



# Article Magnesium Intake, C-Reactive Protein, and Muscle Mass in Adolescents

Yutong Dong <sup>1,2</sup>, Li Chen <sup>1</sup>, Bernard Gutin <sup>1</sup>, Ying Huang <sup>1</sup>, Yanbin Dong <sup>1</sup> and Haidong Zhu <sup>1,\*</sup>

- Georgia Prevention Institute, Department of Medicine, Medical College of Georgia, Augusta University, Augusta, GA 30912, USA; ytdong24@gmail.com (Y.D.); lichen1@augusta.edu (L.C.); bernardgutin@yahoo.com (B.G.); yihuang@augusta.edu (Y.H.); ydong@augusta.edu (Y.D.)
- <sup>2</sup> Internal Medicine Residency Program, Department of Medicine, New York University Langone Health, New York, NY 10016, USA
- \* Correspondence: hzhu@augusta.edu; Tel.: +1-706-721-5470; Fax: +1-706-721-7150

Abstract: Background: Adult studies have suggested that magnesium intake may regulate C-reactive protein (CRP) and muscle mass, known risk factors for cardiometabolic diseases. Given the large deficiencies in magnesium intake in adolescents, we aimed to investigate sex and race differences in dietary magnesium intake and test the hypothesis that lower magnesium intake is associated with higher CRP and lower muscle mass. Methods: A total of 766 black and white adolescents, 14 to 18 years old (51% black; 50% female) were previously recruited. Diet was assessed with four to seven independent 24-h recalls. Body composition was measured by dual-energy X-ray absorptiometry. High-sensitivity CRP (hs-CRP), leptin, resistin, and adiponectin were measured using fasting blood samples by ELISA. Results: There were sex and race differences in the daily consumption of magnesium. The average daily magnesium intakes were 200.66  $\pm$  7.09 mg and  $205.03 \pm 7.05$  mg for males and females, respectively, far below the recommended amounts of 410 mg for males and 360 mg for females. White subjects ( $217.95 \pm 6.81 \text{ mg/day}$ ) consumed more than black subjects (187.75  $\pm$  6.92 mg/day). Almost none of the adolescents met the recommendations. Adjusted multiple linear regressions revealed that lower magnesium intake was associated with higher hs-CRP and lower fat-free mass (FFM) (p-values < 0.05). Higher hs-CRP was associated with lower FFM. Moreover, an interaction between magnesium intake and hs-CRP on FFM was identified (p-value < 0.05). Lower magnesium intake amplified the inverse relationships between hs-CRP and FFM (p-values < 0.05). Conclusion: Magnesium consumption in our adolescents was far below daily recommended levels with male and black subjects consuming less than female and white subjects. Lower magnesium intake was associated with higher CRP and lower muscle mass. Low magnesium intake may also augment the inverse relationship between CRP and FFM.

Keywords: magnesium; inflammation; muscle mass; adolescents

# 1. Introduction

Magnesium (Mg) is an essential dietary element for humans involved in key biological processes. Hypomagnesemia is associated with muscle and bone metabolism conditions including sarcopenia and osteoporosis, neuromuscular conduction disorders, arrythmias including Torsade de pointes, and clinical hypocalcemia. Magnesium is known to be involved in various enzymatic, membrane, calcium antagonistic and structural functions. Magnesium is absorbed mainly from the intestinal tract via passive and active transport, where 99% would eventually be stored intracellularly. Active magnesium transport occurs through the combined actions of transient receptor potential melastatin-6 and 7 (TRPM6/7), which are apical membrane magnesium entry channels. A majority of the magnesium ion is ultimately filtered with some reabsorption in the renal tubules. A mutation in TRPM6 has been shown to lead to decreased absorption and increased renal wasting. Magnesium is suggested to be regulated by parathyroid hormone and the renin–angiotensin–aldosterone



Citation: Dong, Y.; Chen, L.; Gutin, B.; Huang, Y.; Dong, Y.; Zhu, H. Magnesium Intake, C-Reactive Protein, and Muscle Mass in Adolescents. *Nutrients* **2022**, *14*, 2882. https://doi.org/10.3390/nu14142882

Academic Editor: Ligia J. Dominguez

Received: 23 May 2022 Accepted: 11 July 2022 Published: 14 July 2022

**Publisher's Note:** MDPI stays neutral with regard to jurisdictional claims in published maps and institutional affiliations.



**Copyright:** © 2022 by the authors. Licensee MDPI, Basel, Switzerland. This article is an open access article distributed under the terms and conditions of the Creative Commons Attribution (CC BY) license (https:// creativecommons.org/licenses/by/ 4.0/). system (RAAS). It also serves as a secondary messenger for insulin signaling. Low serum magnesium is found in type 2 diabetic patients and is associated with insulin resistance [1]. Chronically low magnesium levels can induce low grade inflammation via imbalance of calcium homeostasis, oxidative stress from mitochondrial apoptosis and cytokine activation, myocardium electrical remodeling, chronic activation of RAAS, a well-established proinflammatory system and insulin resistance via signaling disruption [2].

Although low magnesium intake is an important risk factor for various diseases including cardiometabolic [3–5], the majority of American adults and adolescents consume inadequate dietary magnesium, a common trait of the Western diet [6,7]. In fact, approximately 60% of the US population consumed inadequate magnesium, with the greatest deficiencies occurring between ages 14 to 18 years old. The 2007–2010 National Health and Nutrition Examination Survey (NHANES) identified that 75% of males and 87% of females consumed less than their estimated average requirements in this age group [8].

Adult population studies have reported inverse associations of magnesium intake and cardiometabolic risks, including high inflammation and low muscle mass [9,10]. Furthermore, inflammation and muscle mass may be closely related, and more studies are needed to investigate their relationships [11–13]. A study on NHANES 2001–2010 in 14,338 adults found that lower magnesium intake (from food and supplements) was associated with increased cardiometabolic risks, including elevated *C*-reactive protein (CRP) levels. CRP is a key inflammatory molecule that can adversely affect cardiometabolic health [14–18].

A meta-analysis of magnesium intake in 24,473 adults suggested that magnesium may regulate our health through muscle activity [19]. Low muscle mass is also associated with increased cardiometabolic risks and mortality [20–22]. A study in adult women suggested that magnesium intake may attenuate the inverse relationship between CRP and muscle mass [9]. These results are supported by experimental animal studies, in which magnesium deficiency increased inflammation and reduced protein synthesis [23–25]. Despite our current knowledge, tremendous gaps remain concerning the relationships between magnesium intake and health risks in both adults and adolescents, particularly their underlying mechanisms [7]. Additionally, adolescent sex and race differences in dietary magnesium consumption in adolescents have yet to be investigated.

Data on magnesium intake and inflammation in adolescents is scarce. Few studies have reported the relationships among dietary magnesium intake, CRP, and muscle mass together in the youth population. This study had two major aims: (1) to determine the sex and race differences in dietary magnesium intake among adolescents; and (2) to test the hypothesis that lower magnesium intake is associated with a higher level of CRP and lower level of muscle mass.

