RESEARCH ARTICLE



Open Access

Multilocus microsatellite markers for molecular typing of *Candida tropicalis* isolates

Yuan Wu¹, Hai-jian Zhou¹, Jie Che¹, Wen-ge Li¹, Fu-ning Bian¹, Shuan-bao Yu¹, Li-juan Zhang² and Jinxing Lu^{1*}

Abstract

Background: *Candida tropicalis* is considered to be the leading pathogen causing nosocomial fungemia and hepatosplenic fungal infections in patients with cancer, particularly those with leukemia. Microsatellite-based typing methods using sets of genetic markers have been developed and reported for population structure analysis of *C. albicans, C. glabrata,* and *C. parapsilosis,* but no studies have been published for genetic analysis of *C. tropicalis.* The objective of this study was to develop new microsatellite loci that have the ability to distinguish among *C. tropicalis* isolates.

Results: DNA sequences containing over 10 bi- or tri-nucleotide repeats were selected from the *C. tropicalis* genome database. Thirty PCR primers sets specific for the microsatellite loci were designed and tested using eight clinically independent isolates. According to the amplification efficiency, specificity, and observed polymorphisms, eight markers were selected for further population structure analysis and molecular typing. Sixty-five independent *C. tropicalis* isolates were genotyped using these 8 markers. Based on these analyses, six microsatellite loci were confirmed, although two loci were found to be with unstable flanking areas. The six polymorphic loci displayed 4–22 alleles and 7–27 genotypes. The discriminatory power of the six loci ranged from 0.70 to 0.95. Genotyping results obtained by microsatellite analysis were compared to PCR-fingerprinting and multi-locus sequence typing (MLST). The comparisons showed that microsatellite analysis and MLST had the similar discriminatory power for *C. tropicalis*, which were more powerful than PCR-fingerprinting.

Conclusions: This is the first attempt to develop new microsatellite loci for *C. tropicalis*. These newly developed markers will be a valuable resource for the differentiation of *C. tropicalis* isolates. More *C. tropicalis* isolates will need to be sequenced and analyzed in order to fully show the potential of these newly developed microsatellite markers.

Keywords: Candida tropicalis, Microsatellite markers, Population structure, Molecular typing

Background

With the increasing number of immunocompromised patients, long-term hospitalized patients, and invasive medical conditions and therapy, the genus *Candida* has emerged as a major group of opportunistic pathogens that cause both superficial and invasive infections in humans [1,2]. *Candida* is considered to be the fourth most commonly isolated organism from nosocomial bloodstream infections in United States and the sixth

¹State Key Laboratory for Infectious Disease Prevention and Control, Collaborative Innovation Center for Diagnosis and Treatment of Infectious Diseases, National Institute for Communicable Disease Control and Prevention, Chinese Center for Disease Control and Prevention, Chang bai Road 155, Chang ping District, Beijing, China most common in Europe [3-5]. Invasive infections caused by *Candida* species are associated with significant morbidity and mortality [6]. Although *C. albicans* accounts for the majority of infections, other non- *albicans Candida* species such as *C. tropicalis* have increasingly been recognized as important human pathogens. *C. tropicalis* is the leading pathogen causing nosocomial fungemia and hepatosplenic fungal infections in patients with cancer, particularly leukemia patients [7]. *C. tropicalis* is the second most frequently isolated non-albicans pathogen in the Asia-Pacific region and in Brazil [8]. In large independent epidemiologic surveys, the isolation rate of *C. tropicalis* from blood was shown to be 5-30% [9]. In evolutionary terms, this species is closely related to *C. albicans* [10]. Previous studies conducted in Asia show an



© 2014 Wu et al.; licensee BioMed Central Ltd. This is an Open Access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0), which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly credited. The Creative Commons Public Domain Dedication waiver (http://creativecommons.org/publicdomain/zero/1.0/) applies to the data made available in this article, unless otherwise stated.

^{*} Correspondence: lujinxing@icdc.cn

Full list of author information is available at the end of the article

intermediate frequency of fluconazole resistance for *C. tropicalis* strains, which was originally observed in *C. glabrata* isolates [11,12]. Furthermore, a high proportion of *C. tropicalis* isolates exhibits low susceptibility to flucytosine [13,14].

Although several molecular typing methods have been used to determine the molecular epidemiology and resistance of C. tropicalis, such as MLST [15], randomly amplified polymorphic DNA (RAPD) [16,17] and pulsed field gel electrophoresis (PFGE) [18,19], population structures and genetic investigations for C. tropicalis have not been as extensive as they have been for C. albicans studies. MLST reveals different geographical origins, anatomic sources, and other characteristics between clades of closely related isolates [15]. Furthermore, some isolates of C. tropicalis have been shown to be associated with antifungal resistance [11]. Fifty-two diploid sequence types (DSTs) from China were recently generated and added to the global MLST database [20]. RAPD is considered to be a promising tool for yeast genotyping, especially when used with different primer combinations [21]. However it has some limitations for population structure analysis because it relies on a large intact DNA template sequence that hinders reproducibility [17]. Microsatellites are defined as short tandem repeats of two to six nucleotides, known to be highly polymorphic and have been widely used for polymorphism analysis of fungi [22,23]. Microsatellite provides an alternative typing scheme because it is an easy-to-perform and reproducible method suitable for large-scale studies of C. tropicalis epidemiology. Microsatellite-based typing methods using sets of genetic markers have been developed and reported for population structure analysis of C. albicans [2,24], C. glabrata [25,26], and C. parapsilosis [27,28], but no studies have been published for genetic analysis of C. tropicalis.

The aim of this study was to develop a microsatellitebased typing method using a new set of six markers for population genetic analysis of *C. tropicalis*. The polymorphism of microsatellites was evaluated by PCR and allele sizing of 65 *C. tropicalis* isolates. Our results indicate that the discriminatory power (DP) of the 6 loci ranges from 0.70-0.95, illustrating this to be a useful method for genetic studies of *C. tropicalis*.

Results

Screening and selection of repeat regions in *C. tropicalis* genome sequence database

We searched the *C. tropicalis* genome using Tandem Repeat Finder (TRF) software and generated over 4,000 sequences whose repeated motif was at least 1 bp. The criteria defined were that the sequence should contain at least 10 repeats with equal or greater than 2 bp in the core motif. In total, 30 microsatellite loci were selected. These sequences have a high probability of showing greater

genetic variability, are likely located outside known coding regions, and dispersed evenly throughout the genome. To evaluate the effectiveness of the 30 loci, 30 pairs of specific primers were designed and genomic DNA from 8 *C. tropicalis* isolates was used as a template for PCR. After removing the unsuccessful and non-polymorphic loci, eight microsatellite markers were chosen for further microsatellite analysis (Table 1). Locus-specific primers were then designed for these markers. The forward primers were fluorescently labeled with 6-carboxyfluorescein (FAM), 6-carboxyhexafluorescein (HEX), 5-carboxy-x-rhodamine (ROX), or 6-carboxytetramethylrhodamine (TRMRA) (Table 1).

