ORIGINAL ARTICLE

Open Access



Temporal and spatial changes in cerebral blood flow in neuropsychiatric systemic lupus erythematosus: a subtraction brain spect study

Ana Carolina Trevisan^{1,5*}, Leonardo Alexandre-Santos^{1,5}, Rodrigo Luppino Assad³, Emerson Nobuyuki Itikawa⁴, Felipe Arriva Pitella¹, Mery Kato¹, José Henrique Silvah¹, Antonio Carlos Santos², Paulo Louzada-Junior³ and Lauro Wichert-Ana^{1,5}

*Correspondence: acstrevisan@usp.br ¹ Nuclear Medicine and PET/ CT Laboratory. Ribeirão Preto Medical School, Post Graduate Program in Internal Medicine, University of São Paulo, Ribeirão Preto, Brazil Full list of author information is available at the end of the article

Abstract

This study was addressed to evaluate the temporal and spatial changes in regional cerebral blood flow (rCBF) of patients with neuropsychiatric systemic lupus erythematosus (NPSLE). Our objective was to correlate the subtracted SPECT coregistered to MRI features (SISCOM) with demographic, clinical and laboratory findings to shed light upon the pathophysiological evolution of the NPSLE. Twenty-six NPSLE patients with MRI and pre- and post-treatment brain SPECT with [99mTc]Tc-ECD. SISCOM features were categorized as improvement, worsening, activation and/or deactivation of rCBF findings. Patients mean age of 43.19 years and 65.38% white were evaluated. The patients mean age at onset of SLE was 26.05 and 42.29 for NPSLE. The mean time between the onset of SLE and first NPSLE symptoms was 05.57 years. The disease has already been initiated as NPSLE in 4 patients. The SLEDAI average score was 31.69 and the SLICC/ACR-DI score was 06.96. The patients underwent an average of 09.23 cyclophosphamide. The SISCOM findings showed functional and pathological states on different brain regions. The rCBF changes were not associated with index scores. There was, however, a trend towards an association between lower SLEDAI scores with improvement and higher SLEDAI with worsening in SISCOM, Also a trend of association between lower SLICC score with improvement, and higher SLICC with worsening. The female gender was predictive of activation and worsening, separately, and deactivation and worsening in a set. Non-white patients were predictive of worsening. The seizure was predictive of deactivation separately, and deactivation and worsening in a set. Finally, normal C3 was a predictor of improvement. The present study showed dynamic brain changes in NPSLE patients. SISCOM technique showed improved rCBF in some brain areas, and worsening, activation and deactivation in others. There were associations between rCBF changes and gender, skin colour and complement C3 and association trends with SLEDAI and SLICC scores.

Keywords: Systemic lupus erythematosus, Neuropsychiatric form, Brain SPECT, SISCOM



© The Author(s), 2021. **Open Access** This article is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License, which permits use, sharing, adaptation, distribution and reproduction in any medium or format, as long as you give appropriate credit to the original author(s) and the source, provide a link to the Creative Commons licence, and indicate if changes were made. The images or other third party material in this article are included in the article's Creative Commons licence, unless indicated otherwise in a credit line to the material. If material is not included in the article's Creative Commons licence and your intended use is not permitted by statutory regulation or exceeds the permitted use, you will need to obtain permission directly from the copyright holder. To view a copy of this licence, visit http:// creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/.

Introduction

The systemic lupus erythematosus (SLE) is an inflammatory autoimmune disease that targets many organs and systems, including the nervous system. Neuropsychiatric systemic lupus erythematosus (NPSLE) can affect 12.00% to 75.00% of SLE patients and involve both central and peripheral nervous systems. Cognitive dysfunctions are the most common manifestations, followed by psychosis or mood disorder, cerebrovascular disease, convulsions and headaches (Jafri et al. 2017; Govoni et al. 2016). Although neuropsychiatric (NP) manifestations are frequent, they still constitute a challenge for behavioural and neuroimaging studies (Netto et al. 2013). NP manifestations can precede the onset of SLE or occur at any time during its course (Vandam et al. 1994), and can be single or multiple neurological events in the same individual (Liang et al. 1999). The two most important processes under the etiological aspect of NPSLE are changes in rCBF due to the occlusion of vessels that irrigate the nervous tissue, or interactions of autoantibodies with neurons and glia (Hirohata 2018). Despite the efforts to determine the diagnosis of NPSLE, there is still no standard gold method for the diagnosis and management of the symptoms presented (Appenzeller et al. 2007; Castellino et al. 2011).

The ACR committee responsible for the classification of syndromes in NPSLE considers that structural (Magnetic Resonance Imaging, MRI) and functional brain imaging (Single Photon Emission Computed Tomography, SPECT) may become the gold standard method for the classification of NPSLE and are essential components in some case definitions (Liang et al. 1999). The MRI is widely used to evaluate NPSLE patients, presenting variable sensitivity, being abnormal in 15.0 to 78.0% of patients, and having low specificity, being abnormal in 25.0 to 50.0% of patients with SLE, without NP manifestation (Zardi et al. 2014). It is quite useful in cases of focal impairment of NPSLE (Tan et al. 2018) and less effective in cases of diffuse involvement (Levy and Carvalho 2017). Another significant limitation is the difficult differentiation between new or active from old or sequel injuries.

The brain SPECT evaluates the regional cerebral blood flow (rCBF) and contributes to diagnosing cerebrovascular diseases, dementia, epilepsy, movement disorders and psychiatric, vascular and degenerative diseases (Matsumoto et al. 2017). It has been known that immune dysfunction in active SLE affects the brain by different mechanisms, either the presence of circulating autoantibodies, entry of pro-inflammatory cytokines and chemokines into the cerebrospinal fluid or even the rupture of the blood-brain barrier (Duarte-Delgado et al. 2019). Brain SPECT has shown abnormalities in the central nervous system (CNS) in the SLE (Appenzeller et al. 2007), with a higher correlation with clinical findings in NPSLE, than MRI. The most common SPECT findings in NPSLE patients are focal, diffuse or multifocal areas of decreased rCBF, related to the severity and activity of NPSLE. Lesions are commonly seen in middle cerebral artery territory, followed by parietal, frontal and temporal lobes, and basal ganglia (Long et al. 2018). In the context of the pathophysiological investigation, the subtraction ictal SPECT coregistered to MRI (SISCOM) can be used beyond epilepsy and may contribute to the temporal evaluation of brain injuries by NPSLE (Aupy et al. 2018). Only case reports have shown the role of SISCOM in NPSLE (Trevisan et al. 2019). The presence of SPECT changes with normal MRI may be predictive of CNS involvement even in the absence of current NP manifestations (Zardi et al. 2014).

