

Citation: de Almeida MMS, Guimarães RA, Jardim PCBV, Sousa ALL, de Souza MM (2017) Association between arterial hypertension and nutritional status in adolescents from Goiânia, Goiás, Brazil. PLoS ONE 12(12): e0188782. https:// doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0188782

Editor: Dulce Elena Casarini, Escola Paulista de Medicina, BRAZIL

Received: April 24, 2017

Accepted: November 13, 2017

Published: December 18, 2017

Copyright: © 2017 de Almeida et al. This is an open access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License, which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original author and source are credited.

Data Availability Statement: Data are from the study ERICA Study of cardiovascular risks in adolescents whose authors may be contacted at kblock@iesc.ufrj.br. Ms Kátia Block. The authors confirm that others will be able to access this data in the same way as the authors. The authors also confirm that the authors did not have special access privileges that others would not have.

Funding: This work was supported by FINEP (01090421) and CNPq (565037/2010-2 and 405.009 / 2012-7).

RESEARCH ARTICLE

Association between arterial hypertension and nutritional status in adolescents from Goiânia, Goiás, Brazil

Mayara Maria Souza de Almeida¹*, Rafael Alves Guimarães², Paulo César Brandão Veiga Jardim³, Ana Luiza Lima Sousa¹, Márcia Maria de Souza¹

1 Faculty of Nursing, Federal University of Goiás, Goiânia, Goiás, Brazil, 2 Institute of Tropical Pathology and Public Health, Federal University of Goiás, Goiânia, Goiás, Brazil, 3 Faculty of Medicine, Federal University of Goiás, Goiânia, Goiás, Brazil

* maymsalmeida@hotmail.com

Abstract

Introduction

Adolescents are a population with unique lifestyle challenges, including physical inactivity, inadequate nutrition, and obesity, all of which increase the risk of developing hypertension (HTN). The objective of this study has been to estimate the prevalence of factors associated with hypertension in adolescents in the city of Goiânia City, Central Brazil.

Methods

Between 2013and2014, a cross-sectional population study on cardiovascular risk in adolescents, was conducted with the participation of 1,586 adolescents in 108 classes at 36 schools (public and private) in Goiânia city. All of the adolescents were interviewed to establish their sociodemographic and behavioral characteristics related to hypertension and nutritional status. Anthropometric and blood pressure data were collected following a protocol. A Poisson regression, stratified by gender, was used to verify the factors associated with HTN.

Results

In this mixed-gender group of 1,586 students, the prevalence of HTN was 6.2% (95% CI: 4.6–8.2%) in girls and 14.0% (95% CI: 10.2–18.8%) in boys—about twice as high in boys as in girls (p < 0.001). Obesity was independently associated with HTN in both genders. Being overweight was a risk factor for HTN. In addition, there was a positive correlation between the SBP/SBP percentile and the BMI Z-score/Nutritional status (NS)in both genders. A high prevalence of physical inactivity was also observed in the adolescents investigated, especially in the girls. On the other hand, more boys than girls were found to be obese.

Conclusion

The results of this investigation revealed the need for strategies to prevent and control HTN and its risk factors, especially in Brazil's schools. In addition to the constant surveillance of



Competing interests: The authors have declared that no competing interests exist.

HTN prevalence and risk factors (in particular, being overweight or obese), information should be distributed to promote beneficial health behaviors among adolescents.

Introduction

In 2012, cardiovascular diseases accounted for about 17.5 million deaths each year worldwide, with arterial hypertension (HTN) causing more than half of the deaths (9.4 million)[1]. HTN also accounted for 45% of deaths from heart disease and 51% of deaths from stroke at a global level [2]. In 2008, approximately 40.0% of adults aged 25 years or over had a diagnosis of HTN. In fact, the number of people with HTN has increased from 600 million in 1980 to 1 billion in 2008 [2]. In general, the prevalence of HTN is higher in high-income countries than in those with lower levels of economic development (35.0% versus 40.0%) [2].

In the Americas, 35.0% of adults are estimated to have HTN [2]. In Brazil, a national multicenter study entitled the "National Health Survey (*Pesquisa Nacional de Saúde* [PNS]),"conducted in 2013, estimated a self-reported prevalence of 21.4% (95.0% confidence interval [95.0% CI]: 20.8–22.0%) in the adult population of the country [3]. In 2016, the study, "Surveillance of Risk and Protection Factors for Chronic Diseases via a Telephone Survey (*Vigilância de Fatores de Risco e Proteção para Doenças Crônicas por Inquérito Telefônico* [VIGITEL])"found a 25.7% prevalence of HTN (95.0% CI: 24.9–26.5%) in Brazilian adults [4].

An increasing number of Brazilian adolescents has HTN [5]. In general, HTN occurs asymptomatically in adolescence, which makes early diagnosis difficult [6]. In adolescents, HTN is measured using blood pressure (BP) and weight, height, and age percentiles, as established by the international guidelines of "The Fourth Report on the Diagnosis, Evaluation, and Treatment of High Blood Pressure in Children and Adolescents" (2004) [7]. A systematic review of studies published up to 2008 involving adolescents aged between10–20 from all regions of Brazil (without representation of the North region), estimated that the prevalence of HTN was 8.1% (95.0% CI: 6.2–10.5%) and higher in boys (8.7%; 95.0% CI: 5.8–13.0%) than in girls (6.3%, 95.0% CI: 4.4–9.0%)[8]. Another review of studies published up to 2012 involving young people aged 10–19 found a similar prevalence: (8.0%; 95.0% CI: 5.0–11.0%), 9.3% (95.0% CI: 5.6–13.6%) in boys and 6.5% 20 (95.0% CI: 4.2–9.1%) in girls [5]. However, in both reviews, only one study covered the Central-West region of Brazil, which includes the States of Goiás, Mato Grosso, and Mato Grosso do Sul, as well as the Federal District/Brasilia) [9]. That study, conducted using 2,118 adolescents from Goiânia city (Goiás State), found that2.9% of the adolescents had HTN(95.0% CI: 2.4–3.7%) [9].

HTN is a complex and multifaceted disease. Many factors contribute to the high prevalence rates of HTN among adolescents[10]. The non-modifiable risk factors associated with HTN in this population are representative, mainly involving a family history of HTN or cardiovascular disease [7], male gender[11–13], socioeconomic status, and race/skin color[10]. The modifiable risk factors include the use of legal drugs (e.g. alcohol and tobacco), physical inactivity [14, 15], unhealthy eating habits [10, 16], the presence of comorbidities (e.g. diabetes and hypercholesterolemia), and poor sleep quality and/or short sleep duration [10, 17].

The modifiable risk factors of inadequate diet and physical inactivity lead to central adiposity, overweight, and obesity [10]. Overweight and obesity correspond substantially to cases of HTN and have increased significantly worldwide, especially in adolescents[18]. It is estimated that thousands of deaths occur due to the consequences of being overweight or obese, through diabetes, musculoskeletal disorders, cancer and HTN [19]. Worldwide, by 2014, more than 1.9 billion adolescents aged 13–18 or over were overweight, and 600 million were obese [19]. A systematic review has shown that the prevalence of excessive weight in children and adolescents from developing countries is 12.9% in males and 13.4% in females. In developed countries, this frequency increases to 23.8% in boys and 22.6% in girls[20]. In Brazil, the prevalence of excessive weight in adolescents is estimated to be21.7% in boys and 19.4% in girls [21].

Chronic diseases such as HTN have caused great concern, both to the scientific community and to society as a whole, since they lead to higher and higher mortality rates worldwide, among adolescents as well as adults. Adolescents present a unique lifestyle, often involving multiple risk behaviors for HTN, including physical inactivity and inadequate nutrition. In addition, many adolescents are overweight or obese. In Brazil, studies of the prevalence and determinants of HTN are scarce, especially in the Center-West region of the country. Most of the existing studies were carried out in the Southeast region, the most developed area of the country. The identification of potential risk factors in this population is an important tool in the development of measures to prevent and control HTN and its consequences. The object of this study, therefore, is to estimate the prevalence and factors associated with HTN in adolescents in Goiânia City, Central Brazil.

Materials and methods

This study is part of a larger project entitled "Study of Cardiovascular Risks in Adolescents (*Estudo de Riscos Cardiovasculares em Adolescentes*"[ERICA]), a cross-sectional multicenter study funded by the Brazilian Ministry of Health to estimate the prevalence of risk factors for cardiovascular diseases in adolescent students aged 12–17 in Brazil. The study was conducted among schools in Brazilian cities with more than 100,000 inhabitants between 2013 and 2014. The methods used in this national study have been described in more detail in previous publications [22, 23]. The data analyzed for the present study came from Goiânia City, the capital of Goiás State (in the Central-West Region of Brazil), which has approximately1.296 million inhabitants.

Included in the study were: (i) Adolescents between 12 and 17 years of age, within the age range defined for adolescence (12–18 years) adopted by the Statute of the Child and Adolescent of Brazil (*Estatuto da Criança e do Adolescente do Brasil*) [24]; (ii)Students enrolled in morning or afternoon classes (known as "terms"); (iii) Students in the last three grades of elementary school or the three grades of high school, attending public or private schools in Goiânia City [25].The following groups were excluded: (i) pregnant students, and (ii) those with physical or mental disabilities, whether temporary or permanent[22, 26].

During the first stage of the study, schools were selected using probability proportional to size sampling (PPS). The size of each school was equal to the ratio between the number of students in its eligible classes and its distance from Goiânia City (the state capital). The PPS selection was conducted after sorting school records by census location (urban or rural) and school governance (private or public) [22, 23]. This study selected 36 schools in Goiânia.

During the second stage, three classes with equal probabilities were selected from each school, using the class year as an age proxy. A worksheet was used to select the participating classes. It was specific to each school sampled, and used for class and student selection [22, 23]. In Goiânia, 108 classes were selected.

