and pestle. The total tissue nitrogen and carbon content of the homogenized samples were analyzed using a CE Instruments Flash EA 1112 elemental analyzer [38]. Samples were analyzed for δ^{13} C signatures using an elemental analyzer interfaced to a Europa 20–20 isotope ratio mass spectrometer at the UC Davis Stable Isotope Facility [29]. Concentrations of nitrate, ammonium, and phosphate were measured using standard wet chemical methods [39].

Finally, isotope mixing models were developed to estimate the use of CO_2 and HCO_3^- during experiments. The models considered the δ^{13} C and biomass of macroalgal tissue before and after experiments, the δ^{13} C of the tanked gas used for experiments (-27.7‰), the δ^{13} C of the marine CO_2 and HCO_3^- pool (-10% and 0%, respectively; [40-42]), the fractionation of C during macroalgal uptake of CO₂ and HCO₃⁻ (-20% and -10%, respectively; [40-42]), the fractionation of C during conversion of tanked CO₂ bubbled into experimental vessels to HCO_3^- (+10‰, respectively; [40–42]), and the concentration of DIC with and without the addition of tanked CO₂ with the later providing an indication of the fraction of DIC contributed by the tanked gas compared to ambient air. We assumed that during the course of the experiment, the tanked CO_2 gas came to equilibrium with the total DIC pool and thus that the HCO_3^- pool took on a lighter $\delta^{13}C$ signature in a manner proportional to the fraction of the DIC pool comprised of tanked gas compared to ambient air. Next, since we dried and homogenized the entire experimental macroalgal fronds for subsequent analyses, we assumed that the δ^{13} C signature of the algal tissue was proportionally representative of the fraction of original tissue (pre-experiment) with its original δ^{13} C signature and that the tissue grown during the experiment would take on a δ^{13} C value representative of the CO₂ or HCO₃⁻ pool with a value made proportionally more negative by the tanked CO₂. Finally, two sets of mixing models were run for each macroalgal species to estimate their δ^{13} C signature based on using exclusively CO₂ and exclusively HCO3⁻ during experiments. One-way ANOVAs were used to assess the differences between the measured δ^{13} C signature of the macroalgae and signatures calculated based on exclusive CO₂ or HCO₃⁻ use and Tukey tests were used to assess differences between individual groups.

Results

Gracilaria

The in situ growth of *Gracilaria* in Shinnecock Bay was found to be highly similar to and not significantly different from growth rates within experimental control bottles with the exception of the August experiment, when experimental growth rates exceeded those in situ (Two-way ANOVA; p > 0.05; Fig 2; S2 Table). *Gracilaria* growth rates differed seasonally (Three-way ANOVA; p < 0.05; Fig 2; S2 Table). The experimental growth rates of *Gracilaria* were highly sensitive to changes in CO₂ concentrations (Three-way ANOVA; p < 0.05; Fig 2; S2 Table). For six of the eight experiments, the growth of *Gracilaria* increased significantly when exposed to elevated CO₂ concentrations (Tukey test; p < 0.05; Fig 2; S2 Table) with experiments during late September and late October being the exceptions to this trend. On average, growth rates under elevated CO₂ were 70% higher than growth under ambient conditions (Fig 2). In contrast, the addition of nutrients did not significantly alter the growth rates of *Gracilaria* or yield statistically significant interactions with elevated pCO₂ concentrations during any experiment (S2 Table).

The stable carbon isotope (δ^{13} C) content of *Gracilaria* was significantly reduced by exposure to elevated pCO₂, with the average δ^{13} C value of the ambient and elevated CO₂ groups being, on average, -13‰ and -21‰, respectively (Three-way ANOVA; p < 0.001; Fig 3; S2 and S3 Tables). The δ^{13} C signatures of *Gracilaria* were not altered by nutrients but did differ by experiment (Three-way ANOVA; p < 0.001; S2 and S3 Tables). Isotope mixing models demonstrated that when incubated with elevated pCO₂ concentrations, *Gracilaria* δ^{13} C signatures (-21‰) were significantly lower than values expected if their DIC was exclusively from use of HCO₃⁻ (-14‰) and significantly higher than expected from the use of exclusively CO₂ (-28‰; Tukey test;









p < 0.001; Fig 4; S2 and S3 Tables). Quantitatively, the model suggested *Gracilaria* was using equal amounts of HCO₃⁻¹ and CO₂ during experimental incubations with CO₂ (Fig 4).

The nitrogen content of *Gracilaria* during experiments was found to be significantly higher in treatments that received nutrients and was found to differ seasonally (Three-way ANOVA; p < 0.05; <u>S2 Table</u>). On average, ambient and elevated nutrient treatments were found to have tissue nitrogen concentrations of 0.029 ± 0.005 and 0.032 ± 0.004 g N per g dry tissue, respectively (Fig 5; S4 Table). In contrast, the carbon content of *Gracilaria* was not significantly altered by pCO₂ or nutrients, but did differ by seasonally (Three-way ANOVA; p < 0.05; Fig 5; <u>S2</u> and <u>S4</u> Tables). The tissue C:N ratio of *Gracilaria* was found to be significantly lower under elevated nutrient treatments (10.7 ± 0.2) compared to ambient nutrient treatments (12.3 ± 0.4) and differed seasonally (Three-way ANOVA; p < 0.05; Fig 5; <u>S2</u> and <u>S4</u> Tables). Tissue C:N ratio was not significantly changed in the CO₂ treatments (<u>S2 Table</u>).