This study was addressed to evaluate the temporal and spatial changes in regional cerebral blood flow of patients with NPSLE. Our objective was to correlate SISCOM features with demographic, clinical and laboratory findings to shed light upon the pathophysiological evolution of the NPSLE.

Patients and methods

Patients

Twenty-six patients with NPSLE were evaluated by the rheumatology division and the nuclear medicine and PET/CT laboratory of our university hospital. All patients were diagnosed by the criteria of the SLICC/ACR-DI (Systemic Lupus International Collaborating Clinics/American College of Rheumatology—Damage Index), and the disease activity was assessed by the SLEDAI index (Systemic Lupus Erythematosus Disease Activity Index). All patients underwent brain SPECT with [^{99m}Tc]Tc-ECD pre- and post-cyclophosphamide and methylprednisolone pulse therapy and in time close to the brain MRI.

Clinical, MRI and laboratory exams

All patients underwent clinical examinations, determination of anti-DNA antibodies and antinuclear factor antibody (FAN), and the majority of patients to the levels of serum complement C3 and C4, anti-P-ribosomal (P0, P1, P2), anti-Sm and anti-Sm/RNP antibodies, anti-SS-A (RO) and anti-SS-B (LA) antibodies, IgG and IgM anticardiolipin antibodies, b2-glycoprotein I (b2GPI)-dependent, anti-Chromatin antibody, anti-Nucleosome IgG antibody, anti-TPO antibody anti-Peroxidase and anti-β2-glycoprotein-I (B2-GPI).

MRI was acquired using the 3.0-T MRI system Philips Achieva (Philips Medical Systems, Best, The Netherlands), between 2010 and 2019, according to protocols specifically designed for brain studies: Dual echo FSE (fast spin echo) with 1 mm slice thickness, 3D T1-weighted, high-resolution 3D T1-weighted 3D MPRAGE, 3D T2-weighted images, 3D fast T2-weighted FLAIR with fat suppression, axial T2-weighted TSE, DWI (Diffusion Weighted Imaging), axial SWI (Susceptibility Weighted Magnetic Resonance Imaging), non-contrast-enhanced 3D TOF MRA (Magnetic Resonance Angiography).

SPECT protocol

All patients underwent brain SPECT after the intravenous injection of 1,110 MBq (30 mCi) of the tracer Technetium-99 m Ethyl Cysteinate Diethyl Ester ([99m Tc]Tc-ECD). Injection was performed when patients were at rest, with eyes open, in a quiet and darkroom, refrained from moving, talking and listening. SPECT scans were acquired in a double-headed SPECT/CT Philips Brightview XCT (Philips Healthcare, Cleveland, Ohio, USA), with a low energy high-resolution collimator (LEHR), photopeak centred on 140 keV and acceptance window of 20.00%, 64 projections per head over 360°, on a 128 × 128 matrix, acquisition time of 30 min and about 100,000 counts/projection/ head. Projections were reconstructed using the ordered subset expectation maximization (OSEM), applying Butterworth filter (order 2, cut-off frequency 0.28), and photon attenuation correction by uniform method (Chang, pixel size 2.13, coefficient 0.12/cm).

Images were then reconstructed in transaxial slices parallel to the orbito-meatal line, from which coronal and sagittal sections were produced.

SISCOM analysis

The SISCOM was performed using the ANALYZE © 10.0 Software (AnalyzeDirect, Inc., Overland Park, Kansas, USA), following the methodology adapted from previous studies (Wichert-Ana et al. 2008). The first and second SPECTs, whether pre and post-treatment, or performed between different clinical pictures, were registered using an alignment algorithm based on mutual information. The first SPECT was subtracted from the second one, and the signal difference was transformed into z-score maps, using the mean and standard deviation of the differences in all brain voxels, resulting in images of increase or decrease of rCBF. This quantification matrix was fused with the patient's MRI, in order to provide functional and anatomical information in the same image. After functional overlap, only images with significant rCBF changes, i.e. more than 2 standard deviations above or below the mean, were exhibited.

SISCOM findings were classified into four groups. *Improvement* was the group of patients who presented increased rCBF in the second SPECT on brain regions that showed decreased rCBF in the first SPECT. Clinically, it means a reperfusion of an ischemic or hypofunctioning brain region after treatment. *Worsening* was the group with decreased rCBF in the second SPECT on a brain region with normal rCBF in the first SPECT. Clinically, it means an ischemic insult or hypofunctioning status of a brain region after treatment. *Activation* was the group with increased rCBF in the second SPECT on a brain region that presented normal rCBF in the first SPECT. Clinically, it means a previously normal brain region that evolved to hyperemia or hyperfunctioning status after treatment. *Deactivation* was the group with increased rCBF in the first SPECT on a brain region that presented normal rCBF in the second SPECT on a brain region that presented normal rCBF in the second SPECT. Clinically, it means a previously hyperemic or hyperfunctioning brain region that evolved to normal status after treatment.

Statistical analysis

Statistical analysis was performed using IBM SPSS Statistics for Windows, Version 23 (IBM SPSS Statistics for Windows, Launched in 2015, IBM Corporation, Armonk, NY, USA). Binary logistic regression analysed if clinical, laboratory and complement (C3 and C4) variables were predictive of SISCOM findings. Only the variables that showed significant differences between patients with normal and abnormal evolution (p < 0.05) were evaluated. The Mann–Whitney test (Wilcoxon rank-sum test) analysed whether there were significant differences between nonparametric variables (p < 0.05).