During the third stage, all of the students in the selected classes were invited to participate in an examination composed of interviews, anthropometric analyses, and BP measurements [22, 23]. In Goiânia, 3,217 potentially eligible adolescents were selected.

Three questionnaires were used (one for the adolescents, the second or their parents, and the third for the school). Anthropometric and blood pressure data were also collected. The

adolescent questionnaire was self-administered, using a personal digital assistant (PDA) [22, 23]. This study used only the students' self-completed questionnaires.

Systolic blood pressure (SBP) and Diastolic blood pressure (DBP) were measured using a digital monitor (Omron 705-IT, Omron Healthcare Inc., Lake Forest, Illinois, USA), validated for use on adolescents [27]. BP was measured using a cuff appropriately sized for a teenager's right arm, while each student was seated, with his or her feet on the floor. Three consecutive measurements were made for each student, with a three-minute interval between them. The first measure was discarded and the mean of the last two measurements was used. The anthropometric measures used in the present study were weight and height. Weight was verified using an electronic scale (Lider R), Rosele Araçatuba, São Paulo,Brazil) with a capacity of 200 kg and a variation of 50g. Height was measured using a portable stadiometer (Alturexata R), Belo Horizonte, Minas Gerais, Brazil), with a resolution of 1 mm and a range of up to 213 cm [22, 25].

All measurements were made by a single healthcare professional (a nurse or nutritionist) [22, 25]. The field team was trained prior to the start of the study and supervised at all stages of the study. During data collection, all measurements were subject to quality control, in which the data were analyzed to check for any problems in the procedures carried out by interviewers, technicians, or information processors. Procedural manuals and videos were prepared to train the team to administer the anthropometric evaluation and BP measurements, so as to guarantee the standardization of the procedures and minimize measurement errors [22, 23, 25].

Variables

Dependent variable. HTN is measured using weight, height and age percentiles, as established by "The Fourth Report on the Diagnosis, Evaluation, and Treatment of High Blood Pressure in Children and Adolescents" (2004)[7].Adolescents were classified as normotensive (normal BP) when their BBP and DBP were below the 90th percentile. They were prehypertensive when their SBP or DBP were between the 90th and 95th percentiles, or when they had an SBP greater than or equal to 120 mmHg or a DBP greater than or equal to 80, with a percentile lower than 95. They were hypertensive when either their SBP or their DBP presented a value equal to or greater than that found in the 95th percentile [7, 22]. In this study, the dependent variable was HTN (no or yes).

Independent variables. The following independent variables were analyzed:

- <u>Sociodemographics</u>: age (years). The students were divided into two age groups: 12–14 and 15–17[26], and categorized by their race/color skin, as self-reported by participants. The ethnic group classifications were as follows:white, black, mixed race, or other (including native Brazilian and Asian). These reflected the typical racial classifications used for the Brazilian population[14];type of school (public or private); and term (morning or afternoon)[25].
- <u>Tobacco and alcohol consumption</u>: lifetime tobacco use (no or yes), defined as experimentation or any cigarettes smoked ever in their lives-even one or two puffs; current tobacco use (no or yes), defined as the use of tobacco at least once during the previous 30 days [26]; lifetime alcohol use (no or yes); current alcohol use (no or yes), defined as the consumption of at least one glass of any alcoholic beverage during the previous 30 days[28]; binge drinking in the previous 30 days (no or yes), defined as the consumption of five or more doses of alcohol on a single occasion for men, and four or more doses on a single occasion for girls [29].

- 3. <u>Practice of physical activity</u>: adolescents were classified as active or inactive. A Self-Administered Physical Activity Checklist was used to measure theirlevels of physical activity[<u>30</u>]. This instrument consisted of a list of 24 physical activities; it allowed the adolescent to report the frequency (days) and the time (hours and minutes) spent practicing physical activities during the previous week [<u>30</u>, <u>31</u>]. The time and frequency of all of the activities listed were added together to calculate the total number of minutes spent. Adolescents who did not accumulate at least 300 minutes/week of physical activity were considered inactive [<u>31</u>].
- 4. <u>Medical health history</u>: diabetes mellitus (no or yes), defined as a medical diagnosis and/or the use of diabetes medications; hypercholesterolemia (no or yes), defined as a medical diagnosis and/or the use of medications for hypercholesterolemia.
- Nutritional status (NS): Body mass index (BMI), defined as weight (Kg) divided by the square of height (cm). NS was calculated using World Health Organization reference curves and the BMI index for age, grouped by gender. The cut-off points adopted were: Z-score <-3 (very low weight); Z score ≥ -3 and <-2 (low weight); Z-score ≥ -2 and ≤ 1 (normal); Z-score > 1 (overweight) and ≤ 2; Z-score > 2 (obesity)[22, 32]. To analyze the factors associated with HTN, we excluded adolescents with very low weight and low weight.

Statistical analysis

The data were analyzed using the STATA program, version 14.0. In order to estimate the associations and their 95.0% CI, complex sample routines were used in the STATA, using "survey" package [33].Categorical variables were presented in relative frequencies and continuous variables on average in the descriptive analysis, accompanied by 95.0% CI. Student's t-test t or Pearson's chi-square test was used to compare the variables between the genders.

To verify the relationship between NS and BP, we used the Pearson's correlation coefficient (r) [34, 35]. Correlations were made between the following variables: NS and SBP percentiles, NS and DBP percentiles, the BMI Z-score and SBP percentile, and the BMI Z-score and DBP percentile. Analyses were carried out on each sample, the total sample, and by gender. To verify the magnitude of the correlations by gender, correlations found between the genders were also compared, using the "Cocor" software R package [36].

To verify the factors associated with HTN, bivariate and multivariable analyses were performed. Only variables with a value of p < 0.20 and those with potential confounding and adjustment (age, race/skin color, school type, and term) were included in the Poisson regression models [37, 38]. Analyses were stratified by gender. Values of p < 0.05 were considered statistically significant.

Ethical considerations

This study was approved by the Ethics Committee of the Federal University of Rio de Janeiro (protocol n° 007/2009) and the Ethics Committee of the Clinics Hospital of the Federal University of Goiás (protocol n° 007/2010). The parents and/or guardians of the adolescents consented to their participation by signing a free and informed consent form. In addition, the adolescents provided their own written consent by signing the study consent form.

Results

Fig 1 shows the flowchart for selecting study participants. Of the total number of students enrolled in the 36 Goiânia schools evaluated during the ERICA Study (n = 3,217), 281 (8.7%)



Students included (54.0%) (n = 1,586)

Fig 1. Flow chart for selection of study participants, ERICA Study, Goiânia, Goiás, Central-West region of Brazil, 2013–2014 Legend: ¹Children under 12 and over 17 years of age; ²blood pressure.

https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0188782.g001

were considered ineligible (272 were outside the age group [younger than 12 or older than 17], four were disabled, and five were pregnant). Of the eligible students (n = 2,936), 1.340 (45.6%) failed to respond to any information block or to participate in the BP measurement. Thus, 1,586 adolescents aged between 12 and 17 (973 females and 613 males) ultimately participated in the study.

to

and BP: n = 1,220

Did not participate

measurement: n = 120

any

block:

in BP^2

Table 1 provides a descriptive analysis of the variables (other than nutritional status, BP, and HTN) categorized by gender. Of the total number of participants, approximately half were

Table 1. Descriptive analysis of variables (except NS, BP, and HTN prevalence), according to gender. ERICA study, Goiânia, Goiás, Central-West region of Brazil, 2013–2014.

Variables	Total (N = 1,586)		Male (N = 613)		Female (N = 973)		p ¹
	%	95.0% Cl ²	%	95.0% Cl ²	%	95.0% Cl ²	-
Age (years)							
12–14	50.7	(50.7;50.7)	50.1	(50.1;50.1)	51.3	(51.3;51.3)	1.000
15–17	49.3	(49.3;49.3)	49.8	(48.8;48.8)	48.7	(48.7;48.7)	
Race/skin color (self-reported)							
White	38.7	(33.0; 44.7)	44.5	(34.3; 55.3)	32.6	(28.1; 37.5)	0.051
Black	6.9	(5.1; 9.3)	8.0	(5.1; 12.3)	5.9	(3.4; 10.1)	
Mixed	51.3	(45.3; 57.2)	44.9	(35.9; 54.2)	57.8	(53.5; 62.0)	
Other ³	3.1	(2.4; 4.0)	2.6	(1.6; 3.2)	3.7	(2.8; 4.8)	
Type of school attended							
Public	72.9	(54.6; 85.8)	73.6	(54.4; 86.7)	72.3	(54.3; 85.1)	0.593
Public	27.1	(14.1; 45.4)	26.4	(13.3; 45.6)	27.7	(14.9; 45.7)	
Term							
Morning	81.2	(64.2; 91.3)	82.5	(65.2; 92.2)	80.0	(62.6; 90.5)	0.260
Afternoon	18.8	(8.7; 35.8)	17.5	(7.8; 34.8)	20.0	(9.5; 37.3)	
Tobacco use (lifetime)							
No	80.4	(77.7; 83.7)	80.7	(75.5; 85.1)	80.9	(77.1; 84.2)	0.951
Yes	19.2	(16.3; 22;3)	19.3	(14.9; 24.5)	19.1	(15.8; 22.8)	
Tobacco use (previous 30 days)							
No	95.5	(93.6; 96.9)	94.6	(91.6; 96.6)	96.4	(94.3; 97.7)	0.151
Yes	4.5	(3.1; 6.4)	5.4	(3.4; 8.4)	3.6	(2.2; 5.7)	
Alcohol use (lifetime)							
No	43.0	(39.8; 46.2)	45.2	(40.7; 49.8)	40.7	(37.4; 44.1)	0.062
Yes	57.0	(53.8; 60.2)	54.8	(50.0; 59.3)	59.3	(55.9; 62.6)	
Alcohol use (previous 30 days)							
No	76.5	(73.1; 79.6)	75.8	(72.0; 79.2)	77.2	(72.3; 81.4)	0.583
Yes	23.5	(20.4; 26.9)	24.2	(20.8; 28.0)	22.8	(18.6; 27.7)	
Binge drinking(previous 30 days)							
No	94.1	(92.6; 95.3)	95.0	(92.5; 96.6)	93.2	(90.7; 95.1)	0.277
Yes	5.9	(4.7; 7.4)	5.0	(3.3; 7.5)	6.8	(4.9; 9.3)	
Physical activity							
Active	52.7	(47.6; 57.7)	64.7	(57.5; 71.4)	40.3	(36.0; 44.7)	< 0.001
Inactive	47.3	(42.3; 52.4)	35.3	(28.6; 42.5)	59.7	(55.3; 64.0)	
Diabetes mellitus (self-reported)							
No	96.5	(95.2; 97.4)	97.4	(95.4; 98.6)	95.5	(93.7; 96.8)	0.085
Yes	3.5	(2.6; 4.8)	2.6	(1.4; 4.6)	4.5	(3.2; 6.3)	
Hypercholesterolemia (self-reported)							
No	90.1	(88.6; 92.9)	91.2	(87.4; 92.9)	90.7	(88.4; 92.6)	0.770
Yes	9.0	(7.1:11.4)	8.8	(6.1: 12.6)	9.3	(7.4: 11.6)	