## 2. Materials and Methods

## 2.1. Participants

A cross-sectional study was conducted in a cohort of apparently healthy black and white adolescents aged 14 to 18 years old (n = 766: 51% black; 50% females) [26]. Participants were recruited, from local public high schools in the Augusta, Georgia area (located in southeast US), to take part in the Lifestyle, Adiposity, and Cardiovascular Health in Youth (LACHY) study. Demographic information obtained from the school systems was used to select schools that enrolled both black and white students. After receiving approval from the county superintendents and school principals, flyers were distributed to all students in the selected schools. Subjects were asked to self-identify their ethnicity. Subjects who identified themselves as being white/Caucasian or black/African American were eligible for the study. There are relatively few youths from other racial/ethnic groups in the Augusta area, and they were excluded from the study. Interested students who responded to the flyers and called the institute to participate were screened over the telephone to determine preliminary eligibility. Participants were excluded with the following self-reported conditions: taking current medications or diagnosed with chronic medical conditions that could affect growth, maturation, physical activity (PA), nutritional status, or metabolism. Pregnant females

were also excluded from the study. Adolescents who passed the telephone screening were invited to the Georgia Prevention Institute, accompanied by their parents if they were minors, to learn about the study.

## 2.2. Ethics Statement

The Institutional Review Board at the Medical College of Georgia, Augusta University approved this project (Augusta, GA, USA, protocol #622505). Flyers, approved by school superintendents and principals, were distributed to selected high schools. Written informed consent was obtained from all adult subjects and parents/guardians of those younger than 18 years of age. Each participant was assigned a unique subject number. All data were anonymized and de-identified prior to analysis.

#### 2.3. Anthropometry and Body Composition

Demographic data, including age, sex, and race, were collected through questionnaire. Height and weight were obtained according to standard procedures, using a wall-mounted stadiometer (Tanita Corporation of American, Arlington Heights, IL, USA) and calibrated electronic scale (model CN2OL; Cardinal Detecto, Webb City, MO, USA). Prior to testing each week, the electronic scale was checked for accuracy using known weights. Body mass index (BMI) was calculated as weight (kg) divided by height (m<sup>2</sup>) [27].

Fat-free mass (FFM) mass and fat mass were assessed by dual-energy X-ray absorptiometry (QDR-4500W; Hologic Inc., Waltham, MA, USA). Anthropomorphic phantoms were scanned daily for quality assurance. For determination of measurement reproducibility, a one-way random-effects model, and single-measure intraclass correlation coefficients were calculated in participants 14–18 years of age (n = 219). Each participant was scanned twice within a 7 d period for FFM and fat mass (both  $r \ge 0.97$ ) [28].

#### 2.4. Biochemical Measurements and Sexual Development

Fasting blood samples were collected from participants and processed within one hour of blood collection. EDTA-plasma tubes were centrifuged at 3000 rpm  $\times$  10 min at 9 °C. The tiger top serum tubes were left to sit at room temperature for 30, then centrifuged at 3000 rpm  $\times$  10 min at 9 °C. Aliquots were stored at -80 °C until analysis. Plasma biomarkers were measured using high-sensitivity enzyme-linked immunosorbent assay (ELISA). ELISA is a technique to detect the presence of antigens in biological samples. An ELISA, like other types of immunoassays, relies on antibodies to detect a target antigen using highly specific antibody-antigen interactions. In an ELISA assay, the antigen is immobilized to a solid surface. This is done either directly or via the use of a capture antibody itself immobilized on the surface. The antigen is then complexed to a detection antibody conjugated with a molecule amenable for detection such as an enzyme or a fluorophore. Plasma high-sensitivity CRP (hs-CRP) concentrations were assayed using highsensitivity ELISA (ALPCO Diagnostics) and run in duplicate, with intra- and interassay coefficients of variation (CVs) of 10% and 10.2%, respectively. Adiponectin and resistin were measured in plasma that was assayed in duplicate by ELISA (Linco Research). Intraand interassay CVs were 7.4% and 8.4%, respectively, for plasma adiponectin and 3.2% and 7.1%, respectively, for plasma resistin. Serum leptin concentrations were assayed using ELISA (R & D Systems) and run in duplicate, with intra- and inter-assay CVs of 2.2% and 5.3%, respectively.

Sexual development of the participants was measured by a five-stage scale, ranging from 1 (prepubertal) to 5 (fully mature) as described by Tanner [29]. Using a sex-specific questionnaire, the subjects reported their sexual maturation stage by comparing their own physical development to the five stages in standard sets of diagrams. A parent or research coordinator then reviewed the results with the youth to make sure they understood the questionnaire. When an individual reported discordant stages of public hair and breast or genital development, the higher of the two stages was used.

# 2.5. Physical Activity

The total daily minutes spent for moderate and vigorous PA were assessed using MTI Actigraph monitors (model 7164; MTI Health Services, Fort Walton Beach, FL, USA), uniaxial accelerometers that measure vertical acceleration and deceleration. With epoch length set at 1 min and expressed as counts per minute, the accelerometers started recording when the subject left our institute after the first testing day. The subjects were instructed to (1) wear the monitor for a period of 7 days, (2) remove it for sleep, bathing, and any activity that may cause harm to either the monitor or another person (e.g., during contact sports), and (3) bring the monitor back to us after 1 week. Data from days 1 and 7 were discarded because a full day of information was not available. Movement counts were converted to average minutes per day spent in moderate (3–6 metabolic equivalents) and vigorous (>6 metabolic equivalents) PA by the software accompanying the device.

### 2.6. Dietary Assessment

Dietary intake was assessed with individual, non-consecutive, 24 h recalls that covered the period from midnight to midnight for the previous day. The first two recalls were conducted in person at our institute within 1 week of testing with the use of food models, portion booklets, or serving containers to assist in estimating serving size, and the remaining interviews were conducted by telephone weekly, with all 7 recalls completed within a period of 12 weeks. We sought to obtain 7 recalls from each participant, one for each day of the week. The dietary recalls were conducted by a trained dietitian or dietetic intern. The dietary recalls used the Nutritional Data System for Research (NDS-R 2006) (Nutrition Coordinating Center, University of Minnesota, Minneapolis, MN, USA), which utilizes a multiple pass, computer-assisted interview approach to quantify the food and nutrient intake of individuals, analyze the nutrient composition of menus, and calculate the nutrient composition of food recipes. To minimize subject fatigue with the recall process, which might negatively impact reliability, interviewers were trained in conducting the recalls until these could be routinely completed in 30 min or less. Subjects were not interviewed if they had been ill on the recall day or when the recall day fell on a major holiday. To minimize the potential for under-eating during the time frame for 24 h recalls, subjects were blinded to the telephone recall schedule [28]. Adolescents (95%) provided at least four recalls. Fifty-two percent of the adolescents had recalls for all 7 days.

## 2.7. Statistical Analysis

Descriptive statistics for variables are presented as mean  $\pm$  standard deviation. Prior to analysis, homogeneity of variances for all variables was checked using Levene's test for equality of variances. Race and sex differences were checked for the characteristics assessed, using one-way ANOVA. Pearson Chi-squared test was used to analyze for significant associations between sex and race. Significance was determined when *p*-values were less than 0.05.