Results

Demographic data

Table 1 summarizes demographic and clinical features of the NPSLE patients. Twentysix patients (19 females, 73.07%; 7 males, 26.93%), with mean age of 43.19 years (SD 11.33; 95% CI 38.61–47.77), ranging from 20 to 70 years, were evaluated. Sixteen women had normal pregnancy (69.56%), one had abortion (04.36%), and six were nulliparous (26.08%). Most patients were self-declared white (n=17; 65.38%), followed by mixed

Patients	Total	Amelioration	Activation	Deactivation	Worsening	Binary logistic	Binary logistic regres	ssion	Mann-
cnaracteristics	(07 =0)	(%60.76,61≡//)	(%CI.40.12%)	(n=8, 30.77%)	(n≡ b, ∠3.07%)	regression	Amelioration and activation	Deactivation and worsening	wniney lest
Sex, female/ male, n (%)	19 (73.07)/7 (26.93)	10 (66.67)/05 (33.33)	08 (66.70)/04 (33.33)*	05 (62.50)/03 (37.50)	04 (66.67)/02 (33.33)**	* $[\chi^2(1) = 5.804;$ $p = 0.041, R^2$ Negel- kerke = 0.267] ** $[\chi^2(1) = 9.781;$ $p = 0.008, R^2$ Negel- kerke = 0.475]	SZ	$[\chi^2(1) = 5.804;$ $p = 0.041, R^2$ Negel- kerke = 0.282]	1
Age (years), mean (SD)	43.19	44.13	40.91	43.48	43.03	NS	NS	NS	I
Race/ethnicity <i>n</i> (%) (Caucasians/non- Caucasians)	17 (65.38)/09 (34.62)	09 (60.00)/06 (40.00)	08 (66.67)/04 (33.33)	04 (50.00)/04 (50.00)	04 (66.67)/02 (33.33)*	* $[X^{2}(1) = 8.119;$ p = 0.015, R2 Negel- kerke = 0.406]c	NS	NS	I
Age at SLE onset (mean, years)	26.05	28.13	26.33	27.00	20.67	I	I	I	NS
Age at NPSLE onset (mean, years)	42.29	46.83	42.08	43.25	47.83	I	I	I	NS
Interval between SLE and NPSLE first symptoms (mean, years)	05.57	04.80	07.25	07.12	02.67	I	I	I	NS
SLEDAI, mean (SD)	31.69	33.80	29.83	29.00	35.00	I	I	I	NS
SLICC/ACR-DI mean (SD)	06.96	06.73	06.67	06.25	07.83	I	I	I	NS
Number of Pulse- Therapies, mean (SD)	09.23	08.80	09.92	09.50	08.33	1	I	1	NS
Normal MRI <i>n</i> (%)	06 (23.07%)/20 (76.93)	01 (06.67)	05 (41.67)	04 (50.00)	00 (00:00)	1	I	1	I
Abnormal MRI <i>n</i> (%)	06 (23.07%)/20 (76.93)	14 (93.33)#	07 (58.33)	04 (50.00)#	06 (100.00)	I	I	I	I
NP Manifestation n (%)	***								
Psychosis	17 (65.38)	08 (53.33)	10 (83.33)	06 (75.00)	03 (50.00)	NS	NS	NS	I

Table 1 Demographic, clinical and laboratory comparisons among patients with SISCOM

Patients	Total	Amelioration	Activation	Deactivation	Worsening	Binary logistic	Binary logistic regr	ession	Mann-
cnaracteristics	(97 = V)	(%60.16,61=U)	(%CI.04, 17)	(n=8, 30.77%)	(n=0, 23.07%)	regression	Amelioration and activation	Deactivation and worsening	wniney lest
Bipolar disorder	01 (3.84)	02 (13.33)	00 (00:00)	01 (12.50)	01 (16.67)	NS	NS	NS	I
Depression	07 (26.92)	03 (20.00)	05 (41.67)	03 (37.50)	01 (16.67)	NS	NS	NS	Ι
Vasculitis	02 (7.69)	02 (13.33)	00 (00:00)	00 (00:00)	02 (33.33)	NS	NS	NS	I
Seizure	08 (30.77)	04 (26.67)	03 (25.55)	02 (25.00)	02 (33.33)	NS	NS	NS	I
Anxiety	06 (23.07)	03 (20.00)	03 (25.00)	02 (25.00)	02 (33.33)	NS	NS	NS	I
Headache	02 (7.69)	01 (06.67)	01 (08.33)	01 (12.50)	01 (16.67)	NS	NS	NS	I
Epilepsy	01 (3.84)	01 (06.67)	00 (00:00)	00 (00:00)	01 (16.67)	NS	NS	NS	I
Stroke	01 (3.84)	01 (06.67)	00 (00:00)	00 (00:00)	01 (16.67)	NS	NS	NS	I
Autoantibodies—n (%)									
Native anti-DNA rea- gent/not reagent	13/13 (73.07/26.93)	06 (40.00)/09 (60.00)	08 (66.67)/04 (33.33)	04 (50.00)/04 (50.00)	02(33.33)/04 (66.67)	NS	NS	NS	I
ANA reagent/not reagent	19/07 (73.07/26.93)	10 (66.67)/05 (33.33)	10 (83.33)/02 (16.67)	06 (75.00)/02 (25.00)	03 (50.00)/03 (50.00)	NS	NS	NS	I
ACA lgG positive/ negative (RV>10 GPL/ml)	09/13 (30.76/69.24)	06 (37.50)/07 (46.67)	04 (3.33)/06 (50.00)	03 (37.50)/03 (37.50)	02(33.33)/03(50.00)	NS	NS	NS	I
ACA IgG not done	04 (15.38)	02 (13.33)	02 (16.67)	02 (25.00)	01 (16.67)	I	I	I	I
ACA IgM positive/ negative (RV> 7 MPL/ml)	12/14 (46.15/53.85)	09 (60.00)/04 (26.67)	06 (50.00)/03 (25.00)	02 (25.00)/04 (50.00)	02(33.33)/03(50.00)	NS	NS	NS	1
ACA IgM not done	04 (15.38)	02 (13.33)	03 (25.00)	02 (25.00)	01 (16.67)	Ι	I	I	I
P2GPI IgG positive/ negative (RV > 5 GPL/ml)	05/11 (31.25/68.75)	04 (26.67)/06 (40.00)	02 (16.67)/08 (66.67)	02(25.00)/03(37.50)	01(16.67)/04(66.67)	NS	NS	NS	I
P2GPI IgG not done	10 (38.46)	05 (33.33)	02 (16.67)	03 (37.50)	01(16.67)	I	I	I	I
P2GPI IgM positive/ negative (RV> 5 MPL/ml)	07/09 (43.75/56.25)	06 (40.00)/04 (26.67)	04 (33.33)/06(50.00)	01 (12.50)/03(37.50)	01 / 04(66.67)	NS	NS	NS	1