Fig 4. δ^{13} **C mixing model.** δ^{13} **C** content of A) *Gracilaria* and B) *Ulva* exposed to elevated CO₂ conditions compared with the δ^{13} **C** signature expected from the exclusive use of CO₂ or the exclusive use of HCO₃⁻. Box plots depict the mean median (line within the boxes), 25th and 75th percentiles (lower and upper edges of the boxes), and 10th and 90th percentiles of the data (lower and upper error bars).

PLOS





PLOS ONE

Ulva

The growth rates of *Ulva* during in situ experiments did not differ statistically from those found within experimental control bottles except during experiments in early October and November when experimental control growth rates were greater than those observed in situ (Two-way ANOVA; p > 0.05; Fig 6; S2 Table). Ulva growth rates differed by experiment (Three-way ANOVA; p < 0.05; Fig 5; S2 Table). Ulva displayed more complex responses to nutrients and CO₂ concentrations during experiments compared to Gracilaria. During experiments in June, July, and late October, Ulva growth rates significantly increased in response to elevated CO₂ concentrations (Tukey test; p < 0.05; Fig 6; S2 Table). In addition, Ulva experienced significantly higher growth rates in response to higher nutrient levels during experiments performed during July and early September (Fig 6; Tukey test; p < 0.05; S2 Table). Finally, there was an interactive effect of CO₂ and nutrients during the late October and November experiments during which these two factors synergistically increased the growth rates of *Ulva* (p < 0.05; <u>S2 Table</u>). On average, for all experiments, *Ulva* growth rates when exposed to elevated CO₂ were 30% higher than ambient conditions (Fig 5; p<0.05; Three-way ANOVA; S2 Table) whereas the nutrients yielded a smaller, non-significant increase in growth rates (13%; Fig 6).

In a manner similar to *Gracilaria*, the δ^{13} C content of *Ulva* was significantly reduced by exposure to elevated pCO₂ (to -27‰) relative to control treatments value of -7‰ (Three-way ANOVA; p < 0.001; Fig 3; S2 and S3 Tables). Unlike *Gracilaria*, however, the δ^{13} C of *Ulva* was also effected by nutrients that yielded significantly higher values (-5‰) relative to control treatments (-7‰) and the δ^{13} C differed by experiment (Three-way ANOVA; p < 0.05; Fig 3; S2 and S3 Tables). Nutrients and CO₂ did not interact to alter the δ^{13} C of *Ulva*. Isotope mixing models indicated that when incubated with elevated pCO₂ concentrations, *Ulva* δ^{13} C signatures (-27‰) were significantly lower than values expected from the exclusively use of HCO₃⁻ (-12‰) and significantly higher than expected from the use of exclusively CO₂ (-33‰; Tukey test; p < 0.001; Fig 4; S2 Table). Quantitatively, the model suggested that for *Ulva*, during experimental incubations with elevated CO₂, ~70% of their carbon came from CO₂ and ~30% came from HCO₃⁻ (Fig 4).

Also similar to *Gracilaria*, the nitrogen content of *Ulva* was significantly higher in elevated nutrient treatments (0.022 ± 0.004 g N per g dry tissue) compared to ambient nutrient treatments, regardless of pCO₂ concentrations (0.019 ± 0.006 g N per g dry tissue; Three-way ANOVA; p < 0.05; Fig 7; S2 and S4 Tables). The carbon content of *Ulva* was not significantly altered by CO₂ but was significantly increased by nutrients and differed by experiment (Three-way ANOVA; p < 0.05; Fig 7; S2 and S4 Tables). Tissue C:N was significantly lower in the elevated nutrient treatments (16.9 ± 0.6) than ambient nutrient treatments (21.5 ± 1.6) and differed by experiment (Three-way ANOVA; p < 0.05; Fig 7; S2 and S4 Tables).

Discussion

During this study, elevated levels of pCO₂ were found to significantly enhance the growth rates of two bloom-forming, estuarine macroalgae, *Gracilaria* and *Ulva*. These enhanced growth rates were accompanied by large and significant reductions in the δ^{13} C content of the macroalgae. Concurrently, nutrients were found to enhance the growth of *Ulva* but not *Gracilaria*, and the combination of elevated nutrients and pCO₂ were capable of synergistically promoting the growth of *Ulva*. Given that elevated pCO₂ and acidification of coastal ecosystems are symptoms of eutrophication and that ocean acidification is enriching pCO₂ concentrations in these systems, this study provides new insight regarding the present and future overgrowth of macroalgae in estuaries.