Multivariate regression base models, adjusted for age, sex, race, energy intake (EI), Tanner stage, and BMI, were used to estimate the associations between magnesium intake as the main predictor with hs-CRP and FFM as the outcomes. hs-CRP was natural-log transformed for regression models. Models were then further adjusted for PA to analyze the same associations. Additionally, multivariate regression models, adjusted for the same confounders as above, were used to estimate the association between hs-CRP and FFM. Significance was determined when *p*-values were less than 0.05.

Moderation base models, adjusted for age, sex, race, EI, Tanner stage, and BMI, were used to analyze whether magnesium intake moderated the association between hs-CRP, log-transformed, and FFM. Models were then further adjusted for PA. Moderation models were estimated by using the PROCESS macro for SPSS. [30] The program displays figures showing conditional slopes at different levels of the moderator ("Low" = 1 standard deviation below the mean, "Medium" = mean, and "High" = 1 standard deviation above). Significance was determined when *p*-values were less than 0.05.

All statistical analyses were performed via SPSS –IBM Software (version 24.0 SPSS Inc., Chicago, IL, USA) with the significance level set at  $\alpha = 0.05$ .

#### 3. Results

# 3.1. General Characteristics

The characteristics of our participants (n = 766) are presented in Table 1, and the distributions by sex and race are approximately balanced. Adolescent males (n = 381, 50%) exercised longer, had more FFM, lower fat mass, and lower levels of leptin, resistin, adiponectin than females (all *p*-values < 0.001). Black subjects (n = 389, 51%) had higher BMI, Tanner Stage, more FFM, fat mass, higher leptin, and lower adiponectin than white subjects (all *p*-values < 0.001).

