

http://pubs.acs.org/journal/acsodf

# **DNA-Binding Properties of Bis-N-substituted Tetrandrine** Derivatives

Sandra Mónica González-Martínez, Drochss Pettry Valencia-Ochoa, Juan Carlos Gálvez-Ruiz, Mario Alberto Leyva-Peralta, Octavio Juárez-Sánchez, María A. Islas-Osuna, Viviana Isabel Calvillo-Páez, Herbert Höpfl, Ramón Iñiguez-Palomares, Fernando Rocha-Alonzo, and Karen Ochoa Lara\*



mechanism. Variable-temperature molecular recognition studies using UV-vis and fluorescence techniques revealed the thermodynamic parameters,  $\Delta H$ ,  $\Delta S$ , and  $\Delta G$ , showing that the tetrandrine derivatives exhibit high affinity toward dsDNA ( $K \approx 10^5 - 10^7$ M<sup>-1</sup>), particularly the bis(methyl)anthraquinone (BAqT) and bis(ethyl)indole compounds (BInT). Viscometry experiments,



🔤 🕲 🖲 😒 🖃

Article

ethidium displacement assays, and molecular modeling studies enabled elucidation of the possible binding mode, indicating that the compounds exhibit a synergic interaction mode involving intercalation of one of the N-aryl substituents and interaction of the molecular skeleton in the major groove of the dsDNA. Cytotoxicity tests of the derivatives with tumor and nontumor cell lines demonstrated low cytotoxicity of these compounds, with the exception of the bis(methyl)pyrene (BPyrT) derivative, which is significantly more cytotoxic than the remaining derivatives, with IC<sub>50</sub> values against the LS-180, A-549, and ARPE-19 cell lines that are similar to natural tetrandrine. Finally, complementary electrochemical characterization studies unveiled good electrochemical stability of the compounds.

## 1. INTRODUCTION

The natural alkaloid  $S_{r}S_{-}(+)$ -tetrandrine has been extensively studied due to its multiple pharmacological activities.<sup>1,2</sup> Tetrandrine is a macrocyclic compound that can be functionalized to yield diverse derivatives with enhanced activity.<sup>3–6</sup> So far, the alkaloid and some of its various derivatives have been evaluated as potential treatments for cancer, viral<sup>7</sup> and bacterial infections,<sup>8</sup> cardiovascular afflictions,<sup>9</sup> pulmonary fibrosis,<sup>10,11</sup> diabetes,<sup>12,13</sup> and cognitive impairment.<sup>14</sup> However, one of the main limitations of using tetrandrine as a drug is its poor solubility in water and the consequent low bioavailability.<sup>1,15</sup> On the other hand, derivatization of tetrandrine has been almost exclusively on its aromatic rings,<sup>4,5,16-20</sup> leaving the functionalization on the nitrogen atoms largely unexplored, a strategy that can improve solubility in water and simplify the synthesis.

Our research groups have previously reported on a series of cationic mono- and bis-N-substituted tetrandrine derivatives containing up to two additional chiral centers. These compounds promote ester cleavage<sup>21</sup> and bind substrates of biological importance such as nucleotides and double-stranded (ds) DNA through a combination of noncovalent interactions, including  $\pi$ -stacking.<sup>22-26</sup> Recently, a bis(methyl)naphthyl tetrandrine derivative was shown to self-assemble into an

organic supramolecular framework with extremely large spherical cavities,<sup>27</sup> indicating that tetrandrine is a versatile scaffold molecule with several potential applications.

Selective recognition of nucleic acids remains a primary goal for diverse reasons, including the design of novel anticancer agents, antibiotics, and antiviral drugs and the intracellular detection of DNA and RNA. Specific strategies have been developed for this purpose, depending on the desired application. For instance, effective anticancer and antiviral agents contain, frequently, planar aromatic substituents that intercalate into the base pairs of dsDNA, inhibiting the replication enzyme topoisomerase II,<sup>28</sup> among other effects. Other binding modes of small molecules to nucleic acids, such as groove binding<sup>29-31</sup> or electrostatic interactions,<sup>32-34</sup> can lead to other applications.

We hypothesize that tetrandrine fits into the major groove of dsDNA, and through the addition of substituents on the

Received: January 11, 2022 Accepted: April 20, 2022 Published: May 3, 2022





Article



Figure 1. Chemical structures of tetrandrine and the bis-*N*-substituted tetrandrine salts studied in this work. Note: The chirality of the stereogenic centers in the isolated stereoisomers is indicated as *S* and *R*.

nitrogen atoms the resulting positive charges facilitate the recognition of nucleic acids by means of the phosphate backbone. Herein, we report on dsDNA binding studies of a series of cationic bis-N-substituted tetrandrine derivatives. The substituents chosen for introduction into the alkaloid skeleton are polyaromatics commonly used for DNA intercalation and groove binding and allow evaluation of the effect of these units as well as the presence of heteroatoms. Spectrophotometric titrations, viscometry, electrophoretic mobility shift assays, and molecular modeling studies were carried out to determine the binding mode of the tetrandrine derivatives to the double helix of model dsDNA. In addition, viability MTT assays and complementary cyclic voltammetry studies were performed to examine the effect of the substituents over the cytotoxicity and gain information for further modifications of natural tetrandrine that can facilitate the development of new treatments and even fluorescent dsDNA probes for microscopic analysis.

### 2. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

**2.1. Synthesis and Characterization.** The bis-*N*-substituted derivatives BBT, BNaphT, and BAqT were prepared in moderate to good yields as reported previously in the literature.<sup>22,24,27</sup> In analogous manner, the novel tetrandrine salts BInT and BPyrT were synthesized by quaternization of the tertiary amine groups of the alkaloid with 3-(2-bromoethyl)indole and 1-(bromomethyl)pyrene, respectively (Figure 1).

The products were fully characterized by elemental analysis, IR, NMR spectroscopy (Figures S1-S3), and mass spectrometry. According to the <sup>1</sup>H NMR spectra, BInT and BPyrT constitute single stereoisomers, showing a single set of signals for the hydrogen atoms (Figures S1 and S3). UV–vis absorption and emission spectra of each derivative can also be found in the Supporting Information (Figures S4 and S5). The emission spectra show the expected bands for the

fluorophore substituents of the derivatives. An excimer band was not observed for the BPyrT derivative.

Additionally, BBT crystals suitable for single-crystal X-ray diffraction analysis were grown. Crystallographic data are given in the Experimental Section, and perspective views of the molecular structure of BBT, the asymmetric unit, and the unit cell are shown in Figures 2 and S6. The incorporation of benzyl units on the nitrogen atoms of the alkaloid produced two new chiral centers. Considering the known configuration of the asymmetric carbons of natural tetrandrine enabled us to



**Figure 2.** Perspective view of the molecular structure of one of the four crystallographically independent molecules of BBT (without the  $Br^-$  counterions) extracted from the asymmetric unit of its crystal structure ( $C_{210}H_{227}Br_8N_9O_{24}$ ). The chirality of the stereogenic centers in the isolated stereoisomer is indicated as *S* and *R*.



**Figure 3.** Spectrophotometric titration of BInT. (a) Variation of the emission spectra for BInT  $(1.0 \times 10^{-6} \text{ M})$  upon addition of DNA-1 (5 nM to 0.62  $\mu$ M) at 25 °C in phosphate buffer with 10% DMSO (v/v), pH = 7.2, 0.01 M NaCl,  $\lambda_{exc}$  = 280 nm. (b) Plot of the intensity of emissions at 356 nm of BInT versus the concentration of added DNA-1. The solid line is the fitting curve using the McGhee and von Hippel model. DNA-1, 5'-GTA AGA TGA TTC-3' and 5'-GAA TCA TCT TAC-3'.

establish the configuration for the new chiral centers as R giving the  $S_{C'}S_{C'}R_{N'}R_{N}$ -tetrandrine derivative.

**2.2. DNA Binding Studies.** The chemical and structural characteristics of the five tetrandrine derivatives are appropriate for binding to dsDNA. To examine the DNA binding characteristics, spectrophotometric titrations, electrophoretic mobility shift assays, and viscometry experiments were performed along with molecular modeling studies on complexes formed with model DNA to elucidate possible binding modes.

2.2.1. Recognition Studies of dsDNA. Molecular recognition studies between the derivatives BNaphT, BAqT, BInT, and BPyrT (Figure 1) and oligonucleotide DNA-1 were carried out either by UV-vis or by fluorescence emission spectroscopy. This oligonucleotide was chosen to ensure a complete turn of the double helix while maintaining a simple system that includes all nitrogenous bases.

