



## Genome Sequence of Lactiplantibacillus plantarum DmPark25\_157, a Bacterial Strain Isolated from Drosophila melanogaster

Hunter H. Giles,ª Samara C. Petersen,ª Gerald B. Call,<sup>b @</sup> John M. Chastonª

aDepartment of Plant and Wildlife Sciences, Brigham Young University, Provo, Utah, USA bDepartment of Pharmacology, Midwestern University, Glendale, Arizona, USA

**ABSTRACT** We present the genome sequence of a bacterial strain isolated from  $part^{25}$ mutants of Drosophila melanogaster as part of efforts to better understand the microbial communities in D. melanogaster. We isolated and sequenced a Lactiplantibacillus plantarum strain. We present a preliminary comparative analysis with a closely related strain.

L actiplantibacillus plantarum isolates are of interest in food industry fermentation ([1](#page-1-0)) and are commonly found in gut microbiomes [\(2\)](#page-1-1). Strains have recently been investigated as a possible protection against intestinal inflammation [\(3](#page-1-2)). We are building a genomic resource for potential microbial interactions with Parkinson's disease.

D. melanogaster with the park<sup>25</sup> mutation has been used as a model of Parkinson's disease ([4](#page-1-3)). We used a laboratory stock reared on a Bloomington-style diet, with the following components per liter: 957 ml water, 11.8 g yeast, 5.9 g soy flour, 58.8 g yellow cornmeal, 35.3 ml light corn syrup, 35.3 ml molasses, 4.4 ml propionic acid, and 10 ml 10% methylparaben in 95% ethanol. We took a stock of the park<sup>25</sup> mutant from standard rearing conditions (25°C, 12/12-h light/dark cycle, and ambient humidity) in a Glendale, AZ, laboratory and homogenized 5 whole-body flies in phosphate-buffered saline (PBS); then, we dilution plated the homogenate onto modified MRS medium ([5](#page-1-4)) and incubated the cultures at 30°C in an airtight  $CO<sub>2</sub>$ -flooded container for 48 h. We streaked large, flat, or wrinkled yellow colonies for isolation and sequenced portions of 16S rRNA by Sanger sequencing, using universal 27F and 1492R primers (27F, 5'-AGAGTTTGATCMTGGCTCAG-3'; 1492R, 5'-TACGGYTACTACCTTGTTACTT-3'). We selected one of these strains for whole-genome sequencing.

We extracted DNA from the bacterial colony using the Qiagen DNeasy PowerLyzer microbial kit. The DNA was fragmented to 500 bp using NEBNext double-stranded DNA (dsDNA) Fragmentase (M0348L) and end repaired using the NEBNext Ultra II end repair/dA-tailing module (E7546L). The fragments were ligated to Illumina sequencing adapters using the NEBNext Ultra II ligation module (E7595L) and size selected to 500 bp. The ligated target sequences were enriched with a KAPA library amplification kit (KK2621), again size selected to 500 bp, and normalized to 5 ng/ $\mu$ l using Qubit measurements. The library was sequenced on a partial lane of the Illumina HiSeq 2500 platform using 250-bp paired-end sequencing at the BYU DNA sequencing center.

In total, 1,780,201 paired-end reads passed Illumina default quality control filtering, representing an expected sequencing depth of  $350 \times$  [\(6\)](#page-1-5). To keep the expected nucleotide coverage below  $200 \times$ , we assembled the genome from the first 1 million reads that passed the default Illumina quality filter using the shell split command. The reads were assembled using Velvet 1.2.10 ([7](#page-1-6)) with automatic parameter optimization. We tested assemblies every 8 bp between 25mers and 250mers and then tested every 2 bp between 137mers and 165mers. The 157mer assembly minimized the number of contigs while maximizing the  $N_{50}$  value and maximum contig length. We submitted this Citation Giles HH, Petersen SC, Call GB, Chaston JM. 2021. Genome sequence of Lactiplantibacillus plantarum DmPark25\_157, a bacterial strain isolated from Drosophila melanogaster. Microbiol Resour Announc 10:e01372-20. [https://doi.org/](https://doi.org/10.1128/MRA.01372-20) [10.1128/MRA.01372-20.](https://doi.org/10.1128/MRA.01372-20)

Editor Julie C. Dunning Hotopp, University of Maryland School of Medicine

Copyright © 2021 Giles et al. This is an openaccess article distributed under the terms of the [Creative Commons Attribution 4.0](https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/) [International license](https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/).

Address correspondence to Hunter H. Giles, hunterhgiles@gmail.com, or John M. Chaston, john\_chaston@byu.edu.

Received 30 November 2020 Accepted 25 March 2021 Published 22 April 2021

assembly to RAST ([8](#page-1-7)[–](#page-1-8)[10](#page-1-9)) and GenBank, where it was analyzed by the default annotation pipelines. The final annotated genome contained 3,511,265 bp, 139 contigs, a GC content of 44.2%, an  $N_{50}$  value of 118,894, and 3,339 coding sequences. The annotated genome was submitted to JSpeciesWS ([11\)](#page-1-10) in August 2020 for a tetra correlation search. Our isolate was most similar to Lactiplantibacillus plantarum strain WJL (coefficient, 0.99976). All software was used with default parameters unless otherwise noted.

Strain DmPark25\_157 has two phage tail proteins, a murein hydrolase precursor, and a lipoyl transferase not found in L. plantarum WJL. Contig 62 of the DmPark25\_157 assembly contains a parA chromosome partitioning gene and stress-resistant genes, suggesting that this contig could be a plasmid or horizontally acquired DNA involved in stress resistance.

