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#### Abstract

New tris-amidinate actinide (Th, U) complexes containing a rare O-bound terminal phosphaethynolate $\left(\mathrm{OCP}^{-}\right)$ligand were synthesized and fully characterized. The cyanate $\left(\mathrm{OCN}^{-}\right)$and thiocyanate ( $\mathrm{SCN}^{-}$) analogs were prepared for comparison and feature a preferential N -coordination to the actinide metals. The $\mathrm{Th}(\mathrm{amid})_{3}(\mathrm{OCP})$ complex reacts with $\mathrm{Ni}(C O D)_{2}$ to yield the heterobimetallic adduct (amid) $)_{3} \operatorname{Th}(\mu$ $\left.\eta^{1}(O): \eta^{2}(C, P)-O C P\right) N i(C O D)$ featuring an unprecedented reduced (OCP ${ }^{-}$) bent fragment bridging the two metals.


Efficient synthetic routes to the phosphaethynolate ion ( $\mathrm{OCP}^{-}$) the phosphorus analog of the cyanate anion - only appeared recently. ${ }^{1,2}$ Since then, reports by the Grützmacher and Goicoechea groups have shown that $\mathrm{OCP}^{-}$exhibits a rich cycloaddition and redox chemistry which allowed the synthesis of a variety of phosphorus-containing organic derivatives. ${ }^{1,3-8}$ Particular interest arises from two recent studies which showed that OCP ${ }^{-}$ could act as a P-transfer reagent when treated with imidazolium salts ${ }^{9}$ or cyclotrisilene, ${ }^{10}$ suggesting that phosphaethynolate could be used as a convenient phosphide source. Recent computational studies also predicted the possibility to generate transition metal phosphides from M-PCO precursors through carbonyl loss. ${ }^{11}$ However the high reactivity of this anion can be difficult to control and generally yields diverse, unwanted decomposition products. ${ }^{12}$ This likely explains why thus far only a single example of a phosphaethynolate transition metal complex has been documented, $\operatorname{Re}(\mathrm{P}=\mathrm{C}=\mathrm{O})(\mathrm{CO})_{2}$ (triphos) (triphos $=\mathrm{MeC}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}{ }^{-}\right.$ $\left.\left.\mathrm{PPh}_{2}\right)_{3}\right),{ }^{12}$ featuring a terminal $\left(\mathrm{OCP}^{-}\right)$ligand which is P -bound to $\operatorname{Re}(\mathrm{I})$ and strongly bent around the pnictogen center (Fig. 1). While recent work by Grützmacher and coworkers has shown that OCP ${ }^{-}$ possesses an ambident nucleophilicity, ${ }^{13}$ several questions remain to be answered concerning its interaction with metal centers and how this compares with its cyanate and thiocyanate

[^0]counterparts, as well as determining the reactivity profile of the M-bound phosphaethynolate species.

We therefore targeted the use of $\mathrm{Na}(\mathrm{OCP})$ (dioxane) ${ }_{n}{ }^{2}$ as a source of the $\mathrm{OCP}^{-}$ligand in order to explore its coordination properties with actinides. We reasoned that these oxophilic metals were suitable candidates to polarize the OCP ${ }^{-}$moiety. Interaction of heteroallenes with actinide species has attracted substantial interest over the past few years notably due to the propensity of low-valent actinides to activate small molecules $\left(\mathrm{CO}_{2},{ }^{15-22} \mathrm{CS}_{2},{ }^{20,23-26}\right.$ azides $\left.{ }^{27-33}\right)$. Original uranium-mediated formations of cyanate involving reductive co-coupling of CO and $\mathrm{NO}^{34,35}$ and carbonylation of terminal nitrido ${ }^{36}$ or silylimido ${ }^{37}$ uranium derivatives have also been described. Here we report a series of uranium and thorium tris-amidinate complexes featuring linear $\mathrm{OCP}^{-}, \mathrm{OCN}^{-}$and $\mathrm{SCN}^{-}$ligands as well as preliminary reactivity studies involving the actinidebound phosphaethynolate moiety with $\mathrm{Ni}(0)$.

