



Cues and Attention in Parkinsonian Gait: Potential Mechanisms and Future Directions

Daniel S. Peterson^{1,2*†} and Katrijn Smulders^{2†}

¹ Veterans Affairs Portland Health Care System, Portland, OR, USA, ² Department of Neurology, Oregon Health & Science University, Portland, OR, USA

Keywords: cues, dual tasking, walking, Parkinson's disease, cognition

INTRODUCTION

Gait in people with Parkinson's disease (PD) is arrhythmic, small in amplitude, and variable (1–3). In addition, people with PD often exhibit reduced automaticity of movement (4), resulting in increased attention directed toward gait. This can be observed empirically when they have to perform a secondary task in addition to gait, so-called dual-task walking. In dual-task conditions, people with PD show larger impairments in gait than their healthy peers (5–7); for review, see Ref. (8). One strategy to improve gait in people with PD is cueing. Cueing is a well-established rehabilitation technique for improved locomotion in people with PD (9). In the clinic, auditory cueing is typically used to improve consistency and rhythmicity of steps. In individuals with PD who freeze, visual and auditory cues can also be used in a transient manner to break freezing events [for review, see Ref. (10)]. However, the mechanisms through which cueing improves gait are incompletely understood. The purpose of the current manuscript is to present proposed mechanisms of action of cueing. Further, we highlight the importance of cognition and, specifically, attention, in the efficacy of cueing. Finally, we present several possible directions for future research in the field.

Attention plays an important role in the efficacy of cueing. For example, as reduced movement automaticity may contribute to poorer gait function (e.g., smaller, more variable steps) in people with PD (4), external cues may act as pace-makers, taking the place of this additional cognitive control and reducing the amount of attention needed to maintain stable gait. This would mean that cued gait would allow more attention to be devoted to other secondary tasks, and one would expect lower dual-task costs (11). Alternatively, external cues may help to focus attention on gait. This should be particularly helpful in conditions that require more attention, such as walking while negotiating obstacles. If this were true, then one would expect to see a *prioritization* of the gait task over other tasks while using cues. Finally, it could be true that in specific circumstances and subgroups, external cues represent an additional cognitive task to walking, also requiring attention (12). Thus, cues may compete with gait for attentional resources and reduce gait quality during complex or attention-demanding environments.

Research has provided clues regarding the role of attention in cued gait. In a sub-analysis of the RESCUE trial (11), the effect of cueing was tested while completing either simple walking (no secondary task) or a complex secondary motor task – carrying a tray with glasses filled with water. Interestingly, results showed that gait speed improvements through cueing were only apparent while completing the complex motor task; a detrimental effect was observed during simple walking. In other words, the cue prevented gait slowing even while carrying the tray. These results suggest that cueing improves dual-task ability, and seem to support the idea that cues reduce attentional demands, thus freeing up attentional resources to secondary tasks. However, while this conclusion is plausible, it is also possible that cueing forced allocation of attention toward gait, potentially to the detriment of the secondary motor task performance. To distinguish between these two explanations,

OPEN ACCESS

Edited by:

Marta Bienkiewicz, Aix-Marseille University, France

Reviewed by:

Nicolas Farrugia, Goldsmiths University of London, UK Colum Doan MacKinnon, University of Minnesota, USA

*Correspondence:

Daniel S. Peterson petedani@ohsu.edu, dspeterson8@gmail.com

[†]Daniel S. Peterson and Katrijn Smulders have contributed equally to this work.

Specialty section:

This article was submitted to Movement Disorders, a section of the journal Frontiers in Neurology

Received: 14 September 2015 Accepted: 23 November 2015 Published: 08 December 2015

Citation:

Peterson DS and Smulders K (2015) Cues and Attention in Parkinsonian Gait: Potential Mechanisms and Future Directions. Front. Neurol. 6:255. doi: 10.3389/fneur.2015.00255

1

it would be necessary to capture the performance on the secondary task (i.e., what happened to the glasses on the tray) in the complex gait plus cueing condition. If cueing reduces the required amount of attention for gait, we would expect the cues not to impact performance carrying the tray. In contrast, if cueing led to allocation of attention specific to gait (prioritization of gait over secondary task), then deterioration on the tray-carrying task would be expected.