For all spectrophotometric titrations, the samples were dissolved in phosphate buffer with 10% DMSO (v/v) containing NaCl (0.01 M), adjusted to pH = 7.2. Only BPyrT was suitable for titrations using UV-vis absorption spectroscopy; meanwhile, the remaining three derivatives showed a significant increase of the baseline and broadened bands (Figure S7), which can be attributed to aggregation of the DNA-tetrandrine derivative complexes as a consequence of the high affinity, as evidenced by the titrations followed by fluorescence spectroscopy. It is noteworthy that fluorescence measurements allow detection at lower concentrations; therefore, aggregation was not observed for any of the titrations reported herein. In the UV-vis spectra of compound BPyrT, a bathochromic shift was observed upon DNA binding, as seen in Figure S8, with changes in  $\lambda_{\rm max}$  from 331 and 347 nm to 342 and 354 nm, respectively. This shift can be associated with  $\pi$ -stacking of the pyrene units with the DNA base pairs.

In a similar manner but using fluorescence spectroscopy, titrations of BNaphT, BAqT, and BInT with dsDNA were performed (Figures S9 and S10, Supporting Information), showing strong quenching of the fluorescence intensity for BInT, as seen in Figures 3 and S10, while for the case of BAqT, an increase in the emission of fluorescence intensity was observed (Figure S9). In the case of BNaphT, the experiments

were not reproducible, which might be a consequence of the self-assembly characteristics of this compound as described previously.<sup>27</sup>

To gain better insight into the supramolecular interactions involved in the binding of the tetrandrine derivatives to dsDNA, the thermodynamic parameters  $\Delta H$  and  $\Delta S$  were calculated from the binding constants determined at different temperatures for the complexes with DNA-1, using the van't Hoff equation (Table S1 and Figures S8–S11, Supporting Information). BPyrT and BInT gave favorable enthalpic and entropic contributions to the Gibbs free energy (Table 1). The

Table 1. Binding Constants  $(K_b)$  at 25 °C and Thermodynamic Parameters for the Complexes Formed between DNA-1 and the Tetrandrine Derivatives Studied Herein<sup>*a*</sup>

derivative	$K_{\rm b}~({\rm M}^{-1})$	$\Delta H$ (J/mol)	$\Delta S (J/(mol K))$
BAqT	$1.85 \times 10^7 \pm 2.23 \times 10^{6b}$	С	С
BPyrT	$6.16 \times 10^5 \pm 6.42 \times 10^{4d}$	-23 865	30.7
BInT	$9.53 \times 10^7 \pm 4.36 \times 10^{6b}$	-7464	127.7

<sup>*a*</sup>DNA-1, 5'-GTA AGA TGA TTC-3' and 5'-GAA TCA TCT TAC-3'. <sup>*b*</sup>Binding constants were determined by fluorescence. <sup>*c*</sup>Parameters could not be established due to a nonlinear temperature dependence of  $K_{\rm b}$ . <sup>*d*</sup>Binding constant was determined by UV–vis.

negative  $\Delta H$  values are attributed to intercalation into the base pairs of DNA,<sup>35</sup> particularly by means of the pyrene and indole units, which are susceptible to  $\pi$ -stacking interactions. In addition, the rest of the molecule can establish electrostatic ion-pair interactions with the DNA phosphate backbones and van der Waals interactions with the major groove in the double helix. The binding of tetrandrine derivative cations is associated with the displacement of cations originally surrounding the phosphate backbone and a hydrophobic effect, with a consequent increase of the entropy in the system. Haq and Chaires have established that the binding of classical intercalators to dsDNA is enthalpically driven, while complexes with groove binders are entropically favored.<sup>35,36</sup> For BAqT, the binding constants gave a nonlinear temperature dependence (Figure S11c), and hence, the thermodynamic parameters could not be calculated. A nonlinear van't Hoff plot has been observed for heterogeneous systems as well as for systems



**Figure 4.** Emission spectra and their respective linear fittings (according to the Stern–Volmer equation) of the ethidium bromide displacement assays of BPyrT with two dsDNA sequences: (a) DNA-2, 5'-poly(A)<sub>18</sub>-3' and 5'-poly(T)<sub>18</sub>-3', and (b) DNA-3, 5'-poly(G)<sub>18</sub>-3' and 5'-poly(C)<sub>18</sub>-3'.  $\lambda_{exc} = 510$  nm, in phosphate buffer with 10% DMSO (v/v), pH = 7.2, 0.01 M NaCl, at 25 °C. [EtBr] = 5  $\mu$ M, [dsDNA] = 10  $\mu$ M, [BPyrT] = 0– 20  $\mu$ M.

involving significant protein conformational changes.<sup>37–39</sup> The formation of BAqT–DNA-1 aggregates is probably more likely to occur since the oligonucleotide is not large enough to present major conformational changes.

2.2.2. Ethidium Bromide Displacement Assays. To further evaluate the binding phenomena of bis-N-substituted tetrandrine derivatives with dsDNA, ethidium bromide displacement experiments were carried out, using oligonucleotides DNA-1, DNA-2, and DNA-3. Ethidium bromide displacement assays are generally accepted as an indirect method to identify intercalation in dsDNA. However, groove binders can displace ethidium bromide as well, and therefore, various experiments are needed to establish the definite mode of binding.<sup>37</sup> The emission of the initial complex formed between dsDNA and ethidium bromide was quenched by all tetrandrine derivatives, revealing a particularly strong quenching in the case of BAqT. As an illustrative example, Figure 4 shows the results of the ethidium bromide displacement assays of BPyrT with the oligonucleotides constituted of adenine-thymine (DNA-2) or cytosine-guanine (DNA-3) nitrogenous bases; additional material is shown in Figures S12-S15. Table 2 summarizes the Stern–Volmer constants  $(K_{SV})$  obtained for the dsDNA complexes. As expected, the Stern-Volmer constants for

Table 2. Stern–Volmer Constants  $(K_{SV})$  for Complexes of Tetrandrine and Its Derivatives Studied Herein with DNA-1, DNA-2, and DNA-3<sup>*a*</sup>

DNA-1	DNA-2	DNA-3
$7.34 \times 10^{3}$	Ь	ь
$1.69 \times 10^{4}$	$1.45 \times 10^{4}$	$6.76 \times 10^{3}$
$1.33 \times 10^{5}$	$5.17 \times 10^{4}$	$1.53 \times 10^{4}$
$4.84 \times 10^{4}$	$1.34 \times 10^{4}$	$8.26 \times 10^{3}$
$2.72 \times 10^{4}$	$2.32 \times 10^{4}$	$1.08 \times 10^4$
	$\begin{array}{c} \text{DNA-1} \\ 7.34 \times 10^3 \\ 1.69 \times 10^4 \\ 1.33 \times 10^5 \\ 4.84 \times 10^4 \\ 2.72 \times 10^4 \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $

<sup>a</sup>DNA-1, 5'-GTA AGA TGA TTC-3' and 5'-GAA TCA TCT TAC-3'; DNA-2, 5'-poly(A)<sub>18</sub>-3' and 5'-poly(T)<sub>18</sub>-3'; DNA-3, 5'-poly-(G)<sub>18</sub>-3' and 5'-poly(C)<sub>18</sub>-3'. Complexes were formed in phosphate buffer with 10% DMSO (v/v), pH = 7.2, 0.01 M NaCl, at 25 °C. <sup>b</sup>Not determined.

complexes of DNA-1 are higher for all the tetrandrine derivatives compared to natural tetrandrine. Furthermore, in all derivatives, the Stern–Volmer constant was slightly larger for DNA-2 than for DNA-3, suggesting a small preference for oligonucleotides with adenine–thymine enriched sequences. In addition, ethidium bromide displacement experiments were performed with calf-thymus DNA (ctDNA) to ensure that the length of genomic DNA does not affect the magnitude of the interaction. For comparative purposes, the bis-substituted derivative with benzyl units (BBT), unable to intercalate, was included. Stern–Volmer constants with ctDNA are similar to the constants with oligonucleotides, as observed in Table S2.