Salt-metathesis reactions between the tris-amidinate chloride precursors $\operatorname{MCl}(\mathrm{amid})_{3}\left(\mathbf{1} \mathrm{M}=\mathrm{U}^{38} ; \mathbf{2} \mathbf{M}=\mathrm{Th}\right.$; amid $=N, N^{\prime}$-bis(trimethylsilyl)benzamidinate) and $\mathrm{Na}(\mathrm{OCP})$ (dioxane) $)_{2.9}$ affords the desired phosphaethynolate complexes $\mathrm{M}(\mathrm{OCP})(\mathrm{amid})_{3}(3 \mathrm{M}=$ $\mathrm{U} ; \mathbf{4} \mathrm{M}=\mathrm{Th}$ ) as block-shaped crystals in $76 \%$ and $63 \%$ isolated yields, respectively (Scheme 1). Both compounds exhibit ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR resonance patterns in agreement with $C_{3}$-symmetric solution species. The ${ }^{31} \mathrm{P}$ NMR resonance for the OCP moiety in $4\left(\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{D}_{6}\right.$, 293 K ) is significantly shifted downfield ( $\delta=-334 \mathrm{ppm}$ ) compared to that reported for $\operatorname{Re}(\mathrm{P}=\mathrm{C}=\mathrm{O})(\mathrm{CO})_{2}(\text { triphos })^{12}(\delta=-398 \mathrm{ppm})$



Fig. 1 Left: structure of $\operatorname{Re}(\mathrm{PCO})(\mathrm{CO})_{2}$ (triphos) (triphos $=\mathrm{MeC}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}-\right.$ $\left.\mathrm{PPh}_{2}\right)_{3}$ ). ${ }^{14}$ Right: resonance structures for the $\mathrm{OCP}^{-}$anion.


$5 \mathrm{M}=\mathrm{U}$, green crystals, $63 \%$ $6 \mathrm{M}=\mathrm{Th}$, colorless crystals, $40 \%$

$7 \mathrm{M}=\mathrm{U}$, green crystals, $80 \%$ $8 \mathrm{M}=\mathrm{Th}$, colorless crystals, $65 \%$

Scheme 1 Synthesis of complexes $M(O C P)(\text { amid })_{3}(3 M=U ; 4 M=$ Th), $M(O C N)(\text { amid })_{3}(5 M=U ; 6 M=T h)$, and $M(S C N)(\text { amid })_{3}(7 M=U$; $8 \mathrm{M}=\mathrm{Th}) . \mathrm{TMS}=\mathrm{SiMe}_{3}$.
and alkali and alkaline earth phosphaethynolate salts $\left(\delta\left({ }^{31} \mathrm{P}\right)\right.$ range: -362 to $-397 \mathrm{ppm})^{1,2,39}$ Due to the paramagnetism of the $\mathrm{U}(\mathrm{Iv})$ center, the ${ }^{31} \mathrm{P}$ NMR signal for 3 is observed at even higher frequency ( $\delta=-285 \mathrm{ppm}$ ). Compounds 3 and 4 feature strong IR absorption bands at almost identical wavenumbers $\left(1685 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}\right.$ for 3; $1683 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$ for 4) corresponding to the $\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{O}$ stretching vibrational mode of the $\mathrm{OCP}^{-}$ligand. This feature appears at lower energy than that found in $\operatorname{Re}(\mathrm{P}=\mathrm{C}=\mathrm{O})(\mathrm{CO})_{2}($ triphos $)\left(1860 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}\right)$ and alkali $\mathrm{OCP}^{-}$salts ( 1730 to $1780 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$ ), which indicates weakening of the $\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{O}$ bond order. As evidenced both by the low wavenumber IR absorption of $\nu_{\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{o}}$ and the downfield ${ }^{31} \mathrm{P}$ NMR chemical shift, the $\mathrm{OCP}^{-}$moiety in $\mathbf{3}$ and $\mathbf{4}$ is best described as a phosphaalkyne-type limiting resonance structure (see Fig. 1) in contrast with the P-bound phosphaketene-type $\operatorname{Re}(\mathrm{P}=\mathrm{C}=\mathrm{O})(\mathrm{CO})_{2}(\text { triphos })^{12}$ species. Altogether, these spectroscopic data suggest substantial strengthening of the $\mathrm{C} \equiv \mathrm{P}$ bond upon coordination to the oxophilic actinide centers.