¹Pearson's chi-square test

²95.0% Confidence Interval

³Includes Native Brazilian and Asian ethnic groups.

between 12 and 14 years old (50.7%) and self-declared as mixed race (51.3%). The adolescents interviewed were predominantly from public schools (72.9%) and the morning term (81.2%). There was no difference between the genders regarding sociodemographic characteristics (p > 0.05).

Regular consumption of tobacco and alcohol, as well as binge drinking during the previous 30 days, were reported by 4.5%, 23.5% and 5.9% of participants, respectively. Approximately half (47.3%) of the participants were considered insufficiently active. A higher proportion of girls than boys reported insufficient physical activity (59.7% versus 35.3%; p < 0.001). The self-reported prevalence of diabetes mellitus and hypercholesterolemia came to 33.5% and 9.0%, respectively (Table 1).

Table 2 synthesizes the anthropometric measures, BP (SBP and DBP),the BP percentile distribution, and HTN prevalence by gender. The means of weight and height were 57.1 kg and 164.0 cm, respectively. Weight and height were statistically higher in boys than in girls (p < 0.001). In addition, the BMI Z-score global mean was 0.20, and this mean was statistically higher in boys

Table 2. Descriptive analysis of the variables NS, BP, BP percentile, and HTN prevalence according to gender.	ERICA Study,	Goiânia, Goiás,	Cen-
tral-West region of Brazil, 2013–2014.			

Variables	Total (N = 1,586)	Male (N = 613)	Female (N = 973)	р	
Weight (kg)	57.2 (56.0–58.3)	60.2 (58.3; 62.1)	54.1 (53.2–53.9)	< 0.001 ¹	
Height (cm)	164.0 (163.2–164.7)	167.4 (166.1; 168.8)	160.4 (160.0–160.7)	< 0.008 ¹	
BMI (kg/m ²)	21.0 (20.8–21.4)	21.2 (20.8; 21.7)	21.0 (20.7–21.2)	0.592 ¹	
BMI z-score	0.20 (0.12; 0.28)	0.28 (0.16; 0.40)	0.12 (0.04; 0.20)	0.037 ¹	
Nutritional status					
Very underweight/underweight	2.5 (1.6; 4.0)	2.7 (1.5; 4.7)	2.4 (1.3; 4.3)	< 0.001 ²	
Normal	71.4 (68.9; 73.9)	66.4 (62.7; 69.9)	76.6 (73.2; 79.8)		
Overweight	17.1 (14.7; 19.8)	18.3 (14.7; 22.5)	15.9 (13.3; 18.9)		
Obese	8.9 (7.1; 11.1)	12.6 (9.4; 16.6)	5.1 (3.7; 7.0)		
SBP (mmHg)	110.1 (109.1–112.0)	114.4 (112.8; 115.9)	107.5 (106.6–108.5)	< 0.001 ¹	
SBP percentile					
< 50th	47.1 (42.5; 51.8)	40.0 (33.6; 46.8)	54.4 (49.8; 59.1)	< 0.001	
50th	40.2 (36.5; 43.9)	43.0 (28.0; 48.1)	37.2 (33.1; 41.5)		
90th	4.5 (3.3; 6.1)	3.6 (2.0; 6.5)	4.5 (3.2; 6.1)		
95th	5.6 (3.7; 8.5)	8.8 (5.2; 14.8)	2.3 (1.5; 3.5)		
99th	2.6 (1.7; 4.0)	4.5 (2.8; 7.1)	1.5 (0.7; 3.1)		
DBP (mmHg)	66.1 (65.2–67.0)	66.3 (65.2–67.4)	66.0 (65.0–66.9)	0.417 ¹	
DBP percentile					
< 50th	37.8 (32.8; 42.9)	35.5 (29.3; 42.4)	40.0 (34.6; 45.6)	0.602 ²	
50th	54.9 (49.6; 60.1)	57.3 (50.0; 64.3)	52.3 (47.0; 57.6)		
90th	3.9 (2.8; 5.4)	3.8 (2.1; 6.8)	4.0 (2.8; 5.7)		
95th	2.6 (1.9; 3.6)	2.6 (1.5; 4.4)	2.7 (1.7; 4.4)		
99th	0.8 (0.4; 1.7)	0.9 (0.4; 2.0)	0.7 (0.3; 2.0)		
BP Classification					
Normal	77.6 (75.7–79.8)	68.5 (64.4–72.3)	86.4 (83.5–88.9)	< 0.001 ²	
Prehypertension	12.5 (10.1–15.5)	17.5 (23.8–22.0)	7.4 (5.6–9.7)		
HTN	10.1 (8.2–12.4)	14.0 (10.2–18.8)	6.2 (4.6–8.2)		

¹Student's t-test

²Pearson's chi-square test.

Variables	SBP pe	ercentile	DBP percentile		
	r ¹	р	r ¹	р	
All					
BMI Z-score	0.325	< 0.001	0.176	< 0.001	
NS	0.313	< 0.001	0.182	< 0.001	
Female					
BMI Z-score	0.273	< 0.001	0.148	< 0.001	
NS	0.266	< 0.001	0.185	< 0.001	
Male					
BMI Z-score	0.373	< 0.001	0.216	< 0.001	
NS	0.346	< 0.001	0.212	< 0.001	

 Table 3. Correlation between the SBP/DBP percentile, the BMI Z-score, and NS, according to gender.

 ERICA study, Goiânia, Goiás, Central-West region of Brazil, 2013–2014.

¹Pearson coefficient correlation.

https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0188782.t003

than in girls (0.20 versus 0.12; p = 0.037). The prevalence of overweight and obesity came to 17.1% and 8.9%, respectively. Boys were more likely to be obese than girls (12.6% versus 5.1%, p < 0.001).

The SBP mean was 110.1 mmHg and the DBP mean was 66.1 mmHg. Boys had a higher SBP than girls (114.4 mmHg versus 107.5 mmHg, p < 0.001). There was also a difference between the genders in the distribution of SBP percentiles (p < 0,001). However, there was no difference between boys and girls in relation to the means of DBP and the DBP percentile. Of the total number of adolescents, 77.3% (95.0% CI: 75.0–79.8%) and 12.6% (95.0% CI: 10.1–15.5%) presented with normotension and prehypertension, respectively. The prevalence of HTN was 10.1% (95% CI: 8.2–12.4%). HTN prevalence in girls and boys was 6.2% (95.0% CI: 4.6–3 8.2%) and 14.0% (95.0% CI: 10.2–18.8%), respectively (Table 2). Boys had a prevalence 2.26 times higher than girls (Crude PR: 2.26, 95.0% CI: 1.39–3.66, p = 0.002).

In the correlation analysis, we observed a very weak positive correlation between the BMI Z-score and the SBP percentile (r = 0.325; p < 0.001) and between the BMI Z-score and the DBP percentile (r = 0.176; p < 0.001) in the total sample. Considering the correlation analysis between the NS and BP percentiles, the results were similar (r = 0.313; p < 0.001 between the NS and SBP percentile and r = 0.182; p < 0.001 between the NS and SBP) (Table 3).

An analysis of the stratified correlation for females showed a very weak positive correlation between the BMI Z-score and the DBP percentile (r = 0.148; p < 0.001) and a weak positive correlation between the BMI Z-score and the SBP percentile (r = 0.273; p < 0.001). NS values for girls were similar (r = 0.185; p = 0.001 between the NS and DPB percentiles and r = 0.266; p < 0.001 between the NS and SBP percentiles). For boys, a weak positive correlation was observed between the BMI Z-score and the DBP percentile (r = 0.216; p < 0.001), and between the BMI Z-score and the SBP percentile (r = 0.373; p < 0.001). NS values for boys were similar (r = 0.212; p < 0.001 between the NS and DBP percentiles and r = 0.346; p < 0.001 between the NS and SBP percentiles) (Table 3). The correlation between the SBP percentile and the BMI Z-score in boys was statistically stronger than the correlation for girls (p = 0.03), which did occur in the case of the BMI Z-score and the DBP (p = 0.187). The correlation between the DBP percentile and NS, and between the SBP percentile and NS were similar between the genders (p > 0.05).