Demographics			<i>p</i> -Value			
Male	Female	Black	White	Sex	Race	
General Demographics						
381	385	389	377			
$16.12\pm1.25$	$16.13 \pm 1.21$	$16.18 \pm 1.23$	$16.07 \pm 1.20$	0.87	0.30	
N/A	N/A	0.98	0.99	N/A	0.95	
0.96	0.97	N/A	N/A	0.95	N/A	
$22.88 \pm 4.74$	$23.21 \pm 3.51$	$24.32\pm5.86$	$22.03 \pm 4.09$	0.14	< 0.001	
$53.98\pm31.98$	$34.06\pm21.70$	$44.04\pm30.38$	$43.83\pm 27.64$	< 0.001	0.61	
$4.30 \pm 0.77$ (n = 371)	$4.38 \pm 12.32$ (n = 375)	$4.41 \pm 0.73$ (n = 368)	$4.28 \pm 0.73$ (n = 378)	0.19	0.02	
376	380	372	384			
$52.75\pm8.78$	$40.25\pm 6.03$	$48.42 \pm 10.01$	$44.59\pm9.29$	< 0.001	< 0.001	
$13.42\pm10.06$	$19.23\pm10.35$	$17.35\pm11.91$	$15.36\pm8.46$	< 0.001	< 0.001	
Inflammation Measurements						
$0.93 \pm 1.79$ (n = 307)	$1.21 \pm 2.48$ (n = 329)	$1.20 \pm 2.4$ (n = 295)	$0.96 \pm 1.94$ (n = 341)	0.10	0.17	
$6.14 \pm 8.78$ (n = 310)	$17.41 \pm 13.71$ (n = 338)	$14.16 \pm 14.28$ (n = 300)	$10.17 \pm 11.29$ (n = 348)	<0.001	<0.001	
$10.98 \pm 6.09$ (n = 324)	$12.35 \pm 6.34$ (n = 339)	$11.77 \pm 6.26$ (n = 314)	$11.60 \pm 5.12$ (n = 349)	<0.001	0.72	
$7.53 \pm 4.50$ (n = 304)	$9.50 \pm 5.36$ (n = 294)	$8.50 \pm 5.04$ (n = 273)	$9.28 \pm 5.11$ (n = 325)	<0.001	<0.001	
Dietary Intake (per day)						
$2239.66 \pm 627.84$	$1725.97 \pm 570.73$	$1915.16 \pm 651.50$	$2045.75 \pm 647.24$	< 0.001	< 0.001	
$200.66 \pm 7.09$	$\overline{205.03\pm7.05}$	$\overline{187.75\pm6.92}$	$217.95\pm6.81$	<0.001	< 0.001	
	Male381 $16.12 \pm 1.25$ N/A0.9622.88 $\pm$ 4.7453.98 $\pm$ 31.984.30 $\pm$ 0.77 (n = 371)37652.75 $\pm$ 8.7813.42 $\pm$ 10.060.93 $\pm$ 1.79 (n = 307)6.14 $\pm$ 8.78 (n = 310)10.98 $\pm$ 6.09 (n = 324)7.53 $\pm$ 4.50 (n = 304)2239.66 $\pm$ 627.84200.66 $\pm$ 7.09	DemogMaleFemaleGeneral Demog38138516.12 $\pm$ 1.2516.13 $\pm$ 1.21N/AN/A0.960.9722.88 $\pm$ 4.7423.21 $\pm$ 3.5153.98 $\pm$ 31.9834.06 $\pm$ 21.704.30 $\pm$ 0.774.38 $\pm$ 12.32(n = 371)(n = 375)37638052.75 $\pm$ 8.7840.25 $\pm$ 6.0313.42 $\pm$ 10.0619.23 $\pm$ 10.35(n = 307)1.21 $\pm$ 2.48(n = 307)(n = 329)6.14 $\pm$ 8.7817.41 $\pm$ 13.71(n = 310)(n = 338)10.98 $\pm$ 6.0912.35 $\pm$ 6.34(n = 324)(n = 339)7.53 $\pm$ 4.509.50 $\pm$ 5.36(n = 294)Dietary Intake2239.66 $\pm$ 627.841725.97 $\pm$ 570.73200.66 $\pm$ 7.09205.03 $\pm$ 7.05	DemographicsMaleFemaleBlackGeneral Demographics38138538138538116.13 $\pm$ 1.2116.12 $\pm$ 1.2516.13 $\pm$ 1.2116.12 $\pm$ 1.2516.13 $\pm$ 1.21N/AN/A0.960.970.960.9722.88 $\pm$ 4.7423.21 $\pm$ 3.5124.32 $\pm$ 5.8653.98 $\pm$ 31.9834.06 $\pm$ 21.704.30 $\pm$ 0.774.38 $\pm$ 12.32(n = 371)4.38 $\pm$ 12.32(n = 371)4.38 $\pm$ 12.3237638037232.1 $\pm$ 3.5152.75 $\pm$ 8.7840.25 $\pm$ 6.0348.42 $\pm$ 10.0113.42 $\pm$ 10.0619.23 $\pm$ 10.3517.35 $\pm$ 1.79(n = 307)1.21 $\pm$ 2.48(n = 307)(n = 329)6.14 $\pm$ 8.78(n = 338)(n = 310)10.98 $\pm$ 6.0912.35 $\pm$ 6.34(n = 324)(n = 324)(n = 294)7.53 $\pm$ 4.509.50 $\pm$ 5.368.50 $\pm$ 5.04(n = 294)(n = 273)2239.66 $\pm$ 627.841725.97 $\pm$ 570.731915.16 $\pm$ 651.50200.66 $\pm$ 7.09205.03 $\pm$ 7.05187.75 $\pm$ 6.92	DemographicsMaleFemaleBlackWhiteGeneral Demographics38138538937716.12 $\pm$ 1.2516.13 $\pm$ 1.2116.18 $\pm$ 1.2316.07 $\pm$ 1.20N/AN/A0.980.990.960.97N/AN/A22.88 $\pm$ 4.7423.21 $\pm$ 3.5124.32 $\pm$ 5.8622.03 $\pm$ 4.0953.98 $\pm$ 31.9834.06 $\pm$ 21.7044.04 $\pm$ 30.3843.83 $\pm$ 27.644.30 $\pm$ 0.774.38 $\pm$ 12.324.41 $\pm$ 0.7342.8 $\pm$ 0.73(n = 371)(n = 375)(n = 368)(n = 378)37638037238452.75 $\pm$ 8.7840.25 $\pm$ 6.0348.42 $\pm$ 10.0144.59 $\pm$ 9.2913.42 $\pm$ 10.0619.23 $\pm$ 10.3517.35 $\pm$ 11.9115.36 $\pm$ 8.460.93 $\pm$ 1.791.21 $\pm$ 2.481.20 $\pm$ 2.40.96 $\pm$ 1.94(n = 307)(n = 329)(n = 295)(n = 349)6.14 $\pm$ 8.7817.41 $\pm$ 13.7114.16 $\pm$ 14.2810.17 $\pm$ 11.29(n = 310)(n = 338)(n = 300)(n = 349)10.98 $\pm$ 6.0912.35 $\pm$ 6.3411.77 $\pm$ 6.2611.60 $\pm$ 5.12(n = 324)(n = 339)(n = 314)(n = 349)7.53 $\pm$ 4.509.50 $\pm$ 5.368.50 $\pm$ 5.049.28 $\pm$ 5.11(n = 304)(n = 294)(n = 273)(n = 325)7.53 $\pm$ 4.509.50 $\pm$ 5.368.50 $\pm$ 5.049.28 $\pm$ 5.11(n = 304)(n = 294)(n = 273)(n = 325)7.53 $\pm$ 4.509.50 $\pm$ 5.368.50 $\pm$ 5.04 <td>Demographics<math>p-Va</math>MaleFemaleBlackWhiteSexGeneral DemographicsGeneral Demographics38138538937716.12 <math>\pm</math> 1.2516.13 <math>\pm</math> 1.2116.18 <math>\pm</math> 1.2316.07 <math>\pm</math> 1.200.87N/AN/A0.980.99N/A0.960.97N/AN/A0.9522.88 <math>\pm</math> 4.7423.21 <math>\pm</math> 3.5124.32 <math>\pm</math> 5.8622.03 <math>\pm</math> 4.090.1453.98 <math>\pm</math> 31.9834.06 <math>\pm</math> 2.7044.04 <math>\pm</math> 3.0343.83 <math>\pm</math> 27.640.0014.30 <math>\pm</math> 0.774.38 <math>\pm</math> 12.324.41 <math>\pm</math> 0.734.28 <math>\pm</math> 0.730.19(n = 371)(n = 375)(n = 368)(n = 378)0.19376380372384-0.00137512.32 <math>\pm</math> 10.3517.35 <math>\pm</math> 11.9115.36 <math>\pm</math> 8.46-0.00113.42 <math>\pm</math> 10.0619.23 <math>\pm</math> 10.3517.35 <math>\pm</math> 11.9115.36 <math>\pm</math> 8.46-0.01113.42 <math>\pm</math> 10.0619.23 <math>\pm</math> 0.39(n = 295)(n = 348)-0.01110.93 <math>\pm</math> 1.791.21 <math>\pm</math> 2.481.20 <math>\pm</math> 2.40.96 <math>\pm</math> 1.94-0.011(n = 307)(n = 339)(n = 300)(n = 348)-0.01110.98 <math>\pm</math> 6.0912.35 <math>\pm</math> 6.3411.77 <math>\pm</math> 6.2611.60 <math>\pm</math> 5.12-0.001(n = 334)(n = 339)(n = 314)(n = 349)-0.001(n = 344)(n = 294)(n = 273)(n = 345)-0.011(n = 304)(n = 294)(n = 273)(n = 325)-0.011(n = 304)(n = 294)(n = 273)(n = 325)-0.012</td>	Demographics $p-Va$ MaleFemaleBlackWhiteSexGeneral DemographicsGeneral Demographics38138538937716.12 $\pm$ 1.2516.13 $\pm$ 1.2116.18 $\pm$ 1.2316.07 $\pm$ 1.200.87N/AN/A0.980.99N/A0.960.97N/AN/A0.9522.88 $\pm$ 4.7423.21 $\pm$ 3.5124.32 $\pm$ 5.8622.03 $\pm$ 4.090.1453.98 $\pm$ 31.9834.06 $\pm$ 2.7044.04 $\pm$ 3.0343.83 $\pm$ 27.640.0014.30 $\pm$ 0.774.38 $\pm$ 12.324.41 $\pm$ 0.734.28 $\pm$ 0.730.19(n = 371)(n = 375)(n = 368)(n = 378)0.19376380372384-0.00137512.32 $\pm$ 10.3517.35 $\pm$ 11.9115.36 $\pm$ 8.46-0.00113.42 $\pm$ 10.0619.23 $\pm$ 10.3517.35 $\pm$ 11.9115.36 $\pm$ 8.46-0.01113.42 $\pm$ 10.0619.23 $\pm$ 0.39(n = 295)(n = 348)-0.01110.93 $\pm$ 1.791.21 $\pm$ 2.481.20 $\pm$ 2.40.96 $\pm$ 1.94-0.011(n = 307)(n = 339)(n = 300)(n = 348)-0.01110.98 $\pm$ 6.0912.35 $\pm$ 6.3411.77 $\pm$ 6.2611.60 $\pm$ 5.12-0.001(n = 334)(n = 339)(n = 314)(n = 349)-0.001(n = 344)(n = 294)(n = 273)(n = 345)-0.011(n = 304)(n = 294)(n = 273)(n = 325)-0.011(n = 304)(n = 294)(n = 273)(n = 325)-0.012	

Table 1. General characteristics by sex and race.

Note: N/A = Not Applicable.

#### 3.2. Magnesium Intake

The average caloric intake of our adolescent males and females fell within the recommended amounts by sex and age group as suggested by the latest Dietary Guidelines for Americans (2015–2020) [31]. Males were found to consume more calories but less dietary magnesium than females (*p*-values < 0.001); black subjects consumed fewer calories and dietary magnesium than white subjects (*p*-value < 0.001). The national recommended daily magnesium intake levels for our age group were 410 mg and 360 mg for males and females, respectively [32]. Our male and female adolescents on average consumed 200.66  $\pm$  7.09 mg and  $205.03 \pm 7.05$  mg of magnesium, respectively. Only 3.1% (n = 12) adolescent males and 3.1% females (n = 12) consumed at their recommended levels.