In a more recent study (13), a secondary cognitive task was used to evaluate the effects of attentional or "internal" cueing (i.e., "think about taking larger steps"), and "external" auditory cueing during attention-demanding situations. Results showed that attentional cueing, but not auditory cueing, resulted in improved gait velocity, possibly due to the specific focus on length of steps in that condition. Interestingly, the benefits of attentional cueing were retained during dual tasking. Although not formally analyzed, the authors also reported no differences in the cognitive task during the different conditions. This lends some support to the idea that cueing, in particular, "internal" attentional cueing, may reduce the amount of attention needed for gait. Additional indirect evidence for this hypothesis comes from a study where PD subjects had to respond to auditory stimuli that were presented regularly, while walking. A concern in this dual-task study was that presentation of these secondary-task stimuli at a fixed interval (1 or 2 s) could act as external cues, thereby improving gait. To test this, an additional condition was added in which auditory stimuli were presented at random intervals (1-3 s). Interestingly, the more difficult 1s condition (higher time pressure) yielded less dual-task costs on gait than the 2 s or variable interval conditions, possibly reflecting a cueing effect. However, during this 1 s condition, we also observed higher dual-task costs on the auditory cognitive task (i.e., slower and less accurate responses). Thus, although the cognitive stimuli may have worked as a cue to help to keep gait speed up, this came at a price, namely, drawing attention from the cognitive task. Given these partially conflicting results, additional work directly assessing performance on both gait and cognitive tasks with and without cues and dual tasks will be necessary to elucidate these interactions.

The concern that external cues might be detrimental for complex gait due to attentional costs to attend to the cues is not supported in the abovementioned studies. This concern was also directly addressed in a study looking at obstacle avoidance performance while walking on a treadmill (12). This challenging task has previously been shown to demand considerable attention (14– 16). In line with previously mentioned studies, this complex motor task was not affected by external cues, providing further evidence that external cues do not add additional attentional costs during walking, thus worsening gait performance.

Together, these findings confirm that cueing improves gait even in complex or cognitively challenging environments. However, one should note that not all gait parameters change in a similar fashion through cueing. For example, spatial parameters such as gait speed and stride length are typically improved during visual, attentional, and rhythmic cues, while temporal cues such as cadence are unaffected (11, 17, 18). These results are not fully consistent however, as Lebold and Almeida recently showed that visual cues increased stride length, but reduced cadence in people with PD (19). Further, recent work has begun to investigate the effects of cues on gait stability parameters, such as spatiotemporal variability. However, there is currently a paucity in high-quality research on the effect of cues on these stability metrics that may be more reflective of quality of gait.

ROLE OF COGNITIVE IMPAIRMENTS

A deeper understanding of shifts in attentional load and prioritization during cued gait is particularly important for individuals with limited cognitive resources. This population often exhibits more pronounced gait and postural decline (20–22), including freezing of gait (FoG) (23), and evidence suggests that these deficits are at least partially due to limited attentional capacity (22). Indeed, cognitively impaired elderly exhibit larger dual-task costs on gait than their cognitively intact peers (24–26).

However, previous research on cued gait in PD has been focused primarily on people who were cognitively intact. To effectively use cues, one must have sufficient cognitive capacity to (1) not be overwhelmed by multiple, perhaps distracting stimuli, and (2) be able to deploy the cues at the necessary moment. Thus, people with cognitive dysfunction may have difficulty utilizing cues. This specific concern was addressed in a study evaluating cueing effects in PD with mild-to-moderate cognitive impairment (MMSE 15-26) and found that cueing was feasible and effective (27). However, the performance on the secondary task was not reported, raising the possibility (as noted above) that cueing may have shifted prioritization to the gait task, resulting in poorer performance on the secondary gait task. Interestingly, Willems and colleagues (28) showed that PD patients who freeze benefited from cueing only when the frequency of the cues matched their step frequency, whereas non-freezers also benefited from all cue presentations. Further, PD patients who freeze have been shown to exhibit a dramatic performance loss while dual-tasking despite the presence of cues. This was not the case in PD without FoG (29). These findings could be attributed to the poorer executive and attentional functions in PD with FoG (30-34). However, it cannot be ruled out that other, motor-related differences between PD patients with and without FoG underlie this lack of cueefficacy in people who freeze. Given these results, additional work administering cues with and without secondary task in individuals with more severe cognitive difficulty is warranted.