Data availability. This whole-genome shotgun project has been deposited at DDBJ/ENA/GenBank under the accession number [JACAOJ000000000](https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/nuccore/JADQDL000000000); the raw reads have been deposited under the SRA accession number [SRR13060588](https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/sra/SRR13060588).

## ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

We thank Leo Pallanck for providing the flies from which this bacterium was isolated.

This work was funded by a Teaching Enhancement Grant from the College of Life Sciences at Brigham Young University to J. M. Chaston and intramural and biomedical science funding to G. B. Call from Midwestern University.

## **REFERENCES**

- <span id="page-1-0"></span>1. Behera SS, Ray RC, Zdolec N. 2018. Lactobacillus plantarum with functional properties: an approach to increase safety and shelf-life of fermented foods. Biomed Res Int 2018:9361614. <https://doi.org/10.1155/2018/9361614>.
- <span id="page-1-1"></span>2. Elagöz A, Abdi A, Hubert J-C, Kammerer B. 1996. Structure and organisation of the pyrimidine biosynthesis pathway genes in Lactobacillus plantarum: a PCR strategy for sequencing without cloning. Gene 182:37–43. [https://doi.org/10.1016/S0378-1119\(96\)00461-1](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0378-1119(96)00461-1).
- <span id="page-1-2"></span>3. Prete R, Garcia-Gonzalez N, Di Mattia CD, Corsetti A, Battista N. 2020. Food-borne Lactiplantibacillus plantarum protect normal intestinal cells against inflammation by modulating reactive oxygen species and IL-23/IL-17 axis. Sci Rep 10:16340. [https://doi.org/10.1038/s41598-020](https://doi.org/10.1038/s41598-020-73201-1) [-73201-1.](https://doi.org/10.1038/s41598-020-73201-1)
- <span id="page-1-3"></span>4. Aryal B, Lee Y. 2019. Disease model organism for Parkinson disease: Drosophila melanogaster. BMB Rep 52:250–258. [https://doi.org/10.5483/](https://doi.org/10.5483/BMBRep.2019.52.4.204) [BMBRep.2019.52.4.204.](https://doi.org/10.5483/BMBRep.2019.52.4.204)
- <span id="page-1-4"></span>5. Newell PD, Douglas AE. 2014. Interspecies interactions determine the impact of the gut microbiota on nutrient allocation in Drosophila melanogaster. Appl Environ Microbiol 80:788–796. [https://doi.org/10.1128/](https://doi.org/10.1128/AEM.02742-13) [AEM.02742-13](https://doi.org/10.1128/AEM.02742-13).
- <span id="page-1-5"></span>6. Seeman T. Velvet Advisor. [http://dna.med.monash.edu.au/](http://dna.med.monash.edu.au/&hx223C;torsten/velvet_advisor/)~torsten/velvet [\\_advisor/.](http://dna.med.monash.edu.au/&hx223C;torsten/velvet_advisor/)
- <span id="page-1-6"></span>7. Zerbino DR, Birney E. 2008. Velvet: algorithms for de novo short read

assembly using de Bruijn graphs. Genome Res 18:821–829. [https://doi](https://doi.org/10.1101/gr.074492.107) [.org/10.1101/gr.074492.107.](https://doi.org/10.1101/gr.074492.107)

- <span id="page-1-7"></span>8. Aziz RK, Bartels D, Best AA, DeJongh M, Disz T, Edwards RA, Formsma K, Gerdes S, Glass EM, Kubal M, Meyer F, Olsen GJ, Olson R, Osterman AL, Overbeek RA, McNeil LK, Paarmann D, Paczian T, Parrello B, Pusch GD, Reich C, Stevens R, Vassieva O, Vonstein V, Wilke A, Zagnitko O. 2008. The RAST server: Rapid Annotations using Subsystems Technology. BMC Genomics 9:75. <https://doi.org/10.1186/1471-2164-9-75>.
- <span id="page-1-8"></span>9. Overbeek R, Olson R, Pusch GD, Olsen GJ, Davis JJ, Disz T, Edwards RA, Gerdes S, Parrello B, Shukla M, Vonstein V, Wattam AR, Xia F, Stevens R. 2014. The SEED and the Rapid Annotation of microbial genomes using Subsystems Technology (RAST). Nucleic Acids Res 42:D206–D214. [https://](https://doi.org/10.1093/nar/gkt1226) [doi.org/10.1093/nar/gkt1226.](https://doi.org/10.1093/nar/gkt1226)
- <span id="page-1-9"></span>10. Brettin T, Davis JJ, Disz T, Edwards RA, Gerdes S, Olsen GJ, Olson R, Overbeek R, Parrello B, Pusch GD, Shukla M, Thomason JA, III, Stevens R, Vonstein V, Wattam AR, Xia F. 2015. RASTtk: a modular and extensible implementation of the RAST algorithm for building custom annotation pipelines and annotating batches of genomes. Sci Rep 5:8365. [https://doi](https://doi.org/10.1038/srep08365) [.org/10.1038/srep08365](https://doi.org/10.1038/srep08365).
- <span id="page-1-10"></span>11. Richter M, Rosselló-Móra R, Glöckner FO, Peplies J. 2015. JSpeciesWS: a Web server for prokaryotic species circumscription based on pairwise genome comparison. Bioinformatics 32:929–931. [https://doi.org/10.1093/](https://doi.org/10.1093/bioinformatics/btv681) [bioinformatics/btv681](https://doi.org/10.1093/bioinformatics/btv681).