## 3.3. Associations of Magnesium Intake with Cardiometabolic Risks

In Table 2, multiple linear regression models adjusted for age, sex, race, EI, Tanner Stage, and BMI revealed that a 1.0 mg decrease in magnesium intake was associated with an increase of 0.3% hs-CRP and a decrease of 0.01 kg FFM (all *p*-values < 0.05). These models, after further adjusting for PA, revealed similar results. No significant sex or race interaction was identified.

Durallations	Magnesium Intake			
Predictors	Base Model	<b>Base Model + Physical Activity</b>		
hs-CRP	(n = 626) <-0.01 (-0.01, -0.00) *	(n = 542) <-0.01 (-0.01, -0.00) *		
Leptin	(n = 638) <-0.01 (-0.01, -0.00) **	(n = 553) <-0.01 (-0.01, -0.00) *		
Resistin	(n = 653) <-0.01 (-0.00, 0.00)	(n = 565) <-0.01 (-0.00, 0.00)		
Adiponectin	(n = 594) <0.01 (0.00, 0.00)	(n = 513) <0.01 (-0.01, 0.01)		
Fat-Free Mass	(n = 745) 0.01 (0.01, 0.02) *	(n = 649) 0.01 (0.01, 0.02) *		

Table 2. Regressions of magnesium intake on cardiometabolic risks.

Note: Base model was adjusted for energy intake, age, sex, race, physical activity, Tanner stage, and BMI. hs-CRP, leptin, resistin, and adiponectin were log-transformed. \* *p*-value < 0.05, \*\* *p*-value < 0.001.

#### 3.4. Moderation of Magnesium Intake on C-Reactive Protein and Fat-Free Mass

hs-CRP was inversely associated with FFM with adjustment of energy intake, age, sex, race, physical activity, Tanner stage, BMI, and PA (*p*-values < 0.05). Interaction between magnesium intake and hs-CRP on FFM was identified (*p*-value < 0.05). Magnesium intake was found to moderate the associations between hs-CRP and FFM (Figure 1). Low magnesium intake (116.9 mg) amplified the inverse association between hs-CRP and FFM (*p*-values < 0.05). The combination of low magnesium intake and high hs-CRP was associated with lowest FFM (*p*-values < 0.05).



Figure 1. Moderation of high-sensitivity C-reactive protein and fat-free soft tissue by magnesium

intake. Low = 1 standard deviation below the mean of magnesium intake. Medium = mean of magnesium intake. High = 1 standard deviation above the mean of magnesium intake. N = 543.

#### 4. Discussion

Magnesium is a key regulator of our cardiometabolic health; however, studies in youth are extremely limited. To the best of our knowledge, this is the first adolescent study to report both sex and race intake differences in dietary magnesium intake and to explore the relationships between low magnesium intake, CRP, and muscle mass in healthy adolescents. Our study shows that lower magnesium intake was associated with higher hs-CRP and lower muscle mass. In addition, lower magnesium intake augmented the inverse relationship between hs-CRP and muscle mass.

Our adolescent males and females on average per day consumed 201 mg and 205 mg dietary magnesium, respectively. Our black and white adolescents consumed on average 188 mg and 218 mg per day, respectively. Almost all (96.9%) of our males and females consumed far below the recommended sex-specific magnesium intake for their age group, which are 410 mg for males and 360 mg per day for females [32]. Few studies have investigated magnesium intake in youth. Between 2005 and 2006, NHANES estimated that, within the age group 14 to 18 year-olds, 69% males and 80% females consumed below the average magnesium requirements for their age and sex [6]. In that survey, males consumed on average 300 mg daily and females consumed 211 mg. Comparing to NHANES, the lower magnesium intake of our adolescents may highlight the greater health and diet deficiencies experienced within the Southeast Stroke Belt region. Additionally, our results provided further evidence that youths, between 14 to 18 years old, consumed tremendously low amounts of dietary magnesium regardless of sex and race. Dietary patterns with increased consumption of animal protein, refined sugar and oils, and processed grains negatively influenced the consumption of magnesium [33].

We investigated and observed inverse associations of magnesium intake with CRP. A 20-year longitudinal study of 4497 American adults concluded that lower magnesium intake was associated with greater systemic inflammation [34]. A meta-analysis of 32,918 adults concluded that low magnesium intake was associated with high serum CRP levels [10]. Another meta-analysis of RCTs indicated that magnesium supplementation reduced CRP levels among individuals with inflammation (CRP levels > 3 mg/dL) [35]. A most recent meta-analysis also showed that magnesium supplementation significantly decreased CRP [36]. A RCT among diabetic patients showed that magnesium supplementation significantly decreased IL-6 levels, which is another key inflammatory marker [37]. Furthermore, the molecular basis for inflammatory responses may be linked to the modulation of intracellular calcium concentration, where magnesium acts as a calcium antagonist [23,38]. Animal and in vitro studies indicate that magnesium deficiency increases cellular Ca<sup>2+</sup>, which is the signal that results in the priming of cells to give the inflammatory response [39]. Magnesium intake and its effects on CRP require further investigations.

We also observed that magnesium intake was positively associated with muscle mass. Muscle mass may be a key regulator of cardiometabolic risks [12,40,41]. Several cross-sectional studies in adults reported positive associations between magnesium intake and muscle mass and power [9,42,43]. However, a meta-analysis of magnesium supplementation on muscle fitness indicated no significant improvements regarding isokinetic peak torque extension, muscle strength, or muscle power [44]. This relationship is underinvestigated in adolescents. One study in seventeen youth athletes observed that low magnesium intake was associated with low muscle mass [45]. The relationship between magnesium intake and muscle mass is particularly important in adolescents because their growth of muscle and soft tissue demand greater magnesium intake [46]. Mechanistically, magnesium is suggested to improve muscle mass via reducing serum parathyroid hormone (PTH). Magnesium competes with calcium for binding on calcium-sensing receptors,

leading to a decrease in serum PTH [23,47]. Chronic high serum PTH is associated with muscle loss by adversely disrupting muscular energy metabolism, leading to diminished amino acid production and protein synthesis [25,48].

Finally, our study reported that dietary magnesium intake may moderate the relationship between CRP and muscle mass. Lower magnesium intake might compound the inverse relationship between CRP and muscle mass. Similar results were found from a previous study investigating magnesium intake, CRP, and muscle mass in adult women [9]. However, the relationships involving magnesium, inflammation, and muscle mass remain unclear and understudied in all populations. Magnesium deficiency can induce inflammatory responses, activating macrophages and promoting an influx of calcium ions intracellularly [10,24]. Through a cascading chain of events, magnesium deficiency can activate the release of proinflammatory cytokines, including interleukin-6 and tumor necrosis factor-alpha, which upregulate CRP [19]. Magnesium deficiency may also upregulate PTH, which is associated with muscle loss [49]. Chronic inflammation may also reduce the regeneration abilities of muscle cells, leading to muscle wasting [50]. A meta-analysis of magnesium supplementation trials in adults concluded that magnesium intake might inhibit inflammation [19]. Moreover, athletes, who had high percentage of FFM, were reported to have low levels of CRP [51]. Our results provide additional evidence for the complex relationships observed among magnesium intake, inflammation, and muscle mass in adolescents.