FUTURE DIRECTIONS

Given current evidence regarding gait and attentional impairments in people with PD, certain considerations could be made to improve the efficacy of cues in the face of secondary cognitive challenges. Several possible approaches are outlined below.

First, different cue modalities can affect different gait characteristics. Rhythmical auditory cues were shown to be more effective than rhythmic visual or proprioceptive cues to improve gait speed, possibly due to a higher degree of integration of auditory rhythmical cues into stepping (27). Alternatively, visual cues including projecting lines on the ground have previously been shown to be more effective than auditory cues at improving step length (35, 36); however, a recent meta-analysis reported that visual cues did not result in additional improvement in stride length over auditory cues (37). Proprioceptive cues may also be beneficial for people with PD. Recent studies have suggested that walking on a treadmill improves gait in people with PD (38), and these improvements are maintained during subsequent over ground walking (39). Although the mechanism of gait improvements during treadmill walking is not fully understood, proprioceptive cues from the treadmill may contribute to these improvements. Such proprioceptive cues require little to no cognitive processing or attention (40, 41), and therefore may be particularly beneficial for individuals with cognitive challenges. However, there is a relative lack of literature investigating proprioceptive cuing, and additional work will be necessary to fully understand the effect of this type of cueing on gait in people with PD. In particular, treadmill locomotion may be different than overground walking in a number of ways (42, 43), including, but not limited to proprioceptive cues. Therefore, studies investigating the specific effects of proprioceptive cues, controlling for other treadmill related sensory inputs, are warranted.

A final perspective on cue modality choice is the personal preference. For rhythmical cues, auditory cueing seem to be preferred over other modalities. Nieuwboer and colleagues showed that when given the choice of three different rhythmic cues, proprioceptive (vibrations on the wrist), visual, and auditory cues, 67% of participants chose auditory, 33% chose proprioceptive, and 0% chose visual (44). However, no studies have compared personal preference of spatial (e.g., lines on the ground) to rhythmic cues.

Another aspect of cueing is whether to limit it to training circumstances and hope for transfer to situations without cues or to broaden its use to everyday life. Extending the use of cues outside training situations, like walking over a busy sidewalk, will introduce a number of challenges, but may be necessary given the relatively limited success of cue training over longer follow-up periods (44). Technological advances may help to reduce these challenges. For example, cues that are automatically initiated by arrhythmic gait (captured by body-worn sensors) may represent an important tool for cue utilization (45). Instead of continuous cueing, this "just in time" or "on demand" cueing might be more practical to use during daily activities. In addition, automatically initiated cueing could be helpful for individuals with cognitive dysfunction who may not be able to initiate cueing

REFERENCES

- Morris ME, Iansek R, Matyas TA, Summers JJ. The pathogenesis of gait hypokinesia in Parkinson's disease. *Brain* (1994) 117(Pt 5):1169–81. doi:10.1093/ brain/117.5.1169
- Plotnik M, Giladi N, Hausdorff JM. A new measure for quantifying the bilateral coordination of human gait: effects of aging and Parkinson's disease. *Exp Brain Res* (2007) 181:561–70. doi:10.1007/s00221-007-0955-7
- Hausdorff JM. Gait dynamics in Parkinson's disease: common and distinct behavior among stride length, gait variability, and fractal-like scaling. *Chaos* (2009) 19:026113. doi:10.1063/1.3147408
- Wu T, Hallett M, Chan P. Motor automaticity in Parkinson's disease. *Neurobiol Dis* (2015) 82:226–34. doi:10.1016/j.nbd.2015.06.014

at the appropriate moment. Incorporation of visual cues which are integrated in the environment, so-called "augmented reality cueing" is also being developed. For example, Espay and colleagues designed a system that projects a tiled floor pattern over the real environment, with the optical flow of the tiles adapted to the individual's walking speed (46). Another example is the use of Google glass to present visual optical flow as cues (47). While these forms of cueing are promising, additional testing will be necessary to identify which approaches are beneficial for patients.

CONCLUSION

Cues can improve gait in people with PD. Different hypotheses have been put forward to explain these improvements, but further research is necessary to understand how cues improve gait. In particular, capturing changes in performance in both gait and cognitive tasks during cued dual-task will help elucidate underlying mechanisms. These interactions are particularly important to understand how individuals with reduced cognitive capacity utilize cues in distracting environments. Further, recent research has provided insight into how and when to choose cue modality, as well as how technology can integrate cues into real world environments, further reducing structural or cognitive interference. Continued investigation of these topics will improve our ability to utilize cues to improve gait in people with PD.