Tables 4 and 5 show the prevalence and potential factors associated with HTN in female and male adolescents, respectively. In this analysis, NS was the only risk factor for HTN in

Table 4. Prevalence and potential risk factors associated with HTN in adolescent females. ERICA Study, Goiânia, Goiás, Central-West region of Brazil, 2013–2014.

	Total ¹	HTN prevalence		Crude PR ²	p ⁴
Variables	(N = 973)	%	(95.0% CI) ³	(95.0% CI) ³	
Age (years)					
12–14	472	5.9	(3.7–9.4)	1.00	
15–17	501	6.4	(3.8–10.7)	1.08 (0.48–2.42)	0.838
Race/skin color (self-reported) ⁵					
White	348	5.1	(2.8; 9.4)	1.00	
Black	47	9.5	(3.2; 25.7)	1.85 (0.50-6.75)	0.339
Mixed	530	6.4	(4.5; 9.1)	1.24 (0.57–2.68)	0.567
Other ³	38	7.6	(2.4; 21.7)	1.49 (0.48–4.54)	0.473
Type of school attended					
Public	623	6.1	(4.2; 8.7)	1.00	
Public	350	6.4	(4.0; 10.2)	1.05 (0.57–1.91)	0.873
Term					
Morning	783	6.4	(4.6; 8.9)	1.00	
Afternoon	190	5.2	(2.8; 9.4)	0.81 (0.40–1.63)	0.549
Tobacco use (lifetime)					
No	799	6.5	(4.9; 8.7)	1.00	
Yes	174	4.6	(2.0; 10.1)	0.70 (0.31–1.58)	0.386
Tobacco use (previous 30 days)					
No	932	6.1	(4.4; 8.4)	1.00	
Yes	33	5.1	(0.8; 27.4)	0.84 (0.11; 5.95)	0.861
Alcohol use (lifetime)					
No	354	5.6	(3.1; 9.7)	1.00	
Yes	574	6.3	(4.3; 9.1)	1.12 (0.53–2.38)	0.752
Alcohol use (previous 30 days)					
No	719	6.6	(4.8; 9.1)	1.00	
Yes	219	4.8	(2.4; 9.4)	0.72 (0.34; 1.49)	0.369
Binge drinking(previous 30 days)					
No	845	5.8	(4.3; 7.9)	1.00	
Yes	64	8.7	(2.5; 25.7)	1.46 (0.41–5.18)	0.545
Physical Activity					
Active	368	6.5	(4.2; 10.0)	1.00	
Inactive	562	6.4	(4.5; 9.2)	0.97 (0.56–1.69)	0.936
Diabetes mellitus (self-reported)					
No	930	6.0	(4.4; 8.2)	1.00	
Yes	40	9.9	(3.5; 25.1)	1.63 (0.54–4.94)	0.371
Hypercholesterolemia (self-reported)					
No	835	5.5	(4.0; 7.5)	1.00	
Yes	96	7.1	(3.2; 15.3)	1.29 (0.53–3.10)	0.558
Nutritional status					
Normal	747	4.6	(3.3; 6.4)	1.00	
Overweight	154	9.9	(6.1; 15.7)	2.15 (1.17–3.92)	0.014
Obese	54	16.4	(6.7; 34.6)	3.51 (1.52–8.12)	0.004

¹Number of valid responses

PLOS ONE

²Prevalence Ratio

³95% Confidence Interval

⁴Wald's Chi-square test

⁵Includes Native Brazilian and Asian ethnic groups.

both genders. Table 6 shows the Poisson regression model of factors associated with HTN by gender. It was found that, after adjustment, being overweight (APR: 2.28, 95.0% CI: 1.26–4.09) was independently associated with HTN in female adolescents. Obesity was a common risk factor for boys (APR: 2.30, 95.0% CI: 1.09–4.84) and girls (APR: 3.48; 95.0% CI: 1.42–8.54).

Discussion

This study investigated the prevalence of and factors associated with hypertension in 1,586 adolescents from 36 schools in Goiania City, the capital of the State of Goiás, located in the Central-West region of Brazil. The results showed a 10.1% prevalence of HTN, which was statistically higher in boys than in girls. In addition, a regression analysis showed that nutritional status was the only risk factor for HTN shared by both genders. In both boys and girls, obesity was shown to be independently associated with HTN. However, being overweight was shown to be an associated factor in girls only. A high prevalence of physical inactivity was also observed in the adolescents investigated, and particularly in the girls. On the other hand, a higher prevalence of obesity was found in the boys, as compared to the girls.

The prevalence of HTN estimated in this study was similar to that found in Brazilian adolescents between 12 and 17 years old (9.6%; 95.0% CI: 9.0–10.3) [25]. It also confirmed the findings of a meta-analysis conducted among Brazilian adolescents (8.1%; 95.0% CI: 6.2– 10.5%)[8]. On the other hand, a previous study of 1,375 adolescents aged 12–14 in Goiânia, the location of this study, estimated a HTN prevalence of 2.0% (95.0% CI: 1.4–2.9%) [39], a frequency lower than that found in this investigation. We believe that methodological differences and population characteristics may have contributed to these differences between the studies. The previous study included no adolescents older than 14. In addition, the prevalence of obesity was lower than that estimated in this study [39].

Other studies have shown a wide variation in estimates of theprevalence of HTN in adolescents [8]. In the Southeast Region, investigations have estimated that 3.3% of adolescents have HTN (n = 487) in Ouro Preto (Minas Gerais State) [40]; 9.5% (n = 704) in Cubatão (São Paulo State) [41]; and 19% (n = 884) in Rio de Janeiro (Rio de Janeiro State) [42]. In the southern region of the country, the prevalence of HTN has been calculated at 11.3% (n = 511) in Porto Alegre (Rio Grande do Sul State) [43]; 12.4% (n = 233) in Londrina (Paraná State) [44]; and 12.6% (n = 497) in Curitiba (Paraná State) [45]. In the Northeast Region, the prevalence of HTN has been recorded as 7.4% (n = 674) in João Pessoa (Paraíba State) [46]; and as 17.3% (n = 1,878) in Recife (Pernambuco State) [14]. In the Midwestern Region, a prevalence of 11.7% (n = 1,716) was estimated for Cuiabá (Mato Grosso State) [47]. This great variability in the estimates of HTN prevalence may reflect significant differences in the BP measurement and classification methodologies used.

In the present study, male adolescents presented a higher prevalence of HTN than female adolescents. A previous study conducted in Goiânia found similar prevalencerates in males and females (2.8% versus 3.1%) [39]. A systematic review and meta-analysis of Brazilian studies found a statistically higher prevalence of HTN in male adolescents than in female adolescents (8.75% versus 6.31%; p < 0.001) [8]. Multiple mechanisms may contribute to this association. For example, the increase in male testosterone levels in early adolescence has a potential prohypertensive effect, increasing the prevalence of HTN [25, 48]. In addition, estrogen has the cardioprotective effect of endogenous estradiol in BP, since it is responsible for activating the sympathetic nervous system and angiotensin, thus decreasing HTN prevalence in girls[49]. In general, boys have a higher prevalence of visceral and intra-abdominal fat accumulation and obesity than girls. These factors promote increased sympathetic activity, leading

Table 5. Prevalence and risk factors associated with HTN in adolescent males. ERICA Study, Goiânia, Goiás, Central-West region of Brazil, 2013–2014.