characteristics (n =15, 57.69%) (n =12, 46.15%) (n =8, 30.77%) P2GPIgM not done 10 (38.46) 05 (33.33) 02 (16.67) 04 (50.00) SS-B (LA) positive/ 01/06 (14.29/85.71) 01 (06.67)/06 (40.00) 00 (00.00)/05 (41.67) 04 (50.00) U/mi) SS-B (LA) not done 19 (73.08) 08 (53.33) 07 (58.33) 07 (87.50) U/mi) SS-A (RO) positive/ 03/07 (30.00/70.00) 02 (13.33)/08 (53.33) 07 (87.50) 07 (87.50) SS-A (RO) positive/ 03/07 (30.00/70.00) 02 (13.33)/08 (53.33) 07 (50.00) 01 (12.50)/04 (50.00) SS-A (RO) positive/ 03/07 (30.00/70.00) 02 (13.33)/08 (53.33) 06 (50.00) 01 (12.50)/04 (50.00) SS-A (RO) not done 16 (61.53) 05 (33.33) 06 (50.00) 03 (37.50) SS-A (RO) not done 16 (61.53) 05 (33.33) 06 (50.00) 03 (37.50)	15, 57,69%) (<i>n</i> = 12, 46, 15%) 3.33) 02 (16,67) 6.67)/06 (40,00) 00 (00,00)/05 (41,67) 3.33) 07 (58,33) 3.33)/08 (53.33) 00 (00,00)/06 (50,00)	(n = 8 , 30.77%) 04 (50.00) 00 (00.00)/05 (50.00) 07 (87.50) 01 (12.50)/04 (50.00)	(n = 6, 23.07%) 01(16.67) 01 (16.67)/01 (16.67) 04 (66.67)	regression NS	Amelioration and activation NS	Deactivation and worsening	Whiney Test
P2GPIIgM not done 10 (38.46) 05 (33.33) 02 (16.67) 04 (50.00) S5-B (LA) positive/ 01/06 (14.29/85.71) 01 (06.67)/06 (40.00) 00 (00.00)/05 (41.67) 00 (00.00)/05 (50.00) negative (RV > 20 01/06 (14.29/85.71) 01 (06.67)/06 (40.00) 00 (00.00)/05 (41.67) 00 (00.00)/05 (50.00) U/ml) S5-B (LA) not done 19 (73.08) 08 (53.33) 07 (58.33) 07 (87.50) S5-A (RO) positive/ 03/07 (30.00/70.00) 02 (13.33)/08 (53.33) 07 (58.33) 07 (87.50) negative (RV > 80 19 (73.08) 08 (53.33) 00 (00.00)/06 (50.00) 01 (12.50)/04 (50.00) negative (RV > 80 U/ml) 07 (30.00/70.00) 02 (13.33)/08 (53.33) 06 (50.00) 01 (12.50)/04 (50.00) s5-A (RO) not done 16 (61.53) 05 (33.33) 06 (50.00) 03 (37.50) S5-A (RO) not done 16 (61.53) 05 (33.33) 06 (50.00) 03 (37.50)	3.33) 02 (16.67) 6.67)/06 (40.00) 00 (00.00)/05 (41.67) 3.33) 07 (58.33) 3.33)/08 (53.33) 00 (00.00)/06 (50.00)	04 (50.00) 00 (00.00)/05 (50.00) 07 (87.50) 01 (12.50)/04 (50.00)	01(16.67) 01 (16.67)/01 (16.67) 04 (66.67)	- SN	- NS		
S-B (LA) positive/ negative (RV > 20 U/ml) 01/06 (14.29/85.71) 01 (06.67)/06 (40.00) 00 (00.00)/05 (51.67) 00 (00.00)/05 (55.00) U/ml) SS-B (LA) not done 19 (73.08) 08 (53.33) 07 (58.33) 07 (87.50) SS-A (RO) positive/ negative (RV > 80 U/ml) 03/07 (30.00/70.00) 02 (13.33)/08 (53.33) 07 (58.33) 07 (87.50) SS-A (RO) positive/ negative (RV > 80 U/ml) 03/07 (30.00/70.00) 02 (13.33)/08 (53.33) 07 (58.00) 01 (12.50)/04 (50 01 (12.	6.67)/06 (40.00) 00 (00.00)/05 (41 <i>67</i>) 3.33) 07 (58.33) 3.33)/08 (53.33) 00 (00.00)/06 (50.00)	00 (00.00)/05 (50.00) 07 (87.50) 01 (12.50)/04 (50.00)	01 (16.67)/01 (16.67) 04 (66.67)	NS	NS	I	1
S5-B (LA) not done 19 (73.08) 08 (53.33) 07 (58.33) 07 (87.50) S5-A (RO) positive/ 03/07 (30.00/70.00) 02 (13.33)/08 (53.33) 00 (00.00)/06 (50.00) 01 (12.50)/04 (50 U/4 (50 U/4)) negative (RV > 80 U/m) 05 (13.33)/08 (53.33) 06 (50.00) 01 (12.50)/04 (50 U/4 (50 U/4)) S5-A (RO) not done 16 (61.53) 05 (33.33) 06 (50.00) 03 (37.50) Complement—n(%) 05 (33.33) 06 (50.00) 03 (37.50)	3.33) 07 (58.33) 3.33)/08 (53.33) 00 (00.00)/06 (50.00)	07 (87.50) 01 (12.50)/04 (50.00)	04 (66.67)			NS	I
SS-A (RO) positive/ 03/07 (30.00/70.00) 02 (13.33)/08 (53.33) 00 (00.00)/06 (50.00) 01 (12.50)/04 (50 negative (RV> 80 U/m)) SS-A (RO) not done 16 (61.53) 05 (33.33) 06 (50.00) 03 (37.50) Complement—n(%)	3.33)/08 (53.33) 00 (00.00)/06 (50.00)	01 (12.50)/04 (50.00)	(·····)	I	1	I	I
SS-A (RO) not done 16 (61.53) 05 (33.33) 06 (50.00) 03 (37.50) Complement—n(%)			00 (00.00)/02 (33.33)	SZ	NS	NS	I
Complement — n(%)	3.33) 06 (50.00)	03 (37.50)	04(66.67)	I	I	I	I
C3 normal (RV 16 (61.50) 09 (60.00)* 07 (58.33) 02 (25.00) 0.9–1.4 U/ml)	0.00)* 07 (58.33)	02 (25.00)	06 (100.00)	$*[X^2(1) = 6.168;$ $p = 0.021, R^2$ Negel- kerke = 0.303]	NS	NS	I
C3 altered / not done 08 (30.76)/02 (07.69) 03/02 (13.33) 05 (41.67)/00 (00.00) 06 (75.00)/00 (00	2 (13.33) 05 (41.67)/00 (00.00)	06 (75.00)/00 (00.00)	00 (00:00)/00 (00:00)	I	I	I	I
C4 normal (RV 16 (61.50) 10 (66.67) 07 (58.33) 06 (75.00) 0.1–0.4 U/ml)	6.67) 07 (58.33)	06 (75.00)	04 (66.67)	NS	NS	NS	I
C4 altered / not done 08 (30.76)/02 (07.69) 04 (26.67)/01 (06.67) 05 (41.67)/00 (00.00) 02 (25.00)/00 (00	6.67)/01 (06.67) 05 (41.67)/00 (00.00)	02 (25.00)/00 (00.00)	02 (33.33)	1	I	I	I