AUTHOR CONTRIBUTIONS

Both authors (DP, KS) contributed equally to the drafting and revision of this manuscript.

FUNDING

This work was supported by a grant from the United States Department of Veteran's Affairs Rehabilitation Research and Development Service (Career Development Award-1: #I01BX007080; PI: DP), and the Medical Research Foundation of Oregon (Early Investigator Awards; PI: DP, KS). The contents do not represent the views of the U.S. Department of Veterans Affairs or the United States Government.

- Plotnik M, Giladi N, Hausdorff JM. Bilateral coordination of gait and Parkinson's disease: the effects of dual tasking. J Neurol Neurosurg Psychiatry (2009) 80:347–50. doi:10.1136/jnnp.2008.157362
- Rochester L, Galna B, Lord S, Burn D. The nature of dual-task interference during gait in incident Parkinson's disease. *Neuroscience* (2014) 265:83–94. doi:10.1016/j.neuroscience.2014.01.041
- Peterson DS, Fling BW, Mancini M, Cohen RG, Nutt JG, Horak FB. Dual-task interference and brain structural connectivity in people with Parkinson's disease who freeze. *J Neurol Neurosurg Psychiatry* (2015) 86:786–92. doi:10.1136/jnnp-2014-308840
- Kelly VE, Eusterbrock AJ, Shumway-Cook A. A review of dual-task walking deficits in people with Parkinson's disease: motor and cognitive contributions, mechanisms, and clinical implications. *Parkinsons Dis* (2012) 2012:918719. doi:10.1155/2012/918719

- Rubinstein TC, Giladi N, Hausdorff JM. The power of cueing to circumvent dopamine deficits: a review of physical therapy treatment of gait disturbances in Parkinson's disease. *Mov Disord* (2002) 17:1148–60. doi:10.1002/mds. 10259
- Nieuwboer A. Cueing for freezing of gait in patients with Parkinson's disease: a rehabilitation perspective. *Mov Disord* (2008) 23(Suppl 2):S475–81. doi:10. 1002/mds.21978
- Rochester L, Nieuwboer A, Baker K, Hetherington V, Willems AM, Chavret F, et al. The attentional cost of external rhythmical cues and their impact on gait in Parkinson's disease: effect of cue modality and task complexity. *J Neural Transm* (2007) 114:1243–8. doi:10.1007/s00702-007-0756-y
- Nanhoe-Mahabier W, Delval A, Snijders AH, Weerdesteyn V, Overeem S, Bloem BR. The possible price of auditory cueing: influence on obstacle avoidance in Parkinson's disease. *Mov Disord* (2012) 27:574–8. doi:10.1002/mds. 24935
- Lohnes CA, Earhart GM. The impact of attentional, auditory, and combined cues on walking during single and cognitive dual tasks in Parkinson disease. *Gait Posture* (2011) 33:478–83. doi:10.1016/j.gaitpost.2010.12.029
- Weerdesteyn V, Schillings AM, Van Galen GP, Duysens J. Distraction affects the performance of obstacle avoidance during walking. J Mot Behav (2003) 35:53–63. doi:10.1080/00222890309602121
- Hegeman J, Weerdesteyn V, Van Den Bemt B, Nienhuis B, Van Limbeek J, Duysens J. Dual-tasking interferes with obstacle avoidance reactions in healthy seniors. *Gait Posture* (2012) 36:236–40. doi:10.1016/j.gaitpost.2012.02. 024