2.2.3. Electrophoretic Mobility Assays. As mentioned previously, BNaphT can self-assemble into spherical cages, a phenomenon documented by our group,<sup>27</sup> which limits its solubility in aqueous medium. Even though this made quantification of the binding of BNaphT to dsDNA impossible by optical methods, a qualitative proof could be generated through an electrophoretic mobility shift assay. The mobility of a nucleic acid across the gel is decreased when bound to another molecule.<sup>38</sup> Figure 5 illustrates clearly that BNaphT



Figure 5. Electrophoresis mobility shift assays for (a) 100 ng of plasmid DNA and samples of plasmid DNA incubated for 2 h (b) with DMSO or with 0.1 nmol concentration of (c) tetrandrine, (d) BPyrT, (e) BNaphT, and (f) BInT.

and natural tetrandrine reduce the mobility of the plasmid. Although some migration is still observed, it can be inferred that both compounds bind to DNA. On the other hand, BPyrT and BInT seem to have a stronger interaction with DNA, as observed through spectrophotometric titrations, and prevent plasmid migration. Nicking of the plasmid was not observed. The BAqT-plasmid complex did not enter the pores of the agarose gel and, therefore, is not presented in Figure 5.

2.2.4. Viscometry Experiments. In the absence of crystallographic data, viscometry is considered one of the most sensitive and reliable techniques to determine the binding mode of small molecules to DNA. Intercalation lengthens the DNA double strands and produces an increase in viscosity relative to uncomplexed DNA;<sup>39,40</sup> meanwhile, groove binders do not alter the DNA length and viscosity. If the viscosity of DNA decreases, bending of the double strand is likely to have occurred.<sup>41</sup> Calf thymus DNA (ctDNA) was chosen for viscometry experiments since the solutions of the previously used oligonucleotides were not suitable for the Micro-Ubbelohde viscometer; EtBr, a classic intercalator compound, was used as a control test.

BNaphT, BPyrT, and BInT decrease the viscosity of ctDNA indicating that the double strand is bent as a consequence of the supramolecular interactions with the tetrandrine derivatives (Figure 6). This is plausible when considering that one of the *N*-aryl substituents can intercalate into DNA, while the rest of the molecular skeleton interacts with the major groove. Such behavior can explain the strong entropic contribution to the binding of BPyrT and BInT (Table 1) with dsDNA and can be classified as a nonclassical intercalation. Finally, with BAqT the relative viscosity seems to remain unchanged; however, since only two concentrations of BAqT could be evaluated due to the low solubility at higher concentrations, the data points are insufficient to reach a conclusion in this case. Experiments such as dynamic light scattering could clarify whether BAqT-



**Figure 6.** Viscometry experiments for 100  $\mu$ M calf-thymus DNA solutions in 0.0064 M phosphate buffer with 5% DMSO (v/v), pH = 7.2, 0.01 M NaCl, using increasing concentrations of the tetrandrine derivatives studied herein or EtBr (10–40  $\mu$ M) at 20 °C.

ctDNA complex aggregates result in the detected solubility limitations.

2.3. Molecular Modeling of the DNA–Tetrandrine Complexes. To get a better understanding of the complexes formed between DNA-1 (containing all nucleobases) and tetrandrine derivatives, molecular modeling studies were performed to propose a good representation of the binding mode of these complexes, based on the thermodynamic and viscometry binding studies. Figure S16 shows the geometry-optimized molecular gas phase structures of tetrandrine derivatives, while Figure 7 illustrates the lowest-energy structures of the complexes between DNA-1 and derivatives BInT and BPyrT (the remaining are shown in Figure S17), determined by molecular docking that was carried out using molecular mechanics (AMBER99 force field) and the Hyper-Chem release 8.0 software.<sup>42</sup>

In the calculated minimum-energy structures, one of the aromatic substituents of BInT and BPyrT is tightly bound through  $\pi$ -stacking among the base pairs, while the macrocycle skeleton is localized in the major groove, displaying a series of noncovalent contacts including electrostatic, van der Waals, and hydrophobic interactions. Of these, the electrostatic interactions are formed among positively charged nitrogen atoms of the BInT and BPyrT derivatives and negatively charged oxygen atoms at the phosphate backbones of the dsDNA. The short  $N^+ \cdots O^-$  distances of 3.87, 3.94, 3.64, and 4.69 Å for the case of DNA-1-BPyrT, as well as 3.78 and 4.24 Å for DNA-1–BInT, are typical for strong ion-pair interactions.<sup>25,26</sup> Similar results were obtained for the calculated minimum-energy structure of DNA-1-BNaphT (Figure S17b), while the structure of DNA-1-BBT (Figure S17a) shows that BBT, unable to intercalate, is positioned in the major groove with short  $N^+ \cdots O^-$  distances.

Finally, a very interesting result was obtained for the minimum-energy structure of the complex formed between DNA-1 and BAqT. As shown in Figure S17c, the derivative has a very good fit within the major DNA groove without intercalation of any of the anthraquinones; other calculated structures showed at least partial intercalation of one anthraquinone although with higher energies.

The arrangements illustrated in Figures 7 and S17 are in good agreement with the data established from the experimental binding and viscometry studies, as well as with

a)

b)



Figure 7. Perspective views of modeled structures for the complexes formed between DNA-1 and the tetrandrine derivatives (a) BInT and (b) BPyrT.

Table 3. Cytotoxicity of the Bis-substituted Tetrandrine Derivatives Studied Herein against Human Cancer Cell Lines and Retinal Epithelium Cells<sup>a</sup>

compound	HeLa	LS-180	A-549	ARPE-19
tetrandrine	$16.64 \pm 0.02 \ (26.72 \pm 0.03)$	$6.43 \pm 0.34 \ (10.33 \pm 0.55)$	$12.07 \pm 1.51 \ (19.38 \pm 2.42)$	$8.45 \pm 0.19 \ (13.57 \pm 0.30)$
BBT	>30.00* (>31.10)	>30.00* (>31.10)	>30.00* (>31.10)	>30.00* (>31.10)
BNaphT	>30.00* (>28.18)	>30.00* (>28.18)	$24.23 \pm 0.29 \ (22.76 \pm 0.27)$	$21.10 \pm 1.42 \ (19.82 \pm 1.33)$
BPyrT	>30.00* (>24.66)	$5.84 \pm 0.72 \ (4.80 \pm 0.59)$	$17.47 \pm 1.05 \ (14.36 \pm 0.86)$	$9.23 \pm 1.97 \ (7.59 \pm 1.62)$
BInT	>30.00* (>28.02)	>30.00* (>28.02)	$28.25 \pm 3.00 \ (26.39 \pm 2.80)$	$28.25 \pm 1.49 \ (26.39 \pm 1.39)$
BAqT	>30.00* (>24.50)	>30.00* (>24.50)	>30.00* (>24.50)	>30.00* (>24.50)

<sup>*a*</sup>Data are shown as the mean IC<sub>50</sub>  $\pm$  SD (standard deviation) in  $\mu$ g/mL ( $\mu$ M  $\pm$  SD in parentheses) from three independent repetitions after 48 h exposure to the test compounds. The asterisk (\*) represents the maximum concentration tested, which did not reach an IC<sub>50</sub> value.

the depth, width, electrostatic potential, and steric effects of the DNA major groove. Generally, small molecules are localized in minor grooves, while larger molecules bind to the major groove even though it involves at least partial intercalation.<sup>43</sup>

**2.4. Cytotoxicity Assays.** Since DNA binding is usually linked to cytotoxicity, we evaluated the biological activity of the bis-*N*-substituted tetrandrine derivatives studied herein, including BBT, and unmodified tetrandrine for comparison purposes, against the human cancer cell lines HeLa (cervical cancer), LS-180 (colon cancer), and A-549 (lung cancer), and the nontumor ARPE-19 (retinal epithelium) cell line.

As shown in Table 3, three of the derivatives revealed low cytotoxicity after 48 h of incubation. BPyrT, which carries the largest aromatic residues, is significantly more cytotoxic than the remaining derivatives, with  $IC_{50}$  values against the LS-180, A-549, and ARPE-19 cell lines that are comparable to those of natural tetrandrine. This effect is probably related to better

intercalation as seen formerly for MAnT, a tetrandrine derivative substituted with one anthracene unit, previously reported by our group.<sup>26</sup>

Complementary electrochemical studies were performed to characterize the redox-active tetrandrine derivatives (Figure S18). The cyclic voltammograms of the tetrandrine derivatives with aryl substituents that do not possess heteroatoms, BNaphT and BPyrT, revealed each a single reduction and oxidation peak, with more negative  $E_{1/2}$  than BInT and BAqT. The peak current ratio ( $I_{pa}/I_{pc}$ ) of the former approaches unity, indicating that the redox behavior is chemically reversible (Table S3). These parameters suggest that the derivatives described here do not possess any particular electrochemical properties indicating that they are oxidizing agents that could explain differences in cytotoxicity. Interestingly, and in contrast to tetrandrine, only low cytotoxicity was observed for BPyrT against HeLa, which deepens our drive to elucidate the underlying mechanisms to achieve specificity through proper selection of the substituents attached to the periphery of tetrandrine. Chemotherapeutic potential is generally valued for small molecules that bind to nucleic acids; however, the lack of relevant cytotoxicity of these tetrandrine derivatives could be an advantage if used as DNA probes.