Microsatellite analysis

In order to evaluate the specific amplifications and polymorphisms, the microsatellites selected were used to type 65 clinically independent C. tropicalis isolates. C. tropicalis is a diploid species, therefore one or two PCR fragments per locus were obtained for each strain, and each fragment was assigned as a unique allele. Isolates presenting two PCR products were typed as heterozygous, while strains having a single PCR fragment were typed as homozygous. One allele of each length was seguenced. For most of the microsatellite markers, a direct correlation between the fragment size and the number of microsatellite repeats was found, with the differences in fragment sizes being consistent with the variation in the number of repetitions (Figure 1A). However, for few alleles, we sequenced repeatedly to obtain the correct number of repeats. In the case of Ctrmm7 and Ctrmm15N loci, several isolates of these alleles were sequenced and analyzed using BLAST, which showed that they contain unstable flanking areas, such as a deletion (Figure 1B). Six loci (Ctrmm1, 10, 12, 21, 24, and 28) were used for final population structure and genetic analysis. The characterizations of the loci selected are illustrated in Table 2. In total, 22, 12, 12, 5, 4 and 15 alleles were found for the Ctrm1, 10, 12, 21, 24 and 28 loci, respectively (Table 2). The analysis of the 65 isolates revealed all microsatellite loci to be polymorphic, showing 4 to 22 alleles from 7 to 27 distinct genotypes (Table 2). The detailed information of alleles and the corresponding number of repeats are shown in Table 3. The differences in length for the 6 markers were due to a varying fold repeat of a hexanucleotide (Figure 1A). The DP for each marker was calculated according to the Simpson index

(Table 2) [29] as follows:
$$DP = 1 - \frac{1}{N(N-1)} \sum_{j=1}^{3} nj(nj-1)$$

where *N* is the number of strains, *s* is the total number of different genotypes, and *nj* is the number of strains of genotype j [29]. The results show that Ctrmm1, producing 22 different alleles and 27 genotypes, was the microsatellite

Microsatellite designation	Primer sequence	Repetitive motif	Range of PCR fragment size (bp)	Fluorescent label*
Ctrm1	F: CAACAGTTGATAGATCAAGC	(AGA) ₂₂	370-454	FAM
	R: CGAACTATCACTTTTAGGAG			
∆Ctrm7	F: GACTCTGAATCGGTTTTGTG	(CA) ₁₃	286-322	HEX
	R: CGCTCATTCTCATAATCACT			
Ctrm10	F: AGTTTTCCTGTTGCTGGTTG	(ATG) ₅₂	315-370	ROX
	R: CATTGAGATTGGAAGAAGTG			
Ctrm12	F: TGTGTGTCTATTACCTCCCA	(AC) ₃₉	234-263	FAM
	R: CTGTCAGTTGTACATCATCG			
ΔCtrm15N	F: CCCTACTAGGACCTCCACCG	(CAA) ₁₆	365-392	TAMRA
	R: AAAGAATGGCGATGAAGTTG			
Ctrm21	F: TGTGTCTTGTAAAAGCCACC	(TG) ₂₂	328-363	HEX
	R: GGATTACTGGACTTGACCTG			
Ctrm24	F: ACAACTACTGACATCCCAGC	(TA) ₁₃	439-454	ROX
	R: CTTCAGTATTCACCCCTTTC			
Ctrm28	F: TAGTTCGAATTTGTTTGGAT	(TTA) ₁₂	397-406	TAMRA
	R: GTAAAGTCACGGGGTATTGT			

Table 1 Microsatellite DNA sequences selected, sequences, and primers

Δ In the expanded microsatellite analysis of 65 C. tropicalis isolates, these 2 loci showed unstable flanking sequences and were excluded for further population structure analysis.

*FAM: 6-carboxyfluorescein, HEX: 6-carboxyhexafluorescein, ROX: 5-carboxy-x-rhodamine, or TRMRA: 6-carboxytetramethylrhodamine.

Α

Allele 370bp ------ AAGACAAGGAAGTCGC[**AGA**]₁₀GCCATACACA------Allele 384bp ------ AAGACAAGGAAGTCGC[**AGA**]₁₅GCCATACACA------Allele 401bp ------ AAGACAAGGAAGTCGC[**AGA**]₂₁GCCATACACA------Allele 448bp ------ AAGACAAGGAAGTCGC[**AGA**]₃₇GCCATACACA------

В

Allele290bp CCACCCAGGG AGATATCCGA GCTCTCA--C ACACACACAC Allele291bp CCACCCAGGG AGATATCCGA GCTCC---- ACACAC ACAC Allele295bp CCACCCAGGG AGATATCCGA GCTCA----C ACACACACAC Allele295bp CCACCCAGGG AGATATCCGA GCTCTCA--C ACACACACAC Allele290bp CCACCCAGGG AGATATCCGA GCTCTCA--C ACACACACAC Allele293bp CCACCCAGGG AGATATCCGA GCTCT----C ACACACACAC

Allele290bp ACACACACAC ACAC ----- AGAAATGAATCTAACCAGC Allele291bp ACACACACAC ACAGAGAGAG AGAAATGAATCTAACCAGC Allele295bp ACACACACAC AC--AGAGAG AGAAATGAATCTAACCAGC Allele295bp ACACACACAC ACAGAGAGAG AGAAATGAATCTAACCAGC Allele290bp ACACACACAC AC-------AGAG AAATGAATCTAACCAGC Allele293bp ACACACACAG -----AGAGA GAGAAATGAATCTAACCAGC

Figure 1 Alignment of parts of the different alleles' sequences. A. Parts of the sequences of the different alleles of the Ctrm1 marker showing the numbers of microsatellite repeats. For allele numbers and frequencies, refer to Table 2. B. Parts of the sequences of the different alleles of the Ctrm7 marker showing the numbers of microsatellite repeats with unstable flanking area.

STR	No. of alleles	No. of genotypes	DP*	Allele frequency	Genotype frequency	%Heterozygosity
Ctrm1	22	27	0.95	0.008-0.154	0.02-0.14	75.4
Ctrm10	12	16	0.91	0.008-0.285	0.02-0.23	70.8
Ctrm12	12	13	0.85	0.008-0.354	0.02-0.34	73.8
Ctrm21	5	16	0.91	0.016-0.290	0.02-0.19	73.8
Ctrm24	4	7	0.78	0.016-0.631	0.02-0.39	44.6
Ctrm28	15	7	0.70	0.082-0.566	0.02-0.54	16.9

Table 2 Characteristics of microsatellite loci selected

*DP, discriminatory power.

with the highest DP (0.95), while Ctrmm28 presented the lowest DP (0.70), with different 15 alleles and 7 genotypes (Table 2). The total number of different alleles and genotypes and the respective frequencies obtained for all microsatellite markers examined in Table 2. Amplification products were observed for all 65 C. tropicalis strains at all 6 loci, showing powerful typing ability in all cases except for loci Ctrmm21, Ctrmm24, and Ctrmm28, with 3, 4, and 4 strains of unsuccessful PCR amplification respectively (Table 3). The amplifications were performed in triplicate. The particular strain numbers and repeated motif numbers of each allele are summarized in Table 3. The sequencing of alleles allowed us to determine that the exact length of the PCR products. For example, alleles 328 and 332 for Ctrmm21 are composed of 8 (329 bp) and 9 (331 bp) repeats (Table 3).