- Smulders K, Van Swigchem R, De Swart BJ, Geurts AC, Weerdesteyn V. Community-dwelling people with chronic stroke need disproportionate attention while walking and negotiating obstacles. *Gait Posture* (2012) 36:127–32. doi:10.1016/j.gaitpost.2012.02.002
- 17. Morris ME, Iansek R, Matyas TA, Summers JJ. Stride length regulation in Parkinson's disease. Normalization strategies and underlying mechanisms. *Brain* (1996) **119**(Pt 2):551–68.
- Rochester L, Hetherington V, Jones D, Nieuwboer A, Willems AM, Kwakkel G, et al. The effect of external rhythmic cues (auditory and visual) on walking during a functional task in homes of people with Parkinson's disease. *Arch Phys Med Rehabil* (2005) 86:999–1006. doi:10.1016/j.apmr.2004.10.040
- Lebold CA, Almeida QJ. An evaluation of mechanisms underlying the influence of step cues on gait in Parkinson's disease. J Clin Neurosci (2011) 18:798–802. doi:10.1016/j.jocn.2010.07.151
- Alves G, Larsen JP, Emre M, Wentzel-Larsen T, Aarsland D. Changes in motor subtype and risk for incident dementia in Parkinson's disease. *Mov Disord* (2006) 21:1123–30. doi:10.1002/mds.20897
- Burn DJ, Rowan EN, Allan LM, Molloy S, O'brien JT, Mckeith IG. Motor subtype and cognitive decline in Parkinson's disease, Parkinson's disease with dementia, and dementia with Lewy bodies. *J Neurol Neurosurg Psychiatry* (2006) 77:585–9. doi:10.1136/jnnp.2005.081711
- Smulders K, Van Nimwegen M, Munneke M, Bloem BR, Kessels RP, Esselink RA. Involvement of specific executive functions in mobility in Parkinson's disease. *Parkinsonism Relat Disord* (2013) 19:126–8. doi:10.1016/j.parkreldis. 2012.06.010
- Heremans E, Nieuwboer A, Spildooren J, Vandenbossche J, Deroost N, Soetens E, et al. Cognitive aspects of freezing of gait in Parkinson's disease: a challenge for rehabilitation. *J Neural Transm* (2013) 120:543–57. doi:10.1007/s00702-012-0964-y
- Camicioli R, Howieson D, Lehman S, Kaye J. Talking while walking: the effect of a dual task in aging and Alzheimer's disease. *Neurology* (1997) 48:955–8. doi:10.1212/WNL.48.4.955
- Lamoth CJ, Van Deudekom FJ, Van Campen JP, Appels BA, De Vries OJ, Pijnappels M. Gait stability and variability measures show effects of impaired cognition and dual tasking in frail people. J Neuroeng Rehabil (2011) 8:2. doi: 10.1186/1743-0003-8-2
- Tseng BY, Cullum CM, Zhang R. Older adults with amnestic mild cognitive impairment exhibit exacerbated gait slowing under dual-task challenges. *Curr Alzheimer Res* (2014) 11:494–500. doi:10.2174/1567205011666140505 110828
- Rochester L, Burn DJ, Woods G, Godwin J, Nieuwboer A. Does auditory rhythmical cueing improve gait in people with Parkinson's disease and cognitive impairment? A feasibility study. *Mov Disord* (2009) 24:839–45. doi:10.1002/ mds.22400