#### 3. CONCLUSIONS

The binding properties of a series of bis-*N*-substituted tetrandrine derivatives were examined using various doublestranded DNA models. The molecular recognition studies and electrophoretic mobility shift assays showed that cationic tetrandrine derivatives functionalized with polyaromatic units have high affinity to dsDNA. Variations of the type of aryl substituents on the nitrogen atoms of the macrocyclic alkaloid allow the modulation of the physicochemical properties by varying the supramolecular interaction pattern and binding mode toward dsDNAs. These derivatives appear to bind to dsDNA through a dual binding mode involving partial intercalation of one substituent unit and accommodation of the remaining macrocycle skeleton in the major groove of the double helix.

While the presence of heteroatoms on the substituent increases the binding constant to dsDNA, it does not necessarily result in higher cytotoxicity. As a matter of fact, viability MTT assays against tumor and nontumor cell lines revealed that these compounds have low cytotoxicity. In contrast, the derivatives with naphthyl and particularly the compound with pyrenyl units are promising anticancer drugs and are currently being studied as antimicrobial agents. The explanation seems to rely on the better ability to intercalate naphthalene and pyrene, a property that has been linked to cytotoxicity.

Even though complementary electrochemical characterization studies did not offer significant insight on the possible mechanism of the cytotoxicity observed for BPyrT, they revealed good stability of the derivatives that could be useful for exploration as dsDNA fluorescent probes. We are currently conducting fluorescence studies at excitation wavelengths suitable for confocal microscopy of the tetrandrine derivatives in order to assess a potential application in this direction. Finally, we consider that the ionic nature of the *N*-substituted tetrandrine derivatives can improve the solubility of the alkaloid, one of the main limitations in the application of tetrandrine, and aggregates by self-assembling are not formed in most cases. However, these characteristics strongly depend on the chemical nature of the incorporated substituent.

#### 4. EXPERIMENTAL METHODS

**4.1. General Procedures and Materials.** *4.1.1. Reagents.* All reagents (including natural tetrandrine) were purchased from Sigma-Aldrich and used without further purification. Desalted oligonucleotides were purchased from Integrated DNA Technologies. All solutions were prepared in deionized water from a Milli-Q Advantage A10 Water Purification System with a conductivity below 18 m $\Omega$ .

4.1.2. Instruments. NMR studies were carried out with a Bruker Avance III 400 spectrometer, using TMS as reference ( $\delta^{1}H = 0$ ). Mass spectra were recorded on an Agilent 6100 LC/MS instrument using the ESI<sup>+</sup> mode. UV-vis and fluorescence spectra were performed on Agilent 8435 diode array and PerkinElmer LS50B equipment using quartz cells of

1 cm optical path. The cuvettes were thermostatted at 25  $^{\circ}$ C through circulating water with a Thermo Scientific SC100 Circulating Bath. Melting points were recorded on a Büchi melting point B-545 instrument.

**4.2. Preparation of Tetrandrine Derivatives.** Bis-*N*-substituted tetrandrine derivatives BBT, BNaphT, and BAqT were prepared following the synthetic procedures reported previously.<sup>22,24,27</sup> The syntheses of the novel compounds BInT and BPyrT are described below.

4.2.1. Synthesis of BlnT ( $C_{58}H_{62}Br_2N_4O_6$ ). S,S-(+)-Tetrandrine (0.180 g, 0.289 mmol) and 3-(2'-bromoethyl)indole (0.136 g, 0.606 mmol) were refluxed and stirred during 48 h in acetone (60 mL). The reaction was monitored by TLC until all tetrandrine was consumed. The resulting reaction mixture in the form of a suspension was rota-evaporated until dryness, whereupon ethyl acetate was added. A precipitate formed and was washed with the same solvent, filtered, and dried under vacuum to yield the product as a colorless solid (0.29 g, yield 93.5%). Decomposition point: 219–222 °C. UV absorption (DMSO/water, 10:90 v/v),  $\lambda$  (nm),  $\varepsilon$  (dm<sup>3</sup> mol<sup>-1</sup> cm<sup>-1</sup>):  $\lambda_{240}$ ,  $\varepsilon$  = 18435;  $\lambda_{280}$ ,  $\varepsilon$  = 15925.

Despite the large number of hydrogen atoms, the <sup>1</sup>H NMR spectra showed characteristic signals that could be assigned using two-dimensional experiments. The signals for the two N-CH<sub>3</sub> and four O-CH<sub>3</sub> groups were found at  $\delta_{\rm H} = 3.86$  (s, 3H), 3.68 (s, 3H), 3.57 (s, 3H), 3.10 (s, 3H), 2.63 (s, 3H), and 2.56 (s, 3H) ppm. The signals at  $\delta_{\rm H} = 5.13$  (m, 2H), 4.74 (m, 2H), and 4.27 (m, 4H) were assigned to the N<sup>+</sup>-CH<sub>2</sub> and N<sup>+</sup>-CHR hydrogen atoms. The ten aromatic hydrogen atoms of the tetrandrine macrocycle and the ten aromatic hydrogen atoms of the tow indole units are found in the region of  $\delta_{\rm H} = 7.72-6.17$  (m, 20H). Finally, the indole NH-group gave a characteristic single signal at  $\delta_{\rm H} = 10.97$  (s, 2H). MS-ESI(+) m/z: 455.3 ([BInT - 2Br<sup>-</sup>]<sup>2+</sup>, 100%). Elem. Anal. Calcd for C<sub>58</sub>H<sub>62</sub>Br<sub>2</sub>N<sub>4</sub>O<sub>6</sub>·3.5H<sub>2</sub>O: C, 61.43; H, 6.13; N, 4.94. Found: C, 61.44; H, 5.24; N, 4.35.

4.2.2. Synthesis of BPyrT ( $C_{72}H_{64}Br_2N_2O_6$ ). S,S-(+)-Tetrandrine (0.180 g, 0.289 mmol) and 1-(bromomethyl)pyrene (0.213 g, 0.722 mmol) were refluxed and stirred for 24 h in 60 mL of acetone/chloroform (1:1 v/v). The reaction mixture was filtered and rota-evaporated until dryness, whereupon acetone was added. The precipitate was washed with acetone, separated by filtration, and dried under vacuum to yield the product as colorless solid (0.150 g, yield: 43%). Decomposition point: 203–207 °C. UV absorption (DMSO/water, 10:90 v/v),  $\lambda$  (nm),  $\varepsilon$  (dm<sup>3</sup> mol<sup>-1</sup> cm<sup>-1</sup>):  $\lambda_{244}$ ,  $\varepsilon$  = 80808;  $\lambda_{279}$ ,  $\varepsilon$  = 43642;  $\lambda_{331}$ ,  $\varepsilon$  = 34980;  $\lambda_{347}$ ,  $\varepsilon$  = 48244.

Despite the large number of hydrogen atoms, the <sup>1</sup>H NMR spectra showed characteristic signals that could be assigned using two-dimensional experiments. The signals for the N-CH<sub>3</sub> and O-CH<sub>3</sub> groups were found at  $\delta_{\rm H}$  = 3.86 (s, 3H), 3.63 (s, 3H), 3.59 (s, 3H), 3.52 (s, 3H), 2.87 (s, 3H), and 2.45 (s, 3H) ppm. The signals at  $\delta_{\rm H}$  = 5.59 (m, 1H), 5.64–5.53 (m, 2H), 5.43-5.27 (m, 2H), 5.09-5.00 (m, 2H), and 4.68-4.32 (m, 3H) were assigned to the N<sup>+</sup>-CH<sub>2</sub> and N<sup>+</sup>-CHR hydrogen atoms. The ten hydrogen atoms of the aromatic skeleton of tetrandrine were found at  $\delta_{\rm H}$  = 7.51 (d, J = 8.3 Hz, 1H), 7.35 (s, 1H), 7.00 (d, J = 8.3 Hz, 1H), 6.96 (s, 1H), 6.88 (m, 2H),6.81 (m, 1H), 6.55 (d, J = 10.2 Hz, 1H), 6.36 (s, 1H), and 6.24 (s, 1H) ppm. Finally, the hydrogen atoms of the pyrene units gave a series of signals in the range from 8.0 to 9.0 ppm that integrate for 18 hydrogen atoms. MS-ESI(+) m/z: 526.5  $([BPyrT - 2Br^{-}]^{2+}, 100\%)$ . Elem. Anal. Calcd for

 $C_{72}H_{64}Br_2N_2O_6{\cdot}4H_2O{\cdot}$  C, 67.29; H, 5.65; N, 2.18. Found: C, 67.08; H, 4.87; N, 1.84.