Variables(N e 61)% % (85.0%.01)(95.% C.01)p*Age (years)		Total ¹	HTN prevalence		Crude PR ²	
Age (overs)Image of the set o	Variables	(N = 613)	%	(95.0% CI) ³	(95.0% CI) ³	p ⁴
12-143199.6(5.7, 15.8)1.0015-1729418.3(11.8, 27.3)1.90 (0.94-3.65)0.071Baco'skin color (self-reported)24916.9(10.2-26.7)1.00White2499.2(2.9, 25.5)0.54 (0.14-2.03)0.2860Miked28712.1(6.4, 17.0)0.70 (0.3e-1.30)0.260Other ⁶ 20013.1(3.5, 38.6)0.77 (0.21-2.73)0.678Type of school attended20013.1(3.6, 23.86)0.77 (0.21-2.73)0.678Public237313.9(9.4; 20.2)1.00Public37313.9(9.4; 20.2)1.00Public29010.0(3.5; 25.6)0.067 (0.22-03)0.475Tobacco use (lifetime)12412.9(6.5; 23.8)0.90 (0.47; 1.70)0.747No48914.2(10.4; 18.1)1.00No58213.9(10.1; 18.8)1.00No58213.9(10.1; 18.8)1.00No58213.9(10.1; 18.9)1.00No58218.4(10.2; 27.0)2.00 (0.47; 1.70)0.678No58411.9(6.5; 35.4)1.18 (0.5; 2.80)0.686Atemos2916.7(6.5; 35.4)1.18 (0.5; 2.50)0.686No58718.4(10.2; 27.0)1.00No58610.1(7.0; 1	Age (years)					
15-1712.9418.3(11.8; 27.3)1.90 (0.94-3.85)0.071Racelskin color (self-reported)24.916.9(10.2-26.7)1.00Black42.49.2(2.9; 2.5.5)0.54 (0.14-2.03)0.2580Other ³ 2013.1(3.5; 38.6)0.77 (0.21-2.73)0.678Other ³ 2013.1(3.5; 38.6)0.77 (0.21-2.73)0.678Public2013.1(3.5; 38.6)0.77 (0.21-2.73)0.678Public2014.0(8.8; 21.7)1.00 (0.54; 1.84)0.982Public37313.9(9.4; 20.2)1.00Public37311.90(8.8; 21.7)1.00 (0.54; 1.84)0.982Term1(8.8; 21.7)1.00 (0.54; 1.84)0.982Moring51414.8(10.5; 20.4)1.00Afternoon9910.0(3.5; 25.6)0.67 (0.22-20.9)0.475Tobacco use (lifetime)11.90No48914.2(10.4; 19.1)1.00.Yes2916.7(8.5; 25.4)0.90 (0.47; 17.0)0.747No58213.9(10.1; 18.8)1.00.Yes2916.7(8.6; 35.4)1.100.No2009.16(6.5; 315.2)1.00.No2010 (95; 4.25)0.06610061No1262.44(10.6; 46.6)2.40 (0.86; 649)0.061No1262.44<	12–14	319	9.6	(5.7; 15.8)	1.00	
Race/skicolor (self-reported)ImageImageImageImageWhite24916.9(10.2-26.7)1.001.00White429.2(2.9.25.5)0.54 (0.14-2.03)0.0354Mixed28712.1(8.4.17.0)0.70 (0.38-1.30)0.260Other ⁴ 20013.1(3.5.38.6)0.77 (0.21-2.73)0.678Type of school attended1(8.4.17.0)1.000.982Public37313.9(9.4.20.2)1.00 (0.5.1.84)0.982Term240014.0(8.8.21.7)1.00 (0.5.1.84)0.982Takinono9910.0(3.5.26.6)0.67 (0.22-2.03)0.475Morning51414.8(10.5.20.4)1.001.00Atternoon9910.0(5.2.8.5)0.060 (0.47.17.0)0.477No12412.9(5.5.23.8)0.90 (0.47.17.0)0.747No22918.7(10.1:18.8)1.001.01Yes2918.7(10.1:18.8)1.001.01No2709.14(10.5:2.8.0)0.06047.17.00.686No20013.1(10.1:18.8)1.001.011.01Yes12412.9(5.5.2.8.1)0.06047.17.00.686No22013.1(10.1:18.8)1.001.011.01Yes2918.7(10.1:18.8)1.001.011.01No2709.18(10.1:18.8)1.001.011.01<	15–17	294	18.3	(11.8; 27.3)	1.90 (0.94–3.85)	0.071
White16.9(10.202.7)1.00Black429.2(2.9, 2.5.5)0.54 (0.14-2.03)0.250Black28712.1(8.4, 17.0)0.70 (0.38-1.0)0.280Other ⁶ 2013.1(3.5, 38.6)0.77 (0.21-2.73)0.678Type of school attended2013.1(3.5, 38.6)0.77 (0.21-2.73)0.678Public37315.9(9.4, 20.2)1.00-Public37315.9(9.4, 20.2)1.00 (0.54; 1.84)0.982Public37315.4(14.0(8.8, 21.7)1.00 (0.54; 1.84)0.982Tommon9.900.0(3.5, 25.6)0.67 (0.22-0.03)0.475Tobacco use (ifetime)9.91(3.5, 25.6)0.067 (0.22-0.03)0.475Tobacco use (ifetime)10.0(3.5, 25.6)0.90 (0.47; 1.70)0.747Tobacco use (previous 30 days)12.412.9(6.5, 23.8)0.90 (0.47; 1.70)0.747Yes29.013.1(6.8, 35.4)1.1001.001.00Yes29.013.1(6.8, 35.4)1.1000.686No27.09.11(6.8, 35.12)1.000.666No27.09.11(7.0, 14.6)1.000.61Yes31.61.33(10.0; 7.8)1.900.61No24.09.8413.3(10.0; 7.8)1.000.61Yes31.61.34(10.17, 18.8)1.000.61Yes31.61.33(10.0	Race/skin color (self-reported)					
Black9.2 <th< td=""><td>White</td><td>249</td><td>16.9</td><td>(10.2–26.7)</td><td>1.00</td><td></td></th<>	White	249	16.9	(10.2–26.7)	1.00	
Mixed28712.1(8.4;17.0)0.70(0.38-1.30)0.280Other ³ 31.1(3.5;36,0)0.77(0.21-2.73)0.78Public37313.9(9.4;20.2)1.001.70Public37313.9(9.4;20.2)1.00(0.54;1.84)0.982Public24014.0(8.8;20.2)1.00(0.54;1.84)0.982Tem11.05(3;25.0)1.00(0.54;1.84)0.982Morning51414.8(10.5;20.4)1.001.75Tobacco use (lifetime)10.5120.67(0.22-2.03)0.475No48914.2(10.4;19.1)1.001.74Tobacco use (lifetime)12412.9(6.5;23.8)0.90(0.47;1.70)0.747No58213.9(10.1;18.8)1.18(0.50;2.80)0.668Alchol use (lifetime)2916.7(6.5;31.52)1.18(0.50;2.80)0.686No2709.1(5.3;15.2)1.001.74No2709.1(5.3;15.2)1.001.74No24610.1(7.0;14.6)1.900.061No12624.4(10.6;17.8)1.900.061No54613.3(10.0;17.8)1.98(0.96;4.90)0.061No54613.3(10.0;17.8)1.98(0.96;4.90)0.061No54613.3(10.1;18.9)1.98(0.96;4.90)0.061No54714.1(10.3;19.0)1.98(0.96;4.90)0.061No54613.	Black	42	9.2	(2.9; 25.5)	0.54 (0.14–2.03)	0.354
Other ³ 2013.1(3.5; 38.6)0.77 (0.21–2.73)0.6.78Type of school attended </td <td>Mixed</td> <td>287</td> <td>12.1</td> <td>(8.4; 17.0)</td> <td>0.70 (0.38–1.30)</td> <td>0.260</td>	Mixed	287	12.1	(8.4; 17.0)	0.70 (0.38–1.30)	0.260
Type of school attendedIntermIntermIntermIntermPublic37313.9(9.4;20.2)1.001.00Public24014.0(8.8;21.7)1.00 (0.5;1.84)0.982TermIntermInterm(10.5;20.4)1.00IntermMorning51414.8(10.5;20.4)1.00IntermAltemnon9910.0(3.5;25.6)0.67 (0.22-0.3)0.475Tobacco use (lifetime)IntermIntermIntermIntermNo48914.2(10.4;19.1)1.000.747Tobacco use (previous 30 days)IntermIntermIntermIntermNo58213.9(10.1;18.8)1.00IntermYes2916.7(6.8;35.4)1.18 (0.5;2.80)0.6868Alcohol use (lifetime)IntermIntermIntermIntermNo2709.1(5.3;15.2)1.00IntermYes31518.4(12.2;7.0)2.01 (0.5;4.25)0.064Alcohol use (previous 30 days)IntermIntermIntermNo54613.3(10.1;1.8.0)1.00IntermYesIntermIntermIntermIntermNo54613.3(10.1;1.8.0)1.00IntermNo55714.1(10.3;1.9.0)1.00IntermNo558714.1(10.3;1.9.0)1.00IntermNo558714.1(10.3;1.9.0)1.00Interm<	Other ⁵	20	13.1	(3.5; 38.6)	0.77 (0.21–2.73)	0.678
Public37313.9(9.4; 20.2)1.00(Public24014.0(8.8; 21.7)1.00 (0.64; 1.84)0.962Public </td <td>Type of school attended</td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td>	Type of school attended					
Public 240 14.0 (8.8; 21.7) 1.00 (0.54; 1.84) 0.982 Term	Public	373	13.9	(9.4; 20.2)	1.00	
TermImage: state of the state of	Public	240	14.0	(8.8; 21.7)	1.00 (0.54; 1.84)	0.982
Moming51414.8(10.5; 20.4)1.00Afternoon9910.0(.5; 25.6)0.67 (0.22-2.03)0.475Tobacco use (lifetime) </td <td>Term</td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td>	Term					
Atternoon9910.0(3.5; 25.6)0.67 (0.22-2.03)0.475Tobacco use (lifetime) </td <td>Morning</td> <td>514</td> <td>14.8</td> <td>(10.5; 20.4)</td> <td>1.00</td> <td></td>	Morning	514	14.8	(10.5; 20.4)	1.00	
Tobacco use (lifetime) Image: lifetime bit is the stress of	Afternoon	99	10.0	(3.5; 25.6)	0.67 (0.22–2.03)	0.475