The effects of elevated CO₂ concentrations on the growth of algae can depend on the precise carbon acquisition pathways utilized. C₃ algae can benefit from high CO₂ as their RuBisCO is not substrate-saturated at current CO₂ levels (~400ppm) [7, 43]. Many macroalgae use HCO₃⁻ rather than dissolved CO₂ under current seawater pCO₂ concentrations and utilize CA to convert HCO₃⁻ to CO₂ for use by RuBisCO [1, 8–10]. For example, Mercado et al. [44] found that the chlorophytes *Ulva rigida* and *U. compressa* (formerly *Enteromorpha*) do not receive enough CO₂ through diffusive uptake alone at current CO₂ levels and thus must use CCMs to acquire HCO₃⁻. However, when exposed to elevated pCO₂, macroalgae may down-regulate their CCMs, reduce the use of HCO₃⁻, and begin to rely on CO₂ as a primary C source [19, 45–47]. The energy made available from the down-regulation of the CCM may, in turn, be used for other purposes, such as increased vegetative growth [1] which we observed during this study.

Values of δ^{13} C are often used to assess the types of carbon utilized by macroalgae. The δ^{13} C of HCO₃⁻ is significantly higher (less negative) than that of CO₂ in seawater and values of -10%or higher in macroalgae are reflective of the sole use HCO_3^- and CCMs whereas macroalgae relying wholly on diffusion of CO₂ for carbon attain a value of -30% [40-41, 48]. When grown in ambient seawater, Ulva and Gracilaria had δ^{13} C values of -8 and -13‰, values indicative of exclusive and near exclusive (85%) HCO₃⁻ use, respectively [40-41, 48]. The use of tanked CO₂ gas with a known δ^{13} C signature (-27.7‰) permitted that CO₂ to be used as a tracer in mixing models and demonstrated that when incubated with elevated CO₂, both macroalgal species switched their primary source of DIC. For Ulva, the change was the most dramatic as the threefold decrease in δ^{13} C signature was indicative of these algae going from exclusive use of HCO₃⁻ to, on average, 70% of their DIC originating from CO₂ and only 30% from HCO₃⁻. For Graci*laria*, the change was less dramatic with but still notable as the alga went from $\sim 85\%$ HCO₃⁻ use under low pCO₂ conditions to 50% CO₂ use under high pCO₂ conditions. Given the switch to increasing CO₂ use by Ulva and Gracilaria and concurrent increase in growth experienced under elevated pCO₂ concentrations, these algae may have down-regulated their CCMs permitting more energy to be dedicated to vegetative growth [1]. The significant increase in δ^{13} C of *Ulva* when provided with nutrients further supports these hypotheses given that they experienced enhanced growth and presumably greater photosynthetic rates due to higher nutrient levels, causing a greater use of HCO_3^- via CCMs since additional CO_2 was not available [21]. Finally, there are additional factors that could contribute to lowered δ^{13} C values including preferential synthesis of lipids depleted in δ^{13} C compared to proteins and carbohydrates [49] although the extent of fractionated associated with this process is small compared to changes observed during experiments presented here. Hence, the change in δ^{13} C values during experiments suggest that when exposed to high concentrations of CO₂, these bloom-forming macroalgae obtained a significantly larger fraction of their DIC from CO₂ and often grew faster.

Elevated pCO₂ concentrations did not alter the rate at which macroalgae took up and stored carbon (C) or nitrogen (N). The lack of change in tissue C content is consistent with the findings of Gordillo et al. [22] who reported no accumulation of soluble carbohydrates and no change in tissue C content for *Ulva rigida* fronds exposed to pCO₂-enriched conditions. Despite the unchanged tissue C content, there were expected, significant increases in tissue N content within nutrient treatments. Both *Ulva* and *Gracilaria* have been shown to be able to rapidly assimilate and store nitrate [50–51] and have been shown to experience enhanced tissue N content when exposed to elevated levels of nitrate [28, 52]. While increases in the C:N ratio of macroalgae can reflect an increase in soluble carbohydrates during stimulation of growth rates in certain plants [53], during our study tissue C:N levels did not track growth rates. Given the observed changes in δ^{13} C during exposure to high pCO₂, we hypothesize that macroalgae responded to increased C availability by increasing, stoichiometrically-balanced growth rather than by storing more carbohydrates.

Eutrophication has been shown to promote coastal ocean acidification due to the accumulation of respiratory CO_2 emanating from the microbial degradation of the excessive organic matter [16]. The present study has shown that *Gracilaria* and *Ulva* are capable of enhanced growth under elevated pCO_2 levels and that Ulva can, on occasion, synergistically benefit from concurrently higher nutrient concentrations. Going forward, this finding may have broad implications as it demonstrates that, in some cases, the true impacts of elevated pCO_2 on macroalgae may only be realized when excessive nutrients are present. Prior studies have demonstrated that elevated CO₂ levels may have little effect on photosynthetic rates of some algae [10, 19, 47] but can result in increased biomass of *Gracilaria* sp., G. chilensis, and G. lemaneiformis [45-46] and Ulva rigida and U. lactuca [20, 22]. While Gracilaria can benefit from high nutrient concentrations [26], Ulva is capable of undergoing more rapid growth in eutrophic settings [29] due to a high maximum rate of uptake of ammonium and nitrate [17]. This was observed during the present study as Ulva growth rates were significantly higher than Gracilaria, and Ulva responded to nutrients more consistently than Gracilaria. Ulva is known to outcompete slower-growing algae in eutrophic estuaries, such as Saldanha Bay, South Africa [54], Britanny, France [25], and Qingdao, China [23]. The current study demonstrates that within eutrophied estuaries, seasonally elevated levels of pCO₂ may be equally or more important than excessive nutrients in promoting algal growth. For example, Gracilaria grew faster in the presence of higher pCO₂ levels but was unaffected by nutrients. Previously, it has been noted that more pristine estuaries are characterized by numerous, slower-growing macroalgal species while eutrophic estuaries are typically dominated by fewer, fast-growing, ephemeral macroalgal species [17-18, 24]. While nutrient loading and changes in light levels have been ascribed as the factors controlling these trends, the findings presented here suggest that elevated levels of pCO_2 may be equally or more important for shaping estuarine macroalgal community composition.