- 28. Willems AM, Nieuwboer A, Chavret F, Desloovere K, Dom R, Rochester L, et al. The use of rhythmic auditory cues to influence gait in patients with Parkinson's disease, the differential effect for freezers and non-freezers, an explorative study. *Disabil Rehabil* (2006) **28**:721–8. doi:10.1080/096382805003 86569
- 29. Nieuwboer A, Willems A, Janssens L, Desloovere K. The use of rhythmic auditory cues to influence gait and the occurrence of freezing and festination in the 'off-phase' of the medication cycle. *International Congress of Movement Disorders*. Kyoto: Wiley-liss (2006). S512 p. Available from: https://lirias.kuleuven.be/handle/123456789/98247
- Amboni M, Cozzolino A, Longo K, Picillo M, Barone P. Freezing of gait and executive functions in patients with Parkinson's disease. *Mov Disord* (2008) 23:395–400. doi:10.1002/mds.21850
- 31. Amboni M, Barone P, Picillo M, Cozzolino A, Longo K, Erro R, et al. A two-year follow-up study of executive dysfunctions in Parkinsonian patients with freezing of gait at on-state. *Mov Disord* (2010) 25:800–2. doi:10.1002/mds. 23033
- Naismith SL, Shine JM, Lewis SJ. The specific contributions of set-shifting to freezing of gait in Parkinson's disease. *Mov Disord* (2010) 25:1000–4. doi:10. 1002/mds.23005
- 33. Vandenbossche J, Deroost N, Soetens E, Spildooren J, Vercruysse S, Nieuwboer A, et al. Freezing of gait in Parkinson disease is associated with impaired conflict resolution. *Neurorehabil Neural Repair* (2011) 25:765–73. doi:10.1177/1545968311403493
- 34. Cohen RG, Klein KA, Nomura M, Fleming M, Mancini M, Giladi N, et al. Inhibition, executive function, and freezing of gait. J Parkinsons Dis (2014) 4(1):111–22. doi:10.3233/JPD-130221
- Suteerawattananon M, Morris GS, Etnyre BR, Jankovic J, Protas EJ. Effects of visual and auditory cues on gait in individuals with Parkinson's disease. J Neurol Sci (2004) 219:63–9. doi:10.1016/j.jns.2003.12.007
- Rocha PA, Porfirio GM, Ferraz HB, Trevisani VF. Effects of external cues on gait parameters of Parkinson's disease patients: a systematic review. *Clin Neurol Neurosurg* (2014) 124:127–34. doi:10.1016/j.clineuro.2014.06.026
- 37. Spaulding SJ, Barber B, Colby M, Cormack B, Mick T, Jenkins ME. Cueing and gait improvement among people with Parkinson's disease: a meta-analysis. Arch Phys Med Rehabil (2013) 94:562–70. doi:10.1016/j.apmr. 2012.10.026
- Bello O, Sanchez JA, Vazquez-Santos C, Fernandez-Del-Olmo M. Spatiotemporal parameters of gait during treadmill and overground walking in Parkinson's disease. J Parkinsons Dis (2014) 4:33–6. doi:10.3233/JPD-130251
- Bello O, Sanchez JA, Fernandez-Del-Olmo M. Treadmill walking in Parkinson's disease patients: adaptation and generalization effect. *Mov Disord* (2008) 23:1243–9. doi:10.1002/mds.22069
- Bello O, Marquez G, Camblor M, Fernandez-Del-Olmo M. Mechanisms involved in treadmill walking improvements in Parkinson's disease. *Gait Posture* (2010) 32:118–23. doi:10.1016/j.gaitpost.2010.04.015
- Bello O, Fernandez-Del-Olmo M. How does the treadmill affect gait in Parkinson's disease? *Curr Aging Sci* (2012) 5:28–34. doi:10.2174/ 1874609811205010028
- Parvataneni K, Ploeg L, Olney SJ, Brouwer B. Kinematic, kinetic and metabolic parameters of treadmill versus overground walking in healthy older adults. *Clin Biomech (Bristol, Avon)* (2009) 24:95–100. doi:10.1016/j.clinbiomech.2008.07. 002
- Watt JR, Franz JR, Jackson K, Dicharry J, Riley PO, Kerrigan DC. A threedimensional kinematic and kinetic comparison of overground and treadmill walking in healthy elderly subjects. *Clin Biomech (Bristol, Avon)* (2010) 25:444–9. doi:10.1016/j.clinbiomech.2009.09.002
- 44. Nieuwboer A, Kwakkel G, Rochester L, Jones D, Van Wegen E, Willems AM, et al. Cueing training in the home improves gait-related mobility in Parkinson's disease: the RESCUE trial. *J Neurol Neurosurg Psychiatry* (2007) 78:134–40. doi:10.1136/jnnp.200X.097923
- Velik R, Hoffmann U, Zabaleta H, Marti Masso JF, Keller T. The effect of visual cues on the number and duration of freezing episodes in Parkinson's patients. *Conf Proc IEEE Eng Med Biol Soc* (2012) **2012**:4656–9. doi:10.1109/EMBC.2012. 6347005
- 46. Espay AJ, Baram Y, Dwivedi AK, Shukla R, Gartner M, Gaines L, et al. Athome training with closed-loop augmented-reality cueing device for improving gait in patients with Parkinson disease. J Rehabil Res Dev (2010) 47:573–81. doi:10.1682/JRRD.2009.10.0165

 Zhao Y, Heida T, Nonnekes JH, van RJA. Rhythmic cueing with Google glass for patients with Parkinson's disease [abstract]. *Movement Disorders* (2015) 30(Suppl 1):350.

Conflict of Interest Statement: The authors declare that the research was conducted in the absence of any commercial or financial relationships that could be construed as a potential conflict of interest.

Copyright © 2015 Peterson and Smulders. This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (CC BY). The use, distribution or reproduction in other forums is permitted, provided the original author(s) or licensor are credited and that the original publication in this journal is cited, in accordance with accepted academic practice. No use, distribution or reproduction is permitted which does not comply with these terms.