No48914.2(10.4; 19.1)1.00(Yes12412.9(6.5; 23.8)0.90 (0.47; 1.70)0.747Tobacco use (previous 30 days)No58213.9(10.1; 18.8)1.000.666Yes2916.7(6.8; 35.4)1.18 (0.50; 2.80)0.6666Alcohol use (lifetime)No2709.1(5.3; 15.2)1.00-Yes31518.4(12.2; 27.0)2.01 (0.9; 4.25)0.064Alcohol use (previous 30 days)No46610.1(7.0; 14.6)1.00-Yes12624.4(10.6; 46.6)2.40 (0.89; 6.49)0.081Bing cirinking(previous 30 days)No46613.3(10.0; 17.8)1.00-Yes3126.8(10.8; 52.6)1.98 (0.96; 4.09)0.061Inactive3126.8(10.8; 52.6)1.98 (0.96; 4.09)0.061Inactive58714.1(10.3; 19.0)1.00-Inactive58714.1(10.3; 19.0)1.00-No50713.2(9.1; 18.9)1.00-Yes5720.2(12.1; 31.9)1.49 (0.73; 3.02)0.261No5720.2(12.1; 31.9)1.49 (0.73; 3.02)0.261Yes5720.2(12.1; 31.9)1.49 (0.73; 3.02)0.596N	Tobacco use (lifetime)					
Yes 124 12.9 (6.5; 23.8) 0.90 (0.47; 1.70) 0.747 Tobacco use (previous 30 days) 582 13.9 (10.1; 18.8) 1.00	No	489	14.2	(10.4; 19.1)	1.00	
Tobacco use (previous 30 days) Image: marked state	Yes	124	12.9	(6.5; 23.8)	0.90 (0.47; 1.70)	0.747
No 582 13.9 (10.1; 18.8) 1.00 Yes 29 16.7 (6.8; 35.4) 1.18 (0.50; 2.80) 0.686 Alcohol use (lifetime) 29 16.7 (6.8; 35.4) 1.18 (0.50; 2.80) 0.686 No 270 9.1 (5.3; 15.2) 1.00 Yes 315 18.4 (12.2; 27.0) 2.01 (0.95; 4.25) 0.064 Alcohol use (previous 30 days) 0.061 Yes 126 24.4 (10.6; 46.6) 2.40 (0.89; 6.49) 0.081 Binge drinking(previous 30 days) No 546 13.3 (10.1; 17.8) 1.00 No 547 14.1 (10.8; 52.6) 1.98 (0.96; 4.09) 0.061 Physical Activity 14.0 (4.4; 36.4) 0.97 (0.3; 2.87) 0.968 Inactive 17 14.0 (4.4; 36.4) 0.97 (0.3; 2.87)	Tobacco use (previous 30 days)					
Yes 29 16.7 (6.8; 35.4) 1.18 (0.50; 2.80) 0.686 Alcohol use (lifetime) 270 9.1 (5.3; 15.2) 1.00 No 270 9.1 (5.3; 15.2) 1.00 Yes 315 18.4 (12.2; 27.0) 2.01 (0.95; 4.25) 0.064 Alcohol use (previous 30 days) 0.06 Yes 126 24.4 (10.6; 46.6) 2.40 (0.89; 6.49) 0.081 Binge drinking(previous 30 days) No 546 13.3 (10.0; 17.8) 1.00 No 546 13.3 (10.0; 17.8) 1.00 No 547 14.1 (10.3; 19.0) 1.00 Inactive 587 14.1 (10.3; 19.0) 1.00 No 507 13.2 (9.1; 18.9) 1.00 Yes 57	No	582	13.9	(10.1; 18.8)	1.00	
Alcohol use (lifetime) Image: lifetime) Image: lifetimo) Image: lifetime) Image: life	Yes	29	16.7	(6.8; 35.4)	1.18 (0.50; 2.80)	0.686
No 270 9.1 (5.3; 15.2) 1.00 Yes 315 18.4 (12.2; 27.0) 2.01 (0.95; 4.25) 0.064 Alcohol use (previous 30 days) No 466 10.1 (7.0; 14.6) 1.00	Alcohol use (lifetime)					
Yes 315 18.4 (12.2; 27.0) 2.01 (0.95; 4.25) 0.064 Alcohol use (previous 30 days) No 466 10.1 (7.0; 14.6) 1.00 Yes 126 24.4 (10.6; 46.6) 2.40 (0.89; 6.49) 0.081 Binge drinking(previous 30 days) No 546 13.3 (10.0; 17.8) 1.00 Yes 31 26.8 (10.8; 52.6) 1.98 (0.96; 4.09) 0.061 Physical Activity 1.00 Active 587 14.1 (10.3; 19.0) 1.00 Inactive 17 14.0 (44; 36.4) 0.97 (0.3; 2.87) 0.968 Diabetes mellitus (self-report) 17 14.1 (10.3; 19.0) 1.00 No 507 13.2 (9.1; 18.9) 1.00 Yes 57 20.2 (12.	No	270	9.1	(5.3; 15.2)	1.00	
Alcohol use (previous 30 days) Image: mediation of the system of the syste	Yes	315	18.4	(12.2; 27.0)	2.01 (0.95; 4.25)	0.064
No 466 10.1 (7.0; 14.6) 1.00 Yes 126 24.4 (10.6; 46.6) 2.40 (0.89; 6.49) 0.081 Binge drinking(previous 30 days) No 546 13.3 (10.0; 17.8) 1.00 Yes 31 26.8 (10.8; 52.6) 1.98 (0.96; 4.09) 0.061 Physical Activity Active 587 14.1 (10.3; 19.0) 1.00 Inactive 17 14.0 (4.4; 36.4) 0.97 (0.3; 2.87) 0.968 Diabetes mellitus (self-report) No 507 13.2 (9.1; 18.9) 1.00 No 557 20.2 (12.1; 31.9) 1.49 (0.73; 3.02) 0.261 Hypercholesterolemia (self-report) No 341	Alcohol use (previous 30 days)					
Yes 126 24.4 (10.6; 46.6) 2.40 (0.89; 6.49) 0.081 Binge drinking(previous 30 days) 0.081 No 546 13.3 (10.0; 17.8) 1.00	No	466	10.1	(7.0; 14.6)	1.00	
Binge drinking(previous 30 days) Image: mediation of the symbol sym	Yes	126	24.4	(10.6; 46.6)	2.40 (0.89; 6.49)	0.081
No 546 13.3 (10.0; 17.8) 1.00 Yes 31 26.8 (10.8; 52.6) 1.98 (0.96; 4.09) 0.061 Physical Activity Active 587 14.1 (10.3; 19.0) 1.00 Inactive 587 14.1 (10.3; 19.0) 1.00 Diabetes mellitus (self-report) 17 14.0 (4.4; 36.4) 0.97 (0.33; 2.87) 0.968 No 507 13.2 (9.1; 18.9) 1.00 No 507 13.2 (9.1; 18.9) 1.49 (0.73; 3.02) 0.261 Hypercholesterolemia (self-report) 57 20.2 (12.1; 31.9) 1.49 (0.73; 3.02) 0.261 No 341 13.3 (8.1; 21.1) 1.00 Yes 216 16.3 (10.7; 24.1) 1.21(0.58; 2.52) 0.596 Nutritional status	Binge drinking(previous 30 days)					
Yes 31 26.8 (10.8; 52.6) 1.98 (0.96; 4.09) 0.061 Physical Activity C C C C C C Active 587 14.1 (10.3; 19.0) 1.00 C C Inactive 17 14.0 (4.4; 36.4) 0.97 (0.33; 2.87) 0.968 Diabetes mellitus (self-report) C C C C C No 507 13.2 (9.1; 18.9) 1.00 C C Yes 57 20.2 (12.1; 31.9) 1.49 (0.73; 3.02) 0.261 No 341 13.3 (8.1; 21.1) 1.00 C Yes 216 16.3 (10.7; 24.1) 1.21(0.58; 2.52) 0.596 Nutritional status C C C C C C	No	546	13.3	(10.0; 17.8)	1.00	
Physical Activity Image: marked state	Yes	31	26.8	(10.8; 52.6)	1.98 (0.96; 4.09)	0.061
Active 587 14.1 (10.3; 19.0) 1.00 Inactive 17 14.0 (4.4; 36.4) 0.97 (0.33; 2.87) 0.968 Diabetes mellitus (self-report) No 507 13.2 (9.1; 18.9) 1.00 Yes 57 20.2 (12.1; 31.9) 1.49 (0.73; 3.02) 0.261 Hypercholesterolemia (self-report) No 341 13.3 (8.1; 21.1) 1.00 Yes 216 16.3 (10.7; 24.1) 1.21(0.58; 2.52) 0.596 Nutritional status	Physical Activity					
Inactive 17 14.0 (4.4; 36.4) 0.97 (0.33; 2.87) 0.968 Diabetes mellitus (self-report) 0.97 (0.33; 2.87) 0.968 No 507 13.2 (9.1; 18.9) 1.00 0.261 0.261 0.261 0.261 0.261 0.261 0.261 0.261 0.261 0.261 0.261 0.261 0.261 0.261 0.261 0.261 0.261	Active	587	14.1	(10.3; 19.0)	1.00	
Diabetes mellitus (self-report) Image: mellitus (self-report) <tht< td=""><td>Inactive</td><td>17</td><td>14.0</td><td>(4.4; 36.4)</td><td>0.97 (0.33; 2.87)</td><td>0.968</td></tht<>	Inactive	17	14.0	(4.4; 36.4)	0.97 (0.33; 2.87)	0.968
No 507 13.2 (9.1; 18.9) 1.00 Yes 57 20.2 (12.1; 31.9) 1.49 (0.73; 3.02) 0.261 Hypercholesterolemia (self-report) No 341 13.3 (8.1; 21.1) 1.00 Yes 216 16.3 (10.7; 24.1) 1.21(0.58; 2.52) 0.596 Nutritional status	Diabetes mellitus (self-report)					
Yes 57 20.2 (12.1; 31.9) 1.49 (0.73; 3.02) 0.261 Hypercholesterolemia (self-report) 0.261 No 341 13.3 (8.1; 21.1) 1.00	No	507	13.2	(9.1; 18.9)	1.00	
Hypercholesterolemia (self-report) Image: Constraint of the self self self self self self self sel	Yes	57	20.2	(12.1; 31.9)	1.49 (0.73; 3.02)	0.261
No 341 13.3 (8.1; 21.1) 1.00 Yes 216 16.3 (10.7; 24.1) 1.21(0.58; 2.52) 0.596 Nutritional status Image: Control of the status	Hypercholesterolemia (self-report)					
Yes 216 16.3 (10.7; 24.1) 1.21(0.58; 2.52) 0.596 Nutritional status	No	341	13.3	(8.1; 21.1)	1.00	
Nutritional status	Yes	216	16.3	(10.7; 24.1)	1.21(0.58; 2.52)	0.596
	Nutritional status					
Normal 410 10.5 (6.0; 17.8) 1.00	Normal	410	10.5	(6.0; 17.8)	1.00	
Overweight 110 21.4 (15.4; 29.1) 2.05 (1.05; 3.99) 0.035	Overweight	110	21.4	(15.4; 29.1)	2.05 (1.05; 3.99)	0.035
Obese 73 24.9 (16.0; 36.6) 2.36 (1.16; 4.82) 0.019	Obese	73	24.9	(16.0; 36.6)	2.36 (1.16; 4.82)	0.019