The O-coordination of the OCP ${ }^{-}$fragment is confirmed by X-ray crystallography (Fig. 2-top). Complex 4 crystallized as two independent molecules in the asymmetric unit, one of which featured an $\mathrm{OCP}^{-}$group disordered over two positions with the $\mathrm{C} \equiv \mathrm{P}$ motif pointing to two different directions in a $45: 55$ ratio. The discussion of metrical parameters for 4 is, therefore, performed on the non-disordered molecule only. The thorium and uranium analogs adopt $C_{3}$-symmetry, with the three bidentate amidinate ligands wrapping around the actinide in a propellerlike geometry, and the $\mathrm{OCP}^{-}$ligand pointing in the axial


Fig. 2 Solid-state molecular structures of compounds 3 (top), 5 (middle) and 7 (bottom) determined by single-crystal X-ray diffraction. Ellipsoids are represented with $50 \%$ probability. Metrical parameters are reported in ESI. $\dagger$
position. The $\mathrm{O}-\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{P}\left(179.1(4)^{\circ}\right.$ in $3 ; 179.7(4)^{\circ}$ in 4$)$ and $\mathrm{An}-\mathrm{O}-\mathrm{C}$ $\left(170.9(3)^{\circ}\right.$ in $3 ; 176.4(3)^{\circ}$ in 4) angles are close to linearity. The $\mathrm{C} \equiv \mathrm{P}(1.576(5) \AA$ in $3 ; 1.561(4) \AA$ in 4$)$ and C-O (1.219(6) Å in 3 ; 1.246(4) A in 4) bond lengths are in the expected range (for comparison $\mathrm{C} \equiv \mathrm{P}=1.579(3) \AA$; C-O 1.212(4) $\AA$ in $[\mathrm{K}([18]$ crown$6)][\mathrm{PCO}]),{ }^{1}$ with a strengthening of the $\mathrm{C} \equiv \mathrm{P}$ triple bond and a weakening of the $\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{O}$ bond when compared with the metrical parameters computed for the $\mathrm{OCP}^{-}$anion ( $\mathrm{C} \equiv \mathrm{P}=1.625 \AA$; C-O $1.203 \AA) .{ }^{12}$ The U-O (2.297(3) Å) bond length is slightly shorter than that for Th-O (2.318(2) $\AA)$, which is consistent with the larger ionic radius of $\operatorname{Th}(\mathrm{Iv}) .^{40}$ Both distances are in the usual range and fall in between those found in actinide complexes of strongly donating aryloxide or siloxide ligands and An-O dative interactions. ${ }^{41-46}$ The $\mathrm{U}-\mathrm{N}_{\mathrm{amid}}$ and $\mathrm{Th}-\mathrm{N}_{\mathrm{amid}}$ bond distances average respectively $2.44(3) \AA$ and $2.49(3) \AA$ and compare well with related compounds. ${ }^{38,47-49}$