SD standard deviation, NS not significant, SLE systemic lupus erythematosus, NPSLE neuropsychiatric systemic lupus erythematosus, SLEDAI systemic lupus erythematosus disease activity index, SLICCACR-DI S Lupus International Collaborating Clinics/American College of Rheumatology * Chi-square test $p = 0,05$

Table 1 (continued)

race, brown colour, and black (non-white; n = 9; 34.62%). The patient's mean age at onset of SLE was 26.05 (SD 11.04) years, ranging from 8 to 51 years, and for NPSLE was 42.29 (SD 13.49) years, ranging from 11 to 59 years. The mean time between the onset of SLE and first NPSLE symptoms was 05.57 (SD 5.02) years, ranging from zero to 22 years. The disease has already been initiated as NPSLE in 04 patients (15.38%).

Clinical and laboratory data

The average score on SLEDAI was 31.69 (SD 10.33; 95% CI 27.51–35.86), ranging from 11 to 51 scores, and the SLICC/ACR-DI score was 06.96 (SD 2.37; 95% CI 6.00–7.92), ranging from 2 to 11 scores. The patients underwent an average of 09.23 pulse therapy sessions (SD 3.12; 95% CI 7.96–10.49), ranging from 4 to 15 sessions.

Seventeen (65.38%) patients presented psychosis, 01 (03.84%) bipolar disorder, 07 (26.92%) depression, 02 (07.69) vasculitis, 08 (30.77) seizure, 06 (23.07) anxiety, 02 (07.69) headache, 01 (03.84) epilepsy and 01 (03.84) stroke.

All patients underwent native anti-DNA and ANA laboratory tests. For Native anti-DNA and ANA, 13 (50%) and 19 (73.07%) patients were reactive, respectively. For ACA IgG and IgM, nine (30.76%) and 13 (50.09%) patients were positive, respectively. For anti- β 2GPI IgG and IgM, five (31.25%) and seven (43.75%) patients were positive, respectively. For anti-SS-B (LA) and anti-SS-A (RO), one (14.29%) and three (30.00%) patients were also positive, respectively. Complement levels were altered in 11 (42.31%) patients for C3, and in 8 (30.77%) for C4.

SISCOM findings

The MRI was normal in 6 (23.08%) patients and abnormal or with nonspecific findings in another 20 (76.92%). SISCOM agreed with MRI findings in 13 patients (50.00%). None of the NP manifestations correlated with the SISCOM findings, i.e. the evolution of rCBF changes (SISCOM) was not influenced by the type of NP manifestation.

Regarding the SISCOM findings, 15 (57.69%) patients presented improvement, 12 (46.15%) activation, 8 (30.77%) deactivation and 6 (23.07%) presented worsening. These categories were not associated with the degree of activity [SLEDAI, Mann–Whitney test; improvement, U=60.5000, p=0.252; activation, U=66,000, p=0.353; deactivation, U=51,500, p=0.254 and worsening, U=51,000, p=0.583] or disease-related damage [SLICC, Mann Whitney Test; improvement, U=65,500, p=0.371; activation, U=67,000, p=0.375; deactivation, U=47,500, p=0.167 and worsening, U=56,000, p=0.805]. However, there was a trend towards an association between lower disease activity (SLEDAI 33.80) and improvement, and greater activity (SLEDAI 35.00) with worsening [Mann Whitney test, U=56,000; p=0.072]. There was also a trend of association between lower damage associated with LESNP (SLICC 6.73) with improvement, and greater damage (SLICC 7.83) with worsening rCBF (Mann–Whitney test, U=56,000, p=0.085).

Binary logistic regression showed that the model containing female gender was significant for activation [$X^2(1) = 5.804$; p = 0.041, R^2 Negelkerke = 0.267] and worsening [$X^2(1) = 9.781$; p = 0.008, R^2 Negelkerke = 0.475] in SISCOM, but not significant for amelioration and deactivation. The female gender was predictive of activation (OR = 0.091; IC 95% = 0.009-0.906) and worsening (OR = 28.333; IC 95% = 2.389-336.008), but not

predictive of amelioration or deactivation. The model containing female gender was also significant for the finding set of deactivation and worsening $[X^2(1)=5.804; p=0.041, R^2 \text{ Negelkerke}=0.282]$, but not significant for the set of activation and worsening. The female gender was predictive of the deactivation and worsening set (OR=11,000; IC 95%=1.103-109.674), and not predictive of the activation and worsening set.