The extent to which elevated levels of pCO_2 affect the growth of macroalgae in estuaries will likely be influenced, in part, by physical mixing and circulation. In poorly flushed and/or mixed estuarine regions, diffusive boundary layers around seaweeds may limit DIC uptake [55–56] and thus higher ambient pCO_2 may be more likely to be beneficial. In contrast, in high energy environments with fast-moving currents or wave-flow, boundary layers are less likely to develop [55–56] and additional pCO_2 may be less likely to affect growth. During this study, macroalgae were vigorously bubbled at a rate that turned over the dissolved gas pool more than 700-times daily, a process that was unlikely to permit the development of boundary layers. This hypothesis is supported by the highly similar growth rates of thalli in a fairly high energy region of Shinnecock Bay during in situ experiments and in our control, experimental bottles for nearly all experiments. Hence, in our experiments, enhanced growth experienced during exposure to high levels of pCO_2 were more likely a consequence of an intra-cellular, photosynthetic benefit for the algae rather than changes in external conditions.

The full implications of climate change for macroalgal communities are not fully understood, as studies of the effects of processes such as ocean acidification, rising temperatures, and changes in nutrient loading rates have been performed on a limited number of species. Porzio et al. [57] examined >100 species of macroalgae near volcanic CO₂ vents in the Gulf of Naples, Italy, and found 20 species of calcium carbonate-containing macroalgae were no longer present under the acidification, whereas the ochryophyte *Dictyota dichotoma* and the rhodophyte *Hildenbrandia rubra* were most abundant within the high CO₂ environment. Other studies have similarly found that tropical calcifying macroalgae indigenous to coral reefs are likely to be negatively impacted by ocean acidification [58–60]. Connell and Russell [61] found that elevated CO₂ and temperature enhanced the growth of opportunistic turf-forming algae and that expansion of this algae inhibited the growth of kelp (*Ecklonia radiata*). As climate change processes promote increased pCO_2 , this and prior studies suggest that macroalgal communities may shift and favor rapid-growing and opportunistic species such as *Ulva*, *Gracilaria*, and turf algae, perhaps to the detriment of calcifying macroalgae and/or kelp.

The more rapid growth of some species of macroalgae will have important implications for other classes of marine autotrophs. The majority of seagrass species are C_3 plants that are not currently substrate-saturated at current CO_2 levels, with some, such as *Zostera marina*, showing enhanced photosynthesis and growth under elevated CO_2 concentrations [1, 5]. Elevated nutrient loading, however, typically favors the dominance of macroalgae over seagrasses, as macroalgae are more competitive for high nutrient levels and can overgrow and shade seagrass [18]. Beyond CO_2 , climate change-induced warming may further favor macroalgae among submerged aquatic vegetation as many temperate species of seagrass exist at or near their upper level of thermal tolerance [62]. Finally, although highly excessive nutrient loading in estuaries with extended residence times are thought to ultimately favor the growth of phytoplankton blooms over macroalgae, the ability of both *Ulva* and *Gracilaria* to allelopathically inhibit the growth of phytoplankton [63–64] may allow macroalgae to remain dominant in high nutrient, high CO_2 estuaries.

Macroalgal blooms can be harmful to marine life. Specifically, the overgrowth of macroalgae can cover critical benthic habitats and promote diel hypoxia/anoxia in estuaries [18, 28, 65] and Ulva has been shown to cause mortality in multiple calcifying animals including bivalves, barnacles, and larval crabs [27, 66–67]. Since these calcifying animals are also sensitive to high levels of CO_2 [3, 68–70] the stimulation of harmful macroalgae such as Ulva under elevated pCO₂ levels may represent a previously unrecognized, compounding environmental threat to some ocean animals. In contrast, other animals might benefit from predicted shifts in macroalgal communities. Some herbivorous fish of the families Blenniidae, Kyphosidae, and Siganidae selectively feed on filamentous and fleshy seaweeds such as Ulva [71] and Ulva lactuca can be an important nursery for juvenile blue crabs (Cahnectes sapidus) [72]. Furthermore, excessive nutrient loading generally enhances the nitrogen content and C:N ratio of macroalgal tissues, which could benefit herbivores feeding on such material [73]. Hence, while shifts in macroalgal communities caused by climate change and eutrophication may promote the prevalence of non-calcifying macroalgae over seagrasses and calcifying macroalgae and may be harmful to some marine mollusks, these shifts could benefit marine organisms that either graze on macroalgae or utilize it as a nursery.