The cyanate and thiocyanate counterparts 5-8 (Scheme 1) were prepared similarly, allowing a direct comparison of
structurally analogous compounds. The ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR patterns for these species are like those of compounds $\mathbf{1 - 4}$, in agreement with An(Iv) symmetric species in solution. The IR C-N stretches for compounds 5-8 (2199 cm ${ }^{-1}$ in 5, $2200 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$ in $6,2021 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$ in 7 and $2018 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$ in 8) are of high intensities and fall in the range of previously reported N -bound cyanate ${ }^{36,37,50}$ and thiocyanate ${ }^{51,52}$ actinide compounds. The solid-state molecular structures for compounds 5-8, (uranium derivatives shown in Fig. 2) feature cyanate and thiocyanate moieties that are bound to the actinides in a linear fashion through the N -donor. The $\mathrm{An}-\mathrm{N}_{\mathrm{OCN}}(2.340(3) \AA$ in $5,2.410(2) \AA$ in 6$)$ and $\mathrm{An}-\mathrm{N}_{\mathrm{SCN}}(2.385(4)$ $\AA$ in $7,2.428(4) \AA$ in 8 ) bond distances compare well with those reported for structurally characterized An(rv) cyanate and thiocyanate complexes. ${ }^{36,37,50-53}$ Overall, the heteroallene binding mode in these systems is similar for $\mathrm{U}(\mathrm{iv})$ and $\operatorname{Th}(\mathrm{rv})$. The most striking difference is the preferred O -coordination for $\mathrm{OCP}^{-} v s$. N -coordination in the case of $\mathrm{OCN}^{-}$and $\mathrm{SCN}^{-}$.

Two major limiting resonance structures (Fig. 1) have to be taken into account to describe these ambiphilic heteroallene anions. Since $\mathrm{OCN}^{-}$binds actinides through the N -terminus, at first glance, one could have expected $\mathrm{OCP}^{-}$to behave similarly and bind through the pnictide donor, as is the case in $\operatorname{Re}(\mathrm{XCO})(\mathrm{CO})_{2}($ triphos $)(\mathrm{X}=\mathrm{P}, \mathrm{N}) .{ }^{12} \mathrm{Or}$, due to the oxophilicity of actinides, both could bind through the oxygen terminus. In fact, the difference in selectivity observed experimentally correlates with the computed partial charge in the $\mathrm{OCP}^{-}$anion $(q(\mathrm{O})=$ $-0.65 ; q(\mathrm{P})=-0.44)$ and in the $\mathrm{OCN}^{-}$anion $(q(\mathrm{O})=-0.75 ; q(\mathrm{~N})$ $=-0.81) ;{ }^{12}$ the preference is thus charge-driven.

DFT calculations are in line with the experimental observations and show that the N -bound mode is preferred with cyanate and thiocyanate anions, while the O-bound one is favored for the phosphaethynolate anion. This observation contrasts with the few previous studies which reported that the P-bound products are thermodynamically preferred; ${ }^{11-13}$ the O bound complex 4 is $7.7 \mathrm{kcal} \mathrm{mol}^{-1}$ lower in energy than in the hypothetical P-bound analogue (Table 1). IR calculations are also in line with the experiment as the CO stretching frequency is $235 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$ lower for the O-bound complex $4\left(1666 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}\right)$ than for the P-bound ( $1901 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$ ). In the case of the NCO ligand, the computed CO stretching frequency of Th-NCO $\left(2230 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}\right)$ is $15 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$ lower than in Th-OCN ( $2245 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$ ). In the same way, for SCN, the $\mathrm{C}=\mathrm{N}$ stretch is computed to be lower by $132 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$ for Th-NCS ( $2016 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$ ) over Th-SCN ( $2148 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$ ). These two sets of calculations fit with the experiment.

Table 1 DFT computed energy difference between the different coordination isomers in $\mathrm{kcal} \mathrm{mol}^{-1}$

|  |  | $\Delta E / \mathrm{kcal} \mathrm{mol}^{-1}$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Th | Th-OCP/Th-PCO | -7.7 |
|  | Th-NCO/Th-OCN | -13.4 |
|  | Th-NCS/Th-SCN | -17.5 |
|  | U-OCP/U-PCO | -6.2 |
|  | U-NCO/U-OCN | -15.4 |
|  | U-NCS/U-SCN | -19.4 |