Population structure study of *C. tropicalis* using multiple typing methods

Fifty-eight of the C. tropicalis isolates typed by MLST and PCR fingerprinting analysis were selected for genotyping using the microsatellite markers so that the results of these three typing methods could be compared. Based on the microsatellite loci database, an unweighted pair group method (UPGMA) tree was constructed based on genetic distances (Figure 2), in which the genotyping type for each strain by the other two methods was also shown. Eight distinct short tandem repeat (STR) clusters were constructed (Figures 2 and 3), while 6 MLST groups and 4 RAPD groups were produced (Figure 2). Microsatellite analysis detected 86 different genotypes, whereas MLST detected 70 genotypes and RAPD detected 20 genotypes. Therefore, it could be deduced that STR analysis and MLST were both found to have a high capacity to discriminate isolates, and a relatively high level of concordance between the results was displayed (Figure 3). For better understanding of the DP of MLST and microsatellite for C. tropicalis, we constructed minimum spanning trees (MSTs) based on microsatellite allele profile (Figure 3A) and MLST allele profile (Figure 3B). MLVA cluster 1 is composed of strains predominant in MLST group 1. MLVA cluster 2 contains strains from MLST group 2 and some singletons (Figure 3A). MLVA cluster 4 has only 2 strains, one from MLST group 4, and the other is singleton (Figure 3A). MLVA cluster 6 includes 2 singletons and 1 from MLST group 1 (Figure 3A). For cluster 7 and 8, they are totally composed of singletons (Figure 3A). While strains in MLST groups 3 and 5 were also in STR cluster 3 and 5 (Figure 3A). One strain in MLST group1 is separated far away and included in cluster 6 (Figure 3A). The same situation happened to MLST group 2, in which one strain is split as singleton (Figure 3A). For MLST group 4, all its strains are separated as independent ones (Figure 3A). In figure 3B, trees are built on MLST allele profile and its corresponding relationship with MLVA cluster is shown. Strains from MLVA cluster 1 and cluster 6 clustered as MLST group 1 (Figure 3B). For group 2, 2 singletons and strains in MLVA cluster 2 are included (Figure 3B). Group 3 are totally composed of the same strains in cluster 3 (Figure 3B). Group 4 is made up with 2 strains in MLVA cluster 7 (Figure 3B). Strains in group 5 are all in MLVA cluster 5 (Figure 3B). Group 6 is comprised completely by singletons (Figure 3B). Strains in cluster 2 disperse widely in MLST MST (Figure 3B). Strains from cluster7 and cluster 5 are separated as singleton independently (Figure 3B). Isolates BZR-71 were separated far away from BZR-62 and BZR-70 of MLST group 4 (Figure 2). No relationships were found between genotypes and specimen type, hospital origin, and fluconazole resistance in either typing method. The differences in size polymorphisms of microsatellite analysis indicate that microsatellites appear to be evolving with a higher rate of sequence divergence and may be helpful for driving deeper establishment of unrelated profiles, which could be useful in outbreak situations but less effective for the determination of long-term genetic relatedness [23].

Reproducibility and statistics

For all the strains tested, the microsatellite types were the same for analysis the same or different DNA from same strains in different runs.

Discussion

C. tropicalis is a diploid organism similar to *C. albicans*. A variety of strain typing methods have been used for

Table 3 Number of repeats of the six markers for the 65C. tropicalis isolates

Table 3 Number of repeats of the six markers for the 65C. tropicalis isolates (Continued)

370 8 10 373 2 11 376 1 12 382 2 14 384 2 15 387 14 16 390 11 17 393 12 18 396 2 19 398 14 20 401 8 21 404 5 22	arker	Allele size (bp)	No. of isolates	No. of repeats
3732113761123822143842153871416390111739312183962193981420401821404522	rm1	370	8	10
3761123822143842153871416390111739312183962193981420401821404522		373	2	11
3822143842153871416390111739312183962193981420401821404522		376	1	12
384 2 15 387 14 16 390 11 17 393 12 18 396 2 19 398 14 20 401 8 21 404 5 22		382	2	14
387 14 16 390 11 17 393 12 18 396 2 19 398 14 20 401 8 21 404 5 22		384	2	15
390 11 17 393 12 18 396 2 19 398 14 20 401 8 21 404 5 22		387	14	16
393 12 18 396 2 19 398 14 20 401 8 21 404 5 22		390	11	17
396 2 19 398 14 20 401 8 21 404 5 22		393	12	18
398 14 20 401 8 21 404 5 22		396	2	19
401 8 21 404 5 22		398	14	20
404 5 22		401	8	21
		404	5	22
407 20 23		407	20	23
410 6 24		410	6	24
413 6 25		413	6	25
416 2 26		416	2	26
419 1 27		419	1	27
422 1 28		422	1	28
440 1 34		440	1	34
448 3 37		448	3	37
451 4 38		451	4	38
454 5 39		454	5	39
Ctrm10 315 19 7	.rm10	315	19	7
318 3 8		318	3	8
322 37 9		322	37	9
325 5 10		325	5	10
331 29 12		331	29	12
334 19 13		334	19	13
340 2 15		340	2	15
344 1 16		344	1	16
353 3 19		353	3	19
356 7 20		356	7	20
361 4 22		361	4	22
370 1 25		370	1	25
Ctrm12 234 4 12	.rm12	234	4	12
236 44 13		236	44	13
238 46 14		238	46	14
242 4 16		242	4	16
244 10 17		244	10	17
248 5 19		248	5	19
250 8 20		250	8	20
252 2 21		252	2	21
254 4 22		254	4	22

	257	1	25
	259	1	26
	263	1	28
*Ctrm21	0	3	0
	328	33	8
	329	7	8
	331	36	9
	332	25	9
	334	2	13
	353	3	20
	355	9	21
	357	4	22
	363	5	25
*Ctrm24	0	4	0
	439	2	10
	444	20	12
	448	14	14
	450	77	15
	454	9	17
*Ctrm28	0	4	0
	397	30	9
	400	10	10
	403	69	11
	406	13	12

*In these 3 markers, there were 3 or 4 unsuccessful amplifications.