¹Number of valid responses

PLOS ONE

²Prevalence ratio

³95.0% Confidence Interval

⁴Wald's Chi-square test

⁵Includes Native Brazilian and Asian ethnic groups.



Table 6. Analysis of the Poisson regression of factors associated with HTN, by gender. ERICA study, Goiânia, Goiás, Central-West region of Brazil, 2013–2014.

Variables		Girls		Boys		
	APR ¹	95.0% Cl ²	p ³	APR ¹	95.0% Cl ²	p ³
Age (years)						
12–14	1.00			1.00		
15–17	1.29	0.53–3.14	0.551	1.72	0.78–3.82	0.171
Race/skin color (self-reported)						
White	1.00			1.00		
Black	1.84	0.47–7.14	0.366	0.72	0.17–2.98	0.646
Mixed	1.36	0.57-3.25	0.476	0.80	0.44-1.45	0.461
Other ⁴	1.81	0.61-5.35	0.273	0.91	0.23-3.46	0.887
Type of school						
Public	1.00			1.00		
Public	1.25	0.67–2.35	0.460	1.02	0.54; 1.94	0.342
Term						
Morning	1.00			1.00		
Afternoon	0.95	0.47-1.94	0.902	0.61	0.21-1.72	0.342
Binge drinking (previous 30 days)						
No				1.00		
Yes	-	-	-	1.27	0.58–2.80	0.534
Nutritional status						
Normal	1.00			1.00		
Overweight	2.28	1.26-4.09	0.007	1.85	0.76; 4.49	0.163
Obese	3.48	1.42-8.54	0.008	2.30	1.09; 4.84	0.029

¹Adjusted prevalence ratio

²95.0% Confidence Interval

³Wald's Chi-square test

⁴Includes Native Brazilian and Asian ethnic groups.

https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0188782.t006

to increased sodium reabsorption and peripheral vascular resistance, and contributing to HTN [25, 50]. In fact, in this study, the prevalence of HTN was statistically higher in boys than in girls.

This study observed an association between HTN and being overweight in girls and between HTN and obesity in both genders. In addition, there was a positive correlation between the SBP/SBP percentile and BMI Z-score/NS in both genders. A cross-sectional study conducted among1,716 adolescents aged 10–16 in Brazil supports our results[47]. The authors found that, after adjusting for age, gender, and race/skin color, obesity was the main factor associated with HTN[47]. In fact, it is estimated that 17.8% of the prevalence of HTN in Brazilian adolescents can be attributed to obesity [25]. Obesity is a key factor in the development of disorders such as dyslipidemia, insulin resistance, and diabetes mellitus, which in turn are associated with an increase in negative cardiovascular events such as stroke, acute myocardial infarction, and coronary heart disease [51]. The insulin resistance and inflammation caused by obesity may alter vascular function and lead to HTN[52].

This study has some limitations. First, the cross-sectional nature of the investigation does not allow the establishment of cause-and-effect relationships [53, 54]. Second, some variables (for example, medical history and tobacco and alcohol consumption) were self-reported, and therefore subject to memory and response bias [55]. Third, three blood pressure measurements

were carried out by the same evaluator, which may have led to observer bias and an overestimation of HTN. In addition, no Ambulatory Blood Pressure Monitoring (ABPM) was performed to better characterize the BP profiles of the adolescent participants [56]. Fourth, it was not possible to evaluate the percentage of body fat in each participant, to better characterize his or her NS. Finally, the generalization of results has been limited [57], since only individuals enrolled in participating schools were considered, and not the population of adolescents outside this environment.

In conclusion, there was a high prevalence of HTN in the adolescents investigated, and particularly in the male participants. Obesity was identified as the main risk factor for HTN in both genders and being overweight was identified as a risk factor in girls. A high frequency of obesity was observed, especially in the male adolescents. On the other hand, high levels of physical inactivity were observed in the female adolescents. The results of this investigation reveal a need to implement strategies to prevent and control HTN and its associated risk factors in the school context. Information distributed to adolescents on beneficial health behaviors should focus on promoting good health. Thus, Institutions of Higher Education (IHEs) and Brazil's health services should play a social role in public school spaces through effective interventions, such as encouraging healthy eating, promoting regular physical activity, and constantly observing HTN prevalence and its associated risk factors (e.g. being overweight and obesity), among other initiatives. The HEIs can collaborate in the training of education and healthcare professionals by articulating the actions of the Ministry of Health of Brazil. These actions are important, as they may lead to early detection and control of HTN, helping to prevent this condition in adult life, and decreasing the HTN load in the Brazilian population.

Acknowledgments

We are grateful for the participation of the adolescents in this study; the schools and directors also made a valuable contribution. Our coordination with the ERICA Study provided the database.

Author Contributions

Formal analysis: Rafael Alves Guimarães.

Investigation: Mayara Maria Souza de Almeida, Rafael Alves Guimarães.

Methodology: Mayara Maria Souza de Almeida.

Software: Rafael Alves Guimarães.

- Visualization: Mayara Maria Souza de Almeida, Rafael Alves Guimarães, Ana Luiza Lima Sousa.
- Writing original draft: Mayara Maria Souza de Almeida, Rafael Alves Guimarães, Paulo César Brandão Veiga Jardim, Ana Luiza Lima Sousa, Márcia Maria de Souza.

Writing - review & editing: Rafael Alves Guimarães, Márcia Maria de Souza.

References

- Global Status Report on noncommunicable diseases 2014 [http://apps.who.int/iris/bitstream/10665/ 148114/1/9789241564854_eng.pdf?ua=1]
- 2. A global brief on HYPERTENSION: silent killer, global public health crisis [http://ish-world.com/ downloads/pdf/global_brief_hypertension.pdf]

- Filha MMT, Junior PRBdS, Damacena GN, Szwarcwald CL: Prevalence of chronic non-communicable diseases and association with self-rated health: National Health Survey, 2013. *Rev Bras Epidemiol* 2015, 18(Suppl 2):S83–96.
- VIGITEL Brasil 2016 Vigilância de fatores de risco e proteção para doenças crônicas por inquérito telefônico [http://portalarquivos.saude.gov.br/images/pdf/2017/junho/07/vigitel_2016_jun17.pdf]
- Gonçalves VSS, Galvão TF, Andrade KRCd, Dutra ES, Bertolin MNT, Carvalho KMBd, et al: Prevalence of hypertension among adolescents: systematic review and meta-analysis. *Rev Saude Publica* 2016, 50:27. https://doi.org/10.1590/S1518-8787.2016050006236 PMID: 27253903
- Burgos MS, Reuter CP, Burgos LT, Pohl HH, Pauli LTS, Horta JA, et al: Comparison analysis of blood pressure, obesity, and cardio-respiratory fitness in schoolchildren. *Arq Bras Cardiol* 2010, 94(6):788– 793. PMID: 20464272
- National High Blood Pressure Education Program Working Group on High Blood Pressure in Children and Adolescents: The fourth report on the diagnosis, evaluation, and treatment of high blood pressure in children and adolescents. *Pediatrics* 2004, 114(2 Suppl 4th Report):555–576.
- Magliano ES, Guedes LG, Coutinho ESF, Bloch KV: Prevalence of arterial hypertension among Brazilian adolescents: systematic review and meta-analysis. *BMC Public Health* 2013, 13:833. <u>https://doi.org/10.1186/1471-2458-13-833</u> PMID: 24025095
- Monego ET, Jardim PCBV: Determinants of Risk of Cardiovascular Diseases in Schoolchildren. Arquivos Brasileiros de Cardiologia 2006, 87(1).
- 10. Ewald DR, Haldeman LA: Risk Factors in Adolescent Hypertension. Glob Pediatr Health 2016, 3.
- Falkner B: Hypertension in children and adolescents: epidemiology and natural history. *Pediatr Nephrol* 2010, 25(7):1219–1224. https://doi.org/10.1007/s00467-009-1200-3 PMID: 19421783
- Everett B, Zajacova A: Gender Differences in Hypertension and Hypertension Awareness Among Young Adults. *Biodemography Soc Biol* 2015, 61(1):1–17. <u>https://doi.org/10.1080/19485565.2014</u>. 929488 PMID: 25879259
- McNiece KL, Poffenbarger TS, Turner JL, Franco KD, Sorof JM, Portman RJ: Prevalence of hypertension and pre-hypertension among adolescents. *J Pediatr* 2007, 150(6):640–644. https://doi.org/10. 1016/j.jpeds.2007.01.052 PMID: 17517252
- Gomes BdMR, Alves JGB: Prevalência de hipertensão arterial e fatores associados em estudantes de Ensino Médio de escolas públicas da Região Metropolitana do Recife, Pernambuco, Brasil, 2006. Cad Saúde Pública 2006, 25(2):375–381.
- Leung LCK, Sung RYT, So H-K, Wong SN, Lee KW, Lee KP, et al: Prevalence and risk factors for hypertension in Hong Kong Chinese adolescents: waist circumference predicts hypertension, exercise decreases risk Arch Dis Child 2011.
- Prasad S, Masood J, Srivastava AK, Mishra P: Elevated Blood Pressure and Its Associated Risk Factors among Adolescents of a North Indian City—A Cross-sectional Study. *Indian J Community Med* 2017, 42(3):155–158. https://doi.org/10.4103/ijcm.IJCM_106_16 PMID: 28852279
- Bucher VS, Ferrarini A, Wever N, Bullo M, Bianchetti MG, Simonetti GD: Primary hypertension in childhood. *Curr Hypertens Rep* 2013, 15(444–452).
- Bibiloni MdM, Pons A, Tur JA: Prevalence of Overweight and Obesity in Adolescents: A Systematic Review. ISRN Obes 2013.
- 19. Obesity and overweight [http://www.who.int/mediacentre/factsheets/fs311/en/]
- 20. Ng M, Fleming T, Robinson M, Thomson B, Graetz N, Margono C, et al: Global, regional, and national prevalence of overweight and obesity in children and adults during 1980–2013: a systematic analysis for the Global Burden of Disease Study 2013. *Lancet* 2014, 384(9945):766–781. <u>https://doi.org/10.1016/S0140-6736(14)60460-8 PMID: 24880830</u>
- Instituto Brasileiro de Geografia e Estatística: Pesquisa de orçamentos familiares 2008–2009: antropometria e análise do estado nutricional de crianças e adolescentes no Brasil. Rio de Janeiro: IBGE; 2010.
- 22. Bloch KV, Szklo M, Kuschnir MCC, Abreu GdA, Barufaldi LA, Klein CH, et al: The study of cardiovascular risk in adolescents–ERICA: rationale, design and sample characteristics of a national survey examining cardiovascular risk factor profile in Brazilian adolescents. *BMC Public Health* 2015, 15(94).
- Vasconcellos MTLd, Silva PLdN, Szklo M, Kuschnir MCC, Klein CH, Abreu GdA, et al: Sampling design for the Study of Cardiovascular Risks in Adolescents (ERICA). Cad Saude Publica 2015, 31(5):921– 930. https://doi.org/10.1590/0102-311X00043214 PMID: 26083168
- 24. Presidência da República, Casa Civil, Subchefia para Assuntos Jurídicos: Lei nº 8.069, de 13 de julho de 1990. Dispõe sobre o Estatuto da Criança e do Adolescente e dá outras providências. In. Brasília (DF); 1990.