4.3. Single-Crystal X-ray Diffraction Analysis. Single crystals of BBT (Figure 1) were grown by slow solvent evaporation from solution in acetonitrile at room temperature. Single-crystal X-ray diffraction data were collected at T = 100K on an Agilent Technologies SuperNova diffractometer equipped with a CCD area detector (EosS2) using Cu K $\alpha$ radiation ( $\lambda$  = 1.54184 Å) from a microfocus X-ray source and an Oxford Instruments Cryojet cooler. The measured intensities were reduced to  $F^2$  and corrected for absorption using spherical harmonics (CryAlisPro).<sup>44</sup> Intensities were corrected for Lorentz and polarization effects. Structure solution, refinement, and data output were performed with the OLEX2<sup>45</sup> program package using SHELXL-2014<sup>46</sup> for the refinement. Non-hydrogen atoms were refined anisotropically. All hydrogen atoms were placed in geometrically calculated positions using the riding model.

The asymmetric unit comprises four crystallographically independent molecules of BBT A total of eight peaks were localized close to the ammonium nitrogen atoms in difference Fourier maps and assigned to the bromine counterions. Besides the atoms corresponding to the molecular structure of BBT, a large number of additional peaks were detected, several of which indicated the presence of acetonitrile. However, least-squares refinement showed relatively large thermal displacement values for all solvent molecules except for one, indicating disorder. Because of this, in the final stage of the refinement the SOLVENT MASK methodology implemented in OLEX<sup>45</sup> was used to achieve the final data set. Analysis of the solvent accessible volume gave a total of 6593.2 Å<sup>3</sup> corresponding to 28.6% of the unit cell volume (electron count = 806). Figures have been created with Diamond.<sup>48</sup> Selected crystallographic data for BBT are shown in Table 4.

formula	$C_{210}H_{227}Br_8N_9O_{24}$
$MW (g mol^{-1})$	3900.27
space group	P2 <sub>1</sub> 2 <sub>1</sub> 2 <sub>1</sub>
T (K)	100.0(3)
a (Å)	24.27507(18)
b (Å)	28.6715(2)
c (Å)	33.1633(3)
$V(Å^3)$	23081.8(3)
Z	4
$\mu  ({\rm mm}^{-1})$	2.119
$R^{a}$	0.0681
$R_w^b$	0.1868
GOF	1.033
Flack parameter	0.007(5)
${}^{a}I > 2\sigma(I); R = \sum_{F_{c}^{2}}   F_{0}  - F_{c}^{2} ^{2} / \sum_{w} (F_{o}^{2})^{2} ^{1/2}.$	$ F_{\rm c}  /\sum  F_{\rm 0} $ . <sup>b</sup> All data; $R_{\rm w} = [\sum w(F_{\rm o}^2 -$

Crystallographic data for the crystal structure have been deposited with the Cambridge Crystallographic Data Centre as supplementary publication no. 2123405. Copies of the data can be obtained free of charge on application to CCDC, 12 Union Road, Cambridge CB2 1EZ, UK (fax (+44)1223-336-033; email deposit@ccdc.cam.ac.uk; http://www.ccdc.cam.ac.uk).

**4.4. Spectrophotometric Titrations.** Prior to the titration experiments, the molar absorptivities were obtained

at the absorption maximum of the spectrum of each tetrandrine derivative (Figure S4), ensuring a linear concentration dependence of the absorbance. The fluorescence spectra of the derivatives (Figure S5) are in agreement with the known spectral characteristics of each of the fluorophore units.

Spectrophotometric titrations were performed with  $10^{-6}$  to  $10^{-5}$  M solutions of the corresponding tetrandrine derivative dissolved in 0.0064 M phosphate buffer with 10% DMSO (v/v), pH = 7.2, 0.01 M NaCl. There was no visible aggregation under such conditions, and the chosen concentration for the titration of any derivative falls in the linear response of the observed property. For the recognition experiments with the double-stranded DNA models, the respective oligomer was mixed in the same medium with its complementary sequence and heated for 5 min to 98 °C in a Thermo Scientific dry bath/block heater, followed by slow cooling to room temperature. The following dsDNA sequences were used: DNA-1, 5'-GTA AGA TGA TTC-3' and 5'-GAA TCA TCT TAC-3'; DNA-2, 5'-poly(A)<sub>18</sub>-3' and 5'-poly(T)<sub>18</sub>-3'; DNA-3, 5'-poly(G)<sub>18</sub>-3' and 5'-poly(C)<sub>18</sub>-3'.

Binding constants for the complexes formed between the tetrandrine derivatives and the model dsDNA were obtained by recording changes of the absorption or emission spectrum of a fixed amount of the tetrandrine derivative dependent on increasing concentrations of added dsDNA. All UV–vis and fluorescence spectrometric titration curves were fitted with the Microcal OriginPro8 software package using the following equation, which here is expressed in terms of absorbance and is analogous for emission intensity:<sup>49</sup>

$$A_{\rm obs} = \frac{A_0 + A_\infty K_{\rm b}[{\rm L}]_{\rm t}}{1 + K_{\rm b}[{\rm L}]_{\rm t}}$$

where  $A_{obs}$  is the observed absorbance,  $A_0$  is the initial absorbance,  $A_{\infty}$  is the maximum absorbance change,  $K_b$  is the binding constant, and  $[L]_t$  is the total concentration of ligand, in this case dsDNA. The nonlinear curve fitting of the data was compared with the classical Scatchard linear plot, which is commonly used in dsDNA binding studies in combination with the McGhee and von Hippel equation.<sup>26</sup> As expected, the same results were obtained without dependence on the fitting model employed.

**4.5. Ethidium Bromide Displacement Assays.** For the ethidium bromide (EtBr) displacement assays, increasing amounts of a tetrandrine derivative stock solution were added to a solution of the dsDNA–EtBr complex ([DNA] = 10  $\mu$ M and [EtBr] = 5  $\mu$ M) in 0.0064 M phosphate buffer with 10% DMSO (v/v), pH = 7.2, 0.01 M NaCl. The fluorescence spectra of EtBr bound to dsDNA were measured using an excitation wavelength of 510 nm. The resulting titration data were plotted according to the Stern–Volmer equation to obtain the quenching constant,  $K_{SV}$ .<sup>39</sup>

$$\frac{I_0}{I} = K_{\rm SV}[Q] + 1$$

where  $I_0$  and I are the emission intensities of the dsDNA–EtBr complex in the absence and in the presence of quencher (Q), respectively.  $K_{SV}$  corresponds to the slope obtained from the plot of  $I/I_0$  versus [Q].

**4.6. Electrophoretic Mobility Shift Assays.** Samples of plasmid DNA pCR 2.1 (100 ng, Thermo Scientific) containing a cloned DNA sequence of a total of 4.5 kb were combined

with 0.1 nmol of the respective tetrandrine derivative in a solvent mixture of DMSO and deionized water 10:90 (v/v) and incubated for 2 h at 37 °C. The samples were loaded into 1% agarose gel, and their electrophoretic mobility was examined after electrophoresis for 30 min at 60 V. After staining the gel under gentle agitation for 5 min with ethidium bromide (5  $\mu$ g/mL), the samples were washed with water for 2 h, and then revealed with UV-light using a Gel Doc EZ documentation system from Bio-Rad.<sup>50</sup> The solvent mixture and a solution of plasmid only were used as controls.