the differentiation of the C. tropicalis family, including PCR fingerprinting [21], MLST [11], and PFGE [18]. Shu-Ying Li et al. [11] compared MLST via PFGE for population structure and genetic relationship analysis of clinical C. tropicalis isolates. They found that the genetic profiles of C. tropicalis clinical isolates obtained by these two methods were highly correlated, in which MLST was slightly less discriminatory than PFGE. In addition, fluconazole-resistant C. tropicalis isolates were grouped into a clonal cluster in both MLST and PFGE [11]. Another report showed that most of the tested C. tropicalis isolates were assigned to a single large recently evolved group that contained several small clonal clusters. It indicates that C. tropicalis resembles C. albicans phylogenetically. Such evolution pattern could be explained as a predominantly clonal mode of reproduction but with a frequency of recombination events high enough to generate a population with characteristics similar to a sexually reproducing species [30]. In a previous study, we discovered new MLST types of C. tropicalis from mainland China, showing several independent groups when compared to the global C. tropicalis MLST database [20]. It

···· ⁸ ··· ⁹ ·· ¹ · ¹ ·· ¹ ·	Key	ctrmm1	ctrmm10	ctrmm12	ctrmm21	ctrmm24	ctrmm28	MLVAtype	MLVACluster	ST	MLSTgroup	R
100	BZR-25	2121	712	1313	89	1517	1012	1	Cluster5	276	Group5	E
83.3	BZR-88	2121	712	1313	89	1517	1012	1	Cluster5	305	sigleton	Н
72.2	BZR-78	2121	712	1317	89	1517	1012	2	Cluster5	277	Group5	E
70.8	BZR-31	2223	712	1317	89	1517	1012	3	Cluster5	277	Group5	F
16.7	BZR-97	2121	712	1317	89	1517	0	4	Cluster5	309	Group5	E
16.7	BZR-82	1413	915	2526	2020	1517	99	5	Sigleton	302	sigleton	F
100	BZR-105	1822	712	1722	821	1414	99	6	Sigleton	314	sigleton	F
9	BZR-51	1822	712	1722	821	1414	99	6	Sigleton	281	sigleton	F
	BZR-66	3939	712	1417	88	1515	910	7	Cluster8	290	sigleton	D
83.3	BZR-87	3939	712	1417	88	1515	910	7	Cluster8	304	sigleton	D
33.3	BZR-92	1839	712	1417	88	1515	910	8	Cluster8	307	sigleton	F
	BZR-64	1614	1520	1417	88	1010	912	9	Sigleton	289	sigleton	Е
83.3	BZR-3	2526	919	1416	89	1515	99	10	Cluster4	270	sigleton	G
	BZR-62	2326	919	1416	89	1515	99	11	Cluster4	287	Group4	В
50	BZR-2	1620	710	1314	89	1515	99	12	Sigleton	269	sigleton	D
	BZR-54	1620	710	1314	89	1515	99	12	Sigleton	284	sigleton	F
38.9 100	BZR-75	1620	710	1314	89	1515	99	12	Sigleton	297	sigleton	D
	BZR-89	1620	710	1314	89	1515	99	12	Sigleton	306	sigleton	E
	BZR-70	2328	99	1416	89	1215	99	13	Sigleton	293	Group4	F
	BZR-39	2038	912	1314	89	1515	1111	14	Cluster2	280	sigleton	F
35.7 00.0	BZR-4	2038	912	1314	89	1515	1111	14	Cluster2	271	sigleton	H
66.7	B7D 115	2000	012	1014	90	1515	1111	15	Cluster2	216	sigloton	
62.5	B7D 52	2007	012	1014	00	1515	1111	16	Cluster2	202	sigleton	
	BZR-55	2724	00	1014	99	1515	1111	17	Cluster2	203	Group?	
45.9		2734	33	1010	09	1515		10	Cluster2	010	Group2	-
24	BZR-104	2325	99	1213	89	1515	1111	18	Cluster2	313	Group2	г -
100	BZR-20	2325	99	1213	89	1515	1111	18	Cluster2	274	Group2	-
83.3	BZR-36	2325	99	1213	89	1515	1111	18	Cluster2	278	Group2	E
19.3	BZR-74	2325	99	1213	89	1515	0	19	Cluster2	296	Group2	В
83.3	BZR-117	1011	922	1313	913	1515	1111	20	Cluster7	318	sigleton	E
58.3	BZR-76	1010	922	1313	913	1515	1111	21	Cluster7	298	sigleton	F
	BZR-98	1011	2222	1313	0	1515	1111	22	Cluster7	310	sigleton	A
	BZR-52	1919	88	2128	89	1415	99	23	Sigleton	282	sigleton	A
	BZR-67	1823	1313	1414	925	1215	1111	24	Cluster1	279	Group1	A
100	BZR-85	1823	1313	1414	925	1215	1111	24	Cluster1	303	Group1	Н
83.3	BZR-90	1823	1313	1414	925	1215	1111	24	Cluster1	279	Group1	Н
79.2	BZR-103	1823	1313	1414	99	1215	1111	25	Cluster1	279	Group1	С
53.3	BZR-38	1823	1313	1414	925	0	1111	26	Cluster1	279	Group1	E
36.1	BZR-84	1723	1313	1414	99	1212	1111	27	Cluster1	279	Group1	В
	BZR-80	1618	912	1414	921	1212	1111	28	Sigleton	300	sigleton	Е
26.2	BZR-120	1016	1212	2020	89	1215	1111	29	Sigleton	320	sigleton	Е
	BZR-14	1016	1212	2020	89	1215	1111	29	Sigleton	273	Group2	Е
100	BZR-41	1016	1212	2020	89	1215	1111	29	Sigleton	278	Group2	E
	BZR-63	1016	1212	2020	89	1215	1111	29	Sigleton	288	sigleton	н
25.9	BZR-56	1620	712	1314	99	1517	1111	30	Cluster6	285	sigleton	P
100	BZR-95	1620	712	1314	99	1517	1111	30	Cluster6	308	Group1	P
83.3	B7D 100	1620	712	1314	99	1517	1011	31	Clustore	300 31F	siglaton	Ц
	BZD 100	2224	020	1014	00	1017	1111	20	Siglaton	210	Groupe	
		2024	320	1014	99	1213	1111	o∠ 20	Sigleton	010	Отоцью	
44.4	BZR-119	2324	920	1314	99	1215	1111	32	Sigleton	319	Group6	L ,
	BZR-61	2324	920	1314	99	1215	1111	32	Sigleton	286	Group6	A
	B∠R-69	2324	920	1314	99	1215	1111	32	Sigleton	292	Group6	B
100	BZR-7	2324	920	1314	99	1215	1111	32	Sigleton	272	Group6	A
	BZR-73	2324	920	1314	99	1215	1111	32	Sigleton	295	Group6	E
	BZR-79	1218	825	2122	2121	1415	1111	33	Sigleton	299	sigleton	F
83.3	BZR-22	1717	913	1319	2122	1414	1212	34	Cluster3	275	Group3	Н
66.7	BZR-99	1717	913	1319	2122	1415	1212	35	Cluster3	311	Group3	В
66.7	BZR-116	1717	913	1319	0	0	1212	36	Cluster3	317	Group3	Н
16.7	BZR-68	1717	913	1319	2122	0	0	37	Cluster3	291	Group3	Н
		0005	010	1/16	0	0	0	00	Cicloton	004	Cround	

(See figure on previous page.)