Conclusion

This study demonstrated that two species of bloom-forming macroalgae experience fundamental changes in their photosynthetic physiology when exposed to high, but coastally realistic levels of pCO_2 that led to significantly enhanced growth rates. Regarding *Ulva*, concurrently enhanced pCO_2 and nutrient levels yielded synergistically increased growth. More studies are needed to understand the extent to which this phenomenon is applicable to other estuarine chlorophytes and rhodophytes as well as the ecosystem-wide implications of this phenomenon. Regardless, given that eutrophication can yield elevated levels of pCO_2 , this study suggests that the overgrowth of macroalgae in eutrophic estuaries may be promoted by acidification, a process that will intensify in coming decades.

Supporting Information

S1 Table. Values of pH (NBS scale), temperature (°C), salinity (g kg⁻¹), and pCO₂ (μ atm) for *Gracilaria* and *Ulva* for June through November experiments. Values represent means ± SE. (PDF)

S2 Table. Statistical analyses of variance for laboratory and in situ experiments (June through November 2014) for *Gracilaria* and *Ulva*. (PDF)

S3 Table. Tissue δ^{13} C content (‰) of dry tissue samples of *Gracilaria* and *Ulva* for August through November experiments. Values represent means ± SE. (PDF)

S4 Table. Tissue nitrogen content (g N per g dry tissue), tissue carbon content (g N per g dry tissue), and tissue C:N of dry tissue samples of *Gracilaria* and *Ulva* for August through November experiments. Values represent means ± SE. (PDF)

Acknowledgments

We gratefully acknowledge the assistance of Dr. Dianna L. Berry during the molecular identification of *Ulva* during this study. We are appreciative of the logistical support provided by the Stony Brook Southampton Marine Science Center staff. We thank Dr. John Mak and Dr. Stuart Waugh for performing δ^{13} C analysis CO₂ gas. We thank Dr. Theresa Hattenrath-Lemann, Master Andrew Griffith, and Master Ryan Wallace for helpful feedback. This manuscript was greatly improved by the comments of three anonymous reviewers.

Author Contributions

Conceived and designed the experiments: CJG CSY. Performed the experiments: CSY. Analyzed the data: CSY CJG. Contributed reagents/materials/analysis tools: CJG. Wrote the paper: CSY CJG.

References

- Koch M, Bowes G, Ross C, Zhang X-H. Climate change and ocean acidification effects on seagrasses and marine macroalgae. Global Change Biology. 2013; 19: 103–132. doi: <u>10.1111/j.1365-2486.2012.</u> <u>02791.x</u> PMID: <u>23504724</u>
- Doney SC, Fabry VJ, Feely RA, Kleypas JA. Ocean Acidification: the Other CO₂ Problem. Annual Review of Marine Science. 2009; 1:169–192. PMID: <u>21141034</u>
- Talmage SC, Gobler CJ. Effects of past, present, and future ocean carbon dioxide concentrations on the growth and survival of larval shellfish. Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United States of America. 2010; 107(40): 17246–17251. doi: <u>10.1073/pnas.0913804107</u> PMID: <u>20855590</u>
- 4. Gazeau F, Quiblier C, Jansen JM, Gattuso J-P, Middelburg JJ, Heip CHR. Impact of elevated CO₂ on shellfish calcification. Geophysical Research Letters. 2007; 34: L07603.
- Palacios SL, Zimmerman RC. Response of eelgrass Zostera marina to CO₂ enrichment: possible impacts of climate change and potential for remediation of coastal habitats. Marine Ecology Progress Series. 2007; 344: 1–13.
- Hattenrath-Lehmann TK, Smith JL, Wallace RB, Merlo LR, Koch F, Mittelsdorf H, et al. The effects of elevated CO₂ on the growth and toxicity of field populations and cultures of the saxitoxin-producing dinoflagellate, *Alexandrium fundyense*. Limnology and Oceanography. 2015; 60: 198–214
- Reiskind JB, Seamon PT, Bowes G. Alternative Methods of Photosynthetic Carbon Assimilation in Marine Macroalgae. Plant Physiology. 1988; 87: 686–692. PMID: <u>16666208</u>
- Badger M. The role of carbonic anhydrases in photosynthetic CO₂ concentrating mechanisms. Photosynthesis Research. 2003; 77: 83–94. PMID: <u>16228367</u>
- 9. Gao K, McKinley KR. Use of macroalgae for marine biomass production and CO₂ remediation: a review. Journal of Applied Phycology. 1994; 6: 45–60.
- Israel A, Hophy M. Growth, photosynthetic properties and Rubisco activities and amounts of marine macroalgae grown under current and elevated seawater CO₂ concentrations. Global Change Biology. 2002; 8:831–840.