**4.7. Viscometry Measurements.** Viscosity studies of complexes formed between the tetrandrine derivatives and calf thymus DNA (ctDNA) were carried out with a Micro-Ubbelohde viscometer from SI Analytics at a temperature of 20 °C, which was maintained with a PolyScience temperature controller. Ethidium bromide (EtBr), which is a classic intercalator compound, was used as a control test. For the experiment, the flow time of calf thymus DNA (ctDNA) was measured upon addition of the tetrandrine derivative. Each data point was established from ten measurements using a digital stopwatch. The relative viscosity of the ctDNA solution ( $\eta_0$ ) and the tetrandrine–ctDNA complexes ( $\eta$ ) were calculated according to the equation:

$$\eta = \frac{(t - t_0)}{t_0}$$

where *t* is the average flow time of the respective solution and  $t_0$  is the average flow time of the medium (phosphate buffer with 5% DMSO v/v). The results were plotted as  $(\eta/\eta_0)^{1/3}$  against the [tetrandrine derivative]/[ctDNA] ratio.<sup>51</sup>

4.8. Molecular Modeling. For the molecular modeling studies of the tetrandrine derivatives, the initial molecular structures were constructed by using the X-ray diffraction coordinates of BBT and those previously reported for BNaphT<sup>27</sup> for the macrocycle skeleton, attaching when necessary the respective N-CH2-aryl substituents using the Avogadro software tool.<sup>52</sup> The tetrandrine derivatives were then geometry-optimized in the gas phase by using the PM6 semiempirical method implemented in the Gaussian 09 program package.53 The structure of double-stranded B DNA-1 was generated from the nucleic acid database of HyperChem release 8.0 software<sup>42</sup> and optimized in the gas phase by applying the AMBER99 force field and a Polak-Ribiere conjugate algorithm with a convergence criterion of 0.001 kcal/(Å mol). For the molecular modeling of the DNAtetrandrine derivative complexes, the optimized conformer of the tetrandrine derivative was manually docked with DNA-1 based on the findings obtained from the titration and viscometry studies. Geometry optimizations of the complexes with DNA were carried out using molecular mechanics (AMBER99 force field) and the HyperChem release 8.0 software.42

**4.9. Cytotoxicity Assays.** Cytotoxicity study tests of the bis-*N*-substituted tetrandrine derivatives studied herein were performed through MTT assays on the human cancer cell lines HeLa (cervical cancer), LS-180 (colon cancer), and A-549 (lung cancer). For comparison, the nontumoral cell line ARPE-19 (retinal epithelium) was also included. The cells were initially cultured in a 96-well sterile plate with DMEM medium supplemented with 5% FBS at a density of 10000 cells per well. Different concentrations of the tetrandrine derivatives were added, followed by 48 h incubation. After that time, 10  $\mu$ M

MTT [3-(4,5-dimethyl-2-thiazolyl)-2,5-diphenyltetrazolium bromide] dissolved in DMSO was added to each well followed by incubation for 4 h at 37 °C. Acidic isopropanol was added under stirring to dissolve formazan crystals, and after 10 min, the plate was analyzed in a Bio-Rad ELISA plate reader, with 570 nm being the test wavelength and 630 nm the reference wavelength. Control experiments were performed in parallel. Cytotoxicity was reported as the 50% inhibitory concentration,  $IC_{50}$ .<sup>54</sup> All experiments were carried out in triplicate.

The data are reported as mean  $IC_{50} \pm SD$  (standard deviation) and were subjected to statistical analysis of variance (ANOVA), comparing the mean values with the Tukey test (p < 0.05). For the statistical analyses, the IBM\* SPSS\* 20 Program was used.

**4.10. Cyclic Voltammetry Studies.** Cyclic voltammograms (CV) were recorded at room temperature in a conventional three-electrode cell, using a platinum wire as the auxiliary electrode and Ag/AgCl (KBr, 3 M) as reference electrode. Measurements were performed in DMSO solutions containing 0.20 M tetrabutylammonium hexafluorophosphate (NBu<sub>4</sub>PF<sub>6</sub>) as supporting electrolyte, using a Metrohm PGSTAT 128N equipment. Previously, the working electrodes were prepared by evaporating a drop (5.0  $\mu$ L) of a suspension of the corresponding tetrandrine derivative in acetonitrile (1 mg/mL) located on a glassy carbon electrode (GCE, BAS MF 4012, exposure area 0.071 cm<sup>2</sup>). CVs were collected with scan rates of 100 mV/s with *iR* compensation.<sup>55</sup>

## ASSOCIATED CONTENT

#### **Supporting Information**

The Supporting Information is available free of charge at https://pubs.acs.org/doi/10.1021/acsomega.2c00225.

NMR spectra of BInT and BPyrT, UV-visible absorption and emission spectra of BNaphT, BPyrT, BAqT, and BInT, perspective views of the asymmetric unit and the unit cell of BBT, spectroscopic titrations and fitting curves for all derivatives, as well as Stern-Volmer constants, and binding constants for BPyrT, BAqT, and BInT at different temperatures with corresponding van't Hoff plots, geometry-optimized molecular gas phase structures of BBT, BNaphT, BPyrT, BAqT, and BInT, lowest-energy structures of the complexes between DNA-1 and derivatives BBT, BNaphT, and BAqT, and cyclic voltammograms and electrochemical parameters of BNaphT, BPyrT, BAqT, and BInT (PDF)

## AUTHOR INFORMATION

#### **Corresponding Author**

Karen Ochoa Lara – Departamento de Investigación en Polímeros y Materiales, Universidad de Sonora, 83000 Hermosillo, Sonora, México; • orcid.org/0000-0002-5271-2718; Phone: +52 (662) 259 2161; Email: karenol@ polimeros.uson.mx, karen.ochoa@unison.mx

#### Authors

- Sandra Mónica González-Martínez Departamento de Investigación en Polímeros y Materiales, Universidad de Sonora, 83000 Hermosillo, Sonora, México
- **Drochss Pettry Valencia-Ochoa** Departamento de Ciencias Naturales y Matemáticas, Facultad de Ingeniería y Ciencias, Pontificia Universidad Javeriana, 760031 Cali, Colombia

Juan Carlos Gálvez-Ruiz – Departamento de Ciencias Químico Biológicas, Universidad de Sonora, 83000 Hermosillo, Sonora, México

- Mario Alberto Leyva-Peralta Departamento de Ciencias Químico Biológicas y Agropecuarias, Universidad de Sonora, 83621 H. Caborca, Sonora, México
- Octavio Juárez-Sánchez Departamento de Investigación en Física, Universidad de Sonora, 83000 Hermosillo, Sonora, México

María A. Islas-Osuna – Laboratorio de Biología Biomolecular, Centro de Investigación en Alimentación y Desarrollo, A. C., 83304 Hermosillo, Sonora, México

Viviana Isabel Calvillo-Páez – Departamento de Investigación en Polímeros y Materiales, Universidad de Sonora, 83000 Hermosillo, Sonora, México

Herbert Höpfl – Centro de Investigaciones Químicas, Instituto de Investigación en Ciencias Básicas y Aplicadas, Universidad Autónoma del Estado de Morelos, 62209 Cuernavaca, Morelos, México

Ramón Íñiguez-Palomares — Departamento de Física, Universidad de Sonora, 83000 Hermosillo, Sonora, México; orcid.org/0000-0002-9218-936X

Fernando Rocha-Alonzo – Departamento de Ciencias Químico Biológicas, Universidad de Sonora, 83000 Hermosillo, Sonora, México

Complete contact information is available at: https://pubs.acs.org/10.1021/acsomega.2c00225

#### Notes

The authors declare no competing financial interest.

### ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

This work received financial support from Consejo Nacional de Ciencia y Tecnología Mexico in the form of graduate grants for S.G.M. and V.C.P. and through project Nos. CB-239581 and 281251 (Red Temática de Química Supramolecular). M.A.I.O. thanks Universidad de Sonora for the sabbatical leave support. D.P.V. received financial support from OMICAS program, sponsored within the Colombian Scientific Ecosystem by The World Bank, The Ministry of Science, Technology and Innovation (MINCIENCIAS), ICETEX, the Colombian Ministry of Education, and the Colombian Ministry of Industry and Tourism under Grant ID FP44842-217-2018.

### REFERENCES

(1) Bhagya, N.; Chandrashekar, K. R. Tetrandrine – A molecule of wide bioactivity. *Phytochemistry* **2016**, *125*, 5–13.

(2) Liu, T.; Liu, X.; Li, W. Tetrandrine, a Chinese plant-derived alkaloid, is a potential candidate for cancer chemotherapy. *Oncotarget* **2016**, *7*, 40800–40815.