- Bloch KV, KleinII CH, Szklo M, Kuschnir MCC, Abreu GdA, Barufaldi LA, Veiga GVd, Schaan B, Silva TLNd: ERICA: prevalences of hypertension and obesity in Brazilian adolescents. *Rev Saúde Pública* 2016, 50(suppl 1):9s.
- Figueiredo VC, Szklo AS, Costa LC, Kuschnir MCC, Silva TLNd, Bloch KV, et al: ERICA: smoking prevalence in Brazilian adolescents. *Rev Saude Publica* 2016, 50(Suppl 1):12s.
- Stergiou GS, Yiannes NG, Rarra VC: Validation of the Omron 705 IT oscillometric device for home blood pressure measurement in children and adolescents: the Arsakion School Study. *Blood Press Monit* 2006, 11(4):229–234. https://doi.org/10.1097/01.mbp.0000209074.38331.16 PMID: 16810034
- Coutinho ESF, França-Santos D, Magliano EdS, Bloch KV, Barufaldi LA, Cunha CdF, et al: ERICA: patterns of alcohol consumption in Brazilian adolescent. *Rev Saúde Pública* 2016, 50(Suppl 1):8s.
- Courtney KE, Polich J: Binge Drinking in Young Adults: Data, Definitions, and Determinants. *Psychol Bull* 2009, 135(1):142–156. https://doi.org/10.1037/a0014414 PMID: 19210057
- Steene-Johannessen J, Anderssen SA, Ploeg HPVd, Hendriksen IJ, Donnelly AE, Brage S, et al: Are Self-report Measures Able to Define Individuals as Physically Active or Inactive? *Med Sci Sports Exerc* 2016, 48(2):235–244. https://doi.org/10.1249/MSS.00000000000000760 PMID: 26322556
- **31.** Cureau FV, Silva TLNd, Bloch KV, Fujimori E, Belfort DR, Carvalho KMBd, et al: ERICA: leisure-time physical inactivity in Brazilian adolescents. *Rev Saude Publica* 2016, 50(Suppl 1):4s.
- Onis Md, Onyango AW, Borghi E, Siyam A, Nishida C, Siekmann J: Development of a WHO growth reference for school-aged children and adolescents. *Bull World Health Organ* 2007, 85(9):660–667. https://doi.org/10.2471/BLT.07.043497 PMID: 18026621
- 33. StataCorp: Stata Statistical Software: Release 14. College Station, TX: StataCorp LP; 2015.
- Hauke J, Kossowski T: Comparison of values of Pearson's and Spearman's correlation coefficients on the same sets of data. *Quaestiones Geographicae* 2011, 30(2).
- Zou KH, Tuncali K, Silverman SG: Correlation and Simple Linear Regression. *Radiology* 2003, 227:617–628. https://doi.org/10.1148/radiol.2273011499 PMID: 12773666
- Diedenhofen D, musch J: cocor: A Comprehensive Solution for the Statistical Comparison of Correlations. PLoS One 2015, 10(4).
- Coutinho LMS, Scazufca M, Menezes PR: Methods for estimating prevalence ratios in crosssectional studies. *Rev Saúde Pública* 2008, 42(6):1–6.
- Barros AJ, Hirakata VN: Alternatives for logistic regression in cross-sectional studies: an empirical comparison of models that directly estimate the prevalence ratio. *BMC Medical Research Methodology* 2003, 3:21. https://doi.org/10.1186/1471-2288-3-21 PMID: 14567763
- Monego ET, Jardim PCBV: Determinantes de Risco para Doenças Cardiovasculares em Escolares. Arquivos Brasileiros de Cardiologia 2006, 87(1).
- 40. Cândido AP, Benedetto R, Castro AP, Carmo JS, Nicolato RL, Nascimento-Neto RM, et al: Cardiovascular risk factors in children and adolescents living in an urban area of Southeast of Brazil: Ouro Preto Study. *Eur J Pediatr* 2009, 168(11):1373–1382. <u>https://doi.org/10.1007/s00431-009-0940-1</u> PMID: 19238437
- Silva MCP, Ramos CHBd, Costa RFd: Estado nutricional e níveis pressóricos de escolares adolescentes da cidade de Cubatão-SP, Brasil. Estado Nutricional e Níveis Pressóricos de Esc 2008, 18 (3):288–297.
- Corrêa-Neto VG, Sperandei S, Silva LAI, Maranhão-Neto GdA, Palma A: Hipertensão arterial em adolescentes do Rio de Janeiro: prevalência e associação com atividade física e obesidade. *Ciênc saúde coletiva* 2014, 19(6).
- Schommer VA, Barbiero SM, Cesa CC, Oliveira R, Silva AD, Pelland LC: Excesso de Peso, Variáveis Antropométricas e Pressão Arterial em Escolares de 10 a 18 Anos. Arq Bras Cardiol 2014, 102 (4):312–318. https://doi.org/10.5935/abc.20140038 PMID: 24676224
- Christofaro DGD, Casonatto J, Fernandes RA, Reichert FF, Lock MR, Guariglia DA, et al: Pressão arterial elevada em adolescentes de alto nível econômico. *Rev paul pediatr* 2010, 28(1).
- Campos Wd, Neto AS, Bozza R, Ulbrich AZ, Bertin RL, Mascarenhas LPG, et al: Atividade física, consumo de lipídios e fatores de risco para aterosclerose em adolescentes. Arq Bras Cardiol 2010, 94(5).
- Silva KSd, Júnior JCdF: Fatores de risco associados à pressão arterial elevada em adolescentes. Rev Bras Med Esporte 2007, 13(4):237–240.
- **47.** Moreira NF, Muraro AP, Brito FdSB, Gonçalves-Silva RMV, Sichieri R, Ferreira MG: Obesidade: principal fator de risco para hipertensão arterial sistêmica em adolescentes brasileiros participantes de um estudo de coorte. *Arq Bras Endocrinol Metab* 2013, 57(7).
- **48.** Dubey RK, Oparil S, Imthurn B, Jackson EK: Sex hormones and Hypertension. *Cardiovasc Res* 2002, 53(3):688–708. PMID: 11861040

- 49. Ashraf MS, Vongpatanasin W: Estrogen and hypertension. Curr Hypertens Rep 2006, 8(5):386–376.
- **50.** Morais ACFd, Lacerda MB, Moreno LA, Horta BL, Carvalho HB: Prevalence of High Blood Pressure in 122,053 Adolescents: A Systematic Review and Meta-Regression. *Medicine* 2014, 93(27).
- Bastien M, Poirier P, Lemieuxa I, Desprésa J-P: Overview of Epidemiology and Contribution of Obesity to Cardiovascular Disease. *Prog Cardiovasc Dis* 2014, 56(4):369–381. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.pcad. 2013.10.016 PMID: 24438728
- 52. Kotsis V, Stabouli S, Papakatsika S, Rizos Z, Parati G: Mechanisms of obesity-induced hypertension. *Hypertens Res* 2010, 33(5):386–393. https://doi.org/10.1038/hr.2010.9 PMID: 20442753
- 53. Pinto SL, Silva RdCR, Priore SE, Assis AMO, Pinto EdJ: Prevalência de pré-hipertensão e de hipertensão arterial e avaliação de fatores associados em crianças e adolescentes de escolas públicas de Salvador, Bahia, Brasil. Cad Saúde Pública 2011, 27(6):1065–1076. PMID: 21710004
- 54. Ferreira HS, Lúcio GMA, Assunção ML, Silva BCV, Oliveira JS, Florêncio TMMT, et al: High Blood Pressure among Students in Public and Private Schools in Maceió, Brazil. 2015.
- Althubaiti A: Information bias in health research: definition, pitfalls, and adjustment methods. J Multidiscip Healthc 2016, 9:211–217. https://doi.org/10.2147/JMDH.S104807 PMID: 27217764
- 56. Grossman E: Ambulatory Blood Pressure Monitoring in the Diagnosis and Management of Hypertension. *Diabetes care* 2013, 36(Suppl 2):S307–311.
- 57. Kukull WA, Ganguli M: Generalizability. *Neurology* 2012, 78(23):1886–1891. https://doi.org/10.1212/ WNL.0b013e318258f812 PMID: 22665145