- Webber AN, Nie GY, Long SP. Acclimation of photosynthetic proteins to rising atmospheric CO₂. Photosynthesis Research. 1994; 39: 413–425. doi: 10.1007/BF00014595 PMID: 24311133
- Rogers A, Fischer BU, Bryant J, Frehner M, Blum H, Raines CA, et al. Acclimation of Photosynthesis to Elevated CO₂ under Low-Nitrogen Nutrition Is Affected by the Capacity for Assimilate Utilization. Perennial Ryegrass under Free-Air CO₂ Enrichment. Plant Physiology. 1998; 118: 683–689. PMID: <u>9765554</u>
- Waldbusser GG, Salisbury JE. Ocean Acidification in the Coastal Zone: Multiple System Parameters, Frequency Domains, and Habitats. Annual Review of Marine Science. 2014; 6: 221–247. doi: <u>10.1146/annurev-marine-121211-172238</u> PMID: <u>23987912</u>
- Cai WJ, Hu X, Huang WJ, Murrell MC, Lehrter JC, Lohrenz SE, et al. Acidification of subsurface coastal waters enhanced by eutrophication. Nature Geoscience. 2011; 4: 766–770.
- Melzner F, Thomsen J, Koeve W, Oschlies A, Gutowska MA, Bange HW, et al. Future ocean acidification will be amplified by hypoxia in coastal habitats. Marine Biology. 2013; 160: 1875–1888.
- Wallace RB, Baumann H, Grear JS, Aller RC, Gobler CJ. Coastal ocean acidification: The other eutrophication problem. Estuarine, Coastal and Shelf Science. 2014; 148: 1–13.
- Pedersen MF, Borum J. Nutrient control of estuarine macroalgae: growth strategy and the balance between nitrogen requirements and uptake. Marine Ecology Progress Series. 1997; 161: 155–163.
- Valiela I, McClelland J, Hauxwell J, Behr PJ, Hersh D, Foreman K. Macroalgal blooms in shallow estuaries: Controls and ecophysiological and ecosystem consequences. Limnology and Oceanography. 1997; 42: 1105–1118.
- Björk M, Ramazanov Z, Pedersén M. Inducible Mechanisms for HCO3- Utilization and Repression of Photorespiration in Protoplasts and Thalli of Three Species of *Ulva* (Chlorophyta). Journal of Phycology. 1993; 29: 166–173.
- Olischläger M, Bartsch I, Gutow L, Wiencke C. Effects of ocean acidification on growth and physiology of Ulva lactuca (Chlorophyta) in a rockpool-scenario. Phycological Research. 2013; 61: 180–190.
- Rautenberger R, Fernández PA, Strittmatter M, Heesch S, Cornwall CE, Hurd CL, et al. Saturating light and not increased carbon dioxide under ocean acidification drives photosynthesis and growth in Ulva rigida. Ecology and Evolution. 2015; 5(4): 874–888. doi: 10.1002/ece3.1382 PMID: 25750714
- Gordillo FJL, Niell FX, Figueroa FL. Non-photosynthetic enhancement of growth by high CO₂ level in the nitrophilic seaweed Ulva rigida C. Agardh (Chlorophyta). Planta. 2001; 213: 64–70. PMID: 11523657
- Zhao J, Jiang P, Liu ZY, Wei W, Lin HZ, Li FC, et al. The Yellow Sea green tides were dominated by one species, *Ulva (Enteromorpha) prolifera*, from 2007 to 2011. Chinese Science Bulletin. 2013; 58 (19): 2298–2302.
- 24. Smetacek V, Zingone A. Green and golden seaweed tides on the rise. Nature. 2013; 504: 84–88. doi: 10.1038/nature12860 PMID: 24305152
- Perrot T, Rossi N, Ménesguen A, Dumas F. Modelling green macroalgal blooms on the coasts of Brittany, France to enhance water quality management. Journal of Marine Systems. 2014; 132: 38–53.
- Ye CP, Zhang MC, Zhao JG, Yang YF, Zuo Y. Photosynthetic response of the macroalga, *Gracilaria lemaneiformis* (Rhodophyta), to various N and P levels at different temperatures. International Review of Hydrology. 2013; 98: 245–252.
- Nelson TA, Lee DJ, Smith BC. Are "Green Tides" Harmful Algal Blooms? Toxic Properties of Water-Soluble Extracts from Two Bloom-Forming Macroalgae, *Ulva fenestrate* and *Ulvaria obscura* (Ulvophyceae). Journal of Phycology. 2003; 39: 874–879.
- Liu D, Keesing JK, Xing Q, Shi P. World's largest macroalgal bloom caused by expansion of seaweed aquaculture in China. Marine Pollution Bulletin. 2009; 58: 888–895. doi: <u>10.1016/j.marpolbul.2009.01</u>. <u>013</u> PMID: <u>19261301</u>
- **29.** Wallace RB, Gobler CJ. Factors Controlling Blooms of Microalgae and Macroalgae (*Ulva rigida*) in a Eutrophic, Urban Estuary: Jamaica Bay, NY, USA. Estuaries and Coasts. 2015; 38(2): 519–533.
- Dickson AG, Sabine CL, Christian JR. Guide to best practices for ocean CO₂ measurements. PICES Special Publication. 2007; 3: 191 pp.
- Roy RN, Roy LN, Vogel KM, Porter-Moore C, Pearson T, Good CE, et al. The dissociation constants of carbonic acid in seawater at salinities 5 to 45 and temperatures 0 to 45°C. Marine Chemistry. 1993; 44: 249–267.
- Schneider CW, Suyemoto MM, Yarish C. An annotated checklist of Connecticut Seaweeds. Connecticut Geological and Natural History Survey. 1979; Bulletin 108: 20 pp.
- Sears JR. NEAS Keys to Benthic Marine Algae of the Northeastern Coast of North America from Long Island Sound to the Strait of Belle Isle. Northeast Algal Society. 1998; 1878301039: 161 pp.