Figure 2 Cluster analysis of 58 *C. tropicalis* based on 6 microsatellite loci by the use of Bionumerics version 4.0 software and comparisons among STR, MLST and RAPD. The numbers below each microsatellite number are their allelic profiles. For example, 2121 of ctrmm1 means 21 repeats of the allele and indicates this stain is homozygous; 2223 of ctrmm1 means 22 repeats of one PCR fragments, and 23 repeats of the other allele, which indicating the stain is heterozygous. As for 712 of ctrmm10, it means 7 repeats and 12 repeats for the two allele of the strain, while number 99 of ctrmm10 means 9 and 9 repeats for the two allele of the strain. Number 0 show the unsuccessful amplification of those markers for few strains.

had been considered that RAPD was a promising tool for yeast genotyping, especially when used with multiple primer combinations, by which subtypes are found to be related to their geographic origin, evolutionary and taxonomic classification [21]. However, with the development of newer molecular methods, RAPD is now considered as unstable and is not easy to standardize. Microsatellites are found in all genomes and are increasingly being used as molecular marker [23]. The microsatellite method is discriminatory, reproducible, and easy to perform. Furthermore, the results remain stable over many generations [23]. Microsatellite genotyping has been successfully used to characterize and rapidly type isolates of several yeast species including, Aspergillus fumigatus [31], Saccharomyces cerevisiae (14), Penicillium marneffei [32], C. albicans [33], C. krusei [34], C. parapsilosis [27], C. glabrata [35] and Cryptococcus neoformans and gattii [36,37].

To our knowledge, this is the first report developing and testing microsatellite markers for C. tropicalis. We screened the genome of C. tropicalis for microsatellites. After testing of the candidate loci, six markers were selected. All six loci display highly polymorphism rates and discriminatory power. Ctrmm1, 10 and 12 markers are the most discriminatory microsatellites, with 100% amplification efficiency, high discriminatory power and varying repeats of the same motif (Table 2). Sequencing confirmed that the length of polymorphisms were due to the number of nucleotide motif repeats. For the other markers Ctrmm21, 24 and 28, irregular correlation between repeat motif and sequence size for some alleles were observed. Therefore, we sequenced these uncertain alleles to obtain the accurate length. The DP of these three, Ctrm21, 24 and 28, vary between 0.70-0.91. For marker Ctrm21, 24 and 28, there were 3-4 isolates of unsuccessful PCR amplification. We repeated three times for these amplifications. Nucleotide mutation in the flanking area of those markers may lead to unspecific combination of primers to template DNA. The second reason may be that the template DNA area for designing primers is not so conserved for isolates with wide origins. With more sequences of C. tropicalis released, a more conserved area may be developed. In summary, the primers coverage ability and the DNA structure changes may contribute to the unsuccessful amplification. Ctrm1 loci displayed the highest DP and heterozygosity, while the Ctrm 28 showed the lowest heterozygosity and DP.

Page 7 of 12

Ctrmm1, 10 and 12 markers displayed 100% amplification efficiency in this study using strains with limited geographic distribution. Compared with polymorphic microsatellite loci (*EF3, CDC3, HIS3, ERK1, 2NF1, CCN2, CPH2, EFG1, CAI* AND *CAIII* to *CAVII*) used for *C. albicans* [38,39], whether they are efficient to distinguish world-wide strains still need further analysis. And with finish of more *C. tropicalis* whole genome, more microsatellite markers will be selected.

In our study, MLST and PCR-fingerprinting methods were used to evaluate the six microsatellite markers newly developed for studying the population structure, genetic relativity, and molecular epidemiology of C. tro*picalis* isolates from various geographic and anatomic sites. Our data indicates that MLST and microsatellite analysis appear to have similar potentials to differentiate C. tropicalis and both have discriminatory power superior to RAPD analysis. It is well known that RAPD is a conventional DNA-based typing method, while microsatellite and MLST are exact DNA-based typing methods [39]. The interpretation of RAPD patterns is based on the number of size of the amplified fragments, and banding patterns are easily effected by kinds of experimental conditions [39]. The drawbacks of RAPD are its reproducibility and data comparison between labs. Both microsatellite and MLST generate unambiguous results with an excellent reproducibility, which could be exchanged and compared globally [39]. Although there was high agreement between the methods for the assignment of genotypes, disagreement of clustering of unrelated isolates was also observed (Figure 3). Some singletons in the MLST analysis formed new groups using the STR method. Furthermore, strains clustered in MLST groups were separated and formed new STR clusters with other isolates, such as MLST group 1, 2 and 4 (Figure 3).

In conclusion, these six new microsatellites are a valuable tool for the differentiation of *C. tropicalis* isolates and will have a strong application in studies that must distinguish epidemiologically related isolates, such as nosocomial cross-transmission analyses, and the study of kinetics of the colonization-to-infection process. The standardization of the microsatellite typing systems and the creation of public databases that would make microsatellite allele data available worldwide are essential issues that deserve attention and resources. The overall higher similarity level of gene sequences from *C. tropicalis*



(See figure on previous page.)

Figure 3 Minimum spanning tree analysis based on MLVA and MLST. A. Minimum spanning tree analysis of 58 *C. tropicalis* based on allelic profiles at 6 microsatellite loci. **B**. Minimum spanning tree analysis of 58 *C. tropicalis* based on allelic profiles of MLST data. The group differences between STR and MLST were shown directly in the figure. Each circle corresponds to a repeat type, the number of which is indicated inside the circle. The colors of the halo surrounding the repeat types denote type that belong to the same cluster. The lines between circles indicate the similarity between profiles (bold, 5 alleles in common; normal, 4 alleles; dotted, \leq 3 alleles).

as compared with *C. albicans* may indicate that many more isolates are needed to be sequenced to reveal full strain diversity in *C. tropicalis*, or to discover a strain type well adapted to humans.

Conclusions

C. tropicalis is considered to be the leading pathogen causing nosocomial fungemia and hepatosplenic fungal infections in patients with cancer, particularly those with leukemia. Several molecular typing methods have been used for studying *C. tropicalis*, but no study of microsatellite analysis has been published for genetic analysis of *C. tropicalis*. In this study, we firstly developed new microsatellite loci for *C. tropicalis*. The six loci selected showed high discriminatory power, similar discriminatory ability with MLST and more powerful than PCR-fingerprinting. These newly developed markers will be a valuable resource for the differentiation of *C. tropicalis*. More *C. tropicalis* isolates will need to be sequenced and analyzed in order to fully show the potential of these newly developed microsatellite markers.