Additional underlying mechanisms whereby magnesium intake regulates inflammation and muscle mass may be through insulin signaling pathways. Maintaining serum  $Mg^{2+}$  concentrations within the reference range is essential for normal insulin secretion and activity, as well as for the optimal functioning of many enzymes of glucose and energy metabolism. Low serum magnesium is associated with insulin resistance [1]. Mg+2 can regulate insulin secretion from pancreatic beta cells [52]. Mg+2 also regulates peripheral insulin sensitivity through two main signaling pathways: the Ras/mitogen-activated protein kinases pathway (Ras/MAPK), which regulates gene expression and insulin-associated mitogenic effects, and the phosphatidylinositol-3-kinase (PI3K)/Akt (protein kinase B) pathway, responsible for most its metabolic actions. The PI3K/Akt kinase pathway plays a central role in insulin signaling, since its activation leads to phosphorylation of an important number of substrates with key functions in a wide variety of biological processes, including glucose transport stimulation, glycogen and protein synthesis, and lipogenesis. Akt appears to play an important role in insulin metabolic actions, including muscle and adipose tissue glucose uptake through glucose transporter type 4 (GLUT4) translocation from intracellular compartments to the cell membrane. Additionally, Akt participates in the regulation of glycogen synthesis by glycogen synthase kinase 3 inhibition [52]. Moreover, insulin directly suppresses pro-inflammatory transcription factors in immune cells and the subsequent inflammatory mediators through non-metabolic pathways, thus ameliorating inflammation [53].

This was an observational study to estimate the average daily magnesium intake in healthy white and black youth age 14–18 years. Almost all (96.9%) of our males and females consumed only half the recommended sex-specific magnesium intake for their age group. Moreover, pregnant youth were excluded from the study. Thus, the risk of magnesium overdose is low in our study. Although rare, some youth may take abnormal dose of magnesium supplements. Magnesium overdose may lead to decreased blood pressure, cardiac arrest, irregular heartbeat, muscle weakness and seizure [54].

There were several notable strengths in our study. First, we reported sex and race differences in magnesium intakes and investigated possible relationships among magnesium intake, inflammation, and muscle mass in adolescents for the first time. Second, we recruited a relatively large and apparently healthy adolescent population with near equal distributions of males and females and of black and white subjects. Third, the repeated collection of four to seven independent 24 h dietary recalls over a 12-week period provided relatively accurate dietary assessments of usual magnesium intake, by reducing bias due to

measurement error and random error owing to within-person variability over time [55]. Our study is limited by its cross-sectional nature; thus the associations did not prove causality. Additional limitations including dietary magnesium intake were estimated by 24 h recall method. A single 24 h recall is not considered to be representative of habitual diet at an individual level [56]. Four repeat 24 h recalls were recommended as the most appropriate method of dietary assessment [57]. In our study, we sought to obtained seven 24 h dietary recalls from each participant, one for each day of the week over a 12-week period to better represent the habitual diet. We also tried to minimize errors by averaging seven 24 h dietary recalls. Moreover, the 24 h recalls were conducted by a trained dietitian or dietetic intern. A total of 95% of the adolescents in our study completed 4-day 24 h dietary recalls, and 52% of the adolescents had recalls for all 7 days.

## 5. Conclusions

Our study shows that (1) the vast majority of our healthy adolescents do not consume sufficient magnesium; (2) there are sex and race differences in magnesium intake; and (3) lower dietary magnesium intake is associated with higher CRP and lower muscle mass, independent of energy intake, body weight, and PA. (4) Finally, low magnesium intake may amplify the inverse relationship between CRP and muscle mass. Chronic low intake of dietary magnesium, a common trait of the Western diet, may contribute to high inflammation and low muscle mass. Since CRP and muscle mass play important roles in the pathogenesis of cardiometabolic diseases, increasing dietary magnesium intake may have therapeutic benefits and may be a valuable component of a cardioprotective strategy in adolescents.

**Author Contributions:** Y.D. (Yutong Dong), Y.D. (Yanbin Dong) and H.Z. designed the study. B.G. and Y.H. collected the data. Y.D. (Yutong Dong), L.C. and H.Z. analyzed the data and wrote the manuscript. L.C., B.G., Y.D. (Yanbin Dong) and H.Z. critically reviewed the manuscript. Additionally, we would like to express our sincere gratitude to our participants for their participation and support. All authors have read and agreed to the published version of the manuscript.

Funding: The LACHY study was funded by the National Heart, Lung and Blood Institute (HL64157).

**Institutional Review Board Statement:** The study was conducted in accordance with the Declaration of Helsinki, and approved by the Institutional Review Board at the Medical College of Georgia, Augusta University (protocol number #622505 and date of approval: 03/04/1999).

Informed Consent Statement: Informed consent was obtained from all subjects involved in the study.

Data Availability Statement: The data reported are available upon request.

Acknowledgments: We sincerely thank our study subjects for their participation.

Conflicts of Interest: The authors declare no conflict of interest.

#### Abbreviations

FFM—fat-free mass; CRP—C-reactive protein; LACHY—Lifestyle, Adiposity, and Cardiovascular Health in Youth; PA—physical activity; EI—energy intake; BMI—body mass index; PTH—parathyroid hormone.

#### References

- Chutia, H.; Lynrah, K.G. Association of Serum Magnesium Deficiency with Insulin Resistance in Type 2 Diabetes Mellitus. J. Lab. Physicians 2015, 7, 75–78. [CrossRef]
- Liu, M.; Dudley, S.C., Jr. Magnesium, Oxidative Stress, Inflammation, and Cardiovascular Disease. Antioxidants 2020, 9, 907. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 3. Karamali, M.; Bahramimoghadam, S.; Sharifzadeh, F.; Asemi, Z. Magnesium-zinc-calcium-vitamin D co-supplementation improves glycemic control and markers of cardiometabolic risk in gestational diabetes: A randomized, double-blind, placebo-controlled trial. *Appl. Physiol. Nutr. Metab.* **2018**, *43*, 565–570. [CrossRef]