Binary logistic regression showed that the model containing non-white races was significant for worsening in the SISCOM [$X^2(1) = 7.279$; p = 0.020, R^2 Negelkerke = 0.387], but not for amelioration, activation or deactivation. Non-white races were predictive of worsening in SISCOM (OR = 17,500; IC 95% = 1.560–196.319), but not predictive of improvement, activation or deactivation.

Binary logistic regression of the 24 patients who measured the presence of complement C3 showed that the model containing the normal result (RV 0.9–1.4 U / ml) was significant for improvement in SISCOM [$X^2(1) = 7.279$; p = 0.021, R^2 Negelkerke = 0.303], but not for the worsening, activation or deactivation. Normal C3 was a significant predictor of improvement in SISCOM (OR = 8.889; IC 95% = 1.397—56.575), but not for worsening, activation or deactivation. Normal C4 was not a significant predictor of any SISCOM finding.

Binary logistic regression of the NP manifestations showed a trend between seizure and deactivation and worsening group $[X^2(1)=3.798; p=0.062, R^2 \text{ Negelkerke}=0.185; OR=5.133; IC 95\%=0.922-28.570]$ and deactivation group $[X^2(1)=3.665; p=0.096, R^2 \text{ Negelkerke}=0.185; OR=7.000; IC 95\%=0.709-69.121]$, but not for the amelioration, activation and worsening (Fig. 1).

Discussion

The present study evaluated the temporal and spatial changes of rCBF through subtracted serial brain SPECT in patients with NPSLE. The SISCOM findings showed functional (activation, deactivation) and pathological (improvement, worsening) states on different brain regions. The rCBF changes were not associated with SLEDAI or SLICC scores. There was, however, a trend towards an association between lower SLEDAI score with improvement, and higher SLEDAI with worsening in SISCOM; also, a trend of association between lower SLICC score with improvement, and higher SLICC with worsening in SISCOM. The female gender was predictive of activation and worsening, separately, and deactivation and worsening in a set. Non-white races were predictive of worsening in SISCOM. Finally, normal C3 was a predictor of improvement in SISCOM after treatment.

The SISCOM showed that 57.69% of patients presented improvement, 46.15% activation, 30.77% deactivation and 23.07% presented worsening of rCBF. These findings agreed with MRI in only 50% of patients. None of the neuropsychiatric manifestations correlated with the SISCOM findings. A correlation between focal lesions on MRI and areas of decreased rCBF in SPECT have been reported in 38.70% of patients with LESNP, and a lack of correlation in 61.29% (Oku et al. 2003). A previous study showed decreased rCBF in 90% of patients with NPSLE and in 20.00% of patients with SLE only (Huang et al. 2002). Most SPECT changes have been observed before treatment, notably in the parietal lobes (91.70%) and less in the cerebellum (25.00%), with complete recovery of rCBF after therapy in 83.30% of NPSLE patients (Liu et al. 2003). Nine of our 26 patients presented decreased rCBF in 9 (Bases).



after treatment. In NPSLE patients, bilateral decreased rCBF was found in frontal lobes in up to 81.10% of patients with cognitive impairment (Driver et al. 2008), and decreased rCBF in the precuneus in those with memory impairment (Oh et al. 2011). Previously, we reported an aphasic female NPSLE patient who evolved with reperfusion in the Broca's area after a vasculitic insult, documented by SISCOM (Trevisan et al. 2019).

The effect of gender on NPSLE may be related to genetic and environmental factors (Ginzler and Dooley 2014; Isenberg 2012), and female sex hormones (oestrogen), on the immune system (O'Neill and Cervera 2010). The female predominance is 9:1 (Falasinnu et al. 2018; Nusbaum et al. 2020), but in our study it was 3:1. Our female sample showed more functional activation in the second SPECT, and consequently in SISCOM, but with decreased rCBF compared to the male sample. This finding contrasts previous studies where men with SLE form an unusual group of inflammatory disease, more aggressive, with acute psychosis and seizure, and more severe sequelae than women (Falasinnu et al. 2018; Lisnevskaia et al. 2014; Rees et al. 2017).

The incidence and prevalence of SLE are higher in non-Caucasian (African, African-American and Hispanic) than Caucasian (white) races (O'Neill and Cervera 2010; Lisnevskaia et al. 2014). Our non-white patients showed worsening rCBF in SISCOM compared to white. Genetic studies point to genetically determined ancestry and environmental factors as responsible for these ethnicity-related biological processes (Lewis and Jawad 2017). There has been a considerable increase in SLE rates in non-white patients recently, which may increase diagnostic and therapeutic challenges (Phuti et al. 2019).

Complement C3 is a complex system of proteins associated with cell membranes, contributing to the clearance of immune complexes and inflammatory processes. C3 deficiency is associated with severe inflammatory tissue destruction in NPSLE (Dossantos and Wiethölter 2021; Utiyama et al. 2004). This deficiency compromises the activities related to opsonization and phagocytosis, causing greater susceptibility to infections and being related to the worsening of NPSLE. Thus, normal C3 dosage favours the improvement in the inflammation process in these patients (Utiyama et al. 2004). In our study, normal C3 was interestingly associated with improved rCBF in SISCOM, confirming the role of C3 in the resolution of neuroinflammation in NPSLE.

Limitations of the study

In our study, the lack of correlation between SISCOM findings and neuropsychiatric manifestations, and only trends of association between the SLEDAI and SLICC scores with SISCOM may be due to the small sample of patients. It was not possible to compare our NPSLE patients with those with only SLE. Our study was retrospective and the SPECT was successfully used for more than a decade to evaluate NPSLE patients only.

Conclusions

The present study showed dynamic brain changes in cerebral blood flow in NPSLE patients. SISCOM technique showed improved rCBF in some brain areas, and worsening, activation and deactivation in others. There were associations between rCBF changes and gender, races and complement C3, and association trends with SLEDAI and SLICC scores. Future studies should correlate these rCBFs with neuropsychiatric symptoms in larger samples and perform clinical trials that evaluate the efficacy of therapies in light of rCBF changes.