Methods

Isolates and DNA extraction

To evaluate the DP of the microsatellite markers, 65 clinical C. tropicalis isolates from different anatomical sites were genotyped. All isolates were collected from adult patients over a 1-year period of several hospitals in China, covering both male and female patients of varying ages. Most of these isolates have been done MLST analysis, showing diverge DST types. The samples were from clinical routine inspection and patients were informed and provided consent. Sample collection is coincided with the protocol of the hospital and is approved by China-Japan Friendship Hospital Ethics Committee. ATCC 750 was analyzed as reference strain. The specificity of the primers was checked by studying the following references strains: C. albicans ATCC 753, C. glabrata ATCC 2001, C. parasilosis ATCC 10232, C. kefyr ATCC 4135, Saccharomyces cerevisiae ATCC 10668, C. krusei CGMCC 2.1848 (China General Microbiological Culture Collection Center, Beijing). All isolates were identified by internal transcript sequence (ITS) sequencing and AUX 20C (BioMe'rieux, France). The universal primers ITS1 and ITS4 [40] were used to amplify the ITS fragment and to sequence it bi-directionally. The strains were stored at -80°C in brain-heart infusion media (Oxoid, UK). The isolates were maintained on Sabouraud glucose agar (SDA) (Oxoid, UK) during the study. Prior to DNA isolation, yeast cells were grown on SDA for 24 h at 37°C. Genomic DNA of the isolates was extracted using a Yeast DNA Purification Kit (Tiangen, China), according to the manufacturer's protocol. DNA concentrations were estimated with a spectrophotometer absorbance at 260 nm. DNA extracts were stored at –20°C.

Microsatellite selection, PCR primer design and amplification

A search of C. tropicalis genome sequences available in GenBank (Accession number: AAFN0000000.2) was performed to identify repeat sequences using the TRF software from Gary Benson (http://tandem.bu.edu). Thirty microsatellites containing over 10 bi-or tri-microsatellite repeat units were selected, which were expected to have very high degrees of polymorphism. From these 30 pairs of primers specific for the non-variable flanking regions were designed for locus-specific amplification. Primer 5 software (http://www.premierbiosoft.com/primerdesign/) was used for the design of these primers. Genomic DNA of 8 C. tropicalis strains were used as template for typical PCR amplification. Amplification was carried out in a 50- μ l volume containing 1 μ l of *C. tropicalis* DNA. The composition of the PCR mixture was as follows: $5-\mu l \ 10 \times$ PCR buffer, 0.25-µl rTaq polymerase (5U/µl, Takara.), 4-µl deoxynucleoside triphosphates mix (0.25 μ M of each), 1-µl each primer (10pM), 10-µl 30% DMSO, and 27.75 µl ddH₂O. After a 94°C preincubation step for 4 min, PCR amplifications were performed in total of 35 cycles under the following conditions: denaturation at 94°C for 45 s, annealing at 55°C for 45 s, and extension at 72°C for 40s, with a final extension step of 5 min at 72°C. PCR products were analyzed via 1.5% agarose gel electrophoresis. All PCR products were sequenced on both directions in order to confirm whether they were amplified correctly, and showed specific amplified polymorphism. The microsatellite markers with unsuccessful amplification and nonpolymorphism were rejected. Eight microsatellite markers were selected for further analysis, which were distributed evenly throughout genome. The details of these 8 microsatellite markers are summarized in Table 1.

Microsatellite and DNA sequence analysis

For the eight chosen microsatellite loci, PCR was performed with 65 clinical isolates to evaluate discriminatory power. The primers for these 8 selected loci were fluorescently labelled (Table 1), for further determination of alleles' length by migration of the PCR products in a high resolution gel electrophoresis achieved by an automatic sequencer [38,39]. The PCR reaction volume was 20- μ l, containing 2- μ l 10 × PCR buffer, 1.6- μ l deoxynucleoside triphosphates mix (0.25 µM of each), 0.1-µl rTaq polymerase (0.5U, Takara.), 0.4-µl each primer (10pM), 0.4-µl genomic DNA (40 ng), 4-µl 30% DMSO, and 11.1-µl ddH₂O. After a 94°C preincubation step for 4 min, PCR amplifications were performed in the first 10 cycles under the following conditions: denaturation at 94°C for 45 s, annealing at 50-59°C for 45 s, reduction 1° in every cycle, and extension at 72°C for 1 min; and the second 25 cycles were as follows: denaturation at 94°C for 45 s, annealing at 50°C for 45 s, and extension at 72°C for 1 min, with a final extension step of 10 min at 72°C. The PCR of the loci was performed in two independent reactions: one was for Ctrm1, 7, 10, and 15 N; the other was for 12, 21, 24, and 28.

Products were analyzed *via* 1.5% agarose electrophoresis. Four groups of samples were then mixed for further analysis. We extracted 0.5- μ l of the mixed amplification products and blended with 9- μ l HIDI and 0.1- μ l GS500LIZ. These mixtures were denatured at 95 °C for 5 min and rapidly chilled on ice. The samples were run using an ABI 3730XL genetic analyzer (Applied Biosystems). The sizes of the PCR products were determined using GeneMapper 4.0 software (Applied Biosystems). The alleles were then designed by their sizes (in base pairs). One allele of each length was sequenced in order to get the number of microsatellite sequence repeats. SeqMan software was used for sequence alignment (https://www.dnastar.com/).

Population structure, PCR fingerprinting and MLST analysis

The allelic profiles of these 58 C. tropicalis strains were summarized and a dendrogram was then generated by UPGMA of the BioNumerics software version 5.1 (Applied Maths, Kortrijk, Belgium). The allelic profiles have been deposited in the Dryad database (http://doi.org/ 10.5061/dryad.8497b), and the DOI of data identifier is 10.5061/dryad.8497b. In order to compare the DP of MLST and microsatellite, and describe the relationships among isolates at the microevolutionary level, we performed allelic profile-based comparisons using a MST analysis with BioNumerics software. The MLST typing of those 58 C. tropicalis strains has been published by our research group previously. MST analysis links profiles so that the sum of the distances (number of distinct alleles between two sequence types, STs) is minimized [41]. Strains sharing the same allelic profile fall into the same circle, whose size is proportional to the number of strains with the profile. Clonal complexes were defined

as groups of strains including a founder genotype and its corresponding single-locus variants. Clonal complexes are shown in shaded area in MST. The PCR fingerprinting and MLST method were performed as described previously [20,21].

Reproducibility

The reproducibility of the microsatellite method was determined by analysis of the microsatellite genotypes by using the same or different DNA preparations obtained from the same isolated and assessed systematically by including a references strain as a control in each run.

Statistical analysis

Allelic and genotypic frequencies were determined using ARLEQUIN (version 2.000) software and the DP of the markers was calculated as described by Hunter and Gaston [29].

Abbreviations

MLST: Multi locus sequence typing; RAPD: Randomly amplified polymorphic DNA; PFGE: Pulsed field gel electrophoresis; DSTs: Diploid sequence types; TRF: Tandem repeat finder; FAM: 6-carboxyfluorescein; HEX: 6-carboxyhexafluorescein; ROX: 5-carboxy-x-rhodamine; TRMRA: 6-carboxytetramethylrhodamine; DP: Discriminatory power.

Competing interests

The authors declare that they have no competing interests.