Th
NCO/Th
-13.4
-17.5
-15.4
-19.4

NBO analysis of 4 indicates that the O -bound complex is preferred over the P-bound analog because of the donation from the lone pairs of the oxygen atom to the empty hybrid d/f orbital of the metal (Wiberg index of 0.42). It is worthy to note that there is no interaction between the $\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{P}$ and the $\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{O}$ bonds; the molecular orbitals within the $\mathrm{OCP}^{-}$unit are localized onto either one or another. Contrarily, when $\mathrm{OCP}^{-}$is coordinated through the lone pair of the phosphorus, a more covalent interaction is observed (Wiberg index of 0.90). Moreover, there is also a strong interaction between the lone pairs of the oxygen and the Th-P bond, giving rise to the formation of an allylic-type interaction between the three centers ( $\mathrm{P}, \mathrm{C}$ and O ). As thorium prefers to be rather ionic, the O -bound configuration is the most energetically prominent isomer.

For the $\mathrm{XCN}^{-}$anions $(\mathrm{X}=\mathrm{O}, \mathrm{S})$, the N -bound derivatives are respectively $13.4 \mathrm{kcal} \mathrm{mol}^{-1}$ and $17.5 \mathrm{kcal} \mathrm{mol}^{-1}$ lower in energy than the O- and S-bound ones for Th (15.4 and $19.4 \mathrm{kcal} \mathrm{mol}^{-1}$ for U ). The computed $\Delta E$ are surprisingly greater for $\mathrm{OCN}^{-}$than for $\mathrm{OCP}^{-}$given that the terminus charge density difference is more pronounced in $\mathrm{OCP}^{-}$compared to $\mathrm{OCN}^{-}$and the hard/ soft mismatch is stronger for An-P bonding. This suggests that the P - $v s$. O-coordination selectivity is subtle in phosphaethynolate metal derivatives, with $\mathrm{OCP}^{-}$behaving as an ambident Lewis base depending on the nature of the metal.

We have carried out preliminary reactivity investigations involving 4. Formation of $\mathrm{SCP}^{-}$has been observed upon reaction of alkali salts of the $\mathrm{OCP}^{-}$anion with $\mathrm{CS}_{2}$; compound 4 , however, is stable with respect to $[2+2]$ cycloaddition with $\mathrm{CS}_{2}$. Since the P-atom in 4 is protruding above the TMS groups, we reasoned that it could be accessible and act as a soft-donor to bind late transition metals. Accordingly, treatment of 4 with one equivalent of $\mathrm{Ni}(C O D)_{2}$ results in a strong darkening of the solution and leads to the heterobimetallic adduct $(\mathrm{amid})_{3} \mathrm{Th}(\mu-$ $\left.\eta^{1}(\mathrm{O}): \eta^{2}(\mathrm{C}, \mathrm{P})-\mathrm{OCP}\right) \mathrm{Ni}(\mathrm{COD}) 9$ (Scheme 2). While 9 is the sole product resulting from the reaction of 4 with $\mathrm{Ni}(\mathrm{COD})_{2}$, NMR studies performed in benzene solution show that these species are in equilibrium. Unfortunately, these compounds exhibit similar solubility in common solvents, therefore preventing the quantitative isolation of 9 in pure form. The ${ }^{31} \mathrm{P}$ NMR spectrum of 9 shows a drastic downfield shift of the signal $\left(\delta\left({ }^{31} \mathrm{P}\right)=-7.7\right.$ ppm ) compared to $\mathbf{4}$ which is indicative of strong rearrangement of the phosphaethynolate moiety. Strong deshielding of the phosphorus atom is typically observed in related $\eta^{2}$-phosphaalkene derivatives. ${ }^{54-59}$ The presence of an asymmetric COD environment in 9 is confirmed by ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR which features two vinylic resonances at $\delta=6.1$ and 5.5 ppm .