Acknowledgements

The authors gratefully acknowledge financial support from: (a) grant #2015/50089-3 and grant #2013/25987-2, São Paulo Research Foundation (FAPESP); (b) grant #425775/2016-0, The National Council for Scientific and Technological Development (CNPq); (c) Center for Interdisciplinary Research on Applied Neurosciences (NAPNA), Provost's Office for Research, University of São Paulo (USP), Grant# 2011.1.9333.1.3.

Authors' contributions

All authors listed have contributed sufficiently to the investigation of the patient evaluation and to this manuscript writing be included as authors. In details, they contributed evaluating and investigating the images, performing the critical analysis of SISCOM reconstruction, processing and analysing SPECT AND MRI and drafting the article, revising it critically for important intellectual content and final approval of the version to be published (all authors). All authors read and approved the final manuscript.

Funding

None of funding agencies played a role in the design, data collection, management, analysis, interpretation of the data, and preparation, review or approval of the manuscript. We confirm that we have read the journal's position on issues involved in ethical publication and affirm that this study has the approval of our institutional ethics committee.

Availability of data and materials

All data generated or analysed during this study are included in this published article [and its supplementary information files].

Declarations

Ethics approval and consent to participate

As the project data were retrospective, the application of the free and informed consent term was waived in accordance with the ethical standards of the institutional and national research committee.

Consent for publication

Not applicable.

Competing interests

The authors declare that they have no competing interests.

Author details

¹Nuclear Medicine and PET/CT Laboratory. Ribeirão Preto Medical School, Post Graduate Program in Internal Medicine, University of São Paulo, Ribeirão Preto, Brazil. ²Magnetic Resonance Laboratory, Department of Medical Imaging, Hematology, and Clinical Oncology Ribeirão Preto Medical School, University of São Paulo, Ribeirão Preto, Brazil. ³Division of Rheumatology, Department of Internal Medicine, Ribeirão Preto Medical School, University of São Paulo, Ribeirão Preto, Brazil. ⁴Physics Institute, Federal University of Goiás, Goiânia, Goiás, Brazil. ⁵Inter-units Bioengineering Postgraduate Program, University of São Paulo, São Carlos School of Engineering / USP, São Carlos, SP, Brazil.

Received: 1 July 2021 Accepted: 13 September 2021 Published online: 04 November 2021

References

- Appenzeller S, Amorim BJ, Ramos CD, Rio PA, de C Etchebehere ECS, Camargo EE, et al (2007) Voxel-based morphometry of brain SPECT can detect the presence of active central nervous system involvement in systemic lupus erythematosus. Rheumatology 46(3):467–472. https://doi.org/10.1093/rheumatology/kel255
- Aupy J, Wongwiangjunt S, Wang ZI, Wu G, Alexopoulos A (2018) Subcortical SISCOM hyperperfusion: should we pay more attention to it? Seizure 62:43–48. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.seizure.2018.09.017
- Castellino G, Bortoluzzi A, Padovan M, Borrelli M, Feggi L, Govoni M (2011) Repeated brain conventional MRI and SPECT evaluation in systemic lupus erythematosus patients with and without neuropsychiatric involvement: a follow up study. Lupus 20(13):1387–1395. https://doi.org/10.1177/0961203311415304
- dos Santos VS, Wiethölter P (2021) Contribuições da engenharia genética no tratamento de doenças / contributions of genetic engineering in the treatment of diseases, vol. 7. Braz J Dev. pp 31157–31176. https://doi.org/10.34117/bjdv7 n3-711
- Driver CB, Wallace DJ, Lee JC, Forbess CJ, Pourrabbani S, Minoshima S et al (2008) Clinical validation of the watershed sign as a marker for neuropsychiatric systemic lupus erythematosus. Arthritis Rheum 59(3):332–337. https://doi.org/10.1002/art. 23308
- Duarte-Delgado NP, Vásquez G, Ortiz-Reyes BL (2019) Blood-brain barrier disruption and neuroinflammation as pathophysiological mechanisms of the diffuse manifestations of neuropsychiatric systemic lupus erythematosus, vol. 18. Autoimmun Rev. pp 426–432. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.autrev.2018.12.004
- Falasinnu T, Chaichian Y, Bass MB, Simard JF (2018) The representation of gender and race/ethnic groups in randomized clinical trials of individuals with systemic lupus erythematosus. Curr Rheumatol Rep 20(4):20. https://doi.org/10.1007/s11926-018-0728-2
- Ginzler EM, Dooley MA (2014) Systemic lupus erythematosus. Rheum Dis Clin North Am;40(3):xiii xiv. https://doi.org/10. 1016/j.rdc.2014.05.004

Govoni M, Bortoluzzi A, Padovan M, Silvagni E, Borrelli M, Donelli F et al (2016) The diagnosis and clinical management of the neuropsychiatric manifestations of lupus. J Autoimmun 74:41–72. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jaut.2016.06.013

Hirohata S (2018) Pathology of neuropsychiatric systemic lupus erythematosus. In: Neuropsychiatric systemic lupus erythematosus, pp 43–58. https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-319-76496-2_4

Huang WS, Chiu PY, Tsai CH, Kao A, Lee CC (2002) Objective evidence of abnormal regional cerebral blood flow in patients with systemic lupus erythematosus on Tc-99m ECD brain SPECT. Rheumatol Int 22(5):178–181. https://doi.org/10.1007/s00296-002-0224-9

Isenberg DP (2012) Psychotherapy outcome kit. PsycTESTS Dataset. https://doi.org/10.1037/t06490-000