- Han, H.; Fang, X.; Wei, X.; Liu, Y.; Jin, Z.; Chen, Q.; Fan, Z.; Aaseth, J.; Hiyoshi, A.; He, J.; et al. Dose-response relationship between dietary magnesium intake, serum magnesium concentration and risk of hypertension: A systematic review and meta-analysis of prospective cohort studies. *Nutr. J.* 2017, 16, 26. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Barbagallo, M.; Veronese, N.; Dominguez, L.J. Magnesium in Aging, Health and Diseases. Nutrients 2021, 13, 463. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Moshfegh, A.; Goldman, J.; Ahuja, J.; Rhodes, D.; Lacomb, R. What We Eat in America, NHANES 2005-2006, Usual Nutrient Intakes from Food and Water Compared to 1997 Dietary Reference Intakes for Vitamin D, Calcium, Phosphorus, and Magnesium; US Department of Agriculture, Agricultural Research Service: Washington, DC, USA, 2009.
- 7. Ford, E.S.; Mokdad, A.H. Dietary Magnesium Intake in a National Sample of U.S. Adults. J. Nutr. 2003, 133, 2879–2882. [CrossRef]
- 8. Costello, R.; Wallace, T.C.; Rosanoff, A. Magnesium. Adv. Nutr. Int. Rev. J. 2016, 7, 199–201. [CrossRef]
- 9. Welch, A.A.; Kelaiditi, E.; Jennings, A.; Steves, C.J.; Spector, T.D.; MacGregor, A. Dietary Magnesium Is Positively Associated with Skeletal Muscle Power and Indices of Muscle Mass and May Attenuate the Association Between Circulating C-Reactive Protein and Muscle Mass in Women. *J. Bone Miner. Res.* **2016**, *31*, 317–325. [CrossRef]
- 10. Dibaba, D.T.; Xun, P.; He, K. Dietary Magnesium Intake Is Inversely Associated with Serum C-reactive Protein Levels: Metaanalysis and Systematic Review. *Eur. J. Clin. Nutr.* **2014**, *68*, 510–516. [CrossRef]
- 11. Ruparelia, N.; Chai, J.T.; Fisher, E.A.; Choudhury, R.P. Inflammatory processes in cardiovascular disease: A route to targeted therapies. *Nat. Rev. Cardiol.* **2017**, *14*, 133–144. [CrossRef]
- Srikanthan, P.; Karlamangla, A.S. Relative Muscle Mass Is Inversely Associated with Insulin Resistance and Prediabetes. Findings from The Third National Health and Nutrition Examination Survey. J. Clin. Endocrinol. Metab. 2011, 96, 2898–2903. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Artero, E.G.; España-Romero, V.; Jiménez-Pavón, D.; Martinez-Gómez, D.; Warnberg, J.; Gómez-Martínez, S.; González-Gross, M.; Vanhelst, J.; Kafatos, A.; Molnar, D.; et al. Muscular fitness, fatness and inflammatory biomarkers in adolescents. *Pediatric Obes.* 2014, 9, 391–400. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 14. Ridker, P.M.; Hennekens, C.H.; Buring, J.E.; Rifai, N. C-Reactive Protein and Other Markers of Inflammation in the Prediction of Cardiovascular Disease in Women. *N. Engl. J. Med.* **2000**, *342*, 836–843. [CrossRef]
- 15. Martin, S.S.; Qasim, A.; Reilly, M.P. Leptin Resistance: A Possible Interface of Inflammation and Metabolism in Obesity-Related Cardiovascular Disease. *J. Am. Coll. Cardiol.* **2008**, *52*, 1201–1210. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 16. Cardoso, A.S.; Cardoso, R.O.; Carvalho, D.F.; Collet, N.; Medeiros, C.C.M. C-reactive protein and cardiometabolic risk factors in overweight or obese children and adolescents. *Rev. De Nutr.* **2014**, *27*, 279–288. [CrossRef]
- Chiu, F.-H.; Chuang, C.H.; Li, W.-C.; Weng, Y.-M.; Fann, W.-C.; Lo, H.-Y.; Sun, C.; Wang, S.-H. The association of leptin and C-reactive protein with the cardiovascular risk factors and metabolic syndrome score in Taiwanese adults. *Cardiovasc. Diabetol.* 2012, 11, 40. [CrossRef]
- Papanikolaou, Y.; Brooks, J.; Reider, C.; Fugoni, V., 3rd. Dietary magnesium usual intake is associated with favorable diabetesrelated physiological outcomes and reduced risk of metabolic syndrome: An NHANES 2001–2010 analysis. *J. Hum. Nutr. Food Sci.* 2014, 2, 1038.
- 19. Dibaba, D.T.; Xun, P.; Fly, A.D.; Yokota, K.; He, K. Dietary magnesium intake and risk of metabolic syndrome: A meta-analysis. *Diabet. Med. A J. Br. Diabet. Assoc.* 2014, *31*, 1301–1309. [CrossRef]
- 20. Burrows, R.; Correa-Burrows, P.; Reyes, M.; Blanco, E.; Albala, C.; Gahagan, S. Low muscle mass is associated with cardiometabolic risk regardless of nutritional status in adolescents: A cross-sectional study in a Chilean birth cohort. *Pediatric Diabetes* **2017**, *18*, 895–902. [CrossRef]
- 21. Wannamethee, S.G.; Shaper, A.G.; Lennon, L.; Whincup, P.H. Decreased muscle mass and increased central adiposity are independently related to mortality in older men. *Am. J. Clin. Nutr.* **2007**, *86*, 1339–1346. [CrossRef]
- 22. Müller, M.J.; Lagerpusch, M.; Enderle, J.; Schautz, B.; Heller, M.; Bosy-Westphal, A. Beyond the body mass index: Tracking body composition in the pathogenesis of obesity and the metabolic syndrome. *Obes. Rev.* **2012**, *13*, 6–13. [CrossRef]
- Mazur, A.; Maier, J.A.M.; Rock, E.; Gueux, E.; Nowacki, W.; Rayssiguier, Y. Magnesium and the inflammatory response: Potential physiopathological implications. Arch. Biochem. Biophys. 2007, 458, 48–56. [CrossRef]
- Malpuech-Brugère, C.; Nowacki, W.; Daveau, M.; Gueux, E.; Linard, C.; Rock, E.; Lebreton, J.-P.; Mazur, A.; Rayssiguier, Y. Inflammatory response following acute magnesium deficiency in the rat. *Biochim. Biophys. Acta. (BBA)-Mol. Basis Dis.* 2000, 1501, 91–98. [CrossRef]
- Menaker, W.; Kleiner, I.S. Effect of Deficiency of Magnesium and Other Minerals on Protein Synthesis. *Proc. Soc. Exp. Biol. Med.* 1952, *81*, 377–378. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Gutin, B.; Yin, Z.; Humphries, M.C.; Barbeau, P. Relations of moderate and vigorous physical activity to fitness and fatness in adolescents. *Am. J. Clin. Nutr.* 2005, *81*, 746–750. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 27. Zierle-Ghosh, A.; Jan, A. Physiology, Body Mass Index; StatPearls Publishing: Treasure Island, FL, USA, 2018.
- Stallmann-Jorgensen, I.S.; Gutin, B.; Hatfield-Laube, J.L.; Humphries, M.C.; Johnson, M.H.; Barbeau, P. General and visceral adiposity in black and white adolescents and their relation with reported physical activity and diet. *Int. J. Obes.* 2007, *31*, 622–629. [CrossRef]