- Kim JK, Kraemer GP, Yarish C. Field scale evaluation of seaweed aquaculture as a nutrient bioextraction strategy in Long Island Sound and the Bronx River Estuary. Aquaculture. 2014; 433: 148–156.
- **35.** Gurgel CFD, Fredericq S, Norris JN. Phylogeography of *Gracilaria tikvahhiae* (Gracilariaceae, Rhodophyta): a study of genetic discontinuity in a continuously distributed species based on molecular evidence. Journal of Phycology. 2004; 40(4): 748–758.
- Hofmann L, Nettleton J, Neefus C, Mathieson A. Cryptic diversity of *Ulva* (Ulvales, Chlorophyta) in the Great Bay Estuarine System (Atlantic USA): introduced and indigenous distromatic species. European Journal of Phycology. 2010; 45: 230–239.
- Kirkendale L, Saunders GW, Winberg P. A molecular survey of Ulva (Chlorophyta) in temperate Australia reveals enhanced levels of cosmopolitanism. Journal of Phycology. 2013; 49: 69–81. doi: <u>10.1111/</u> jpy.12016 PMID: <u>27008390</u>
- Sharp JH. Improved analysis for particulate organic carbon and nitrogen from seawater. Limnology and Oceanography. 1974; 19: 984–989.
- Parsons TR. A Manual of Chemical & Biological Methods for Seawater Analysis. Revised ed. Philadelphia: Elsevier; 2013.
- Maberly SC, Raven JA, Johnston AM. Discrimination between ¹²C and ¹³C by marine plants. Oecologia. 1992; 91: 481–492.
- Raven JA, Johnston AM, Kübler JE, Korb R, McInory SG, Handley LL, et al. Mechanistic interpretation of carbon isotope discrimination by marine macroalgae and seagrasses. Functional Plant Biology. 2002; 29: 355–378.
- **42.** Mook WG, Bommerson JC, Staverman WH. Carbon isotope fractionation between dissolved bicarbonate and gaseous carbon dioxide. Earth and Planetary Science Letters. 1974; 22: 169–176.
- **43.** Gao K, Ji Y, Aruga Y. Relationship of CO₂ concentrations to photosynthesis of intertidal macroalgae during emersion. Hydrobiologia. 1999; 398: 355–359.
- Mercado JM, Gordillo FJL, Figueroa FL, Niell FX. External carbonic anhydrase and affinity for inorganic carbon in intertidal macroalgae. Journal of Experimental Marine Biology and Ecology. 1998; 221: 209– 220.
- 45. Gao K, Aruga Y, Asada K, Kiyohara M. Influence of enhanced CO₂ on growth and photosynthesis of the red algae *Gracilaria* sp. and *G. chilensis*. Journal of Applied Phycology. 1993; 5: 563–571.
- Xu Z, Zou D, Gao K. Effects of elevated CO₂ and phosphorus supply on growth, photosynthesis and nutrient uptake in the marine macroalga *Gracilaria lemaneiformis* (Rhodophyta). Botanica Marina. 2010; 53: 123–129.
- Cornwall CE, Hepburn CD, Pritchard D, Currie KI, McGraw CM, Hunter KA, et al. Carbon-Use Strategies in Macroalgae: Differential Responses to Lowered pH and Implications for Ocean Acidification. Journal of Phycology. 2012; 48: 137–144. doi: 10.1111/j.1529-8817.2011.01085.x PMID: 27009658
- Hepburn CD, Pritchard DW, Cornwall CE, McLeod RJ, Beardalls J, Raven JA, et al. Diversity of carbon use strategies in a kelp forest community: implications for a high CO₂ ocean. Global Change Biology. 2011; 17: 2488–2497.
- 49. Hoefs J. Stable Isotope Geochemistry. 6th ed. New York City: Springer; 2009.
- 50. Ryther JH, Corwin N, DeBusk TA, Williams LD. Nitrogen uptake and storage by the red alga *Gracilaria tikvahiae* (McLachlan, 1979). Aquaculture. 1981; 26: 107–115.
- Fan X, Xu D, Wang Y, Zhang X, Cao S, Mou S, et al. The effect of nutrient concentrations, nutrient ratios and temperature on photosynthesis and nutrient uptake by *Ulva prolifera*: implications for the explosion in green tides. Journal of Applied Phycology. 2014; 26: 537–544.
- 52. Naldi M, Wheeler PA. Changes in nitrogen pools in *Ulva fenestrata* (Chlorophyta) and *Gracilaria pacifica* (Rhodophyta) under nitrate and ammonium enrichment. Journal of Phycology. 1999; 35: 70–77.
- Fonseca F, Bowsher CG, Stulen I. Impact of elevated atmospheric CO₂ on nitrate-reductase transcription and activity in leaves and roots of *Plantago major*. Physiologia Plantarum. 1997; 100: 55–61.
- Anderson RJ, Monteiro PMS, Levitt GJ. The effect of localized eutrophication on competition between Ulva lactuca (Ulvaceae, Chlorophyta) and a commercial resource of Gracilaria verrucosa (Gracilariaceae, Rhodophyta). Hydrobiologia. 1996; 326/327: 291–296.
- 55. Wheeler WN. Effect of Boundary Layer Transport on the Fixation of Carbon by the Giant Kelp *Macro-cystis pyrifera*. Marine Biology. 1980; 56(2): 103–110.
- Koch EW. The effect of water flow on photosynthetic processes of the alga Ulva lactuca L. Hydrobiologia. 1993; 260(1): 457–462.
- Porzio L, Buia MC, Hall-Spencer JM. Effects of ocean acidification on macroalgal communities. Journal of Experimental Marine Biology and Ecology. 2011; 400: 278–287.