Authors' contributions

WY conceived the project, performed primer design, PCR, capillary electrophoresis, analyzed data and wrote the manuscript. HJZ assisted in data analysis, and constructed the phylogenetic tree. JC performed the PCR-fingerprinting. LWG carried out culturing of the isolates. BFN and YSB help in the related experiment. ZLJ gave some suggestions for the manuscript. LJX conceived the study, supervised the research and revised the manuscript. All authors read and approved the final manuscript.

Authors' information

Yuan Wu PhD Associate Professor, ICDC, China CDC, Beijing China. Hai jian Zhou Master degree Assistant Professor, ICDC, China CDC, Beijing China.

Jie Che B.S. Practicing Researcher, ICDC, China CDC, Beijing China. Wen ge Li Senior technician, ICDC, China CDC, Beijing China. Fu ning Bian B.S. Master student, ICDC, China CDC, Beijing China. Shuan bao Yu B.S. Master student, ICDC, China CDC, Beijing China. Li juan Zhang MD Assistant Professor and gynecologist, Department of Gynecology and Obstetrics, Beijing Obstetrics and Gynecology Hospital, Capital Medical University, Beijing, China Jin xing Lu B.S. Professor, ICDC, China CDC, Beijing China.

Acknowledgements

We thank for PhD Daniel R Knight from University of Western Australia for his check of the manuscript. This research was supported by the National Natural Science Foundation of China (Youth Project no. 81301409), the National Sci-Tech Key Project (grant no. 2013ZX10004203-002), and the National Key Technology Support Program (grant no. 2012BAI11B05).

Author details

¹State Key Laboratory for Infectious Disease Prevention and Control, Collaborative Innovation Center for Diagnosis and Treatment of Infectious Diseases, National Institute for Communicable Disease Control and Prevention, Chinese Center for Disease Control and Prevention, Chang bai Road 155, Chang ping District, Beijing, China. ²Department of Gynecology and Obstetrics, Beijing Obstetrics and Gynecology Hospital, Capital Medical University, Beijing, China.

Received: 6 May 2014 Accepted: 10 September 2014 Published online: 20 November 2014

References

- St-Germain G, Laverdiere M, Pelletier R, Rene P, Bourgault AM, Lemieux C, Libman M: Epidemiology and antifungal susceptibility of bloodstream Candida isolates in Quebec: Report on 453 cases between 2003 and 2005. Can J Infect Dis Med Microbiol 2008, 19(1):55–62.
- Shimizu K, Hattori H, Adachi H, Oshima R, Horii T, Tanaka R, Yaguchi T, Tomita Y, Akiyama M, Kawamoto F, Kanbe T: Microsatellite-based genotyping of Candida albicans isolated from patients with superficial candidiasis. *Med Mycol J* 2011, 52(2):129–138.
- Wisplinghoff H, Bischoff T, Tallent SM, Seifert H, Wenzel RP, Edmond MB: Nosocomial bloodstream infections in US hospitals: analysis of 24,179 cases from a prospective nationwide surveillance study. *Clin Infect Dis* 2004, 39(3):309–317.
- Pfaller MA, Jones RN, Doern GV, Sader HS, Hollis RJ, Messer SA: International surveillance of bloodstream infections due to Candida species: frequency of occurrence and antifungal susceptibilities of isolates collected in, the United States, Canada, and South America for the SENTRY Program. The SENTRY Participant Group. J Clin Microbiol 1998, 36(7):1886–1889.
- Chalmers C, Gaur S, Chew J, Wright T, Kumar A, Mathur S, Wan WY, Gould IM, Leanord A, Bal AM: Epidemiology and management of candidaemia–a retrospective, multicentre study in five hospitals in the UK. *Mycoses* 2011, 54(6):e795–e800.
- Bedini A, Venturelli C, Mussini C, Guaraldi G, Codeluppi M, Borghi V, Rumpianesi F, Barchiesi F, Esposito R: Epidemiology of candidaemia and antifungal susceptibility patterns in an Italian tertiary-care hospital. *Clin Microbiol Infect* 2006, 12(1):75–80.
- Chen CY, Chen YC, Tang JL, Yao M, Huang SY, Tsai W, Shen MC, Wang CH, Tien HF: Hepatosplenic fungal infection in patients with acute leukemia in Taiwan: incidence, treatment, and prognosis. *Ann Hematol* 2003, 82(2):93–97.
- Colombo AL, Nucci M, Park BJ, Nouer SA, Arthington-Skaggs B, da Matta DA, Warnock D, Morgan J: Epidemiology of candidemia in Brazil: a nationwide sentinel surveillance of candidemia in eleven medical centers. J Clin Microbiol 2006, 44(8):2816–2823.
- Lewis RE: Overview of the changing epidemiology of candidemia. Curr Med Res Opin 2009, 25(7):1732–1740.
- Butler G, Rasmussen MD, Lin MF, Santos MA, Sakthikumar S, Munro CA, Rheinbay E, Grabherr M, Forche A, Reedy JL, Aqrafioti I, Amaud MB, Bates S, Brown AJ, Brunke S, Costanzo MC, Fitzpatrick DA, de Groot PW, Harris D, Hoyer LL, Hube B, Klis FM, Kodira C, Lennard N, Logue ME, Martin R, Neiman AM, Nikolaou E, Quail MA, Quinn J, *et al*: Evolution of pathogenicity and sexual reproduction in eight Candida genomes. *Nature* 2009, 459(7247):657–662.
- Chou HH, Lo HJ, Chen KW, Liao MH, Li SY: Multilocus sequence typing of Candida tropicalis shows clonal cluster enriched in isolates with resistance or trailing growth of fluconazole. *Diagn Microbiol Infect Dis* 2007, 58(4):427–433.
- 12. Lin CY, Chen YC, Lo HJ, Chen KW, Li SY: Assessment of Candida glabrata strain relatedness by pulsed-field gel electrophoresis and multilocus sequence typing. J Clin Microbiol 2007, **45**(8):2452–2459.
- Tavanti A, Davidson AD, Johnson EM, Maiden MC, Shaw DJ, Gow NA, Odds FC: Multilocus sequence typing for differentiation of strains of Candida tropicalis. J Clin Microbiol 2005, 43(11):5593–5600.
- Desnos-Ollivier M, Bretagne S, Bernede C, Robert V, Raoux D, Chachaty E, Forget E, Lacroix C, Dromer F: Clonal population of flucytosine-resistant Candida tropicalis from blood cultures. *Paris. France Emerg Infect Dis* 2008, 14(4):557–565.
- Odds FC, Jacobsen MD: Multilocus sequence typing of pathogenic Candida species. Eukaryot Cell 2008, 7(7):1075–1084.
- Correia A, Sampaio P, Almeida J, Pais C: Study of molecular epidemiology of candidiasis in portugal by PCR fingerprinting of Candida clinical isolates. J Clin Microbiol 2004, 42(12):5899–5903.
- Baires-Varguez L, Cruz-Garcia A, Villa-Tanaka L, Sanchez-Garcia S, Gaitan-Cepeda LA, Sanchez-Vargas LO, Quindos G, Hernandez-Rodriguez C: Comparison of a randomly amplified polymorphic DNA (RAPD) analysis and ATB ID 32C system for identification of clinical isolates of different Candida species. *Rev Iberoam Micol* 2007, 24(2):148–151.
- 18. Chen KW, Chen YC, Lin YH, Chou HH, Li SY: The molecular epidemiology of serial Candida tropicalis isolates from ICU patients as revealed by

multilocus sequence typing and pulsed-field gel electrophoresis. Infect Genet Evol 2009, 9(5):912–920.