- Gutin, B.; Johnson, M.H.; Humphries, M.C.; Hatfield-Laube, J.L.; Kapuku, G.K.; Allison, J.D.; Gower, B.A.; Daniels, S.R.; Barbeau, P. Relationship of Visceral Adiposity to Cardiovascular Disease Risk Factors in Black and White Teens. *Obesity* 2007, 15, 1029–1035. [CrossRef]
- 30. Hayes, A.F. Introduction to Mediation, Moderation, and Conditional Process. Analysis: A Regression-Based Approach; Guilford Press: New York, NY, USA, 2013.
- 31. Dietary Guidelines for Americans, 2015–2020; Office of Disease Prevent: Atlanta, GA, USA, 2015.
- 32. Standing Committee on the Scientific Evaluation of Dietary Reference Intakes, Institute of Medicine, Nutrition Board. *Dietary Reference Intakes: Calcium, Phosphorus, Magnesium, Vitamin D and Fluoride;* National Academy Press: Washingtion, DC, USA, 1997.
- 33. Tarleton, E.K. Factors influencing magnesium consumption among adults in the United States. *Nutr. Rev.* **2018**, *76*, 526–538. [CrossRef]
- 34. Kim, D.J.; Xun, P.; Liu, K.; Loria, C.; Yokota, K.; Jacobs, D.R.; He, K. Magnesium Intake in Relation to Systemic Inflammation, Insulin Resistance, and the Incidence of Diabetes. *Diabetes Care* **2010**, *33*, 2604–2610. [CrossRef]
- Simental-Mendia, L.E.; Sahebkar, A.; Rodriguez-Moran, M.; Zambrano-Galvan, G.; Guerrero-Romero, F. Effect of Magnesium Supplementation on Plasma C-reactive Protein Concentrations: A Systematic Review and Meta-Analysis of Randomized Controlled Trials. *Curr. Pharm. Des.* 2017, 23, 4678–4686. [CrossRef]
- Veronese, N.; Pizzol, D.; Smith, L.; Dominguez, L.J.; Barbagallo, M. Effect of Magnesium Supplementation on Inflammatory Parameters: A Meta-Analysis of Randomized Controlled Trials. *Nutrients* 2022, 14, 679. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Rashvand, S.; Mobasseri, M.; Tarighat-Esfanjani, A. The Effects of Choline and Magnesium Co-Supplementation on Metabolic Parameters, Inflammation, and Endothelial Dysfunction in Patients with Type 2 Diabetes Mellitus: A Randomized, Double-Blind, Placebo-Controlled Trial. J. Am. Coll. Nutr. 2019, 38, 714–721. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Bussière, F.o.I.; Gueux, E.; Rock, E.; Mazur, A.; Rayssiguier, Y. Protective effect of calcium deficiency on the inflammatory response in magnesium-deficient rats. *Eur. J. Nutr.* 2002, 41, 197–202. [CrossRef]
- Nielsen, F.H. Magnesium deficiency and increased inflammation: Current perspectives. J. Inflamm. Res. 2018, 11, 25–34. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Srikanthan, P.; Horwich, T.B.; Tseng, C.H. Relation of Muscle Mass and Fat Mass to Cardiovascular Disease Mortality. *Am. J. Cardiol.* 2016, 117, 1355–1360. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 41. DeFronzo, R.A.; Tripathy, D. Skeletal Muscle Insulin Resistance Is the Primary Defect in Type 2 Diabetes. *Diabetes Care* **2009**, *32*, S157–S163. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 42. Hayhoe, R.P.G.; Lentjes, M.A.H.; Mulligan, A.A.; Luben, R.N.; Khaw, K.T.; Welch, A.A. Cross-sectional associations of dietary and circulating magnesium with skeletal muscle mass in the EPIC-Norfolk cohort. *Clin. Nutr.* **2019**, *38*, 317–323. [CrossRef]
- Welch, A.A.; Skinner, J.; Hickson, M. Dietary Magnesium May Be Protective for Aging of Bone and Skeletal Muscle in Middle and Younger Older Age Men and Women: Cross-Sectional Findings from the UK Biobank Cohort. *Nutrients* 2017, 9, 1189. [CrossRef]
- 44. Wang, R.; Chen, C.; Liu, W.; Zhou, T.; Xun, P.; He, K.; Chen, P. The effect of magnesium supplementation on muscle fitness: A meta-analysis and systematic review. *Magnes. Res.* **2017**, *30*, 120–132. [CrossRef]
- Matias, C.N.; Santos, D.A.; Monteiro, C.P.; Vasco, A.M.; Baptista, F.; Sardinha, L.B.; Laires, M.J.; Silva, A.M. Magnesium intake mediates the association between bone mineral density and lean soft tissue in elite swimmers. *Magnes. Res.* 2012, 25, 120–125. [CrossRef]
- Abrams, S.A. The Relationship between Magnesium and Calcium Kinetics in 9- to 14-Year-Old Children. J. Bone Miner. Res. 1998, 13, 149–153. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 47. Cholst, I.N.; Steinberg, S.F.; Tropper, P.J.; Fox, H.E.; Segre, G.V.; Bilezikian, J.P. The Influence of Hypermagnesemia on Serum Calcium and Parathyroid Hormone Levels in Human Subjects. *N. Engl. J. Med.* **1984**, *310*, 1221–1225. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Garber, A.J. Effects of Parathyroid Hormone on Skeletal Muscle Protein and Amino Acid Metabolism in the Rat. J. Clin. Investig. 1983, 71, 1806–1821. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 49. Begum, N.; Sussman, K.E.; Draznin, B. Calcium-induced inhibition of phosphoserine phosphatase in insulin target cells is mediated by the phosphorylation and activation of inhibitor 1. *J. Biol. Chem.* **1992**, *267*, 5959–5963. [CrossRef]
- Sinha, I.; Sakthivel, D.; Varon, D.E. Systemic Regulators of Skeletal Muscle Regeneration in Obesity. Front. Endocrinol. 2017, 8, 29. [CrossRef]
- Tomaszewski, M.; Charchar, F.J.; Przybycin, M.; Crawford, L.; Wallace, A.M.; Gosek, K.; Lowe, G.D.; Zukowska-Szczechowska, E.; Grzeszczak, W.; Sattar, N.; et al. Strikingly Low Circulating CRP Concentrations in Ultramarathon Runners Independent of Markers of Adiposity. *How Low Can You Go* 2003, 23, 1640–1644. [CrossRef]
- 52. Kostov, K. Effects of Magnesium Deficiency on Mechanisms of Insulin Resistance in Type 2 Diabetes: Focusing on the Processes of Insulin Secretion and Signaling. *Int. J. Mol. Sci.* 2019, 20, 1351. [CrossRef]
- 53. Sun, Q.; Li, J.; Gao, F. New insights into insulin: The anti-inflammatory effect and its clinical relevance. *World J. Diabetes* **2014**, *5*, 89–96. [CrossRef]
- 54. Sugiyama, A.; Aye, N.N.; Katahira, S.; Hagihara, A.; Hashimoto, K. Effects of magnesium sulfate on the canine cardiovascular system complicating astemizole overdose. *J. Cardiovasc. Pharmacol.* **1997**, *29*, 795–800. [CrossRef]
- Ma, Y.; Olendzki, B.C.; Pagoto, S.L.; Hurley, T.G.; Magner, R.P.; Ockene, I.S.; Schneider, K.L.; Merriam, P.A.; Hébert, J.R. Number of 24-Hour Diet Recalls Needed to Estimate Energy Intake. *Ann. Epidemiol.* 2009, 19, 553–559. [CrossRef]

- 56. Raina, S.K. Limitations of 24-hour Recall Method: Micronutrient Intake and the Presence of the Metabolic Syndrome. *N. Am. J. Med. Sci.* **2013**, *5*, 498. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 57. Holmes, B.; Dick, K.; Nelson, M. A comparison of four dietary assessment methods in materially deprived households in England. *Public Health Nutr.* **2008**, *11*, 444–456. [CrossRef] [PubMed]