(3) Wei, N.; Sun, H.; Wang, F.; Liu, G. H. A novel derivative of tetrandrine reverse P-glycoprotein-mediated multidrug resistance by inhibiting transport function and expression of P-glycoprotein. *Cancer Chemother. Pharmacol.* **2011**, *67*, 1017–1025.

(4) Lan, J.; Wang, N.; Huang, L.; Liu, Y.; Ma, X.; Lou, H.; Chen, C.; Feng, Y.; Pan, W. Design and synthesis of novel tetrandrine derivatives as potential anti-tumor agents against human hepatocellular carcinoma. *Eur. J. Med. Chem.* **2017**, *127*, 554–566.

(5) Song, J.; Lan, J.; Chen, C.; Hu, S.; Song, J.; Liu, W.; Zeng, X.; Lou, H.; Ben-David, Y.; Pan, W. Design, synthesis and bioactivity investigation of tetrandrine derivatives as potential anti-cancer agents. *Med. Chem. Commun.* **2018**, *9*, 1131–1141. (6) Cao, Z.; Li, D.; Liu, L.; Yang, P. Effect of five novel 5-substituted tetrandrine derivatives on P-glycoprotein-mediated inhibition and transport in Caco-2 cells. *Oncol. Lett.* **2018**, *16*, 6808–6814.

(7) Kim, D. E.; Min, J. S.; Jang, M. S.; Lee, J. Y.; Shin, Y. S.; Park, C. M.; Song, J. H.; Kim, H. R.; Kim, S.; Jin, Y.-H.; Kwon, S. Natural Bis-Benzylisoquinoline Alkaloids-Tetrandrine, Fangchinoline, and Cepharanthine, Inhibit Human Coronavirus OC43 Infection of MRC-5 Human Lung Cells. *Biomolecules* **2019**, *9*, 696–712.

(8) Lee, Y.-S.; Han, S.-H.; Lee, S.-H.; Kim, Y.-G.; Park, C.-B.; Kang, O.-H.; Keum, J.-H.; Kim, S.-B.; Mun, S.-H.; Seo, Y.-S.; Myung, N.-Y.; Kwon, D.-Y. The Mechanism of Antibacterial Activity of Tetrandrine Against Staphylococcus aureus. *Foodborne Pathog. Dis.* **2012**, *9* (8), 686–691.

(9) Li, G.; Li, W.-R.; Jin, Y.-G.; Jie, Q.-Q.; Wang, C.-Y.; Wu, L. Tetrandrine Attenuated Doxorubicin-Induced Acute Cardiac Injury in Mice. *BioMed. Res. Int.* **2020**, *8*, 2616024.

(10) Song, M. Y.; Wang, J. X.; Sun, Y. L.; Han, Z. F.; Zhou, Y. T.; Liu, Y.; Fan, T. H.; Li, Z. G.; Qi, X. M.; Luo, Y.; Yang, P. R.; Li, B. C.; Zhang, X. R.; Wang, J.; Wang, C. Tetrandrine alleviates silicosis by inhibiting canonical and non-canonical NLRP3 inflammasome activation in lung macrophages. *Acta Pharmacol. Sin* **2021**, DOI: 10.1038/s41401-021-00693-6.

(11) Su, W.; Liang, Y.; Meng, Z.; Chen, X.; Lu, M.; Han, X.; Deng, X.; Zhang, Q.; Zhu, H.; Fu, T. Inhalation of Tetrandrinehydroxypropyl-β-cyclodextrin Inclusion Complexes for Pulmonary Fibrosis Treatment. *Mol. Pharmaceutics* **2020**, *17*, 1596–1607.

(12) Su, L.; Cao, P.; Wang, H. Tetrandrine mediates renal function and redox homeostasis in a streptozotocin-induced diabetic nephropathy rat model through Nrf2/HO-1 reactivation. *Ann. Transl. Med.* **2020**, *8*, 990–1002.

(13) Lieberman, I.; Lentz, D. P.; Trucco, G. A.; Seow, W. K.; Thong, Y. H. Prevention by Tetrandrine of Spontaneous Development of Diabetes Mellitus in BB Rats. *Diabetes* **1992**, *41*, 616–619.

(14) Ma, H.; Yao, L.; Pang, L.; Li, X.; Yao, Q. Tetrandrine ameliorates sevoflurane-induced cognitive impairment via the suppression of inflammation and apoptosis in aged rats. *Molecular Medicine Reports* **2016**, *13*, 4814–4820.

(15) Shi, C.; Khan, S. A.; Wang, K.; Schneider, M. Improved delivery of the natural anticancer drug tetrandrine. *Int. J. Pharm.* **2015**, 479, 41–51.

(16) Chen, C.; Lu, Y. Tetrandrine derivatives IVa-IVd: Structural analysis and their inhibition rate against protein tyrosine kinase, and HL60 & A549 cancer cell lines. *Indian J. Chem.* **2020**, *59A*, 1354–1358.

(17) Zhang, R.-H.; Wang, S.; Zhang, H.; Lan, J.-J.; Xu, G.-B.; Zhao, Y.-L.; Wang, L.; Li, Y.-J.; Wang, Y.-L.; Zhou, Y.-H.; Liu, J.-L.; Pan, W.-D.; Liao, S.-G.; Zhou, M. Discovery of tetrandrine derivatives as tumor migration, invasion and angiogenesis inhibitors. *Bioorg. Chem.* **2020**, *101*, 104025.

(18) Niu, N.; Jin, T.; Li, X.; Xu, J.; Qu, T.; Bodwell, G. J.; Zhao, Z. Design and Synthesis of Tetrandrine Derivatives as Potential Antitumor Agents Against A549 Cell Lines. *ChemistrySelect* **2019**, *4*, 196–201.

(19) Yang, Q.-H.; Jiang, C.-S.; Jin, T.; Xu, J.-F.; Qu, T.-L.; Guo, Y.-W.; Zhao, Z.-B. Synthesis of novel tetrandrine derivatives and their inhibition against NSCLC A549 cells. *JANPR* 2018, 20, 1064–1074.
(20) He, P.; Sun, H.; Jian, X.-X.; Chen, Q.-H.; Chen, D.-L.; Liu, G.; Wang, F.-P. Partial synthesis and biological evaluation of bisbenzy-lisoquinoline alkaloids derivatives: potential modulators of multidrug resistance in cancer. *J. Asian Nat. Prod. Res.* 2012, 14, 564–576.

(21) Ochoa Lara, K.; Godoy-Alcántar, C.; Eliseev, A. V.; Yatsimirsky, A. K. Ester cleavage by S, S-(+)-tetrandrine derivative bearing thiol groups. *ARKIVOC* **2005**, 293–306.

(22) Ochoa Lara, K.; Godoy-Alcántar, C.; León Rivera, I.; Eliseev, A. V.; Yatsimirsky, A. K. Complexation of dicarboxylates and phosphates by a semisynthetic alkaloid-based cyclophane in water. *J. Phys. Org. Chem.* **2001**, *14*, 453–462.

(23) Ochoa Lara, K.; Godoy-Alcántar, C.; Eliseev, A. V.; Yatsimirsky, A. K. Recognition of n-amino acid derivatives by N, N'-dibenzylated S, S-(+)-tetrandrine. *Org. Biomol. Chem.* **2004**, *2*, 1712–1718.

(24) Moreno-Corral, R.; Ochoa Lara, K. Complexation Studies of Nucleotides by Tetrandrine Derivatives Bearing Anthraquinone and Acridine Groups. *Supramol. Chem.* **2008**, *20*, 427–435.

(25) Wong-Molina, A.; Lara, K. O.; Sánchez, M.; Burboa, M. G.; Gutiérrez-Millán, L. E.; Marín, J. L.; Valdez, M. A. Interaction of Calf Thymus DNA with a Cationic Tetrandrine Derivative at the Air– Water Interface. J. Biomed. Nanotechnol. **2008**, *4*, 52–61.

(26) Calvillo-Páez, V.; Sotelo-Mundo, R. R.; Leyva-Peralta, M.; Gálvez-Ruiz, J. C.; Corona-Martínez, D.; Moreno-Corral, R.; Escobar-Picos, R.; Höpfl, H.; Juárez-Sánchez, O.; Ochoa Lara, K. Synthesis, spectroscopic, physicochemical and structural characterization of tetrandrine-based macrocycles functionalized with acridine and anthracene groups: DNA binding and anti-proliferative activity. *Chem.-Biol. Interact.* **2018**, *286*, 34–44.