Single-crystal X-ray diffraction analysis of 9 established unequivocally the formation of a three-membered nickel phosphametallacycle (C1-P1-Ni1 $\left.=57.4(2)^{\circ}\right)$ resulting from the addition of the $\mathrm{Ni}(0)$ center across the $\mathrm{C} \equiv \mathrm{P}$ bond. The most striking feature of this structure (depicted in Fig. 3) is the strong bending of the $\mathrm{OCP}^{-}$moiety ( $\mathrm{P}-\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{O}$ angle $=148.1(3)^{\circ}$; Th-O-C angle $\left.=157.5(3)^{\circ}\right)$ bridging the two metals in an unprecedented $\mu-\eta^{1}(\mathrm{O}): \eta^{2}(\mathrm{C}, \mathrm{P})$ fashion. This is indicative of strong backbonding from the square-planar nickel center into the $\pi^{*}$ orbital of the ligated C-P unit. While these structural features are reminiscent of $\mathrm{Ni}(0)$ activation of phosphaalkynes ${ }^{54,59}$ and


Scheme 2 Synthesis of complex (amid) $)_{3} \operatorname{Th}\left(\mu-\eta^{1}(O): \eta^{2}(C, P)-O C P\right)$ $\mathrm{Ni}(\mathrm{COD})$ 9. $\mathrm{COD}=1,5$-cyclooctadiene.
heteroallenes, ${ }^{60-63}$ the coordination mode, geometry and therefore bonding situation of the $\mathrm{OCP}^{-}$moiety in 9 is unique. Electron-donation to the antibonding $\pi^{*}$ orbital results in significant elongation of the coordinated C-P bond length (1.660(4) $\AA$ ) from the corresponding value of $1.561(4) \AA$ found in 4 and falls in the range ( 1.630 to $1.694 \AA$ ) of side-on coordinated phosphaalkynes to nickel ${ }^{54,59}$ and other d-block metals. ${ }^{55-58}$ Both Ni1-C1 (1.895(4) $\AA$ ) and Ni1-P1 (2.1705(13) Å) bond distances are within the expected range and compare well with the related phosphaalkyne complex $\left[\mathrm{Ni}\left(\text { trop }_{2} \mathrm{NMe}\right)\left(\eta^{2}-\left(\mathrm{Ph}_{3} \mathrm{C}\right) \mathrm{C} \equiv \mathrm{P}\right)\right]^{59}$ (resp. $1.887(4)$ and $2.2188(13) \AA$ ). The C-O bond distance (1.287(5) $\AA)$ is also elongated compared to that of 4 , while the Th-O distance $(2.279(3) \AA)$ is shortened which further indicates higher electron density on the OCP ${ }^{-}$moiety.

Examination of the structure of 9 by DFT (see ESI $\dagger$ for computational details) provided an optimized structure reproducing the main experimental features. NBO analysis shows that the oxygen atom is interacting with the thorium metal center through an ionic bond involving donation from a lone pair of the oxygen to an unoccupied hybrid $\mathrm{d} / \mathrm{f}$ orbital of the actinide. The phosphaethynolate $\pi \mathrm{C} \equiv \mathrm{P}$ orbital overlaps with a d-orbital of the nickel to give the HOMO seen in Fig. 4.

In summary, this study has proven the utility of $\mathrm{Na}(\mathrm{OCP})(\text { dioxane })_{n}$ as a salt-metathesis reagent for accessing phosphaethynolate actinide complexes. Unlike the P-bound


Fig. 3 Solid-state molecular structure of compound 9 determined by single-crystal X-ray diffraction. Ellipsoids are represented with 50\% probability.


Fig. 4 Computed HOMO orbital for complex 9.
product favored with rhenium, O-bonding is preferred with actinides while cyanate and thiocyanate anions adopt N bonding. Actinide coordination polarizes the $\mathrm{OCP}^{-}$moiety and enhances its phosphaalkyne character. Addition of $\mathrm{Ni}(0)$ across the $\mathrm{C} \equiv \mathrm{P}$ bond of the Th -bound phosphaethynolate results in the formation of an unprecedented reduced $\mathrm{OCP}^{-}$moiety of bent-geometry bridging the two metals. These preliminary results pave the way towards the development of metal phosphaethynolate complexes both for reactivity purposes and to generate original heteropolymetallic architectures. Studies aiming at expanding actinide phosphaethynolate chemistry and uncovering the full range of reactivity of the metal-bound OCP ${ }^{-}$ moiety are ongoing in our group.
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