- Doebbeling BN, Lehmann PF, Hollis RJ, Wu LC, Widmer AF, Voss A, Pfaller MA: Comparison of pulsed-field gel electrophoresis with isoenzyme profiles as a typing system for Candida tropicalis. *Clin Infect Dis* 1993, 16(3):377–383.
- Wu Y, Zhou H, Wang J, Li L, Li W, Cui Z, Chen X, Cen R, Lu J, Cheng Y: Analysis of the clonality of Candida tropicalis strains from a general hospital in Beijing using multilocus sequence typing. *PLoS One* 2012, 7(11):e47767.
- Dassanayake RS, Samaranayake YH, Yau J, Samaranayake LP: DNA fingerprinting elicited evolutionary trend of oral Candida tropicalis isolates from diverse geographic locales. *Indian J Med Microbiol* 2006, 24(3):186–194.
- Romeo O, Delfino D, Cascio A, Lo Passo C, Amorini M, Romeo D, Pernice I: Microsatellite-based genotyping of Candida parapsilosis *sensu stricto* isolates reveals dominance and persistence of a particular epidemiological clone among neonatal intensive care unit patients. *Infect Genet Evol* 2013, 13:105–108.
- Amouri I, Sellami H, Abbes S, Hadrich I, Mahfoudh N, Makni H, Ayadi A: Microsatellite analysis of Candida isolates from recurrent vulvovaginal candidiasis. J Med Microbiol 2012, 61(Pt 8):1091–1096.
- Garcia-Hermoso D, MacCallum DM, Lott TJ, Sampaio P, Serna MJ, Grenouillet F, Klaassen CH, Bretagne S: Multicenter collaborative study for standardization of Candida albicans genotyping using a polymorphic microsatellite marker. J Clin Microbiol 2010, 48(7):2578–2581.
- Abbes S, Sellami H, Sellami A, Hadrich I, Amouri I, Mahfoudh N, Neji S, Makni F, Makni H, Ayadi A: Candida glabrata strain relatedness by new microsatellite markers. Eur J Clin Microbiol Infect Dis 2012, 31(1):83–91.
- Foulet F, Nicolas N, Eloy O, Botterel F, Gantier JC, Costa JM, Bretagne S: Microsatellite marker analysis as a typing system for Candida glabrata. *J Clin Microbiol* 2005, 43(9):4574–4579.
- 27. Sabino R, Sampaio P, Rosado L, Stevens DA, Clemons KV, Pais C: New polymorphic microsatellite markers able to distinguish among Candida parapsilosis *sensu stricto* isolates. *J Clin Microbiol* 2010, **48**(5):1677–1682.
- Lasker BA, Butler G, Lott TJ: Molecular genotyping of Candida parapsilosis group I clinical isolates by analysis of polymorphic microsatellite markers. J Clin Microbiol 2006, 44(3):750–759.
- Hunter PR, Gaston MA: Numerical index of the discriminatory ability of typing systems: an application of Simpson's index of diversity. J Clin Microbiol 1988, 26(11):2465–2466.
- Jacobsen MD, Davidson AD, Li SY, Shaw DJ, Gow NA, Odds FC: Molecular phylogenetic analysis of Candida tropicalis isolates by multi-locus sequence typing. *Fungal Genet Biol* 2008, 45(6):1040–1042.
- 31. Araujo R, Amorim A, Gusmao L: Diversity and specificity of microsatellites within Aspergillus section Fumigati. *BMC Microbiol* 2012, **12**:154.
- Fisher MC, Aanensen D, de Hoog S, Vanittanakom N: Multilocus microsatellite typing system for Penicillium marneffei reveals spatially structured populations. J Clin Microbiol 2004, 42(11):5065–5069.
- Ji HP, Morales S, Welch K, Yuen C, Farnam K, Ford JM: Identification of a novel deletion mutant strain in that results in a microsatellite instability phenotype. *Biodiscovery* 2012, 23(1).
- Shemer R, Weissman Z, Hashman N, Kornitzer D: A highly polymorphic degenerate microsatellite for molecular strain typing of Candida krusei. *Microbiolo* 2001, 147(Pt 8):2021–2028.
- Enache-Angoulvant A, Bourget M, Brisse S, Stockman-Pannier C, Diancourt L, Francois N, Rimek D, Fairhead C, Poulain D, Hennequin C: Multilocus microsatellite markers for molecular typing of Candida glabrata: application to analysis of genetic relationships between bloodstream and digestive system isolates. J Clin Microbiol 2010, 48(11):4028–4034.
- Karaoglu H, Lee CM, Carter D, Meyer W: Development of polymorphic microsatellite markers for Cryptococcus neoformans. *Mol Ecol Resour* 2008, 8(5):1136–1138.
- Hanafy A, Kaocharoen S, Jover-Botella A, Katsu M, Iida S, Kogure T, Gonoi T, Mikami Y, Meyer W: Multilocus microsatellite typing for Cryptococcus neoformans var. grubii. *Med Mycol* 2008, 46(7):685–696.
- Sampaio P, Gusmão L, Correia A, Alves C, Rodrigues AG, Pina-Vaz C, Amorim A, Pais C: New microsatellite multiplex PCR for Candida albicans strain typing reveals microevolutionary changes. J Clin Microbiol 2005, 43(8):3869–3876.
- Saghrouni F, Ben Abdeljelil J, Boukadida J, Ben Said M: Molecular methods for strain typing of Candida albicans: a review. J Appl Microbiol 2013, 114(6):1559–1574.

- White T, Bruns T, Lee S, Taylor J: Amplification and direct sequencing of fungal ribosomal RNA genes for phylogenetics. PCR protocols: a guide to methods and applications. San Diego, California: Academic Press; 1990:315–322.
- Schouls LM, van der Heide HG, Vauterin L, Vauterin P, Mooi FR: Multiple-locus variable-number tandem repeat analysis of Dutch Bordetella pertussis strains reveals rapid genetic changes with clonal expansion during the late 1990s. J Bacteriol 2004, 186(16):5496–5505.

doi:10.1186/s12866-014-0245-z

Cite this article as: Wu *et al.*: **Multilocus microsatellite markers for molecular typing of** *Candida tropicalis* isolates. *BMC Microbiology* 2014 14:245.

Submit your next manuscript to BioMed Central and take full advantage of:

- Convenient online submission
- Thorough peer review
- No space constraints or color figure charges
- Immediate publication on acceptance
- Inclusion in PubMed, CAS, Scopus and Google Scholar

BioMed Central

(

• Research which is freely available for redistribution

Submit your manuscript at www.biomedcentral.com/submit