(27) Escobar-Picos, R.; Vasquez-Ríos, M. G.; Sotelo-Mundo, R. R.; Jancik, V.; Martínez-Otero, D.; Calvillo-Páez, V.; Höpfl, H.; Ochoa Lara, K. A Chiral Bis-Naphthylated Tetrandrine Dibromide: Synthesis, Self-Assembly into an Organic Framework Based On Nanosized Spherical Cages, and Inclusion Studies. *ChemPlusChem.* **2019**, *84*, 1140–1144.

(28) Mukherjee, A.; Lavery, R.; Bagchi, B.; Hynes, J. T. On the molecular mechanism of drug intercalation into DNA: a simulation study of the intercalation pathway, free energy, and DNA structural changes. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **2008**, *130*, 9747–9755.

(29) Raskatov, J. A.; Meier, J. L.; Puckett, J. W.; Yang, F.; Ramakrishnan, P.; Dervan, P. B. Modulation of NF- $\kappa$ B-dependent gene transcription using programmable DNA minor groove binders. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U.S.A.* **2012**, *109*, 1023–1028.

(30) Tidwell, R. R.; Boykin, D. W. Dicationic DNA Minor Groove Binders as Antimicrobial Agents. In *Small Molecule DNA and RNA Binders: From Synthesis to Nucleic Acid Complexes*, 1st ed.; Demeunynck, M., Bailly, C., Wilson, W. D., Eds.; WILEY-VCH, 2003; pp 414-460.

(31) Paul, A.; Guo, P.; Boykin, D. W.; Wilson, W. D. A New Generation of Minor-Groove-Binding—Heterocyclic Diamidines That Recognize GHC Base Pairs in an AT Sequence Context. *Molecules* **2019**, *24*, 946–968.

(32) Balasundaram, D.; Tyagi, A. K. Polyamine — DNA nexus: structural ramifications and biological implications. *Mol. Cell. Biochem.* **1991**, *100*, 129–140.

(33) Venkiteswaran, S.; Thomas, T.; Thomas, T. J. Role of Polyamines in Regulation of Sequence-Specific DNA Binding Activity. In *Polyamine Cell Signaling*, 1st ed.; Wang, J. Y., Casero, R. A., Eds.; Humana Press, 2006; pp 91–122.

(34) Iacomino, G.; Picariello, G.; D'Agostino, L. DNA and nuclear aggregates of polyamines. *Biochim. Biophys. Acta* **2012**, *1823*, 1745–1755.

(35) Chaires, J. A. Thermodynamic signature for drug–DNA binding mode. *Arch. Biochem. Biophys.* **2006**, 453, 26–31.

(36) Haq, I. Thermodynamics of drug-DNA interactions. Arch. Biochem. Biophys. 2002, 403, 1-15.

(37) Banerjee, A.; Singh, J.; Dasgupta, D. Fluorescence Spectroscopic and Calorimetry Based Approaches to Characterize the Mode of Interaction of Small Molecules with DNA. *J. Fluoresc.* **2013**, *23*, 745–752.

(38) Cutts, S. M.; Masta, A.; Panousis, C.; Parsons, P. G.; Sturm, R. A.; Phillips, D. R. A Gel Mobility Shift Assay for Probing the Effect of Drug–DNA Adducts on DNA-Binding Proteins. In *Methods in Molecular Biology*, 1st ed.; Fox, K. R., Ed.; Humana Press, 1997; pp 95–106.

(39) Lakowicz, J. R. Principles of Fluorescence Spectroscopy; Springer, 2006.

(40) Suh, D.; Chaires, J. Criteria for the Mode of Binding of DNA Binding Agents. *Bioorg. Med. Chem.* **1995**, *3*, 723–728.

(41) Barkhudaryan, V. G.; Ananyan, G. V.; Dalyan, Y. B.; Haroutiunian, S. G. Development of viscometric methods for studying the interaction of various porphyrins with DNA. Part I: meso-tetra-(4N-hydroxyethylpyridyl) porphyrin and its Ni-, Cu-, Co- and Zncontaining derivatives. J. Porphyr. Phthalocyanines **2014**, 18, 594–599. (42) HyperChem, release 8.0 software; Hypercube, Inc., 2007.

(43) Gonzalez-Garcia, J.; Vilar, R. Supramolecular Principles for Small Molecule Binding to DNA Structures. In *Comprehensive Supramolecular Chemistry II*, 2nd ed.; Atwood, J. L., Ed.; Elsevier Science, 2017; Vol. 4, pp 39–70.

(44) Agilent Technologies. *CrysAlisPro*, version 1.171.37.35. Yarnton, Oxfordshire, United Kindom, 2014.

(45) Dolomanov, O. V.; Bourhis, L. J.; Gildea, R. J.; Howard, J. A. K.; Puschmann, H. OLEX2: a complete structure solution, refinement and analysis program. *J. Appl. Crystallogr.* **2009**, *42*, 339–341.

(46) Sheldrick, G. M. Crystal structure refinement with SHELXL. Acta Crystallogr. 2015, C71, 3-8.

(47) Rees, B.; Jenner, L.; Yusupov, M. Bulk-solvent correction in large macromolecular structures. *Acta Crystallogr.* **2005**, *D61*, 1299–1301.

(48) Putz, H.; Brandenburg, K. Diamond - Crystal and Molecular Structure Visualization, GbR, Kreuzherrenstr. 102, 53227 Bonn, Germany.

(49) Schneider, H.-J.; Yatsimirsky, A. K. Energetics of supramolecular complexes: Experimental Methods. *Principles and Methods in Supramolecular Chemistry*, 1st ed.; John Wiley & Sons, 2000; pp 137–226.

(50) Patel, M. N.; Chhasatia, M. R.; Patel, S. H.; Bariya, H. S.; Thakkar, V. R. DNA cleavage, binding and intercalation studies of drug-based oxovanadium(IV) complexes. *J. Enzyme Inhib. Med. Chem.* **2009**, *24*, 715–721.

(51) Cohen, G.; Eisenberg, H. Viscosity and sedimentation study of sonicated DNA-proflavine complexes. *Biopolymers* **1969**, *8*, 45–55.

(52) Hanwell, M. D.; Curtis, D. E.; Lonie, D. C.; Vandermeersch, T.; Zurek, E.; Hutchison, G. R. Avogadro: An advanced semantic chemical editor, visualization, and analysis platform. *J. Cheminform.* **2012**, *4*, 17.

(53) Frisch, M. J.; Trucks, G. W.; Schlegel, H. B.; Scuseria, G. E.; Robb, M. A.; Cheeseman, J. R.; Scalmani, G.; Barone, V.; Mennucci, B.; Petersson, G. A.; Nakatsuji, H.; Caricato, M.; Li, X.; Hratchian, H. P.; Izmaylov, A. F.; Bloino, J.; Zheng, G.; Sonnenberg, J. L.; Hada, M.; Ehara, M.; Toyota, K.; Fukuda, R.; Hasegawa, J.; Ishida, M.; Nakajima, T.; Honda, Y.; Kitao, O.; Nakai, H.; Vreven, T.; Montgomery, J. A., Jr.; Peralta, J. E.; Ogliaro, F.; Bearpark, M.; Heyd, J. J.; Brothers, E.; Kudin, K. N.; Staroverov, V. N.; Kobayashi, R.; Normand, J.; Raghavachari, K.; Rendell, A.; Burant, J. C.; Iyengar, S. S.; Tomasi, J.; Cossi, M.; Rega, N.; Millam, J. M.; Klene, M.; Knox, J. E.; Cross, J. B.; Bakken, V.; Adamo, C.; Jaramillo, J.; Gomperts, R.; Stratmann, R. E.; Yazyev, O.; Austin, A. J.; Cammi, R.; Pomelli, C.; Ochterski, J. W.; Martin, R. L.; Morokuma, K.; Zakrzewski, V. G.; Voth, G. A.; Salvador, P.; Dannenberg, J. J.; Dapprich, S.; Daniels, A. D.; Farkas, O.; Foresman, J. B.; Ortiz, J. V.; Cioslowski, J.; Fox, D. J. Gaussian 09, revision A.02; Gaussian, Inc.: Wallingford, CT, 2009.

(54) Mosmann, T. Rapid colorimetric assay for cellular growth and survival: application to proliferation and cytotoxicity assays. *J. Immunol. Meth.* **1983**, *65*, 55–63.

(55) Bard, A. J.; Faulkner, L. R. *Electrochemical methods: Fundamentals and applications*, 2nd ed.; John Wiley & Sons, 2002.