- Hofmann LC, Yildiz G, Hanelt D, Bischof K. Physiological responses of the calcifying rhodophyte, Corallina officinalis (L.), to future CO₂ levels. Marine Biology. 2012; 159: 783–792.
- Hofmann LC, Bischof K, Baggini C, Johnson A, Koop-Jakobsen K, Teichberg M. CO₂ and inorganic nutrient enrichment affect the performance of a calcifying green alga and its noncalcifying epiphyte. Oecologia. 2015; 177: 1157–1169. doi: <u>10.1007/s00442-015-3242-5</u> PMID: <u>25648647</u>
- Harley CDG, Anderson KM, Demes KW, Jorve JP, Kordas RL, Coyle TA. Effects of Climate Change on Global Seaweed Communities. Journal of Phycology. 2012; 48: 1064–1078. doi: <u>10.1111/j.1529-8817.</u> <u>2012.01224.x</u> PMID: <u>27011268</u>
- Connell SD, Russell BD. The direct effects of increasing CO₂ and temperature on non-calcifying organisms: increasing the potential for phase shifts in kelp forests. Proceedings of the Royal Society B: Biological Sciences. 2010; 277: 1409–1415. doi: <u>10.1098/rspb.2009.2069</u> PMID: <u>20053651</u>
- Short FT, Neckles HA. The effects of global climate change on seagrasses. Aquatic Botany. 1999; 63 (3): 169–196.
- **63.** Tang YZ, Gobler CJ. The green macroalga, *Ulva lactuca*, inhibits the growth of seven common harmful algal bloom species via allelopathy. Harmful Algae. 2011; 10:480–488.
- Lu H, Xie H, Gong Y, Wang Q, Yang Y. Secondary metabolites from the seaweed Gracilaria lemaneiformis and their allelopathic effects on Skeletonema costatum. Biochemical Systematics and Ecology. 2011; 39(4): 397–400.
- Valiela I, Cole ML. Comparative Evidence that Salt Marshes and Mangroves May Protect Seagrass Meadows from Land-derived Nitrogen Loads. Ecosystems. 2002; 5: 92–102.
- Magre EJ. Ulva lactuca L. negatively affects Balanus balanoides (L.) (Cirripedia Thoracica) in tidepools. Crustaceana. 1974; 27(3): 231–234.
- Johnson DA, Welsh BL. Detrimental effects of *Ulva lactuca* (L.) exudates and low oxygen on estuarine crab larvae. Journal of Experimental Marine Biology and Ecology. 1985; 86(1): 73–83.
- Ries JB, Cohen AL, McCorkle DC. Marine calcifiers exhibit mixed responses to CO2-induced ocean acidification. Geology. 2009; 37(12): 1131–1134.
- Findlay HS, Burrows MT, Kendall MA, Spicer JI, Widdicombe S. Can ocean acidification affect population dynamics of the barnacle Semibalanus balanoides at its southern range edge? Ecology. 2010; 91 (10): 2931–2940. PMID: <u>21058553</u>
- Long WC, Swiney KM, Foy RJ. Effects of ocean acidification on the embryos and larvae of red king crab, *Paralithodes camtschaticus*. Marine Pollution Bulletin. 2013; 69(1): 38–47.
- Tolentino-Pablico G, Bailly N, Froese R, Elloran C. Seaweeds preferred by herbivorous fishes. Journal of Applied Phycology. 2008; 20: 933–938.
- Wilson KA, Able KW, Heck KL Jr. Predation rates on juvenile blue crabs in estuarine nursery habitats: evidence for the importance of macroalgae (*Ulva lactuca*). Marine Ecology Progress Series. 1990; 58: 243–251.
- Hemmi A, Jormalainen V. Nutrient enhancement increases performance of a marine herbivore via quality of its food alga. Ecology. 2002; 83(4): 1052–1064.