- Jafri K, Patterson SL, Lanata C (2017) Central nervous system manifestations of systemic lupus erythematosus. Rheum Dis Clin North Am 43(4):531–545. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.rdc.2017.06.003
- Levy RA, de Carvalho JF (2017) Lupus and the brain: neuropsychiatric aspects in systemic lupus erythematosus. Lupus 26(5):451–452. https://doi.org/10.1177/0961203317700487
- Lewis MJ, Jawad AS (2017) The effect of ethnicity and genetic ancestry on the epidemiology, clinical features and outcome of systemic lupus erythematosus. Rheumatology. 56(suppl_1):i67–77. https://doi.org/10.1093/rheumatology/kew399
- Liang MH, Corzillius M, Bae SC, Lew RA, Fortin PR, Gordon C, Isenberg D, Alarcón GS, Straaton KV, Denburg J, Denburg S (1999) The American College of Rheumatology nomenclature and case definitions for neuropsychiatric lupus syndromes. Arthritis Rheum. 1999;42(4):599–608. https://doi.org/10.1002/1529-0131(199904)42:4<599::AlD-ANR2>3.0.CO;2-F
- Lisnevskaia L, Murphy G, Isenberg D (2014) Systemic lupus erythematosus. Lancet 384(9957):1878–1888. https://doi.org/10. 1016/S0140-6736(14)60128-8
- Liu FY, Huang WS, Kao CH, Yen RF, Wang JJ, Ho ST (2003) Usefulness of Tc-99m ECD brain SPECT to evaluate the effects of methylprednisolone pulse therapy in lupus erythematosus with brain involvement: a preliminary report. Rheumatol Int 23(4):182–185. https://doi.org/10.1007/s00296-002-0282-z
- Long Z, Hanson DP, Mullan BP, Hunt CH, Holmes DR 3rd, Brinkmann BH et al (2018) Analysis of Brain SPECT images coregistered with MRI in patients with epilepsy: comparison of three methods. J Neuroimaging 28(3):307–312. https://doi.org/ 10.1111/jon.12496
- Matsumoto Y, Oikawa K, Nomura J-I, Kojima D, Oshida S, Kobayashi M et al (2017) Optimal brain 99mTc-ethyl cysteinate dimer SPECT imaging and analysis to detect misery perfusion on 150 PET imaging in patients with chronic occlusive disease of unilateral major cerebral artery. Clin Nucl Med 42(7):499–505. https://doi.org/10.1097/RLU.000000000001670
- Netto TM, Zimmermann N, Rueda-Lopes F, Bizzo BC, Fonseca RP, Gasparetto EL (2013) Neuropsychiatric lupus: classification criteria in neuroimaging studies. Can J Neurol Sci 40(3):284–291. https://doi.org/10.1017/s0317167100014219
- Nusbaum JS, Mirza I, Shum J, Freilich RW, Cohen RE, Pillinger MH et al (2020) Sex differences in systemic lupus erythematosus: epidemiology, clinical considerations, and disease pathogenesis. Mayo Clin Proc 95(2):384–394. https://doi.org/10. 1016/j.mayocp.2019.09.012
- O'Neill S, Cervera R (2010) Systemic lupus erythematosus, vol. 24, Best Practice & Research Clinical Rheumatology. pp 841–855. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.berh.2010.10.006
- Oh DH, Kim SH, Jung S, Sung Y-K, Bang S-Y, Bae S-C et al (2011) Precuneus hypoperfusion plays an important role in memory impairment of patients with systemic lupus erythematosus. Lupus 20(8):855–860. https://doi.org/10.1177/0961203310 394895
- Oku K, Atsumi T, Furukawa S, Horita T, Sakai Y, Jodo S et al (2003) Cerebral imaging by magnetic resonance imaging and single photon emission computed tomography in systemic lupus erythematosus with central nervous system involvement. Rheumatology 42(6):773–777. https://doi.org/10.1093/rheumatology/keg216
- Phuti A, Schneider M, Makan K, Tikly M, Hodkinson B (2019) Living with systemic lupus erythematosus in South Africa: a bitter pill to swallow. Health Qual Life Outcomes 17(1):65. https://doi.org/10.1186/s12955-019-1132-y
- Rees F, Doherty M, Grainge MJ, Lanyon P, Zhang W (2017) The worldwide incidence and prevalence of systemic lupus erythematosus: a systematic review of epidemiological studies. Rheumatology 56(11):1945–1961. https://doi.org/10.1093/ rheumatology/kex260
- Tan Z, Zhou Y, Li X, Wang G, Tao J, Wang L et al (2018) Brain magnetic resonance imaging, cerebrospinal fluid, and autoantibody profile in 118 patients with neuropsychiatric lupus. Clin Rheumatol 37(1):227–233. https://doi.org/10.1007/ s10067-017-3891-3
- Trevisan AC, Louzada P Jr, Pitella FA, Kato M, Santos AC, Wichert-Ana L (2019) The reperfusion of ischemic vasculitis in a patient with neuropsychiatric lupus: a serial single-photon emission computed tomography study. Indian J Nucl Med 34(2):167–168. https://doi.org/10.4103/ijnm.JJNM_135_18
- Utiyama, S.R.D.R., Reason, I.T.D.M. and Kotze, L.M.D.S. (2004) O sistema complemento nas doenças: genética e patogenia. Vol. 44, Revista Brasileira de Reumatologia. p. 277–86. https://doi.org/10.1590/s0482-50042004000400006
- Van Dam AP, Wekking EM, Callewaert JA, Schipperijn AJ, Oomen HA, De Jong J, Swaak AJ, Smeenk RJ, Feltkamp TE (1994) Psychiatric symptoms before systemic lupus erythematosus is diagnosed. Rheumatol Int. 14(2):57–62. https://doi.org/ 10.1007/bf00300248
- Wichert-Ana L, de Azevedo-Marques PM, Oliveira LF, Fernandes RMF, Velasco TR, Santos AC et al (2008) Ictal technetium-99 m ethyl cysteinate dimer single-photon emission tomographic findings in epileptic patients with polymicrogyria syndromes: a subtraction of ictal-interictal SPECT coregistered to MRI study. Eur J Nucl Med Mol Imaging 35(6):1159–1170. https://doi.org/10.1007/s00259-007-0655-3
- Zardi EM, Taccone A, Marigliano B, Margiotta DPE, Afeltra A (2014) Neuropsychiatric systemic lupus erythematosus: tools for the diagnosis. Autoimmun Rev 13(8):831–839. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.autrev.2014.04.002

Publisher's Note

Springer Nature remains neutral with regard to jurisdictional claims in published maps and institutional affiliations.